

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

## Antagonistic yeasts and thermotherapy as seed treatments to control *Fusarium fujikuroi* on rice

### **This is the author's manuscript**

*Original Citation:*

*Availability:*

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/144013> since 2017-05-12T13:26:35Z

*Terms of use:*

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)



## UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

This Accepted Author Manuscript (AAM) is copyrighted and published by Elsevier. It is posted here by agreement between Elsevier and the University of Turin. Changes resulting from the publishing process - such as editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms - may not be reflected in this version of the text. The definitive version of the text was subsequently published in [Matic S., Spadaro D., Garibaldi A., Gullino M.L. (2014) Antagonistic yeasts and thermotherapy as seed treatments to control *Fusarium fujikuroi* on rice. *Biological Control* 73, 59-67. DOI: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2014.03.008].

You may download, copy and otherwise use the AAM for non-commercial purposes provided that your license is limited by the following restrictions:

(1) You may use this AAM for non-commercial purposes only under the terms of the CC-BY-NC-ND license.

(2) The integrity of the work and identification of the author, copyright owner, and publisher must be preserved in any copy.

(3) You must attribute this AAM in the following format: Creative Commons BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/deed.en>), [10.1016/j.biocontrol.2014.03.008]

22 **Antagonistic yeasts and thermotherapy as seed treatments to control *Fusarium***  
23 ***fujikuroi* on rice**

24  
25 Slavica Matic<sup>a,b</sup>, Davide Spadaro<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Angelo Garibaldi<sup>a</sup>, Maria Lodovica Gullino<sup>a,b</sup>

26  
27  
28 <sup>a</sup>*Agroinnova, Centre of Competence for the Innovation in the Agro-Environmental Sector,*  
29 *University of Torino, Via Leonardo da Vinci 44, 10095 Grugliasco (To), Italy*

30 <sup>b</sup>*DISAFA, Dept. Agricultural, Forestry and Food Sciences, University of Torino, Via Leonardo da*  
31 *Vinci 44, 10095 Grugliasco (To), Italy*

32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52 \* Corresponding author. Fax: +39 011 6709307.

53 *E-mail address: davide.spadaro@unito.it (D. Spadaro).*

54

55 ABSTRACT

56

57 Bakanae disease, caused by *Fusarium fujikuroi*, is the most important seedborne disease of rice.  
58 Biological control and physical treatments can be effective tools to control seedborne diseases.  
59 Sixty-two isolates of yeasts and yeast-like fungi were obtained from different rice seeds. Four yeast  
60 isolates were selected in dual culture assays for mycelial growth inhibition, and in seed tests for  
61 reduction of infection rate. The isolates R23 and R26 were identified as *Metschnikowia*  
62 *pulcherrima*, the isolate R9 as *Pichia guilliermondii*, and the isolate SB1 as *Sporidiobolus*  
63 *pararoseus*. Rice seeds treated with *P. guilliermondii* R9, *M. pulcherrima* R23 and R26  
64 significantly reduced the infection rate of *F. fujikuroi*, compared to some commercial biofungicides.  
65 The four selected yeasts reduced the bakanae disease severity in rice plants grown in greenhouse  
66 trials. Antagonist seed dressing resulted in reduction of the disease index from 93.0% in the  
67 untreated control to 20.0% in *P. guilliermondii* R9 treated seeds, and to 28.5% in *M. pulcherrima*  
68 R23 treated seeds. Selected antagonists were also used in combination with thermotherapy, which  
69 contributed to increase their efficacy. Thus, *P. guilliermondii* R9 and *M. pulcherrima* R23  
70 combined with thermotherapy at 60 °C for 10 min decreased the bakanae disease index below 5%,  
71 and improved the seed germination rate compared to the single treatments, showing a seed priming  
72 effect. This is the first report about the use of antagonistic yeasts for seed dressing of rice to control  
73 *F. fujikuroi* on rice seeds, and biological treatment may be improved through combination with  
74 thermotherapy.

75

76 *Keywords:* *Fusarium fujikuroi*, *Metschnikowia pulcherrima*, *Oryza sativa*, *Pichia guilliermondii*,  
77 *Sporidiobolus pararoseus*, thermotherapy

78

79

## 80 1. Introduction

81

82 Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is one of the most important crops worldwide. Its consumption has been  
83 steadily increasing and rice is the staple food for about half of the world's population (White, 1994).  
84 Overall, rice covers a surface of  $163.4 \times 10^6$  ha, with a production of  $718.3 \times 10^6$  tonnes  
85 (FAOSTAT, 2011). *Fusarium fujikuroi* Nirenberg [teleomorph *Gibberella fujikuroi* (Sawada) Ito in  
86 Ito & K. Kimura], the causal agent of bakanae disease, is of high economic importance on rice  
87 (Carter et al., 2008). The impact of bakanae disease is significant in Asian countries, and the  
88 importance of *F. fujikuroi* has recently grown also in Europe (Spadaro et al., 2012). Typical  
89 bakanae disease symptoms include yellowing, stunting, stem elongation, and root and crown rot  
90 (Hsieh et al., 1977; Webster and Gunnell, 1992). Crop losses caused by the disease may reach up to  
91 40% in epidemic cases (Ou, 1987). The fungus overwinters in infected seeds or crop residues (Ou,  
92 1985; Dodan et al., 1994; Biswas and Das, 2003). The disease, originating from infected seeds,  
93 could be prevented by using healthy or treated seeds. Chemical seed dressing is the most common  
94 strategy adopted to control rice seedborne pathogens (Thobunluepop, 2008), though it might favour  
95 the occurrence of fungicide-resistant strains and it could be harmful to beneficial organisms  
96 (Munkvold, 2009). Moreover, it is sometimes hard to find chemical compounds which selectively  
97 control the fungal pathogen, without damaging the seed. Fungicide toxicity has been associated  
98 with the production of phytotoxic compounds reducing seed ageing and viability (Han, 2000;  
99 Thobunluepop, 2008). Chlorophyll and N content, such as nodulation, might also be affected in  
100 plants originating from fungicide-treated seeds (Aamil et al., 2004).

101 Biological control, based on the use antagonistic microorganisms, can be an effective and  
102 sustainable strategy to control plant diseases (Adams, 1990). Biocontrol has been used against  
103 different *Fusarium* spp. (Gilardi et al., 2005; Franco et al., 2011; Matarese et al., 2012). Microbial  
104 antagonists use different mechanisms to control plant pathogens, including nutritional competition,  
105 parasitism, secretion of antibiotics, or induction of localized and systemic resistance in host plants  
106 (Spadaro and Gullino, 2005; Chincholkar and Mukerji, 2007). Seed dressing with microorganisms  
107 can protect against seedborne pathogens (Amein et al., 2008; Tinivella et al., 2009; Madiha et al.,  
108 2012). Bacterial antagonists have been used to control fungal seedborne diseases of cereals, such as  
109 *Drechslera teres*, *Microdochium nivale* and *Tilletia caries* (Hökeberg et al., 1997). There are also  
110 few reports of bacterial application for the control of *F. fujikuroi* on rice (Kazempour and Elahinia,  
111 2007; Li et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2010a). However, to the best of our knowledge, there are no  
112 reports on the use of yeasts for rice seed dressing against *F. fujikuroi*, though previous studies

113 demonstrated that yeasts, isolated from different rice organs, can be used to control rice sheath  
114 blight (Shahjahan et al., 2001).

115 Physical methods, such as hot water, dry heat and hot steam, represent another valuable alternative  
116 strategy to control fungal diseases. Physical methods have been applied to control several seedborne  
117 fungal pathogens on cereals (Forsberg et al., 2003; Gilbert et al., 2005), and vegetables (Nega et al.,  
118 2003; Schmitt et al., 2009; Koch et al., 2010). There is also one report about hot water dip (HWD)  
119 and hot-humid air use to control *F. fujikuroi* on rice seeds, where bakanae disease was reduced by  
120 around 90%, both in laboratory tests and field trials (Titone et al., 2004).

