

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Repeated spring precipitation shortage alters individual growth patterns in Scots pine forests in the Western Alps

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1533523> since 2015-12-15T14:07:15Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1007/s00468-015-1250-z

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

1 **Repeated spring precipitation shortage alters individual growth patterns in Scots pine**
2 **forests in the Western Alps**

3

4 Castagneri D.^{1*}, Bottero A.^{2,3}, Motta R.⁴, Vacchiano G.⁴

5

6 ¹Department TeSAF, University of Padua, Legnaro (PD) I-35020 Italy

7 ²Department of Forest Resources, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, Minnesota 55108 USA

8 ³USDA Forest Service, Northern Research Station, Grand Rapids, Minnesota 55744 USA

9 ⁴ Department DISAFA, University of Turin, Grugliasco (TO) I-10095 Italy

10

11 * corresponding author: Daniele Castagneri

12 Viale dell'Università 16, I-35020 Legnaro (PD), Italy

13 Phone: +39 049 827 2752

14 Email: daniele.castagneri@unipd.it

15

16

17 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 **Key message**

26 In the inner-Alpine Aosta Valley, severe growth reductions occurred in Scots pine stands during
27 1987-1993 and 2003-2005. Trees more sensitive to May precipitation exhibited stronger growth
28 decline during these periods.

29

30 **Abstract**

31 Over the last decades, Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) decline has involved large areas in the
32 European Alps. Although the species is supposed to be drought-resistant, increased temperatures
33 and droughts are often indicated as predisposing causes of the decline. Nevertheless, the exact
34 climate conditions that initiate the decline, and the reasons why they differentially affect
35 individual trees, are largely unknown. Our aims were to identify climate constraints on Scots pine
36 growth, and elucidate the effect of individual characteristics, such as tree age, size, crown
37 condition, mistletoe occurrence, competition, and sensitivity to climate, on tree growth decline
38 and recovery after repeated climatic stress. We analysed 232 trees in four second-growth stands
39 located at 985 to 1350 m a.s.l. in the inner-Alpine Aosta Valley, NW Italy. Multi-year growth
40 declines, occurred in 1987-1993 and 2003-2005, were related to repeated May precipitation
41 shortage, while temperatures and summer precipitations played a minor role. Growth decline was
42 stronger in the stand at lower elevation and with higher competition intensity. At the individual
43 scale, trees more sensitive to May precipitation exhibited lower growth rates during both dry
44 periods, but not a faster recovery. In the lower elevation stand, tree growth decline was
45 significantly related to both crown transparency and mistletoe abundance, which was almost
46 absent in the other stands. We conclude that future variations in the spring precipitation regime
47 could threaten Scots pine more than warming *per se*, in inner-Alpine valleys around 1000-1400

48 m a.s.l. Still, different individual sensitivity to precipitation will likely result in patchy patterns of
49 healthy and declining trees within the same stand.

50

51 **Keywords** Climate change; competition; crown; decline; *Pinus sylvestris*; tree ring.

52

53

54

55 **Introduction**

56 Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) is the most widespread tree species in Europe, covering more than
57 20 million hectares from Siberia and Northern Fennoscandia to Southern Europe. During the 20th
58 century, the species has considerably expanded in the European Alps due to its early-seral
59 character, i.e., a capacity to colonize abandoned fields and grasslands (Barbero et al. 1990). In
60 inner-Alpine valleys characterized by continental climate, a peculiar tolerance to a wide range of
61 climatic and edaphic stressors (Richardson 1998) allows the species to grow on southerly-
62 exposed slopes where other species are limited by concomitant low winter and high summer
63 temperatures, and summer rain shortage (Vacchiano et al. 2012). Therefore, in the continental
64 sectors, Scots pine can be considered both an early- and late-seral species (Ozenda 1985).
65 However, over the last 20 years, an increasing number of studies have reported a decline of Scots
66 pine in inner-Alpine valleys, especially at medium and low elevations, e.g., in the Austrian Inn
67 Valley (Oberhuber 2001), Swiss Valais (Bigler et al. 2006), Southern France (Thabeet et al.
68 2009), and Italy's Aosta Valley (Vacchiano et al. 2012). Symptoms of the decline include stem
69 growth reduction, crown defoliation, and mortality, eventually leading to shifts in species
70 composition in favour of other species such as downy oak (*Quercus pubescens* Willd.) (Weber et
71 al. 2007; Rigling et al. 2013; Vacchiano and Motta 2015).
72 Different biotic agents, including mistletoe (*Viscum album* L.), insects, nematodes, and fungi
73 have been locally found to act as contributing factors (Manion 1981) for Scots pine defoliation
74 and mortality (Dobbertin and Rigling 2006; Polomski et al. 2006; Wermelinger et al. 2008;
75 Gonthier et al. 2010). However, on a broader scale, increased temperatures and more frequent
76 droughts are indicated as the main drivers (predisposing and inciting factors) of the decline
77 (Rebetez and Dobbertin 2004). Current climate change scenarios predict further year-round
78 warming in Southern Europe (IPCC 2013; Jacob et al. 2014), which will likely exacerbate

79 summer moisture deficits and aggravate drought effects on forest ecosystems (Allen et al. 2010).
80 On the other hand, precipitation forecasts are less clearcut, especially in the Alpine region:
81 precipitations may decrease in summer, but changes in spring and fall are expected to be less
82 pronounced (Gobiet et al. 2014), with uncertain consequences on forest ecosystems (Lindner et
83 al. 2014). Finally, extreme climate events, such as droughts and/or heat waves, are expected to
84 increase in Southwestern Europe (IPCC 2013). Single-year droughts certainly affect forest
85 ecosystems (Bréda et al. 2006), but on drought-adapted species such as Scots pine they generally
86 cause short-term growth reduction followed by recovery, at least in healthy trees (Pichler and
87 Oberhuber 2007; Eilmann and Rigling 2012; Eilmann et al. 2013). On the opposite, repeated
88 droughts lead to irreversible alterations in the xylem hydraulic system (Hereş et al. 2014), and to
89 progressive depletion of stored carbohydrates (Rigling et al. 2013). This process negatively
90 affects tree metabolism and resistance to pathogens that are the actual mortality agents, and can
91 lead to tree death up to several years or even decades after the event (Bigler et al. 2006; Hereş et
92 al. 2014).

93 However, even in areas with high mortality, declining and vigorous pine trees can co-occur in the
94 same stand (Bigler et al. 2006), suggesting that individual tree characteristics influence decline.
95 In this context, analysis at the individual tree level can increase our understanding of processes
96 behind tree response to long-term stress. Extensive literature reports on the influence of tree age
97 (Carrer and Urbinati 2004), size (Mérian and Lebourgeois 2011), and local competition intensity
98 (Pichler and Oberhuber 2007) on growth response to inter-annual climate variations, but tree-
99 level response to multi-year or repeated climatic stress has been scarcely investigated (Thabet et
100 al. 2009; Walter et al. 2013).

101 To investigate Scots pine response to multi-year stress, we analysed growth patterns of 232 trees
102 in four stands located at different elevations (985 to 1350 m a.s.l.) along the east-west axis of the

103 Aosta Valley (NW Italy), one of the driest valleys in the Alps. In this region, land use change has
104 considerably increased forest cover over the last 50 years (+30%, Garbarino et al. 2006), mainly
105 due to expansion of early-seral species such as Scots pine. However, Scots pine forests have
106 recently displayed a quick loss of vitality (Gonthier et al. 2010; Vacchiano et al. 2012). The
107 specific objectives of our analysis were: (1) to identify climatic conditions that determined multi-
108 year growth decline in Scots pine stands in the period 1965-2005; (2) to assess the influence of
109 site, stand, tree age, size, competition, and sensitivity to climate on individual tree growth decline
110 and recovery after repeated climatic stress; and (3) to test whether crown transparency as an
111 indicator of tree vitality, and mistletoe as an inciting mortality agent, are related to the ability of
112 trees to respond to climatic stress.

