

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

**Handsewn semiclosed single-layer jejunocecal side-to-side anastomosis in the horse.**

**This is a pre print version of the following article:**

*Original Citation:*

*Availability:*

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/157385> since

*Published version:*

DOI:10.1111/j.1532-950X.2010.00711.x

*Terms of use:*

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

This is an author version of the contribution published on:

Marco Gandini

Handsewn Semiclosed Single-Layer Jejunocecal Side-To-Side Anastomosis  
in the Horse

VETERINARY SURGERY (2010)

DOI: 10.1111/j.1532-950X.2010.00711.x

The definitive version is available at:

<http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1532-950X.2010.00711.x>

# 1 **A new technique to perform a semi-closed single-layer** 2 **handsewn jejuno-caecal side-to-side anastomosis in the horse**

## 3 ***Introduction***

4 Intestinal resection and anastomosis are often performed in surgical colic cases. A wide range of  
5 method of anastomosis have been described both hand sewn and stapled, end to end, side to side  
6 and end to side<sup>1</sup>. Methods are selected by surgeon's preference depending on intestinal tract  
7 involved, time of surgery, cost, possible complications. Manipulation is considered a risk factor for  
8 the development of bowel inflammation, adhesion formation and postoperative ileus<sup>2,3</sup>; time of  
9 surgery is considered an important factor to determine post-operative survival<sup>2</sup>.

10 Both handsewn and stapled jejuno-caecostomy have been described and evaluated<sup>3</sup> to perform  
11 incomplete caecal bypass or jejuno- or ileo-caecal anastomosis and until recent times considered the  
12 best option to re-establish intestinal continuity after partial ileal resection.

13 Hand sewn techniques have been described for side-to-side and end-to-side anastomosis<sup>1</sup>, while  
14 intestinal staplers may be used to perform a side-to-side jejuno- (or ileo-) caecostomy<sup>1</sup>

15 Stapled techniques are considered advantageous reducing bowel manipulation and contamination  
16 but in some cases carry an higher risk of complications<sup>1</sup>. Their use can also significantly reduce  
17 surgical time if the staples lines are not oversewn<sup>1</sup>.

18 The aim of this study is to describe a new technique of jejuno-caecal side to side anastomosis with  
19 minimal mucosal exposure, bowel manipulation and reduced surgical time.

20 We compared functional characteristics of this technique with those of a hand-sewn 2-layer jejuno-  
21 caecal anastomosis. To test this we constructed jejuno-caecal anastomosis in fresh intestinal  
22 segments and measured construction time, bursting pressure and evaluated failure mode.

23 We also applied this technique in ten clinical cases and report complications and follow up.

24

25

## 26 ***Material and Methods***

### 27 **Part 1- Ex vivo study**

28 Intestinal segments were collected from 24 slaughtered horses. Intestinal segments were harvested  
29 conserving the distal jejunum, ileum, ileocecal valve and caecum. They were washed, stored and  
30 transported at room temperature in Lactade Ringer Solution<sup>4,5</sup>. Twelve segments were used to create  
31 two-layers inverting jejunum-caecal anastomoses and twelve to create semi-closed one-layer  
32 inverting jejunum-caecal anastomoses. All anastomoses were created within four hours from the  
33 death of the horse and then stored in LRS until testing for a maximum time of an hour.

#### 34 *Surgical technique*

35 **Semi-closed one layer anastomosis.** The jejunum was transected at least about 1 meter proximally  
36 to the ileum and the edges of the proximal stump inverted with a Parker-Kerr suture over a crushing  
37 intestinal clamp.

