

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Effects of diets with increasing levels of dried tomato pomace on the performances and apparent digestibility of growing rabbits

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/96331> since 2016-02-01T17:46:31Z

Published version:

DOI:10.3923/ajava.2012.521.527

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

This Accepted Author Manuscript (AAM):

Effects of diets with increasing levels of dried tomato pomace on the performances and apparent digestibility of growing rabbits

Authors: Pier Giorgio Peiretti, Francesco Gai, Luca Rotolo, Laura Gasco

ASIAN JOURNAL OF ANIMAL AND VETERINARY ADVANCES, 7(6), 521-527

DOI: 10.3923/ajava.2012.521.527

The definitive version is available at:

La versione definitiva è disponibile alla URL:

<http://scialert.net/qredirect.php?doi=ajava.2012.521.527&linkid=pdf>

Effects of diets with increasing levels of dried tomato pomace on the performances and apparent digestibility of growing rabbits

Pier Giorgio Peiretti¹, Francesco Gai^{1*}, Luca Rotolo², Laura Gasco²

¹ Istituto di Scienze delle Produzioni Alimentari, Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Torino, Italy

² Dipartimento di Scienze Zootecniche, Università di Torino, Italy.

* *Corresponding author*: Dr. Francesco Gai. Istituto di Scienze delle Produzioni Alimentari, Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Via Leonardo da Vinci 44, 10095 Grugliasco (TO), Italy - Tel: +39 011 6709232 - Fax: +39 011 6709297- Email address: francesco.gai@ispa.cnr.it

Abstract: The aim of this study was to determine the effects of three levels (0, 3 and 6%) of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) pomace (TP), included in isonitrogenous and isocaloric diets, on the performances and *in vivo* apparent digestibility of growing rabbits. The growing trial, which lasted 50 days, was carried out on 144 crossbred (Hycole x Grimaud) rabbits randomly divided into three groups. Each rabbit was kept in an individual cage. The mean mortality was about 8%, and there was no difference between the groups during the trial. The weight gain, feed consumption and feed efficiency values did not differ significantly for the different dietary treatments, while the final weight was higher in the rabbits fed the diet with 3% TP than in the control group. Ten animal per groups (five males and five females) were also submitted to a digestibility trial. The faeces were collected at the beginning of the second week of the growing trial with the animal at 46 days of age. No obvious health problems were encountered during the digestibility experiment. The measured parameters were: digestibility of dry matter (DM), organic matter (OM), crude protein (CP), ether

extract (EE) and gross energy (GE). The rabbits fed diet with 0 and 3% TP showed higher apparent digestibility coefficients than the rabbits fed a diet with 6% TP, with the exception of EE digestibility, which resulted higher in the rabbits fed the 3% TP diet. Overall, dried tomato pomace may be used satisfactorily as a nutrient supplement for rabbits at levels of up to 6% in diets.

Key words: *Lycopersicon esculentum*, *In vivo* digestibility, Intake, Rabbit.

INTRODUCTION

The introduction of alternative feedstuffs is an interesting challenge for animal nutritionists, as it could overcome the problems of environmental and production costs. Studies have recently been carried out to determine the performances and nutritive value of various seeds (Meineri and Peiretti, 2007; Peiretti *et al.*, 2007; Peiretti and Meineri, 2008a; Peiretti *et al.*, 2010) and of by-products (Peiretti and Meineri, 2008b) in rabbit trials.

Among the various agricultural by-products, tomato pomace (TP) is usually considered just a waste product which is often underutilised and its potential value is therefore lost. From the zootechnical point of view, tomato processing by-products can instead be a valuable energy and nutrient source that is obtained more cheaply than alternative ingredients to feed broiler chicks (Al-Betawi, 2005) and rabbits (Ahmed *et al.*, 1994; Alicata *et al.*, 1986; El-Razik, 1996; Gippert *et al.*, 1988; Sawal *et al.*, 1996; Devasena *et al.*, 2007), when it is appropriately preserved. Alicata *et al.* (1986) investigated the effect of tomato seeds and skins on microflora activity in the caecum and volatile fatty acid in the caecum contents. They indicated that this by-product could be used in rabbit diets. Up to 20% of dried TP can be introduced into the diet of growing rabbits without any problem (Gippert *et al.*, 1988). Ahmed *et al.* (1994), using 0, 10, 20 and 30% of TP in experimental diets on growing rabbits, concluded that TP levels of 10 and 20% can successfully be used as suitable ingredients in pelleted complete feeds for growing rabbits and more economically than

alfalfa meal. El-Razik (1996) studied the effect of the substitution of corn with TP (0, 5 and 10%) in growing rabbit diets on growth performance and carcass traits and concluded that TP can satisfactorily substitute corn grains. Sawal *et al.* (1996) studied the incorporation of TP in the diet of rabbits and reported that the optimum level of TP for rabbit diets was about 13.2%. Devasena *et al.* (2007) found that dried TP is a valid feed ingredient due to its good protein and energy content, and can be included in rabbit diets in levels up to 15% to reduce the cost of production.

