



# AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

# **Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of antiretrovirals in the central nervous system.**



(Article begins on next page)

*This is a pre-copyedited, author-produced PDF of an article accepted for publication in Clinical Pharmacokinetics following peer review. The version of record Clin Pharmacokinet. 2014 Oct;53(10):891-906. doi: 10.1007/s40262-014-0171-0 is available online at:* 

*http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40262-014-0171-0.*

#### **Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacodynamics of Antiretrovirals in the Central Nervous System**

Calcagno A, Di Perri G and Bonora S.

*Unit of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medical Sciences, University of Torino, Torino, Italy.*

**Running Head:** Antiretrovirals PK/PD in the CNS

**Type of article:** Review Article

**Word count:** 6434

**Tables:** 2

**Corresponding Author:** 

Andrea Calcagno, Department of Infectious Diseases, University of Torino c/o Ospedale Amedeo di Savoia, C.so Svizzera 164 10159, Torino, Italy +390114393884, fax +390114393818 andrea.calcagno@unito.it

#### **Abstract**

**Background** HIV-positive patients may be effectively treated with highly active antiretroviral treatment and such strategy is associated with striking immune recovery and viral load reduction to very low levels. Despite undeniable results central nervous system is commonly affected during the course of HIV infection with neurocognitive disorders being as prevalent as 20-50% of treated subjects.

**Objective** This review discusses the pathophysiology of central nervous system infection by HIV and the barriers to efficacious control of such mechanism including the available data on compartmental drug penetration and on pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic relationships.

**Methods** Articles pertaining to cerebrospinal fluid and central nervous system transfer of antiretrovirals, as well as neurocognitive disorders were identified from PubMed and from references of included articles. Articles including animal data or *in vitro* studies were included only when providing original data on drug penetration mechanisms.

**Results** In the reviewed articles a high variability in drug transfer to the central nervous system is highlighted with several mechanism as well as methodological issues potentially influencing the observed results. Nevirapine and zidovudine showed the highest cerebrospinal fluid to plasma ratios although target concentrations are currently unknown for the central nervous system. The use of the composite cerebrospinal fluid concentration effectiveness score has been associated with better virological outcomes (lower HIV RNA) but inconstantly with neurocognitive outcomes.

**Conclusion** These findings support the central nervous system effectiveness of commonly used highly antiretroviral therapies. The use of antiretroviral drugs with increased cerebrospinal fluid penetration and/or effectiveness in treating or preventing neurocognitive disorders needs to be addressed in well-designed prospective studies.

# **1.0 Introduction**

HIV enters the central nervous system (CNS) early in the natural history of the disease with cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) HIV RNA recovered as early as 8 days after infection. [1] The presence of viral replication (in perivascular macrophages and microglia and, although restricted, in astrocytes) is eventually associated with neuronal damage due to persistent immune activation and cytokines production: the clinical endpoint of untreated CNS HIV infection is the appearance of dementia (HAD). [2,3] With the introduction of highly active antiretroviral treatment (HAART) the incidence of dementia significantly declined; nevertheless cognitive impairment (asymptomatic and moderate according to the impact on everyday life and globally defined as HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders, HAND) remains highly prevalent. [4] Although several authors highlight the impact of traditional risk factors (age, drug and alcohol abuse, previous head injuries, cardiovascular risk abnormalities, opportunistic infections) [5] on neurocognitive impairment in HIV-positive subjects the role of neuro-effective HAART is crucial: it is significantly associated with CSF viral control but inconsistently with the prevention and treatment of HAND.

The purpose of this review is to analyse the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of antiretroviral drugs in the central nervous system considering the effect both on compartmental viral replication and on neurocognitive impairment.

# **2.0 Methods**

After including studies and reviews on pathogenesis, diagnosis and treatment of neurocognitive disorders in HIV-positive patients we focused on pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic data. The aim was to include all studies containing pharmacokinetic data pertaining to and using the following search terms: [(HIV AND (central nervous system OR cerebrospinal fluid) AND (pharmacokinetics OR pharmacokinetic OR pharmacodynamic OR passage)]. For the pharmacodynamic chpater the following search terms were used: [(HIV AND (CPE OR central nervous system concentration effectiveness score OR HIV RNA)]. Review articles were included for references finding. Articles were not restricted based on year of publication or language. Articles identified by the PubMed search were further screened manually by review of the the full article text.

#### **3.0 Pathophysiology of CNS injury by HIV**

The neuropathogenesis of CNS damage is generally considered to be initiated and driven by HIV invasion and replication within the brain parenchyma; productive infection of brain perivascular macrophages and endogenous microglia and restricted infection of astrocytes have been demonstrated. [6,7] Consequently neuroinflammation and immune activation of resident glia (macrophages, microglia, astrocytes) have been associated with indirect neuronal injury. [2] With no antiretroviral treatment activated microglia, infiltrating macrophages, reduced synaptic and dendritic density and neuronal loss are the neuropathological correlates of HAD. [8,9] With the introduction of HAART lymphocytes infiltration was markedly reduced (and limited to immune-reconstitution inflammatory syndrome cases) while neuroinflammation was observed in different anatomical sites: while in pre-HAART specimens basal ganglia were involved, in post-HAART samples hippocampus and adjacent parts of entorhinal and temporal cortex were frequently involved. [10,11] Inflammatory cytokines and chemokines [Tumor Necrosis Factor alpha (TNFalpha), Interleukin-6 (IL6), Interleukin-10 (10), chemokine C-C motif ligand 2 (CCL-2), C-X-C motif chemokine 10 (CXCL-10)] have been found to be abnormally elevated in HIV-positive patients and they have been linked to the alteration of blood brain barrier (BBB): viral factors (TAT, gp120) and lipopolysaccharide have been also implicated. [3] The impairment in BBB function has a crucial impact on the pathogenesis of HAD since it facilitates the penetration of HIV-infected monocytes thus increasing the viral biomass in the CNS. [12,13] BBB damage may persist despite effective antiretroviral treatment and a low nadir CD4+ T lymphocyte cell count has been recently identified as a predictor of such event. [14-16] The immune cell trafficking from and toward the CNS has the potential to sustain the persistence of residual viremia; although the exact origin of the latter is still debated [17] it has been proven that drugs with lower diffusion into tissues (such as protease inhibitors) have been associated to

either higher residual viremia or replication in sanctuary sites (such as lymph nodes).[18] Furthermore CNS has been recognized as a site of compartmentalized viral replication with the possible divergent evolution of HIV quasispecies. [19,20] Approximately 10% of patients have detectable HIV RNA in the CSF despite plasma viral control; this "CSF-escape" is usually transient and it is not associated with neurological sequalae. [21] However different resistance-associated mutations may be selected in the CSF and cases of symptomatic (and severe) CSF-escape have been constantly reported in recent years. [22,23]

The compartmental pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic profile of antiretrovirals may be of relevant importance both for HIV control in the CNS and for the reduction of viral biomass in reservoir sites in sight seeking a functional cure.

# **4.0 HAND**

A consensus research definition of HAND includes the sub-classifications asymptomatic neurocognitive impairment, mild neurocognitive disorder, and HIV-associated dementia. [24] This categorization relies upon the execution of a full battery of neurocognitive tests (assessing at least five domains, including attention–information processing, language, abstraction-executive, complex perceptual motor skills, memory, simple motor skills, or sensory perceptual skills) and upon the determination of functional status (usually self-reported). Patients presenting abnormalities in two cognitive domains (age-adjusted scores one standard deviation lower than the average) are diagnosed with asymptomatic neurocognitive impairment (ANI) or mild neurocognitive disorder (MND) (with no or mild impairment in daily living respectively); significant deficit in two cognitive domains (with scores lower than two standard deviations) and impairment in everyday living are the diagnostic criteria for HIV-associated dementia (HAD). Considerable uncertainty is still undeniable in the diagnosis, determinants, prognostic factors and treatment of HAND although HAART has been associated with significant improve in symptoms and CSF markers of immune activation and neuronal damage in patients with HAD. [4] One of the key questions is whether the diagnosis of ANI has any relevance in the course of HIV-infection: recent data suggest that patients with ANI may progress to MND and that they have a significant impairment in performance-based tests (potentially affecting adherence to medications). [25,26] The uncertainty in this area is enhanced by the diagnostic criteria that, to some extent, may overestimate the prevalence of asymptomatic and mild forms on neurocognitive impairment.

Furthermore several authors highlight the high prevalence of other risk factor for neurocognitive decline such as the increasing age, the high cardioand cerebrovascular risk, the often under-diagnosed presence of psychiatric illnesses, the use of psychotropic substances and the prevalence of chronic hepatitis (and specifically HCV). [5,27] The challenge of studying HAND is having an adequate well-matched control group in which all these confounding factors may be accounted for. [28] Nevertheless some HIV-associated (CD4 cell count at nadir below 200/mm<sup>3</sup>, plasmatic or CSF HIV replication, cell-associated HIV DNA) and some other risk factors (age above 50 years, HCV infection, metabolic and glucose abnormalities, cardiovascular risk) have been identified and they may help selecting patients for accurate neurocognitive screening and follow up. Finally a therapeutic approach is not clearly defined since controlling HIV replication may be necessary but not sufficient: neither higher CNSpenetrating combined antiretroviral therapy nor adjuvant treatments have so far proven to be effective in preventing and reversing HAND. [29]

#### **5.0 Mechanisms of drug passage to the CNS**

To be efficacious drugs must reach adequate concentrations at the site of action: in the case of CNS infection by HIV the targets are macrophages, microglia and astrocytes within the brain parenchyma. After intestinal absorption orally administered antiretrovirals (ARVs) (the vast majority of available drugs, with the exception of intravenous zidovudine and subcutaneous enfuvirtide) are transported by plasma proteins in the bloodstream and distributed to organs and tissues. The CNS is reached by a considerable blood flow (approximately 14% of cardiac output) but two anatomical barriers can be found that prevent the free passage of drugs into the brain: the BBB and the blood CSF barrier (BCB). The first one is characterized by endothelial cells connected by tight junctions and by the presence of astrocytes end feet: several substances are restricted from crossing the BBB. [30] Nevertheless tight junctions are absent in some areas of the brain (hypothalamus, area postrema, subfornical organ) and direct diffusion is possible. Several mechanisms have been identified for crossing the BBB and they affect each compound ability to reach the brain tissue: paracellular aqueous pathway, transcellular lipophilic pathway, transport proteins, receptor-mediated transcytosis and adsorptive transcytosis. Therefore both patients' and drugs characteristics influence ARVs passage into the CNS.

