



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Susceptibility to imazamox in Italian weedy rice populations and Clearfield® rice varieties

This is the author's manuscript
Original Citation:
Availability:
This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/148451 since 2016-07-05T17:51:12Z
Published version:
DOI:10.1111/wre.12099
Terms of use:
Open Access
Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

This is the accepted version of the following article:

Andres, A., Fogliatto, S., Ferrero, A., & Vidotto, F. (2014). Susceptibility to imazamox in Italian weedy rice populations and Clearfield[®] rice varieties. Weed Research, 54(5), 492–500. doi:10.1111/wre.12099,

which has been published in final form at http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/wre.12099

1	Susceptibility to imazamox in Italian weedy rice populations and Clearfield® rice varieties
2	
3	A ANDRES*†, S FOGLIATTO*, A FERRERO* & F VIDOTTO*
4	
5	*Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie, Forestali e Alimentari, Università di Torino, Grugliasco (TO),
6	Italy and †Embrapa Temperate Climate, Pelotas (RS), Brazil
7	
8	
9	Received 5 September 2013
10	Revised version accepted 22 April 2014
11	Subject Editor: Cesar Fernandez-Quintanilla, CSIC, Madrid
12	
13	Running head: Weedy rice susceptibility to imazamox
14	
15	Correspondence: André Andres, Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie, Forestali e Alimentari, via
16	Leonardo da Vinci 44, 10095, Università degli Studi di Torino, Grugliasco (TO), Italy. Tel. (+39)
17	0116708897; E-mail: andre.andres@embrapa.br
18 19	
20	

21 Summary

22

23 The introduction of imidazolinone-tolerant rice varieties has made selective Oryza sativa (weedy 24 rice) control possible. We hypothesise that Italian weedy rice populations have variable degrees of 25 susceptibility to imazamox prior to imidazolinone-tolerant variety introduction. To this end, 149 Italian weedy rice populations collected from fields never before cultivated with imidazolinone-26 27 tolerant varieties were tested in a glasshouse-based, whole-plant response screening study. Imazamox was applied to all populations post-emergence at a rate of 70 g a.i. ha⁻¹, resulting in 70-28 29 90% shoot biomass reduction in the majority of cases. The results prompted a second study of the 30 seedling dose-response of four weedy rice populations from the initial study group. Three 31 imidazolinone-tolerant and one conventional rice variety were also included. The seedling roots 32 were cut six days after germination and exposed to different concentrations of imazamox. The root 33 re-growth associated with each concentration-exposure was then measured. Imazamox 34 concentrations to inhibit weedy rice root growth by 50% varied by about two orders of magnitude, 35 or between 0.0018 mM and 0.12 mM. Even with this result, imidazolinone-tolerant varieties were at least 31.8 times less susceptible than weedy rice populations, suggesting that Italian weedy rice 36 37 populations were not tolerant to imazamox before introduction of these varieties.

38

39 Keywords: Oryza sativa, red rice, herbicide sensitivity, root bioassay

41 Introduction

42

43 Rice is a crop that is key to meeting the present and future demands for high-energy foods, mainly 44 in underdeveloped countries. Weedy rice (Oryza sativa L.) is a major threat to rice crop ecosystems 45 throughout much of the world. It is still unclear as to whether this weedy form of rice was a 46 problem during the entire history of rice cultivation. However, documented reports exist in both Europe (Biroli, 1807) and the USA (Craigmiles, 1978) that date to the early 19th century. Reports 47 indicate that as rice cropping systems intensified in these areas, so did weedy rice infestations. 48 49 Weedy rice also spread significantly when the direct seeding method for rice superseded 50 transplanting after 1960 (Ferrero & Vidotto, 1998). Weedy rice infestations also spread with the 51 planting of rice crop seed contaminated with weedy rice seeds (Agostinetto, 2001). Weedy rice 52 infestations reduce yields, particularly when plant density is high. Direct loss estimates from 53 competition caused by weedy rice in rice fields are about 20% (Fleck et al., 2008).

54 Weedy rice control is difficult because of its genetic and physiologic similarities to 55 cultivated rice (Gealy et al., 2009), which handicaps development of herbicide and weed 56 management practices that are both effective and selective to cultivated rice. For a farmer to avoid 57 weedy rice seedbank enrichment and persistence that enhances weed populations, several 58 preventive measures are at his disposal during planting: use rice seed free of weedy rice grains, 59 improve water management and utilise stale seedbed and crop rotation (Agostinetto, 2001). Post-60 planting interventions aimed at preventing further dissemination (Dunand, 1993) include using cut-61 or wipe-bars wetted with non-selective systemic herbicides. However, these interventions may not 62 be effective in large or highly-infested fields.

