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A new practical synthesis of triaryl and trisindolylmethanes under solvent-free reaction conditions

Margherita Barbero,* Silvano Cadamuro, Stefano Dughera, Claudio Magistris and Paolo Venturello

An efficient and practical synthesis of triaryl and trisindolylmethanes is reported via the bisarylation of aryl aldehydes with activated arenes. The new method features mild solvent-free reaction conditions, in most cases nearly stoichiometric reagent ratios, catalytic amount of the readily available, easily-handled, recoverable and reusable Bronsted acid catalyst o-benzenedisulfonimide.

Introduction

Triaryl and triheteroarylmethanes (TRAMs) are valuable scaffolds which have attracted attention from the scientific community not only for their interesting chemical properties, but also for the widespread applications they have found in many fields, such as useful protective groups, photocromic agents, dyes, and building blocks for dendrimers and NLOs. Ring hydroxylated TRAMs exhibit antioxidant, antitumor, antitubercular, antivirus, antifungal and anti-inflammatory activities. Triarylmethane frameworks are usually obtained from the acid-catalysed bisarylation of aryl aldehydes and the reaction is called hydroxyalkylation. It is in fact a multistep Friedel-Crafts alkylation and follows a typical aromatic electrophilic substitution mechanism. The reaction does not stop at the first step, and the intermediate diarylmethanol immediately reacts with a second molecule of the aromatic compound, giving rise to a bisarylation product. Furthermore the reaction benefits from a high atom efficiency and only produces one molecule of water as a by-product.

The reaction has been extensively studied since 1886 and literature methods include superacidic systems, Bronsted and Lewis acid catalysts and solid-supported catalysts. AuCl₃, Yb(OTf)₃, CSI₃Me, B(C₆F₅)₃ have been used as Lewis acids in catalytic amounts. A dual-reagent catalytic combination of [Ir(COD)Cl]₂-SnCl₄ has also been reported to catalyse the Friedel-Crafts alkylation of arenes and heteroarenes; a complexation/interaction of the carbonyl functionality at the tin center has been suggested as a plausible mechanism.

Mineral Bronsted acids (such as HF, H₂SO₄, H₃PO₄) have been predominantly used as the catalysts for a very long time. The detailed mechanism of the reaction was investigated in-depth by Olah and coworkers, by running the reaction in various superacidic systems (triflic acid, Magic acid, and so on). Experimental evidence and theoretical calculations suggested the possible formation of superelectrophilic O,C-diprotonated aldehydes. Recently, Olah and coworkers reported the hydroxyalkylation of activated or weakly deactivated aromatics by aromatic mono- and dicarbaldehydes in the presence of BF₃·H₂O (1:1 complex; 50 equiv.; in a pressure tube and under closed conditions). This stable complex has been used in a dual role, as a suitable protic solvent/protosolvating medium and as a strong Bronsted acid catalyst.

An excess of TfOH under pressure, TFA and other protic acids, PTSA and 84% sulfuric acid have also been used. Heterogeneous solid Bronsted acid catalysts include silica gel-supported NaHSO₄, polystyrene-supported sulfonic acid, silica sulfuric acid, perfluorinated sulfonic acid resin (Nafion-H), Unsymmetrical TRAMs have been prepared using ZnBr₂/SiO₂ and AcBr, whilst symmetrical and unsymmetrical TRAMs using FeCl₃/Ac₂O; the reaction mechanisms involve the in situ formation of an α-bromobenzyl acetate or a geminal diacetate, respectively, as the reactive intermediate species.

All the above TRAMs synthetic methods, however, suffer from one or more known disadvantages, such as the need for a large excess of the aromatic substrate, poor selectivity, harsh reaction conditions (e.g. high temperatures, pressure vessels), large catalyst amounts and the disposal of the excess aromatic compound or solvents and/or toxic metal waste.

