Repeated spring precipitation shortage alters individual growth patterns in Scots pine forests in the Western Alps

(Article begins on next page)

23 November 2024

Repeated spring precipitation shortage alters individual growth patterns in Scots pine

forests in the Western Alps

Castagneri D.[1*], Bottero A.[2,3], Motta R.[4], Vacchiano G.[4]

[1]Department TeSAF, University of Padua, Legnaro (PD) I-35020 Italy

[2]Department of Forest Resources, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, Minnesota 55108 USA

[3]USDA Forest Service, Northern Research Station, Grand Rapids, Minnesota 55744 USA

[4] Department DISAFA, University of Turin, Grugliasco (TO) I-10095 Italy

* corresponding author: Daniele Castagneri

Viale dell'Università 16, I-35020 Legnaro (PD), Italy

Phone: +39 049 827 2752

Email: daniele.castagneri@unipd.it

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest

Key message

In the inner-Alpine Aosta Valley, severe growth reductions occurred in Scots pine stands during 1987-1993 and 2003-2005. Trees more sensitive to May precipitation exhibited stronger growth decline during these periods.

Abstract

Over the last decades, Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) decline has involved large areas in the European Alps. Although the species is supposed to be drought-resistant, increased temperatures and droughts are often indicated as predisposing causes of the decline. Nevertheless, the exact climate conditions that initiate the decline, and the reasons why they differentially affect individual trees, are largely unknown. Our aims were to identify climate constraints on Scots pine growth, and elucidate the effect of individual characteristics, such as tree age, size, crown condition, mistletoe occurrence, competition, and sensitivity to climate, on tree growth decline and recovery after repeated climatic stress. We analysed 232 trees in four second-growth stands located at 985 to 1350 m a.s.l. in the inner-Alpine Aosta Valley, NW Italy. Multi-year growth declines, occurred in 1987-1993 and 2003-2005, were related to repeated May precipitation shortage, while temperatures and summer precipitations played a minor role. Growth decline was stronger in the stand at lower elevation and with higher competition intensity. At the individual scale, trees more sensitive to May precipitation exhibited lower growth rates during both dry periods, but not a faster recovery. In the lower elevation stand, tree growth decline was significantly related to both crown transparency and mistletoe abundance, which was almost absent in the other stands. We conclude that future variations in the spring precipitation regime could threaten Scots pine more than warming *per se*, in inner-Alpine valleys around 1000-1400

48   m a.s.l. Still, different individual sensitivity to precipitation will likely result in patchy patterns of

49   healthy and declining trees within the same stand.

50

51   **Keywords** Climate change; competition; crown; decline; *Pinus sylvestris*; tree ring.

52

53

54

Introduction

Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) is the most widespread tree species in Europe, covering more than 20 million hectares from Siberia and Northern Fennoscandia to Southern Europe. During the 20th century, the species has considerably expanded in the European Alps due to its early-seral character, i.e., a capacity to colonize abandoned fields and grasslands (Barbero et al. 1990). In inner-Alpine valleys characterized by continental climate, a peculiar tolerance to a wide range of climatic and edaphic stressors (Richardson 1998) allows the species to grow on southerly-exposed slopes where other species are limited by concomitant low winter and high summer temperatures, and summer rain shortage (Vacchiano et al. 2012). Therefore, in the continental sectors, Scots pine can be considered both an early- and late-seral species (Ozenda 1985). However, over the last 20 years, an increasing number of studies have reported a decline of Scots pine in inner-Alpine valleys, especially at medium and low elevations, e.g., in the Austrian Inn Valley (Oberhuber 2001), Swiss Valais (Bigler et al. 2006), Southern France (Thabeet et al. 2009), and Italy's Aosta Valley (Vacchiano et al. 2012). Symptoms of the decline include stem growth reduction, crown defoliation, and mortality, eventually leading to shifts in species composition in favour of other species such as downy oak (*Quercus pubescens* Willd.) (Weber et al. 2007; Rigling et al. 2013; Vacchiano and Motta 2015).

Different biotic agents, including mistletoe (*Viscum album* L.), insects, nematodes, and fungi have been locally found to act as contributing factors (Manion 1981) for Scots pine defoliation and mortality (Dobbertin and Rigling 2006; Polomski et al. 2006; Wermelinger et al. 2008; Gonthier et al. 2010). However, on a broader scale, increased temperatures and more frequent droughts are indicated as the main drivers (predisposing and inciting factors) of the decline (Rebetez and Dobbertin 2004). Current climate change scenarios predict further year-round warming in Southern Europe (IPCC 2013; Jacob et al. 2014), which will likely exacerbate

79   summer moisture deficits and aggravate drought effects on forest ecosystems (Allen et al. 2010).

80   On the other hand, precipitation forecasts are less clearcut, especially in the Alpine region:

81   precipitations may decrease in summer, but changes in spring and fall are expected to be less

82   pronounced (Gobiet et al. 2014), with uncertain consequences on forest ecosystems (Lindner et

83   al. 2014). Finally, extreme climate events, such as droughts and/or heat waves, are expected to

84   increase in Southwestern Europe (IPCC 2013). Single-year droughts certainly affect forest

85   ecosystems (Bréda et al. 2006), but on drought-adapted species such as Scots pine they generally

86   cause short-term growth reduction followed by recovery, at least in healthy trees (Pichler and

87   Oberhuber 2007; Eilmann and Rigling 2012; Eilmann et al. 2013). On the opposite, repeated

88   droughts lead to irreversible alterations in the xylem hydraulic system (Hereş et al. 2014), and to

89   progressive depletion of stored carbohydrates (Rigling et al. 2013). This process negatively

90   affects tree metabolism and resistance to pathogens that are the actual mortality agents, and can

91   lead to tree death up to several years or even decades after the event (Bigler et al. 2006; Hereş et

92   al. 2014).

93   However, even in areas with high mortality, declining and vigorous pine trees can co-occur in the

94   same stand (Bigler et al. 2006), suggesting that individual tree characteristics influence decline.

95   In this context, analysis at the individual tree level can increase our understanding of processes

96   behind tree response to long-term stress. Extensive literature reports on the influence of tree age

97   (Carrer and Urbinati 2004), size (Mérian and Lebourgeois 2011), and local competition intensity

98   (Pichler and Oberhuber 2007) on growth response to inter-annual climate variations, but tree-

99   level response to multi-year or repeated climatic stress has been scarcely investigated (Thabeet et

100 al. 2009; Walter et al. 2013).

101 To investigate Scots pine response to multi-year stress, we analysed growth patterns of 232 trees

102 in four stands located at different elevations (985 to 1350 m a.s.l.) along the east-west axis of the

103   Aosta Valley (NW Italy), one of the driest valleys in the Alps. In this region, land use change has

104   considerably increased forest cover over the last 50 years (+30%, Garbarino et al. 2006), mainly

105   due to expansion of early-seral species such as Scots pine. However, Scots pine forests have

106   recently displayed a quick loss of vitality (Gonthier et al. 2010; Vacchiano et al. 2012). The

107   specific objectives of our analysis were: (1) to identify climatic conditions that determined multi-

108   year growth decline in Scots pine stands in the period 1965-2005; (2) to assess the influence of

109   site, stand, tree age, size, competition, and sensitivity to climate on individual tree growth decline

110   and recovery after repeated climatic stress; and (3) to test whether crown transparency as an

111   indicator of tree vitality, and mistletoe as an inciting mortality agent, are related to the ability of

112   trees to respond to climatic stress.

