Comparison of Two Mitotane Starting dose Regimens in Patients with Advanced Adrenocortical Carcinoma.

(Article begins on next page)

12 September 2024

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

COMPARISON OF TWO MITOTANE STARTING DOSE REGIMENS IN PATIENTS WITH ADVANCED ADRENOCORTICAL CARCINOMA.

Comparison of Two Mitotane Starting Dose Regimens in Patients With Advanced Adrenocortical Carcinoma

T. M. Kerkhofs, E. Baudin, M. Terzolo, B. Allolio, R. Chadarevian, H. H. Mueller, B. Skogseid, S. Leboulleux, F. Mantero, H. R. Haak, and M. Fassnacht

Department of Internal Medicine (T.M.K., H.R.H.), Máxima Medical Center, Eindhoven/Veldhoven, 5631 BM Eindhoven, The Netherlands; Department of Nuclear Medicine and Endocrine Tumors (E.B., S.L.), Institute Gustave-Roussy, University Paris-Sud, 94805 Villejuif, France; Department of Clinical and Biological Sciences (M.T.), University of Turin, 10043 Turin, Italy; Department of Internal Medicine I (B.A.), University Hospital, University of Würzburg, 97070 Würzburg, Germany; HRA-Pharma (R.C.), 75003 Paris, France; Institute for

Medical Informatics, Biometry, and Epidemiology (H.H.M.), University of Munich, 81377 Munich, Germany; Department of Medical Sciences (B.S.), Uppsala University, SE-751 05 Uppsala, Sweden; Department of Internal Medicine (F.M.), University of Padua, 35122 Padua, Italy; Comprehensive Cancer Center Mainfranken (M.F.), University of Würzburg, 97252 Würzburg, Germany; and Department of Internal Medicine (M.F.), Medizinische Klinik und Poliklinik IV, Klinikum der Universität München, 80337 Munich, Germany

Context: Mitotane is the only approved drug for treatment of adrenocortical carcinoma. Its pharmacokinetic properties are not fully elucidated and different dosing regimens have never been compared head to head.

Objective: The objective of the study was to investigate the relationship between mitotane dose and plasma concentration comparing two dosing regimens.

Design/Setting: This was a prospective, open-label, multicenter trial of a predefined duration of 12 weeks.

Patients/Interventions: Forty mitotane-naïve patients with metastatic adrenocortical carcinoma were assigned to a predefined low- or high-dose regimen by the local investigator. Thirty-two patients could be evaluated in detail.

Main Outcome Measure: The difference in median mitotane plasma levels between both treatment groups was measured.

Results: Despite a difference in mean cumulative dose (440 _ 142 g vs 272 _ 121 g), median maximum plasma levels were not significantly different between the two groups [high dose 14.3 mg/L (range 6.3–29.7, n _ 20) vs 11.3 mg/L (range 5.5–20.0, n _ 12), P _ .235]. Ten of 20 patients onthe high-dose regimen reached plasma concentrations of 14 mg/L or greater after 46 days (range 18–81 d) compared with 4 of 12 patients on the low-dose regimen after 55 days (range 46–74 d, P _ .286). All patients who reached 14 mg/L at 12 weeks displayed a level of 4.1 mg/L or greater on day 33 (100% sensitivity). There were no significant differences in frequency and severity of adverse events. Among patients not receiving concomitant chemotherapy mitotane exposure was higher in the high-dose group: 1013 _ 494 mg/L _ d vs 555 _ 168 mg/L _ d (P _ .080).

Conclusions: The high-dose starting regimen resulted in neither significantly different mitotane levels nor a different rate of adverse events, but concomitant chemotherapy influenced these results. Thus, for mitotane monotherapy the high-dose approach is favorable, whereas for combination therapy a lower dose seems reasonable.