Finally, bis- and trisindolylmethanes (usually 3,3′-BIMs and 3,3′,3″-TIMs) have proven themselves to be of great interest thanks to their wide range of applications. The numerous synthetic strategies towards their preparation, powerful biological activity and different properties have recently been extensively reviewed. The standard acid-catalysed indole reaction with carbonyl compounds is a useful synthetic method and a huge number of Lewis or Bronsted acid catalysts has been reported. Similarly, the reactions of substituted indoles with 3-formylindole give asymmetric TIMs. In the same review a catalyst-free BIM formation was reported to occur in glycerol at 90 °C.

Despite the high number of literature methods, the demand for new efficient, mild, metal-free and environmentally friendly protocols for TRAM, BIM and TIM synthesis, via electrophilic substitution reaction, is high. In order to continue our ongoing studies in the field of organocatalysis, which are aimed at developing mild and practical protocols in neat conditions, we have decided to explore the synthetic features of the use of o-benzenedisulfonimide (OBS, 1) as an environmentally friendly Bronsted acid catalyst in Friedel-Crafts hydroxyalkylation reactions (Scheme 1 and Table 1). Furthermore, a new bench-stable solid-supported catalyst, namely silica-gel supported OBS, has been prepared and tested to improve the easy handling, storing, recovery and recycling of this catalyst under clean and easy work-up conditions.
**Scheme 1** Triaryl and trisindolylmethane synthesis via OBS catalysed bisarylation of aryl aldehydes (or their dimethyl acetals)

\[
\text{ArCHO (or ArCH(O\text{OMe})_2) + Ar'}H \rightarrow \text{ArCHAr'}_2
\]

Table 1 Reagents 2a-f, 3a-b, and 4a-j

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Molar ratio 2a : 4d (mol%)</th>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>t (h)</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 : 10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>86 (5.9 : 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 : 10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>91 (5.6 : 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1 : 2 mL</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>89 (6.9 : 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1 : 2 mL</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>90 (7.6 : 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1 : 2 mL</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>100 (5.2 : 1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Yields refer to pure isolated product by column chromatography (eluent PE:AcOEt 6:4). In parentheses p,p and o,p isomer ratio is reported (calculated by \(^1\)H NMR spectra). Silica-gel supported OBS (10% w/w).*

Results and discussion

First, 4-nitrobenzaldehyde (2a) and anisole (4d) were reacted to give TRAM 5d, as a model reaction of the OBS catalysed Friedel-Crafts hydroxyalkylation. The reagents were chosen as the first is a highly electrophilic alkylation agent and the second a sufficiently activated aromatic substrate (Scheme 2). Following literature suggestions, anisole excess was reacted with 2a in the presence of 1, which was used as the catalyst, in neat conditions. The effect of reagent ratio, heating and catalyst loading were considered as reported in Table 2. Both a temperature of 130 °C and the catalytic amount of 1 were crucial to the completion of the reaction in reduced times (entries 2 and 4 compared with 1 and 3; Table 2).