The study of antiretrovirals pharmacokinetics in the CNS has two key obstacles: the scarce data on tissue concentrations and the intracellular target of action. Obtaining brain tissue concentrations is limited in healthy patients (for obvious ethical reasons) and associated to potential bias in sick individuals (brain biopsies are usually performed in patients with severe CNS diseases and this may impact the results of measured concentrations): data on autoptic measurements are limited and they may be influenced by the time elapsed from death to the procedure. Furthermore brain parenchyma concentrations derive from different compartments (averaged as single measurement per gram of tissue) and they may be influenced by preparation and analysis procedures. [31] Microdyalisis is another option for directly measuring brain extracellular concentrations (through the use of intracranial catheters): it is however an invasive technique and the results may depend upon compounds characteristics. [32,33] CSF concentrations are easier to obtain but their reliability as marker of CNS exposure is still debated. Cerebrospinal fluid is believed to be produced by filtration from blood plasma (for 2/3rd) and from brain extracellular fluid (for 1/3rd) from which it is separated by one layer of ependymal cells;

nevertheless some difference in drugs concentration may be observed if CSF is withdrawn from cisterna magna or from lumbar space. [34] Several animal studies have suggested that cerebrospinal fluid is a surrogate reliable marker for most of the studied drugs; although the variability in predicting tissue concentrations was high it was considerably lower than plasma unbound concentrations and comparable to microdyalisis. [33, 35, 36] As an example animal data (non-human primates) confirmed the good correlation between zidovudine CSF and brain parenchyma concentrations; [32] data for other ARVs are more variable and they have been recently reviewed. [37] Additionally drug concentrations in brain tissue are not uniform; they may vary with the distance from the CSF, with the vascularity of brain regions, and between white and grey matter. [38] Since the perivascular areas are probably the main objective of antiretroviral therapy this may not be relevant in the delivery of drugs to target cells. [39]

The second pitfall in the evaluation of CNS exposure is the site of action: with the exception of enfuvirtide and maraviroc all antiretrovirals have intracellular targets. While non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs), protease inhibitors (PIs) and integrase strand transfer inhibitors (ISTIs) once inside the cells are ready for exerting their activity, NRTIS need to be phosphorylated (thrice or twice) to become active and compete with endogenous nucleosides. The direct relationship between plasma and intracellular concentrations support the measurement of the former; however no data is currently available on the concentrations reached inside CNS macrophages, microglia or astrocytes.

### **5.1 Patients' characteristics and blood brain barrier damage**

Older age may affect the passage of several drugs into the CNS: reduced blood efflux, permissive BBB and altered CSF flow are some of the potential mechanisms. [40] Being atherosclerosis and cerebrovascular disease common in older HIV-positive patients this may be relevant.

[41,42] Furthermore as a consequence of declining renal function plasma concentrations of several ARVs have been shown to increase with increasing age. The only available data suggest that while plasma concentrations of efavirenz and tenofovir are increased in older subjects, efavirenz CSF concentration have a steep increase after 60 years of age. [43]

Meningeal inflammation (usually observed in acute infection, rebound encephalitis, CSF escape or with opportunistic infections) has the potential to modulate the penetration of ARVs: this is mediated by blood flow, BBB impairment and pH modifications. The latter mechanism has been identified in bacterial meningitis but it may be relevant for drugs very sensitive to pH, such as raltegravir. [44]

Finally BBB impairment has been considered as a key event in the pathogenesis of AIDS dementia complex and other HIV-related neurological complications. BBB alterations were found in 2 to 22% HIV-positive asymptomatic individuals, in about 50% of patients with AIDS and in 100% of patients with HAD. [45-47] Furthermore altered permeability may persist in a subset of patients (mostly those ones with low CD4+ Tlymphocytes nadir) despite antiretroviral treatment and it has been associated with a higher prevalence of HAND. [14-16] Theoretically a permissive barrier may allow the passage of both drugs and plasma proteins thus increasing the CSF total concentration but reducing the free drug concentrations: the net effect on antiviral efficacy is currently not known. [48] Tenofovir, emtricitabine and raltegravir CSF concentrations have been shown to be higher in presence of altered BBB and to be directly proportional to CSF to plasma albumin ratios (CSARs). [49-51]

### **5.2 Drugs characteristics**

Four chemical characteristics that affect drug passage have been identified: molecular weight (the smaller the higher), lipophilicity (the higher the higher, measured as octanol water distribution coefficient, LogP), ionization (the higher the lower) and plasma protein binding (the lower the higher). In Table 1 molecular size, LogP and unbound plasma fractions are shown for available ARVs. Nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) are small, poorly bound molecules with a generally high CSF to plasma ratio; tenofovir is an exception to this example since it is positively charged and thus it requires active transport to cross the BBB. Molecular size and lipophilicity can be graphically plotted and an area of optimal characteristics can be drawn as in a recent paper by Marzolini and coll.: drugs with a distribution coefficient (LogD, a measure of pHdependant lipophilicity) between -1 and +5 and with cross sectional area between 20 and 70  $(\AA^2)$  showed the highest penetration into the CSF. [52]

Protein binding has been classically identified as one of the key characteristics affecting drug distribution into organs and tissues; highly protein bound molecules have less unbound (or free) drug available for exerting the effect or being transported outside the blood stream. The effect of proteins on antiviral effect has been studies *in vitro*: at higher levels intracellular ARVs concentrations are reduced as well as their antiviral effect. [53] This seems to be confirmed in the CSF since a direct relationship between plasma unbound fraction and CSF to plasma ratios has been shown for some ARVs. [54-56] Measuring unbound CSF concentrations has proven to be more challenging due to low drug and protein concentrations: CSF albumin is usually 7.8-40 mg/L in CSF and 35-55 g/L in plasma, with normal CSAR ranging from 6 to 9.5 according to age. [57] Data are available for few compounds: CSF drug concentrations were shown to be very close to plasma unbound ones. [56, 58, 59] Etravirine passage is unexpectedly peculiar: despite very low unbound plasma concentrations (approximately 0.1%) total etravirine CSF to plasma ratio was around 4%. [60] However etravirine was found to be highly protein bound in the cerebrospinal fluid although the authors were not able to understand target proteins. This unexpected finding may be explained by specific binding to other plasma/CSF proteins or to the effect of concomitantly administered drugs (since etravirine is often administered with boosted protease inhibitors).

#### **5.3 Transporters and pharmacogenetics**

Several transporting proteins have been found to be expressed at the BBB and at the BCB: p-glycoprotein (P-gp), Organic Anion Transporter 1, 2 and 3 (OAT1,2 and 3), Breast Cancer Resistance Protein (BCRP) and others. P-gp has been extensively studied as it mediates ATP-dependant efflux of several drugs towards the bloodstream thus potentially reducing the amount available for reaching the brain parenchyma; it has also being implicated in refractory epilepsy. [61] Positron emission tomography techniques were used to quantify (both in animal and in humans) the effect of functionally or pharmacologically inhibited P-gp: several substrates showed a huge increase in brain parenchyma diffusion. [62] Other transporters have been less extensively studies but they are expressed at the brain barriers and, for instance, protease inhibitors have been shown to be substrate of OAT1A2. [63,64]

The importance of understanding drug passage across BBB and BCB lies in the modulatory effects on transporters and on the possible influence of genetic polymorphisms affecting enzyme activity or expression. In human primates (using nelfinavir and zosiquidar, a P-gp inhibitor) P-gp blocking was associated with modest increases in CSF concentrations but extensive increments in brain concentrations. [65] Marzolini and coll. recently published their *in vitro* work on transporter kinetic measurements showing that large lipophilic drugs such as PIs have strong binding affinities to drug efflux transporters expressed at the BBB and thus are prevented from entering the brain. [52] When combined, ritonavir (having the highest affinity) will occupy a large proportion of the transporter binding sites and thus slow down the efflux rate of the co-administered PI thereby facilitating its brain entry. This was confirmed in a study comparing once-daily (800 mg with 100 mg ritonavir) to twice-daily darunavir (600 mg with 100 mg ritonavir twice-daily): CSF concentrations (as expected given the lower dose) but also CSF to plasma ratios were lower possibly because of ritonavir reduced effect along the dosing interval. [66] Several other drugs are inhibitors or inducers of P-gp and the new pharmacoenhancer, cobicistat, has the same interacting potential on transporters (P-gp and BCRP) as ritonavir. [67,68]

Several genetic polymorphisms may affect metabolizing or transporting enzymes function or expression thus affecting drug exposure. While pharmacogenetic studies have extensively studied ARVs plasma pharmacokinetics, limited data are available on their effect on CNS exposure. Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in *CYP2B6* have been associated with plasma efavirenz concentrations as well as to the occurrence of neuropsychiatric symptoms and withdrawal from treatment. [69,70] In a limited sample size study CYP2B6 slow metabolizing children had higher CSF nevirapine concentrations than fast metabolizers. [71] In the aforementioned study on darunavir CSF concentrations a borderline association was found between polymorphisms in the *SLCO1A2* gene (encoding for OAT1A2) and CSF concentrations. [66] Finally SNPs in the Hepatic Nuclear Factor 4 alpha (*HNFalpha4,* a nuclear factor implicated in the regulation of OATs) might explain some of the extreme variability observed in raltegravir CSF penetration. [51]

#### **5.4 Plasma concentrations**

A direct correlation between plasma and CSF concentrations has been demonstrated for the majority of ARVs (Table 1). Therefore factors affecting plasma concentrations may potentially affect CNS exposure; for instance unboosted atazanavir (400 mg without ritonavir) is associated with very low and often undetectable CSF concentrations, as expected from the low plasma exposure observed with such dosage. [72] Once-daily administered drug may therefore reach lower concentrations as it has been shown for darunavir/ritonavir (800/100 mg): even if no data are available it may also be relevant for abacavir (for which all data have been derived from the twice-daily dosage) and for maraviroc (studied at 150 mg once-daily with boosted protease inhibitors). [55, 73-76] Furthermore drug-to-drug interaction reducing plasma exposure of one ARV may significantly affect CNS exposure and efficacy.

### **6.0 ARVs' CNS penetration**

Antiretrovirals CSF concentrations and pharmacokinetic parameters are summed up in Table 1. We briefly describe here some of the key pharmacological features of those compounds, according to drug classes.

# **6.1 NRTIs**

NRTIs are small, hydrophilic molecules, poorly bound to plasma proteins reaching very variable CSF exposures. NRTIs are transported by Organic Anion Transporters (OATs) that have been showed to be present at the choroid plexus (OAT1 and OAT3); the modulation of their activity (either by other drugs such as probenecid or by genetic polymorphisms in the encoding genes) may be relevant for zidovudine, stavudine, lamivudine and tenofovir passage. [97] With the exception of didanosine (whose CSF exposure has been found to be undetectable or very low) the other NRTIs have been associated with therapeutic CSF concentrations. Tenofovir is ionized at physiological pH and this limits its uptake by membrane transporters. [50, 73, 77-96] CSF tenofovir concentrations have been described as very low (and with no sample above IC50, 201 ng/mL); previous animal data suggested a good CSF passage (through the blood CSF barrier and OATsindependent) but a poor penetration into deep brain tissue. [98]

# **6.2 NNRTIs**

NNRTIs show different properties but they are small, lipophilic, highly protein bound (with the exception of nevirapine) compounds. [51,

58, 60, 71, 87, 99-103] The neuropsychiatric effects in efavirenz-recipients account for its passage into the CNS: nevertheless being the IC50 very low (0.5-1.3 ng/mL) and close to the limit of detection of the instruments, a few studies reported a poor passage into the CSF. While the data on rilpivirine (one single study) and on etravirine (two reports) are still limited, nevirapine high CSF to plasma ratios has been constantly confirmed: the compound properties as well as the *in vivo* data suggest that nevirapine is one of the ARVs with the highest CSF penetration.