113

114

115   **Material and Methods**

116   **Study area and sampling**

117   The study area covers the Aosta Valley region in Northwestern Italy (Fig. 1). Climate is warm-

118   summer continental, with mean annual temperature at the bottom of the valley (Aosta, 45°26' N,

119   7°11' E, 583 m a.s.l.) of 10.9 °C (years 1961-1990; Biancotti et al. 1998). Mean temperature in

120   January and July may differ by as much as 22°C. Mean annual precipitation in the central valley

121   is scarce (494 mm in Aosta, years 1961–1990) compared to other Alpine valleys, and water

122   deficit may occur at low elevations from June to September. Winter precipitation usually comes

123   as snow. Aosta Valley exhibits both crystalline (granites) and metamorphic bedrocks, but most

124   landscape is covered by quaternary deposits of glacial, gravitative, or colluvial origin.

125   Scots pine forests occur on 5,372 ha on both acidic and basic substrates of well-exposed slopes,

126   from the valley bottom up to 1900 m a.s.l. (Vacchiano et al. 2014). Depending on successional

127   stage and climatic factors, species composition may range from 100% pine (especially on

128   recently disturbed sites or on dry, south-facing slopes) to mixtures with other conifers (*Larix*

129   *decidua* Mill., *Picea abies* L. Karst., *Abies alba* Mill., *Pinus uncinata* Mill.) or broadleaves

130   (*Quercus pubescens* Willd., *Fagus sylvatica* L., *Quercus petraea* (Mattus.) Liebl., *Castanea*

131   *sativa* Mill.).

132   In 2005 we selected four Scots pine stands along the east-west axis of the Region: one at

133   Challand-Saint-Anselme (hereafter Chal), two at Saint Denis (SD1 and SD2), and one at Morgex

134   (Morg) (Table 1, Fig. 1). All stands originated by natural encroachment after the abandonment of

135   pastures and meadows in the past century. All of them exhibited visual symptoms of decline such

136   as a wide range of crown transparencies. In each stand, we established a 70x70m monitoring plot,

137   where all living trees with diameter at breast height (dbh) > 4 cm were identified, labelled, and

138   mapped. For each tree, we measured dbh, tree height, and crown radii in four orthogonal

139   directions, which were then used to calculate crown area, approximated as an ellipse.

140   Furthermore, we assessed crown transparency on a scale from 0 (healthy crown) to 100

141   (completely defoliated) by comparison with visual field guides (Müller and Stierlin 1990;

142   Dobbertin et al. 2004), and recorded mistletoe abundance on a scale from 0 (absent) to 3

143   (abundant) (Dobbertin and Rigling 2006). One increment core was taken at breast height from all

144   living trees within a concentric 50x50 m subplot, to avoid edge effects in the calculation of

145   competition indices (Castagneri et al. 2008).

146

147   **Methodological approach**

148   Radial growth is a good indicator of tree vitality and reaction to environmental stress (Dobbertin

149   2005). Furthermore, in contrast to other tree vitality indices such as crown transparency, ring

150   measurement is objective, repeatable, and retrospective (on the whole tree life-span). We

151   analysed tree-ring series to assess individual tree response to inter-annual climate variations, and

152   identified protracted growth decline periods. Then we analyzed tree response to inter-annual

153   climate variations (ring width-climate correlations) and to prolonged stress (i.e. growth rates

154   during and after the identified decline periods) using tree age, size, competition, mistletoe

155   abundance, and crown transparency as covariates.

156

157   **Ring-width analysis**

158   Tree cores were prepared using standard dendrochronological techniques (Speer 2010). Tree

159   rings were measured to the nearest 0.01 mm and cross-dated using TsapWin (Rinntech,

160   Germany). Cross-dating accuracy was checked using COFECHA (Holmes 1983). Sample size

161   showed an abrupt reduction prior to 1965, thus 1965-2005 was identified as the investigation

162   period. Series not entirely overlapping with this period, and those poorly cross-dating, were

163   excluded from the analyses. Raw ring width (RW) and basal area increment (BAI) series were

164   built for 54 trees for SD1, 66 for SD2, 73 for Morg, and 39 for Chal, for a total of 232 series

165   across the study sites.

166   To assess inter-annual growth variations, we removed age-size related trends on RW series by a

167   double-detrending process using the DetrendeR package (Campelo et al. 2012) for the R

168   statistical framework 3.1 (R Development Core Team 2014). Detrending was based on a cubic

169   spline with 50% frequency response at wavelength of 200 years, followed by a cubic spline

170   function with 32 years response (Fritts 1976); we computed detrended ring width by dividing raw

171   RW by the value fitted with the splines. We then calculated mean sensitivity (MS) of detrended

172   series to assess high-frequency variations (Fritts 1976), first-order autocorrelation (AC) to

173   measure the influence of previous year's growth on the current ring, and mean correlation

174   between trees (Rbar) to measure the common growth forcing among trees within each plot.

175   Finally, mean chronologies were calculated for each plot as the bi-weight robust mean of

176   detrended individual series (Cook 1985).

177

178   **Tree-level competition**

179   To assess the competitive status of each tree, we computed distance-dependent and distance-

180   independent individual-tree based competition indices (CIs) (Tab. 2). We tested different radii (5,

181   7 and 9 m) of the zone of influence (i.e., the circular area surrounding the subject tree where

182   competitors are selected) to compute distance-dependent CIs. Moreover, different weights were

183   applied to species other than Scots pine to account for possible variations in the competitive

184   pressure of interspecific competitors. Specifically, due to the high number of species in the four

185   plots, some of which represented by few individuals, we gathered them in two groups: conifers (5

186   species) and broadleaves (13 species). To test if conifer and/or broadleaf interspecific competitors

187   had higher or lower competitive pressure on focus trees (always Scots pines) compared to

188   intraspecific competitors (weight = 1), we assigned different weights to trees belonging to the

189   two groups: 0.5 to test for lower (half) competitive pressure; 1 for same pressure; 2 for higher

190   (double) pressure. All possible combinations were tested. The predictive ability of each

191   combination of CI, zone of influence, and weighting scheme was tested by a linear regression

192   against cumulative BAI of each tree in the ten years before measurement (Castagneri et al. 2008).

193   Both predictors and dependent variables were log-transformed to normalize error distributions.

194   The combination of competition index, radius of the zone of influence, and weighting scheme

195   producing the highest correlation with BAI (i.e., competition importance) and steepest regression

196   slope (i.e., competition intensity) was used in subsequent analyses.

197

198

Climate-growth relationships

The influence of climate on the inter-annual growth variability in the four site chronologies

(years 1965-2005) was assessed by bootstrap correlations using the bootRes package (Zang and

Biondi 2013) for R. Climate variables entered in correlations included: monthly mean

temperature and precipitations sum from May of the previous year to September of the ring

formation year, both retrieved from the CRU TS 3.21 dataset (Harris et al. 2014) accessed via the

KMNI climate explorer (http://climexp.knmi.nl); the self-calibrating Palmer Drought Severity

Index (sc-PDSI, CRU TS 3.21 dataset); the ratio between precipitation and potential

evapotranspiration (P/PET) according to Thornthwaite (1948); and the Standardized Precipitation

Evapotranspiration Index (SPEI) (Vicente-Serrano et al. 2010) based on a 6-month integration

period. As PET (and P/PET) was null for winter months due to temperature below 0, while sc-

PDSI and SPEI are incremental, i.e., they incorporate the value of the previous months, these

were computed only for the growing season (April-August) of the ring formation year.