Reaction temperature of 100 °C and room temperature only led to trace amount or a complete absence of product. In all the reactions, the formation of diarylated regioisomer products was observed, as the expected consequence of an electrophilic aromatic substitution pathway. The para,para derivative was always predominant with respect to the ortho,ortho isomer, whereas the ortho,ortho was never detected; a larger anisole excess increased the selectivity (entries 3 and 4 compared to 1 and 2). The reaction was then carried out in the presence of silica-gel supported OBS following the optimized conditions. The Brønsted acid was adsorbed onto SiO\(_2\) in a 10% w/w amount, simply by dissolving it in water, stirring with SiO\(_2\) and by evaporating the solvent under vacuum. Then its catalytic efficiency was tested and a quantitative product yield was
obtained in milder conditions (entry 27). Finally, the antimicrobial TRAM as an antiviral. Benzaldehyde (obtained in good to quantitative yields both in the presence of OBS or silica-supported OBS. TRAM was isolated in modest yield (entry 18). Unfortunately, the OBS-catalysed hydroxyalkylation of deactivated substrates such as benzene, p-xylene or chlorobenzene was not achieved. Some of the above reactions were then run in the presence of OBS adsorbed onto silica 10% w/w. The catalytic activity of this heterogeneous system was comparable to OBS alone (entries 3, 5, 10, 12, 14 and 16), whilst the recovery and recyclability of the catalyst were improved. Indeed, at the end of the reaction, after the extraction of the organic phase and a few washings with small amounts of solvent, the solid catalyst was dried and immediately recycled in another five subsequent reactions without significant loss of catalytic activity (entry 14). Then, 4-chlorobenzaldehyde (2b) was reacted, under the same conditions as 2a, with the aromatic compounds 4a, 4e and 4g (entries 19–21). Despite the weaker electron withdrawing effect of the chloro substituent, the desired TRAMs were obtained in good to quantitative yields both in the presence of OBS or silica-supported OBS. TRAM 6b has been reported as an antiviral. Benzaldehyde (2c) was similarly reacted with aromatics 4a and 4f (entries 22–24). The results were good, but heating was necessary in the first case to reduce reaction time. Less activated 4-methoxybenzaldehyde (2d) was reacted with 4a, 4e and 4f. Heating was required to obtain TRAMs 8a and 8b in good yields (entries 25–26), whereas 8e was obtained in milder conditions (entry 27). Finally, the antimicrobial TRAM 9a was prepared from 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde (2e) and 4f at 40 °C in order to favour the mixing of the reagents.

Aldehyde acetals are well-known highly electrophilic aldehyde substitutes. Therefore, acetals 3a and 3b were tested in order to evaluate their applicability in hydroxyalkylation reactions. Obtained results were as expected (Scheme 1, Table 4). The reactions of 4-methoxybenzaldehyde dimethyl acetal (3b) with 4a and with 4e at room temperature were instantaneous (entries 29 and 30), whilst the reaction of the aldehyde 2d with 4a did not complete at room temperature and when stopped after 24 hours only gave rise to 8a in a 50% yield. Unfortunately, with deactivated aromatics such as 4i, acetal hydrolysis was the only observed reaction. 4-Nitrobenzaldehyde dimethyl acetal (3a), however, did not prove to be a useful alternative to the parent aldehyde 2a (entry 31 compared to entry 4). Furthermore, the hydrolysis was the only observed process in the reaction with 4i. The difference in acetal behaviour is probably due to the different electronic effects the methoxy and the nitro groups have on the acetal protonation in the first step of the reaction, giving rise to an inversion in the parent aldehyde reactivity order. The use of acetals can therefore be useful in the case of aldehydes bearing electron-donating substituents which induce lower reactivity in the Friedel-Crafts hydroxyalkylation.

When we performed the reaction with aldehydes, immediate colour and density changes in the reaction mixtures were observed which led us to investigate the reaction further. The reactions with indoles, in particular, were seen to produce a thick, deep orange coloured mixture simply by mixing the reagents. Therefore we decided to carry out some reactions starting from particularly activated reagents, in the absence of any catalyst, in neat conditions. To the best of our knowledge, Friedel-Crafts hydroxyalkylation reactions without catalyst are here reported for the first time (in the paper cited above, the reactions were run in glycerol under heating and the role of the protic solvent in promoting aldehyde activation, through hydrogen bonding, was considered a plausible explanation). TRAMs 5f,g,j were obtained in good yields and in short times from aldehyde 2a and indoles 4f, 4g and 4j when the reagents were heated in stoichiometric amounts at 100 °C (at r.t. the reaction did not reach completion). However the deep red coloured reaction mixture of 2a and 4a did not give products, even after several hours of heating at 130 °C. The reactions between the less activated aldehyde 2d and 4f or 4g proceeded, but did not complete in comparable times.