The aim of the present research was to evaluate the effects of TP based diets on the performances of rabbits, but also the effects of TP supplementation on apparent digestibility in growing rabbits.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Animals and diets

The study was carried out in the experimental rabbitry at the Department of Animal Sciences, located in Carmagnola (Turin, NW Italy), during the spring of the 2011. 144 weaned 38 day old crossbred (Hycole x Grimaud) rabbits were randomly assigned to three groups of 48 (50% male and 50% female rabbits each) with equal initial weight variability (1166 ± 13 g). The animals were housed individually, at a temperature of $22 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$, in wire cages at a height of 90 cm from the concrete floor. These groups were fed an isocaloric and isonitrogenous diet *ad libitum*, enriched with different levels (0, 3 and 6%) of dried TP. This experiment was conducted using TP obtained from a private tomato processing company (Tomatofarm Srl, Pozzolo Formigaro, Italy) in July 2010. The TP was ensiled for 2 months without any additive in a trench silo on a concrete floor and then dried in an oven at 60°C until constant weight. All the diets were pelleted fresh and stored in darkness in a temperature controlled room to avoid auto-oxidation of the lipid sources.

Growth performance

The live weight and feed intake of the rabbits were recorded on a fortnightly basis during the experimental period, except for the last period, which lasted 8 days. The data on the average daily weight gain and feed conversion ratio were calculated. The trial lasted 50 days.

Digestibility trial and analytical methods

The apparent digestibility of the experimental diets was measured in a digestibility trial, carried out at the same time, on ten animals per group (five males and five females) according to the European standardized method (Perez *et al.*, 1995). The apparent digestibility of the three diets was determined during the second week of the growing trial with the animals at 46 days of age. The measured parameters were: digestibility of dry matter (DM), organic matter (OM), crude protein (CP), ether extract (EE) and gross energy (GE). The total collection of faeces was carried out daily at 09:00. The faeces were collected using a nylon net placed under each cage floor, to avoid urine contamination. The total individual daily faeces were weighed and placed in a two-layer plastic bag, to prevent the loss of moisture, and immediately frozen at - 20°C. The 5-day cumulative samples of frozen feces of each rabbit were pooled, mixed thoroughly and ground in a homogenizer (Tecator, Herndon, VA, USA). The pooled samples were dried in a draft oven at 80°C to constant weight and stored for chemical analysis. In order to determine the DM content, feed samples were dried for 8 hours at 105°C. All the dried samples were ground in a 1 mm screen Cyclotec mill.

All the analyses were carried out on duplicate samples. The diets and faeces were analyzed to determine the total N content (AOAC 955.04, 1990), ash by ignition to 550°C, and EE using the Soxhlet method (AOAC 963.15, 1990). The GE was determined using an adiabatic bomb calorimeter (IKA C7000, Staufen, Germany).

Determination of the digestibility coefficients and statistical analysis

The apparent digestibility of the rations was calculated using the total collection of faeces

1 for each rabbit and for each diet according to the following equation:

2
$$d(\%) = (\text{ingested amounts} - \text{excreted amounts}) / \text{ingested amounts}$$

3 Statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS software package (version 11.5.1 for
4 Windows, SPSS, 2002). The analysis of variance was used to evaluate the effects of the diet on the
5 performances and *in vivo* digestibility coefficients. Significant differences among the treatments
6 means were determined using Duncan's test.

7

8 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

9 The data of the chemical composition of the TP and the experimental diets of the present
10 study are reported in Table 1.

11 The TP had high concentrations of water, crude fibre, and nitrogen free extracts and a
12 moderate content of CP and EE. The chemical analysis of this by-product showed that the TP
13 contained 94.5% OM. Alicata *et al.* (1988) and Ahmed *et al.* (1994) reported that TP had 96.5%
14 OM. This difference could mainly be due to the cultivar type, the environmental condition and the
15 TP processing methods.