#### **6.3 PIs**

PIs are large (with molecular weights above 500 Da), lipophilic, highly protein-bound (with the exception of indinavir) compounds with CSF concentrations approximately 1% of plasma concentrations; [54, 56, 59, 72, 104-121] they have been recognized as substrate of pglycoprotein as well as OAT1A2 and this may limit the drug accumulation into the CNS (as well as into other key tissues such as lymph nodes). [64,116] While tipranavir has not been studied, the data in first-generation PIs were disappointing with nelfinavir, saquinavir and amprenavir being undetectable or below IC50s in most of the patients. Indinavir CSF exposure was somehow higher probably for the lower binding to plasma proteins: CSF concentrations were above the IC95 concentrations and it was mostly unbound (98.6%). The comparison among the three commonly prescribed protease inhibitors (atazanavir, lopinavir and darunavir) favours the last two since most of atazanavir concentrations were very low or undetectable. [117]

#### **6.4 Entry inhibitors (Fusion inhibitors and CCR5 antagonist)**

Enfuvirtide is a synthetic 36 amino acid oligopeptide (interacting with viral gp41) with a very large molecular weight: a single study

confirmed that CSF concentrations were below the limit of quantification (25 ng/mL) while a case report of emerging enfuvirtide-resistant CSF (and then plasma) viruses reported a CSF concentration of 55 ng/mL. [118, 119]

Maraviroc is a small, lipophilic, intermediately protein-bound compound that targets the human co-receptor CCR5 and that is effective in preventing R5-tropic HIV viruses entry into target cells. It is substrate of both cytochrome P450 3A4 and p-glycoprotein and drug-to-drug interactions, potentially affecting CSF penetration, have been reported. The available data have been obtained with twice-daily dosages (150 mg with PIs, 300 mg with NRTIs and nevirapine and 600 mg with efavirenz or etravirine): CSF concentrations were detectable, 2-3% of plasma concentrations and in the EC90 range (0.06-10.7 ng/mL). [55, 74-76]

#### **6.5 Integrase Strand Transfer Inhibitors**

Integrase inhibitors are the latest ARV drug class and they are somehow heterogeneous: while they are small, highly protein bound molecules, their lipophilicity varies considerably (raltegravir is hydrophilic while elvitegravir is lipophilic). So far no data has been released on elvitegravir CSF exposure while a single unpublished study reported dolutegravir low CSF to plasma ratios (0.4%) but CSF concentrations above IC50 in all samples [122]. Raltegravir pharmacokinetics has peculiar characteristics: very wide inter and intraindividual variability and an unclear pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic relationship. [49, 51, 123] Even if pH-dependant absorption may explain much plasma variability, raltegravir CSF to plasma ratios have been described as varying from 3 to 20%.

#### **7.0 PHARMACOKINETIC/PHARMACODYNAMIC**

#### **7.1 Target concentrations**

The study of the pharmacodynamic effect of ARVs in the CNS is complicated by the absence of a clear target. The optimal marker would be the inhibition of HIV tissue replication in the whole brain parenchyma: such marker is currently not feasible.

The use of CSF HIV RNA as a marker of antiviral activity is the most commonly used marker since it decreases with the introduction of HAART it parallels cognitive improvement in patients with HAD. [124-127] Nevertheless commercial kits for measuring HIV RNA have not been validated in the CSF and the threshold is currently unknown. Second generation methods can quantify as low as 20 copies/mL; very sensitive experimental techniques (quantifying 2 copies/mL) have been assessed and residual viremia (between 2 and 50 copies/mL) was associated with worse cognitive function. [128,129] The measurement of other CSF markers (such as neopterine or CCL2) may be useful for understanding the pathogenesis of neuronal damage and, potentially, for monitoring changes in immune activation or neuronal function but it is still not used but for research purposes. [3, 130]

The use of magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) and positron emission tomography (PET) has the potential to describe neuronal integrity in different areas of the CNS and they have successfully been used to describe ARV effect: nevertheless these techniques are expensive, time-consuming and not-standardized. [131] A recent study using a selective ligand for the translocator protein expressed by activated microglial cells ([11C]-PK11195) showed that HIV-infected patients with longstanding virological suppression on cART and without comorbidities or drug and alcohol misuse, had focal areas of activated microglial cells, indicative of neuroinflammation, in several cortical regions. [132]

Finally one of the possibilities would be to monitor cognitive function after the introduction of ARVs: most of the studies reported an improvement after antiretroviral treatment initiation or modification (Table 2). [133] Nevertheless complete neurocognitive testing is timeconsuming and it may be influenced by the choice of the control group and by learning effect (patients repeating slightly-modified tests may perform better). [28, 134]

Given the inaccessibility of *in vivo* brain tissue, CSF inhibitory concentrations (IC50, IC90 and IC95) have been used to compare the adequacy of ARVs exposure: this concentrations represent the level at which 50%, 90% or 95% of *in vitro* viral replication is inhibited (using wild-type viruses). However these *in vitro* protein-free concentrations have significantly variable values and the same drug has been judged to reach optimal or insufficient concentrations in different studies when compared to different thresholds. [49, 51, 123] A recent study have quantified both protein-free and protein-corrected ICs of several antiretrovirals using a standardized methodology; [135] our group recently reported better CSF viral control (as CSF HIV RNA below 50 copies/mL and a lower prevalence of CSF escape) when drugs showed higher 95% inhibitory quotients (as CSF exposure divided by IC95, derived by the aforementioned study). [136]

Following these observations there is no single PD marker in the CNS; the most reliable target would be the complete control of tissue viral replication.

# **7.2 CSF escape**

In the majority of patients CSF HIV RNA is lower than plasma HIV RNA (approximately 1  $Log_{10}$ ): higher CSF viral loads have been associated with active neurological symptoms and with a shorter time to develop HAND. [137] In some patients despite plasma viral control

CSF HIV RNA may be detectable or 1 Log10 higher: this condition has been defined as "CSF escape". The exact clinical relevance of CSF escape is currently unknown since it may occur in approximately 10% of patients on HAART and no neurological impairment was observed in a longitudinal study after 5 years of follow up: this event may therefore be similar to the emergence of plasma "blips". [21, 138] However two case series and several case reports have clearly documented the concrete, though uncommon, possibility of symptomatic CSF escape: severe neurological syndromes and neuroradiological findings have been documented. [22,23, 139-142] In most of the subjects differential viral evolution (with resistance-associated mutation selected in the CSF compartment) was shown and it was explained by asymmetrical penetration of ARVs (with some cerebrospinal fluid concentrations below the limit of detection) but not confirmed by other reports. [143] In a large longitudinal study the factors associated with CSF escape were the presence of CSF pleocytosis, the use of a PI-containing HAART and ultrasensitive plasma HIV RNA level: [144] the poor CSF to plasma ratios observed with protease inhibitors (0 to 1.4% with currently used PIs) may possibly explain these results as well as persistent intrathecal immune activation and plasma residual viremia. In symptomatic patients, switching HAART using more neuro-effective drugs has been shown to improve symptoms and to reduce the CSF viral load, and it appears advisable.

#### **7.3 Efficacy of monotherapy versus combination antiretroviral treatment**

For a few compounds pharmacodynamic data are available: patients received monotherapy and CSF HIV RNA decay was monitored. While lopinavir/ritonavir and zidovudine had a significant effect on cerebrospinal fluid replication didanosine and saquinavir showed no significant effect. [145,146] Abacavir was tested as an adjunctive therapy in patients with HAD: neurocognitive performance and CSF HIV RNA showed no significant change. [86] Protease inhibitors monotherapies have been tested given the need for reducing long-term toxicities and drug expenditure: this strategy is less effective than triple therapy but it is efficacious in the majority of patients. Concerns have been raised on the compartmental activity of low penetrating drugs such as PIs: several data on neurocognitive tests and a review of available data were reassuring on the effect of such strategies. [147-150] Nevertheless a few patients on darunavir/ritonavir (2 from the MONOI study), lopinavir/ritonavir and several subjects on atazanavir/ritonavir as single agents presented neurological symptoms and elevated CSF HIV RNA despite plasma viral control (3/20 in the ATARITMO study with atazanavir). [151,152] Furthermore even in patients with controlled CSF HIV RNA S100beta (a marker of astrocyte damage) rapidly increased after the interruption of NRTIs. [153]

Combination antiretroviral treatment is usually effective in the CNS compartment and a rapid decay in CSF HIV RNA is observed; however in some cases viral decay in the CSF and blood may differ. Slower decay of CSF HIV RNA has been noted in subjects with HAD and lower CD4 cell counts. [125,126, 154,155] Ninety percent of patients with undetectable plasma HIV RNA presented CSF HIV RNA below 50 copies/mL: nevertheless a compartmental residual viremia was measurable through sensitive methods. CSF low-level viremia was associated with neurocognitive impairment, with increased immune activation and it was unresponsive to intensification strategies (with maraviroc, enfuvirtide or raltegravir). [128,129, 156,157]

# **7.4 The CPE score**

The CNS Concentration Effectiveness score (CPE score) has been proposed by a large collaborative study group in the USA (the CHARTER group): [158] in the revised 2010 version ARVs were scored 1 to 4 (where 4 is the most neuro-effective drug) according to drug characteristics, pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamic properties. [159] The composite CPE (obtained adding single drug scores to obtain a treatment score) has been used in several studies leading to conflicting results. Most of the studies found a lower CSF HIV RNA with higher CPE score while the effect on immune-activation, MRI cerebral metabolites concentrations and neurocognitive testing were less concordant among studies: the results are summed up in table 2. [160-172] Furthermore while several retrospective studies found an association between higher CPE scores and lower CSF viral loads [153, 154, 173-175] only one study (out of three) found a correlation with CSF escape. [21, 143, 176] Some reports tried to define a CPE cut off: respectively a value of 6 or 7 were found to be associated with heterogeneous CSF outcomes. [143,144, 173, 177]

