

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Shortening of selection time of *Rosa hybrida* by in vitro culture of isolated embryos and immature seeds

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/149690> since 2016-01-11T15:45:30Z

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

This is an author version of the contribution published on:

Caser M, Dente F, Ghione GG, Mansuino A, Giovannini A, Scariot V

Shortening of selection time of *Rosa hybrida* by *in vitro* culture of isolated embryos and immature seeds.

PROPAGATION OF ORNAMENTAL PLANTS (2014), 14 (3), 139-144

The definitive version is available at:

http://www.journal-pop.org/2014_14_iss3.html

1 **SHORTENING OF SELECTION TIME OF *ROSA HYBRIDA* BY *IN VITRO* CULTURE OF ISOLATED**
2 **EMBRYOS AND IMMATURE SEEDS.**

3

4 **Matteo Caser^{1,a}, Fulvio Dente^{2,a}, Gian Guido Ghione³, Andrea Mansuino³, Annalisa Giovannini², Valentina**
5 **Scariot^{1,*}**

6

7 ¹Department of Agricultural, Forest and Food Sciences, University of Torino, Largo Paolo Braccini 2, 10095,
8 Grugliasco (TO), Italy.

9 ²CRA-FSO, Ornamental Species Research Unit, Corso degli Inglesi 508, 18038, Sanremo (IM), Italy.

10 ³NIRP International, Az. Agricola di Ghione L. & Figli, via San Rocco 1, Fraz. Bevera, 18039, Ventimiglia (IM),
11 Italy.

12

13 ^aBoth authors contributed equally to this work.

14 ^{*}Corresponding author: e-mail valentina.scariot@unito.it; tel +39 011 6708932; fax +39 011 6708798

15

16 **Abstract**

17 *In vitro* protocols for embryo and immature seed germination may offer valuable tools to increase
18 hybridisation efficiency, to observe genotypes of ornamental interest, and to shorten the production time of *Rosa*
19 *hybrida* commercial cultivar seedlings. In the present study, rose hips were harvested weekly from 7 to 35 days after
20 pollination (DAP). Thereafter, the immature seeds were extracted and surface disinfected. One half of them were
21 directly plated as immature seeds onto culture medium to induce germination under several different phytohormone
22 concentrations and culture conditions; the other half were opened and the embryos were excised and also plated onto
23 similar culture media and conditions to germinate. Results showed that *in vitro* germination was possible for both
24 embryos and immature seeds commencing at 21 DAP. The optimum protocol (final germination percentage greater
25 than 40%) used embryos at 28 DAP on a medium supplemented with 2.5 mg L⁻¹ benzylaminopurine (BAP) and 0.5
26 mg L⁻¹ gibberellic acid (GA₃), which had been incubated for one week at 4 °C in dark conditions and subsequently
27 transferred under a 16 hour photoperiod at either 23 °C or 15 °C. The protocols developed in this investigation might
28 help breeders to improve and speed seed germination and to screen a wide morphological variability which would
29 result in shortened selection time.

30

31 **Key words:** Breeding programs; benzylaminopurine; germination; gibberellic acid; hybrid tea roses

32

33 **Running title:** Embryo and immature seed culture to speed rose breeding

34

35 **Introduction**

36 Hybrid tea roses (*Rosa hybrida*) are among the most economically important cut-flower plants worldwide
37 (Schubac 2010). Rose cultivation began about 500 years ago by ancient civilizations in China, West Asia, and North
38 Africa (Gudin 2000). Throughout its history, important characteristics such as flower colour and shape, inflorescence
39 structure, and plant habit have been introduced from the progenitors of modern cultivars into the rose cultivar gene
40 pool (De Cock et al. 2007). Breeders are always looking for new and novel varieties to be competitive in the flower
41 market. Conventional breeding programs, based on sexual crossings, can be divided into three major phases: new
42 progeny creation, seedling selection, and propagation and market introduction (Pipino 2011). In the past, selection of
43 a new cultivar could take as many as ten years; today this has been reduced to five years, although breeding
44 selections remain in the range of seven to eight years (Pipino 2011).

