

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Evaluation of seed dressing dust dispersion from maize sowing machine

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/138792> since 2015-12-09T13:44:42Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1016/j.cropro.2013.04.012

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

1

2 **Evaluation of seed dressing dust dispersion from maize sowing**

3 **machines**

4

5 PAOLO BALSARI, MARCO MANZONE , PAOLO MARUCCO and MARIO TAMAGNONE

6 *University of Turin, DEIAFA – Mechanics Section, Via L. Da Vinci, 44, 10095 Grugliasco, Italy*

7

8 **Abstract**

9 The present study analysed the constructive and operative parameters of pneumatic
10 seeders and researched and assessed possible technical solutions for limiting the
11 unwanted dispersion of dust from seed dressing during sowing of maize seeds. Tests
12 were made on several maize pneumatic seeder models. The air flow rates and the air
13 velocities at the fan outlet were assessed, the sizes of the areas contaminated with the
14 material from the maize seeds was evaluated and the air velocities along the contour of
15 the sowing machines were measured. Results showed that by decreasing the fan air flow
16 rate by 30% it was possible to consistently reduce the size of the area contaminated by
17 seed dressing dust while maintaining a good quality of seeding. They also showed that
18 the technical solutions proposed by the seeder manufacturers reduced the environmental
19 contamination with the pesticide-containing dust by more than 90%.

20

21 **Key words:** Sowing machine, maize seed, neonicotinoids, bee.

22

1 **1. Introduction**

2

3 In the last few years several honey bee poisoning incidents have been reported that were related
4 to sowing maize seeds treated with neonicotinoid insecticides using pneumatic seeders
5 (Altmann, 2003; Greatti et al., 2003; Schnier et al., 2003; Greatti et al., 2006; Baldessari et al.,
6 2008, Girolami et al, 2009). The air stream generated by the fan of the seeders in order to create
7 the necessary depression in the sowing element of the machine, in fact, is considered responsible
8 for blowing the solid dust particles detached from the seeds towards the areas adjacent to the
9 seeded field. Actually, the use of maize seed dressed with neonicotinoids is currently banned in
10 Italy, while it is allowed, with some limitations, in other European countries (Table 1).

11

12 This paper reports experimental tests to study the constructive and operative parameters of maize
13 pneumatic seeders and to assess technical solutions enabling to limit the seed dressing material
14 dispersion from these machines.

15

16 **2. Materials and Methods**

17

18 Preliminary tests were conducted in order to assess the main seeder parameters influencing the
19 dispersion of dust material. In detail, for each sowing machine tested, the following
20 measurements were made: 1) fan air flow rate, 2) depression in the seeding elements and 3) air
21 velocity along the contour of the seeders. These parameters were determined either according to
22 the machine setup suggested by the seeder manufacturer or in operative conditions enabling
23 guaranteeing a high quality seeding with a reduced environmental impact.

24 Tests were made using three pneumatic seeders (A – B – C), representative of the Italian context
25 (Table 2). Each machine was tested either in its standard configuration or in modified
26 configurations with the purpose of limiting dust dispersion. The seeding was done at a distance

1 of 0.75 m between the maize rows and at a (seeding) rate of 75,000 seeds per hectare. Seeder A
2 was tested in its standard configuration and in a modified one where the air was conveyed
3 between the wheels of each seeding element. In particular, in the standard configuration, the
4 seeder had an “air deflector” on the fan outlet to deflect the air towards the soil.
5 Seeder B was tested, in addition to in its standard configuration, in two further configurations
6 aimed at reducing dust dispersion: one had four 100 mm diameter air hoses conveying the air
7 towards the soil, the second had one 55 mm diameter air hose for each seeding element,
8 conveying the air close to the share of the seeding furrow. Seeder C was tested in its standard
9 configuration and in a modified one where the fan air outlet was conveyed toward the soil by
10 two hoses of 125 mm diameter.