Current medical treatment of advanced adrenocortical carcinoma (ACC) is based on mitotane given either as monotherapy or combined with cytotoxic chemotherapy (1, 2). Mitotane is registered in the United States since 1970 for the treatment of advanced ACC and was in 2004 also authorized by the European Medicines Agency. Despite this long history of use, its mechanism of action and pharmacokinetic properties are not fully elucidated (3). There is evidence of a correlation between mitotane plasma levels and antineoplastic efficacy. Studies have demonstrated an objective response rate of 55%–66% in patients whose plasma levels were above 14 mg/L, whereas lower levels were associated with lack of efficacy (4–6). The concept that mitotane levels predict treatment response has been confirmed in a large multicenter study (7). Accordingly, monitoring plasma levels is considered to be as standard of care.

Information on mitotane pharmacokinetics primarily originates from a study performed in 1960 (8). It appears that 35%–40% of the drug is absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract and is stored primarily in adipose tissues. This may explain the time lag of 4 weeks to several months necessary for reaching target plasma levels (9 –12). Thus, higher starting doses have been proposed to earlier reach the therapeutic window (13). In addition, some groups suggested that mitotane plasma level after 2–4 weeks might predict whether the patient reaches the target level within a short time, justifying monotherapy in these patients (2, 13).

Metabolism of mitotane results in the formation of two metabolites, 2,4-dichlorodiphenyl acetic acid (DDA) and

1,1-(o,p_-dichlorodiphenyl)-2,2-dichloroethene (DDE), of whichDDAhas been identified as the major circulating and excreted metabolite (14). A recent retrospective study in a group of 91 patients with ACC demonstrated that DDA plasma levels greater than 92 mg/L may be associated with tumor response (7).

Tolerability is a matter of concern because adverse effects occur frequently and are also related in part to drug levels. However, the number of patients who discontinue therapy can be minimized by regular counseling about the management of adverse effects, careful adjustment of hormone replacement therapies, and tailoring of mitotane dosage based on plasma levels and side effects (15).

The recently completed first randomized trial in advanced ACC [First International Randomized Trial in Locally Advanced and Metastatic Adrenocortical Carcinoma Treatment (FIRM-ACT)] compared two chemotherapy regimens and established etoposide, doxorubicin, and cisplatin (EDP) plus mitotane as first-line cytotoxic chemotherapy for patients with ACC (16). The present study was nested within FIRM-ACT with the aim to better understand the relationship between mitotane dose (daily and cumulative) and plasma concentrations by comparing two predefined starting regimens (high dose and low dose). Secondary objectives were to evaluate the safety of mitotane and its impact on various hormonal parameters. Time to reach a mitotane plasma level of 14 mg/L was determined as a post hoc end point. In addition, we examined whether mitotane levels assessed early in therapy were predictive for reaching 14 mg/L within 12 weeks. This is the first prospective multicenter study aimed at

improving knowledge on the pharmacokinetic properties
of mitotane.

Materials and Methods

Patients

The main eligibility criteria were histologically confirmed locally
advanced or metastatic adrenocortical carcinoma not amenable
to radical surgical resection, radiologically monitorable
disease, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance
status 0–2, life expectancy longer than 3 months, age 18
years or older, adequate hematological and biochemical function,
effective contraception, written informed consent, and the
ability to comply with study procedures. Exclusion criteria were
previous treatment with mitotane, cytotoxic chemotherapy or
experimental drugs for ACC, history of prior malignancy, renal
or hepatic insufficiency, pregnancy, breast-feeding, presence of
active infection, decompensated heart failure, myocardial infarction,
or revascularization procedure in the last 6 months. The
protocol was approved by the institutional ethics committees
and all patients gave informed consent.

Study design and treatment

The study was an international prospective, open-label, multicenter
study. Treatment with mitotane was initiated at least 2
weeks prior to the start of cytotoxic chemotherapy. Assignment
to one of two dosing regimens was at the discretion of the local
investigator without detailed inclusion criteria. A detailed summary
of both regimens is provided in Table 1. Mitotane was
taken orally in three daily doses with food. The predefined study
duration for each patient was 12 weeks.

Measurement of mitotane and metabolites

Mitotane plasma level was assessed weekly during the first 8
weeks and then at weeks 10 and 12. Sampling was performed in

the morning at least 12 hours after the last dose. Quantitative analyses of mitotane, DDA, and DDE were performed by Parexel (Bloemfontein, South Africa) using HPLC (17).