113

114

115 **Material and Methods**

116 **Study area and sampling**

117 The study area covers the Aosta Valley region in Northwestern Italy (Fig. 1). Climate is warm-
118 summer continental, with mean annual temperature at the bottom of the valley (Aosta, 45°26' N,
119 7°11' E, 583 m a.s.l.) of 10.9 °C (years 1961-1990; Biancotti et al. 1998). Mean temperature in
120 January and July may differ by as much as 22°C. Mean annual precipitation in the central valley
121 is scarce (494 mm in Aosta, years 1961–1990) compared to other Alpine valleys, and water
122 deficit may occur at low elevations from June to September. Winter precipitation usually comes
123 as snow. Aosta Valley exhibits both crystalline (granites) and metamorphic bedrocks, but most
124 landscape is covered by quaternary deposits of glacial, gravitative, or colluvial origin.

125 Scots pine forests occur on 5,372 ha on both acidic and basic substrates of well-exposed slopes,
126 from the valley bottom up to 1900 m a.s.l. (Vacchiano et al. 2014). Depending on successional

127 stage and climatic factors, species composition may range from 100% pine (especially on
128 recently disturbed sites or on dry, south-facing slopes) to mixtures with other conifers (*Larix*
129 *decidua* Mill., *Picea abies* L. Karst., *Abies alba* Mill., *Pinus uncinata* Mill.) or broadleaves
130 (*Quercus pubescens* Willd., *Fagus sylvatica* L., *Quercus petraea* (Mattus.) Liebl., *Castanea*
131 *sativa* Mill.).

132 In 2005 we selected four Scots pine stands along the east-west axis of the Region: one at
133 Challand-Saint-Anselme (hereafter Chal), two at Saint Denis (SD1 and SD2), and one at Morgex
134 (Morg) (Table 1, Fig. 1). All stands originated by natural encroachment after the abandonment of
135 pastures and meadows in the past century. All of them exhibited visual symptoms of decline such
136 as a wide range of crown transparencies. In each stand, we established a 70x70m monitoring plot,
137 where all living trees with diameter at breast height (dbh) > 4 cm were identified, labelled, and
138 mapped. For each tree, we measured dbh, tree height, and crown radii in four orthogonal
139 directions, which were then used to calculate crown area, approximated as an ellipse.
140 Furthermore, we assessed crown transparency on a scale from 0 (healthy crown) to 100
141 (completely defoliated) by comparison with visual field guides (Müller and Stierlin 1990;
142 Dobbertin et al. 2004), and recorded mistletoe abundance on a scale from 0 (absent) to 3
143 (abundant) (Dobbertin and Rigling 2006). One increment core was taken at breast height from all
144 living trees within a concentric 50x50 m subplot, to avoid edge effects in the calculation of
145 competition indices (Castagneri et al. 2008).

146

147 **Methodological approach**

148 Radial growth is a good indicator of tree vitality and reaction to environmental stress (Dobbertin
149 2005). Furthermore, in contrast to other tree vitality indices such as crown transparency, ring
150 measurement is objective, repeatable, and retrospective (on the whole tree life-span). We

151 analysed tree-ring series to assess individual tree response to inter-annual climate variations, and
152 identified protracted growth decline periods. Then we analyzed tree response to inter-annual
153 climate variations (ring width-climate correlations) and to prolonged stress (i.e. growth rates
154 during and after the identified decline periods) using tree age, size, competition, mistletoe
155 abundance, and crown transparency as covariates.

156

157 **Ring-width analysis**

158 Tree cores were prepared using standard dendrochronological techniques (Speer 2010). Tree
159 rings were measured to the nearest 0.01 mm and cross-dated using TsapWin (Rinntech,
160 Germany). Cross-dating accuracy was checked using COFECHA (Holmes 1983). Sample size
161 showed an abrupt reduction prior to 1965, thus 1965-2005 was identified as the investigation
162 period. Series not entirely overlapping with this period, and those poorly cross-dating, were
163 excluded from the analyses. Raw ring width (RW) and basal area increment (BAI) series were
164 built for 54 trees for SD1, 66 for SD2, 73 for Morg, and 39 for Chal, for a total of 232 series
165 across the study sites.

166 To assess inter-annual growth variations, we removed age-size related trends on RW series by a
167 double-detrending process using the DetrendeR package (Campelo et al. 2012) for the R
168 statistical framework 3.1 (R Development Core Team 2014). Detrending was based on a cubic
169 spline with 50% frequency response at wavelength of 200 years, followed by a cubic spline
170 function with 32 years response (Fritts 1976); we computed detrended ring width by dividing raw
171 RW by the value fitted with the splines. We then calculated mean sensitivity (MS) of detrended
172 series to assess high-frequency variations (Fritts 1976), first-order autocorrelation (AC) to
173 measure the influence of previous year's growth on the current ring, and mean correlation
174 between trees (R_{bar}) to measure the common growth forcing among trees within each plot.

175 Finally, mean chronologies were calculated for each plot as the bi-weight robust mean of
176 detrended individual series (Cook 1985).

177

178 **Tree-level competition**

179 To assess the competitive status of each tree, we computed distance-dependent and distance-
180 independent individual-tree based competition indices (CIs) (Tab. 2). We tested different radii (5,
181 7 and 9 m) of the zone of influence (i.e., the circular area surrounding the subject tree where
182 competitors are selected) to compute distance-dependent CIs. Moreover, different weights were
183 applied to species other than Scots pine to account for possible variations in the competitive
184 pressure of interspecific competitors. Specifically, due to the high number of species in the four
185 plots, some of which represented by few individuals, we gathered them in two groups: conifers (5
186 species) and broadleaves (13 species). To test if conifer and/or broadleaf interspecific competitors
187 had higher or lower competitive pressure on focus trees (always Scots pines) compared to
188 intraspecific competitors (weight = 1), we assigned different weights to trees belonging to the
189 two groups: 0.5 to test for lower (half) competitive pressure; 1 for same pressure; 2 for higher
190 (double) pressure. All possible combinations were tested. The predictive ability of each
191 combination of CI, zone of influence, and weighting scheme was tested by a linear regression
192 against cumulative BAI of each tree in the ten years before measurement (Castagneri et al. 2008).
193 Both predictors and dependent variables were log-transformed to normalize error distributions.
194 The combination of competition index, radius of the zone of influence, and weighting scheme
195 producing the highest correlation with BAI (i.e., competition importance) and steepest regression
196 slope (i.e., competition intensity) was used in subsequent analyses.

197

198

199 **Climate-growth relationships**

200 The influence of climate on the inter-annual growth variability in the four site chronologies
201 (years 1965-2005) was assessed by bootstrap correlations using the bootRes package (Zang and
202 Biondi 2013) for R. Climate variables entered in correlations included: monthly mean
203 temperature and precipitations sum from May of the previous year to September of the ring
204 formation year, both retrieved from the CRU TS 3.21 dataset (Harris et al. 2014) accessed via the
205 KMNI climate explorer (<http://climexp.knmi.nl>); the self-calibrating Palmer Drought Severity
206 Index (sc-PDSI, CRU TS 3.21 dataset); the ratio between precipitation and potential
207 evapotranspiration (P/PET) according to Thornthwaite (1948); and the Standardized Precipitation
208 Evapotranspiration Index (SPEI) (Vicente-Serrano et al. 2010) based on a 6-month integration
209 period. As PET (and P/PET) was null for winter months due to temperature below 0, while sc-
210 PDSI and SPEI are incremental, i.e., they incorporate the value of the previous months, these
211 were computed only for the growing season (April-August) of the ring formation year.