11

12 *2.1. Fan air flow rate*

13

14 The fan air flow rate was measured both at the fan outlet and at the fan inlet using a 110 mm
15 diameter conveyor 1 m long where a propeller anemometer (Allemano Testo 400) with 0.1 m s^{-1}
16 accuracy was positioned. Tests were made with and without seeds present in the hopper.
17 Measurements were carried out at the PTO revolutionary speeds recommended by the
18 manufacturers (540 rev min^{-1} for seeder A, 540 rev min^{-1} for seeder B, 450 rev min^{-1} for
19 seeder C).

20

21 *2.2. Depression in the seeding element*

22

23 The depression in the seeding element was measured through a water manometer placed in the
24 connection hose between the seeding element and the fan. The water manometer was made from
25 two vertical tubes of 16 mm internal diameter and 2 m height. The difference in the height of the
26 two different water levels was determined using a ruler with 1 mm accuracy.

1 Measurements were carried out at five different PTO revolutionary speeds (300, 350, 400, 450,
2 500 and 540 rev min⁻¹) and using only the pneumatic seeder B with one to six seeding elements.

3 4 *2.3. Air velocity along the contour*

5
6 The measurements were done with the seeders in a static position and placed indoors, using the
7 propeller anemometer (Allemano Testo 400) described above mounted on a rigid support and
8 making measurements at different heights from the ground. For machines A and B the air
9 velocity was measured at heights of 0.05, 0.50 and 1.00 m in steps of 0.30 m along the machine
10 contour. For seeder C, which had an upwards – directed air outlet (therefore with a different air
11 flow rate profile), the air velocity measurements were made out following a grid of measurement
12 points at distances ranging from 0 to 3 m from the machine and at heights from 0 to 3 m from
13 the ground. Intervals between measuring points within the grid were 0.25 m (Fig. 1).
14 In all tests, for each measuring point, the anemometer was oriented to detect the maximum air
15 velocity with respect to the air stream. Tests were conducted employing the sowing machines
16 with and without seeds in the hoppers, using the fan rotational speed recommended by the
17 manufacturer and using 4 or 6 seeding elements. Each trial was carried out with environmental
18 conditions of 20-25°C air temperature and 65-70% relative humidity.

19 20 *2.4. Footprint of the dust material*

21
22 In order to measure the footprint of the dust material dispersed from the seeders, tests were
23 carried out in the laboratory simulating the seeding operation with the machine in a static
24 position and using an experimental powder instead of the insecticide seed dressing material. The
25 powder used in the tests was selected after analysing the particle sizes of the dust material
26 expelled from the air outlets of the seeders when using dressed maize seeds (KWS[®] and

1 Pioneer[®]). To collect this dust material a “cyclone vacuum cleaner” (characterized by vacuum air
2 flow rate of $260 \text{ m}^3 \text{ h}^{-1}$ and 97% efficiency separation) was used and the particles size analysis
3 was made through an image analysis system (Image Pro Plus[®]).

4

5 In order to select the inert material for simulating the dress maize powder, tests were made using
6 wheat flour “00” (a cheap and widely available material) and considering the Volumetric Median
7 Diameter (VMD) value.

8 For each material (maize seed dressing and wheat flour “00”), the diameters of the granules were
9 determined using the specific software Image Pro Plus[®] on five samples of at least 2000 particles
10 obtained from 50 images acquired by a Epix Sv 5 C10 5 Mpixel camera with a $1.4 \mu\text{m pixel}^{-1}$
11 resolution equipped with a Nikon[®] AF Micro Nikkor 60 mm lens.

12

13 Statistical analysis (ANOVA) showed that the wheat flour “00” had physical characteristics very
14 similar to the maize seed dressing material and therefore it was used to assess the dust dispersion
15 from the sowing machines (Table 3).

16 Wheat flour powder was then manually introduced in the fan inlet, through a branch of the pipe
17 that connected the fan to the seeding element, at a rate of 35 g in 10 minutes. The amount of
18 powder introduced in the fan inlet was weighed using a balance (Kern, abs 220-4) with 0.1 mg
19 accuracy. The material dispersed on the ground around the machine, collected on Petri dishes
20 (138 mm diameter) positioned at intervals of 100 mm under and around the seeders up to a
21 distance of 4 meters from the machine, was determined by weight. Based on the deposits
22 detected on the Petri dishes, the area of the dust footprint was calculated and expressed in m^2 . A
23 powder rate of 35 g per 10 min was used because this was the minimum quantity that enabled
24 detection of deposits on Petri dishes using a balance (Kern, abs 220-4) with 0.1 mg accuracy.

