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Background: Allergic rhinitis (AR) has high prevalence and substantial socio-economic burden.

Material/Methods: The study included 35 Italian Centers recruiting an overall number of 3383 adult patients with rhinitis (48% males, 52% females, mean age 29.1, range 18–45 years). For each patient, the attending physician had to fill in a standardized questionnaire, covering, in particular, some issues such as the ARIA classification of allergic rhinitis (AR), the results of skin prick test (SPT), the kind of treatment, the response to treatment, and the satisfaction with treatment.

Results: Out of the 3383 patients with rhinitis, 2788 (82.4%) had AR: 311 (11.5%) had a mild intermittent, 229 (8.8%) a mild persistent, 636 (23.5%) a moderate-severe intermittent, and 1518 (56.1%) a moderate-severe persistent form. The most frequently used drugs were oral antihistamines (77.1%) and topical corticosteroids (60.8%). The response to treatment was judged as excellent in 12.2%, good in 41.3%, fair in 31.2%, poor in 14.5%, and very bad in 0.8% of subjects. The rate of treatment dissatisfaction was significantly higher in patients with moderate-to-severe AR than in patients with mild AR (p<0.0001). Indication to allergen immunotherapy (AIT) was significantly more frequent (p<0.01) in patients with severe AR than with mild AR.

Conclusions: These findings confirm the appropriateness of ARIA guidelines in classifying the AR patients and the association of severe symptoms with unsuccessful drug treatment. The optimal targeting of patients to be treated with AIT needs to be reassessed.
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Background

Allergic diseases show a continuous increase worldwide, with a major role for allergic rhinitis (AR), which currently has a prevalence up to 40%, but with significant differences in urban and rural environments (higher in rural areas) [1–3]. Among the possible explanations of such increase, childhood infections and exposure to certain microbial antigens seem to present a strong negative correlation with allergies, giving birth to the “Hygiene Hypothesis” as a possible cause of the rise of the allergic burden in Western countries [4]. The importance of AR is further highlighted by its substantial social and economic costs [5,6], and to the impairment of patient’s daily activities and productivity [7–9]. AR was long classified as seasonal or perennial according to its duration, related for the former to sensitization to pollens or moulds and for the latter to house dust mites or animal epithelia, but the Allergic Rhinitis and its Impact on Asthma (ARIA) document, endorsed by the World Health Organization and published in 2001, introduced a new classification of AR based on duration and severity of symptoms [10]. ARIA distinguished intermittent AR (IAR), defined by symptoms occurring for <4 days/week for <4 consecutive weeks, from persistent AR (PER), defined by symptoms occurring for >4 days/week for >4 consecutive weeks. Moreover, a severity scale of mild to moderate-severe symptoms (based on the AR impact on activities and quality of life) was proposed [10]. The ARIA classification was validated in 2003 [11] and is currently widely used worldwide. A multicenter study, named SURF (Survey of Rhinitis Features), was aimed at addressing the phenotypes of AR in a large population of children and adults. The results in children were already reported [12] and here we present the data concerning the adult population.

Material and Methods

The SURF study included 35 centers throughout Italy, recruiting a total of 3383 adult patients presenting with rhinitis (48% males, 52% females, mean age 29.1 years, range 18–45 years). For each patient, the attending physician had to fill in a standardized questionnaire, which was previously validated by the Federazione delle Società Italiane di Immunologia, Allergologia e Immunologia Clinica (IFIACI). The issues assessed by the questionnaire were: ARIA classification of rhinitis, kind and duration of symptoms, results of skin prick tests (SPT), allergen identified as clinically relevant, co-morbidities, treatment plan, response to treatment, satisfaction with the treatment, and feasibility of allergen immunotherapy (AIT). The continuous parameters (based on numeric values) were reported as mean, median, and standard deviation, while the categorical parameters (based on values that function as categories) were reported as contingency tables. Data were statistically analyzed by the chi-squared test for 2 data tables and by log-linear models for more than 2 data tables. A p value lower than 0.05 was considered significant. Correlations were analyzed by linear regression.

Results

Out of the 3383 patients with rhinitis, 2788 (82.4%) had AR: of these, 311 (11.5%) had a mild intermittent, 229 (8.8%) had mild persistent, 636 (23.5%) had moderate-severe intermittent, and 1518 (56.1%) had moderate-severe persistent form; in 130 cases the data was missing. The rate of patients with persistent rhinitis was significantly higher than that of intermittent rhinitis (p<0.01). There were 595 (17.6%) patients who had other kind of rhinitis, the most common being idiopathic rhinitis (251 cases), non-allergic rhinitis with eosinophilia (NARES, 123 cases), occupational rhinitis (68 cases), and infective rhinitis (58 cases). The mean duration of rhinitis was 7.0±7.2 years.