212

213 **Multi-year growth declines and recovery**

214 Tree growth response to prolonged stress was assessed as follows: (1) for each year in the period
215 1965-2005, we calculated the number of trees with lower-than-average BAI. Decline periods
216 were identified as those where two or more consecutive years had lower-than-average BAI in at
217 least 2/3 of trees. (2) For each of these periods, we computed individual tree growth decline as
218 the ratio between BAI during the period and BAI in the previous five years, and (3) individual
219 growth recovery as the ratio between BAI in the five years after and BAI during the decline
220 period (Martín-Benito et al. 2008). We then assessed climate anomalies of each decline and
221 recovery period (i.e., differences in monthly temperature, precipitation, P/PET, sc-PDSI and
222 SPEI in decline and recovery periods compared to the reference period), and whether individual

223 growth decline and recovery differed among stands (Kruskal-Wallis median test, with Mann-
224 Whitney pairwise comparisons).

225

226 **Individual tree responses**

227 To investigate factors influencing individual response to inter-annual climatic variations (i.e.,
228 ring width-climate correlation coefficients for the most significant climate factors), and
229 individual growth decline and recovery after prolonged stress (response variables), we used a
230 linear mixed model approach, with site as a random factor (in both intercept and slope). Growth
231 decline and recovery were squared-root transformed, while correlation coefficients were
232 processed by Fisher z-transformation. Independent variables were tree size characteristics (dbh,
233 height, and crown area), tree age, and competitive status (CI). In the models for growth decline
234 and recovery, we included as predictors the tree response to inter-annual climatic variations, and
235 growth decline and recovery in the periods preceding the one being analysed. The effect of each
236 independent variable was tested first by univariate models, which allowed the identification of
237 significant predictors. Successively, the latter were used in multivariate models to assess their
238 relative influence on response variables. Linear mixed effects models were fitted using the
239 package lme4 (Bates et al. 2014) for R.
240 Finally, we computed generalized linear models (with log-link function and gamma distribution)
241 to assess the effect of independent variables, plus mistletoe infection where present, on individual
242 crown transparency in the four stands.

243

244

245

246

247 **Results**

248 **Stand characteristics and competitive status**

249 In the four stands, Scots pine accounted for 75% (SD1) to 100% (SD2) of the total basal area
250 (Table 1). Other species were mainly downy oak at SD1, downy oak and other broadleaves at
251 Morg, and larch at Chal. SD1 had the highest total stand density but the lowest basal area and
252 quadratic mean diameter (QMD). Trees at SD1 were also shorter and younger than in the other
253 plots.

254 Among different competition indices, the highest correlation with BAI was produced by CAI
255 (Table 2). Most indices performed better when computed on a 7 m-radius area of influence.

256 Performance of CIs did not improve when using different weighting coefficients for interspecific
257 competitors. Despite having the lowest basal area, SD1 showed the highest correlation and
258 steepest linear regression coefficient between BAI and CAI, indicating higher importance and
259 intensity of competition at the local scale.

260

261 **Climate-growth relationships**

262 Tree-ring series in SD1 showed the highest mean sensitivity, while in Chal they had the highest
263 \bar{R} (Table 1). In all stands, inter-annual growth variations were strongly affected by spring and
264 early summer climatic conditions of the ring formation year (Fig. 2), while the climate of other
265 seasons had a moderate and inconsistent influence (Supplementary Fig. 1). Spring and summer
266 temperature had a negligible effect on growth at SD1 and Morg. A moderately positive effect of
267 April temperature emerged at SD2, and a negative effect of May and August temperatures at
268 Chal. May precipitation (or May P/PET in SD1) was the most important factor, and significantly
269 affected individual growth in 74% of trees (80% at SD1, 82% at SD2, 65% at Morg, 70% at
270 Chal). Precipitation in other spring and summer months had little influence. Ring-width

271 correlation with sc-PDSI and SPEI indicated that SD1 was the site most affected by April to
272 August water deficit.

273

274 **Growth decline and recovery periods**

275 During the investigation period 1965-2005, there were 13 years with at least 2/3 of trees showing
276 reduced growth rates (i.e., annual BAI lower than average) (Fig. 3 and 4). The first three years
277 (1965, 1968 and 1976) were isolated events, while the remaining ten clustered in two periods:
278 1987-1993, where growth was reduced in almost all trees, and 2003-2005. As ring-series ended
279 in 2005, it was not possible to calculate recovery after 2003-2005.

280 May temperature during decline (1987-1993 and 2003-2005) and recovery (1994-1998) periods
281 was above the 1965-2005 average (Fig. 5), indicating a general warming over the last decades.

282 May precipitations and P/PET were much lower than average in the growth decline years (except
283 precipitation in 1988), with a negative peak in 1991. May precipitation during the recovery
284 period (1994-1998) was above average, except for 1998, while P/PET was below average, due to
285 high temperatures. Sc-PDSI and SPEI in May evidenced normal conditions during 1987-1993
286 and drought in 2003-2005, while 1994-1998 period was quite wet. During April and summer
287 months (June, July and August), temperatures were above average in both the decline and
288 recovery periods, while precipitations had no evident reduction during 1987-1993
289 (Supplementary Fig. 2). sc-PDSI was consistent with a 2003-2005 drought, whereas conditions
290 during 1987-1993 were close to average.

291 All plots experienced a severe growth reduction in 1987-1993 (Fig. 6), but SD1 showed the most
292 dramatic decline ($p < 0.001$, Kruskal-Wallis median test with Mann-Whitney pairwise
293 comparison). Trees at SD1 also experienced the strongest growth recovery among all sites during
294 1994-1998, while in Morg recovery was poor. The 2003-2005 growth decline was less severe

295 than the previous in all plots. Again, growth reduction was stronger in SD1, while trees in SD2
296 did not show any decline.

297

298 **Individual tree response**

299 In all plots, tree growth was positively affected by May precipitation. However, the effect of May
300 precipitation on intra-annual growth variations during 1965-2005 was not significantly affected
301 by tree age, size, or competitive status (Table 3). Growth decline in 1987-1993 was more severe
302 in suppressed trees (those with high CIs) and in trees more sensitive to May precipitation in the
303 previous years (Table 4), especially at SD1 and SD2 (Fig. 7). No variable appeared to influence
304 growth recovery in 1994-1998, while growth decline in 2003-2005 was more severe in young
305 trees and, consistently with the previous stress period, in those more sensitive to precipitation in
306 the previous years (Tables 3 and 4, Fig. 7).

307

308 **Crown transparency**

309 SD1 exhibited the highest crown transparency among all stands (Fig. 8). Furthermore, several
310 trees in SD1 were infested by mistletoe (79%), whereas its occurrence was sporadic in SD2 (2%)
311 and null in the other stands. Considering all stands together, we found a scarce influence of
312 competition and tree characteristics on crown transparency (Table 3). Crown area had a negative
313 effect on crown transparency, but could not discern whether small crowns were more defoliated,
314 or defoliation reduced crown size. Individual tree growth decline in 2003-2005 was not related to
315 crown transparency at SD2, Morg, and Chal (results not shown), but it was at SD1 (Table 5).
316 Furthermore, mistletoe at SD1 was more abundant in trees with higher transparency – but again,
317 causation between the two variables could be either direction.