121 The aims of this work were the selection and identification of yeasts with potential antagonistic  
122 activity against *F. fujikuroi* on rice, and the evaluation of their biocontrol efficacy both *in vitro* and  
123 *in vivo*. Furthermore, the selected biocontrol agents and thermotherapy were compared, alone or in  
124 combination, for their efficacy against bakanae disease.

125

## 126 **2. Materials and methods**

127

### 128 *2.1. Seeds and microorganisms*

129 Rice seeds cv Galileo naturally infected with *F. fujikuroi* (over 95%, data not shown), previously  
130 tested on blotter paper, were used throughout this study.

131 For dual culture test, the strain CSV1 of *F. fujikuroi*, previously identified through TEF  
132 sequencing (Accession no. KC121067) and selected for its high level of virulence on rice, was used  
133 (Matić et al., 2013).

134 Epiphytic yeasts were isolated from rice seeds. Seeds were placed in flasks containing sterile  
135 Ringer solution and kept on a rotary shaker at 150 rpm for 30 min. Serial dilutions of these  
136 suspensions were transferred into Petri dishes containing potato dextrose agar (PDA, Merck,  
137 Darmstadt, Germany) with 50 mg l<sup>-1</sup> streptomycin sulphate (Merck). After 48 h incubation at room  
138 temperature (25° C), single colonies of yeasts and yeast-like fungi were selected, and 62 isolates  
139 were streaked onto NYDA (8 g nutrient broth, 5 g yeast extract, 10 g glucose, and 20 g agar per l of  
140 distilled water).

141

### 142 *2.2. Screening in dual culture and on seeds in vitro*

143 Inhibition of mycelial growth of *F. fujikuroi* by isolated yeasts was tested according to Zhang et  
144 al. (2010b) with minor modifications. For the dual culture assay (*F. fujikuroi* + yeast), a loop of 2-  
145 day-old yeast cell suspension grown on NYDA (25 °C) was streaked on a 90 mm diameter Petri  
146 Dish with PDA at 30 mm from the border. A 8 mm mycelium disk of *F. fujikuroi*, grown on

147 Komada (1975) at 25 °C for 5 days was put at 26 mm from the opposite border and at 26 mm from  
148 the yeast streak. For single culture assay, a mycelium disk of *F. fujikuroi* was put on PDA plate as a  
149 control. Three replicates were used both for dual and single culture assays. Plates were incubated at  
150 25 °C for 10 days for daily observations of the mycelial growth of *F. fujikuroi*. Inhibition of *F.*  
151 *fujikuroi* growth was measured as percentage inhibition of radial growth (PIRG) following the  
152 formula (Fokkema, 1976):  $R1-R2/R1 \times 100$ , where R1 corresponds to the radial growth of the  
153 fungus towards opposite site, and R2 corresponds to the radial growth of the fungus towards the  
154 antagonist.

155 The biocontrol efficacy of the yeast strains was also tested on seeds naturally infected by *F.*  
156 *fujikuroi* in Petri dishes. Rice seeds were immersed in 1% NaOCl for 5 min, washed under tap  
157 water, and air-dried. Cell suspensions for seed treatment were prepared by growing the selected  
158 yeast strains in YEMS (Spadaro et al., 2010) at 25 °C for 48 h on a rotary shaker (200 rpm). Cells  
159 were collected by centrifugation at 5000 g for 10 min, re-suspended in Ringer solution, quantified  
160 with a Bürker chamber to reach a standard concentration of  $10^8$  cells ml<sup>-1</sup>. The seeds were then  
161 immersed in the antagonist suspension on a rotary shaker at 90 rpm for 15 min, air dried, and placed  
162 in Petri dishes with Komada medium. Twenty-five seeds were placed per Petri dish and three dishes  
163 were prepared per treatment. The dishes were kept at 25 °C for 5 and 10 days to evaluate visually  
164 and under light microscope the infection rate by *F. fujikuroi*. The biocontrol efficacy test on seeds  
165 was carried out twice.

166

### 167 2.3. Molecular and morphological identification

168 Four yeasts were selected for their antagonistic activity in dual culture assays, and they were  
169 identified by sequencing the internal transcribed spacer 1 (ITS1), 5.8S ribosomal RNA gene, and  
170 internal transcribed spacer 2 (ITS2), according to White et al. (1990). Total yeast DNA was  
171 extracted with the NucleoSpin Plant II kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany), according to the  
172 manufacturer's instructions. The ITS regions were amplified by using universal primers: ITS1 (5'-  
173 TCCGTAGGTGAACCTGCGG-3') and ITS4 (5'- TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC-3'). Twenty ng  
174 yeast DNA were used for the PCR reaction with a mixture (40 µl) containing 10X PCR buffer  
175 (Qiagen, Düsseldorf, Germany), 0.5 µM each primer, 0.5 mM dNTPs (Qiagen), and 2 U Taq DNA  
176 polymerase (Qiagen). The PCR program was: 5 min denaturation at 94 °C; 35 cycles at 94 °C for 30  
177 sec, 55 °C for 30 sec, and 72 °C for 1 min; and 7 min final extension at 72 °C. PCR products were  
178 purified with QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen), according to the manufacturer's instructions,  
179 and sequenced in both directions at the BMR Genomics Centre (Padova, Italy). ITS sequences  
180 were blasted and deposited in GenBank ([www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov); Table 1). Morphological

181 identification was performed through microscope observations of the yeast cells and morphological  
182 examination of the colonies.

183

#### 184 2.4. Antagonistic activity on seeds

185 Four selected yeasts were evaluated for their antagonistic efficacy *in vitro* on a larger number of  
186 seeds. Yeast cell suspensions were prepared as indicated above. Seeds were disinfected, treated with  
187 the antagonist cell suspension at  $10^8$  cells ml<sup>-1</sup> for 15 min, air dried and placed in Petri dishes on  
188 filter paper (for seed germination tests) and Komada medium (for biocontrol efficacy tests). The  
189 controls (Table 2) were three commercial biofungicides (Mycostop Mix, Verdera, Espoo, Finland,  
190  $10^8$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> *Streptomyces griseoviridis* strain K61, 8 g kg<sup>-1</sup> seed; Serenade, Intrachem Bio Italia,  
191 Cesena, Italy,  $5.13 \times 10^{10}$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> *Bacillus subtilis* strain QST 713, 10 g kg<sup>-1</sup> seed; and Ekoseed Pro,  
192 Nufarm Ltd, Victoria, Australia, mycorrhizas 30%, rhizobacteria  $9.9 \times 10^8$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup>, *Trichoderma*  
193 spp.  $5 \times 10^7$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup>, 2 g kg<sup>-1</sup> seed), and a chemical fungicide (prochloraz, Octave, Bayer  
194 CropScience GmbH, Wolfenbüttel, Germany, 50% a.i., 0.25 g a.i. kg<sup>-1</sup> seed).

195 The seed germination tests were performed in Petri dishes on 2 layers filter paper. After  
196 moistening the paper with 6 ml sterile water, 25 seeds were placed in dishes. The dishes were  
197 incubated in 12/12 h (day/night) regime at room temperature (25 °C). The percentage of germinated  
198 seeds was counted 5 and 10 days after treatment.

199 To perform the phytopathological analysis, 25 seeds were placed on Komada medium in Petri  
200 dishes at 25 °C. The *F. fujikuroi* infection rate was assessed 5 and 10 days after treatment. Seeds  
201 were inspected visually and by microscope for the presence of mycelium of *F. fujikuroi*. The  
202 germination test and the phytopathological analysis were performed twice. Nine replicates were  
203 used in each experiment.