Turning our attention to indolylmethanes, as a general mechanism pathway, the first step of the acid-catalysed indole reaction with carbonyl compounds produces, by dehydration, an intermediate azafulvenium species (10), which then
immediately undergoes the addition of a second indole moiety to produce the expected BIM (Scheme 3).\textsuperscript{26}

\begin{center}
\begin{minipage}{\textwidth}
\textbf{Scheme 3 Reaction mechanism}
\end{minipage}
\end{center}

The reaction between 3-formylindoles and indoles produce TIMs with the same mechanism (Scheme 3; Ar = 3-indolyl). It is also known that trisindolylmethanes are readily cleaved by acids giving rise to resonance stabilised ammonium ions.\textsuperscript{23} Furthermore, symmetric and asymmetric TIMs have been isolated as a mixture from the reaction of 3-formylindoles with substituted indoles in the presence of different acid catalysts.\textsuperscript{32} Their formation, although not always justified, has been attributed to the likely acidic cleavage of the initially formed TIMs with release of an indole molecule and subsequent reaction with a substituted indole moiety. Interested in these findings, we decided to further explore the applicability of OBS as the catalyst in trisindolylmethane synthesis.

First of all, we tested the optimised reaction conditions for TRAM synthesis, but the reaction between 2f and indole (4f) in the presence of 1 (10 mol\%) in solid state conditions did not proceed at r.t. When heating to 55 or 100 °C, the reaction seemed to be locked in an unchanging ratio between reagents and products. Nevertheless, in ethanol as a solvent, the reaction at r.t. was instantaneous and the good yield (Table 5, entry 1). A control experiment carried out in EtOH in the absence of the catalyst did not yield traces of product. Good results were also obtained by reacting 2f and 2-methylindole (4j) at r.t. in the presence of OBS 10% (entry 2).

According to literature observations, we suggest that, in these conditions, the lowest solubility of TIMs in ethanol causes their immediate separation from the reaction medium so preventing the acid-catalysed cleavage. In the light of these, we can hypothesize that, under the former acidic neat conditions and heating, the produced TIM is rapidly cleaved into the intermediate cation and the reaction cannot reach completion. Furthermore, when 2f and 1-methylindole (4g) were reacted under the same reaction conditions, the immediate formation of two products was observed: the expected TIM 11c and the symmetric TIM 11d deriving by cleavage of the former and reaction with excess 4g (Scheme 4). The reaction was heated to 50 °C in order to maximize 11d formation and stopped after 24 h. Products were identified by comparison with authentic samples or by NMR spectroscopy of isolated products. GC and GC-MS analyses confirmed indole (4f) formation in the reaction mixture, whose presence is not otherwise explainable. Nevertheless, TIM 11c was obtained in 90% yield by carrying out the reaction in the presence of OBS 2 mol\% (only traces of 11d were observed in these conditions; entry 3).
Table 3 Products 5a–j, 6a–c, 7a–b, 8a–c, 9a, 11a–d.