38 The jejunal stump was then apposed with four stay sutures placed aside of its antimesenteric border  
39 onto the body of the caecum between the dorsal and medial teniae approximately 2 thirds of length  
40 from the apex (FIG 1). The distance between the two proximal stay sutures and the distal ones was  
41 standardized with a ruler at 14 cm. The assistant surgeon then placed tension on two of the stay  
42 sutures so to align the two segments of bowel. A Lembert suture pattern with 2-0 Polyglactin 910  
43 comprising serosa, muscularis and submucosa of the two bowel segments was then started from one  
44 of the proximal stay suture and continued distally down to the distad stay suture on the same side  
45 (Fig.2). At this level the suture was tied and continued to the distal stay suture on the opposite site  
46 of the jejunal stump where it was tied again. The jejunal stump was reversed and another Lembert  
47 suture performed on the opposite site. This suture was then tied reaching the proximal stay suture.

48 The two proximal stay sutures were then used to lift the two bowel segments up to the level where  
49 the jejunal stump was nearly straight and pending. To enter the intestinal lumen a 25-30 mm full  
50 thickness incision with a n° 10 scalpel blade was performed on the two segments (Fig.3).

51 Two crushing enterostats were then placed into the enterotomies about 1 –1,5 cm apart, taking care  
52 not crossing the suture lines.

53 A pair of Lister's scissors were then introduced between the two enterostats and the two adjacent  
54 bowel walls cut, paying particular attention not to cross the distal suture line (Fig 4). To avoid  
55 cutting of this end of the stoma this part of the suture was held between the surgeon's fingers. Once  
56 the stoma creation was completed the anastomosis was completed as well approaching the free parts  
57 of the intestinal walls by continuing the previously interrupted Lembert suture (Fig 5-5a).

58 **Two-layers anastomosis.** The jejunal stump was isolated with a crushing bowel clamp, resected  
59 and inverted with a Parker-Kerr suture. The stump was the apposed on the caecal body and a  
60 serosubmucosal continuous suture (polyglactin 910, 2-0) was placed to connect the two segments  
61 for a standardized (by means of a ruler) length of 14 cm. The suture thread was tied at the end of the  
62 suture but not cut. The serosa and muscularis of the jejunal stump and of the caecal body were cut  
63 for a length of 13 cm, leaving the mucosa intact. A continuous suture ( polyglactin 910, 2-0)  
64 comprising mucosa and submucosa of both intestinal segments was placed aside of the first one and  
65 tied at the end of the incision. The mucosa of the two segments was then incised creating the stoma.  
66 The mucosal suture was then completed on the other side of the stoma. The seromuscular suture  
67 was completed as well with the suture left-over from the first one<sup>1</sup>.

68

69 After completion each anastomosis was tested for leakage of intestinal content. A hundred  
70 milliliters of water were inserted in the proximal jejunum and gently milked through the  
71 anastomosis to mimic transit of intestinal content.

72 In each bowel segment, after completion of the anastomosis, the jejunum was transected and ligated  
73 approximately 30 cm proximal to the anastomosis and the caecum tied over the ileocaecal valve

74 and 30 cm distad to the anastomosis with plastic tie bands and then kept at room temperature  
75 submerged in LRS until mechanical tests were performed for a maximum of one hour.

#### 76 *Construction Time*

77 Time (minutes) of anastomosis construction was defined as the time between positioning of the  
78 crushing clamp on the jejuna stump and completion of the suture.

79 Mean and SEM were then calculated and compared for each type of anastomosis with an unpaired t  
80 test Welch corrected.

#### 81 *Bursting pressure*

82 All anastomosis were tested for leakage by air filling and subsequent submersion in a water tank to  
83 test for bursting strength with a gas inflation tank test<sup>4,5</sup>.

84 A metal cannula connected to a compressed air tank was inserted into the jejunal lumen and a  
85 similar cannula inserted in the caecum distal to the anastomosis and connected to a calibrated  
86 mercury sphygmomanometer. Air-tight sealing of the cannula insertion was assured by placement  
87 of plastic tie-band over the intestinal wall. Each specimen was submerged in water and inflated with  
88 air at 1L/min until gas leaked from the bowel<sup>4,5</sup>.