Multi-year growth declines and recovery

Tree growth response to prolonged stress was assessed as follows: (1) for each year in the period

1965-2005, we calculated the number of trees with lower-than-average BAI. Decline periods

were identified as those where two or more consecutive years had lower-than-average BAI in at

least 2/3 of trees. (2) For each of these periods, we computed individual tree growth decline as

the ratio between BAI during the period and BAI in the previous five years, and (3) individual

growth recovery as the ratio between BAI in the five years after and BAI during the decline

period (Martín-Benito et al. 2008). We then assessed climate anomalies of each decline and

recovery period (i.e., differences in monthly temperature, precipitation, P/PET, sc-PDSI and

SPEI in decline and recovery periods compared to the reference period), and whether individual

223   growth decline and recovery differed among stands (Kruskal-Wallis median test, with Mann-

224   Whitney pairwise comparisons).

225

226   **Individual tree responses**

227   To investigate factors influencing individual response to inter-annual climatic variations (i.e.,

228   ring width-climate correlation coefficients for the most significant climate factors), and

229   individual growth decline and recovery after prolonged stress (response variables), we used a

230   linear mixed model approach, with site as a random factor (in both intercept and slope). Growth

231   decline and recovery were squared-root transformed, while correlation coefficients were

232   processed by Fisher z-transformation. Independent variables were tree size characteristics (dbh,

233   height, and crown area), tree age, and competitive status (CI). In the models for growth decline

234   and recovery, we included as predictors the tree response to inter-annual climatic variations, and

235   growth decline and recovery in the periods preceding the one being analysed. The effect of each

236   independent variable was tested first by univariate models, which allowed the identification of

237   significant predictors. Successively, the latter were used in multivariate models to assess their

238   relative influence on response variables. Linear mixed effects models were fitted using the

239   package lme4 (Bates et al. 2014) for R.

240   Finally, we computed generalized linear models (with log-link function and gamma distribution)

241   to assess the effect of independent variables, plus mistletoe infection where present, on individual

242   crown transparency in the four stands.

243

244

245

246

247 **Results**

248 **Stand characteristics and competitive status**

249 In the four stands, Scots pine accounted for 75% (SD1) to 100% (SD2) of the total basal area

250 (Table 1). Other species were mainly downy oak at SD1, downy oak and other broadleaves at

251 Morg, and larch at Chal. SD1 had the highest total stand density but the lowest basal area and

252 quadratic mean diameter (QMD). Trees at SD1 were also shorter and younger than in the other

253 plots.

254 Among different competition indices, the highest correlation with BAI was produced by CAI

255 (Table 2). Most indices performed better when computed on a 7 m-radius area of influence.

256 Performance of CIs did not improve when using different weighting coefficients for interspecific

257 competitors. Despite having the lowest basal area, SD1 showed the highest correlation and

258 steepest linear regression coefficient between BAI and CAI, indicating higher importance and

259 intensity of competition at the local scale.

260

261 **Climate-growth relationships**

262 Tree-ring series in SD1 showed the highest mean sensitivity, while in Chal they had the highest

263 Rbar (Table 1). In all stands, inter-annual growth variations were strongly affected by spring and

264 early summer climatic conditions of the ring formation year (Fig. 2), while the climate of other

265 seasons had a moderate and inconsistent influence (Supplementary Fig. 1). Spring and summer

266 temperature had a negligible effect on growth at SD1 and Morg. A moderately positive effect of

267 April temperature emerged at SD2, and a negative effect of May and August temperatures at

268 Chal. May precipitation (or May P/PET in SD1) was the most important factor, and significantly

269 affected individual growth in 74% of trees (80% at SD1, 82% at SD2, 65% at Morg, 70% at

270 Chal). Precipitation in other spring and summer months had little influence. Ring-width

271   correlation with sc-PDSI and SPEI indicated that SD1 was the site most affected by April to

272   August water deficit.

273

Growth decline and recovery periods

275   During the investigation period 1965-2005, there were 13 years with at least 2/3 of trees showing

276   reduced growth rates (i.e., annual BAI lower than average) (Fig. 3 and 4). The first three years

277   (1965, 1968 and 1976) were isolated events, while the remaining ten clustered in two periods:

278   1987-1993, where growth was reduced in almost all trees, and 2003-2005. As ring-series ended

279   in 2005, it was not possible to calculate recovery after 2003-2005.

280   May temperature during decline (1987-1993 and 2003-2005) and recovery (1994-1998) periods

281   was above the 1965-2005 average (Fig. 5), indicating a general warming over the last decades.

282   May precipitations and P/PET were much lower than average in the growth decline years (except

283   precipitation in 1988), with a negative peak in 1991. May precipitation during the recovery

284   period (1994-1998) was above average, except for 1998, while P/PET was below average, due to

285   high temperatures. Sc-PDSI and SPEI in May evidenced normal conditions during 1987-1993

286   and drought in 2003-2005, while 1994-1998 period was quite wet. During April and summer

287   months (June, July and August), temperatures were above average in both the decline and

288   recovery periods, while precipitations had no evident reduction during 1987-1993

289   (Supplementary Fig. 2). sc-PDSI was consistent with a 2003-2005 drought, whereas conditions

290   during 1987-1993 were close to average.

291   All plots experienced a severe growth reduction in 1987-1993 (Fig. 6), but SD1 showed the most

292   dramatic decline ($p < 0.001$, Kruskal-Wallis median test with Mann-Whitney pairwise

293   comparison). Trees at SD1 also experienced the strongest growth recovery among all sites during

294   1994-1998, while in Morg recovery was poor. The 2003-2005 growth decline was less severe

295 than the previous in all plots. Again, growth reduction was stronger in SD1, while trees in SD2

296 did not show any decline.

297

298 **Individual tree response**

299 In all plots, tree growth was positively affected by May precipitation. However, the effect of May

300 precipitation on intra-annual growth variations during 1965-2005 was not significantly affected

301 by tree age, size, or competitive status (Table 3). Growth decline in 1987-1993 was more severe

302 in suppressed trees (those with high CIs) and in trees more sensitive to May precipitation in the

303 previous years (Table 4), especially at SD1 and SD2 (Fig. 7). No variable appeared to influence

304 growth recovery in 1994-1998, while growth decline in 2003-2005 was more severe in young

305 trees and, consistently with the previous stress period, in those more sensitive to precipitation in

306 the previous years (Tables 3 and 4, Fig. 7).

307

308 **Crown transparency**

309 SD1 exhibited the highest crown transparency among all stands (Fig. 8). Furthermore, several

310 trees in SD1 were infested by mistletoe (79%), whereas its occurrence was sporadic in SD2 (2%)

311 and null in the other stands. Considering all stands together, we found a scarce influence of

312 competition and tree characteristics on crown transparency (Table 3). Crown area had a negative

313 effect on crown transparency, but could not discern whether small crowns were more defoliated,

314 or defoliation reduced crown size. Individual tree growth decline in 2003-2005 was not related to

315 crown transparency at SD2, Morg, and Chal (results not shown), but it was at SD1 (Table 5).

316 Furthermore, mistletoe at SD1 was more abundant in trees with higher transparency – but again,

317 causation between the two variables could be either direction.