204

#### 205 2.5. Antagonistic activity on plants

206 Rice seeds were dipped in the cell suspension of each antagonist ( $10^8$  cells ml<sup>-1</sup>), shaken (90 rpm)  
207 for 15 min, and air-dried. Seeds were sown in plastic pots (50 seeds per pot) containing sterile soil  
208 (60% peat and 40% sand). The pots were placed following a randomised block design in a  
209 greenhouse (24-26° C day: 16-18° C night). Germination rate was evaluated and disease symptoms  
210 were scored twice, 14 and 28 days after sowing, using a 0-4 scale: 0 – asymptomatic plants; 1 -  
211 plants with chlorotic leaves and delayed growth; 2 - plants with thin and elongated internodes; 3 -  
212 plants with crown necrosis and severe bakanae symptoms; 4 – dead plants due to bakanae disease  
213 (Amatulli et al., 2010; Fig. 1). Three replicates were used in each trial. The biocontrol efficacy on  
214 rice plants was tested twice.

215

## 216 *2.6. Influence of thermotherapy on seeds and on plants*

217 Thermotherapy by hot water dipping (HWD) was performed by seed immersion in a water bath  
218 heated at 60, 65, 70 and 75 °C for 5, 10, 15 and 20 min. HWD was followed by air-drying for 2 h.  
219 Seed germination tests were performed in Petri dishes with 2 layers of filter paper on 25 seeds per  
220 dish. The percentage of germinated seeds was counted 5 and 10 days after treatment. To test the  
221 infection rate, 25 seeds were placed on Komada medium in Petri dishes for 5 and 10 days. The  
222 germination test and the phytopathological analysis were performed twice. Nine replicates were  
223 used in each experiment.

224 In a second trial, seeds were sown in plastic pots (three replicates) by adopting a randomised block  
225 design and grown in greenhouse under the same conditions described above. Germination rate and  
226 disease symptoms were scored twice, 14 and 28 days after sowing. The efficacy on rice plants was  
227 tested twice.

228

## 229 *2.7. Combination of antagonists and thermotherapy in controlled trials*

230 Rice seeds were treated by a combination of the most efficient thermotherapy methods with each  
231 antagonist as follows. The seeds were placed in a water bath at 60 °C for 5 or 10 min, and air-dried  
232 for 2 h. Then, the seeds were dipped in flasks with the yeast cell suspensions ( $10^8$  cells  $\text{ml}^{-1}$ ) on a  
233 rotary shaker (90 rpm) for 15 min. The seeds, after air drying, were sown in plastic pots by adopting  
234 a randomised block design, and the plants were grown in greenhouse under same conditions as  
235 described above. The treatments included single (antagonists and thermotherapy) and combined  
236 (antagonist + thermotherapy) applications. Prochloraz (Octave) was used as a chemical standard.  
237 Three replicates were used in each trial. Germination rate and disease symptoms were scored twice,  
238 14 and 28 days after sowing. The efficacy on rice plants was tested twice.

239

## 240 *2.8. Statistical analysis*

241 Disease index scores were used to calculate the McKinney index ( $M_i$ ; McKinney, 1923)  
242 expressing the weighted average of the disease severity as actual percentage in terms of maximum  
243 disease severity. The index was calculated by using the following formula:  $M_i = [\sum df/T_n D] \times 100$ ,  
244 where  $d$  is the degree of disease severity,  $f$  its frequency,  $T_n$  the total number of the plants examined  
245 (healthy and diseased), and  $D$  the highest degree of disease intensity occurring on the empirical  
246 scale. Data of germination and disease index were arcsin square root transformed before statistical  
247 analysis. Statistical significance was judged at the level of P-value  $< 0.05$ . When the analysis of

248 variance was statistically significant, Duncan's Multiple Range Test was used to separate the  
249 means. Data were analysed using SPSS software (version 19.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

250 Limpel's formula described by Richer (1987) was used to evaluate synergistic interactions  
251 between antagonist and thermotherapy treatments. The formula used was  $Ee = X + Y - (XY/100)$ ,  
252 where  $Ee$  corresponds to the expected effect from additive responses of two treatments, and X and  
253 Y present the percentages of disease reduction obtained when each agent was applied alone. Thus,  
254 synergism existed if the combination of the two agents gave a value of disease reduction superior  
255 than  $Ee$ .

256

### 257 **3. Results**

258

#### 259 *3.1. Antagonist screening*

260 Sixty-two isolates of yeasts and yeast-like fungi, obtained from rice seeds, were evaluated against  
261 *F. fujikuroi* for mycelium growth inhibition in dual culture assay and for reduction of infection rate  
262 on naturally contaminated seeds (data not shown). Percentage inhibition of *F. fujikuroi* radial  
263 growth was reported for the four isolates selected for their biocontrol potential: R9, R23, R26, and  
264 SB1 (Fig. 2a). The highest PIRG value (around 50%) was obtained at different days of incubation  
265 by isolates R23, R26 and SB1, whereas the maximum PIRG was lower for isolate R9 (29% after 10  
266 days incubation; Fig. 2b). R26 isolate provided a more consistent inhibition of *F. fujikuroi* growth  
267 (between the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 8<sup>th</sup> day of incubation), whereas R23 and SB1 were more active at the  
268 beginning (1<sup>st</sup> or 2<sup>nd</sup> day) and the end of incubation period (from 8<sup>th</sup> to 10<sup>th</sup> day).

269

#### 270 *3.2. Molecular and morphological identification*

271 Morphological (colony) and microscope (cell shape and size) observations of the four selected  
272 antagonists were performed (Table 1). The four selected isolates were also identified by sequencing  
273 the ribosomal regions ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 with universal primers ITS-1 and ITS-4 (Table 1). BLASTN  
274 analysis showed that isolates R23 and R26 had the highest similarity (98% for both isolates) to  
275 *Metschnikowia pulcherrima* (Accession no. AY235809), whereas isolate R9 showed the highest  
276 similarity (99%) to *Pichia guilliermondii* (Accession no. DQ663478). The sequence of isolate SB1  
277 was identical (100%) to *Sporidiobolus pararoseus* (Accession no. JQ425362). The sequencing  
278 results confirmed morphological and microspore observations.

279

#### 280 *3.3. Efficacy of antagonistic yeasts on seeds*

281 The four selected yeasts were evaluated for their antagonistic potential on rice seeds naturally  
282 infected by *F. fujikuroi* incubated *in vitro* on Komada medium (Table 2). Rice seeds treated with *P.*  
283 *guilliermondii* R9, *M. pulcherrima* R23 and R26 significantly reduced the infection rate of *F.*  
284 *fujikuroi* (14.3%, 16.7%, and 18.0%, respectively) compared to the commercial biofungicides used  
285 in this study (ranging from 28.3% to 35.0%) (Table 3). *S. pararoseus* SB1 was less effective in the  
286 control of *F. fujikuroi* and it provided results similar to the biofungicide Serenade (*B. subtilis*).

287 When antagonistic yeasts were applied, rice seeds germination increased compared both to control  
288 seeds and seeds treated with biofungicides. Treatment with R9 caused the highest germination rate  
289 (99.5%) compared to the other treatments tested (Table 3).

290

#### 291 3.4. Efficacy of antagonistic yeasts on plants

292 Selected yeasts were able to significantly reduce the severity of bakanae disease in rice plants also  
293 *in vivo* under greenhouse conditions (Table 4). R9 treatment reduced the McKinney disease index  
294 (D.I.) to 20.4%, and R23 to 28.5% compared to 93.0% in the untreated control. *P. guilliermondii*  
295 R9 and *M. pulcherrima* R23 were statistically more efficient than the commercial biofungicides  
296 Serenade (*B. subtilis*) and Mycostop Mix (*S. griseoviridis*). Although *M. pulcherrima* R26  
297 significantly reduced *F. fujikuroi* D.I. (52.5%), it was less effective compared to R9 and R23 and  
298 the treated plants showed yellowing.