63 The use of herbicides for selective control of weedy rice plants in rice post-emergence has 64 become possible with the introduction of imidazolinone-tolerant rice cultivars (Croughan, 1994). 65 Imidazolinones (IMIs) are a class of herbicides that have been used effectively in pre- or post-66 emergence in rice fields in the Americas and Europe during the past decade. The primary target site 67 of IMIs is the enzyme acetohydroxyacid synthase (AHAS), also called acetolactacte synthase 68 (ALS). It catalyses the first common step in the amino acid biosynthetic pathways of leucine, 69 isoleucine and valine (Tan et al., 2005). Rice cultivars were developed from mutated rice plants 70 with imidazolinone herbicide resistance by classic breeding methods (Croughan, 1994). Later, the 71 technique that used imidazolinone-tolerant rice varieties (IMI-tolerant varieties) in association with 72 imidazolinone herbicides, was patented as the "Clearfield®" rice technology by BASF Agrochemical Products. It was introduced in the mid-1990s and it is now widely adopted for rice 73 74 weed control.

The approximate area cultivated with IMI-tolerant rice varieties in the USA is 736200 ha (Sudianto *et al.*, 2013) or about 68% of the total USA rice area (USDA, 2013). About 50% of rice varieties planted in southern Brazil (Kalsing, 2012) are IMI-tolerant. Whereas in Italy, the group of IMI-tolerant varieties planted in 2013 represented about 20% of the rice area (Ente Nazionale Risi, 2013).

80 Weed resistance to ALS-inhibiting herbicides has increased steadily since the mid-1980s 81 (Heap, 2012). To limit selection of resistant weedy rice populations during introduction of IMI-82 tolerant varieties, several complementary and restrictive stewardship guidelines (Anonymous, 2012) 83 are available for use: purchase only certified seed, use residual herbicides to increase grass control, 84 control all weedy rice escapes, rotate herbicides with alternative modes of action and suspend 85 consecutive-year use of Clearfield® rice in the same field. Despite these tactics, reports of tolerance 86 to imidazolinone herbicides in weedy rice populations shortly after Clearfield® variety introduction 87 have occurred in several regions: Arkansas/USA (Sales et al., 2008), southern Brazil (Roso et al., 2010a; Goulart et al., 2012), northern Italy (Busconi et al., 2012; Scarabel et al., 2012), and 88 89 northern Greece (Kaloumenos et al., 2013). In Italy, Brazil and Greece, successive cultivation of 90 resistant varieties in most rice fields has encouraged IMI-tolerant weedy rice population 91 development. The problem has been made particularly worse in areas with rich soil seed banks of 92 weedy rice (Roso et al., 2010b; Busconi et al., 2012; Kaloumenos et al., 2013).

93 Previous studies have focused on weedy rice susceptibility to two non-selective herbicides, 94 imazethapyr and glyphosate (Kuk et al., 2008; Burgos et al., 2011). Roso et al. (2010b) described 95 methods to identify imidazolinone-tolerant rice varieties at distinct rice plant growth stages and 96 showed that seed, seedling and tiller bioassays can distinguish tolerant and susceptible plants 97 efficiently. Other authors (Seefeldt et al., 1995; Tind et al., 2009) have demonstrated the efficacy of 98 dose-response studies in determining susceptibility to a given herbicide among a large number of 99 weed populations. The technique can be useful to assess selectivity indices, as is done when 100 comparing the tolerance of IMI-tolerant rice to the susceptibility of non-tolerant rice (Roso et al., 101 2010b) and weedy rice populations.

Our interest lies in determining if there is a natural tolerance to ALS-inhibitors herbicides among Italian weedy rice populations harvested prior to introduction of IMI-tolerant rice varieties (Fogliatto *et al.*, 2012). We hypothesise that Italian weedy rice populations can be grouped according to their differential susceptibility to ALS-inhibiting herbicides, using imazamox as the screening herbicide. This study had two distinct aims: a) to estimate the natural susceptibility to imazamox of Italian weedy rice populations collected in rice fields with no history of IMI-tolerant 108 variety adoption and b) to compare the response of three IMI-tolerant and one IMI-susceptible109 varieties to imazamox.

110

111 Material and methods

In 2008, 149 weedy rice populations were selected based on awn presence and sampling location (northwest, southwest, east) from a territory including about 90% of the total Italian rice field area (Fogliatto *et al.*, 2012). The chosen areas had no history of cultivation with IMI-tolerant rice varieties. The collected populations were about 56% awned, 17% mucronate, and 27% awnless. The following year, all populations were grown under identical conditions in a rice field (also with no history of IMI-tolerant rice varieties) located in Vercelli, Italy. Seeds from these populations were harvested and stored at room temperature until study initiation.

Susceptibility tests of the Italian weedy rice populations and rice varieties to imazamox were performed at the University of Torino, Italy (45° 3.998' N; 7° 35.567' E– WGS84) in 2011. The first of two response studies was to assess the range of imazamox sensitivity across the largest Italian weedy rice population set available (Fogliatto *et al.*, 2012) and to inform a second investigation. The follow-on study utilised four populations, randomly selected from among those of the first study found to be most susceptible (two populations) and least susceptible (two populations), to undergo a seedling dose-response study to imazamox.