25

1 All tests were made using A, B and C sowing machines either in their standard configuration or
2 mounting the devices to convey the air towards the soil and keeping a depression of 42 mbar in
3 the seeding elements, a value that according to G. Bragatto (pers. comm.) is considered optimal
4 for correct maize seeding. For each test, five replicates were made and dust footprint areas were
5 statistically analysed with Ryan-Einot-Gabriel-Welsch test with an α coefficient of 0.05.

6 .

7 **3. Results and discussion**

8

9 *3.1. Fan air flow rate*

10

11 For each seeder tested, the air flow rate did not differ when measured at the fan inlet or at the fan
12 outlet (Table 4).

13 Tests made without the maize seeds in the seeding hopper showed higher air flow rates for the C
14 and the B machines (75 and $80 \text{ m}^3 \text{ h}^{-1}$ per seeding element, respectively). The presence of the
15 seeds in the hopper decreased the outlet air flow rate by about 55% (Fig. 2).

16

17 *3.2. Depression in the seeding element*

18

19 The depression value, measured in the seeding element, recorded at the PTO revolutionary
20 speeds recommended by the manufacturers (ranging from 450 to 540 rev min^{-1} depending on
21 seeder model), was between 60 and 67 mbar , about 30% more than the optimal value (42 mbar)
22 suggested for a good quality of maize seeding (Bragatto, pers. comm.) (Fig. 3). Optimal
23 depression values were generally obtained when the PTO revolutionary speed was set between
24 350 and 400 rev min^{-1} . The depression value in the seeding element that resulted was influenced
25 by the fan rotational speed but was independent of the number of seeding elements mounted on
26 the machine (Fig. 4).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

3.3. Air velocity around the sowing machine

Air velocity measured along the contour of the machine differed according to the position and the shape of the fan outlet. Tests carried out on sowing machine A in the standard configuration and equipped with six seeding elements showed that the air stream generated by the fan was biased towards the soil on the right side of the machine (Fig. 5). The air velocities measured at the right edge of the machine were about 1.0 m s^{-1} up to 0.05 m height from the ground. At heights over 0.05 m from the ground, the air velocities recorded did not exceed 0.5 m s^{-1} (Fig. 5). B sowing machine, that had its fan outlet oriented downwards, generated an air stream oriented towards the soil on the left side of the machine, with a velocity, measured in correspondence of the left edge of the machine, of 2.2 m s^{-1} when the seeder was equipped with four seeding elements and of 1.3 m s^{-1} when it was equipped with six elements. It was observed that, even if the air outlet was oriented towards the right side of the machine, the highest air velocities were, however, measured on the opposite side due to the reflection effect caused by the machine frame (Fig. 6).

Seeder C, which had six seeding elements and had the fan outlet oriented upwards, produced an air stream oriented towards the right side of the machine. In this case, air velocities higher than 0.5 m s^{-1} were recorded even at a distance of 3 m from the machine edge (Fig. 7).

Independent of the sowing machine model, when the devices provided by the different manufacturers to convey the air close to the soil were mounted, the resultant air stream always kept within the machine contour. The solution proposed by the manufacturer of seeder A, which conveyed the air in the chamber between the wheels of each seeding element, contained the air stream within the chamber itself (Fig. 5, 6 and 7)

1 3.4. Footprint of the dust material

2

3 Tests carried out using the B sowing machine showed that the dust blown out by the fan
4 deposited on a surface of about 6 m² and that most of it (90%) was within the machine footprint
5 when equipped with six seeding elements (Fig. 8). When the A and C seeders were employed,
6 the dust footprint that resulted was larger and most of the material was collected on the left side
7 of the machine (Fig. 9 and 10). By adopting the devices to convey the air towards the soil, the
8 area of the dust footprint was considerably reduced (by more than 90%) with respect to the
9 standard configuration and it was always within the machine footprint (Table 5).