318

319

320 **Discussion**

321 **Climate influence on Scots pine growth**

322 Scots pine is characterized by great ecological plasticity, which allows the species to grow in a
323 variety of environmental conditions. In Northern Europe, radial growth is mainly limited by
324 temperature during the short growing season (Gunnarson and Linderholm 2002), while in Central
325 and Southern Europe growth is often controlled by water availability in April, May, June or July-
326 August, depending on the region (Zang et al. 2012; Panayotov et al. 2013; Schuster and
327 Oberhuber 2013; Morán-López et al. 2014; Merlin et al. 2015). In all analysed stands, May
328 precipitation was the main climate factor influencing Scots pine growth, while precipitation and
329 summer temperature, often indicated as major climate stressors in dry sites, had a negligible
330 effect. Recent studies on Scots pine cambial activity on similar environmental conditions could
331 help interpreting the observed patterns. In the dry valley of the Inn River (Austria), Gruber et al.
332 (2010) and Swidrak et al. (2014) evidenced that cambial activity in Scots pine lasts from
333 early/mid-April to the end of June/early July, with a peak cell production in early to mid-May.
334 Similarly, Eilmann et al. (2013) indicated that tree rings in Valais (Switzerland) are mostly
335 completed already in June, before the dry period occurs in July and August. We can therefore
336 assume that, in the analysed sites, rings are mostly formed in May, when temperature is relatively
337 low and, generally, does not determine high evapotranspiration. Thus, May precipitation is the
338 limiting factor here. Summer climate has a negligible effect, as most of xylem cells differentiate
339 before June.

340 Here, we provided empirical evidence that reduced May precipitations over several years induced
341 prolonged growth depressions, being very reduced during both 1987-1993 and 2003-2005, and
342 abundant in the recovery period 1994-1998. On the other hand May temperature, and summer

343 precipitations and temperatures, were neither unusual during 1987-1993, nor favourable in the
344 successive recovery period, indicating a secondary role of such climate factors. This result is
345 critical for the definition of climatic stress for the species. Increased temperature, heat waves and
346 summer drought events are often indicated as a major threat for forest ecosystems (Allen et al.
347 2010; Huang et al. 2015), but do not seem to have influenced Scots pine growth in the inner-
348 Alpine Aosta Valley. However, we cannot exclude influence of warming and summer droughts
349 on other tree physiological processes (e.g., root growth, Brunner et al. 2009; nonstructural
350 carbohydrates accumulation, Galiano et al. 2011), or on biotic agents of Scots pine decline (e.g.,
351 insects and nematodes, Rebetez and Dobbertin 2004; mistletoe, Dobbertin et al. 2005).
352 After dry years, when May precipitation returned to average values, Scots pine trees recovered
353 rapidly. In the five years following the drought of 1987-1993, growth increased by 40% (Morg)
354 to 130% (SD1). In 1995, almost 2/3 of trees showed higher-than-average growth. Good recovery
355 capacity indicates adaptation of the species to climate variations, especially in the site more
356 affected by drought (SD1).

357

358 **Individual tree response**

359 The classical dendrochronological method is based on the selection of large, healthy, and
360 possibly isolated trees to create a mean chronology representative of the population
361 (Schweingruber et al. 1990). However, over the last decade, an increased interest in the
362 individual response to climate emerged (Carrer 2011). Each tree has its own characteristics, and
363 experiences different ecological conditions, which can potentially influence tree response to
364 environmental variations (Tessier et al. 1997; Castagneri and Motta 2009). We observed a large
365 variability in Scots pine individual response to climate, growth decline during stress periods,
366 growth recovery, and crown transparency. However, the investigated tree characteristics scarcely

367 explained such differences. Tree age was the only characteristic that partially influenced growth
368 decline, but with no generalized effects. Indeed, age influenced growth decline during 2003-
369 2005, but had neither influence during the former event, nor affected growth recovery and crown
370 transparency. In past studies, tree characteristics such as age, stem diameter, height, and crown
371 size have been shown to affect tree response to inter-annual climate variations, but observations
372 were not consistent (e.g., for age effect see Szeicz and MacDonald 1994; Carrer and Urbinati
373 2004; Esper et al. 2008; Dorado Liñán et al. 2012; Rozas 2014). Our data suggest that the
374 influence of tree characteristics on growth responses to climate (both inter-annual variations and
375 long-term stress) depends on the peculiar characteristics of the stand, species, and climate event
376 (Merlin et al. 2015), and a general pattern cannot be defined.

377 Beside tree characteristics, also inter-tree competition can influence tree response to climate, as it
378 affects light and water availability, resource uptake, and growth efficiency (Gersonde and O'Hara
379 2005; Castagneri and Motta 2009). It is often assumed that trees in denser stands have a weaker
380 climate signal (Schweingruber et al. 1990), as supported by comparative studies (Hurteau et al.
381 2007; Castagneri et al. 2012). However, high competition can increase sensitivity to drought as it
382 exacerbates water stress (Martín-Benito et al. 2011; Martínez-Vilalta et al. 2012; Madrigal-
383 González and Zavala 2014). In his seminal work on tree decline diseases, Manion (1981)
384 indicated competition as a slow “predisposing” factor, while particularly dry years can be the
385 “contributing” or “inciting” cause of mortality of weakened trees. This was partially confirmed
386 by our results, as SD1 was at the same time the stand with more intense competition (despite a
387 low basal area), the most sensitive to summer drought, and the most affected by prolonged
388 climate stress. At the tree level, however, the effect of competition on climate-growth
389 relationships was less clear. Competition appeared to exacerbate drought effects during 1987-
390 1993, but did not influence growth recovery, nor decline in 2003-2005. As discussed for age/size

391 effect, we believe that competition-drought interactions at the tree level are extremely complex
392 and do not comply with general rules, as shown by the inconsistency of previous findings (Piutti
393 and Cescatti 1997; Pichler and Oberhuber 2007; Martín-Benito et al. 2008; Camarero et al. 2011;
394 Rozas 2014).

395 In the analysed stands, trees more sensitive to May precipitation (during the years previous the
396 droughts) had lower growth rates in 1987-1993 and 2003-2005. However, they were not able to
397 recover faster than other trees during 1994-1998. Therefore, high correlation between growth and
398 climate did not indicate high tree plasticity, i.e., a capacity to reduce growth under unfavourable
399 climate and to take advantage of positive conditions. Instead, within stands, some trees were
400 simply more susceptible to precipitation shortage. Individual difference could be possibly related
401 to genetics (Taeger et al. 2013), morphology (e.g., the wood anatomy, Hereş et al. 2014; root
402 system architecture, Mickovski and Ennos 2002), physiology (e.g., carbon storage in sapwood
403 and needles, Camarero et al. 2015), or micro-site soil conditions (Gruber et al. 2010).

404

405 **Crown conditions**

406 Crown transparency is widely used to monitor anthropogenic, soil, or climate stress in forest
407 stands. Still, to date, it has been scarcely used to investigate individual response to stress. As both
408 tree growth and crown transparency are not cause-specific indicators of stress, their assessment
409 may complement each other (Dobbertin 2005; Camarero et al. 2015). Among the four
410 investigated stands, the highest crown transparency was found at SD1, where repeated May
411 droughts had the strongest effect on growth. Here, we also observed a relationship between
412 individual growth decline and crown transparency, suggesting that these stress indicators were
413 responding to a common limiting factor, i.e. spring water availability, while tree characteristics
414 and perceived competition played a minor role. SD1 was also affected by mistletoe, which

415 occurred only sporadically in the other stands. Within the stand, trees with high infestation had
416 the highest crown transparency (as observed by Dobbertin and Rigling 2006). In accordance to
417 Rigling et al. (2010), we did not observe a direct effect of mistletoe on growth decline, but rather
418 crown degradation, enhanced by mistletoe infection, can result in higher sensitivity to drought
419 stress.