45 A major bottleneck in rose breeding is the low and non-uniform seed germination that limits progeny sizes
46 and lengthens generation intervals. The main causes are the mechanical restriction of embryo expansion due to a
47 thickened pericarp (physical dormancy), hormonal dynamics (physiological dormancy), or the premature abortion of
48 developing embryos (Semeniuk et al. 1963, Gudin 1994, Mohapatra and Rout 2005). Thus, achieving uniform and
49 high rates of germination in short time has long been a challenge for rose breeders (Zlesak 2006).

50 Botanically, rose seeds are achenes, i.e., dry, monocarpellate, indehiscent fruits, consisting of a woody and
51 hard pericarp, seed coat (*testa*), and embryo. Despite that, “seed” will be used throughout the text to denote this
52 physiological structure. In general, seeds are considered mature after four months or 120 days after pollination
53 (DAP). This is when the hypanthium tissue just begins to change or completely changes colour (Gudin et al. 1990,
54 Zlesak 2006). This stage is assumed to be the optimal moment for collecting hips, and correlates to a period between
55 excessive accumulation of germination inhibitors and sufficient photosynthate translocation to the embryo (Zlesak
56 2006). Before sowing, seeds are commonly cold stratified at 4 °C for about two months at moderate moisture levels
57 (Zlesak 2006).

58 Many attempts have been made in an effort to enhance germination. In particular, hormonal treatments such
59 as pre-sowing in gibberellic acid (GA_3) have been used to improve germination rates (Tilberg 1983, Zhou et al. 2009,
60 Pipino et al. 2011); however, they have often proved ineffective and time-consuming (de Vries and Dubois 1987;
61 Ogilvie et al. 1991). *In vitro* techniques have also been undertaken to improve rose breeding programs (Pati et al.
62 2006). Numerous studies have been involved *in vitro* culture of axillary buds, shoot tips, and calli from several

63 vegetative and floral parts (Bressan et al. 1982, Kunitake et al. 1993, Carelli and Echeverrigaray 2002, Nikbakht et
64 al. 2005, Ram et al. 2011). Two other options to shorten the time needed to produce seedlings may exist in embryo
65 and immature seed culture techniques. Successful embryo culture is largely determined by three factors: embryo
66 developmental stage, culture medium and growth conditions (Gudin 1994, Mohapatra and Rout 2005). Successful
67 seed culture mainly depends on the inhibition of seed coat imposed dormancy factors that occurs in mature brown-
68 coloured seeds (Kelly et al. 1992).

69 In roses, several studies have been performed to overcome dormancy-related problems and to improve
70 germination by using embryo rescue techniques. Mature embryo culture has been described long ago by Lammerts
71 (1946), Asen (1948), and Asen and Larsen (1951), but attempts were poorly defined. Immature embryos were
72 initially used by Burger et al. (1990) and Arene et al. (1993) as explant sources for *in vitro* organogenesis and plant
73 regeneration. Later, Gudin (1994), Marchant et al. (1994), and Mohapatra and Rout (2005) undertook immature
74 embryo rescue in *R. hybrida*, English roses, and floribunda roses, respectively. Their studies were mainly focused on
75 medium composition (sugar source and content, mineral salt concentration and growth hormones). Very few studies
76 have been undertaken *in vitro* using immature seeds. Kunitake et al. (1993) regenerated plants from immature seed-
77 derived calli of *R. rugosa* Thunb. via somatic embryogenesis. Meynet et al. (1994) reported that immature seeds at
78 35 DAP in *R. hybrida* 'Sonia' successfully germinated *in vitro* without being stratified.

79 The aim of this research was to compare *in vitro* culture of embryos and immature seeds of *R. hybrida* in the
80 early seed development phases and then outline an efficient protocol to shorten the period from pollination to hip
81 harvest.