16 The present results showed that the TP had a 9.4% EE content and similar results were
17 reported by Paryad and Rashidi (2009), who recorded a 10.0% of EE in dried TP. El-Razik (1996)
18 and Boucque and Fiems (1988) found that TP had an EE content of 8.0 and 8.9%, respectively,
19 while Sawal *et al.* (1996) reported an EE content of 5.6% in dried tomato pulp. The variation in the
20 fat content observed by different workers may be due to the variation in the seed content of the TP.

21 In the present work, the crude fibre (CF) content of TP was 42.9% and resulted higher than
22 the values reported by Devasena *et al.* (2007) and Teli *et al.* (1983). In general, the present data and
23 the results of previous authors showed that TP contained from 24 to 43% CF.

24 The CP content of TP found in the present study was 18.7%, which is in agreement with
25 other authors (Del Valle *et al.* 2006) and this value makes this by-product attractive as a supplement

or protein source for rabbit diets. Brodowski and Geisman (1980) reported a CP content of whole tomato seed meal ranging from 22.9 to 23.7%, which concurred with the findings of Teli *et al.* (1983) and of Devasena *et al.* (2007).

The productive performance data are given in Table 2. The mean mortality was about 8%, without any difference between the groups. The weight gain, feed consumption and feed efficiency values did not differ significantly for the different dietary treatments, while the final weight was higher in the rabbits fed a diet with 3% TP than the control group. The results obtained in the present study are in agreement with those of Caro *et al.* (1993), who showed that there was no significant differences in weight gain or feed conversion ratio in the experimental groups fed diets with 10, 20, 30 or 40 % TP. The same authors found that the average daily feed intake of rabbits fed diets containing 30% TP was lower than that of rabbits fed diets with 0, 10 or 20% TP, and they stated that the lower feed intake may be due to the effect of the fat content of the TP. Ahmed *et al.* (1994) showed no significant differences in live weight, total and daily weight gain for four experimental groups of rabbits that ingested four experimental rations containing levels of 0, 10, 20 and 30% TP as a substitute for alfalfa for 7 weeks. The rabbits fed the diet containing 30% TP consumed the lowest amount of feed, while the rabbits fed the control diet (0% TP) consumed the highest amount of feed and, also in this case, the authors stated that this was probably due to the effect of the high fat content of the TP.

Sawal *et al.* (1996) instead stated that the incorporation of TP at levels of 0, 10 and 20% in the diet of rabbits significantly increased feed intake, while feed conversion efficiency decreased with increasing dietary TP content, and they concluded, using regression analysis, that the optimum level of TP for rabbit diets was about 13.2%. El-Razik (1996) reported that there were no significant differences in live body weight, total or daily weight gain between experimental rabbit groups fed diets containing 0, 5 and 10% TP, for 8 weeks. However, the rabbits which were fed the 10% TP diet recorded the highest live body weight, and daily weight gain values, and this was followed by

those fed 0% TP, while the rabbits fed 5% TP recorded the lowest values at 14 weeks of age. The improvement in body weight and weight gain in rabbits fed 10% TP may be related to the high CP contents of TP (20-23% CP). The differences in productive performance between the present results and previous works may be due to the breed and age of the rabbits and to the type of TP and supplemented diets.

As far as the digestibility trial is concerned (Table 3), the rabbits fed diets with 0 and 3% TP showed higher apparent digestibility coefficients than the rabbit fed a diet with 6% TP, with the exception of EE digestibility, which was higher in the rabbits fed the diet 3% TP diet.

Devasena *et al.* (2007) found significant differences in the digestibility of EE, CF and cellulose. These authors found an increase in the digestibility of cellulose in 10 and 15% TP included diets, whereas they observed no significant differences in the digestibility coefficients of neutral detergent fibre (NDF), acid detergent fibre (ADF) and hemicellulose fractions, OM, DM, CP and nitrogen free extract (NFE). Improvements in digestibility of EE were reported by Sawal *et al.*, (1996), who included TP at a 20% level in rabbit diets and suggested that the oil content was highly digestible. Gippert *et al.* (1988) reported that the substitution of 10 and 20% alfalfa meal with TP increased the utilization of nutrients in rabbits.

Alicata *et al.* (1988) and Sawal *et al.* (1996) showed that the inclusion of 20% TP in rabbit diets increased the digestibility coefficients of all the nutrients especially the CF and EE digestibilities. Sayed and Abdel-azeem (2009) found significant differences between different experimental groups of rabbits fed different levels of dried TP (10, 20 and 30%) in the EE and CF digestibilities and the diet containing 20% TP recorded higher values compared to the other treated groups, while they found no significant differences in the DM, CP and NFE digestibilities between groups.