420

421

422 **Conclusion and future perspectives**

423 Along the east-west axis of the inner-Alpine Aosta Valley, at elevations between 985 and 1350 m
424 a.s.l., repeated precipitation shortage in May caused prolonged growth reductions in Scots pine
425 second-growth stands, while there was no evidence of summer climate constraint on growth
426 patterns. However, we cannot exclude that the forecasted general warming (IPCC 2013) will
427 increase Scots pine sensitivity to May precipitation, as we observed more severe growth
428 reductions at lower elevations. The species is certainly adapted to water stress, and able to
429 recover even after seven years of precipitation shortage. Nevertheless, in the most stressful
430 condition (dense stands at low elevation), prolonged reductions of growth and high crown
431 transparency aggravated by mistletoe infestation can compromise tree functioning and increase
432 mortality risk (Bigler et al. 2006; Rigling et al. 2010; Hereş et al. 2012). Thinning to reduce
433 competition for water could moderate drought stress, and thus Scots pine decline (Rigling et al.
434 2013; Fernández-de-Uña et al. 2015). Otherwise, similarly to what forecasted for other Alpine
435 valleys, we can expect pine to be replaced by more drought tolerant species such as downy oak
436 (Weber et al. 2007; Vacchiano and Motta 2015). However, uncertainty in future precipitation
437 regime in the Alpine area (IPCC 2013) complicates predictions of species performance in the
438 next decades.

439 Our individual-level analysis showed that trees more sensitive to inter-annual precipitation
440 variations had lower growth rates than other trees during repeated precipitation shortages, but
441 were not able to recover faster, i.e., they were less able to cope with adverse climate conditions.
442 However, we did not identify a unique tree characteristic influencing tree response to climate.
443 Extensive literature suggests that individual size/age/competition effect on response to climate is
444 related to specific site, stand, and climate conditions. More detailed analyses on Scots pine tree
445 genetics, and on physiological adjustment to stress, could shed light on why trees within a stand
446 respond differently to climate stress. Future variations in spring precipitation regime in the Alps,
447 which is less predictable compared to temperature (IPCC 2013), could threaten Scots pine in
448 inner-Alpine valleys at elevation around 1000-1400 m a.s.l. Still, different individual sensitivity
449 to precipitation will probably result in patchy patterns, with healthy trees close to individuals
450 with declining growth rates, and defoliated crowns infested by mistletoe.

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463 Acknowledgments

464 We thank Anna Battiston, Roberta Berretti, Fabio Meloni, Emanuele Lingua, Luana Giordano,
465 Cinzia Saponeri, Enrico Mazzetto, and Matthias Dobbertin, whom sadly passed away in 2012, for
466 all suggestions, assistance with data collection, and processing of tree-ring samples. Funding and
467 logistic support was provided by Fondazione CRT - Progetto Alfieri 2004-2005 "Le foreste di
468 protezione della Valle d'Aosta", European Commission, Piedmont Region (Direzione Opere
469 Pubbliche, Difesa del Suolo, Economia Montana e Foreste), Aosta Valley Region (Direzione
470 Foreste) and Canton Valais (Services des Forêts et du Paysage) - Progetto EU Interreg IIIA 2000-
471 2006 Italy-Switzerland "Le pinete delle vallate alpine: un elemento del paesaggio in mutazione".
472 D.C. was supported by the University of Padua (Research Project D320.PRGR13001, Senior
473 Research Grants 2012). A.B. was supported by USDA Forest Service Northern Research Station,
474 University of Minnesota, and NE Climate Science Center – US Department of the Interior.

475

476 Author contribution statement

477 DC conceived the study, contributed to data acquisition, and drafted the manuscript. AB
478 contributed to climate analysis and manuscript preparation. RM was the principal investigator of
479 the scientific project, contributed to result interpretation, and provided critical revision of the
480 manuscript. GV was responsible for study design and acquisition of data, and contributed to
481 analysis on competition and manuscript preparation.

482

483 Conflict of interest

484 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest

485

486

487 **References**

- 488 Allen CD, Macalady AK, Chenchouni H, et al (2010) A global overview of drought and heat-
489 induced tree mortality reveals emerging climate change risks for forests. *For Ecol Manage*
490 259:660–684. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2009.09.001
- 491 Alvarez Taboada MF, Anta BM, Varala JG, Gonzalez AJG (2003) Influencia de la competencia
492 en el crecimiento en seccion en *Pinus radiata* D. Don. *Invest Agrar Sist Recur For* 12:25-35
- 493 Barbero M, Bonin G, Loisel R, Quézel P (1990) Changes and disturbances of forest ecosystems
494 caused by human activities in the western part of the Mediterranean basin. *Vegetatio* 87:151-173
- 495 Bates D, Maechler M, Bolker B, Walker S (2014) lme4: Linear mixed-effects models using
496 Eigen and S4. R package version 1.1-7 <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=lme4>
- 497 Biancotti A, Bellardone G, Bovo S, Cagnazzi B, Giacomelli L, Marchisio C (1998) Distribuzione
498 regionale di piogge e temperature. Regione Piemonte, Torino
- 499 Bigler C, Bräker OU, Bugmann H, et al (2006) Drought as an inciting mortality factor in scots
500 pine stands of the Valais, Switzerland. *Ecosystems* 9:330–343. doi: 10.1007/s10021-005-0126-2
- 501 Bréda N, Huc R, Granier A, Dreyer E (2006) Temperate forest trees and stands under severe
502 drought: a review of ecophysiological responses, adaptation processes and long-term
503 consequences. *Ann For Sci* 63:625–644. doi: 10.1051/forest:2006042
- 504 Brunner I, Pannatier EG, Frey B, et al (2009) Morphological and physiological responses of
505 Scots pine fine roots to water supply in a dry climatic region in Switzerland. *Tree Physiol*
506 29:541–50. doi: 10.1093/treephys/tpn046
- 507 Camarero JJ, Bigler C, Linares JC, Gil-Pelegrín E (2011) Synergistic effects of past historical
508 logging and drought on the decline of Pyrenean silver fir forests. *For Ecol Manage* 262:759–769.
509 doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2011.05.009

- 510 Camarero JJ, Gazol A, Sanguesa-Barreda G, Oliva J, Vicente-Serrano SM (2015) To die or not to
511 die : early warnings of tree dieback in response to a severe drought. *J Ecol* 103:44–57. doi:
512 10.1111/1365-2745.12295
- 513 Campelo F, García-González I, Nabais C (2012) detrendeR – A Graphical User Interface to
514 process and visualize tree-ring data using R. *Dendrochronologia* 30:57–60. doi:
515 10.1016/j.dendro.2011.01.010
- 516 Carrer M (2011) Individualistic and time-varying tree-ring growth to climate sensitivity. *PLoS*
517 *One*. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0022813
- 518 Carrer M, Urbinati C (2004) Age-dependent tree-ring growth responses to climate in *Larix*
519 *decidua* and *Pinus cembra*. *Ecology* 85:730–740. doi: 10.1890/02-0478
- 520 Castagneri D, Motta R (2009) A research gap in the interactive effects of climate and competition
521 on trees growth. In: Karam WP (ed) *Tree Growth: Influences, Layers and Types*. Nova Science
522 Publishers, pp 89-93
- 523 Castagneri D, Nola P, Cherubini P, Motta R (2012) Temporal variability of size–growth
524 relationships in a Norway spruce forest: the influences of stand structure, logging, and climate.
525 *Can J For Res* 42:550-560. doi: 10.1139/x2012-007
- 526 Castagneri D, Vacchiano G, Lingua E, Motta R (2008) Analysis of intraspecific competition in
527 two subalpine Norway spruce (*Picea abies* (L.) Karst.) stands in Paneveggio (Trento, Italy). *For*
528 *Ecol Manage* 255:651–659. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2007.09.041
- 529 Cook ER (1985) A time-series analysis approach to tree-ring standardization. Ph.D. dissertation,
530 Univ. of Arizona, Tucson
- 531 Daniels R, Burkhardt H, Clason T (1986) A comparison of competition measures for predicting
532 growth of loblolly pine trees. *Can J For Res* 16:1230-1237