299 Germination of rice seeds increased when the seeds were treated with the four antagonists  
300 compared to the untreated seeds and to the seeds treated with the biofungicides, similarly to the  
301 results of *in vitro* studies. The highest germination rate was obtained with *P. guilliermondii* R9  
302 (99.2%) (Table 4).

303

#### 304 3.5. Influence of thermotherapy on seeds and on plants

305 Thermotherapy applied with HWD was used to control *F. fujikuroi* infection in rice seeds *in vitro*  
306 and *in vivo*. The highest reduction of *F. fujikuroi* infection was obtained with HWD at 60 °C for 5  
307 and 10 min *in vitro*, without affecting the germination rate (above 95 %) (Supplementary Fig. 1).

308 In greenhouse experiments, the most efficient treatments in reducing bakanae and increasing the  
309 germination rate confirmed to be the treatments of rice seeds at 60 °C for 5 and 10 min (Fig. 3).  
310 Heat treatments at 65 °C for different times were also efficient in reducing the D.I., but they were  
311 accompanied by a lower germination rate compared to the treatments at 60 °C. HWD at 70 °C (15  
312 and 20 min) and 75 °C (5, 10, 15 and 20 min) completely inhibited the seed germination.

313

#### 314 3.6. Combination of antagonists and thermotherapy in controlled trials

315 In greenhouse trials, the efficacy of the combination of antagonists and thermotherapy was  
316 evaluated against bakanae disease 14 and 28 days after sowing. Antagonists and thermotherapy  
317 alone were used as single treatments. The best results were obtained when antagonists were applied  
318 together with HWD, in particular *P. guilliermondii* R9 with HWD at 60°C for 10 min and *M.*  
319 *pulcherrima* R23 with the same thermal treatment (Fig. 4). When the combination of antagonists  
320 and thermotherapy was applied, the D.I. was reduced below 5.0% (with the exception of SB1),  
321 followed by thermotherapy alone (D.I.: 15.3-19.7%), and R9 and R23 antagonists alone (D.I.: 21.0  
322 and 27.8%, respectively). The Limpel's formula permitted to find a synergism between the  
323 antagonists and thermotherapy in reducing bakanae disease. Thus, *M. pulcherrima* R26 acted  
324 synergistically with both thermal treatments (60 °C for 5 min and 10 min) in reducing bakanae  
325 disease (Supplemental table 1). *M. pulcherrima* R23 and *S. pararoseus* SB1 showed synergism with  
326 thermotherapy at 60 °C for 5 min. On the opposite, *P. guilliermondii* R9 did not show synergism  
327 with the thermal treatments in reducing bakanae incidence. The germination rate was increased by  
328 the combination of treatments compared to the single treatments and to the untreated control (Table  
329 5).

330

#### 331 4. Discussion

332 Four new yeast biocontrol agents effective against *F. fujikuroi*, the causal agent of bakanae disease,  
333 were isolated from rice, identified and evaluated for their efficacy both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. This  
334 study represents the first report of use of *M. pulcherrima*, *P. guilliermondii* and *S. pararoseus*  
335 against the seedborne pathogen *F. fujikuroi*. Previously, other yeast biocontrol agents, such as  
336 *Pichia anomala*, have been used on cereals with a different objective, i.e. to prevent mold spoilage  
337 and enhance the preservation of moist grain in storage systems (Pettersson and Schnürer, 1995).  
338 *P. guilliermondii*, *M. pulcherrima* and *S. pararoseus* have been commonly isolated from the fruit  
339 carposphere (Droby et al., 1993; Janisiewicz et al., 2010), but there are very limited reports about  
340 their isolation from cereal seeds (Pettersson and Schnürer, 1998). *P. guilliermondii* was reported to  
341 be an effective biocontrol agent against *Aspergillus flavus*, fungi of stored grains (Paster et al.,  
342 1993; Druvefors and Schnürer, 2005), and mould diseases (Guetsky et al., 2002; Lahlali et al.,  
343 2011; Zhang et al., 2011a), with some activity also in the biodegradation of mycotoxins (Patharajan  
344 et al., 2011). Tenacious attachment, along with secretion of cell wall degrading enzymes, such as  $\beta$ -  
345 (1–3)-glucanase, play a role in the biocontrol activity of *P. guilliermondii* (Wisniewski et al., 1991;  
346 Zhang et al., 2011b). On the opposite, the main mode of action of the biocontrol agent *P. anomala*  
347 in the grain system, was the antifungal action of metabolites, such as ethyl acetate and ethanol  
348 (Druvefors et al., 2005).

349 *M. pulcherrima* might be used as an effective biocontrol agent against postharvest diseases of fruit  
350 and some human pathogens as well (Schena et al., 2000; Janisiewicz et al., 2001; Grebenisan et al.,  
351 2006; Spadaro et al., 2008). *Metschnikowia* spp. antagonise the pathogens through competition for  
352 limited nutrients, such as iron (Saravanakumar et al., 2008) or production hydrolases, such as  
353 chitinases, involved in the fungal cell-wall degradation (Saravanakumar et al., 2009).

354 *S. pararoseus* has been reported to efficiently suppress postharvest decay diseases on fruits of  
355 kinnow, nectarine and strawberry (Sharma et al., 2008; Janisiewicz et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2012).  
356 *S. pararoseus* mainly acts against pathogens by competing for scarce nutrients and by production of  
357 volatile organic compounds (VOCs) (Sharma et al., 2008; Huang et al., 2012).

358 The present study demonstrated that *P. guilliermondii*, *M. pulcherrima*, and *S. pararoseus* may  
359 play a role in the suppression of *F. fujikuroi* infection on rice. Both dual culture and seed assays  
360 showed that the selected antagonists inhibited the mycelium growth of *F. fujikuroi*. However,  
361 among the four tested yeast strains, the best results in the control of *F. fujikuroi* were obtained with  
362 *P. guilliermondii* R9. *P. guilliermondii* R9 was more efficient in *in vivo* studies compared to the  
363 dual culture assay, which might be explained by its possible involvement in inducing rice defence  
364 mechanisms that should be further investigated. The other antagonists effective in reducing the  
365 infection rate were *M. pulcherrima* R23 and R26. *M. pulcherrima* R26 was less efficient than R23,  
366 though they belong to the same species. Although *S. pararoseus* SB1 reduced *F. fujikuroi* infection  
367 rate and disease severity, both in *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays, its effectiveness was lower compared to  
368 the other three antagonists.

369 Understanding the mechanism of action is crucial to develop optimal commercial formulations  
370 and application procedures in order to maximize the efficacy of biocontrol agents (Spadaro and  
371 Gullino, 2005). The modes of action through which the selected antagonists suppressed *F. fujikuroi*  
372 will be investigated in future, with particular interest in the hydrolases of *M. pulcherrima* and *P.*  
373 *guilliermondii*, and the VOCs produced by *S. pararoseus*, which previously showed to be involved  
374 in their antagonistic activity against other fungal pathogens.

375 It should be evidenced, that prochloraz, used as a chemical standard in *in vivo* assays, permitted a  
376 slightly higher efficacy compared to the selected antagonists, but the use of the biocontrol agents  
377 could be considered a feasible eco-friendly alternative choice against the seedborne *F. fujikuroi*.

378 *In vitro* studies permitted a rapid screening for biocontrol efficiency of the four selected  
379 antagonists. None of the antagonists negatively affected germination, indicating the lack of  
380 production of secondary phytotoxic metabolites. Moreover, it seems that treatment with antagonists  
381 had a positive effect on seed germination, since the germination percentage was higher compared to

382 the control treatment and to the treatment with commercial biofungicides, indicating a priming  
383 effect of the biocontrol agents.