5a: R = NO₂
6a: R = Cl
7a: R = H
8a: R = MeO

5b: R₁ = H; R₂ = MeO
5c: R₁ = MeO; R₂ = H

5f: R = NO₂
7b: R = H
8c: R = MeO
9a: R = HO

5g: R = NO₂
6c: R = Cl

5h: Ar = 5-Me-2-furyl
5i: Ar = 2-thienyl
5j: Ar = 2-Me-3-indolyl

11a: R₁=R₂=H
11b: R₁=H; R₂=Me
11c: R₁=Me; R₂=H
11d: R₁=Me; R₂=H
Table 4 Synthesis of products 5-9.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Reagents</th>
<th>Molar ratio</th>
<th>( \text{I} ) (mol%)</th>
<th>( T ) (°C)</th>
<th>( t ) (min)</th>
<th>Products</th>
<th>Yield (%)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2a 4a</td>
<td>1 : 10</td>
<td>( 10 )</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5a</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2a 4a</td>
<td>1 : 2.2</td>
<td>( 10^b )</td>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5a</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2a 4a</td>
<td>1 : 2.2</td>
<td>( 10^b )</td>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5a</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2a 4b</td>
<td>1 : 10</td>
<td>( 10^b )</td>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>5b</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2a 4b</td>
<td>1 : 10</td>
<td>( 10^b )</td>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>5b</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2a 4c</td>
<td>1 : 10</td>
<td>( 10^b )</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>30 h</td>
<td>5c</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2a 4c</td>
<td>1 : 10</td>
<td>( 50 )</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>6 h</td>
<td>5c</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2a 4e</td>
<td>1 : 10</td>
<td>( 10^b )</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5e</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2a 4e</td>
<td>1 : 10</td>
<td>( 10^b )</td>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>7 h</td>
<td>5e</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(10 : 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2a 4e</td>
<td>1 : 10</td>
<td>( 10^b )</td>
<td>10 r.t.</td>
<td>7 h</td>
<td>5e</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(10 : 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2a 4f</td>
<td>1 : 2.5</td>
<td>( 10^b )</td>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5f</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2a 4f</td>
<td>1 : 2.5</td>
<td>( 10^b )</td>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5f</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2a 4g</td>
<td>1 : 2.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5g</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>2a 4g</td>
<td>1 : 2.5</td>
<td>( 10^b )</td>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5g</td>
<td>99&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2a 4h</td>
<td>1 : 3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>5h</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>2a 4h</td>
<td>1 : 3</td>
<td>( 10^b )</td>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5h</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>2a 4i</td>
<td>1 : 2 mL</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>6 h</td>
<td>5i</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>2a 4i</td>
<td>1 : 2 mL</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>5i</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>2b 4a</td>
<td>1 : 2.5</td>
<td>( 10^b )</td>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>6a</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2b 4e</td>
<td>1 : 10</td>
<td>( 10^b )</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>20 6b</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(10 : 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>2b 4g</td>
<td>1 : 2.2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6c</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>2c 4a</td>
<td>1 : 10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>3.5 h</td>
<td>7a</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>2c 4a</td>
<td>1 : 10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>20 h</td>
<td>7a</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>2c 4f</td>
<td>1 : 2.2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7b</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>2d 4a</td>
<td>1 : 10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>8a</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>2d 4e</td>
<td>1 : 10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>8b</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(10 : 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>2d 4f</td>
<td>1 : 2.2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8c</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>2e 4f</td>
<td>1 : 2.2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>9a</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>3b 4a</td>
<td>1 : 2.2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8a</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>3b 4e</td>
<td>1 : 10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8b</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(10 : 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>3a 4b</td>
<td>1 : 10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>5 h</td>
<td>5b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>2a 4f</td>
<td>1 : 2</td>
<td>( 10^c )</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>5f</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>2a 4g</td>
<td>1 : 2</td>
<td>( 10^c )</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>5g</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>2a 4j</td>
<td>1 : 2</td>
<td>( 10^c )</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>5j</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Yields refer to pure isolated products. In parentheses \( p,p \) and \( o,p \) isomer ratio is reported (calculated by 1H NMR spectra).<sup>b</sup> Silica supported OBS. The catalyst was recycled for 5 subsequent runs. The reaction was incomplete. The reaction was carried out in the absence of catalyst.

Scheme 4 Proposed mechanism for 11d formation in 11c synthesis.
Table 5 Synthesis of trisindolylmethanes 11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Reagents</th>
<th>t (min)</th>
<th>Products</th>
<th>Yields (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2f 4f</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11a</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2f 4j</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11b</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2f 4g</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11c</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reaction conditions: reagent ratio 2f : 4 = 1 : 2.2, in EtOH at r.t. Yields refer to pure isolated products. Only traces of 11d were detected.

Conclusions

In conclusion, a new simple and efficient triaryl and trisindolylmethane synthesis has been reported. The advantages of the method are mild solvent-free reaction conditions, in most cases nearly stoichiometric reagent ratios, catalytic amounts of the readily available and easily-handled Brønsted acid catalyst. In our conditions, every effort to stop the reaction at the first step was unsuccessful and the intermediate diarylmethanol was not isolated nor detected. Furthermore, the recoverability and recyclability of the catalyst, both in homogeneous and heterogeneous conditions, are noteworthy.