10

11 In conclusion, the use of devices conveying the air generated by the fan of pneumatic seeders
12 towards the soil reduced the air stream profile by 80% and therefore the size of the ground area
13 contaminated with dust.

14 Moreover, test results showed that, for all types and configurations of seeders it is also possible
15 to reduce the environmental contamination due to maize seed dressings just by lowering the fan
16 revolutionary speeds on pneumatic seeders. For example, experiments revealed that decreasing
17 by about 1000 rev min⁻¹ this parameter (that corresponds to a decrease of about 100 rev min⁻¹ of
18 the PTO), resulting air flow rate and air velocity generated by the fan were reduced by about
19 30% and this significantly limited the surface contaminated by the seed dressing material,
20 guaranteeing at the same time the necessary depression in the seeding elements.

21 In order to assess other constructive and operative parameters and other solutions proposed by
22 maize seeders manufacturers, further tests will be carried out at DEIAFA .

23

1 **6 References**

2 Altmann R., 2003. Poncho: a new insecticidal seed treatment for the control of the major maize
3 pests in Europe. *Pflanzenschutz-Nachrichten Bayer (English edition)* 56, 102-110

4 Baldessari M., Trona F., Leonardelli E., Angeli G., 2008. Efficacia di acetamiprid e di
5 azadiractina nel contenimento di *Dysaphys plantaginea*. *Procedine of national conference*
6 “Giornate Fitopatologiche 2008”

7 Girolami V., MazzonL., Squartini A., Mori N., Marzaro M., Di Bernardo A., Greatti M., Giorio
8 C., Tapparo A., 2009. Translocation of neonicotinoid Insecticides From Coated Seeds to
9 Seedling Guttation Drop: A Novel Way Intoxication for Bees. *Journal Econ. Entomol.* 102,
10 1808-1815

11 Greatti M., Sabatini A.G., Barbatini R., Rossi S., Stravisi A., 2003. Risk of environmental
12 contamination by the active ingredient imidacloprid used for corn seed dressing. Preliminary
13 results. *Bulletin of Insectology* 56, 69-72

14 Greatti M., Barbatini R., Stravisi A., Sabatini A.G., Rossi S., 2006. Presence of the a.i.
15 imidacloprid on vegetation near corn fields sown with Gaucho dressed seeds. *Bulletin of*
16 *Insectology* 59, 99-103.

17 Pioneer, 2010. *Catalogo prodotti 2010*.

18 Schnier H. F., Wenig G., Laubert F., Volker S., Schmuck R., 2003. Hey bee safety of
19 imidacloprid corn seed treatment. *Bulletin of insectology* 56, 73-75

20

21 **Acknowledgement**

22 This research was funded by Agrofarma (Italian Association of the pesticides industry)


23

Figure captions

- 1
- 2 Fig. 1 - Measuring points of the air velocity around the C seeders
- 3 Fig. 2 - Fan outlet air flow rate measured on the seeders with and without the maize
- 4 seeds in the hopper of the seeding element.
- 5 Fig. 3 - Depression inside the seeding element measured in the tested seeders
- 6 Fig. 4. - Depression measured inside the seeding element as a function of the number of
- 7 seeding elements present on the machine and of the PTO revolution speed.
- 8 Fig. 5 – Characteristics of the air stream generated by the fan of A seeders (front view of the
- 9 machine)
- 10 Fig. 6 - Characteristics of the air stream generated by the fan of B seeders (front view of the
- 11 machine)
- 12 Fig. 7 - Characteristics of the air stream generated by the fan of C seeders (front view of the
- 13 machine)
- 14 Fig. 8 - Dust deposits on the ground measured using the B sowing machine.
- 15 Fig. 9 - Dust deposits on the ground measured using the A sowing machine.
- 16 Fig. 10 - Dust deposits on the ground measured using the C sowing machine
- 17