384 Also HWD treatment was investigated for the control of bakanae disease, and it was compared  
385 and combined with biocontrol agents. The most efficient HWD treatments *in vivo* were at 60 °C for  
386 5 min and 10 min. Both treatments showed an effectiveness similar to R9 in *F. fujikuroi* control,  
387 and they kept a high germination rate.

388 When the thermal treatments were combined with the antagonists *P. guilliermondii* R9 and *M.*  
389 *pulcherrima* R23 in greenhouse conditions, both the control of *F. fujikuroi* and the germination rate  
390 increased. Furthermore, synergistic interactions between antagonist and thermal treatment in  
391 reduction of bakanae disease were found in four out of eight combined treatments, which  
392 additionally confirmed an advantage in using antagonists and thermotherapy together. Similar  
393 results were obtained by the combination of antagonists and thermotherapy in the control of  
394 postharvest diseases of fruit (Karabulut et al., 2002; Spadaro et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2010c),  
395 while there is limited information on combined use of yeast and heat treatments on seeds against  
396 fungal pathogens.

397 In this study, the biocontrol agents and thermotherapy were investigated on the susceptible  
398 cultivar of rice ‘Galileo’. Further investigations to assess the effectiveness of biocontrol agents and  
399 HWD on a wider number of cultivars with various degree of resistance should be performed.  
400 Bakanae disease depends on many factors, including the rice cultivar, the time of sowing and  
401 flowering, and the rice cropping system (Ghazanfar et al., 2013). Some rice cultivars are resistant to  
402 bakanae disease, while other cultivars, such as ‘Dorella’ and ‘Galileo’, are susceptible to *F.*  
403 *fujikuroi* (Matić et al., 2013).

404 In conclusion, to control bakanae disease on rice, the combination of antagonists and  
405 thermotherapy should be considered a feasible and effective strategy to be further investigated and  
406 to be implemented in commercial large-scale use.

407

## 408 **Acknowledgments**

409

410 The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union Seventh  
411 Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement n° 311875 “Seed health:  
412 development of seed treatment methods, evidence for seed transmission and assessment of seed  
413 health” and from the Piedmont Region, under the project “ITACA – Technological Innovation,  
414 Automation and new Analytical Controls to improve the quality and safety of the Piedmontese food

415 products". The authors acknowledge Dr Massimo Biloni and Dr Diego Greppi from SAPISE Soc.  
416 Coop. (Vercelli, Italy) for their help in providing the seeds and performing the experimental trials.

417

## 418 **References**

419

420 Aamil, M., Zaidi, A., Khan, M.S., 2004. Fungicidal impact on chickpea--*Mesorhizobium* symbiosis.  
421 J. Environ. Sci. Health B 39 (5-6), 779–790.

422 Adams, P.B., 1990. The potential of mycoparasites for biological control of plant pathogens. Annu.  
423 Rev. Phytopathol. 28, 59–72.

424 Amatulli, M.T., Spadaro, D., Gullino, M.L., Garibaldi, A., 2010. Molecular identification of  
425 *Fusarium* spp. associated with bakanae disease of rice in Italy and assessment of their  
426 pathogenicity. Plant Pathol. 59, 839–844.

427 Amein, T., Omer, Z., Welch, C., 2008. Application and evaluation of *Pseudomonas* strains for  
428 biocontrol of wheat seedling blight. Crop Prot. 27, 532–536.

429 Biswas, S., Das, S.N., 2003. Survival of *Fusarium moniliforme* in different sources. J. Mycopathol.  
430 Res. 41, 55–58.

431 Carter, L.L.A., Leslie, L.F., Webster, R.K., 2008. Population structure of *Fusarium fujikuroi* from  
432 California rice and Water Grass. Phytopathology 9, 992–998.

433 Chincholkar, S.B., Mukerji, K.G., 2007. Biological Control of Plant Diseases. The Haworth Press  
434 Inc., New York.

435 Dodan, D.S., Ram, S., Sunder, S., 1994. Survival of *Fusarium moniliforme* in infected rice grains  
436 and its chemical control. Indian J. Mycol. Plant Pathol. 24, 135–138.

437 Droby, S., Hofstein, R., Wilson, C.L., Wisniewski, M.E., Fridlender, B., Cohen, L., Weiss, B.,  
438 Daus, A., Timar, D., Chalutz, E., 1993. Pilot testing of *Pichia guilliermondii*: a biocontrol agent  
439 of postharvest diseases of citrus fruit. Biol. Control 3, 47–52.

440 Druvefors, U.A., Passoth, V., Schnürer, J., 2005. Nutrient effects on biocontrol of *Penicillium*  
441 *roqueforti* by *Pichia anomala* J121 during airtight storage of wheat. App. Environ. Microbiol.  
442 71, 1865-1869.

443 Druvefors, U.A., Schnürer, J., 2005. Mold-inhibitory activity of different yeast species during  
444 airtight storage of wheat grain. FEMS Yeast Research 5, 373–378.

445 FAOSTAT, 2011. Agriculture data, agricultural production. <http://faostat.fao.org/site/567/>, last  
446 accessed 16.08.2013.

447 Fokkema, N.J., 1976. Antagonism between fungal saprophytes and pathogens on aerial plant  
448 surfaces, in: Dickinson, C.H., Preece, T.F. (Eds.), *Microbiology of Aerial Plant Surfaces*.  
449 Academic Press, London, pp. 487–505.

450 Forsberg, G., Kristensen, L., Eibel, P., Titone, P., Hartl, W., 2003. Sensitivity of cereal seeds to  
451 short duration treatment with hot, humid air. *J. Plant Dis. Protect.* 110 (1), 1–16.

452 Franco, T.S., Garcia, S., Hirooka, E.Y., Ono, Y.S., dos Santos, J.S., 2011. Lactic acid bacteria in the  
453 inhibition of *Fusarium graminearum* and deoxynivalenol detoxification. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 111  
454 (3), 739–748.

455 Ghazanfar, M.U., Javed, N., Wakil, W., Iqbal, M., 2013. Screening of some fine and coarse rice  
456 varieties against bakanae disease. *J. Agric. Res.* 51 (1), 41–49.

457 Gilardi, G., Tinivella, F., Gullino, M.L., Garibaldi, A., 2005. Seed dressing to control *Fusarium*  
458 *oxysporum* f. sp. *lactucae*. *J. Plant Dis. Protect.* 112 (3), 240–246.

459 Gilbert, J., Woods, S.M., Turkington, T.K., Tekauz, A., 2005. Effect of heat treatment to control  
460 *Fusarium graminearum* in wheat seed. *Can. J. Plant Pathol.* 27, 448–452.

461 Grebenisan, I., Cornea, C.P., Mateescu, R., Olteanu, V., Voaides, C., 2006. Control of postharvest  
462 fruit rot in apricot and peach by *Metschnikowia pulcherrima*. *Buletin USAMV-CN* 62, 74–79.

463 Guetsky, R., Elad, Y., Shtienberg, D., Fischer, E., Dinoor, A., 2002. Combining biocontrol agents  
464 with several different mechanisms to improve biological control. *Phytoparasitica* 30 (3), 8.

465 Han, J.H., 2000. Antimicrobial food packaging. *Food Technology* 54 (3), 56–65.

466 Hökeberg M., Gerhardson B., Johnsson L., 1997. Biological control of cereal seed-borne diseases  
467 by seed bacterization with greenhouse-selected bacteria. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* 103, 25–33.

468 Hsieh, H.W., Smith, S.N., Snyder, W.C., 1977. Mating groups in *Fusarium moniliforme*.  
469 *Phytopathology* 67, 1041–1043.