Some limitations of the CPE score must be highlighted: the limited amount of evidence regarding PD data and regarding drugs standard dosages, the absence of a clear cut off, the validation in patients receiving triple therapies and with fully sensitive viruses. As an example a CPE corrected for plasma resistance associated mutations was a better predictor (compared to standard CPE) of HAND in a cross-sectional study. [178] For these reasons some authors (and the Italian guidelines) prefer not to use the aggregate CPE but they suggest that treatment optimization in patients with CNS diseases may include drugs with individual elevated neuro-effective score. [174, 179]

The CPE score is therefore a valuable and easy to use tool to implement the use of neuro-active drugs although with some limitations. Nevertheless a recent review using rigorous methods found that neuroHAART was effective in improving neurocognitive function and decreasing CSF viral load (although only two of those studies were adequately statistically powered): this confirms the possible optimization of CNS treatment and calls for prospective, randomized, adequately powered studies. [180] A very interesting study (randomized and controlled) was conducted by Ellis and coll. but unfortunately it was prematurely interrupted for slow accrual (326 patients screened and 59 enrolled): CNS-targeted HAART was not associated with either virological nor neurocognitive improvements although in patients with baseline suppressed viral load a trend for improved cognitive performances over time was observed. [171]

### **7.5 Efficacy in monocytes, macrophages and astrocytes**

Given the peculiarity of infected cells in the CNS and several *in vitro* data, an increasing interest arouse on ARVs activity on monocyte, macrophages and astrocytes. *In vitro* data suggest that the endogenous nucleoside pool in resting macrophages is smaller than the one in activated lymphocytes and therefore that the effective phosphorylated NRTI concentrations required to inhibit HIV replication may be lower. [181] Shikuma and coll. used *in vitro* effective concentration in acutely infected macrophages (EC50) to calculate a "monocyte efficacy score" (ME score: 1/EC50\*1000): surprising results were observed with tenofovir being 17 times more efficacious than abacavir (50 versus 3).[182] In 139 patients the composite score was nicely associated with neurocognitive performance and with presence of HAND or minor motor cognitive disorder.

Recent data challenging infected astrocytes with several NRTIs, NNRTIs and raltegravir reported that some drugs (zidovudine, lamivudine and stavudine) may have inadequate inhibitory activity in astrocytes, with 90% effective concentrations (EC90) exceeding those achievable in the CSF. [183]

These preliminary observation warrant further studies on the differential efficacy of ARVs according to target cells: the repeated association between HIV reservoir size (measured as PBMC- or monocyte-associated quantitative HIV DNA) and HAND support the implementation of specific drug strategies in selected patients (those with low CD4+ cells nadir, high HIV RNA zenith and high cumulative viremia for instance). [184, 185]

#### **7.6 Potential adjunctive effect of maraviroc in the CNS**

Maraviroc is a CCR5 antagonist that bind the human co-receptor thus preventing the stable interaction between R5-tropic HIV and target cells: the mechanism of action is therefore peculiar since it blocks an endogenous receptor and it has an extracellular target. The compound has been associated with some immunological benefits such as a higher CD4 increase and, although less than expected, reduced immune activation in patients with poor immunological recovery. [186] The drug, used in combination with other ARVs, has been proven to be effective in blocking HIV entry both in naïve and in experienced patients. The CNS target cells are usually expressing the CCR5 and most of the viruses are R5 tropic in the CSF (even if patients harbour X4-tropic viruses); discordant tropism (X4 in CSF samples and R5 in plasma) has been rarely reported thus suggesting that maraviroc may be effective in treating CNS HIV infection in most of the patients. [187] While being CNS protective as monotherapy in macaques model and suppressing CSF HIV RNA in patients with neurological symptoms, three studies evaluated the effects of maraviroc intensification. In one it was not associated with the control of CSF residual viremia despite good compartmental penetration. [156] After 14 days of treatment intensification small increases in cerebral metabolite markers of neuronal integrity (NAA/Cr ratios) were observed and they were associated with maraviroc plasma exposure; concomitantly higher plasma concentration were associated with lower CSF CXCL10 (IP-10) concentrations, an inflammatory chemokine. [188,189] Both for its activity

in CNS target cells and for the non antiviral properties maraviroc treatment (either as switch or as intensification) may be an option in neurologically impaired HIV-positive patients with suppressed plasma viral load.

### **8.0 ARV toxicity in the CNS:**

It must be highlighted that most ARVs have a well-described toxicity in the peripheral nervous system while little is known on their toxicity profile in CNS neurons. Some *in vitro* data (immortalized cell lines and peripheral dorsal root ganglia neurons) showed the potential for ARVs to produce neuronal damage: using primary cultures of rat forebrain, Robertson and coll. showed that several antiretroviral achieved toxic concentrations in the CSF without any additive effect. [190-192] Recent data further explored this hypothesis and the production of oxygen reactive species was confirmed in pigtail macaques and rats *in vivo* (with the exposure to zidovudine, saquinavir and ritonavir). [193]

PIs and efavirenz have been associated with glucose and metabolic disturbances eventually leading to dyslipidaemia, glucose intolerance and to abnormal fat distribution (lipodystrophy); the cumulative exposure to PIs has further being implicated in the increasing cardiovascular event observed in HIV-positive patients. [194] Previous studies suggest that HIV-infected patients are at increased risk of ischemic cerebrovascular disease, potentially caused by infective vasculitis, brain opportunistic diseases, cardiac embolism, hypercoagulopathy, or HIV infection itself. [195,196] Among a variety of brain vessel diseases, cerebral small vessel disease (CVSD) has been associated with ischemic stroke during life and cerebral infarction at autopsy. Recently it was demonstrated that mild and moderate/severe small vessel diseases were associated with protease inhibitor-based HAART exposure and that HAND was associated with mild CSVD (after adjusting for vessel mineralization, HIV encephalitis, microglial nodular lesions, white matter lesions, or older age).[197] Further to this potentially relevant effect on cerebrovascular disease, PI-based

combination treatment has been associated with reduced amyloid phagocytosis and increased neuronal accumulation justifying some of the shared and clinical features with Alzheimer's dementia. [198,199]

Efavirenz effects in the CNS are well-characterized (abnormal dreams, dizziness) and associated with higher plasma concentrations and to single nucleotide polymorphisms in genes encoding for proteins involved in the drug metabolism or transport. Furthermore being on efavirenz was independently associated with the diagnosis of HAND in a cohort of stable HIV-positive patients. [200] One recent study reported that cognition improved for up to 96 weeks in a group of immunologically and virologically stable patients who elected to come off treatment; the improvement was significant in all participants but greater in efavirenz recipients. [133]

These results raise the possibility that ARVs concentrations to some extent may have some detrimental effects: this may be particularly relevant for individuals with specific genetic profiles but it must be compared to the clear beneficial effect of HAART on compartmentalized viral control.

# **9.0 Conclusions**

Highly active antiretroviral treatment is very effective in controlling HIV replication and in increasing patients' immune system thus preventing opportunistic diseases. In the central nervous system the same rule applies, although persistent immune activation have been demonstrated despite antiviral efficacy. Antiretrovirals penetration into the CNS may depend on several drug and patient characteristics: the use of more neuro-effective drugs (high penetration and compartmental activity) has been associated with better cerebrospinal fluid viral control and in some, but not all studies, with better neurocognitive performances. ARV regimens based on neuro-effective drugs may be suggested in patients with increased pharmacological needs (CSF escape, CNS compartmentalized viruses, high intrathecal immune activation) and neurocognitive disorders. The use of antiretroviral drugs with increased cerebrospinal fluid penetration and/or effectiveness in treating or preventing neurocognitive disorders needs to be addressed in well-designed prospective studies aiming also at understanding the exact impact of antiretrovirals neurotoxicity.

# **Conflict of Interest**

A. Calcagno has received travel grants or speaker's honoraria from Abbott, Bristol-Myers Squibb (BMS), Merck Sharp & Dohme (MSD) and Janssen-Cilag. S. Bonora. has received grants, travel grants and consultancy fees from Abbott, Boehringer-Inghelheim, BMS, Gilead-Sciences, GSK, MSD, Pfizer and Janssen-Cilag. G. Di Perri has received grants, travel grants and consultancy fees from Abbott, Boehringer-Inghelheim, BMS, Gilead-Sciences, GSK, MSD, Pfizer, Roche and Tibotec (Johnson & Johnson).

# **References**

1. Valcour V, Chalermchai T, Sailasuta N, et al. Central nervous system viral invasion and inflammation during acute HIV infection. J Infect Dis. 2012;206(2):275-82.