318

319

Discussion

Climate influence on Scots pine growth

Scots pine is characterized by great ecological plasticity, which allows the species to grow in a variety of environmental conditions. In Northern Europe, radial growth is mainly limited by temperature during the short growing season (Gunnarson and Linderholm 2002), while in Central and Southern Europe growth is often controlled by water availability in April, May, June or July-August, depending on the region (Zang et al. 2012; Panayotov et al. 2013; Schuster and Oberhuber 2013; Morán-López et al. 2014; Merlin et al. 2015). In all analysed stands, May precipitation was the main climate factor influencing Scots pine growth, while precipitation and summer temperature, often indicated as major climate stressors in dry sites, had a negligible effect. Recent studies on Scots pine cambial activity on similar environmental conditions could help interpreting the observed patterns. In the dry valley of the Inn River (Austria), Gruber et al. (2010) and Swidrak et al. (2014) evidenced that cambial activity in Scots pine lasts from early/mid-April to the end of June/early July, with a peak cell production in early to mid-May. Similarly, Eilmann et al. (2013) indicated that tree rings in Valais (Switzerland) are mostly completed already in June, before the dry period occurs in July and August. We can therefore assume that, in the analysed sites, rings are mostly formed in May, when temperature is relatively low and, generally, does not determine high evapotranspiration. Thus, May precipitation is the limiting factor here. Summer climate has a negligible effect, as most of xylem cells differentiate before June.

Here, we provided empirical evidence that reduced May precipitations over several years induced prolonged growth depressions, being very reduced during both 1987-1993 and 2003-2005, and abundant in the recovery period 1994-1998. On the other hand May temperature, and summer

343 precipitations and temperatures, were neither unusual during 1987-1993, nor favourable in the

344 successive recovery period, indicating a secondary role of such climate factors. This result is

345 critical for the definition of climatic stress for the species. Increased temperature, heat waves and

346 summer drought events are often indicated as a major threat for forest ecosystems (Allen et al.

347 2010; Huang et al. 2015), but do not seem to have influenced Scots pine growth in the inner-

348 Alpine Aosta Valley. However, we cannot exclude influence of warming and summer droughts

349 on other tree physiological processes (e.g., root growth, Brunner et al. 2009; nonstructural

350 carbohydrates accumulation, Galiano et al. 2011), or on biotic agents of Scots pine decline (e.g.,

351 insects and nematodes, Rebetez and Dobbertin 2004; mistletoe, Dobbertin et al. 2005).

352 After dry years, when May precipitation returned to average values, Scots pine trees recovered

353 rapidly. In the five years following the drought of 1987-1993, growth increased by 40% (Morg)

354 to 130% (SD1). In 1995, almost 2/3 of trees showed higher-than-average growth. Good recovery

355 capacity indicates adaptation of the species to climate variations, especially in the site more

356 affected by drought (SD1).

357

358 **Individual tree response**

359 The classical dendrochronological method is based on the selection of large, healthy, and

360 possibly isolated trees to create a mean chronology representative of the population

361 (Schweingruber et al. 1990). However, over the last decade, an increased interest in the

362 individual response to climate emerged (Carrer 2011). Each tree has its own characteristics, and

363 experiences different ecological conditions, which can potentially influence tree response to

364 environmental variations (Tessier et al. 1997; Castagneri and Motta 2009). We observed a large

365 variability in Scots pine individual response to climate, growth decline during stress periods,

366 growth recovery, and crown transparency. However, the investigated tree characteristics scarcely

367   explained such differences. Tree age was the only characteristic that partially influenced growth

368   decline, but with no generalized effects. Indeed, age influenced growth decline during 2003-

369   2005, but had neither influence during the former event, nor affected growth recovery and crown

370   transparency. In past studies, tree characteristics such as age, stem diameter, height, and crown

371   size have been shown to affect tree response to inter-annual climate variations, but observations

372   were not consistent (e.g., for age effect see Szeicz and MacDonald 1994; Carrer and Urbinati

373   2004; Esper et al. 2008; Dorado Liñán et al. 2012; Rozas 2014). Our data suggest that the

374   influence of tree characteristics on growth responses to climate (both inter-annual variations and

375   long-term stress) depends on the peculiar characteristics of the stand, species, and climate event

376   (Merlin et al. 2015), and a general pattern cannot be defined.

377   Beside tree characteristics, also inter-tree competition can influence tree response to climate, as it

378   affects light and water availability, resource uptake, and growth efficiency (Gersonde and O'Hara

379   2005; Castagneri and Motta 2009). It is often assumed that trees in denser stands have a weaker

380   climate signal (Schweingruber et al. 1990), as supported by comparative studies (Hurteau et al.

381   2007; Castagneri et al. 2012). However, high competition can increase sensitivity to drought as it

382   exacerbates water stress (Martín-Benito et al. 2011; Martìnez-Vilalta et al. 2012; Madrigal-

383   González and Zavala 2014). In his seminal work on tree decline diseases, Manion (1981)

384   indicated competition as a slow "predisposing" factor, while particularly dry years can be the

385   "contributing" or "inciting" cause of mortality of weakened trees. This was partially confirmed

386   by our results, as SD1 was at the same time the stand with more intense competition (despite a

387   low basal area), the most sensitive to summer drought, and the most affected by prolonged

388   climate stress. At the tree level, however, the effect of competition on climate-growth

389   relationships was less clear. Competition appeared to exacerbate drought effects during 1987-

390   1993, but did not influence growth recovery, nor decline in 2003-2005. As discussed for age/size

391   effect, we believe that competition-drought interactions at the tree level are extremely complex

392   and do not comply with general rules, as shown by the inconsistence of previous findings (Piutti

393   and Cescatti 1997; Pichler and Oberhuber 2007; Martín-Benito et al. 2008; Camarero et al. 2011;

394   Rozas 2014).

395   In the analysed stands, trees more sensitive to May precipitation (during the years previous the

396   droughts) had lower growth rates in 1987-1993 and 2003-2005. However, they were not able to

397   recover faster than other trees during 1994-1998. Therefore, high correlation between growth and

398   climate did not indicate high tree plasticity, i.e., a capacity to reduce growth under unfavourable

399   climate and to take advantage of positive conditions. Instead, within stands, some trees were

400   simply more susceptible to precipitation shortage. Individual difference could be possibly related

401   to genetics (Taeger et al. 2013), morphology (e.g., the wood anatomy, Hereş et al. 2014; root

402   system architecture, Mickovski and Ennos 2002), physiology (e.g., carbon storage in sapwood

403   and needles, Camarero et al. 2015), or micro-site soil conditions (Gruber et al. 2010).

404

405   **Crown conditions**

406   Crown transparency is widely used to monitor anthropogenic, soil, or climate stress in forest

407   stands. Still, to date, it has been scarcely used to investigate individual response to stress. As both

408   tree growth and crown transparency are not cause-specific indicators of stress, their assessment

409   may complement each other (Dobbertin 2005; Camarero et al. 2015). Among the four

410   investigated stands, the highest crown transparency was found at SD1, where repeated May

411   droughts had the strongest effect on growth. Here, we also observed a relationship between

412   individual growth decline and crown transparency, suggesting that these stress indicators were

413   responding to a common limiting factor, i.e. spring water availability, while tree characteristics

414   and perceived competition played a minor role. SD1 was also affected by mistletoe, which

415   occurred only sporadically in the other stands. Within the stand, trees with high infestation had

416   the highest crown transparency (as observed by Dobbertin and Rigling 2006). In accordance to

417   Rigling et al. (2010), we did not observe a direct effect of mistletoe on growth decline, but rather

418   crown degradation, enhanced by mistletoe infection, can result in higher sensitivity to drought

419   stress.