470 Huang, R., Che, H.J., Zhang, J., Yang, L., Jiang, D.H., Li, G.Q., 2012. Evaluation of *Sporidiobolus*  
471 *pararoseus* strain YCXT3 as biocontrol agent of *Botrytis cinerea* on post-harvest strawberry  
472 fruits. *Biol. Control* 62, 53–63.

473 Janisiewicz, W.J., Tworkoski, T.J., Kurtzman, C.P., 2001. Biocontrol potential of *Metschnikowia*  
474 *pulcherrima* strains against blue mold of apple. *Phytopathology* 91, 1098–1108.

475 Janisiewicz, W.J., Kurtzman, C.P., Buyer, J.S., 2010. Yeasts associated with nectarines and their  
476 potential for biological control of brown rot. *Yeast* 27, 389–398.

477 Karabulut, O.A., Cohen, L., Wiess, B., Daus, A., Lurie, S., Droby, S., 2002. Control of brown rot  
478 and blue mold of peach and nectarine by short hot water brushing and yeast antagonists.  
479 *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 24, 103–111.

- 480 Kazempour, M.N., Elahinia, S.A., 2007. Biological control of *Fusarium fujikuroi*, the causal agent  
481 of bakanae disease by rice associated antagonistic bacteria. *Bulg. J. Agric. Sci.* 13, 393–408.
- 482 Koch, E., Schmitt, A., Stephan, D., Kromphardt, C., Jahn, M., Krauthausen, H.-J., Forsberg, G.,  
483 Werner, S., Amein, T., Wright, S.A. I., Tinivella, F., Gullino, M.L., Roberts, S.J., van der Wolf,  
484 J., Groot, S.P.C., 2010. Evaluation of non-chemical seed treatment methods for the control of  
485 *Alternaria dauci* and *A. radicina* on carrot seeds. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* 127, 99–112.
- 486 Komada, H., 1975. Development of a selective medium for quantitative isolation of *Fusarium*  
487 *oxysporum* from natural soil. *Rev. Plant Prot. Res.* 8, 114–124.
- 488 Lahlali, R., Hamadi, Y., El guilli, M., Haissam Jijakli, M., 2011. Efficacy assessment of *Pichia*  
489 *guilliermondii* strain Z1, a new biocontrol agent, against citrus blue mould in Morocco under the  
490 influence of temperature and relative humidity. *Biol. Control* 56 (3), 217–224.
- 491 Li, B., Xie, G.L., Lu, Y.L., Hao, X.J., Luo, J.Y., Liu, B., Li, W., 2006. Community composition of  
492 gram-positive bacteria associated with rice and their antagonists against the pathogens of sheath  
493 blight and bakanae disease of rice. *Chinese J. Rice Sci.* 20, 84–88.
- 494 Madiha, N., Hata, E.M., Sijam, K., Othman, R., 2012. Diazotrophic bacteria as biological control  
495 agent for *Lasiodiplodia theobromae* isolated from kenaf seeds. *ARPN J. Agric. Biol. Sci.* 7 (12),  
496 1076–1082.
- 497 Matarese, F., Sarrocco, S., Gruber, S., Seidl-Seiboth, V., Vannacci, G., 2012. Biocontrol of  
498 *Fusarium* head blight: interactions between *Trichoderma* and mycotoxigenic *Fusarium*.  
499 *Microbiology* 158 (1), 98–106.
- 500 Matić, S., Spadaro, D., Gullino, L.M., Garibaldi, A., 2013. Espressione di geni di difesa in due  
501 cultivar di riso con diverso grado di suscettibilità a *Fusarium fujikuroi*. *Prot. Colt.* 6 (2), 96.
- 502 Matić, S., Spadaro, D., Prella, A., Gullino, M.L., Garibaldi, A., 2013. Light affects fumonisin  
503 production in strains of *Fusarium fujikuroi*, *Fusarium proliferatum*, and *Fusarium verticillioides*  
504 isolated from rice. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2013.07.026>
- 505 McKinney, H.H., 1923. A new system of grading plant diseases. *J. Agric. Res.* 26, 195–218.
- 506 Munkvold, G.P., 2009. Seed pathology progress in academia and industry. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.*  
507 47, 285–311.
- 508 Nega, E., Ulrich, R., Werner, S., Jahn, M., 2003. Hot water treatment of vegetable seed – an  
509 alternative seed treatment method to control seed-borne pathogens in organic farming. *J. Plant*  
510 *Dis. Protect.* 110, 220–234.
- 511 Ou, S.H., 1985. *Rice Diseases*, second ed. Commonwealth Mycological Institute, Kew (UK).
- 512 Ou, S.H., 1987. *Rice Diseases*, second ed. CAB International, Slough (UK).

513 Paster, N., Droby, S., Chalutz, E., Menasherov, M., Nitzan, R., Wilson, C.L., 1993. Evaluation of  
514 potential of the yeast *Pichia guilliermondii* as a biocontrol agent against *Aspergillus flavus* and  
515 fungi of stored soya beans. *Mycol Res.* 97, 1201–1206.

516 Patharajan, S., Reddy, K.R.N., Karthikeyan, V., Spadaro, D., Lorè, A., Gullino, M.L., Garibaldi, A.,  
517 2011. Potential of yeasts antagonists on *in vitro* biodegradation of ochratoxin A. *Food Control*  
518 22, 290-296.

519 Petersson, S., Schnürer, J., 1995. Biocontrol of mold in high-moisture wheat stored under airtight  
520 conditions by *Pichia anomala*, *Pichia guilliermondii*, and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Appl.*  
521 *Environ. Microbiol.* 61, 1027–1032.

522 Petersson, S., Schnürer, J., 1998. *Pichia anomala* as a biocontrol agent of *Penicillium roqueforti* in  
523 high-moisture wheat, rye, barley, and oats stored under airtight conditions. *Can. J. Microbiol.* 44,  
524 471–476.

525 Richer, D.L., 1987. Synergism: a patent view. *Pesticide Sci.* 19, 309–315.

526 Saravanakumar, D., Ciavorella, A., Spadaro, D., Garibaldi, A., Gullino, M.L., 2008. *Metschnikowia*  
527 *pulcherrima* strain MACH1 outcompetes *Botrytis cinerea*, *Alternaria alternata* and *Penicillium*  
528 *expansum* in apples through iron depletion. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 49, 121128.

529 Saravanakumar, D., Spadaro, D., Garibaldi, A., Gullino, M.L., 2009. Detection of enzymatic  
530 activity and partial sequence of a chitinase gene in *Metschnikowia pulcherrima* strain MACH1  
531 used as post-harvest biocontrol agent. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* 123 (2), 183–193.

532 Schena, L., Ippolito, A., Zahavi, T., Cohen, L., Droby, S., 2000. Molecular approaches to assist the  
533 screening and monitoring of postharvest biological yeasts. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* 106, 681–691.

534 Schmitt, A., Koch, E., Stephan, D., Kromphardt, C., Jahn, M., Krauthausen, H.-J., Forsberg, G.,  
535 Werner, S., Amein, T., Wright S.A.I., Tinivella, F., van der Wolf, J., Groot, S.P.C., 2009.  
536 Evaluation of non-chemical seed treatment methods for the control of seed-borne infections by  
537 *Phoma valerianellae* on lamb`s lettuce. *J. Plant Dis. Protect.* 116 (5), 200–207.

538 Shahjahan, A.K.M., Rush, M.C., Groth, D.E., 2001. Phylloplane yeasts as potential biocontrol  
539 agents for rice sheath blight disease, in: Sreenivasaprasad, S., Johnson, R. (Eds.), *Major Fungal*  
540 *Diseases of Rice Recent Advances*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, pp. 235–252.

541 Sharma, R.N., Maharshi, R.P., Gaur, R.B., 2008. *Sporidiobolus pararoseus* Fell & Tallman – an  
542 antagonistic yeast with biocontrol potential. *Current Science* 95, 1003–1004.