- 2. Gannon P, Khan MZ, Kolson DL. Current understanding of HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders pathogenesis. Curr Opin Neurol. 2011;24(3):275-83.
- 3. Zhou L, Saksena NK. HIV Associated Neurocognitive Disorders. Infect Dis Rep.2013;5(Suppl 1):e8.
- 4. Clifford DB, Ances BM. HIV-associated neurocognitive disorder. Lancet Infect Dis. 2013;13(11):976-86.
- 5. Bonnet F, Amieva H, Marquant F, et al. Cognitive disorders in HIV-infected patients: are they HIV-related? AIDS. 2013;27(3):391-400.
- 6. Burdo TH, Lackner A, Williams KC. Monocyte/macrophages and their role in HIV neuropathogenesis. Immunol Rev. 2013;254(1):102-13.
- 7. Carroll-Anzinger D, Kumar A, Adarichev V, Kashanchi F, Al-Harthi L. Human immunodeficiency virus-restricted replication in astrocytes and the ability of gamma interferon to modulate this restriction are regulated by a downstream effector of the Wnt signaling pathway. J Virol. 2007;81(11):5864-71.
- 8. Masliah E, Heaton RK, Marcotte TD, et al. Dendritic injury is a pathological substrate for human immunodeficiency virus-related cognitive disorders. HNRC group. The HIV Neurobehavioral Research Center. Ann Neurol 1997; 42:963 – 972.
- 9. Glass JD, Fedor H, Wesselingh SL, McArthur JC. Immunocytochemical quantitation of human immunodeficiency virus in the brain: correlations with dementia. Ann Neurol 1995; 38:755–762.
- 10. Anthony IC, Bell JE. The neuropathology of HIV/AIDS. Int Rev Psychiatry 2008; 20:15–24.
- 11. Kaul M. HIV-1 associated dementia: update on pathological mechanisms and therapeutic approaches. Curr Opin Neurol. 2009;22(3):315-20.
- 12. Williams DW, Eugenin EA, Calderon TM, Berman JW. Monocyte maturation, HIV susceptibility, and transmigration across the blood brain barrier are critical in HIV neuropathogenesis. J Leukoc Biol. 2012;91(3):401-15.
- 13. Nakagawa S, Castro V, Toborek M. Infection of human pericytes by HIV-1 disrupts the integrity of the blood-brain barrier. J Cell Mol Med. 2012;16(12):2950-7.
- 14. Abdulle S, Hagberg L, Gisslén M. Effects of antiretroviral treatment on blood-brain barrier integrity and intrathecal immunoglobulin production in neuroasymptomatic HIV-1-infected patients. HIV Med. 2005;6(3):164-9.
- 15. Abdulle S, Hagberg L, Svennerholm B, Fuchs D, Gisslén M. Continuing intrathecal immunoactivation despite two years of effective antiretroviral therapy against HIV-1 infection. AIDS. 2002;16(16):2145-9.
- 16. Calcagno A, Alberione MC, Romito A' Imperiale D, Ghisetti V, Audagnotto S, Lipani F, Raviolo S, Di Perri G, Bonora S<sup>.</sup> Prevalence and Predictors of Blood Brain Barrier Damage in the HAART Era. J Neurovirol, 2014. *In Press.*
- 17. Shen L, Siliciano RF. Viral reservoirs, residual viremia, and the potential of highly active antiretroviral therapy to eradicate HIV infection. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2008;122(1):22-8.
- 18. Fletcher CV, Staskus K, Wietgrefe SW, et al. Persistent HIV-1 replication is associated with lower antiretroviral drug concentrations in lymphatic tissues. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2014;111(6):2307-12.
- 19. Schnell G, Spudich S, Harrington P, Price RW, Swanstrom R. Compartmentalized human immunodeficiency virus type 1 originates from long-lived cells in some subjects with HIV-1-associated dementia. PLoS Pathog. 2009;5(4):e1000395.
- 20. Zhang Y, Wei F, Liang Q, et al. High levels of divergent HIV-1 quasispecies in patients with neurological opportunistic infections in China. J Neurovirol. 2013;19(4):359-66.
- 21. Edén A, Fuchs D, Hagberg L, et al. HIV-1 viral escape in cerebrospinal fluid of subjects on suppressive antiretroviral treatment. J Infect Dis. 2010;202(12):1819-25.
- 22. Canestri A, Lescure FX, Jaureguiberry S, et al. Discordance between cerebral spinal fluid and plasma HIV replication in patients with neurological symptoms who are receiving suppressive antiretroviral therapy. Clin Infect Dis. 2010;50(5):773-8.
- 23. Peluso MJ, Ferretti F, Peterson J, et al.Cerebrospinal fluid HIV escape associated with progressive neurologic dysfunction in patients on antiretroviral therapy with well controlled plasma viral load. AIDS. 2012;26(14):1765-74.
- 24. Antinori A, Arendt G, Becker JT, et al. Updated research nosology for HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders. Neurology. 2007;69(18):1789-99.
- 25. Heaton R, Franlin D, Woods S, et al. Asymptomatic mild HIV-associated neurocognitive disorder increases risk for future symptomatic decline: a CHARTER longitudinal study. In abstract of the 19th CROI, 2012, Seattle, WA, USA.
- 26. Blackstone K, Moore DJ, Heaton RK et al. Diagnosing symptomatic HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders: self-report versus performance-based assessment of everyday functioning. J Int Neuropsychol Soc. 2012;18(1):79-88.
- 27. Cysique LA, Brew BJ. Prevalence of non-confounded HIV-associated neurocognitive impairment in the context of plasma HIV RNA suppression. J Neurovirol. 2011;17(2):176-83.
- 28. Winston A, Arenas-Pinto A, Stöhr W, et al. Neurocognitive function in HIV infected patients on antiretroviral therapy. PLoS One. 2013;8(4):e61949.
- 29. Spudich S. HIV and neurocognitive dysfunction. Curr HIV/AIDS Rep. 2013;10(3):235-43. doi: 10.1007/s11904-013-0171-y.
- 30. Varatharajan L, Thomas SA. The transport of anti-HIV drugs across blood-CNS interfaces: summary of current knowledge and recommendations for further research. Antiviral Res. 2009;82(2):A99-109.
- 31. Kumar AM, Borodowsky I, Fernandez B, Gonzalez L, Kumar M. Human immunodeficiency virus type 1 RNA Levels in different regions of human brain: quantification using real-time reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction. J Neurovirol. 2007;13(3):210-24
- 32. Fox E, Bungay PM, Bacher J, et al. Zidovudine concentration in brain extracellular fluid measured by microdialysis: steady-state and transient results in rhesus monkey. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 2002;301(3):1003-11.
- 33. Liu X, Van Natta K, Yeo H, et al.Unbound drug concentration in brain homogenate and cerebral spinal fluid at steady state as a surrogate for unbound concentration in brain interstitial fluid. Drug Metab Dispos. 2009;37(4):787-93.
- 34. Blaney SM, Daniel MJ, Harker AJ, Godwin K, Balis FM. Pharmacokinetics of lamivudine and BCH-189 in plasma and cerebrospinal fluid of nonhuman primates. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 1995;39(12):2779-82.
- 35. Caruso A, Alvarez-Sánchez R, Hillebrecht A, et al. PK/PD assessment in CNS drug discovery: Prediction of CSF concentration in rodents for P-glycoprotein substrates and application to *in vivo* potency estimation. Biochem Pharmacol. 2013;85(11):1684-99.

36. de Lange EC. Utility of CSF in translational neuroscience. J Pharmacokinet Pharmacodyn. 2013;40(3):315-26.