82

83 **Materials and methods**

84 **Plant material and disinfection**

85 Manual pollinations were performed in the greenhouses of NIRP International (Novità Internazionali Rosai
86 Protetti - Bevera, Ventimiglia (IM), Italy) during June 2010. Fifteen hybrid tea rose hips obtained from the same
87 cross were harvested at: 7, 14, 21, 28, and 35 days after pollination (DAP). They were then stored in plastic bags at 4
88 °C for one week in a temperature controlled room. After this period, the hips were prepared for *in vitro* culture. A
89 total of 3000 seeds were extracted and washed with 1% (v/v) Tween 20. Then, were rinsed in running tap water for
90 30 minutes, surface-disinfected using 1.8% sodium hypochlorite for 30 minutes with anchor agitation, and finally

91 rinsed three times in autoclaved cold distilled water. One half of the seeds were immediately cultured. The other half
92 of seeds were carefully excised in aseptic conditions using a forceps and scalpel under a stereo-microscope INTEL
93 SINT WILD M 3Z (Leica, Heerbrugg, Switzerland) to extract the embryos.

94

95 *In vitro* germination

96 Seeds and excised embryos were singularly cultured into 'De Wit' tubes (Duchefa Biochemie, Haarlem,
97 The Netherland) containing 12.0 ml of medium. Two variations of the medium were used: (1) Murashige and Skoog
98 (1962) macro- and micro-elements including vitamins (Duchefa Biochemie, Prod. Number M02220001, 4.4 g L⁻¹),
99 and sucrose (30 g L⁻¹), and solidified with Gelrite (4 g L⁻¹) medium (defined in the text as MS0) and (2) MS0
100 supplemented with benzylaminopurine (BAP, 2.5 mg L⁻¹) and gibberellic acid (GA₃, 0.5 mg L⁻¹) (defined in the text
101 as MS). The pH was adjusted to 5.7 prior to addition of Gelrite and sterilized at 121 °C for 15 minutes. Germination
102 occurred in growth chambers (60% R.H. and 55 μmol m⁻²s⁻¹ under cool, white fluorescent lamps) at six different
103 conditions: (A) 24-h photoperiod for two weeks followed by 16-h photoperiod for all the experiment, both at 23 °C;
104 (B) two weeks dark period followed by 16-h photoperiod for all the experiment, both at 23 °C; (C) 24-h photoperiod
105 for two weeks at 4 °C followed by 16-h photoperiod for all the experiment at 23°C; (D) two weeks dark period at 4
106 °C followed by a 16-h photoperiod for all the experiment at 23 °C; (E) one week dark period at 4 °C followed by a
107 16-h photoperiod for all the experiment at 23 °C; and (F) one week dark period at 4 °C followed by a 16-h
108 photoperiod for all the experiment at 15 °C. Twenty five seeds and twenty five embryos per DAP, medium variant
109 and growth condition were used.

110 On the basis of the International Rules for Seed Testing Association (ISTA 2014), excised embryo and
111 immature seed germination was daily recorded for a total of 210 days. The final germination percentage (FGP), half-
112 time of germination (T_{50}), and germination period (GPD) were calculated using Czabator's index (1962) where FGP
113 = total number of seeds germinated ÷ total number of seeds in all replicates x 100; T_{50} = days from seeding to the
114 50% of the total germinated seeds, and GPD = days from seeding to when maximum number of seeds germinated.
115 Moreover, the day of the first emergence (Em) and the germination energy (Ge) were counted. Germination energy is
116 defined as the germination percentages when the mean daily germination (cumulative germination percent divided
117 by the time elapsed since sowing date) reached its peak. The explants (immature seeds and embryos) were
118 considered to have germinated when cotyledons emerged. A control was established. It contained 150 mature seeds

119 from the same cross which were extracted from hips harvested after 120 DAP. These seeds were cold stratified at 4
120 °C for two months and placed in perlite seed beds for germination in the greenhouses of the NIRP International.