Aghajanzadeh-Golshani *et al.* (2010) estimated the nutritive value of TP using an *in vitro* gas production technique and concluded that OMD was 62.4%. Mirzaei-Aghsaghali *et al.* (2011)

found a similar *in vitro* OMD value (62.0%), while Chumpawadee *et al.* (2007) found lower *in vitro* OMD values that ranged from 35.4 to 36.5%. Many factors, such as differences in the tomato cultivar, environment conditions, method type and animal species may partially explain the differences in the *in vitro* OMD in the different studies.

CONCLUSIONS

TP may be used satisfactorily as a nutrient supplement for rabbits at levels of up to 6% in the diet without any adverse effect on the performance or nutritive value, even though a better digestibility was found in rabbits fed diet with 3% TP.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks are due to Dr. R. Bianchi and Dr. A. Micone (Tomatofarm s.r.l - Pozzolo Formigaro) for providing the tomato pomace silages, Mrs.V. Malfatto for the technical support and Mr. L. Sola and Mr. D. Sola for the care of the rabbits.

REFERENCES

- Aghajanzadeh-Golshani, A., N. Maheri-Sis, A. Mirzaei-Aghsaghali and A. Baradaran-Hasanzadeh, 2010. Comparison of nutritional value of tomato pomace and brewer's grain for ruminants using *in vitro* gas production technique. Asian J. Anim. Vet. Adv., 5: 43–51. DOI: 10.3923/ajava.2010.43.51
- Ahmed, S.S., K.M. El-Gendy, H. Ibrahim, A.A. Rashwan and M.I. Tawfeek, 1994. Growth performance, digestibility, carcass traits and some physiological aspects of growing rabbits fed tomato pomace as a substitution for alfalfa meal. Egypt. J. Rabbit Sci., 4: 1–13.
- Al-Betawi, N.A., 2005. Preliminary study on tomato pomace as unusual feedstuff in broiler diets. Pak. J. Nutr, 4: 57–63. DOI: 10.3923/pjn.2005.57.63

1 Alicata, M.L., G. Leto, P. Giaccone and A. Bonanno, 1986. Use of some agricultural by-products in
2 the feeding of rabbits and effect on the acid composition of caecum contents. Riv.
3 Coniglicoltura, 23(5): 50–52.
4 <http://www.cabdirect.org/abstracts/19861486427.html>

5 Alicata, M. L., A. Bonanno and P. Giaccone, 1988. Use of tomato skins and seeds in the feeding of
6 meat rabbits. Riv. Coniglicoltura, 25(1): 33–36.
7 <http://www.cabdirect.org/abstracts/19881415946.html>

8 AOAC, 1990. Official methods of analysis. 15th Edn., Association of Official Analytical Chemists,
9 Washington, DC, USA.

10 Boucque, Ch.V. and L.O. Fiems, 1988. Vegetable by-products of agroindustrial origin. Livest. Prod.
11 Sci., 19: 97–135. DOI: 10.1016/0301-6226(88)90087-5

12 Brodowski, D.L. and J.R. Geisman, 1980. Protein content and amino acid composition of seeds
13 from tomatoes at various stages of ripeness. J. Food Sci., 45: 228–229. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-
14 2621.1980.tb02582.x

15 Caro, T.W., B.H. Manterola and A.D. Cerda, 1993. Studies of the use of agroindustrial by-products
16 in animal feeding. V. Productive performance of growing meat rabbits fed with different
17 levels of tomato pomace. Avances Prod. Anim., 18: 91–97.
18 <http://www.cabdirect.org/abstracts/19971412168.html>

19 Chumpawadee, S., A. Chantiratikul and P. Chantiratikul, 2007. Chemical compositions and
20 nutritional evaluation of energy feeds for ruminant using in vitro gas production technique.
21 Pak. J. Nutr., 6: 607–612. DOI: 10.3923/pjn.2007.607.612

22 Del Valle, M., M. Cámara and M.E. Torija 2006. Chemical characterization of tomato pomace. J.
23 Sci. Food Agric., 86: 1232–1236. DOI: 10.1002/jsfa.2474

1 Devasena, B., B. Punyakumari, J.V. Ramana, D. Srinivasa Kumar and J. Ramaprasad, 2007.
2 Utilization of tomato (*L. Esculentum*) pomace in broiler rabbit diets. Indian J. Small Rum., 13:
3 65–69. <http://www.cabi.org/cabdirect/FullTextPDF/2007/20073245713.pdf>