- 37. Eisfeld C, Reichelt D, Evers S, Husstedt I. CSF penetration by antiretroviral drugs. CNS Drugs. 2013;27(1):31-55.
- 38. Enting RH, Hoetelmans RM, Lange JM et al. Antiretroviral drugs and the central nervous system. AIDS 1998; 12: 1941–55.
- 39. Soulas C, Conerly C, Kim WK et al. Recently infiltrating MAC387(+) monocytes/macrophages a third macrophage population involved in SIV and HIV encephalitic lesion formation. Am J Pathol 2011; 178: 2121 – 35.
- 40. Minogue AM, Jones RS, Kelly RJ, McDonald CL, Connor TJ, Lynch MA. Age-associated dysregulation of microglial activation is coupled with enhanced blood-brain barrier permeability and pathology in APP/PS1 mice. Neurobiol Aging. 2014;35(6):1442-52.
- 41. Vinikoor MJ, Napravnik S, Floris-Moore M, Wilson S, Huang DY, Eron JJ. Incidence and clinical features of cerebrovascular disease among HIV-infected adults in the Southeastern United States. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses. 2013;29(7):1068-74.
- 42. Singer EJ, Valdes-Sueiras M, Commins DL, Yong W, Carlson M. HIV stroke risk: evidence and implications. Ther Adv Chronic Dis. 2013;4(2):61-70.
- 43. Croteau D, Best B, Clifford D, et al. Older age is associated with higher ARV concentrations in CSF in HIV+ individuals. In Abstracts of the 19th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. March 5-8, 2012. Seattle, WA, USA.
- 44. Moss DM, Siccardi M, Back DJ, Owen A. Predicting intestinal absorption of raltegravir using a population-based ADME simulation. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2013;68(7):1627-34.
- 45. Marshall DW, Brey RL, Butzin CA, et al. Spectrum of cerebrospinal fluid findings in various stages of human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Arch Neurol* 1988; 45:954-958.
- 46. Petito CK, Cash KS. Blood-brain barrier abnormalities in the acquired immunodeficiency syndrome: immunohistochemical localization of serum proteins in postmortem brain. *Ann Neurol* 1992; 32: 658-666.
- 47. Andersson LM, Hagbwerg L, Fuchs D, Svennerholm B, Gisslen M. Increased blood brain-barrier permeability in neuroasymptomatic HIV-1-infected individuals-correlation with cerebrospinal fluid HIV-1 RNA and neopterin levels. *J Neurovirol* 2001; 7: 542-547.
- 48. Marchi N, Betto G, Fazio V, et al. Blood-brain barrier damage and brain penetration of antiepileptic drugs: role of serum proteins and brain edema. Epilepsia. 2009;50(4):664-77.
- 49. Yilmaz A, Gisslén M, Spudich S, et al. Raltegravir cerebrospinal fluid concentrations in HIV-1 infection. PLoS One. 2009;4(9):e6877.
- 50. Calcagno A, Bonora S, Simiele M, et al. Tenofovir and emtricitabine cerebrospinal fluid-to-plasma ratios correlate to the extent of bloodbrainbarrier damage. AIDS. 2011; 25(11):1437-9.
- 51. Calcagno A, Cusato J, Simiele M, et al. High Interpatient Variability of Raltegravir Cerebrospinal Fluid Concentrations in HIV-positive Patients: a Pharmacogenetic Analysis. *J* Antimicrob Chemother 2014; 69(1): 241-5.
- 52. Marzolini C, Mueller R, Li-Blatter X, Battegay M, Seelig A. The brain entry of HIV-1 protease inhibitors is facilitated when used in combination. Mol Pharm. 2013;10(6):2340-9.
- 53. Avery LB, Zarr MA, Bakshi RP, Siliciano RF, Hendrix CW. Increasing extracellular protein concentration reduces intracellular antiretroviral drug concentration and antiviral effect. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses. 2013;29(11):1434-42.
- 54. Yilmaz A, Ståhle L, Hagberg L, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid and plasma HIV-1 RNA levels and lopinavir concentrations following lopinavir/ritonavir regimen. Scand J Infect Dis. 2004;36(11-12):823-8. PubMed PMID: 15764168.
- 55. Croteau D, Best BM, Letendre S, et al. Lower than expected maraviroc concentrations in cerebrospinal fluid exceed the wild-type CC chemokine receptor 5-tropic HIV-1 50% inhibitory concentration. AIDS. 2012 ;26(7):890-3.
- 56. Croteau D, Rossi SS, Best BM, et al. Darunavir is predominantly unbound to protein in cerebrospinal fluid and concentrations exceed the wild-type HIV-1 median 90% inhibitory concentration. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2013;68(3):684-9.
- 57. Reiber H. Proteins in cerebrospinal fluid and blood: barriers, CSF flow rate and source-related dynamics. Restor Neurol Neurosci. 2003;21(3-4):79-96.
- 58. Avery LB, Sacktor N, McArthur JC, Hendrix CW. Protein-free efavirenz concentrations in cerebrospinal fluid and blood plasma are equivalent: applying the law of mass action to predict protein-free drug concentration. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2013;57(3):1409-14.
- 59. Delille CA, Pruett ST, Marconi VC, et al. Effect of protein binding on unbound atazanavir and darunavir cerebrospinal fluid concentrations. J Clin Pharmacol. 2014 Apr 1. doi: 10.1002/jcph.298. [Epub ahead of print]
- 60. Nguyen A, Rossi S, Croteau D, et al. Etravirine in CSF is highly protein bound. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2013;68(5):1161-8.
- 61. Stępień KM, Tomaszewski M, Tomaszewska J, Czuczwar SJ. The multidrug transporter P-glycoprotein in pharmacoresistance to antiepileptic drugs. Pharmacol Rep. 2012;64(5):1011-9.
- 62. Kannan P, John C, Zoghbi SS, et al. Imaging the function of P-glycoprotein with radiotracers: pharmacokinetics and *in vivo* applications. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2009;86(4):368-77.
- 63. Bleasby K, Castle JC, Roberts CJ, et al. Expression profiles of 50 xenobiotic transporter genes in humans and pre-clinical species: a resource for investigations into drug disposition. Xenobiotica. 2006;36(10-11):963-88.
- 64. Hartkoorn RC, Kwan WS, Shallcross V, et al. HIV protease inhibitors are substrates for OATP1A2, OATP1B1 and OATP1B3 and lopinavir plasma concentrations are influenced by SLCO1B1 polymorphisms. Pharmacogenet Genomics. 2010;20(2):112-20.
- 65. Kaddoumi A, Choi SU, Kinman L, et al. Inhibition of P-glycoprotein activity at the primate blood-brain barrier increases the distribution of nelfinavir into the brain but not into the cerebrospinal fluid. Drug Metab Dispos. 2007;35(9):1459-62.
- 66. Calcagno A, Yilmaz A, Cusato J, et al. Determinants of darunavir cerebrospinal fluid concentrations: impact of once-daily dosing and pharmacogenetics. AIDS. 2012;26(12):1529-33.
- 67. Zakeri-Milani P, Valizadeh H. Intestinal transporters: enhanced absorption through P-glycoprotein-related drug interactions. Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol. 2014. [Epub ahead of print]
- 68. Lepist EI, Phan TK, Roy A, et al. Cobicistat boosts the intestinal absorption of transport substrates, including HIV protease inhibitors and GS-7340, *in vitro*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2012;56(10):5409-13.
- 69. Sánchez Martín A, Cabrera Figueroa S, Cruz Guerrero R, et al. Impact of pharmacogenetics on CNS side effects related to efavirenz. Pharmacogenomics. 2013;14(10):1167-78.
- 70. Wyen C, Hendra H, Siccardi M, et al. Cytochrome P450 2B6 (CYP2B6) and constitutive androstane receptor (CAR) polymorphisms are associated with early discontinuation of efavirenz-containing regimens. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2011;66(9):2092-8.
- 71. Saitoh A, Sarles E, Capparelli E, et al. CYP2B6 genetic variants are associated with nevirapine pharmacokinetics and clinical response in HIV-1-infected children. AIDS. 2007;21(16):2191-9.
- 72. Best BM, Letendre SL, Brigid E, et al. Low atazanavir concentrations in cerebrospinal fluid. AIDS. 2009;23(1):83-7.
- 73. Capparelli EV, Letendre SL, Ellis RJ, et al. Population pharmacokinetics of abacavir in plasma and cerebrospinal fluid. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2005;49(6):2504-6.
- 74. Yilmaz A, Watson V, Else L, Gisslèn M. Cerebrospinal fluid maraviroc concentrations in HIV-1 infected patients. AIDS. 2009;23(18):2537- 40.
- 75. Melica G, Canestri A, Peytavin G, et al. Maraviroc-containing regimen suppresses HIV replication in the cerebrospinal fluid of patients with neurological symptoms. AIDS. 2010;24(13):2130-3.
- 76. Tiraboschi JM, Niubo J, Curto J, Podzamczer D. Maraviroc concentrations in cerebrospinal fluid in HIV-infected patients. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2010;55(5):606-9.
- 77. Burger DM, Kraaijeveld CL, Meenhorst PL et al. Penetration of zidovudine into the cerebrospinal fluid of patients infected with HIV. AIDS 1993; 7: 1581-7.
- 78. Lane HC, Falloon J, Walker RE et al. Zidovudine in patients with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection and Kaposi sarcoma. A phase II randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Ann Intern Med 1989; 111: 41-50.
- 79. Elovaara I, Poutiainen E, Lahdevirta J et al. Zidovudine reduces intrathecal immunoactivation in patients with early human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection. Arch Neurol 1994; 51: 943-50.
- 80. Balis FM, Pizzo PA, Eddy J et al. Pharmacokinetics of zidovudine administered intravenously and orally in children with human immunodeficiency virus infection. J Pediatr 1989; 114: 880-4.
- 81. Hagberg L, Andersson M, Chiodi F et al. Effect of zidovudine on cerebrospinal fluid in patients with HIV infection and acute neurological disease. Scand J Infect Dis 1991; 23: 681-5.
- 82. Tozzi V, Narciso P, Galgani S et al. Effects of zidovudine in 30 patients with mild to end-stage AIDS dementia complex. AIDS 1993; 7: 683-92.
- 83. Sidtis JJ, Gatsonis C, Price RW et al. Zidovudine treatment of the AIDS dementia complex: results of a placebo-controlled trial. AIDS Clinical Trials Group. Ann Neurol 1993; 33: 343-9.
- 84. McDowell JA, Chittick GE, Ravitch JR et al. Pharmacokinetics of [(14)C]abacavir, a human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) reverse transcriptase inhibitor, administered in a single oral dose to HIV-1-infected adults: a mass balance study. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1999; 43: 2855-61.
- 85. McDowell JA, Lou Y, Symonds WS et al. Multiple-dose pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of abacavir alone and in combination with zidovudine in human immunodeficiency virus-infected adults. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2000; 44: 2061-7.
- 86. Brew BJ, Halman M, Catalan J et al. Factors in AIDS dementia complex trial design: results and lessons from the abacavir trial. PLoS Clin Trials 2007; 2: e13.
- 87. Antinori A, Perno CF, Giancola ML et al. Efficacy of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF)-penetrating antiretroviral drugs against HIV in the neurological compartment: different patterns of phenotypic resistance in CSF and plasma. Clin Infect Dis 2005; 41: 1787-93.
- 88. Burger DM, Kraayeveld CL, Meenhorst PL et al. Study on didanosine concentrations in cerebrospinal fluid. Implications for the treatment and prevention of AIDS dementia complex. Pharm World Sci 1995; 17: 218 -21.
- 89. Gissle ́n M, Norkrans G, Svennerholm B et al. The effect on human immunodeficiency virus type 1 RNA levels in cerebrospinal fluid after initiation of zidovudine or didanosine. J Infect Dis 1997; 175: 434 -7.
- 90. Best B, Letendre S, Capparelli E et al. Efavirenz and emtricitabine concentrations consistently exceed wild-type IC50 in cerebrospinal fluid: CHARTER findings. In: Abstracts of the Sixteenth Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections, Montreal, Canada, 2009.
- 91. Foudraine NA, Hoetelmans RM, Lange JM et al. Cerebrospinal-fluid HIV-1 RNA and drug concentrations after treatment with lamivudine plus zidovudine or stavudine. Lancet 1998; 351: 1547-51.
- 92. Blaschke A, Capparelli E, Ellis R et al. A population model-based approach for determining lamivudine (3TC) cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) penetration in HIV-infected adults. In: Abstracts of the Seventh Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections, San Francisco, CA, USA, 2000.