121
122 Statistical analysis
123 Arcsine transformation was performed on all percent incidence data (germinated embryos and immature
124 seeds, and germination energy) before statistical analysis in order to improve homogeneity of variance. Effect of
125 DAP, explant type, medium, and growth conditions on FGP, Em, T_{50} , GPD, and Ge were evaluated by the analysis of
126 variance (one-way ANOVA) using Ryan-Einot-Gabriel-Welsch's multiple stepdown F (REGW-F) test ($P \leq 0.05$).
127 All analyses were performed with SPSS 17.0 Inc. software (Chicago, Illinois, USA).

128
129 **Results**

130 Germination was not observed in either the embryos or immature seeds at 7 and 14 DAP cultured under all
131 growth conditions, nor at 21, 28, and 35 DAP cultured under growth conditions A, B, C, and D ($FGP < 2\%$), as
132 opposed to embryos and immature seeds cultured under growth conditions E and F (Fig. 1). In these latter conditions,
133 germination indexes (FGP, Em, T_{50} , GPD and Ge) were affected by developmental stage, explant type, medium
134 variant, and growth conditions as showed in Table 1. The protocols yielding significant better outcomes (with $FGP \geq$
135 40%) resulted from the following parameters and sequencing: *in vitro* culture of embryos collected at 28 DAP on
136 MS, incubation in darkness at 4 °C for one week; germination under a 16 hour photoperiod at either 23 °C (E) or 15
137 °C (F). These protocols yielded 40% and 48% FGP, respectively, resulting significantly much higher than control,
138 i.e., seeds germinated conventionally in the nursery greenhouse (7.6% FGP). In these *in vitro* conditions the highest
139 Ge percentages (15.9% and 17.4%, respectively) occurred. The lowest Em, T_{50} and GPD were observed in embryos
140 collected at 35 DAP and cultured on MS0 under both growth conditions and on MS under growth condition E (days
141 21, 25 and 25; days 29, 32 and 31; and days 36, 40 and 40, respectively). On the opposite, the highest Em, T_{50} and
142 GPD were observed in seeds at 28 DAP, cultured on MS0 under growth condition F or cultured on MS under growth
143 condition E (days 140 and 150; days 167 and 163; and days 182 and 182, respectively). Seeds at the same age,
144 cultured on MS0, and under growth condition F also showed the lowest Ge (0.8 %).

145
146 **Discussion**

147 Previous studies suggested that the optimal time point for performing embryo rescue in hybrid roses could
148 be comprised between 15 and 30 DAP, i.e., when embryos are completely developed, the ABA concentration is
149 significantly reduced but the seed pericarp is not yet completely hardened (Pipino et al. 2013, Bosco et al. 2014).

150 In agreement with this information, in our study neither the embryos nor the immature seeds harvested at 7
151 and 14 DAP germinated. Germinations started to occur with explants harvested at 21 DAP, one to three weeks earlier
152 than in the protocols proposed by Gudin (1994) and Mohapatra and Rout (2005).

153 Continuous light (24-photoperiod) and constant temperatures (23 °C) negatively affected germination,
154 which occurred only in cultures incubated for one week in the dark at 4 °C and then transferred to light (16-
155 photoperiod) and 23 °C or 15 °C (growth conditions E and F). In the dark and cold conditions, a lower production of
156 polyphenols from the surrounding tissues of the excised embryos could help in better response (Rout et al. 1999). In
157 contrast, a prolonged period of dark (2 weeks) at cold negatively influenced germination. This was probably due to
158 the fact that seeds collected between 21 and 35 DAP do not require excessive cold treatment because endodormancy
159 is already fully reduced (Pipino et al. 2013). Furthermore, at this stage, the not-yet-fully lignified pericarp is unable
160 to protect the embryo from external factor damage, such as two weeks of cold (Billings and Mooney 1968,
161 Bousewinkel and Bouman 1995, Scheiber and Robacker 2003). Medium supplementation with BAP (2.5 mg L⁻¹) and
162 GA₃ (0.5 mg L⁻¹) even further increased embryo germination rates, in agreement with Mohapatra and Rout (2005).