126

127 Whole-plant response screening

128 Modular planting trays, with 60 cells each, were used to seed all weedy rice populations. Each 129 population was sown in 12 cells randomly distributed in 4 trays (3 cells/tray) by planting 5 seeds 130 per cell, such that each tray hosted one replicate of all populations. Each tray was filled with sandy 131 loam soil and placed in the glasshouse in benches containing a 2-3 cm water layer to maintain the 132 soil at field capacity. Plants were kept in the glasshouse at an average air temperature of 24°C and 133 relative humidity of about 40%. Natural light was supplemented by metal halide lamps adjusted to produce 16 h day length delivering about 55 μ mol s⁻¹ m⁻². When plants reached growth stage BBCH 134 135 12-13, seedlings were thinned to 3 plants per cell. One day later, 6 of the 12 alveolar trays were sprayed with 70 g a.i. ha⁻¹ of imazamox (Beyond, 40 g a.i. L⁻¹, SL, BASF Italia S.p.A.). The 136 manufacturer's label recommended two treatments (2-3 weeks apart) at 35 g a.i. ha^{-1} of imazamox. 137 138 We used a rate two times that recommended by the label in a single treatment as suggested by Bond 139 and Walker (2011) for better differentiation among rice varieties. The herbicide was applied using a 140 cabinet track spraver equipped with a single flat fan nozzle (Teejeet DG8002-VS), calibrated to deliver 260 L ha⁻¹ of spray solution in a single pass with a pressure of 200 kPa. The remaining six 141

trays represented the untreated control and were sprayed with water only. After treatment, water was regularly supplied to maintain the soil at field capacity until 29 days after treatment (DAT). At 30 DAT, the weedy rice plant aboveground fresh-weights were measured.

- 145 Biomass reduction in treated plants compared with untreated ones was calculated for each 146 weedy rice population. The study consisted of two identical experiments (Exp. 1 and Exp. 2) 147 conducted two months apart. The populations were grouped into three classes according to their relative biomass reduction compared with untreated plants: 1) <70%, 2) from 70 to 90% and 3) 148 >90% (Table 1). The three groups corresponded to relatively resistant, intermediate, and susceptible 149 150 populations, respectively. Two populations from class 1 (<70% biomass reduction), one from the 151 high end of class 2 (with 90% biomass reduction) and one from class 3 (>90%) were randomly 152 selected for the seedling dose-response study.
- 153

154 Seedling dose-response study

155 The seedling dose-response study was carried out on four Italian weedy rice populations and four 156 rice varieties (Sirio CL, CL 26, Luna CL and Selenio). The varieties Sirio CL, CL26 and Luna CL 157 were included as representative IMI-tolerant varieties; the Selenio variety was included as it is a 158 well-known IMI-susceptible comparison. Seeds of both weedy rice and rice were germinated on 159 filter paper saturated with distilled water and incubated in the light at 25°C for 6 days. Afterwards, 160 the primary roots of five seedlings per population/variety were cut (Roso et al., 2010b) and the 161 seedlings were transferred into the glasshouse and placed in plastic pots (50 mL) containing about 162 15 mL of expanded vermiculite and 25 mL of solution with different imazamox concentrations.

163 Seven concentrations in a log-base were chosen for this study: 0, 0.01 mM, 0.1 mM, 164 1.0 mM, 10 mM, 100 mM, and 1 M. Concentration 0 was included as an untreated control. The 165 concentrations selected were based on those adopted by Roso et al. (2010b) in a similar study. 166 Preliminary assays indicated that concentrations higher than 1 M resulted in complete growth 167 inhibition, even in the IMI-tolerant variety (data not shown). Six days after placing the seedlings in 168 the pots, the length of the longest newly formed root was measured. A completely randomised 169 design with three replicates was adopted, with a pot containing five seedlings being the 170 experimental unit. The study consisted of two identical experiments (Exp. 1 and Exp. 2) carried out 171 with an interval of 1 month.

172

173 *Statistical analysis*

174 One-way ANOVA performed on shoot biomass data in the whole-plant response screening 175 indicated that there were no differences attributable to the experiment. For this reason, the results of 176 the two experiments were reported as averaged values.

In the seedling dose-response study, root length of each population/variety was expressed as
a percentage of the untreated control (seedlings of the same population/variety kept at concentration
0), and the resulting data were fitted to a 3-parameter log-logistic regression model (Seefeldt *et al.*,
1995; Knezevic *et al.*, 2007):

- 181
- 182

$$y = \frac{d}{1 + \exp[b(\log x - \log EC_{50})]}$$
(1)

183

where y is the root length as a percentage of the untreated control at the herbicide concentration x (imazamox concentration, millimolar), d is the upper limit and b denotes the steepness of the curve around its point of inflexion, EC_{50} .