89 Luminal pressures were continuously measured and recorded by digital camera. Review the  
90 recordings allowed evaluation of the exact peak pressure at specimen failure.

91 Failure was confirmed by visualization of gas bubbles leaking from the submerged intestine and by  
92 observing a decline in the luminal pressure, and in some by complete bursting of the anastomosis or  
93 intestinal wall with sudden dropping of measured pressure.

#### 94 *Statistical analysis*

95 Construction time was compared using a paired Student's t-Test. Bursting pressure was compared  
96 between techniques using a Wilcoxon matched pair test.

97 All statistical analyses were performed with commercially available software (Graphpad InStat®  
98 version 3.05 for Windows 95/NT, GraphPad Software, San Diego, Calif. USA,  
99 [www.graphpad.com](http://www.graphpad.com)) with significance set at  $p \leq 0.05$ . Results are reported as mean  $\pm$ SEM.

## 100 **Part 2: Clinical cases:**

101 On ten horses, aged 9-23 years, weight 400-560 kgs the same technique has been applied to resolve  
102 ileal or ileocaecal valve obstruction. All horses have been referred for colic syndrome and following  
103 exploratory laparotomy were diagnosed intestinal pathologies involving jejunum or ileum requiring  
104 a jejuno-caecal side-to-side anastomosis for complete (4 cases) or incomplete (6 cases) ileocaecal  
105 bypass. Horses that had a complete ileocaecal bypass were selected to have this technique applied  
106 because the pathological involvement of the ileum and/or jejunum was so extensive to preclude the  
107 use an end-to-end ileo-ileal or jejuno-ileal anastomosis, that was otherwise selected as first surgical  
108 option.

109 Surgical technique was the same described for the *ex vivo* study, except for incomplete ileocaecal  
110 bypass were the jejunum wasn't transected and closed with the Parker-Kerr suture, but simply  
111 apposed on the caecal body wall (Fig 6-6a). Furthermore, in all cases a stay suture was applied  
112 proximally to the anastomotic site between the caecum and the jejunum to avoid kinking of the  
113 anastomosis to prevent obstruction (Fig 7a).

114 At the end of the procedure each anastomosis was tested for leakage and function by milking of  
115 intestinal content from the jejunum . The intestine was then repositioned in the abdomen that was  
116 then closed in a routine manner.

117 Horses received lidocaine infusion for 24 hours postoperatively, Ringer Lactade Solution IV for 36-  
118 72 hours depending on the duration of post-operative ileus, flunixin meglumine at decreasing dose  
119 (1.1, 0.5, 0.25 mg/kg IV tid) for three days, systemic antibiotics (penicillin+ dihydrostreptomycin)  
120 for 5 days.

121 Complications and follow up were recorded.

## 122 **Results**

### 123 **Part 1- Ex vivo study**

124 Both anastomosis types had similar external appearance. None of the anastomosis performed with  
125 either technique leaked water after completion.

126 In all cases anastomoses were brought to failure by excessive intraluminal pressure during the  
127 inflation tank test. When the anastomotic site failed an air leak was detected associated with an  
128 initially mild decrease in measured pressure. Only in five cases the anastomosis bursted causing a  
129 sudden decrease in intraluminal pressure, and this was always associated with 2 layers anastomoses.  
130 Failure occurred always at the anastomtic sites.

131 Mean ( $\pm$ SEM) construction time were  $29.23\pm 0.68$  minutes for the HS and  $12.327\pm 0.35$  minutes for  
132 the SC. The difference between values is significant ( $p<0,001$ ).

133 Mean ( $\pm$ SEM) bursting pressure was  $164,58\pm 3,45$  mmHg for HS and  $119,43\pm 10,22$  mmHg for the  
134 SC and the difference resulted statistically significant ( $p<0,0008$ ).