163 Overall, the protocol appears to be an attractive tool to obtain new genotypes by reducing production time.
164 Contamination, which can seriously limit the use of embryo rescue (Arunachalam and Kaicker 1994), was less than
165 22%. However, even if mechanical dormancy is reduced in immature seeds (Tillberg 1983, Guzicka et al. 2012),
166 equipment and personnel investment is likely necessary to excise large quantities of seeds. *In vitro* immature seed
167 culture may be a more practical method for rose propagation. Using the best protocol, immature seeds harvested at
168 21 DAP and cultured on MS under growth condition E, we obtained a FGP equal to 31.5% with a Ge equal to 9.7 %
169 and GPD of 150 days. While the results are less efficient than embryo rescue, they are still far superior than
170 conventional company practices (FGP = 7.6%). Moreover, the method is easy, fast, and requires no microscope or
171 instruments to extract very soft embryos.

172 In conclusion, the *in vitro* systems developed here might allow breeders to obtain higher seed germination
173 rates and to observe morphological variability faster, which results in shortened selection time. Better
174 characterisation of embryo development and maturation might lead to a better understanding of the mechanisms

175 behind these processes.

176

177 **Acknowledgments**

178 This research was funded by the Italian Ministry of Agriculture, Project 11058/7643/2009 “Studio sulla
179 compatibilità all’incrocio ed individuazione di marcatori della fertilità in cultivar commerciali di rosa al fine di
180 ottimizzare il lavoro di ibridazione e la costituzione varietale (FERTROS)”. The authors gratefully acknowledge the
181 entire staff of NIRP International for making the pollinations and supplying the rose hips. Moreover, the authors
182 thank Antonio Mercuri, Laura De Benedetti, and Federica Nicoletti of CRA-FSO for their useful suggestions and
183 technical facilities.

184

185 **References**

186 ARENE L., PELLEGRINO C., GUDIN S. (1993). A comparison of the somaclonal variation level of *Rosa hybrida* L. cv
187 Meirutral plants regenerated from callus or direct induction from different vegetative and embryonic tissues.
188 *Euphytica*, 71: 83-90.

189 ARUNACHALAM V., KAICKER U. S. (1994). *In vitro* germination. A potential commercial method for roses. *In*
190 PRAKASH J., BHANDRY K.R. (Eds) Floriculture, technology, trades and trends. Oxford and IBH publishing
191 CO. PVT. LTD, New Delhi: 410-412.

192 ASEN S. (1948). Embryo culture of rose seeds. *American Rose Annual*, 33: 151-153.

193 ASEN S., LARSEN R. E. (1951). Artificial culturing of rose embryos. Pennsylvania State University. Agricultural
194 Experiment Station Progress Report, 40: 4.

195 BOSCO R., CASER M., GHIONE G. G., MANSUINO A., GIOVANNINI A., SCARIOT V. (2014). Dynamics of abscisic acid
196 and indole-3-acetic acid during the early-middle stage of seed development in *Rosa hybrida*. *Plant Growth*
197 *Regulation*, DOI 10.1007/s10725-014-9950-8

198 BOUSEWINKEL F. D., BOUMAN F. (1995). The seed: Structure and function. *In*: KIGEL J., GALILI G. (Eds) *Seed*
199 *Development and Germination*, Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York, pp. 1–24.

200 BILLINGS W. D., MOONEY H. A. (1968). The ecology of arctic and alpine plants. *Biological Reviews*, 43: 481-529.

201 BRESSAN P. H., KIM Y. J., HYNDMAN S. E., HASEGAWA P. M., BRESSAN R. A. (1982). Factors affecting *in vitro*
202 propagation of rose. *Journal of American Society for Horticultural Science*, 107: 979-990.

203 BURGER D. W., LIU L., ZARY K. W., LEE C. I. (1990). Organogenesis and plant regeneration from immature embryos
204 of *Rosa hybrida* L. *Plant Cell Tissue and Organ Culture*, 21: 147-152.