Concerning the sensitization, Table 1 shows all the allergens eliciting positive SPT and their clinical relevance as assessed by patient history. Table 2 reports the identified co-morbidities. Patients with no co-morbidities had a higher frequency of mild-to-severe AR, while patients with 2 or more co-morbidities had a higher frequency of moderate-to-severe AR. The most frequently used drugs were oral antihistamines (2150 patients, 77.1%) and topical corticosteroids (1695 patients, 60.8%), followed by anti-leukotrienes (412, 14.8%), anti-asthmatic drugs (392 patients, 14.1%), topical antihistamines (354, 12.7%), oral anti-asthmatics (307, 11.9%), and oral corticosteroids (115, 3.9%). Sunscreen and sports activities showed a low frequency of use (4.2% and 0.6%, respectively).
The introduction of the concept of disease phenotyping was very important, because phenotypization may significantly influence the choice of diagnostic tests, predict the response to specific treatments, and suggest the long-term prognosis [13]. In the past, different clinical phenotypes were proposed, based on the period of occurrence of symptoms, defining the 2 forms of seasonal (the old “hay fever”) or perennial rhinitis [14], and on the predominance of sneezing and nasal discharge (sneezer/runners) or nasal blockage (blockers) [15]. However, the ARIA classification redefined the criteria for identifying the phenotype of patients with AR, by the duration – intermittent or persistent – regardless of the season, and the severity of symptoms with their impact in daily life, classified as mild and moderate-to-severe [9]. Recent studies showed that the ARIA severity classification clearly discriminates the impact of AR in all domains of quality of life and categorized symptom score [16], and that the ARIA classification was correlated with the nasal cytology, which showed different cell types and counts according to different severity, with higher counts of mast cells and lymphocyte/plasma cells in moderate-to-severe AR [17]. The SURF study was aimed at investigating the features of AR in a large population of children and adults. Several issues were assessed for their possible association with AR feature, including the results of SPT, the allergen identified as clinically relevant, the co-morbidities, the kind of treatment, the response to treatment, the satisfaction with the treatment, and the feasibility of immunotherapy. Immunotherapy is the only available treatment working on causes of allergy, being able to reduce the immunological and clinical reactivity to the responsible allergen [18]. The findings must be discussed with the data, when available, from the literature. Concerning the culprit allergens, grass pollen and house dust mites were confirmed to be the 2 major causes of AR [19,20], with prevalence of moderate-to-severe disease and of persistent forms. This confirms the suitability of the ARIA classification, because the previous classification in seasonal and perennial forms would not be sufficient.
have missed the clinical importance according to the duration for grass-pollen. Co-morbidities were more common in patients with moderate/severe AR, with the highest rate for conjunctivitis, which was present in 54% of subjects, defining the picture of rhinoconjunctivitis, which occurs very frequently in patients with AR [21]. According with the literature, the other 2 most common co-morbidities were asthma (~38%) and sinusitis (~14%) [22–26]. In treatment of AR, the most frequently used drugs were oral antihistamines (77%) and topical corticosteroids (61%), in accordance with the ARIA guidelines, which state the adequacy of these treatments based on the evidence from controlled trials [10,22]. In contrast, patient satisfaction with prescribed treatments is scantily investigated, despite its importance in compliance to treatment [27]. A study on adult allergic patients assessed satisfaction with antihistamines, showing that the second-generation agents were considered by both the patients and the physicians to be effective and well-tolerated [28]. However, a recent survey on adult patients with AR, mostly treated with antihistamines and nasal corticosteroids, found that only 33.5% were satisfied with treatments; female gender, presence of co-morbidities, and severity of AR were factors significantly associated with treatment dissatisfaction [29]. In the present study, the response to treatment, as assessed by the physician, was judged as excellent in 12%, good in 41.3%, fair in 31.2%, poor in 14.5%, and very bad in 0.8% of cases. Satisfaction with treatment was judged as very satisfactory in 14%, satisfactory in 57%, unsatisfactory in 28.3%, and very unsatisfactory in 0.7% of cases. Response to treatment and satisfaction were significantly correlated. As expected, dissatisfaction was significantly higher in patients with moderate-to-severe AR than in patients with mild AR (p<0.0001). This issue of severe AR uncontrolled by drug treatment, which includes the form currently defined as severe chronic upper airway disease (SCUAD) [30]. In this clinical form, a multifactorial etiology may underlie the development of sinus-nasal inflammation not responsive to drugs [31].

Concerning the feasibility of AIT, our data show that in 2024 cases (74%), the treatment was considered increasingly indicated according to severity, ranging from 46% in mild intermittent to 81% in moderate-to-severe persistent AR. Focusing on the 2 major causes of AR, AIT was viewed as feasible in 28% of patients allergic to grass pollen and in 23% of patients allergic to dust mites. Comparing the findings from the present study with those from the previous part of the SURF study on children [12] is of clear interest. Resulted of all the examined features were comparable, with the exception of the presence of adenoids/tonsils hypertrophy, which was significantly more frequent in children than in adults (6.6% vs. 1.7%, p<0.0001). This is quite obvious, because this pathology is typically pediatric, but recent immunological data suggest the need to reconsider the approach to hypertrophy of adenoids/tonsils (which are immunological organs belonging to the Waldeyer’s ring), which is mostly based on surgical removal. In fact, it was reported that hypertrophic adenoids of allergic children undergoing sublingual immunotherapy (SLIT) have the typical response to the specific allergen administered by the treatment, thus suggesting we reconsider their immunological role [32].

Conclusions

AIT was judged as appropriate in 28.6% of patients allergic to grass pollen and in 22.7% of patients allergic to dust mites, while the ranking was reversed (31.3% of mite-allergic patients and 28.6% of grass pollen-allergic patients), but not significantly different, in the pediatric part of the SURF study [12]. The perception of a greater indication of AIT for the more severe AR is in agreement with specific studies demonstrating the ability of AIT to work in drug-resistant AR. In particular, in a controlled trial on 410 AR patients unresponsive to drug treatment, a good response to subcutaneous immunotherapy was reported [33], and such an outcome was recently confirmed in a real-life study using SLIT by 5-grass pollen tablets [34]. Therefore, targeting the patients with severe AR not controlled by drugs needs the attention of the specialists involved in diagnosis and treatment of AR to provide to patients with an effective therapy.
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