### 135 **Part 2: Clinical cases**

136 During surgery no leakage from anastomotic site was detected after completion. Two cases (one  
137 complete and one incomplete bypass) developed postoperative ileus that resolved within 72 hours  
138 postoperatively. A further third case (complete bypass) developed ileus 8 days postoperatively and  
139 was re-operated on the 9<sup>th</sup> postoperative day. At re-laparotomy kinking of the anastomosis due to  
140 failure of the proximal stay suture was detected and repaired. The anastomosis itself looked patent  
141 and functional. (Fig 8) .The horse recovered well thereafter.

142 Four horses developed mild wound infection that resolved before discharge from the hospital.

143 All horses were discharged from the hospital between 10 and 23 days postoperatively.

144 Follow up of at least six months duration revealed that all horses recovered well from surgery and  
145 none developed signs of colic thereafter.



146

## 147 **Discussion**

148 We found that a side-to-side jejunocaecal anastomosis could be created using a semi closed  
149 technique more rapidly than a two-layer hand-sewn anastomosis, but with lower bursting strength.  
150 However, the recorded bursting pressures for both anastomosis types were higher than intraluminal  
151 pressures recorded in in horses with bowel distention. Failure occurred always at the anastomotic  
152 site.

153 Jejunocaecostomy, a common anastomotic procedure in equine surgery, carries a higher risk of  
154 complications than jejunojejunosomy<sup>6,7</sup>. In addition, hand sewn techniques are technically  
155 demanding in terms of skills and time<sup>1</sup>.

156 Although an effective and time-saving method in equine jejunocaecal anastomosis, having been  
157 associated with less manipulation, less contamination and less operative time, the use of intestinal  
158 staplers is costly and carries a higher risk of complications than hand sewn techniques if not  
159 oversewn<sup>1</sup>.

160 The cutting thread technique, either with suture wire<sup>8</sup> or by diathermy<sup>9</sup>, has been reported to reduce  
161 contamination in side-to-side anastomoses but also to be quite time-consuming<sup>1</sup>.

162 The technique hereby described associate advantages of the stapled with the low cost of the hand-  
163 sewn techniques, adding the advantages of little manipulation and mucosal exposure.

164 We found that time of construction resulted significantly reduced when compared to the two layer  
165 handsewn techniques. This can be attributed to the reduced suturing time but also to the simplicity  
166 of the new technique that doesn't request double incision of the stoma and forced caution given by  
167 working with open lumens.

168 Manipulation is reduced comparing to the two-layer technique also by the fact that there is no need  
169 to place enterostats on the caecum wall. This is allowed by the fact that the two little openings done

170 to insert scissors, are made lifting the two segments, thus keeping them away from the body of the  
171 caecum, reducing the risk of intestinal content spillage.

172 None of the anastomoses leaked soon after completion neither in the *ex vivo* or *in vivo* part of the  
173 study and all the anastomoses resisted pressures of over 80 mm Hg. Bursting pressure is often used  
174 to compare different anastomotic techniques both in the acute and chronic phase<sup>4,5,10-16,18</sup>, although  
175 in this particular case could be not completely accurate. In our experience caecal distension is rarely  
176 a complication of jejunostomy and so would be a rare occurrence that such an anastomosis  
177 would be stressed by intraluminal pressure. In our study failure usually resulted from distortion of  
178 the suture line caused by considerable compliance and distention of the caecum body wall. Thus we  
179 believe that by inflating the caecum until disruption of the anastomosis or bursting of the intestinal  
180 wall, we tested the two sutures with forces with various directions relative to the stoma achieving an  
181 accurate method to test the techniques.

182 All the anastomoses resisted pressures well over those reported for side-to-side jejunostomy  
183 anastomosis performed with intestinal staplers<sup>10</sup>. Although comparison of bursting pressure could  
184 not be completely accurate within different studies, furthermore if involving different intestinal  
185 segments, this can nevertheless furnish the surgeon parameters of the strength of this technique in  
186 relation to other well-known methods of anastomosis.