205 CARELLI B. P., ECHEVERRIGARAY S. (2002). An improved system for the *in vitro* propagation of rose cultivars.
206 *Scientia Horticulturae*, 92: 69-74.

207 CZABATOR F. J. (1962). Germination value: an index combining speed and completeness of pine seed germination.
208 *Forest Science*, 8: 386-396.

209 DE COCK K., SCARIOT V., LEUS L., DE RIEK J., VAN HUYLENBROECK J. (2007). Understanding genetic relationships
210 of wild and cultivated rose and the use of species in breeding. *CAB Reviews: Perspectives in Agriculture,*
211 *Veterinary Science, Nutrition and Natural Resources*, 2, 52.

212 DE VRIES D. P., DUBOIS L. A. M. (1987). The effect of temperature on fruit-set, seed set and seed-germination in
213 Sonia X Hadley hybrid tea-rose crosses. *Euphytica*, 36: 117-120.

214 GUDIN S., ARENE L., CHAVAGNAT A., BULARD C. (1990). Influence of endocarp thickness on rose achene
215 germination: genetic and environmental factors. *HortScience*, 25: 786-788.

216 GUDIN S. (1994). Embryo rescue in *Rosa hybrida* L. *Euphytica*, 72: 205-212.

217 GUDIN S. (2000). Rose: genetics and breeding. *Plant Breeding Reviews*, 17: 59-189.

218 GUZICKA M., ZIELIŃSKI J., TOMASZEWSKI D., GAWLAK M. (2012). Anatomical study on the developing pericarp of
219 selected *Rosa* species (Rosaceae). *Dendrobiology*, 68: 77-87.

220 ISTA. (2014). International Rules for Seed Testing 2014. Ordinary General Meeting 2013, Antalya, Turkey. Online
221 ISSN 2310-3655 <http://www.seedtest.org/seedhealthmethods>

222 KELLY K. M., VAN STADEN J., BELL W. E. (1992). Seed coat structure and dormancy. *Plant Growth Regulation*, 11:
223 201-209.

224 KUNITAKE H., IMAMIZO H., MII M. (1993). Somatic embryogenesis and plant regeneration from immature seed-
225 derived calli of rugosa rose (*Rosa rugosa* Thunb.). *Plant Science*, 90: 187-194.

226 LAMMERTS W. E. (1946). Use of embryo culture in rose breeding. *Plants and Gardens*, 2: 11.

227 MARCHANT R., POWER J. B., DAVEY M. R., CHARTIER-HOLLIS J. (1994). Embryo rescue, for the production of F1
228 hybrids, in English rose. *Euphytica*, 74: 187-193.