543 Spadaro, D., Garibaldi, A., Gullino, M.L., 2004. Control of *Penicillium expansum* and *Botrytis*  
544 *cinerea* on apple combining a biocontrol agent with hot water dipping and acibenzolar-S-methyl,  
545 baking soda, or ethanol application. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 33, 141–151.

546 Spadaro, D., Gullino, M.L., 2005. Improving the efficacy of biocontrol agents against soilborne  
547 pathogens. *Crop Prot.* 24, 601–613.

548 Spadaro, D., Sabetta, W., Acquadro, A., Portis, E., Garibaldi, A., Gullino, M.L., 2008. Use of AFLP  
549 for differentiation of *Metschnikowia pulcherrima* strains for postharvest disease biological  
550 control. *Microbiol. Res.* 163, 523–530.

551 Spadaro, D., Ciavarella, A., Zhang, D., Garibaldi, A., Gullino, M.L., 2010. Effect of culture media  
552 and pH on the biomass production and biocontrol efficacy of a *Metschnikowia pulcherrima*  
553 strain to be used as a biofungicide for postharvest disease control. *Can. J. Microbiol.* 56 (2), 128–  
554 137.

555 Spadaro, D., Amatulli, M.T., Gullino, M.L., Garibaldi, A., 2012. Quantitative real-time PCR for  
556 *Fusarium fujikuroi* and *Fusarium proliferatum* on rice. *J. Plant Pathol.* 94, S4, 80.

557 Thobunluepop, P., 2008. Characterization of a botanical fungicide from Thai origin and its  
558 efficiency in rice production. Cuvillier, Göttingen.

559 Tinivella, F., Hirata, L.M., Celan, M.A., Wright, S.A.I., Amein, T., Schmitt, A., Koch, E., van der  
560 Wolf, J.M., Groot, S.P.C., Stephan, D., Garibaldi, A., Gullino, M.L., 2009. Control of seed-borne  
561 pathogens on legumes by microbial and other alternative seed treatments. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.*  
562 123, 139–151.

563 Titone, P., Grassi, G., Polenghi, G., Tamborini, L., Garibaldi, A., 2004. Concia del riso: prodotti  
564 chimici e termoterapia a confronto. *Info. Fitopatol.* 5, 41–49.

565 Webster, R.K., Gunnell, P.S., 1992. *Compendium of Rice Diseases*, The American  
566 Phytopathological Society, APS Press, St. Paul, Minnesota.

567 White, T.J., Bruns, T., Lee, S., Taylor, J., 1990. Amplification and direct sequencing of fungi  
568 ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics, in: Innis, M.A., Gelfand, D.H., Sninsky, J.J., White,  
569 T.J. (Eds.), *PCR Protocols. A Guide to Methods and Applications*. Academic Press, San Diego,  
570 USA, pp. 315–322.

571 White, P.T., 1994. Rice: the essential harvest. *Natl. Geogr.* 185, 48–79.

572 Wisniewski, M., Biles, C., Droby, S., McLaughlin, R., Wilson, C., Chalutz, E., 1991. Mode of  
573 action of the postharvest biocontrol yeast, *Pichia guilliermondii*. I. Characterization of  
574 attachment to *Botrytis cinerea*. *Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol.* 39 (4), 245–258.

575 Zhang, S.M., Sha, C.Q., Zhao, X.Y., Wang, Y.X., Zhang, X.C., Li, J., Meng, L.Q. and Yu, D.S.,  
576 2010a. Identification of an endophytic *Paenibacillus polymyxa* strain producing antifungal  
577 protein and the inhibition to *Fusarium moniliforme* causing rice bakanae disease. *China*  
578 *Biotechnol.* 30, 84–88.

579 Zhang, D., Spadaro, D., Garibaldi, A., Gullino, M.L., 2010b. Efficacy of the antagonist  
580 *Aureobasidium pullulans* PL5 against postharvest pathogens of peach, apple and plum and its  
581 modes of action. Biol. Control 54, 172–180.

582 Zhang, D., Lopez-Reyes, J.G., Spadaro, D., Garibaldi, A., Gullino, M.L., 2010c. Efficacy of yeast  
583 antagonists used individually or in combination with hot water dipping for control of postharvest  
584 brown rot of peaches. J. Plant Dis. Protect. 117 (5), 226–232.

585 Zhang, D., Spadaro, D., Garibaldi, A., Gullino, M.L., 2011a. Potential biocontrol activity of a strain  
586 of *Pichia guilliermondii* against grey mould of apples and its possible modes of action. Biol.  
587 Control 57, 193–201.

588 Zhang, D., Spadaro, D., Valente, S., Garibaldi, A., Gullino, M.L., 2011b. Cloning, characterization  
589 and expression of an exo-1,3-beta-glucanase gene from the antagonistic yeast, *Pichia*  
590 *guilliermondii* strain M8 against grey mold on apples. Biol. Control 59, 284–293.

591

592 **TABLES**

593

594 **Table 1.**

595

596

597

Isolate	Colony	Cell shape and size	ITS sequence (Accession no.)	Species
R23	Cream	Ovoid (3.13 x 6.38-2.27 x 4.28 µm)	KC456055	<i>Metschnikowia pulcherrima</i>
R26	Cream	Ovoid (3.13 x 6.38-2.27 x 4.28 µm)	KC456056	<i>Metschnikowia pulcherrima</i>
R9	White to cream	Ovoid (3.00 x 6.96-1.48 x 4.81 µm)	KC456057	<i>Pichia guilliermondii</i>
SB1	Red-orange	Elongated (4.03 x 7.72-2.28 x 3.63 µm)	KC456058	<i>Sporidiobolus pararoseus</i>

598  
599  
600

**Table 2.**

<b>Species and/or product name</b>	<b>Strain</b>	<b>Producer/Institution</b>	<b>Concentration/quantity applied per 5 g of seed</b>
<i>Pichia guilliermondii</i>	R9	Agroinnova	10 <sup>8</sup> cells ml <sup>-1</sup>
<i>Metschnikowia pulcherrima</i>	R23	Agroinnova	10 <sup>8</sup> cells ml <sup>-1</sup>
<i>Metschnikowia pulcherrima</i>	R26	Agroinnova	10 <sup>8</sup> cells ml <sup>-1</sup>
<i>Sporidiobolus pararoseus</i>	SB1	Agroinnova	10 <sup>8</sup> cells ml <sup>-1</sup>
<i>Streptomyces griseoviridis</i> (Mycostop mix)	K61	Verdera	40 mg
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> (Serenade)	QST 713	Intrachem Bio Italia	50 mg
<i>Glomus caledonium</i> GM 24 <i>Glomus coronatum</i> GU 53 <i>Glomus intraradices</i> GB 67 <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> BA 41 <i>Streptomyces</i> spp. SB 15 <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> TH 02 <i>Pseudomonas proradix</i> 10 (Ekoseed Pro)	Mix	Nufarm	10 mg

601 **Table 3.**  
602

Isolate/commercial product	Germination		Infection	
	(%)*		(%)*	
<i>M. pulcherrima</i> R23	95.8	abc	16.7	b
<i>M. pulcherrima</i> R26	92.3	abc	18.0	b
<i>P. guilliermondii</i> R9	99.5	c	14.3	b
<i>S. pararoseus</i> SB1	97.6	abc	30.7	cd
<i>B. subtilis</i> QST 713 (Serenade**)	87.5	abc	31.7	cd
<i>S. griseoviridis</i> K61 (Mycostop mix**)	85.8	ab	35.0	d
Microorganism mixture (Ekoseed Pro**)	85.0	a	28.3	c
Prochloraz (Octave***)	98.3	bc	1.3	a
Untreated seed (control)	87.5	abc	97.7	e