187 Model fitting was performed using the function drm of the add-on package drc (Ritz et al., 2006) of the open source programme and environment R (R Development Core Team, 2012). Data 188 189 from Exp. 1 and Exp. 2 were first analysed separately and then pooled to fit into a single model. An 190 F test was performed using the *anova* function of R to check if the data were better described by a 191 single model fitting the pooled data of the two experiments, instead of two models fitting separately 192 Exp. 1 and Exp. 2. Two separate curves explained Exp. 1 and Exp. 2 data in the majority of weedy 193 rice populations and rice varieties significantly better than did a single curve. The function SI of the 194 package drc was used to test for differences between EC_{50} calculated from Exp. 1 and Exp. 2. The 195 values of EC_{50} were used to compare weedy rice populations and rice varieties by calculating a 196 Resistance Index (RI):

197

$$RI = \frac{EC_{50(A)}}{EC_{50(B)}}$$
(2)

- 198 where A and B refer to the two varieties/populations under comparison.
- 199

200 Results

201 Whole-plant response screening

The 149 Italian weedy rice populations treated with imazamox at 70 g a.i. ha^{-1} were aggregated into three classes of biomass reduction (<70%, 70-90% and >90%). Even though the majority of the collected weedy rice populations were awned, these were distributed across all classes of biomass reduction (Table 1). 206 207

208

Table 1 near here

209 The majority of tested weedy rice populations responded with a 70 to 90% reduction in biomass to imazamox application at 70 g a.i. ha⁻¹ (Table 1). As a consequence of treatment, seedling 210 211 shoots yellowed and showed signs of stunting and desiccation. Symptoms were first observed about 212 one week after herbicide treatment in all populations; however, only the awned populations showed biomass reductions of less than 70% or more than 90%. The mucronate and awnless populations 213 214 were distributed principally among only a single class of biomass reduction (70%-90%). Among the 215 populations exhibiting biomass reduction of at least 90%, populations 116 (mucronate) and 53 216 (awnless) were randomly selected to represent highly sensitive populations in the seedling dose-217 response study. The same selection was done for populations with a biomass reduction of less than 218 70%; in this case, populations 37 (awned) and 109 (awned) were selected.

219

220 Seedling dose-response study

The dose-response curves for imazamox concentrations on weedy rice populations and rice varieties are shown in Fig. 1. The 3-parameter log-logistic regression model provided an adequate fit to the data. The summary of estimated parameter values of the model is included in Table 2.

- 224
- 225 226

Figs 1 near here Table 2 near here

227

In this study, root growth of four weedy rice populations in response to increased imazamox 228 229 concentration resulted in highly variable EC_{50} values, which ranged from 0.0018 mM to 0.12 mM 230 (Table 2). In all weedy rice populations, seedling root regrowth after cutting demonstrated 231 inhibition at the lowest imazamox concentration (0.001 mM) (Fig. 1). At higher concentrations, 232 weedy rice showed a much steeper root length reduction compared to that of the IMI-tolerant rice 233 varieties, which started to decrease their root length only at concentrations above1 mM (Fig. 1). At 234 the highest concentration (1 M), the seedlings of all weedy rice populations and rice varieties, 235 including the IMI-tolerant ones, did not produce any new roots after cutting. As a consequence of 236 the imazamox treatment, seedling shoots also displayed yellowing and desiccation signs. Shoot 237 length reduction that occurred after treatment was considerably less (visual assessment) than that of 238 the roots (data not shown).

239 Root lengths of weedy rice populations 37, 109, and 116, and rice varieties Sirio CL, CL 26 240 and Luna CL, of Exp. 1 and Exp. 2, were significantly better explained by two separate curves than 241 one single curve fitting the pooled data (Table 2). Significant differences between EC_{50} values 242 estimated in Exp. 1 and Exp. 2 were found only for weedy rice populations 37 and 116 (Table 2). 243 The least susceptible population was mucronate 116, which had EC_{50} values of 0.0546 mM (Exp. 1) and 0.12 mM (Exp. 2). The most sensitive was population 53, with EC_{50} of 0.0018 mM (Exp. 1) 244 and 0.0028 mM (Exp. 2). A comparison of EC_{50} values indicated that population 116 was 30.3 to 245 246 42.9 times more tolerant to imazamox than was the most sensitive population 53 (Table 2).

The EC_{50} values calculated for IMI-tolerant rice varieties were at least 3.82 mM (variety CL26, Exp. 2), which is orders of magnitude higher than those of weedy rice. The EC_{50} value of IMI-tolerant rice variety Sirio CL was > 21.07 mM, or at least 175 times more resistant than leastsusceptible weedy rice population 116. Sirio CL compared with the most susceptible weedy rice population (53) proved to be about 7500-fold more resistant in Exp. 1 and about 14000 times more in Exp. 2. The IMI-tolerant rice variety CL26 was 31 and 1362 times more tolerant to imazamox than weedy rice populations 116 and 53, respectively (Table 3).

- 254
- 255

Table 3 near here

256

The EC_{50} value of Luna CL was > 7.44 mM; this variety showed itself to be > 99 and > 4000 times more tolerant to imazamox than weedy rice populations 116 and 53, respectively. Variety Sirio CL yielded RI values from 1.8 to 5.5 higher than those obtained in varieties Luna CL and CL26 (Table 3). According to RI values, rice variety Luna CL was at least 1.2 times more tolerant to imazamox than variety CL26.

262

263 Discussion

264 This work demonstrated the distinct susceptibility to imazamox, an ALS-inhibiting herbicide 265 registered in Europe to control weedy rice in IMI-tolerant rice varieties, in the Italian weedy rice 266 populations and rice varieties examined. Furthermore, the whole-plant response study supplied 267 evidence that awned weedy rice populations differ from mucronated and awnless populations in the 268 amount of biomass reduction caused by imazamox application. This work was, however, unable to 269 confirm a clear association between awn presence and herbicide sensitivity, because awned populations were represented principally among the populations tested. In fact, only awned 270 271 populations were not within the 70-90% biomass reduction interval, which included all mucronated 272 and awnless populations (Table 1).