- 533 Dobbertin M (2005) Tree growth as indicator of tree vitality and of tree reaction to environmental
534 stress: a review. *Eur J For Res* 124:319–333. doi: 10.1007/s10342-005-0085-3
- 535 Dobbertin M, Hilker N, Rebetez M, et al (2005) The upward shift in altitude of pine mistletoe
536 (*Viscum album* ssp. *austriacum*) in Switzerland--the result of climate warming? *Int J Biometeorol*
537 50:40–7. doi: 10.1007/s00484-005-0263-5
- 538 Dobbertin M, Hug C, Mizoue N (2004) Using Slides to Test for Changes in Crown Defoliation
539 Assessment Methods. Part I: Visual Assessment of Slides. *Environ Monit Assess* 98:295–306.
540 doi: 10.1023/B:EMAS.0000038192.84631.b6
- 541 Dobbertin M, Rigling A (2006) Pine mistletoe (*Viscum album* ssp. *austriacum*) contributes to
542 Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris*) mortality in the Rhone valley of Switzerland. *For Pathol* 36:309–
543 322. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0329.2006.00457.x
- 544 Dorado Liñán I, Gutiérrez E, Heinrich I, et al. (2012) Age effects and climate response in trees: a
545 multi-proxy tree-ring test in old-growth life stages. *Eur J For Res* 131:933–944. doi:
546 10.1007/s10342-011-0566-5
- 547 Eilmann B, Dobbertin M, Rigling A (2013) Growth response of Scots pine with different crown
548 transparency status to drought release. *Ann For Sci* 70:685–693. doi: 10.1007/s13595-013-0310-z
- 549 Eilmann B, Rigling A (2012) Tree-growth analyses to estimate tree species' drought tolerance.
550 *Tree Physiol* 32:178–187. doi: 10.1093/treephys/tps004
- 551 Esper J, Niederer R, Bebi P, Frank D (2008) Climate signal age effects—Evidence from young
552 and old trees in the Swiss Engadin. *For Ecol Manage* 255:3783–3789. doi:
553 10.1016/j.foreco.2008.03.015
- 554 Fernández-de-Uña L, Cañellas I, Gea-Izquierdo G (2015) Stand competition determines how
555 different tree species will cope with a warming climate. *PLoS ONE* 10: e0122255.
556 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0122255

- 557 Fritts HC (1976) Tree rings and climate. Academic Press, New York
- 558 Galiano L, Martínez-Vilalta J, Lloret F (2011) Carbon reserves and canopy defoliation determine
559 the recovery of Scots pine 4 yr after a drought episode. *New Phytol* 190:750–9. doi:
560 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03628.x
- 561 Garbarino M, Lingua E, Vacchiano G, Motta R (2006) Scots pine forests in the NW Italian Alps.
562 What has changed in the last 50 years? In Laforteza R, Sanesi G (eds) *Proceeding of IUFRO*
563 *Landscape Ecology Conference* pp. 523-528
- 564 Gersonde RF, O’Hara KL (2005) Comparative tree growth efficiency in Sierra Nevada mixed-
565 conifer forests. *For Ecol Manage* 219:95–108. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2005.09.002
- 566 Gobiet A, Kotlarski S, Beniston M et al (2014) 21st century climate change in the European
567 Alps-a review. *Sci Total Environ* 493:1138–51. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.07.050
- 568 Gonthier P, Giordano L, Nicolotti G (2010) Further observations on sudden diebacks of Scots
569 pine in the European Alps. *For Chron* 86:110–117.
- 570 Gruber A, Strobl S, Veit B, Oberhuber W (2010) Impact of drought on the temporal dynamics of
571 wood formation in *Pinus sylvestris*. *Tree Physiol* 30:490–501. doi: 10.1093/treephys/tpq003
- 572 Gunnarson BE, Linderholm HW (2002) Low-frequency summer temperature variation in central
573 Sweden since the tenth century inferred from tree rings. *The Holocene* 12:667–671. doi:
574 10.1191/0959683602hl579rp
- 575 Harris I, Jones PD, Osborn TJ, Lister DH (2014) Updated high-resolution grids of monthly
576 climatic observations – the CRU TS3.10 dataset. *Int J Climat* 34:623–642.
- 577 Hegyi F (1974) A simulation model for managing jackpine stands. In: *Growth models for tree*
578 *and stand simulation*. Fries J (ed) Royal Coll. of For., Stockholm, Sweden, pp 74-90

- 579 Hereş A-M, Camarero JJ, López BC, Martínez-Vilalta J (2014) Declining hydraulic
580 performances and low carbon investments in tree rings predate Scots pine drought-induced
581 mortality. *Trees - Struct Funct* 28:1737–1750. doi: 10.1007/s00468-014-1081-3
- 582 Hereş AM, Martínez-Vilalta J, López BC (2012) Growth patterns in relation to drought-induced
583 mortality at two Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) sites in NE Iberian Peninsula. *Trees - Struct*
584 *Funct* 26:621–630. doi: 10.1007/s00468-011-0628-9
- 585 Holmes RL (1983) Computer-assisted quality control in tree-ring dating and measurement. *Tree*
586 *Ring Bull* 43:69-95
- 587 Huang K, Yi C, Wu D, et al (2015) Tipping point of a conifer forest ecosystem under severe
588 drought. *Environ Res Lett* 10:024011. doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/10/2/024011
- 589 Hurteau M, Zald H, North M (2007) Species-specific response to climate reconstruction in upper-
590 elevation mixed-conifer forests of the western Sierra Nevada, California. *Can J For Res* 37:1681–
591 1691. doi: 10.1139/X07-028
- 592 IPCC (2013) *Climate Change 2013: The physical science basis. Contribution of working group I.*
593 *Cambridge Univ. Press, USA*
- 594 Jacob D, Petersen J, Eggert B, et al (2014) EURO-CORDEX: new high-resolution climate
595 change projections for European impact research. *Reg Environ Chang* 14:563–578. doi:
596 10.1007/s10113-013-0499-2
- 597 Lindner M, Fitzgerald JB, Zimmermann NE, et al (2014) Climate change and European forests:
598 What do we know, what are the uncertainties, and what are the implications for forest
599 management? *J Environ Manage* 146C:69–83. doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2014.07.030
- 600 Madrigal-González J, Zavala MA (2014) Competition and tree age modulated last century pine
601 growth responses to high frequency of dry years in a water limited forest ecosystem. *Agric For*
602 *Meteorol* 192-193:18–26. doi: 10.1016/j.agrformet.2014.02.011

- 603 Manion PD (1981) Tree disease concepts. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs
- 604 Martín-Benito D, Cherubini P, Del Río M, Cañellas I (2008) Growth response to climate and
605 drought in *Pinus nigra* Arn. trees of different crown classes. *Trees - Struct Funct* 22:363–373.
606 doi: 10.1007/s00468-007-0191-6
- 607 Martín-Benito D, Kint V, del Río M, et al (2011) Growth responses of West-Mediterranean *Pinus*
608 *nigra* to climate change are modulated by competition and productivity: Past trends and future
609 perspectives. *For Ecol Manage* 262:1030–1040. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2011.05.038
- 610 Martínez-Vilalta J, López BC, Loepfe L, Lloret F (2012) Stand- and tree-level determinants of
611 the drought response of Scots pine radial growth. *Oecologia* 168:877–88. doi: 10.1007/s00442-
612 011-2132-8
- 613 Mérian P, Lebourgeois F (2011) Size-mediated climate-growth relationships in temperate forests:
614 A multi-species analysis. *For Ecol Manage* 261:1382–1391. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2011.01.019
- 615 Merlin M, Perot T, Perret S, et al (2015) Effects of stand composition and tree size on resistance
616 and resilience to drought in sessile oak and Scots pine. *For Ecol Manage* 339:22–33. doi:
617 10.1016/j.foreco.2014.11.032
- 618 Mickovski SB, Ennos AR (2002) A morphological and mechanical study of the root systems of
619 suppressed crown Scots pine *Pinus sylvestris*. *Trees - Struct Funct* 16:274–280 doi:
620 10.1007/s00468-002-0177-3
- 621 Morán-López T, Poyatos R, Llorens P, Sabate S (2014) Effects of past growth trends and current
622 water use strategies on Scots pine and pubescent oak drought sensitivity. *Eur J For Res* 133:369–
623 382. doi: 10.1007/s10342-013-0768-0
- 624 Müller E, Stierlin HR (1990) *Sanasilva kronenbilder mit nadel- und blattverlustprozen- ten,*
625 *eidgenössische forschungsanstalt für Wald, Schnee und Landschaft, 2. Überarbeitete und*
626 *erweiterte Auflage, pp. 129.*