187 The bursting pressure of the anastomosis performed with this technique is significantly lower than  
188 for the two layer one, although effectiveness of the one-layer method has already been proven by  
189 studies performed on end to end anastomosis<sup>17,18</sup> in the horse. Particular care must be taken to place  
190 suture bites into the submucosa, and failure to do so has been the primary cause of anastomosis  
191 failure in the *ex-vivo* study. Nevertheless the one layer technique resulted safe and efficient in the  
192 clinical cases, assuring fluid- and water-proof closure of the anastomosis.

193 The characteristics of the semi-closed technique here described, allowing manipulation of the two  
194 bowel segments with little exposure of the mucosa, could prove useful and fast to perform. Special

195 care should be taken when inserting the Lister scissors and cutting the two body wall in order not to  
196 cross the suture lines.

197 Haemorrhage from the anastomotic site could be an issue<sup>19</sup>. Although we couldn't test properly for  
198 hemorrhage of the stoma edges, placing the two crushing enterostats before creating the stoma  
199 should prevent haemorrhage from the anastomosis and possibly help in the healing of the intestinal  
200 layers. In fact there are multiple methods to achieve anastomosis of two intestinal segments only by  
201 compression of adjacent intestinal wall<sup>20</sup>.

202 With our technique the alignment of the intestinal layers is the same achieved with other methods  
203 like staplers or Compression Anastomotic Devices (Nitinol Rings) that have been demonstrated to  
204 provide a fully functional anastomosis<sup>20</sup>.

205 In clinical cases the technique proved efficient and easy to perform. No haemorrhage from the  
206 anastomosis was suspected in the cases reported and the only anastomosis-related complications  
207 was caused by disruption of the proximal stay suture. Follow up at minimum six months revealed  
208 all horses were alive and didn't show any colic sign after discharge.

209 Although such few cases are not sufficient to determine complication rates of this technique they  
210 are, altogether with other studies on one-layer anastomosis, a starting point from which beginning  
211 the development of faster and less contaminating techniques. There is still obvious need for in vivo  
212 study to determine evolving of the stoma in term of fibrosis, long-term dimensions, caeco-jejunal  
213 reflux and possible complications of this technique, that rarely occur in the acute phase.

214

215 **References**

- 216 1. Freeman DE. Surgery of the small intestine. *Vet Clin North Am (Equine practice)* 1997;  
217 13(2):261-301
- 218 2. Proudman CJ, Smih JE, Edwards GB, French NP. Long-term survival of equine surgical  
219 colic cases.Part 2: modeling postoperative survival. *Equine Vet J* 2002, 34(5): 438-443
- 220 3. Little D, Tomlinson JE, Blikslager AT. Postoperative inflammation in equine small intestine  
221 after manipulation and ischaemia. *Equine Vet J.* 2005, 37(4):329-35.
- 222 4. Gandini M, Bertuglia A. In Vitro Evaluation of an Inverted End-to-End Equine  
223 Jejunojunal Anastomosis Using Skin Staples. *Vet Surg* 35: 678-682, 2006
- 224 5. Gandini M. In Vitro Evaluation of a Closed-Bowel Technique for One-Layer Hand-Sewn  
225 Inverting End-to-End Jejunojunostomy in the Horse. *Vet Surg* 35: 683-688, 2006
- 226 6. Bladon BM, Hillyer MH; Effect of extensive ileal resection with a large resulting mesenteric  
227 defect and stapled ileal stump in horses with a jejunocaecostomy: a comparison with other  
228 anastomotic techniques. *Equine Vet J Suppl* 2000; 32: 52-58
- 229 7. French NP, Smith J, Edwards GB et al. Equine surgical colic: risk factors for postoperative  
230 complications. *Equine Vet J Suppl* 34: 444-449, 2002
- 231 8. Huskamp B. Diagnosis of gastroduodenojejunitis and its surgical treatment by a temporary  
232 duodenocaecostomy. *Equine Vet J* 1985; 17(4), 314-316
- 233 9. Sandh G. Modification of the cutting thread technique for intestinal anastomosis using  
234 diathermy. *J Vet Med A* 1991, 38: 115-125
- 235 10. Bickers RJ, Blackford JT, Eiler H et al. A comparison of the mechanical strength of two  
236 stapled anastomosis techniques for equine small intestine. *Vet Surg* 2002; 31: 104-110
- 237 11. Vick LR, Vick KD, Borman KR, Salameh JR. Face, content, and construct validities of  
238 inanimate intestinal anastomoses simulation. *J Surg Educ.* 2007 Nov-Dec;64(6):365-8.