- 93. Haworth SJ, Christofalo B, Anderson RD et al. A single-dose study to assess the penetration of stavudine into human cerebrospinal fluid in adults. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol 1998; 17: 235-8.
- 94. Brady KA, Boston RC, Aldrich JL et al. Stavudine entry into cerebrospinal fluid after single and multiple doses in patients infected with human immunodeficiency virus. Pharmacotherapy 2005; 25: 10-7.
- 95. Zhang L, Price R, Aweeka F et al. Making the most of sparse clinical data by using a predictive-model-based analysis, illustrated with a stavudine pharmacokinetic study. Eur J Pharm Sci 2001; 12: 377-85.
- 96. Best BM, Letendre SL, Koopmans P, et al. Low cerebrospinal fluid concentrations of the nucleotide HIV reverse transcriptase inhibitor, tenofovir. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2012;59(4):376-81.
- 97. Takasawa K, Terasaki T, Suzuki H et al. Distributed model analysis of 3′ -azido-3′ -deoxythymidine and 2′ ,3′ -dideoxyinosine distribution in brain tissue and cerebrospinal fluid. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1997; 282: 1509-17.
- 98. Anthonypillai C, Gibbs JE, Thomas SA. The distribution of the anti-HIV drug, tenofovir (PMPA), into the brain, CSF and choroid plexuses. Cerebrospinal Fluid Res. 2006;3:1
- 99. Tashima KT, Caliendo AM, Ahmad M et al. Cerebrospinal fluid human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) suppression and efavirenz drug concentrations in HIV-1-infected patients receiving combination therapy. J Infect Dis 1999; 180: 862-4.
- 100. Best BM, Koopmans PP, Letendre SL et al. Efavirenz concentrations in CSF exceed IC50 for wild-type HIV. J Antimicrob Chemother 2010; 66: 354-7.
- 101. van Praag RM, van Weert EC, van Heeswijk RP et al. Stable concentrations of zidovudine, stavudine, lamivudine, abacavir, and nevirapine in serum and cerebrospinal fluid during 2 years of therapy. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2002; 46: 896-9.
- 102. Veldkamp AI, Weverling GJ, Lange JM et al. High exposure to nevirapine in plasma is associated with an improved virological response in HIV-1-infected individuals. AIDS 2001; 15: 1089-95.
- 103. Mora-Peris B, Watson V, Vera JH, et al. Rilpivirine exposure in plasma and sanctuary site compartments after switching from nevirapine-containing combined antiretroviral therapy. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2014 Feb 11. [Epub ahead of print]
- 104. Kravcik S, Gallicano K, Roth V et al. Cerebrospinal fluid HIV RNA and drug levels with combination ritonavir and saquinavir. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 1999; 21: 371-5.
- 105. Sadler BM, Chittick GE, Polk RE et al. Metabolic disposition and pharmacokinetics of [14C]-amprenavir, a human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) protease inhibitor, administered as a single oral dose to healthy male subjects. J Clin Pharmacol 2001; 41: 386-96.
- 106. Murphy R, Currier J, Gerber J et al. Antiviral activity and pharmacokinetics of amprenavir with or without zidovudine/3TC in the cerebrospinal fluid of HIV-infected adults. In: Abstracts of the Seventh Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections, San Francisco, CA, USA, 2000.
- 107. Saumoy M, Tiraboschi J, Gutierrez M et al. Viral response in stable patients switching to fosamprenavir/ritonavir monotherapy (the FONT Study). HIV Med 2011; 12: 438-41.
- 108. Yilmaz A, Izadkhashti A, Price RW et al. Darunavir concentrations in cerebrospinal fluid and blood in HIV-1-infected individuals. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses 2009; 25: 457-61.
- 109. Capparelli EV, Holland D, Okamoto C et al. Lopinavir concentrations in cerebrospinal fluid exceed the 50% inhibitory concentration for HIV. AIDS 2005; 19: 949-52.
- 110. DiCenzo R, DiFrancesco R, Cruttenden K et al. Lopinavir cerebrospinal fluid steady-state trough concentrations in HIV-infected adults. Ann Pharmacother 2009; 43: 1972-7.
- 111. Lafeuillade A, Solas C, Halfon P et al. Differences in the detection of three HIV-1 protease inhibitors in non-blood compartments: clinical correlations. HIV Clin Trials 2002; 3: 27-35.
- 112. Letendre SL, van den Brande G, Hermes A et al. Lopinavir with ritonavir reduces the HIV RNA level in cerebrospinal fluid. Clin Infect Dis 2007; 45: 1511-7.
- 113. Yilmaz A, Fuchs D, Hagberg L et al. Cerebrospinal fluid HIV-1 RNA, intrathecal immunoactivation, and drug concentrations after treatment with a combination of saquinavir, nelfinavir, and two nucleoside analogues: the M61022 study. BMC Infect Dis 2006; 6: 63.
- 114. Moyle GJ, Sadler M, Buss N. Plasma and cerebrospinal fluid saquinavir concentrations in patients receiving combination antiretroviral therapy. Clin Infect Dis 1999; 28: 403-4.
- 115. Gisolf EH, Enting RH, Jurriaans S et al. Cerebrospinal fluid HIV-1 RNA during treatment with ritonavir/saquinavir or ritonavir/saquinavir/ stavudine. AIDS 2000; 14: 1583-9.
- 116. Kim RB, Fromm MF, Wandel C et al. The drug transporter P-glycoprotein limits oral absorption and brain entry of HIV-1 protease inhibitors. J Clin Invest 1998; 101: 289-94.
- 117. Yilmaz A, Price RW, Gisslén M. Antiretroviral drug treatment of CNS HIV-1 infection. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2012 Feb;67(2):299-311.
- 118. Price RW, Parham R, Kroll JL et al. Enfuvirtide cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) pharmacokinetics and potential use in defining CSF HIV-1 origin. Antivir Ther 2008; 13: 369-74.
- 119. van Lelyveld SF, Nijhuis M, Baatz F et al. Therapy failure following selection of enfuvirtide-resistant HIV-1 in cerebrospinal fluid. Clin Infect Dis 2010; 50: 387-90.
- 120. Zhou XJ, Havlir DV, Richman DD, et al. Plasma population pharmacokinetics and penetration into cerebrospinal fluid of indinavir in combination with zidovudine and lamivudine in HIV-1-infected patients. AIDS. 2000;14(18):2869-76.
- 121. Letendre SL, Capparelli EV, Ellis RJ, McCutchan JA. Indinavir population pharmacokinetics in plasma and cerebrospinal fluid. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2000 Aug;44(8):2173-5.
- 122. Letendre S, Mills A, Tashima K, et al. Distribution and Antiviral Activity in Cerebrospinal Fluid (CSF) of the Integrase Inhibitor, Dolutegravir (DTG): ING116070 Week 16 Results. In Abstracts of the 20th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections, March 3-6, 2013, Atlanta, GE, USA.
- 123. Croteau D, Letendre S, Best BM, et al. Total raltegravir concentrations in cerebrospinal fluid exceed the 50-percent inhibitory concentration for wild-type HIV-1. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2010;54(12):5156-60.
- 124. Staprans S, Marlowe N, Glidden D et al. Time course of cerebrospinal fluid responses to antiretroviral therapy: evidence for variable compartmentalization of infection. AIDS 1999; 13: 1051-61.
- 125. Ellis RJ, Gamst AC, Capparelli E et al. Cerebrospinal fluid HIV RNA originates from both local CNS and systemic sources. Neurology 2000; 54: 927-36.
- 126. Eggers C, Hertogs K, Sturenburg HJ et al. Delayed central nervous system virus suppression during highly active antiretroviral therapy is associated with HIV encephalopathy, but not with viral drug resistance or poor central nervous system drug penetration. AIDS 2003; 17: 1897-906.
- 127. Mellgren A, Antinori A, Cinque P et al. Cerebrospinal fluid HIV-1 infection usually responds well to antiretroviral treatment. Antivir Ther 2005; 10: 701-7.
- 128. Gisslen M, Norkrans G, Svennerholm B et al. HIV-1 RNA detectable with ultrasensitive quantitative polymerase chain reaction in plasma but not in cerebrospinal fluid during combination treatment with zidovudine, lamivudine and indinavir. AIDS 1998; 12: 114-6.
- 129. Letendre S, McClernon D Ellis R, et al. Persistent HIV in the central nervous system during treatment is associated with worse ART penetration and cognitive impairment. In Abstracts of the 16th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections, February 8-11, 2009, Montreal; Canada.
- 130. Yilmaz A, Yiannoutsos CT, Fuchs D, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid neopterin decay characteristics after initiation of antiretroviral therapy. J Neuroinflammation. 2013;10:62.
- 131. Masters MC, Ances BM. Role of Neuroimaging in HIV-Associated Neurocognitive Disorders. Semin Neurol. 2014;34(1):89-102.
- 132. Garvey LJ, Pavese N, Politis M, et al. Increased microglia activation in neurologically asymptomatic HIV-infected patients receiving effective ART. AIDS. 2014;28(1):67-72.
- 133. Robertson KR, Su Z, Margolis DM, et al. Neurocognitive effects of treatment interruption in stable HIV-positive patients in an observational cohort. Neurology. 2010;74(16):1260-6.
- 134. Grund B, Wright EJ, Brew BJ, et al. Improved neurocognitive test performance in both arms of the SMART study: impact of practice effect. J Neurovirol. 2013;19(4):383-92.
- 135. Acosta EP, Limoli KL, Trinh L, et al. Novel method to assess antiretroviral target trough concentrations using *in vitro* susceptibility data. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2012;56(11):5938-45.
- 136. Calcagno A, Simiele M, Alberione MC, et al. Cerebrospinal Fluid Inhibitory Quotients of Antiretroviral Drugs in HIV-positive Patients. In Abstract of the 14th Interantional Workshop of Clinical Pharmacology oh HIV Therapy, 22-24 April 2013, Amsterdam, the Netherlands.
- 137. Ellis RJ, Moore DJ, Childers ME, et al. Progression to neuropsychological impairment in human immunodeficiency virus infection predicted by elevated cerebrospinal fluid levels of human immunodeficiency virus RNA. Arch Neurol. 2002;59(6):923-8.
- 138. Edén A, Hagberg L, Svennerholm B, et al. Longitudinal Follow up of Detectable44HIV 1 RNA in Cerebrospinal Fluid in subjects on Suppressive Antiretroviral Therapy. In Abstract of the 19th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistc Infections, March 5-8, 2012, Seattle, WA USA.
- 139. Wendel KA, McArthur JC. Acute meningoencephalitis in chronic human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection: putative central nervous system escape of HIV replication. Clin Infect Dis. 2003;37(8):1107-11.
- 140. Bogoch II, Davis BT, Venna N. Reversible dementia in a patient with central nervous system escape of human immunodeficiency virus. J Infect. 2011;63(3):236-9.
- 141. Bingham R, Ahmed N, Rangi P, et al. HIV encephalitis despite suppressed viraemia: a case of compartmentalized viral escape. Int J STD AIDS. 2011;22(10):608-9.
- 142. Khoury MN, Tan CS, Peaslee M, Koralnik IJ. CSF viral escape in a patient with HIV-associated neurocognitive disorder. J Neurovirol. 2013;19(4):402-5.
- 143. Cusini A, Vernazza PL, Yerly S, et al. Higher CNS penetration-effectiveness of long-term combination antiretroviral therapy is associated with better HIV-1 viral suppression in cerebrospinal fluid. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2013;62(1):28-35.
- 144. Perez Valero I, Letendre S, Ellis R, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for HIV CSF Viral Escape: Results from the CHARTER and HNRP cohorts. Journal of the International AIDS Society 2012, 15(Suppl 4):18189
- 145. Gisslén M, Norkrans G, Svennerholm B, Hagberg L. The effect on human immunodeficiency virus type 1 RNA levels in cerebrospinal fluid after initiation of zidovudine or didanosine. J Infect Dis. 1997;175(2):434-7.
- 146. Letendre SL, van den Brande G, Hermes A et al. Lopinavir with ritonavir reduces the HIV RNA level in cerebrospinal fluid. Clin Infect Dis 2007; 45: 1511–7.
- 147. Bunupuradah T, Chetchotisakd P, Jirajariyavej S, et al. Neurocognitive impairment in patients randomized to second-line lopinavir/ritonavir-based antiretroviral therapy vs. lopinavir/ritonavir monotherapy. J Neurovirol. 2012;18(6):479-87.