- 229 MEYNET J., BARRADE R., DUCLOS A., SIADOUS R. (1994). Dihaploid plants of roses (*Rosa × hybrida* cv Sonia)
230 obtained by parthenogenesis induced using irradiated pollen and *in vitro* culture of immature seeds.
231 *Agronomie*, 2: 169-175.
- 232 MOHAPATRA A., ROUT G. R. (2005). Study of embryo rescue in floribunda rose. *Plant Cell Tissue and Organ*
233 *Culture*, 81: 113-117.
- 234 MURASHIGE T., SKOOG F. (1962). A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco tissue cultures.
235 *Physiologia Plantarum*, 15: 473-497.
- 236 NIKBAKHT A., KAFI M., MIRMASOUMI M., BABALAR M. (2005). Micropropagation of Damask Rose (*Rosa*
237 *damascena* Mill.) cvs Azaran and Ghamsar. *International Journal of Agriculture & Biology*, 7: 535-538.
- 238 OGILVIE I., CLOUTIER D., ARNOLD N., JUI P. Y. (1991). The effect of gibberellic acid on fruit and seed set in crosses
239 of garden and winter hardy *Rosa* accessions. *Euphytica*, 52: 119-123.
- 240 PATI P. K., RATH S. P., SHARMA M., SOOD A., AHUJA P. S. (2006). In vitro propagation of rose - a review.
241 *Biotechnology Advances*, 24: 94–114.
- 242 PIPINO L. (2011). Improving seed production efficiency for hybrid rose breeding. PhD thesis, Ghent University and
243 University of Turin. ISBN-number: 978-90-5989-447-1.
- 244 PIPINO L., SCARIOT, V., GAGGERO, L., MANSUINO, A., VAN LABEKE, M. C., GIOVANNINI, A. (2011). Enhancing seed
245 germination in hybrid tea roses. *Propagation of Ornamental Plants*, 11: 111-118.
- 246 PIPINO L., LEUS L., SCARIOT V., VAN LABEKE M. C. (2013). Embryo and hip development in hybrid rose. *Plant*
247 *Growth Regulations*, 69: 107-116.
- 248 RAM M., PRASAD K. V., KAUR C., SINGH S. K., ARORA A., KUMAR S. (2011). Induction of anthocyanin pigments in
249 callus cultures of *Rosa hybrida* L. in response to sucrose and ammonical nitrogen levels. *Plant Cell Tissue*
250 *and Organ Culture*, 104: 171-179.
- 251 ROUT G. R., SAMANTARAY S., MOTTLEY J., DAS P. (1999) Biotechnology of the rose: a review of recent progress.
252 *Scientia Horticulturae* , 81: 201-228.
- 253 SCHEIBER S. M., ROBACKER C. D. (2003). Effect of pericarp removal, gibberellic acid treatment, and stratification on
254 seed germination of *Abelia x grandiflora*. *Journal of Environmental Horticulture*, 21: 34-37.
- 255 SCHUBACH A. (2010). AIPH/Union Fleurs: international statistics flowers and plants 2010. Zentrum für
256 Betriebswirtschaft im Gartenbau e. V. an der Leibniz Universität, Hannover, Germany.

- 257 SEMENIUK P., STEWART R. N., UHRING J. (1963). Induced secondary dormancy of rose embryos. American Society
258 of Horticultural Science, 83: 825-828.
- 259 TILLBERG E. (1983). Levels of endogenous abscisic acid in achenes of *Rosa rugosa* during dormancy release and
260 germination. *Physiologia Plantarum*, 58: 243-248.
- 261 ZHOU Z., BAO W., WU N. (2009). Effects of scarification, stratification and chemical treatments on the germination of
262 *Rosa soulieana* Crépin achenes. In: TAXEIRA DA SILVA J. (Ed) Floriculture and Ornamental Biotechnology,
263 Special Issue 1. Global Science Books, London, UK, pp 75-80.
- 264 ZLESAK D. C. (2006). Rose: *Rosa x hybrida*. In: ANDERSON N. O. (Ed), Flower Breeding and Genetics: Issues,
265 Challenges and Opportunities for the 21st Century. Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, pp 695-738.
- 266

267 **Tables**

268 **Table 1** Effect of explant age (DAP = days after pollination), explant type (embryo and immature seed), medium
 269 (MS0 and MS), and growth conditions (E = one week dark period at 4 °C followed by a 16-h photoperiod for all the
 270 experiment at 23 °C; F = one week dark period at 4 °C followed by a 16-h photoperiod for all the experiment at 15
 271 °C) on final germination percentage (FGP), first emergency (Em), half-time of germination (T_{50}), germination period
 272 (GPD), and germination energy (Ge) in *Rosa hybrida*.