273 It should be noted that the awned group included 84 out of 149 populations. The low count 274 of awnless or mucronate populations (40 and 25, respectively) may, indeed, be associated with the 275 narrow response range found in these groups. High variability has been found in previous studies 276 for a number of biological traits in awned populations compared with awnless and mucronate ones. 277 Morphologic and genetic characterisation studies conducted on the same Italian weedy rice 278 populations included in this study have indicated wider differentiation in plant morphology, 279 dormancy and growth behaviour within awned, than mucronated and awnless populations (Fogliatto 280 et al., 2011; Fogliatto et al., 2012). Similarly, different glyphosate tolerance has been documented 281 among blackhull, brownhull and strawhull weedy rice populations from Arkansas. Specifically, 282 blackhull and brownhull biotypes, which are typically awned, exhibit higher response variability 283 (Burgos et al., 2011). This result might relate to the fact that in the USA blackhull awned biotypes 284 have higher genetic variability than do strawhull awnless types (Gealy, 2013).

285 The seedling dose-response study made it possible to classify tolerance to imazamox for the 286 rice varieties tested, which all carried the same gene mutation (serine-asparagine at the 653 amino 287 acid position) (Table 2). Tolerance to imazamox, in terms of resistance index, among these 288 varieties, varied between 1.2 and 4.2 (Table 3). A study conducted on the germplasm of two IMI-289 tolerant rice lines in the USA has established that the PWC-16 line (derived from mutagenesis with 290 EMS of USA rice variety Cypress) is 4.9-fold more tolerant than the 93AS3510 line (Wenefrida et 291 al., 2007). A change to the size of the amino acid side-chain may be the cause of insufficient 292 herbicide binding, which may affect resistance level (Lee et al., 1999). Moreover, the location 293 differences of the gene mutation responsible for tolerance to ALS-inhibiting herbicides in IMI-294 tolerant varieties may be the cause for distinct levels of resistance (Roso *et al.*, 2010a).

295 Foreknowledge of variety tolerance is important. In Brazil, for example, the differential 296 susceptibility level between IMI-tolerant rice varieties caused problems in early rice cultivar 297 technology, which showed greater initial susceptibility to imazethapyr+imazapic herbicides (Avila 298 et al., 2010). In addition, inbred Clearfield® rice varieties in the USA show delayed maturity when 299 imazamox was applied at incorrect doses or times (Bond & Walker, 2011). It has been suggested 300 that this differential susceptibility results from a combination of the parent lines used to develop the 301 new variety and the type of amino acid substitution. For example, serine-asparagine substitution in 302 the AHAS gene in rice line PWC-16 has determined more herbicide-tolerant rice than has glycine 303 for glutamic acid substitution in this same gene in rice line 93AS3510 (Wenefrida et al., 2007). In 304 fact, this line was the progenitor of the first IMI-tolerant rice variety in Brazil, and the glycine for 305 glutamic acid substitution in the line was also found in weedy rice populations that escaped 306 herbicide control with imazethapyr + imazapic in Brazilian rice fields (Roso et al., 2010a).

In our study, the IMI-susceptible variety Selenio behaved similarly to weedy rice populations and it was at least 64 times more susceptible to imazamox than IMI-tolerant varieties. This difference in tolerance level between resistant and susceptible varieties was also found in another study to a different degree. In fact, Sirio CL (IMI-resistant variety from 93AS3510 line) was found to be 91.1 times more resistant to imazamox than was a non-IMI-tolerant rice variety (Kaloumenos *et al.*, 2013), as opposed to the RI between IRGA 422 CL (IMI-tolerant rice variety from 93AS3510) and IRGA 417 (non-IMI-tolerant variety) which was >31 (Roso *et al.*, 2010b).

314 In all the IMI-tolerant varieties, we found a slight increase in root length at sub-inhibitory 315 imazamox concentrations, which might indicate a hormesis effect (Brain & Cousens, 1989). This 316 phenomenon could be verified with the Brain-Cousens's model (Brain & Cousens, 1989). However, 317 this work utilised fewer than four or five imazamox concentrations less than $25\% EC_{50}$, which is the minimum number of herbicide doses (Cedergreen et al., 2005) to describe well the shape of the 318 319 hormesis curve. Additionally, the EC_{50} value for IMI-susceptible rice variety Selenio was > 0.042320 mM and the calculated resistance index revealed this variety to be >353, >64, and 175 times more 321 sensitive to imazamox compared with the IMI-tolerant rice Sirio CL, CL26, and Luna CL, 322 respectively (Table 3).