420

421

422   **Conclusion and future perspectives**

423   Along the east-west axis of the inner-Alpine Aosta Valley, at elevations between 985 and 1350 m

424   a.s.l., repeated precipitation shortage in May caused prolonged growth reductions in Scots pine

425   second-growth stands, while there was no evidence of summer climate constraint on growth

426   patterns. However, we cannot exclude that the forecasted general warming (IPCC 2013) will

427   increase Scots pine sensitivity to May precipitation, as we observed more severe growth

428   reductions at lower elevations. The species is certainly adapted to water stress, and able to

429   recover even after seven years of precipitation shortage. Nevertheless, in the most stressful

430   condition (dense stands at low elevation), prolonged reductions of growth and high crown

431   transparency aggravated by mistletoe infestation can compromise tree functioning and increase

432   mortality risk (Bigler et al. 2006; Rigling et al. 2010; Hereş et al. 2012). Thinning to reduce

433   competition for water could moderate drought stress, and thus Scots pine decline (Rigling et al.

434   2013; Fernández-de-Uña et al. 2015). Otherwise, similarly to what forecasted for other Alpine

435   valleys, we can expect pine to be replaced by more drought tolerant species such as downy oak

436   (Weber et al. 2007; Vacchiano and Motta 2015). However, uncertainty in future precipitation

437   regime in the Alpine area (IPCC 2013) complicates predictions of species performance in the

438   next decades.

439   Our individual-level analysis showed that trees more sensitive to inter-annual precipitation

440   variations had lower growth rates than other trees during repeated precipitation shortages, but

441   were not able to recover faster, i.e., they were less able to cope with adverse climate conditions.

442   However, we did not identify a unique tree characteristic influencing tree response to climate.

443   Extensive literature suggests that individual size/age/competition effect on response to climate is

444   related to specific site, stand, and climate conditions. More detailed analyses on Scots pine tree

445   genetics, and on physiological adjustment to stress, could shed light on why trees within a stand

446   respond differently to climate stress. Future variations in spring precipitation regime in the Alps,

447   which is less predictable compared to temperature (IPCC 2013), could threaten Scots pine in

448   inner-Alpine valleys at elevation around 1000-1400 m a.s.l. Still, different individual sensitivity

449   to precipitation will probably result in patchy patterns, with healthy trees close to individuals

450   with declining growth rates, and defoliated crowns infested by mistletoe.

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

Author contribution statement

DC conceived the study, contributed to data acquisition, and drafted the manuscript. AB contributed to climate analysis and manuscript preparation. RM was the principal investigator of the scientific project, contributed to result interpretation, and provided critical revision of the manuscript. GV was responsible for study design and acquisition of data, and contributed to analysis on competition and manuscript preparation.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest

487   **References**

488   Allen CD, Macalady AK, Chenchouni H, et al (2010) A global overview of drought and heat-

489   induced tree mortality reveals emerging climate change risks for forests. For Ecol Manage

490   259:660–684. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2009.09.001

491   Alvarez Taboada MF, Anta BM, Varala JG, Gonzalez AJG (2003) Influencia de la competencia

492   en el crecimiento en seccion en Pinus radiata D. Don. Invest Agrar Sist Recur For 12:25-35

493   Barbero M, Bonin G, Loisel R, Quézel P (1990) Changes and disturbances of forest ecosystems

494   caused by human activities in the western part of the Mediterranean basin. Vegetatio 87:151-173

495   Bates D, Maechler M, Bolker B, Walker S (2014) lme4: Linear mixed-effects models using

496   Eigen and S4. R package version 1.1-7 http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=lme4

497   Biancotti A, Bellardone G, Bovo S, Cagnazzi B, Giacomelli L, Marchisio C (1998) Distribuzione

498   regionale di piogge e temperature. Regione Piemonte, Torino

499   Bigler C, Bräker OU, Bugmann H, et al (2006) Drought as an inciting mortality factor in scots

500   pine stands of the Valais, Switzerland. Ecosystems 9:330–343. doi: 10.1007/s10021-005-0126-2

501   Bréda N, Huc R, Granier A, Dreyer E (2006) Temperate forest trees and stands under severe

502   drought: a review of ecophysiological responses, adaptation processes and long-term

503   consequences. Ann For Sci 63:625–644. doi: 10.1051/forest:2006042

504   Brunner I, Pannatier EG, Frey B, et al (2009) Morphological and physiological responses of

505   Scots pine fine roots to water supply in a dry climatic region in Switzerland. Tree Physiol

506   29:541–50. doi: 10.1093/treephys/tpn046

507   Camarero JJ, Bigler C, Linares JC, Gil-Pelegrín E (2011) Synergistic effects of past historical

508   logging and drought on the decline of Pyrenean silver fir forests. For Ecol Manage 262:759–769.

509   doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2011.05.009

Camarero JJ, Gazol A, Sanguesa-Barreda G, Oliva J, Vicente-Serrano SM (2015) To die or not to die : early warnings of tree dieback in response to a severe drought. J Ecol 103:44–57. doi: 10.1111/1365-2745.12295

Campelo F, García-González I, Nabais C (2012) detrendeR – A Graphical User Interface to process and visualize tree-ring data using R. Dendrochronologia 30:57–60. doi: 10.1016/j.dendro.2011.01.010

Carrer M (2011) Individualistic and time-varying tree-ring growth to climate sensitivity. PLoS One. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0022813

Carrer M, Urbinati C (2004) Age-dependent tree-ring growth responses to climate in Larix decidua and Pinus cembra. Ecology 85:730–740. doi: 10.1890/02-0478

Castagneri D, Motta R (2009) A research gap in the interactive effects of climate and competition on trees growth. In: Karam WP (ed) Tree Growth: Influences, Layers and Types. Nova Science Publishers, pp 89-93

Castagneri D, Nola P, Cherubini P, Motta R (2012) Temporal variability of size–growth relationships in a Norway spruce forest: the influences of stand structure, logging, and climate. Can J For Res 42:550-560. doi: 10.1139/x2012-007

Castagneri D, Vacchiano G, Lingua E, Motta R (2008) Analysis of intraspecific competition in two subalpine Norway spruce (Picea abies (L.) Karst.) stands in Paneveggio (Trento, Italy). For Ecol Manage 255:651–659. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2007.09.041

Cook ER (1985) A time-series analysis approach to tree-ring standardization. Ph.D. dissertation, Univ. of Arizona, Tucson

Daniels R, Burkhart H, Clason T (1986) A comparison of competition measures for predicting growth of loblolly pine trees. Can J For Res 16:1230-1237

533   Dobbertin M (2005) Tree growth as indicator of tree vitality and of tree reaction to environmental

534   stress: a review. Eur J For Res 124:319–333. doi: 10.1007/s10342-005-0085-3

535   Dobbertin M, Hilker N, Rebetez M, et al (2005) The upward shift in altitude of pine mistletoe

536   (Viscum album ssp. austriacum) in Switzerland--the result of climate warming? Int J Biometeorol

537   50:40–7. doi: 10.1007/s00484-005-0263-5

538   Dobbertin M, Hug C, Mizoue N (2004) Using Slides to Test for Changes in Crown Defoliation

539   Assessment Methods. Part I: Visual Assessment of Slides. Environ Monit Assess 98:295–306.

540   doi: 10.1023/B:EMAS.0000038192.84631.b6

541   Dobbertin M, Rigling A (2006) Pine mistletoe (Viscum album ssp. austriacum) contributes to

542   Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris) mortality in the Rhone valley of Switzerland. For Pathol 36:309–