603 \* Values in the same column followed by the same letter are not statistically different by Duncan's Multiple Range Test  
604 ( $P < 0.05$ ), \*\* biofungicides, \*\*\* fungicide  
605  
606

607 **Table 4.**  
608

<b>Isolate/commercial product</b>	<b>Germination (%)<sup>*</sup></b>	<b>Disease index (0-100)<sup>*</sup></b>
<i>M. pulcherrima</i> R23	94.2 ab	28.5 b
<i>M. pulcherrima</i> R26	90.0 ab	52.5 bc
<i>P. guilliermondii</i> R9	99.2 b	20.4 b
<i>S. pararoseus</i> SB1	96.7 ab	66.1 cd
<i>B. subtilis</i> QST 713 (Serenade <sup>**</sup> )	85.8 a	65.3 cd
<i>S. griseoviridis</i> K61 (Mycostop mix <sup>**</sup> )	84.2 a	71.2 cd
Microorganism mixture (Ekoseed Pro <sup>**</sup> )	84.2 a	54.8 bc
Prochloraz (Octave <sup>***</sup> )	95.8 ab	4.3 a
Untreated control	85.0 a	93.0 d

609 \* Values in the same column followed by the same letter are not statistically different by Duncan's Multiple Range Test  
610 ( $P < 0.05$ ), \*\* biofungicides, \*\*\* fungicide  
611  
612

613 **Table 5.**  
614

Treatment	Germination (%)*	
<i>M. pulcherrima</i> R23	93.3	bcd
<i>M. pulcherrima</i> R26	91.7	ab
<i>P. guilliermondii</i> R9	99.0	de
<i>S. pararoseus</i> SB1	94.2	bcde
60 °C 5 min	91.7	ab
60 °C 10 min	92.5	bc
<i>M. pulcherrima</i> R23 + 60 °C 5 min	94.2	bcde
<i>M. pulcherrima</i> R26 + 60 °C 5 min	92.5	bc
<i>P. guilliermondii</i> R9 + 60 °C 5 min	98.3	cde
<i>S. pararoseus</i> SB1 + 60 °C 5 min	97.5	bcde
<i>M. pulcherrima</i> R23 + 60 °C 10 min	95.8	bcde
<i>M. pulcherrima</i> R26 + 60 °C 10 min	95.0	bcde
<i>P. guilliermondii</i> R9 + 60 °C 10 min	100.0	e
<i>S. pararoseus</i> SB1 + 60 °C 10 min	98.3	cde
Prochloraz (Octave**)	96.7	bcde
Untreated control	87.0	a

615  
616 \* Values in the same column followed by the same letter are not statistically different by Duncan's Multiple Range Test  
617 ( $P < 0.05$ ), \*\* fungicide  
618

619 **Supplemental table 1.**

620

621

Treatment	Disease reduction (%)	Ee value	Activity
Prochloraz (Octave)	80.5	-	-624
<i>P. guilliermondii</i> R9+60 °Cx10'	79.1	86.1	Additive
<i>M. pulcherrima</i> R23+60 °Cx10'	78.5	83.8	Additive
<i>P. guilliermondii</i> R9+60 °Cx5'	78.3	84.3	Additive
<i>M. pulcherrima</i> R26+60 °Cx10'	78.0	76.4	Synergistic
<i>M. pulcherrima</i> R23+60 °Cx5'	77.8	81.7	Synergistic
<i>M. pulcherrima</i> R26+60 °Cx5'	75.5	73.3	Synergistic
<i>S. pararoseus</i> SB1+60 °Cx10'	69.0	70.5	Additive
<i>S. pararoseus</i> SB1+60 °Cx5'	68.8	66.7	Synergistic
60 °Cx10'	65.5	-	-627
60 °Cx5'	61.0	-	-630
<i>P. guilliermondii</i> R9	59.8	-	-631
<i>M. pulcherrima</i> R23	53.0	-	-632
<i>M. pulcherrima</i> R26	31.5	-	-633
<i>S. pararoseus</i> SB1	14.5	-	-
Untreated control	0	-	-633

634

635 **Figure captions**

636

637 **Fig. 1.** Symptomatology induced on 21-day old rice plants (cultivar Galileo) by artificially infection  
638 of *Fusarium fujikuroi*. Symptoms of the bakanae disease were evaluated by the following scale:  
639 symptomless plants (0), plants with chlorotic leaves and delayed growth (1), plants with thin and  
640 elongated internodes (2), plants with crown necrosis (3), and dead plants (4).

641

642 **Fig. 2.** Inhibition of the growth of *F. fujikuroi*: (a) on PDA plates by *M. pulcherrima* R23, *M.*  
643 *pulcherrima* R26, *P. guilliermondii* R9, and *S. pararoseus* SB1, and (b) expressed through the  
644 radial mycelial growth. *F. fujikuroi* alone was used as a control in Fig. 2a. Fungus and yeasts were  
645 grown at 25 °C for 10 days.

646

647 **Fig. 3.** Efficacy of thermotherapy on germination of rice seeds naturally infected with *F. fujikuroi*  
648 and bakanae disease index on rice plants grown *in vivo* under greenhouse conditions. Disease index  
649 and germination were measured 28 days after sowing. Error bars show standard deviations for  
650 triplicate assays. Values in the same column followed by the same letter are not statistically  
651 different by Duncan's Multiple Range Test ( $P<0.05$ ).

652

653 **Fig. 4.** Influence of thermotherapy (60 °C for 5 min and 10 min), antagonists (*M. pulcherrima* R23  
654 and R26, *P. guilliermondii* R9, and *S. pararoseus* SB1) and the combination of thermotherapy and  
655 antagonists on disease index on rice plants grown *in vivo* (in greenhouse). Prochloraz (Octave) was  
656 used as a chemical standard. Disease index was measured 28 days after sowing. Error bars show  
657 standard deviations for triplicate assays. Values in the same column followed by the same letter are  
658 not statistically different by Duncan's Multiple Range Test ( $P<0.05$ ).

659

660 **Supplementary Fig. 1.** Effect of thermotherapy on germination (%) and on infection rate (%) of  
661 rice seeds naturally infected with *F. fujikuroi*. Germination and infection rates were measured 10  
662 days after treatment. Error bars show standard deviations for triplicate assays. Values in the same  
663 column followed by the same letter are not statistically different by Duncan's Multiple Range Test  
664 ( $P<0.05$ ).

665

666 **Table captions**

667

668 **Table 1.** Morphological and molecular characterization of the four selected antagonistic yeasts.

669

670

671 **Table 2.** Antagonistic yeasts and commercial bio-fungicides tested.

672

673

674 **Table 3.** Influence of antagonists on rice seed germination (%) and *F. fujikuroi* infection rate (%) *in vitro*. Germination was measured 10 days after treatment.

675

676 \* Values in the same column followed by the same letter are not statistically different by Duncan's  
677 Multiple Range Test ( $P < 0.05$ ), \*\* biofungicides, \*\*\* fungicide

678

679

680 **Table 4.** Influence of antagonists on rice germination and bakanae disease index *in vivo*.  
681 Germination (%) and disease index (McKinney, 0-100) were measured 28 days after sowing.

682

683 \* Values in the same column followed by the same letter are not statistically different by Duncan's  
684 Multiple Range Test ( $P < 0.05$ ), \*\* biofungicides, \*\*\* fungicide

685

686

687 **Table 5.** Influence of combination of antagonists and thermotherapy on rice germination *in vivo*.  
688 Germination (%) was measured 28 days after sowing.

689

690 \* Values in the same column followed by the same letter are not statistically different by Duncan's  
691 Multiple Range Test ( $P < 0.05$ ), \*\* fungicide

692

693

694 **Supplemental table 1.** Interactions between antagonist and thermotherapy using Limpel's formula.  
695 Synergistic activity existed when the combination of the two agents gave a value of disease  
696 reduction superior than *Ee*.

697

698