Experimental

General Experimental

All the reactions were run in vials using analytical grade reagents, and were monitored by TLC, GC, GC-MS and NMR spectrometry. GC-MS spectra were recorded with an AT5973N mass selective detector connected to an AT6890N GC cross-linked methyl silicone capillary column. 1H NMR and 13C NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl3 with a Bruker Avance 200 spectrometer at 200 MHz and 50 MHz, respectively; chemical shifts are given in ppm relative to CDCl3. TLC were performed on Fluka silica gel TLC PET foils GF 254, 2–25 µm, layer thickness 0.2 mm, medium pore diameter 60 Å. Plates were visualized using UV light (254 nm). Column chromatography was carried out on SiO2 (pore size 70 Å, 70–230 mesh). Petroleum ether refers to the fraction boiling in the range 40–60 °C and is abbreviated as PE. Commercially available reagents and solvents were purchased from Aldrich and were used without purification or distillation prior to use; Dowex 50X8 ion-exchange resin was purchased from Fluka.

Representative experimental data:

**bis(2,4,5-Trimethoxyphenyl)(4-nitrophenyl)methane (5a).**20 Chromatographic eluent: PE–AcOEt (6:4); yellow solid (0.47 g, quantitative yield); mp 125–126 °C (EtOH) [lit.20 123–124 °C]; 1H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 3.58 (s, 6H), 3.61 (s, 6H), 3.83 (s, 6H), 6.04 (s, 1H), 6.32 (s, 2H), 6.49 (s, 2H), 7.13 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 8.03 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H); 13C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 42.8, 55.9 (2C), 56.4 (2C), 56.5 (2C), 97.8 (2C), 114.2 (2C), 122.1 (2C), 123.0 (2C), 129.4 (2C), 142.6 (2C), 145.9, 148.4 (2C), 151.3 (2C), 152.7; MS (EI) m/z (%): 469 [M+] (100), 438 (40), 181 (25), 151 (25).

**bis(4-Methoxyphenyl)(4-nitrophenyl)methane (5d) and isomer.**20 Chromatographic eluent: PE–AcOEt (7:3); light yellow oil (0.32 g, 91% yield). Mixture of isomers (p,p and o,p, the former always prevalent) not completely separable. 1H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 3.66 (s, 3H) (o,p), 3.74 (s, 3H) (p,p), 5.49 (s, 1H) (p,p), 5.87 (s, 1H) (o,p), 6.80 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 4H), 6.95 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 4H), 7.22 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 8.07 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H); MS (EI) m/z (%): 349 [M+] (100), 318 (65), 227 (100) (p,p isomer); 349 [M+] (100), 319 (40), 227 (55), 121 (55) (o,p isomer).

General procedure for triarylmethane 5a–i, 6a–c, 7a–b, 8a–c and 9a synthesis.

A mixture of aldehyde 2 (1.0 mmol), aromatic compound 4 (mmol as in Table 4) and o-benzenedisulfonimide (1, 10 mol%, 0.022 g) was stirred at r.t. (or under heating, as in Table 4) in a vial until TLC analyses showed almost complete conversion of 2. The reaction mixture was then treated with CH2Cl2–H2O (1:1, 20 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with CH2Cl2 (2 x 20 mL). The organic extracts were dried with Na2SO4 and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography on a short column of silica gel.

**bis(2,4,5-Trimethoxyphenyl)(4-nitrophenyl)methane (5a).**20 Chromatographic eluent: PE–AcOEt (6:4); yellow solid (0.47 g, quantitative yield); mp 125–126 °C (EtOH) [lit.20 123–124 °C]; 1H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 3.58 (s, 6H), 3.61 (s, 6H), 3.83 (s, 6H), 6.04 (s, 1H), 6.32 (s, 2H), 6.49 (s, 2H), 7.13 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 8.03 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H); 13C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 42.8, 55.9 (2C), 56.4 (2C), 56.5 (2C), 97.8 (2C), 114.2 (2C), 122.1 (2C), 123.0 (2C), 129.4 (2C), 142.6 (2C), 145.9, 148.4 (2C), 151.3 (2C), 152.7; MS (EI) m/z (%): 469 [M+] (100), 438 (40), 181 (25), 151 (25).