- 627 Oberhuber W (2001) The role of climate in the mortality of Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.)
628 exposed to soil dryness. *Dendrochronologia* 19:45-55
- 629 Ozenda P (1985) *La végétation de la chaîne alpine dans l'espace montagnard européen*. Masson
630 Editions, Paris
- 631 Panayotov MP, Zafirov N, Cherubini P (2013) Fingerprints of extreme climate events in *Pinus*
632 *syvestris* tree rings from Bulgaria. *Trees* 27:211–227. doi: 10.1007/s00468-012-0789-1
- 633 Pichler P, Oberhuber W (2007) Radial growth response of coniferous forest trees in an inner
634 Alpine environment to heat-wave in 2003. *For Ecol Manage* 242:688–699. doi:
635 10.1016/j.foreco.2007.02.007
- 636 Piutti E, Cescatti A (1997) A quantitative analysis of the interactions between climatic response
637 and intraspecific competition in European beech. *Can J For Res* 27:277 – 284.
- 638 Polomski J, Schonfeld U, Braasch H, et al (2006) Occurrence of *Bursaphelenchus* species in
639 declining *Pinus sylvestris* in a dry Alpine valley in Switzerland. *For Pathol* 36:110–118. doi:
640 10.1111/j.1439-0329.2006.00437.x
- 641 Pukkala T, Kolström T (1987) Competition indices and the prediction of radial growth in Scots
642 pine. *Silva Fenn* 21:55-67
- 643 R Development Core Team (2014) *R: a language and environment for statistical computing*. R
644 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, URL <http://www.R-project.org>.
- 645 Rebetez M, Dobbertin M (2004) Climate change may already threaten Scots pine stands in the
646 Swiss Alps. *Theor Appl Climatol* 79:1–9. doi: 10.1007/s00704-004-0058-3
- 647 Richardson DM (1998) *Ecology and biogeography of Pinus*. Cambridge University Press,
648 Cambridge
- 649 Rigling A, Eilmann B, Koechli R, Dobbertin M (2010) Mistletoe-induced crown degradation in
650 Scots pine in a xeric environment. *Tree Physiol* 30:845–52. doi: 10.1093/treephys/tpq038

- 651 Rigling A, Bigler C, Eilmann B, et al (2013) Driving factors of a vegetation shift from Scots pine
652 to pubescent oak in dry Alpine forests. *Glob Chang Biol* 19:229–40. doi: 10.1111/gcb.12038
- 653 Rozas V (2014) Individual-based approach as a useful tool to disentangle the relative importance
654 of tree age, size and inter-tree competition in dendroclimatic studies. *iForest For* 59:e1–e8. doi:
655 10.3832/ifor1249-007
- 656 Schuster R, Oberhuber W (2013) Drought sensitivity of three co-occurring conifers within a dry
657 inner Alpine environment. *Trees - Struct Funct* 27:61–69. doi: 10.1007/s00468-012-0768-6
- 658 Schweingruber FH, Kairiukstis L, Shiyatov M (1990) Primary data sample selection. In: Cook
659 ER, Kairiukstis L (eds) *Methods of dendrochronology*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht,
660 pp 23-35
- 661 Speer JH (2010) *Fundamentals of tree-ring research*. University of Arizona Press
- 662 Swidrak I, Gruber A, Oberhuber W (2014) Xylem and phloem phenology in co-occurring
663 conifers exposed to drought. *Trees - Struct Funct* 28:1161–1171. doi: 10.1007/s00468-014-1026-
664 x
- 665 Szeicz JM, MacDonald GM (1994) Age-dependent tree-ring growth-responses of sub-arctic
666 white spruce to climate. *Can J For Res* 24:120-132
- 667 Taeger S, Zang C, Liesebach M, et al (2013) Impact of climate and drought events on the growth
668 of Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) provenances. *For Ecol Manage* 307:30–42. doi:
669 10.1016/j.foreco.2013.06.053
- 670 Tessier L., Guiba, F, Schweingruber FH (1997). Research strategies in dendroecology and
671 dendroclimatology in mountain environments. *Clim Change*, 36: 499-517
- 672 Thabeet A, Vennetier M, Gadbin-Henry C, et al (2009) Response of *Pinus sylvestris* L. to recent
673 climatic events in the French Mediterranean region. *Trees - Struct Funct* 23:843–853. doi:
674 10.1007/s00468-009-0326-z

- 675 Thornthwaite CW (1948) An approach toward a rational classification of climate. *Geogr Rev*
676 38:55-94
- 677 Vacchiano G, Garbarino M, Borgogno Mondino E, Motta R (2012) Evidences of drought stress
678 as a predisposing factor to Scots pine decline in Valle d'Aosta (Italy). *Eur J For Res* 131:989–
679 1000. doi: 10.1007/s10342-011-0570-9
- 680 Vacchiano G, Motta R (2015) An improved species distribution model for Scots pine and downy
681 oak under future climate change in the NW Italian Alps. *Ann For Sci* 72:321–334. doi:
682 10.1007/s13595-014-0439-4
- 683 Vacchiano G, Stanchi S, Marinari G, et al (2014) Fire severity, residuals and soil legacies affect
684 regeneration of Scots pine in the Southern Alps. *Sci Total Environ* 472:778–788. doi:
685 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.11.101
- 686 Vicente-Serrano SM, Beguería S, López-Moreno JI (2010) A Multiscalar Drought Index
687 Sensitive to Global Warming: The Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index. *J Clim*
688 23:1696–1718. doi: 10.1175/2009JCLI2909.1
- 689 Walter J, Jentsch A, Beierkuhnlein C, Kreyling J (2013) Ecological stress memory and cross
690 stress tolerance in plants in the face of climate extremes. *Environ Exp Bot* 94:3–8. doi:
691 10.1016/j.envexpbot.2012.02.009
- 692 Weber P, Bugmann H, Rigling A (2007) Radial growth responses to drought of *Pinus sylvestris*
693 and *Quercus pubescens* in an inner-Alpine dry valley. *J Veg Sci* 18:777-792
- 694 Wermelinger B, Rigling A, Schneider Mathis D, Dobbertin M (2008) Assessing the role of bark-
695 and wood-boring insects in the decline of Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris*) in the Swiss Rhone valley.
696 *Ecol Entomol* 33:239–249. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2311.2007.00960.x
- 697 Wykoff WR (1990) A basal area increment model for individual conifers in the northern rocky
698 mountains. *For Sci* 36:1077-1104

699 Zang C, Biondi F (2013) Dendroclimatic calibration in R: The bootRes package for response and
700 correlation function analysis. *Dendrochronologia* 31:68–74. doi: 10.1016/j.dendro.2012.08.001

701 Zang C, Pretzsch H, Rothe A (2012) Size-dependent responses to summer drought in Scots pine,
702 Norway spruce and common oak. *Trees - Struct Funct* 26:557–569. doi: 10.1007/s00468-011-
703 0617-z

704

705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723 **FIGURE CAPTIONS**

724 **Fig. 1** Location of the four study sites, Challand-Saint-Anselme (Chal), Saint Denis low (SD1)
725 and high (SD2) elevation, Morgex (Morg), and the Region's capital city (Aosta), within the
726 Aosta Valley Region, Northwestern Italy. Green indicates forested area

727 **Fig. 2** Bootstrap correlation coefficients between the four stand chronologies and April to August
728 climate variables. TEMP = temperature, PRCP = precipitation, P/PET = ratio between
729 precipitation and potential evapotranspiration, sc-PDSI = self-calibrating Palmer Drought
730 Severity Index, SPEI = Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index. Dark bars indicate
731 correlation coefficients significant at $p < 0.05$

732 **Fig. 3** Mean Basal Area Increment (BAI) in the four study sites during 1965-2005

733 **Fig. 4** Percentage of trees with annual BAI below the 1965-2005 average (all plots). Years with
734 more than 2/3 of trees with BAI lower than average are indicated with red bars

735 **Fig. 5** May climate anomalies in 1987-1993, 1994-1998, and 2003-2005 relative to the reference
736 period 1965-2005 (equal to 1). TEMP = temperature, PRCP = precipitation, P/PET = ratio
737 between precipitation and potential evapotranspiration, sc-PDSI = self-calibrating Palmer
738 Drought Severity Index, SPEI = Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index

739 **Fig. 6** Median growth (BAI) decline in 1987-1993, 2003-2005, and recovery in 1994-1998.