1


Figures



2

3 Figure 1


4



1

2 Figure 2


3



1

2 Figure 3

3




1

2 Figure 4

3

Standard configuration

Modified configuration



1.00 m

1.00 m

0.50 m

0.50 m


0.05 m

0.05 m

1 1.1 m s⁻¹ 3.2 m s⁻¹

2 Figure 5


3



1


2 Figure 6

3



2 Figure 7


3



1

2 Figure 8


3



1

2 Figure 9

3



1

2 Figure 10

3

Table captions

- 1
- 2 Table 1 – Neonicotinoid insecticides use allowed in European countries (Pioneer[®],
- 3 2010)
- 4 Table 2 - Main technical features of the fans present on the pneumatic seeders tested.
- 5 Table 3 - Physical characteristics of the dressing seed dust and of the selected tracer (wheat flour
- 6 “00”). No significant difference - Statistical analysis ANOVA unvaried, $p > 0.05$.
- 7 Table 4 - Air flow rate ($\text{m}^3 \text{h}^{-1}$) measured at the fan inlet and at the fan outlet of the pneumatic
- 8 seeder B
- 9 Table 5 - Size of the dust contaminated surface assessed with the conventional pneumatic
- 10 seeders and with the modified ones, equipped with devices to convey the air towards the soil
- 11 (REGW, Alfa= 0.05)
- 12 .
- 13
- 14

1

	Clothiandin	Fipronil	Thiamethoxan
Austria	yes	yes	yes
Belgium	no	yes	yes
UK	no	no	yes
France	no	no	yes (*)
Germany	yes (*)	no	yes (*)
Italy	no	no	no
Netherlands	no	no	yes
Slovenia	yes	no	yes
Spain	no	yes	yes
Switzerland	yes	no	yes

2 (*) Only if the seeding operation is performed with pneumatic seeders equipped with a kit for convey the air stream

3 toward the soil

4 Table 1

5

1

Manufacturer	A	B	C
Model	Ma/ag-SD05	Gaspardo-MT	Monosem -NG-plus
Rows (n°)	4-6	4-6	4-6
Fan diameter (mm)	440	410	420
Fan width (mm)	45	60	80
Blades (n°)	10	10	8
Blade inclination (°)	30	31	0
Blade width (mm)	30	30	45
Air outlet size (mm)	105 x 45	230 x 60	135 x 80
Air direction	lateral	downwards	Upwards
Fan rotation speed (rev min ⁻¹)	5000	5400	4500

2 Table 2

3

4

1

Size particles	Dressing seed	Wheat flour
D ₁₀ (μm)	34,1 ns	35,4 ns
D ₅₀ (μm)	84,1 ns	74,1 ns
D ₉₀ (μm)	180,9 ns	163,5 ns
Density (g cm ³⁻¹)	0,41 ns	0,45 ns

2 Table 3

3

4

1

PTO (rev min ⁻¹)	N° seeding elements											
	1		2		3		4		5		6	
	inlet	outlet	inlet	outlet	inlet	outlet	inlet	outlet	inlet	outlet	inlet	outlet
350	279	276	280	281	285	285	283	282	280	280	290	288
400	348	349	358	360	384	385	370	370	372	371	368	367
450	450	449	448	447	447	475	468	468	460	461	470	470
500	550	550	550	548	560	561	566	565	568	569	562	563
540	638	637	640	640	633	632	645	646	648	648	644	644

2

3 Table 4

4

5

1

	Surface contaminated	Reduction
	(m²)	(%)
Machine A conventional	5.27 c	-
Machine A modified	0.70 e	87
Machine B conventional	6.92 b	-
Machine B modified (1)	0.54 e	92
Machine B modified (2)	0.63 e	91
Machine C conventional	29.18 a	-
Machine C modified	1.23 d	96

2 Table 5

3

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

Highlights

- We studied the influence of different seeder parameters on dust dispersion.
- Reduction of seeder air flow rate decreased dust dispersion.
- Use of devices enabling the direction of the air flow towards the soil minimized dust dispersion.