323 For the weedy rice populations included in this study, the imazamox concentration necessary 324 to inhibit root growth by 50% (between 0.0018 mM and 0.12 mM) varied by about two orders of 325 magnitude. Even though the seedling dose-response study was carried out on only four of 149 326 weedy rice populations, the other populations are expected to behave within a similar response 327 range. The populations tested in the seedling dose-response study were, in fact, selected from 328 among the least and the most susceptible to a whole-plant application of imazamox. Sensitivity 329 variability to imidazolinone herbicides among different weedy rice population has also been found 330 in both Greece (Vasilakoglou & Dhima, 2005) and the USA (Kuk et al., 2008).

331 In spite of this large variability, the IMI-tolerant varieties considered in the study showed 332 EC_{50} values at least 31.8 times higher than that of the tested weedy rice populations (Table 3). This result corroborates the hypothesis that Italian weedy rice populations did not present tolerance to 333 334 imazamox prior to the introduction of IMI-tolerant varieties. The fact that imazamox was highly 335 effective on the entire set of weedy rice populations included in the whole-plant response study is 336 insufficient to exclude an *a priori* presence of tolerant populations. Yet, a recent study has 337 suggested that IMI-tolerant weedy rice populations in Italy are likely the result of hybridisation 338 events that occurred in the first years of IMI-tolerant variety use (Busconi et al., 2012).

The dose-response methodology based on the seedling root growth evaluation adopted in this study proved able to discriminate imazamox susceptibility in weedy rice populations and rice 341 varieties. In this method, the "dose" cannot easily translate to application rates at the field scale, as 342 they actually represent herbicide solution concentrations in which the seedlings grow. Nevertheless, 343 it should be considered a valuable tool for comparing populations/varieties in relative terms, as long 344 as the study includes, as reference plant material, known sensitivity to certain herbicides. Both 345 susceptible and tolerant/resistant plant material references should be included. For example, in the 346 case of weedy rice sensitivity to imazamox, reference plant material can be represented by IMI-347 tolerant and IMI-susceptible varieties.

In comparison to the ordinary whole-plant dose response study, the seedling dose-response 348 349 test adopted in this study has some practical advantages. One is the time required to carry out a test, 350 which is usually shorter. In our case, twelve days was required versus at least twice that for a 351 whole-plant bioassay. A second advantage is the smaller space required, both during germination 352 and herbicide solution exposure. Third, there is no need for expensive cabinet sprayers or other 353 equipment for precise spray application of the herbicide. Conversely, the cutting of primary roots is 354 time-consuming, even though it generally equates to the amount of time required for plant thinning 355 before herbicide application, which is an operation almost always required in whole-plant dose 356 response studies.

357

358 **References**

- 359
- AGOSTINETTO D, FLECK, NG, RIZZARDI, MA, MEROTTO JR A, VIDAL, RA (2001) Red rice:
 ecophysiology and strategies of control. *Ciência Rural* 31, 341-349.
- ANONYMOUS (2012) Clearfield[®] rice: stewardship guide. 2. BASF Available at: www.ricetec.com.
 (last accessed 25th August 2013).
- AVILA LA, TELÓ GM, FERREIRA RB *et al.* (2010) Retorno da produção de arroz irrigado com
 cultivares convencionais após o uso do sistema Clearfield[®]. *Planta Daninha* 28, 123-129.
- 366 BIROLI G (1807) *Del riso*. Tipografia Giovanni Silvestri, Milano, Italy.
- BOND JA & WALKER TW (2011) Differential tolerance of Clearfield rice cultivars to imazamox.
 Weed Technology 25, 192-197.
- BRAIN P & COUSENS R (1989) An equation to describe dose responses where there is stimulation of
 growth at low doses. *Weed Research* 29, 93-96.
- 371 BURGOS NR, SHIVRAIN VK, SCOTT RC et al. (2011) Differential tolerance of weedy red rice (Oryza
- 372 *sativa* L.) from Arkansas, USA to glyphosate. *Crop Protection* **30**, 986-994.