740 Different letters indicate different growth response between stands in the same period, according
741 to Kruskal-Wallis median test with Mann-Whitney pairwise comparisons

742 **Fig. 7** Relationship between individual tree growth decline in 1987-1993 (a) and 2003-2005 (b),
743 and response to May precipitation in the previous period in the four stands

744 **Fig. 8** Percentage crown transparency in the four study stands during 2005

745

746 **SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE CAPTIONS**

747 **Fig. 1** Bootstrap correlation coefficients between the four stand chronologies and climate
748 variables from May of the previous year to September of the ring formation year. Dark bars
749 indicate correlation coefficients significant at $p < 0.05$

750 **Fig. 2** April, June, July and August climate anomalies in 1987-1993, 1994-1998, and 2003-2005
751 relative to the reference period 1965-2005 (equal to 1). TEMP = temperature, PRCP =
752 precipitation, P/PET = ratio between precipitation and potential evapotranspiration, PDSI = self-
753 calibrating Palmer Drought Severity Index, SPEI = Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration
754 Index

755

756

757

758

759

760

761

762

763

764

765

766 **Table 1** Site and stand characteristics, and tree-ring statistics, for the four stands

767

	Site			Stand							Chronology			
	Elevation	Slope	Aspect	Trees pine	Trees total	BA pine	BA total	QMD pine	Height pine	Age pine	Cores	MS	AR	Rba
	m a.s.l.	%		n	n	m ²	m ²	cm	m	years	n			
SD1	985	62	SW	876	1400	13.6	18.0	12.8	6.4	60	54	0.394	0.386	0.45
SD2	1350	34	SW	929	929	35.7	35.7	22.1	11.9	124	66	0.240	0.417	0.36
Morg	1090	77	S	610	824	34.1	36.8	23.8	13.5	95	73	0.252	0.404	0.36
Chal	1115	40	W	573	724	35.3	42.3	27.3	15.6	95	39	0.263	0.369	0.50

768

769 For site characteristics, Elevation is the mean plot elevation, Slope is the mean percent slope, and
770 Aspect is the main exposition. For stand characteristics, all referred to one hectare, Trees pine is
771 the number of Scots pine trees, Trees total is the total tree number (i.e., including all the species),
772 BA pine is Basal Area of Scots pine, BA total is the total Basal Area, QMD pine is the quadratic
773 mean diameter of Scots pine, Height pine is the mean height of all Scots pine trees, Age pine is
774 the mean number of years at breast height, estimated to the pith, of the cored Scots pine trees. For
775 tree-ring series, it is reported the number of successfully cross-dated cores, the mean sensitivity
776 (MS), the autocorrelation (AC), and the mean correlation between trees (Rbar)

777

778

779

780

781

782 **Table 2** Competition indices (CIs) with corresponding equations, and correlations (Pearson's R)
 783 with individual tree BAI over the last 10 years in the four stands. For CAI, linear regression slope
 784 is also reported in brackets

Index	Source	Variables	Equation	SD1	SD2	Morg	Chal
Voronoi	Daniels et al. (1986)	Distance	-	0.44	0.19	0.26	0.42
BAL	Wykoff (1990)	Basal Area	$\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n BA_j}{BA_i^2}$	0.15	0.28	-0.03	0.17
Hegy	Hegy (1974)	Distance, dbh	$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{D_j}{D_i \cdot (L_{ij} + 1)}$	-0.63	-0.33	-0.49	-0.41
PK	Pukkala and Kolström (1987)	Distance, height	$\sum_{j=1}^n \arctan \frac{(H_j - H_i)}{L_{ij}}$	-0.07	-0.30	-0.49	0.02
CCS	Alvarez Taboada et al. (2003)	Distance, crown area	$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{A_j}{A_i \cdot L_{ij}}$	-0.66	-0.41	-0.53	-0.51
CAI	Castagneri et al. (2008)	Dist., crown area, height	$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\left(\frac{A_j \cdot H_j}{A_i \cdot H_i} \right)}{L_{ij}}$	-0.68	-0.47	-0.60	-0.58
				(-0.41)	(-0.25)	(-0.39)	(-0.30)

785 In the equations, n is the number of competitors, D_i the subject tree dbh (cm), D_j the competitor
 786 tree dbh (cm), BA_i the subject tree basal area (cm²), BA_j the competitor tree basal area (cm²), L_{ij}
 787 the distance between competitor and subject tree (m), H_i the subject tree height (m), H_j the
 788 competitor tree height (m), A_i the subject tree crown area (m²), A_j the competitor tree crown area
 789 (m²)

790

791 **Table 3** Parameter estimates of univariate linear mixed models of tree response to May
 792 precipitation (R. May prec), growth decline in 1987-1993 (BAI 87_93), recovery in 1994-1998
 793 (BAI 94_98), and decline in 2003-2005 (BAI 03_05), and of univariate generalized linear models
 794 of crown transparency (Transparency)

	R. May prec	BAI 87_93	BAI 94_98	BAI 03_05	Transparency
Age	-0.008	0.003	-0.011	0.013***	-0.011
DBH	-0.014	0.029	-0.048	0.014	-0.042
Height	-0.001	0.001	-0.001	0.001	0.001
Crown area	0.019	0.208	0.012	0.176	-0.575*
Comp. index	-0.065	-0.221*	-0.174	-0.036	-0.333
R. May prec.		-1.741*	1.141	-1.823**	-0.585
BAI 87_93			-1.966	-0.098	
BAI 94_98				-0.673	
BAI 03_05					-0.644

795

796 Bold indicates significant predictors at * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$

797

798

799

800

801

802

803

804 **Table 4** Parameter estimates of linear mixed models (multivariate) of growth decline in 1987-
 805 1993 (BAI 87_93, Model 1) and in 2003-2005 (BAI 03_05, Model 2). Comp. index is the
 806 individual CAI value; R. May prec is tree response to May precipitation; Age is tree age

	Estimate	Std. Err.	Sig.	AIC
Model 1 (BAI 87_93)				-336.4
Comp. index	-0.024	0.008	**	
R. May prec.	-0.179	0.077	*	
Model 2 (BAI 03_05)				-310.6
Age	0.001	0.0003	*	
R. May prec.	-0.156	0.0595	**	

807

808 * indicates $p < 0.05$, ** indicates $p < 0.01$

809

810

811

812

813

814

815

816

817

818

819 **Table 5** Parameter estimates of generalized linear model (multivariate) of crown transparency at
 820 SD1 (Model 3). Mistletoe is percent infestation of mistletoe on the tree; BAI 03_05 is growth
 821 decline in 2003-2005

	Estimate	Std. Err.	Sig.	AIC
Model 3 (transparency)				181.3
Mistletoe	0.0748	0.0298	*	
BAI03_05	-0.2558	0.0986	*	

822

823 * indicates $p < 0.05$