

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Energy Sources for Laparoscopic Colorectal Surgery: Is One Better than the Others?

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1560982> since 2016-05-06T09:43:34Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1089/lap.2016.0076

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

Table 4. Thunderbeat: our experience and literature data.

	Our series	Milsom et al. [22]
Number of patients	25	30
Sex		
- Male	17 (68%)	15 (50%)
- Female	8 (32%)	15 (50%)
Age, years (range)	69.5 (66-72)	68.5 (21-86)
Indications		
Cancer	22 (88%)	30 (100%)
Diverticulitis	3 (12%)	-
Surgical procedure		
Left hemicolectomy	5 (20%)	18 (60%)
Right hemicolectomy	12 (48%)	12 (30%)
Anterior resection	8 (32%)	
Operative time, min (mean \pm SD)	115 \pm 22	163 \pm 86
Intraoperative complications	0 (0%)	0 (%)
Postoperative morbidity, N (%)	1 (4%)	1 (3.6%)
Postoperative mortality	0 (0%)	0 (%)
Length of hospital stay, days (range)	6 (4-9)	4 (3-10)

Data are shown as mean \pm standard deviation or as median (range).