- 373 BUSCONI M, ROSSI D, LORENZONI C, BALDI G & FOGHER C (2012) Spread of herbicide-resistant
- weedy rice (red rice, *Oryza sativa* L.) after 5 years of Clearfield rice cultivation in Italy. *Plant Biology* 14, 751-759.
- 376 CEDERGREEN N, RITZ C & STREIBIG JC (2005) Improved empirical models describing hormesis.
 377 *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 24, 3166-3172.
- 378 CRAIGMILES JP (1978) Red Rice: research and control. In: *Introduction*. (ed Texas Agricultural
 379 Experiment Station), 1270 edn, 5-6. Texas Agricultural Experiment Station, Beaumont, USA.
- CROUGHAN TP (1994) Application of tissue culture techniques to the development of herbicide resistant rice. *Louisiana agriculture* 37, 25-26.
- 382 DUNAND RT, DILLY JR, RR, MECHE, GA (1993) Maleic hydrazide and red rice control in rice.
 383 Lousiana agriculture 36, 17.
- 384 ENTE NAZIONALE RISI (2013) Ente Nazionale Risi. Available at: www.enterisi.it (last accessed 28th
 385 August 2013).
- 386 FERRERO A & VIDOTTO F (1998) Germinability after flowering, shattering ability and longevity of
- red rice seeds. In: *Proceedings of the 6th Mediterranean Symposium EWRS* (Ed. JM) (13-15 May
 1998, Montpellier, France). 205-211.[1] Wageningen, The Netherlands.
- FLECK NG, AGOSTINETTO D, GALON L & SCHAEDLER CE (2008) Competitividade relativa entre
 cultivares de arroz irrigado e biótipo de arroz-vermelho. *Planta Daninha* 26, 101-111.
- FOGLIATTO S, VIDOTTO F & FERRERO A (2011) Germination of weedy rice in response to field
 conditions during winter. *Weed Technology* 25, 252-261.
- FOGLIATTO S, VIDOTTO F & FERRERO A (2012) Morphological characterisation of Italian weedy
 rice (*Oryza sativa*) populations. *Weed Research* 52, 60-69.
- GEALY DR, AGRAMA HA & EIZENGA GC (2009) Exploring genetic and spatial structure of U.S.
 weedy red rice (*Oryza sativa*) in relation to rice relatives worldwide. *Weed Science* 57, 627-643.
- 397GEALY DR (2013) Diagnostic of the red rice problem in the U.S.A. Proceeding of the Weed Science398SocietyofAmericaMeeting.Availableat:
- 399 <u>http://www.ncaur.usda.gov/research/publications.htm?SEQ_NO_115=299162</u>. (last accessed 22
 400 July 2013).
- 401 GOULART ICGR, MATZENBACHER FO & MEROTTO A (2012) Differential germination pattern of rice
- 402 cultivars resistant to imidazolinone herbicides carrying different acetolactate synthase gene
 403 mutations. *Weed Research* 52, 224-232.
- 404 HEAP IM (2012) The International Survey of Herbicide Resistant Weeds. Available at:
 405 http://www.weedscience.org (last accessed 22 July 2013).

- 406 KALOUMENOS NS, CAPOTE N, AGUADO A & ELEFTHEROHORINOS IG (2013) Red rice (Oryza sativa)
- 407 cross-resistance to imidazolinone herbicides used in resistant rice cultivars grown in northern
 408 Greece. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology* 105, 177-183.
- 409 KALSING A (2012) Plantas daninhas resistentes a herbicidas. *Lavoura Arrozeira* **61**, 40-41.
- KNEZEVIC SZ, STREIBIG JC & RITZ C (2007) Utilizing R software package for dose-response
 studies: the concept and data analysis. *Weed Technology* 21, 840-848.
- KUK YI, BURGOS NR & SHIVRAIN VK (2008) Natural tolerance to imazethapyr in red rice (*Oryza* sativa). Weed Science 56, 1-11.
- 414 LEE Y-T, CHANG AK & DUGGLEBY RG (1999) Effect of mutagenesis at serine 653 of Arabidopsis
- *thaliana* acetohydroxyacid synthase on the sensitivity to imidazolinone and sulfonylurea
 herbicides. *FEBS Letters* 452, 341-345.
- R DEVELOPMENT CORE TEAM (2012) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. . R
 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- RITZ C, CEDERGREEN N, JENSEN JE & STREIBIG JC (2006) Relative potency in nonsimilar doseresponse curves. *Weed Science* 54, 407-412.
- ROSO AC, MEROTTO J, A., DELATORRE CA & MENEZES VG (2010a) Regional scale distribution of
 imidazolinone herbicide-resistant alleles in red rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) determined through SNP
 markers. *Field Crops Research* 119, 175-182.
- 424 ROSO AC, MEROTTO JR. A & DELATORRE CA (2010b) Bioensaios para diagnóstico da resistência
 425 aos herbicidas imidazolinonas em arroz. *Planta Daninha* 28, 411-419.
- SALES MA, SHIVRAIN VK, BURGOS NR & KUK YI (2008) Amino acid substitutions in the
 acetolactate synthase gene of red rice (*Oryza sativa*) confer resistance to imazethapyr. *Weed Science* 56, 485-489.
- 429 SCARABEL L, CENGHIALTA C, MANUELLO D & SATTIN M (2012) Monitoring and management of
- 430 imidazolinone-resistant red rice (*Oryza sativa* L., var. *sylvatica*) in Clearfield® Italian paddy
 431 rice. *Agronomy* 2, 371-383.
- 432 SEEFELDT SS, JENSEN JE & FUERST EP (1995) Log-logistic analysis of herbicide dose-response
 433 relationships. *Weed Technology* 9, 218-227.
- SUDIANTO E, BENG-KAH S, TING-XIANG N, SALDAIN NE, SCOTT RC & BURGOS NR (2013)
 Clearfield® rice: its development, success, and key challenges on a global perspective. *Crop Protection* 49, 40-51.
- 437 TAN S, EVANS RR, DAHMER ML, SINGH BK & SHANER DL (2005) Imidazolinone-tolerant crops:
- history, current status and future. *Pest Management Science* **61**, 246-257.

TIND T, MATHIESEN TJ, JENSEN JE, RITZ C & STREIBIG JC (2009) Using a selectivity index to
evaluate logarithmic spraying in grass seed crops. *Pest Management Science* 65, 1257-1262.