DAP	Explant	Medium	GC	FGP (%)	Em (d)	T_{50} (d)	GPD (d)	Ge (%)	
21	embryo	MS0	E	10.0 (± 2.5) jklm [§]	37 (± 4) gh	42 (± 10) fgh	50 (± 5) fg	3.2 (± 0.5) de	
			F	10.0 (± 2.4) jklm	65 (± 5) e	70 (± 8) ef	75 (± 11) de	3.4 (± 0.5) de	
		MS	E	33.5 (± 1.5) bcd	41 (± 5) fg	50 (± 10) fg	60 (± 8) ef	11.2 (± 0.2) b	
			F	12.0 (± 1.8) hijklm	41 (± 4) fg	50 (± 10) fg	60 (± 5) ef	3.2 (± 0.8) de	
		seed	MS0	E	18.5 (± 2.0) fghij	100 (± 6) c	118 (± 4) d	121 (± 6) c	5.6 (± 1.5) cd
				F	11.5 (± 2.1) hijklm	65 (± 3) e	70 (± 6) ef	80 (± 7) de	3.5 (± 0.7) de
	MS		E	31.5 (± 0.5) bcde	120 (± 5) b	134 (± 4) c	150 (± 5) b	9.7 (± 1.2) bc	
			F	16.5 (± 1.1) ghijkl	80 (± 4) d	85 (± 7) e	98 (± 5) de	5.2 (± 0.8) de	
	28	embryo	MS0	E	24.0 (± 1.6) cdefgh	35 (± 6) gh	46 (± 11) fgh	52 (± 6) fg	7.0 (± 1.2) bcd
				F	36.0 (± 0.9) abc	35 (± 6) gh	49 (± 9) fg	59 (± 4) ef	14.8 (± 1.5) ab
			MS	E	40.0 (± 4.0) ab	35 (± 5) gh	50 (± 6) fg	59 (± 5) ef	15.9 (± 1.0) a
				F	48.0 (± 4.5) a	37 (± 5) gh	57 (± 8) fg	65 (± 7) ef	17.4 (± 1.2) a
seed			MS0	E	10.0 (± 2.5) jklm	80 (± 4) d	99 (± 5) e	110 (± 8) cd	3.6 (± 0.7) de
				F	3.5 (± 2.8) lm	140 (± 5) a	167 (± 3) a	182 (± 5) a	0.8 (± 0.2) f
		MS	E	17.0 (± 3.5) fghijk	150 (± 5) a	163 (± 3) a	182 (± 5) a	4.5 (± 0.5) de	
			F	22.1 (± 2.8) defgh	132 (± 4) ab	148 (± 5) b	159 (± 5) b	6.1 (± 0.5) cd	
35		embryo	MS0	E	26.0 (± 4.7) cdefg	21 (± 12) h	29 (± 5) h	36 (± 4) g	8.1 (± 1.2) bc
				F	34.0 (± 2.5) bc	25 (± 9) h	32 (± 4) h	40 (± 6) g	14.2 (± 1.5) ab
			MS	E	22.0 (± 3.9) efghi	25 (± 9) h	31 (± 4) h	40 (± 6) g	6.5 (± 0.8) cd
				F	30.0 (± 2.5) bcdef	46 (± 4) fg	59 (± 5) fg	65 (± 6) ef	9.5 (± 0.7) bc
	seed		MS0	E	0.0 (± 0) m	-	-	-	-
				F	0.0 (± 0) m	-	-	-	-
		MS	E	7.0 (± 2.6) jklm	142 (± 8) a	160 (± 6) a	172 (± 8) ab	1.7 (± 0.5) e	
			F	0.0 (± 0) m	-	-	-	-	
	control			7.6 (± 3.5) jklm	105 (± 5) c	120 (± 6) d	125 (± 5) c	2.5 (± 0.8) de	
			<i>P</i>	**	*	*	*	**	

273 The statistical relevance of 'Between-Subjects Effects' tests (*= $P < 0.05$, **= $P < 0.001$, ns=not significant). [§]Mean values with standard error in
 274 parenthesis followed by the same letter are not statistically different at $P \leq 0.05$ according to the REGW-F test.

275 **Figure captions**

276

277 **Fig. 1.** *In vitro* germination of *Rosa hybrida* embryo collected after 28 days after pollination (DAP), cultured on
278 modified Murashige and Skoog medium supplemented with BAP (2.5 mg L⁻¹) and GA₃ (0.5 mg L⁻¹) (MS),
279 incubated in the dark at 4 °C for one week and later transferred at 15 °C with a photoperiod of 16 h of light.

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288



Figure 1