- 148. Santos JR, Muñoz-Moreno JA, Moltó J, et al. Virological efficacy in cerebrospinal fluid and neurocognitive status in patients with long-term monotherapy based on lopinavir/ritonavir: an exploratory study. PLoS One. 2013;8(7):e70201.
- 149. Pérez-Valero I, González-Baeza A, Estébanez M, et al. Neurocognitive impairment in patients treated with protease inhibitor monotherapy or triple drug antiretroviral therapy. PLoS One. 2013;8(7):e69493.
- 150. Perez-Valero I, Bayon C, Cambron I, Gonzalez A, Arribas JR. Protease inhibitor monotherapy and the CNS: peace of mind? J Antimicrob Chemother. 2011;66(9):1954-62.
- 151. Katlama C, Valantin MA, Algarte-Genin M et al. Efficacy of darunavir/ ritonavir maintenance monotherapy in patients with HIV-1 viral suppression: a randomized open-label, noninferiority trial, MONOI-ANRS 136. AIDS 2010; 24: 2365–74.
- 152. Vernazza P, Daneel S, Schiffer V, et al. The role of compartment penetration in PI-monotherapy: the Atazanavir-Ritonavir Monomaintenance (ATARITMO) Trial. AIDS. 2007;21(10):1309-15.
- 153. Du Pasquier RA, Jilek S, Kalubi M, et al. Marked increase of the astrocytic marker S100B in the cerebrospinal fluid of HIV-infected patients on LPV/r-monotherapy. AIDS. 2013;27(2):203-10.
- 154. Ellis RJ, Gamst AC, Capparelli E et al. Cerebrospinal fluid HIV RNA originates from both local CNS and systemic sources. Neurology 2000; 54: 927-36.
- 155. Spudich SS, Nilsson AC, Lollo ND et al. Cerebrospinal fluid HIV infection and pleocytosis: relation to systemic infection and antiretroviral treatment. BMC Infect Dis 2005; 5: 98.
- 156. Yilmaz A, Verhofstede C, D'Avolio A, et al. Treatment intensification has no effect on the HIV-1 central nervous system infection in patients on suppressive antiretroviral therapy. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2010;55(5):590-6.
- 157. Dahl V, Lee E, Peterson J, et al. Raltegravir treatment intensification does not alter cerebrospinal fluid HIV-1 infection or immunoactivation in subjects on suppressive therapy. J Infect Dis. 2011;204(12):1936-45.
- 158. Letendre S, Marquie-Beck J, Capparelli E, et al. Validation of the CNS Penetration-Effectiveness rank for quantifying antiretroviral penetration into the central nervous system. Arch Neurol 2008; 65:65–70.
- 159. Hammond ER, Crum RM, Treisman GJ, et al. The Cerebrospinal Fluid HIV Risk Score for Assessing Central Nervous System Activity in Persons With HIV. Am J Epidemiol. 2014 Aug 1;180(3):297-307.
- 160. Cysique LA, Vaida F, Letendre S, et al. Dynamics of cognitive change in impaired HIV-positive patients initiating antiretroviral therapy. Neurology. 2009;73(5):342-8.
- 161. Tozzi V, Balestra P, Salvatori MF, et al. Changes in cognition during antiretroviral therapy: comparison of 2 different ranking systems to measure antiretroviral drug efficacy on HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2009;52(1):56- 63.
- 162. Marra CM, Zhao Y, Clifford DB, et al. Impact of combination antiretroviral therapy on cerebrospinal fluid HIV RNA and neurocognitive performance. AIDS. 2009;23(11):1359-66.
- 163. Winston A, Duncombe C, Li PC, et al. Does choice of combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) alter changes in cerebral function testing after 48 weeks in treatment-naive, HIV-1-infected individuals commencing cART? A randomized, controlled study. Clin Infect Dis. 2010;50(6):920-9.
- 164. Smurzynski M, Wu K, Letendre S, et al. Effects of central nervous system antiretroviral penetration on cognitive functioning in the ALLRT cohort. AIDS. 2011;25(3):357-65.
- 165. Arendt G, Orhan E and Nolting T. Retrospective Analysis of the HAART CNS Penetration Effectiveness (CPE) Index on Neuropsychological Performance of a Big Neuro-AIDS Cohort. In Abstract of the 18th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections, Boston, MA, USA, February 27 - March 2, 2011.
- 166. Garvey L, Surendrakumar V, Winston A. Low rates of neurocognitive impairment are observed in neuro-asymptomatic HIV-infected subjects on effective antiretroviral therapy. HIV Clin Trials. 2011 Nov-Dec;12(6):333-8.
- 167. Rourke SB, Carvalhal A, Zypurski A, et al. CNS Penetration Effectiveness of cART and Neuropsychological Outcomes: Crosssectional Results from the OHTN Cohort Study. In Abstract of the 19th Conference on Retroviruses and opportunistic Infections, March 5-8, 2012, Seattle, WA, USA.
- 168. Robertson K, Jiang H, Kumwenda J, et al. Improved neuropsychological and neurological functioning across three antiretroviral regimens in diverse resource-limited settings: AIDS Clinical Trials Group study a5199, the International Neurological Study. Clin Infect Dis. 2012:55(6):868-76.
- 169. Ciccarelli N, Fabbiani M, Colafigli M, et al. Revised central nervous system neuropenetration-effectiveness score is associated with cognitive disorders in HIV-infected patients with controlled plasma viraemia. Antivir Ther. 2013;18(2):153-60.
- 170. Kahouadji Y, Dumurgier J, Sellier P, et al. Cognitive function after several years of antiretroviral therapy with stable central nervous system penetration score. HIV Med. 2013;14(5):311-5.
- 171. Ellis RJ, Letendre S, Vaida F, et al. Randomized Trial of Central Nervous System-Targeted Antiretrovirals for HIV-Associated Neurocognitive Disorder. Clin Infect Dis. 2014;58(7):1015-22.
- 172. Vassallo M, Durant J, Biscay Vet al. Can high central nervous system penetrating antiretroviral regimens protect against the onset of HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders? AIDS. 2014;28(4):493-501.
- 173. Antinori A, Lorenzini P, Giancola ML, et al. Antiretroviral CNS Penetration-Effectiveness (CPE) 2010 ranking predicts CSF viral suppression only in patients with undetectable HIV-1 RNA in plasma. In Abstract of the 18th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections, Boston, MA, USA, February 27 - March 2, 2011.
- 174. Giancola ML, Lorenzini P, Cingolani A, et al. Virological Response in Cerebrospinal Fluid to Antiretroviral Therapy in a Large Italian Cohort of HIV-Infected Patients with Neurological Disorders. AIDS Res Treat. 2012;2012:708456.
- 175. Rawson T, Muir D, Mackie NE, et al. Factors associated with cerebrospinal fluid HIV RNA in HIV infected subjects undergoing lumbar puncture examination in a clinical setting. J Infect. 2012;65(3):239-45.
- 176. Pinnetti C, Lorenzini P, Forbici F, et al. CSF Viral Escape in Patients Without Neurological Disorders: Prevalence and Associated Factors. In Abstract of the 20th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections, Boston, MA, USA, 3-6 March, 2014.
- 177. Casado JL, Marín A, Moreno A, Iglesias V, Perez-Elías MJ, Moreno S, Corral I. Central nervous system antiretroviral penetration and cognitive functioning in largely pretreated HIV-infected patients. J Neurovirol. 2014;20(1):54-61.
- 178. Fabbiani M, Grima P, Milanini B, et al. Central Nervous System Penetration Effectiveness Score Better Correlates with Cognitive Performance of HIV+ Patients after Accounting for Drug Susceptibility of Plasma Virus. In abstract of the 19th CROI, 2012, Seattle, WA, USA.
- 179. Antinori A, Marcotullio S, Ammassari A, et al. Italian guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents and the diagnostic-clinical management of HIV-1 infected persons. Update 2011. New Microbiol. 2012;35(2):113-59.
- 180. Cysique LA, Waters EK, Brew BJ. Central nervous system antiretroviral efficacy in HIV infection: a qualitative and quantitative review and implications for future research. BMC Neurol. 2011;11:148.
- 181. Aquaro S, Svicher V, Schols D, et al. Mechanisms underlying activity of antiretroviral drugs in HIV-1-infected macrophages: new therapeutic strategies. J Leukoc Biol. 2006;80(5):1103-10.
- 182. Shikuma CM, Nakamoto B, Shiramizu B, et al. Antiretroviral monocyte efficacy score linked to cognitive impairment in HIV. Antivir Ther. 2012;17(7):1233-42.
- 183. Gray LR, Tachedjian G, Ellett AM, et al. The NRTIs lamivudine, stavudine and zidovudine have reduced HIV-1 inhibitory activity in astrocytes. PLoS One. 2013;8(4):e62196.
- 184. Kallianpur KJ, Shikuma C, Kirk GR, et al. Peripheral blood HIV DNA is associated with atrophy of cerebellar and subcortical gray matter. Neurology. 2013;80(19):1792-9.
- 185. Valcour VG, Ananworanich J, Agsalda M, et al. HIV DNA reservoir increases risk for cognitive disorders in cART-naïve patients. PLoS One. 2013;8(7):e70164.
- 186. Rusconi S, Vitiello P, Adorni F, et al. Maraviroc as intensification strategy in HIV-1 positive patients with deficient immunological response: an Italian randomized clinical trial. PLoS One. 2013;8(11):e80157.
- 187. Soulié C, Tubiana R, Simon A, et al. Presence of HIV-1 R5 viruses in cerebrospinal fluid even in patients harboring R5X4/X4 viruses in plasma. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2009;51(1):60-4.
- 188. Garvey L, Nelson M, Latch N, et al. CNS effects of a CCR5 inhibitor in HIV-infected subjects: a pharmacokinetic and cerebral metabolite study. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2012;67(1):206-12.
- 189. Vera JH, Garvey LJ, Allsop JM, et al. Alterations in cerebrospinal fluid chemokines are associated with maraviroc exposure and *in vivo* metabolites measurable by magnetic resonance spectroscopy. HIV Clin Trials. 2012 Jul-Aug;13(4):222-7.
- 190. Cui L, Locatelli L, Xie MY, Sommadossi JP (1997) Effect of nucleoside analogs on neurite regeneration and mitochondrial DNA synthesis in PC-12 cells. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 280:1228–1234
- 191. Werth JL, Zhou B, Nutter LM, Thayer SA (1994) 2′,3′-Dideoxycytidine alters calcium buffering in cultured dorsal root ganglion neurons. Mol Pharmacol 45:1119–1124
- 192. Robertson K, Liner J, Meeker RB. Antiretroviral neurotoxicity. J Neurovirol. 2012;18(5):388-99.
- 193. Akay C, Cooper M, Odeleye A, et al. Antiretroviral drugs induce oxidative stress and neuronal damage in the central nervous system. J Neurovirol. 2014;20(1):39-53.
- 194. Friis-Møller N, Thiébaut R, Reiss P, et al. Predicting the risk of cardiovascular disease in HIV-infected patients: the data collection on adverse effects of anti-HIV drugs study. Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil. 2010 Oct;17(5):491-501.
- 195. Cruse B, Cysique LA, Markus R, Brew BJ. Cerebrovascular disease in HIV-infected individuals in the era of highly active antiretroviral therapy. J Neurovirol 2012; 18:264–276.
- 196. Ortiz G, Koch S, Romano JG, Forteza AM, Rabinstein AA. Mechanisms of ischemic stroke in HIV-infected patients. Neu- rology 2007; 68:1257–1261.
- 197. Soontornniyomkij V, Umlauf A, Chung SA, et al.HIV protease inhibitor exposure predicts cerebral small vessel disease. AIDS. 2014 Mar 15. [Epub ahead of print]
- 198. Green DA, Masliah E, Vinters HV, et al. Brain deposition of beta-amyloid is a common pathologic feature in HIV positive patients. AIDS. 2005;19(4):407-11.
- 199. Giunta B, Ehrhart J, Obregon DF, et al. Antiretroviral medications disrupt microglial phagocytosis of β-amyloid and increase its production by neurons: implications for HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders. Mol Brain. 2011;4(1):23.
- 200. Ciccarelli N, Fabbiani M, Di Giambenedetto S, et al. Efavirenz associated with cognitive disorders in otherwise asymptomatic HIVinfected patients. Neurology. 2011;76(16):1403-9.





Table 1. Antiretrovirals characteristics and published cerebrospinal fluid exposure. "CSF" cerebrospinal fluid, "IC50" 50% inhibitory concentration, "IC95" 95% inhibitory concentration, "CPR" CSF to plasma ratio, "Correl CSF/P" correlation between CSF and plasma levels, "n.a." not available. <sup>a</sup>Respectively EC50 and EC90 values.



Table 2. Studies investigating the relationship between CNS concentration effectiveness score (CPE) and cerebrospinal fluid HIV **RNA** and/or neurocognitive performance. CPE version 2008 ans 2010 are respectively referenced as [155] and [156]. "VL" viral load, "NC" Neuro Cognitive.