- 441USDA(2013)ForeignAgricultureService.Availableat:442http://www.fas.usda.gov/psdonline/psdreport.aspx?hidReportRetrievalName=BVS&hidReportR442100100100100100
- 443 etrievalID=893&hidReportRetrievalTemplateID=1 (last accessed 28 August 2013).
- VASILAKOGLOU I & DHIMA K (2005) Red rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) and barnyardgrass (*Echinochloa*spp.) biotype susceptibility to postemergence-applied imazamox. *Weed Biology and Management* 5, 46-52.
- WENEFRIDA I, UTOMO HS, MECHE MM & NASH JL (2007) Inheritance of herbicide resistance in
 two germplasm lines of Clearfield® rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*87, 659-669.
- 450

451 Figure legends

- 452
- 453 Fig. 1 Effect of imazamox concentration (molar) on root length expressed as a percentage of untreated
- 454 control in weedy rice populations (37, 53, 109 and 116) and rice varieties (CL26, Luna, Sirio CL and
- 455 Selenio). Symbols refer to Exp. 1 (\blacksquare) and Exp. 2 (\blacktriangle).

- **Table 1** Frequency of weedy rice populations in various classes of relative biomass reduction,
- 458 separated by awnedness
- 460 ¹Biomass reduction in plants treated with imazamox (70 g a.i. ha⁻¹) compared with untreated ones.

% Biomass reduced	Frequency of weedy rice populations				
by class ¹	Awned	Mucronated	Awnless	Total	
<70	6	-	-	6	
70-90	75	25	40	140	
>90	3	-	-	3	
Total populations	84	25	40	149	

Table 2 Estimates of model parameter values (*b*, *d*, EC_{50}), and their standard error, fitting root length reduction percentage data obtained in seedling dose-response study. *P*-overall values are the probabilities of that the two experiments can be described by a single model fitting all data from Exp. 1 and Exp. 2. *P*-*EC*₅₀values are the probabilities that *EC*₅₀ calculated from Exp. 1 and Exp. 2 are estimates of the same value

469

Weedy rice	Parameters ¹ and standard error (SE)						P-overall	<i>P</i> - <i>E</i> C ₅₀		
population/ rice variety	Exp.	b	SE	d	SE	EC_{50}^{2}	SE			
	1	1.373	0.2571	100.52	4.8166	0.0344	0.0000072	0.0122	0.0100	-0.000
37	2	0.9506	0.194	102.02	4.5186	0.0718	0.0000152	0.0133	<0.000	
50	1	0.3239	0.0459	100.22	3.1267	0.0018	0.0000007	0.025(0.05(7	
53	2	0.3417	0.0519	100.18	3.1346	0.0028	0.0000012	0.9256	0.3567	
109	1	0.5304	0.0745	100.61	4.1516	0.0485	0.0000139	0.0174	0.0781	
109	2	0.9481	0.1645	78	3.9947	0.0790	0.0000152	0.0174	0.0781	
116	1	0.5555	0.1029	100.37	5.4055	0.0546	0.0000198	0.0064	< 0.000	
110	2	1.2486	0.3703	100.52	4.5943	0.1200	0.0000300	0.0064	<0.000	<0.000
Selenio	1	0.6691	0.1127	101.59	3.7747	0.0424	0.0000089	0.6662	0.1719	
Selenio	2	0.6777	0.0807	98.85	3.931	0.0596	0.0000120	0.0002	0.1/19	
Sirio CL	1	1.0197	0.2215	95.14	3.3582	25.159	0.00668	0.0238 0	0.6320	
SIIIO CL	2	1.3510	0.3185	107.32	3.2375	21.077	0.00438	0.0238	0.0320	
CL26	1	1.2791	0.2489	105.06	2.5933	6.0613	0.00095	0.0312 0.154	0 1544	
CL20	2	0.8011	0.1156	104.83	2.9072	3.8146	0.00075		0.1344	
Luna CL	1 2	0.8513 0.5937	0.1129 0.0652			7.4476 11.90	0.00129 0.00284	0.0270	0.050	

¹ Data were fitted to nonlinear regression three-parameter logistic model (Equation 1);² Herbicide concentration (mM) causing 50% root length reduction.

473	Table 3 Resistance index values,	calculated as EC_{50} ratio between IMI-tolerant varieties over	
-----	----------------------------------	---	--

474 weedy rice populations or rice varieties

Weedy rice	Г	R	LI)	
population/ rice variety	Exp. –	Sirio CL	CL26	Luna CL
25	1	731.4	176.2	216.5
37	2	293.6	53.1	128.7
50	1	13,977.2	3,367.4	4,137.6
53	2	7,527.5	1,362.4	4,250.0
109	1	518.7	125.0	153.6
109	2	266.8	48.3	150.6
116	1	460.8	111.0	136.4
110	2	175.6	31.8	99.2
Selenio	1	593.4	143.0	175.7
Selellio	2	353.6	64.0	200.0
Sirio CL	1	-	0.2	0.3
SIIIO CL	2	-	0.2	0.6
CL26	1	4.2	-	1.2
CL20	2	5.5	-	3.1
I CI	1	3.4	0.8	-
Luna CL	2	1.8	0.3	-