- 239 12. Goto T, Kawasaki K, Fujino Y, Kanemitsu K, Kamigaki T, Kuroda D, Suzuki Y, Kuroda Y.  
240 Evaluation of the mechanical strength and patency of functional end-to-end anastomoses.  
241 Surg Endosc. 2007 Sep;21(9):1508-11.
- 242 13. Cihan A, Acun Z, Uçan BH, Numanoglu VK, Armutcu F, Gürel A, Ulukent SC.  
243 Comparison of the experimental intestinal anastomoses performed by different surgeon.  
244 Hepatogastroenterology. 2003 Dec;50 Suppl 2:ccxxxv-ccxxxvii.
- 245 14. Cihan A, Armutcu F, Uçan BH, Acun Z, Numanoglu VK, Gürel A, Ulukent SC.  
246 Comparison of the measurement methods of bursting pressure of intestinal anastomoses.  
247 Hepatogastroenterology. 2003 Dec;50 Suppl 2:ccxxxii-ccxxxiv.
- 248 15. Schwab R, Wessendorf S, Gutcke A, Becker P. Early bursting strength of human colon  
249 anastomoses--an in vitro study comparing current anastomotic techniques. Langenbecks  
250 Arch Surg. 2002 Jan;386(7):507-11
- 251 16. Nordentoft T, Rømer J, Sørensen M.J. Sealing of gastrointestinal anastomoses with a fibrin  
252 glue-coated collagen patch: a safety study. Invest Surg. 2007 Nov-Dec;20(6):363-9
- 253 17. Freeman DE, Schaeffer DJ. A comparison of two single-layer patterns for  
254 jejunojejunostomy in horses with colic, *Proc. 8<sup>th</sup> International Equine Colic Research*  
255 *Symposium, quebec City 2005;p 134*
- 256 18. Nieto JE , Snyder JR: Comparison of a single versus a double layer hand sewn end-to-end  
257 anastomosis in the jejunum of horses; *Vet Surg* 35: 669-673, 2006
- 258 19. Doyle AJ, Freeman DE, Rapp H et al. Life-threatening hemorrhage from enterotomies and  
259 anastomosis in 7 horses. *Vet Surg* 2003, 32: 553-558
- 260 20. Kaidar-Person O, Rosenthal RJ, Wexner SD, Szomstein S, Person B. Compression  
261 anastomosis: history and clinical considerations. *Am J Surg*. 2008, 195(6):818-26

262 Figure Legend:

263 Fig 1: apposition of the four stay suture

264 Fig 2: continuous Lembert suture

- 265 Fig 3: incision of the wall of the two segments
- 266 Fig 4: insertion of the two crushing enterostat and creation of the stoma
- 267 Fig 5: closure of the fourth side of the anastomosis
- 268 Fig 5 a: completion of the anastomosis
- 269 Fig 6: disposition of the bowel segments in incomplete bypass
- 270 Fig 6 a: in vivo image of incomplete bypass
- 271 Fig 7 : Proximal suture to avoid kinking of the anastomosis
- 272 Fig 8: one layer anastomosis on day 9 post-op