4 El-Razik, W. A. 1996. Effect of substitution of tomato pomace for corn in growing rabbit diets on
5 growth performance and carcass traits. Egypt. J. Rabbit Sci., 6: 79–86.
6 <http://www.cabdirect.org/abstracts/19971411435.html>

7 Gippert, T., S. Lacza and I. Hullár, 1988. Utilization of agricultural by-products in the nutrition of
8 rabbit. In Proceedings of the 8th World Rabbit Congress (pp. 163–172). Budapest, Hungary.

9 Meineri, G. and P.G. Peiretti, 2007. Apparent digestibility of mixed feed with increasing levels of
10 chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.) seeds in rabbit diets. Ital. J Anim. Sci., 6 (1): 778–780. DOI:
11 10.4081/ijas.2007.1s.778

12 Mirzaei-Aghsaghali, A., N. Maheri-sis, H. Mansouri, M.E. Razeghi, A.R. Safaei, A. Aghajanzadeh-
13 Golshani and K. Alipoor, 2011. Estimation of the nutritive value of tomato pomace for
14 ruminant using *in vitro* gas production technique. Afr. J. Biotechnol., 10 (33): 6251–6256.
15 [http://www.academicjournals.org/AJB/PDF/pdf2011/6Jul/Mirzaei-](http://www.academicjournals.org/AJB/PDF/pdf2011/6Jul/Mirzaei-Aghsaghali%20et%20al%201.pdf)
16 [Aghsaghali%20et%20al%201.pdf](http://www.academicjournals.org/AJB/PDF/pdf2011/6Jul/Mirzaei-Aghsaghali%20et%20al%201.pdf)

17 Paryad, A. and M. Rashidi, 2009. Effect of yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) on apparent
18 digestibility and nitrogen retention of tomato pomace in sheep. Pak. J. Nutr., 8: 273–278.
19 DOI: 10.3923/pjn.2009.273.278

20 Peiretti, P.G., P.P. Mussa, G. Meineri and G. Perona, 2007. Apparent digestibility of mixed feed
21 with increasing levels of false flax (*Camelina sativa* L.) seeds in rabbit diets. J. Food Agric.
22 Environ., 5 (1): 85–88.
23 <http://www.isfae.org/scientificjournal/2007/issue1/abstracts/abstract18.php>

24 Peiretti, P.G. and G. Meineri, 2008a. Effects of golden flaxseed supplementation on performance
25 and feed digestibility of rabbits. J. Anim. Vet. Adv., 7: 56–60.

1 <http://medwelljournals.com/abstract/?doi=javaa.2008.56.60>

2 Peiretti, P.G. and G. Meineri, 2008b. Effects of diets with increasing levels of *Spirulina platensis* on
3 the performance and apparent digestibility in growing rabbits. *Livest. Sci.*, 118: 173–177.
4 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2011.03.031>

5 Peiretti, P.G., F. Gai, G. Meineri, I. Zoccarato and L. Gasco, 2010. Apparent digestibility of
6 compound diets with increasing levels of perilla (*Perilla frutescens* L.) seeds in rabbits. *Ital.*
7 *J. Anim. Sci.*, 9: 425–428. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4081/ijas.2010.e81>

8 Perez, J.M., F. Lebas, T. Gidenne, L. Maertens, G. Xiccato, R. Parigi Bini, A. Dalle Zotte, M.E.
9 Cossu, A. Carazzolo, M.J. Villamide, R. Carabanõ, M.J. Fraga, M.A. Ramos, C. Cervera, E.
10 Blas, J. Fernandez, L. Falcao-E-Cunha and J. Bengala Freire, 1995. European reference
11 method for in vivo determination of diet digestibility in rabbits. *World Rabbit Sci.*, 3: 41–43.
12 <http://www.cabdirect.org/abstracts/19951409088.html>

13 Sayed, A.B.N. and A.M. Abdel-azeem, 2009. Evaluation of dried tomato pomace as feedstuff in the
14 diets of growing rabbits. *International Journal for Agro Veterinary and Medical Sciences*, 3:
15 13–18. <http://ns2.scopemed.com/mnstemps/25/25-1290671721.pdf>

16 Sawal, R.K., D.R. Bhatia and V. Bhasin, 1996. Incorporation of tomato pomace in the diet of
17 rabbits. *Indian J. Anim. Nutr.*, 13: 35–38.
18 <http://www.cabdirect.org/abstracts/19971406855.html>