543   322. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0329.2006.00457.x

544   Dorado Liñán I, Gutiérrez E, Heinrich I, et al. (2012) Age effects and climate response in trees: a

545   multi-proxy tree-ring test in old-growth life stages. Eur J For Res 131:933–944. doi:

546   10.1007/s10342-011-0566-5

547   Eilmann B, Dobbertin M, Rigling A (2013) Growth response of Scots pine with different crown

548   transparency status to drought release. Ann For Sci 70:685–693. doi: 10.1007/s13595-013-0310-z

549   Eilmann B, Rigling A (2012) Tree-growth analyses to estimate tree species' drought tolerance.

550   Tree Physiol 32:178–187. doi: 10.1093/treephys/tps004

551   Esper J, Niederer R, Bebi P, Frank D (2008) Climate signal age effects—Evidence from young

552   and old trees in the Swiss Engadin. For Ecol Manage 255:3783–3789. doi:

553   10.1016/j.foreco.2008.03.015

554   Fernández-de-Uña L, Cañellas I, Gea-Izquierdo G (2015) Stand competition determines how

555   different tree species will cope with a warming climate. PLoS ONE 10: e0122255.

556   doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0122255

557   Fritts HC (1976) Tree rings and climate. Academic Press, New York

558   Galiano L, Martínez-Vilalta J, Lloret F (2011) Carbon reserves and canopy defoliation determine

559   the recovery of Scots pine 4 yr after a drought episode. New Phytol 190:750–9. doi:

560   10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03628.x

561   Garbarino M, Lingua E, Vacchiano G, Motta R (2006) Scots pine forests in the NW Italian Alps.

562   What has changed in the last 50 years? In Lafortezza R, Sanesi G (eds) Proceeding of IUFRO

563   Landscape Ecology Conference pp. 523-528

564   Gersonde RF, O'Hara KL (2005) Comparative tree growth efficiency in Sierra Nevada mixed-

565   conifer forests. For Ecol Manage 219:95–108. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2005.09.002

566   Gobiet A, Kotlarski S, Beniston M et al (2014) 21st century climate change in the European

567   Alps-a review. Sci Total Environ 493:1138–51. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.07.050

568   Gonthier P, Giordano L, Nicolotti G (2010) Further observations on sudden diebacks of Scots

569   pine in the European Alps. For Chron 86:110–117.

570   Gruber A, Strobl S, Veit B, Oberhuber W (2010) Impact of drought on the temporal dynamics of

571   wood formation in Pinus sylvestris. Tree Physiol 30:490–501. doi: 10.1093/treephys/tpq003

572   Gunnarson BE, Linderholm HW (2002) Low-frequency summer temperature variation in central

573   Sweden since the tenth century inferred from tree rings. The Holocene 12:667–671. doi:

574   10.1191/0959683602hl579rp

575   Harris I, Jones PD, Osborn TJ, Lister DH (2014) Updated high-resolution grids of monthly

576   climatic observations – the CRU TS3.10 dataset. Int J Climat 34:623–642.

577   Hegyi F (1974) A simulation model for managing jackpine stands. In: Growth models for tree

578   and stand simulation. Fries J (ed) Royal Coll. of For., Stockholm, Sweden, pp 74-90

579   Hereş A-M, Camarero JJ, López BC, Martínez-Vilalta J (2014) Declining hydraulic

580   performances and low carbon investments in tree rings predate Scots pine drought-induced

581   mortality. Trees - Struct Funct 28:1737–1750. doi: 10.1007/s00468-014-1081-3

582   Hereş AM, Martínez-Vilalta J, López BC (2012) Growth patterns in relation to drought-induced

583   mortality at two Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris L.) sites in NE Iberian Peninsula. Trees - Struct

584   Funct 26:621–630. doi: 10.1007/s00468-011-0628-9

585   Holmes RL (1983) Computer-assisted quality control in tree-ring dating and measurement. Tree

586   Ring Bull 43:69-95

587   Huang K, Yi C, Wu D, et al (2015) Tipping point of a conifer forest ecosystem under severe

588   drought. Environ Res Lett 10:024011. doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/10/2/024011

589   Hurteau M, Zald H, North M (2007) Species-specific response to climate reconstruction in upper-

590   elevation mixed-conifer forests of the western Sierra Nevada, California. Can J For Res 37:1681–

591   1691. doi: 10.1139/X07-028

592   IPCC (2013) Climate Change 2013: The physical science basis. Contribution of working group I.

593   Cambridge Univ. Press, USA

594   Jacob D, Petersen J, Eggert B, et al (2014) EURO-CORDEX: new high-resolution climate

595   change projections for European impact research. Reg Environ Chang 14:563–578. doi:

596   10.1007/s10113-013-0499-2

597   Lindner M, Fitzgerald JB, Zimmermann NE, et al (2014) Climate change and European forests:

598   What do we know, what are the uncertainties, and what are the implications for forest

599   management? J Environ Manage 146C:69–83. doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2014.07.030

600   Madrigal-González J, Zavala MA (2014) Competition and tree age modulated last century pine

601   growth responses to high frequency of dry years in a water limited forest ecosystem. Agric For

602   Meteorol 192-193:18–26. doi: 10.1016/j.agrformet.2014.02.011

603   Manion PD (1981) Tree disease concepts. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs

604   Martín-Benito D, Cherubini P, Del Río M, Cañellas I (2008) Growth response to climate and

605   drought in Pinus nigra Arn. trees of different crown classes. Trees - Struct Funct 22:363–373.

606   doi: 10.1007/s00468-007-0191-6

607   Martín-Benito D, Kint V, del Río M, et al (2011) Growth responses of West-Mediterranean Pinus

608   nigra to climate change are modulated by competition and productivity: Past trends and future

609   perspectives. For Ecol Manage 262:1030–1040. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2011.05.038

610   Martínez-Vilalta J, López BC, Loepfe L, Lloret F (2012) Stand- and tree-level determinants of

611   the drought response of Scots pine radial growth. Oecologia 168:877–88. doi: 10.1007/s00442-

612   011-2132-8

613   Mérian P, Lebourgeois F (2011) Size-mediated climate-growth relationships in temperate forests:

614   A multi-species analysis. For Ecol Manage 261:1382–1391. doi: 10.1016/j.foreco.2011.01.019

615   Merlin M, Perot T, Perret S, et al (2015) Effects of stand composition and tree size on resistance

616   and resilience to drought in sessile oak and Scots pine. For Ecol Manage 339:22–33. doi:

617   10.1016/j.foreco.2014.11.032

618   Mickovski SB, Ennos AR (2002) A morphological and mechanical study of the root systems of

619   suppressed crown Scots pine Pinus sylvestris. Trees - Struct Funct 16:274–280 doi:

620   10.1007/s00468-002-0177-3

621   Morán-López T, Poyatos R, Llorens P, Sabate S (2014) Effects of past growth trends and current

622   water use strategies on Scots pine and pubescent oak drought sensitivity. Eur J For Res 133:369–

623   382. doi: 10.1007/s10342-013-0768-0

624   Müller E, Stierlin HR (1990) Sanasilva kronenbilder mit nadel- und blattverlustprozen- ten,

625   eidgenössiche forschungsanstalt für Wald, Schnee und Landschaft, 2. Überarbeitete und

626   erweiterte Auflage, pp. 129.