Safety assessments

Adverse events (AEs) were monitored throughout the study and assessed with the use of the National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria (NCI-CTC) for Adverse Events version 2.0. Dosing of iv chemotherapy in case of hematological, renal, and neurological toxicity was done according to the study protocol. Mitotane dose was adjusted in case of grade 3/4 gastrointestinal AE and/or grade 2–4 central nervous system (CNS) AE (Table 1). In case of greater than grade 3 nonhematological toxicity (except alopecia) both mitotane and chemotherapy were temporarily discontinued. Liver enzymes [serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase, serum glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase, _-glutamyltransferase (GGT)] were analyzed at baseline, week 4, and week 12.

Endocrine work-up

All hormone analyses were performed using validated assay methods by Bicêtre Hospital (Department of Endocrinology, Paris, France). TSH, free FT_3 (FT3), free T_4 (FT4), T_4-binding globulin (TBG), and SHBG (or sex hormone binding protein) were measured at baseline and at weeks 4 and 12. Total and free T, FSH, and LH were measured before treatment and at week 12.

Statistical analysis

Only limited data were available on inter- and intrasubject variability of mitotane pharmacokinetics, precluding a classical sample size calculation. It was estimated that it would be necessary to recruit eight patients per subgroup (high/low dose, EDP/streptozotocin chemotherapy) to investigate differences between both dosing regimens and to evaluate safety. Assuming some dropouts, the study aimed at an inclusion of 40 patients.

The following pharmacokinetic parameters were calculated per protocol: plasma concentration before morning dose; highest plasma concentration observed (C_{max}); time to reach C_{max}; and area under the concentration-time curve (AUC_{0-t}). The post hoc end point median time to reach a mitotane plasma level of 14 mg/L was measured in days among patients in both regimens. The predictive value of early mitotane levels was examined using receiver-operating characteristic analyses. Data on thyroid and sex hormones are displayed according to mitotane plasma level. Adverse events are displayed according to severity and dosing regimen. Results are expressed as mean _ SD or median and range as indicated. Data were analyzed by the Biostatistics Unit of BIOTRIAL.

Results

Patient characteristics

Forty patients were enrolled in the study between 2004 and 2009. There were 19 men (48%) and 21 women (52%), mean age was 51 years, and mean body mass index was 25.3 kg/m2. Table 2 displays demographic data according to the subgroups. Because all participants had to sign informed consent for the FIRM-ACT study at inclusion, the intention was to administer chemotherapy to all participants. However, 17 patients were not randomized in FIRM-ACT and did not receive chemotherapy during this substudy due to indolent disease (n _ 8), rapid progression of disease (n _ 3), patient's refusal (n _ 2), early death (n _ 2), revision of tumor staging (n _ 1), or violation of inclusion criteria (n _ 1).

As stated in the study protocol, all 40 patients were included in safety analyses. Eight patients were excluded from the per-protocol analysis of pharmacokinetics: three

patients died after 19, 21, and 24 days due to disease progression (n _ 2) and pulmonary embolism (PE) (n _ 1), respectively; in two patients protocol deviations resulted in missing at least three consecutive pharmacokinetic measurements, two patients did not respect the inclusion criteria and were rapidly excluded (after 4 and 6 d of treatment, respectively), and one patient refused to continue mitotane after 14 days (Figure 1).

From the remaining 32 patients, four patients were excluded from analyses concerning cumulative doses because they discontinued the study before the projected end point at week 12: one patient died after 39 days, one patient had severe CNS disorders (d 68), and two patients failed to comply with the protocol (d 65 and 71).

Mitotane cumulative dosage and plasma concentrations

Mean cumulative doses over the treatment period were substantially higher in the high-dose group (440 _ 142 g) compared with the low-dose group (272 _ 121 g). Ten of 20 patients on the high-dose regimen (50%) reached plasma concentrations of 14 mg/L or greater compared with 4 of 12 patients on the low-dose regimen (33%). In the high-dose group, this level was reached after a median of 46 days (range 18–81 d) compared with 55 days (range 46–74 d, P _ .286) in the low-dose group. Receiver-operating characteristic curves identified a plasma level of 6.0 mg/L on day 33 as the best compromise between sensitivity (86%) and specificity (61%) to predict attainment of the therapeutic level within 12 weeks. All patients who reached 14 mg/L displayed a level of 4.1 mg/L or greater on day 33 (100% sensitivity).