**bis(4-Methoxyphenyl)(4-nitrophenyl)methane (5d) and isomer.**20 Chromatographic eluent: PE–AcOEt (7:3); light yellow oil (0.32 g, 91% yield). Mixture of isomers (p,p and o,p, the former always prevalent) not completely separable. 1H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl3): δ = 3.66 (s, 3H) (o,p), 3.74 (s, 3H) (p,p), 5.49 (s, 1H) (p,p), 5.87 (s, 1H) (o,p), 6.80 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 4H), 6.95 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 4H), 7.22 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 8.07 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H); MS (EI) m/z (%): 349 [M+] (100), 318 (65), 227 (100) (p,p isomer); 349 [M+] (100), 319 (40), 227 (55), 121 (55) (o,p isomer).
A mixture of aldehyde 2a (1.0 mmol) and aromatic compound 4 (2 mmol) was stirred under heating at 100 °C in a vial until TLC analyses showed almost complete conversion of starting reagents. The reaction mixture was then treated with CH3Cl2–H2O (1: 1, 20 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with CH3Cl2 (2 x 20 mL). The organic extracts were dried with Na2SO4 and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography on a short column of silica gel. Details are reported in Table 4.

**Representative experimental data:**

**bis(2-Methyl-3-indolyl)(4-nitrophenyl)methane (5).**

Chromatographic eluent: PE–AcOEt (4:6); yellow solid (0.38 g, quantitative yield); dp 239–242 °C (CH2Cl2–PE) [lit.40 241–243 °C]; 1H NMR (200 MHz, CD3CN): δ = 2.05 (s, 6H), 6.06 (s, 1H), 6.69–6.81 (m, 4H), 6.88–6.96 (m, 2H), 7.23 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.37 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 8.05 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 9.01 (br s, 2H); 13C NMR (50 MHz, CD3CN): δ = 11.1 (2C), 38.9, 110.3 (2C), 111.2 (2C), 118.4 (2C), 118.5 (2C), 120.2 (2C), 123.0 (2C), 128.0 (2C), 129.6 (2C), 132.6 (2C), 135.2 (2C), 146.2, 152.5.

**General procedure for trisindolylmethane 11a–c synthesis:**

A mixture of 3-formylindole 2f (0.15 g, 1.0 mmol), aromatic compound 4 (2.2 mmol) and o-benzenedisulfonimide (1, mol% as in Table 5) in EtOH (2 mL) was stirred at r.t. in a vial until TLC analyses showed almost complete conversion of 2f. The reaction mixture was then treated with CH3Cl2–H2O (1 : 1, 20 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with CH3Cl2 (2 x 20 mL). The organic extracts were dried with Na2SO4 and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography on a short column of silica gel; eluent: PE–AcOEt (6:4).

**Representative experimental data:**

**Tris(3-indolyl)methane (11a).**

Chromatographic eluent: PE–AcOEt (4:6); light orange solid (0.33 g, 92% yield); dp 229–234 °C (Acetone–PE) [lit. 32a 240 °C]; 1H NMR (200 MHz, CD3CN): δ = 6.08 (m, 1H), 6.80–6.90 (m, 6H), 6.95–7.08 (m, 3H), 7.27–7.40 (m, 6H), 9.07 (br s, 3H); 13C NMR (50 MHz, CD3CN): δ = 31.0, 111.1 (3C), 118.3 (3C), 118.7 (3C), 119.2 (3C), 121.1 (3C), 123.0 (3C), 126.8 (3C), 136.7 (3C).
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