627   Oberhuber W (2001) The role of climate in the mortality of Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris L.)

628   exposed to soil dryness. Dendrochronologia 19:45-55

629   Ozenda P (1985) La végétation de la chaîne alpine dans l'espace montagnard européen. Masson

630   Editions, Paris

631   Panayotov MP, Zafirov N, Cherubini P (2013) Fingerprints of extreme climate events in Pinus

632   sylvestris tree rings from Bulgaria. Trees 27:211–227. doi: 10.1007/s00468-012-0789-1

633   Pichler P, Oberhuber W (2007) Radial growth response of coniferous forest trees in an inner

634   Alpine environment to heat-wave in 2003. For Ecol Manage 242:688–699. doi:

635   10.1016/j.foreco.2007.02.007

636   Piutti E, Cescatti A (1997) A quantitative analysis of the interactions between climatic response

637   and intraspecific competition in European beech. Can J For Res 27:277 – 284.

638   Polomski J, Schonfeld U, Braasch H, et al (2006) Occurrence of Bursaphelenchus species in

639   declining Pinus sylvestris in a dry Alpine valley in Switzerland. For Pathol 36:110–118. doi:

640   10.1111/j.1439-0329.2006.00437.x

641   Pukkala T, Kolström T (1987) Competition indices and the prediction of radial growth in Scots

642   pine. Silva Fenn 21:55-67

643   R Development Core Team (2014) R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R

644   Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, URL http://www.R-project.org.

645   Rebetez M, Dobbertin M (2004) Climate change may already threaten Scots pine stands in the

646   Swiss Alps. Theor Appl Climatol 79:1–9. doi: 10.1007/s00704-004-0058-3

647   Richardson DM (1998) Ecology and biogeography of Pinus. Cambridge University Press,

648   Cambridge

649   Rigling A, Eilmann B, Koechli R, Dobbertin M (2010) Mistletoe-induced crown degradation in

650   Scots pine in a xeric environment. Tree Physiol 30:845–52. doi: 10.1093/treephys/tpq038

651   Rigling A, Bigler C, Eilmann B, et al (2013) Driving factors of a vegetation shift from Scots pine

652   to pubescent oak in dry Alpine forests. Glob Chang Biol 19:229–40. doi: 10.1111/gcb.12038

653   Rozas V (2014) Individual-based approach as a useful tool to disentangle the relative importance

654   of tree age, size and inter-tree competition in dendroclimatic studies. iForest For 59:e1–e8. doi:

655   10.3832/ifor1249-007

656   Schuster R, Oberhuber W (2013) Drought sensitivity of three co-occurring conifers within a dry

657   inner Alpine environment. Trees - Struct Funct 27:61–69. doi: 10.1007/s00468-012-0768-6

658   Schweingruber FH, Kairiukstis L, Shiyatov M (1990) Primary data sample selection. In: Cook

659   ER, Kairiukstis L (eds) Methods of dendrochronology. Kluver Academic Publishers, Dordrecht,

660   pp 23-35

661   Speer JH (2010) Fundamentals of tree-ring research. University of Arizona Press

662   Swidrak I, Gruber A, Oberhuber W (2014) Xylem and phloem phenology in co-occurring

663   conifers exposed to drought. Trees - Struct Funct 28:1161–1171. doi: 10.1007/s00468-014-1026-

664   x

665   Szeicz JM, MacDonald GM (1994) Age-dependent tree-ring growth-responses of sub-arctic

666   white spruce to climate. Can J For Res 24:120-132

667   Taeger S, Zang C, Liesebach M, et al (2013) Impact of climate and drought events on the growth

668   of Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris L.) provenances. For Ecol Manage 307:30–42. doi:

669   10.1016/j.foreco.2013.06.053

670   Tessier L., Guiba, F, Schweingruber FH (1997). Research strategies in dendroecology and

671   dendroclimatology in mountain environments. Clim Change, 36: 499-517

672   Thabeet A, Vennetier M, Gadbin-Henry C, et al (2009) Response of Pinus sylvestris L. to recent

673   climatic events in the French Mediterranean region. Trees - Struct Funct 23:843–853. doi:

674   10.1007/s00468-009-0326-z

675   Thornthwaite CW (1948) An approach toward a rational classification of climate. Geogr Rev

676   38:55-94

677   Vacchiano G, Garbarino M, Borgogno Mondino E, Motta R (2012) Evidences of drought stress

678   as a predisposing factor to Scots pine decline in Valle d'Aosta (Italy). Eur J For Res 131:989–

679   1000. doi: 10.1007/s10342-011-0570-9

680   Vacchiano G, Motta R (2015) An improved species distribution model for Scots pine and downy

681   oak under future climate change in the NW Italian Alps. Ann For Sci 72:321–334. doi:

682   10.1007/s13595-014-0439-4

683   Vacchiano G, Stanchi S, Marinari G, et al (2014) Fire severity, residuals and soil legacies affect

684   regeneration of Scots pine in the Southern Alps. Sci Total Environ 472:778–788. doi:

685   10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.11.101

686   Vicente-Serrano SM, Beguería S, López-Moreno JI (2010) A Multiscalar Drought Index

687   Sensitive to Global Warming: The Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index. J Clim

688   23:1696–1718. doi: 10.1175/2009JCLI2909.1

689   Walter J, Jentsch A, Beierkuhnlein C, Kreyling J (2013) Ecological stress memory and cross

690   stress tolerance in plants in the face of climate extremes. Environ Exp Bot 94:3–8. doi:

691   10.1016/j.envexpbot.2012.02.009

692   Weber P, Bugmann H, Rigling A (2007) Radial growth responses to drought of Pinus sylvestris

693   and Quercus pubescens in an inner-Alpine dry valley. J Veg Sci 18:777-792

694   Wermelinger B, Rigling A, Schneider Mathis D, Dobbertin M (2008) Assessing the role of bark-

695   and wood-boring insects in the decline of Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris) in the Swiss Rhone valley.

696   Ecol Entomol 33:239–249. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2311.2007.00960.x

697   Wykoff WR (1990) A basal area increment model for individual conifers in the northern rocky

698   mountains. For Sci 36:1077-1104

699   Zang C, Biondi F (2013) Dendroclimatic calibration in R: The bootRes package for response and

700   correlation function analysis. Dendrochronologia 31:68–74. doi: 10.1016/j.dendro.2012.08.001

701   Zang C, Pretzsch H, Rothe A (2012) Size-dependent responses to summer drought in Scots pine,

702   Norway spruce and common oak. Trees - Struct Funct 26:557–569. doi: 10.1007/s00468-011-

703   0617-z

704

705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1 Location of the four study sites, Challand-Saint-Anselme (Chal), Saint Denis low (SD1) and high (SD2) elevation, Morgex (Morg), and the Region's capital city (Aosta), within the Aosta Valley Region, Northwestern Italy. Green indicates forested area

Fig. 2 Bootstrap correlation coefficients between the four stand chronologies and April to August climate variables. TEMP = temperature, PRCP = precipitation, P/PET = ratio between precipitation and potential evapotranspiration, sc-PDSI = self-calibrating Palmer Drought Severity Index, SPEI = Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index. Dark bars indicate correlation coefficients significant at $p < 0.05$

Fig. 3 Mean Basal Area Increment (BAI) in the four study sites during 1965-2005

Fig. 4 Percentage of trees with annual BAI below the 1965-2005 average (all plots). Years with more than 2/3 of trees with BAI lower than average are indicated with red bars