19 Teli, A.A., B.S. Gupta, S. Thakur, J.P. Shrivastava and A.K. Verma, 1983. Nutritive potential of
20 some fruit waste products. *Indian J. Anim. Health*, 22: 89–92.
21 <http://www.cabdirect.org/abstracts/19841457704.html>

22

1 Table 1: Ingredients of the experimental diets and chemical composition of the tomato pomace and
2 diets

		Diets		
	Tomato pomace	0% tomato pomace	3% tomato pomace	6% tomato pomace
<i>Ingredients (%)</i>				
Alfalfa meal (17%CP)		29.0	27.0	25.0
Barley		19.0	19.0	19.0
Wheat bran		20.0	20.0	20.0
Dried beet pulp		14.0	14.0	13.0
Soybean meal (45%CP)		6.0	6.0	6.0
Sunflower meal (30%CP)		6.0	6.0	5.0
Tomato pomace		0.0	3.0	6.0
Molasse		1.5	1.5	1.5
Soybean oil		1.0	1.0	1.0
Corn gluten		1.0	1.0	1.0
Wheat straw		1.0	0.0	0.0
Corn meal		0.0	0.0	1.0
Vitamin-mineral premix ¹		1.0	1.0	1.0
Bicalcium phosphate		0.5	0.5	0.5
<i>Chemical composition</i> <i>(% of DM)</i>				
Dry matter	23.5	90.8	91.4	91.3
Organic matter	94.5	93.2	93.4	93.6
Crude ash	5.5	6.8	6.6	6.4
Crude fibre	42.9	18.9	18.8	19.2
Ether extract	9.4	2.9	3.5	3.5
Crude protein	18.7	18.2	18.1	18.2
Nitrogen free extract ²	23.8	53.2	53.0	52.7
Gross energy (MJ kg ⁻¹ DM)	23.7	18.9	18.6	19.1

3 ¹per kg of diet: Vitamin A 200 U, α -tocopheryl acetate 16 mg, Niacine 72 mg, Vitamin B₆ 16 mg,
4 Choline 0.48 mg, DL-methionine 600 mg, Ca 500 mg, P 920 mg, K 500 mg, Na 1 g, Mg 60 mg,
5 Mn 1.7 mg, Cu 0.6 mg.

6 ² Calculated as: [100 – (%Crude protein +%Ether extract +%Ash +%Crude fiber)].
7

1 Table 2: Productive performance (mean \pm s.e.) of the rabbits fed the experimental diets

	Tomato pomace (% of diet)		
	0	3	6
Number of animals	44	44	44
Initial weight (g)	1155 \pm 21	1188 \pm 25	1156 \pm 23
Final weight (g)	3101 \pm 39 ^a	3268 \pm 48 ^b	3196 \pm 41 ^{ab}
Total feed consumption (g)	6866 \pm 131	7262 \pm 140	7071 \pm 118
Total weight gain (g)	1947 \pm 34	2081 \pm 46	2040 \pm 38
Daily feed (g)	143 \pm 3	151 \pm 3	147 \pm 2
Daily weight gain (g)	40.6 \pm 0.7	43.3 \pm 0.9	42.5 \pm 0.8
Feed/gain ratio	3.53 \pm 0.05	3.53 \pm 0.06	3.49 \pm 0.05

2 ^{a,b} The means in the same row with different superscripts differ (P<0.05).

3 Table 3: *in vivo* apparent digestibility coefficients obtained from the total collection of faeces (mean
4 \pm s.e.) of the experimental diets

	Tomato pomace (% of diet)		
	0	3	6
Number of animals	10	10	10
Dry matter	66.1 \pm 0.6 ^a	66.3 \pm 1.6 ^a	61.8 \pm 0.7 ^b
Organic matter	66.5 \pm 0.6 ^a	67.0 \pm 1.6 ^a	62.7 \pm 0.7 ^b
Crude protein	76.3 \pm 0.6 ^a	76.6 \pm 1.3 ^a	72.6 \pm 0.8 ^b
Ether extract	76.3 \pm 0.6 ^a	81.4 \pm 0.9 ^c	78.5 \pm 0.6 ^b
Gross energy	65.6 \pm 0.6 ^a	66.6 \pm 1.8 ^a	61.1 \pm 0.6 ^b

5 ^{a,b,c} The means in the same row with different superscripts differ (P<0.01).