Mean cumulative dose needed to reach 14 mg/L was 291 _ 126 g (high dose) vs 137 _ 39 g (low dose, P _ .020). Median C_{max} was 14.3 mg/L (range 6.3–29.7 mg/L) in the high-dose group (n _ 20) and 11.3 mg/L (range 5.5–20.0 mg/L) in the low-dose group (n_12, P_.235). It should be noted that mitotane plasma levels in most patients were still rising and no plateau was reached within 12 weeks (Figure 2A). At the last visit in week 12, the median plasma level was 14.2 mg/L (range 2.1–29.7 mg/L) in the high-dose group and 10.6 mg/L (range 2.3–18.1 mg/L) in the low-dose group (P _ .120). Mitotane exposure was higher in the high-dose group but did not reach statistical significance ($AUC_{0-12\ weeks}$ 790 _ 444 mg/L _ d vs 543 _ 215 mg/L _ d, P _ .171).

Metabolites of mitotane

Median DDA C_{max} was 99.7 _g/mL (range 23.2–185.0_g/mL) in the high-dose group (n _ 20) and 88.0 _g/mL (range 35.4–102.0 _g/mL) in the low-dose group (n _ 12, Figure 2B).

Twelve of 20 high-dose patients (60%) reached DDA levels above 92 mg/L on at least one occasion compared with 3 of 12 in the low-dose regimen (25%) (P _ .076). Median time to reach a DDA level of 92 mg/L was 11 days after the start of treatment (high dose, n _ 12) vs 46 days (low dose, n _ 3). Of 15 patients reaching DDA plasma levels greater

than 92 mg/L, nine (60%) also had

mitotane plasma levels above 14

mg/L, eight ofwhomwere treated according

to the high-dose schedule.

Plasma concentrations of DDE

were below the limit of quantification

on most occasions. Nine patients

on the high-dose regimen and

two on the low-dose regimen presented

measurable DDE values. In

week 12, mean DDE plasma concentration

among the high-dose patients

was 0.67 _ 0.17 mg/L and 0.68 _

0.15 mg/L in the low-dose group.

Effect of concomitant cytotoxic

chemotherapy on mitotane

plasma level

The mean cumulative mitotane dose in patients receiving

chemotherapy in addition to mitotane (n _ 19) was

comparable with the dose administered to 13 patientswho

did not receive cytotoxic chemotherapy (358 _ 143 g vs

389_132 g; P_.574). Within the chemotherapy group,

patients on the high-dose regimen had a median plasma

level in week 12 of 9.2 mg/L (range 2.1–27.1 mg/L, n _

12), and four patients reached plasma levels of 14 mg/L or

higher. The median plasma level was not significantly different

from patients on the low-dose regimen [10.8 mg/L

(range 2.3–18.1 mg/L), n _ 7], of whom two patients

reached the threshold of 14 mg/L.

Among patients not receiving chemotherapy, higher

median plasma levels were observed in the high-dose

group: 18.3 mg/L (range 0.3–29.7 mg/L, n_8) compared

with 10.3 mg/L (range 7.4–15.7 mg/L, n _ 5) in the lowdose

group, but results were not statistically significant.

Figure 2. Median mitotane (A) and DDA (B) plasma concentration throughout the study in both

dosing regimens.

The observation was reflected by a largerAUC$_{0–12}$ in this subgroup:

1013_494 mg/L _dvs555_168 mg/L _ d (P_.081).

Six of eight patients from the high-dose group reached

a plasma level of 14 mg/L; in the low-dose group, this was

reached by two of five patients.

Safety and toxicity

All 40 patients were evaluated for safety. A total of 200

AEs was reported, most of them were NCI-CTC grade 1

or 2 (n _ 166, Table 3). The remaining AEs were grade 3

(n_28) or grade 4 (n_6). The rate of AE per patient was

similar in both groups (5.0 vs 5.2 AE/patient).