Fig. 5 May climate anomalies in 1987-1993, 1994-1998, and 2003-2005 relative to the reference period 1965-2005 (equal to 1). TEMP = temperature, PRCP = precipitation, P/PET = ratio between precipitation and potential evapotranspiration, sc-PDSI = self-calibrating Palmer Drought Severity Index, SPEI = Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index

Fig. 6 Median growth (BAI) decline in 1987-1993, 2003-2005, and recovery in 1994-1998. Different letters indicate different growth response between stands in the same period, according to Kruskal-Wallis median test with Mann-Whitney pairwise comparisons

Fig. 7 Relationship between individual tree growth decline in 1987-1993 (a) and 2003-2005 (b), and response to May precipitation in the previous period in the four stands

Fig. 8 Percentage crown transparency in the four study stands during 2005

746 **SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE CAPTIONS**

747 **Fig. 1** Bootstrap correlation coefficients between the four stand chronologies and climate

748 variables from May of the previous year to September of the ring formation year. Dark bars

749 indicate correlation coefficients significant at $p < 0.05$

750 **Fig. 2** April, June, July and August climate anomalies in 1987-1993, 1994-1998, and 2003-2005

751 relative to the reference period 1965-2005 (equal to 1). TEMP = temperature, PRCP =

752 precipitation, P/PET = ratio between precipitation and potential evapotranspiration, PDSI = self-

753 calibrating Palmer Drought Severity Index, SPEI = Standardized Precipitation Evapotranspiration

754 Index

755

756

757

758

759

760

761

762

763

764

765

766 **Table 1** Site and stand characteristics, and tree-ring statistics, for the four stands

767

	Site			Stand							Chronology			
	Elevation	Slope	Aspect	Trees pine	Trees total	BA pine	BA total	QMD pine	Height pine	Age pine	Cores	MS	AR	Rba
	m a.s.l.	%		n	n	m^2	m^2	cm	m	years	n			
SD1	985	62	SW	876	1400	13.6	18.0	12.8	6.4	60	54	0.394	0.386	0.45
SD2	1350	34	SW	929	929	35.7	35.7	22.1	11.9	124	66	0.240	0.417	0.36
Morg	1090	77	S	610	824	34.1	36.8	23.8	13.5	95	73	0.252	0.404	0.36
Chal	1115	40	W	573	724	35.3	42.3	27.3	15.6	95	39	0.263	0.369	0.50

768

769 For site characteristics, Elevation is the mean plot elevation, Slope is the mean percent slope, and

770 Aspect is the main exposition. For stand characteristics, all referred to one hectare, Trees pine is

771 the number of Scots pine trees, Trees total is the total tree number (i.e., including all the species),

772 BA pine is Basal Area of Scots pine, BA total is the total Basal Area, QMD pine is the quadratic

773 mean diameter of Scots pine, Height pine is the mean height of all Scots pine trees, Age pine is

774 the mean number of years at breast height, estimated to the pith, of the cored Scots pine trees. For

775 tree-ring series, it is reported the number of successfully cross-dated cores, the mean sensitivity

776 (MS), the autocorrelation (AC), and the mean correlation between trees (Rbar)

777

778

779

780

781

782 **Table 2** Competition indices (CIs) with corresponding equations, and correlations (Pearson's R)

783 with individual tree BAI over the last 10 years in the four stands. For CAI, linear regression slope

784 is also reported in brackets

Index	Source	Variables	Equation	SD1	SD2	Morg	Chal
Voronoi	Daniels et al. (1986)	Distance	-	0.44	0.19	0.26	0.42
BAL	Wykoff (1990)	Basal Area	$\dfrac{\sum\limits_{j=1}^{n} BA_j}{BA_i^2}$	0.15	0.28	-0.03	0.17
Hegyi	Hegyi (1974)	Distance, dbh	$\sum\limits_{j=1}^{n} \dfrac{D_j}{D_i \cdot (L_{ij}+1)}$	-0.63	-0.33	-0.49	-0.41
PK	Pukkala and Kolström (1987)	Distance, height	$\sum\limits_{j=1}^{n} \arctan \dfrac{(H_j - H_i)}{L_{ij}}$	-0.07	-0.30	-0.49	0.02
CCS	Alvarez Taboada et al. (2003)	Distance, crown area	$\sum\limits_{j=1}^{n} \dfrac{A_j}{A_i \cdot L_{ij}}$	-0.66	-0.41	-0.53	-0.51
CAI	Castagneri et al. (2008)	Dist., crown area, height	$\sum\limits_{j=1}^{n} \dfrac{\left(\dfrac{A_j \cdot H_j}{A_i \cdot H_i}\right)}{L_{ij}}$	-0.68	-0.47	-0.60	-0.58
				(-0.41)	(-0.25)	(-0.39)	(-0.30)

785 In the equations, n is the number of competitors, D_i the subject tree dbh (cm), D_j the competitor

786 tree dbh (cm), BA_i the subject tree basal area (cm^2), BA_j the competitor tree basal area (cm^2), L_{ij}

787 the distance between competitor and subject tree (m), H_i the subject tree height (m), H_j the

788 competitor tree height (m), A_i the subject tree crown area (m^2), A_j the competitor tree crown area

789 (m^2)

790

791   **Table 3** Parameter estimates of univariate linear mixed models of tree response to May

792   precipitation (R. May prec), growth decline in 1987-1993 (BAI 87_93), recovery in 1994-1998

793   (BAI 94_98), and decline in 2003-2005 (BAI 03_05), and of univariate generalized linear models

794   of crown transparency (Transparency)

	R. May prec	BAI 87_93	BAI 94_98	BAI 03_05	Transparency
Age	-0.008	0.003	-0.011	**0.013***	-0.011
DBH	-0.014	0.029	-0.048	0.014	-0.042
Height	-0.001	0.001	-0.001	0.001	0.001
Crown area	0.019	0.208	0.012	0.176	**-0.575***
Comp. index	-0.065	**-0.221***	-0.174	-0.036	-0.333
R. May prec.		**-1.741***	1.141	**-1.823****	-0.585
BAI 87_93			-1.966	-0.098	
BAI 94_98				-0.673	
BAI 03_05					-0.644

795

796   Bold indicates significant predictors at * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$

797

798

799

800

801

802

803

804 **Table 4** Parameter estimates of linear mixed models (multivariate) of growth decline in 1987-

805 1993 (BAI 87_93, Model 1) and in 2003-2005 (BAI 03_05, Model 2). Comp. index is the

806 individual CAI value; R. May prec is tree response to May precipitation; Age is tree age

	Estimate	Std. Err.	Sig.	AIC
Model 1 (BAI 87_93)				-336.4
Comp. index	-0.024	0.008	**	
R. May prec.	-0.179	0.077	*	
Model 2 (BAI 03_05)				-310.6
Age	0.001	0.0003	*	
R. May prec.	-0.156	0.0595	**	

807

808 * indicates $p < 0.05$, ** indicates $p < 0.01$

809

810

811

812

813

814

815

816

817

818

819 **Table 5** Parameter estimates of generalized linear model (multivariate) of crown transparency at

820 SD1 (Model 3). Mistletoe is percent infestation of mistletoe on the tree; BAI 03_05 is growth

821 decline in 2003-2005

	Estimate	Std. Err.	Sig.	AIC
Model 3 (transparency)				181.3
Mistletoe	0.0748	0.0298	*	
BAI03_05	-0.2558	0.0986	*	

822

823 * indicates $p < 0.05$