Almost half of all AEs (n_96, 48%) were related to the

gastrointestinal system, mostly nausea (n _ 30), diarrhea

(n _ 23), and vomiting (n _ 15). One grade 4 event was

reported: gastrointestinal bleeding. The rate of gastrointestinal

AEs per patient was slightly higher in the low-dose

group (2.8 AE/patient vs 2.1 AE/patient).

There were eighteen AEs (9%) related to general disorders.

General discomfort NCI-CTC grade 1 (n _ 6),

asthenia (n _ 4), and fatigue (n _ 4) were most common

events in this category. Most events were reported among

patients on the high-dose regimen (n _ 16).

Fourteen AEs were related to metabolism and nutrition

(7%); 10 occurred in the high-dose group. There were two

grade 4 AEs: these were episodes of hypomagnesaemia

and hypokalemia, both probably related to concomitant

cytotoxic treatment with EDP. There were four events in the low-dose group, all hypercholesterolemia grade 2 or less.

Eighteen events were related to disorders of the nervous system (9%). Vertigo (n _ 6) and dizziness (n _ 5) were mostcommon.Regarding psychiatric AEs, there were four reports of anxiety attacks and two reports of insomnia. Depression and hallucination were both reported once.

Changes in hepatic enzymes were seen frequently. After 12 weeks, the mean level of GGT was 361 _ 248 IU/L compared with 123 _ 191 IU/L at baseline. All patients had elevated GGT levels, with 89 IU/L being the lowest level. The mean level of serum glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase in week 12 was 46_24 IU/L, and the mean serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase was 52 _ 36 IU/L.

Four patients died during the study. Two patients died due to disease progression, one patient died after PE, and one patient died after severe cardiac failure, but these events were not considered drug related.

Hormonal parameters

Mean TSH did not change significantly over the course of this 12-week study, both in patients with high and low mitotane plasma levels (Table 4). In patients who did not reach a mitotane level of 14 mg/L (n_14), mean FT4 level in week 12 was 5.7 _ 4.5 pmol/L lower compared with baseline. The decrease was greater in patients with mitotane plasma levels greater than 14 mg/L: 7.7_3.2 pmol/L (n _ 11). Three patients already were on thyroid replacement therapy prior to the study. In three other patients, this was started during the study: two in the high-dose regimen and one in the low-dose regimen.

Mean TBG levels increased during the trial. Among patients who were evaluated in week 12, a mean increase of 5.1 _ 8.8 IU/mL was observed in those with mitotane levels below 14 mg/L (n _ 14). A mean increase of 7.2 _ 7.5 IU/mL was seen in patients with mitotane levels greater than 14 mg/L (n _ 11).

Analysis of sex hormones in males evaluated at week 12 showed a mean increase in LH level in week 12 of 4.5 _ 3.8 IU/L for those with mitotane levels below 14 mg/L (n_ 5). In patients with mitotane levels greater than 14 mg/L, the mean increase was 5.7 _ 3.8 IU/L (n _ 6).

The mean level of free T in males tended to decrease during the study, whereas the mean level of totalTin week 12 was not different from baseline. Mean SHBG levels were significantly higher in week 12 compared with baseline.

In females, the mean concentrations of FSH and LH in week 12 compared with baseline show variable results (not shown). Total and free T remained stable in female patients, whereas the mean concentration of SHBG was significantly increased.

Discussion

This is the largest prospective study on the pharmacokinetic properties of mitotane published so far. Despite the expected difference in cumulative dose at12weeks, neither the plasma concentrations of mitotane nor the time to reach a therapeutic level differed significantly between the two starting dose regimens. Area under the mitotane plasma concentration/ time curvewaslarger in the high-dose starting group, but this was not statistically significant. Also, the proportion of patients achieving the target plasma concentration within 12

weeks was higher in the high-dose group (10 of 20 vs 4 of 12,

respectively). The beneficial effect of the high-dose schedule

on mitotane exposure was stronger in a subgroup of patients

not receiving chemotherapy.

Reaching the target concentration of 14 mg/L or greater

is a major goal during mitotane treatment and has been associated

with better response both in advanced diseaseandin

adjuvantsetting (4–6, 18).Consequently,itseemsimportant

to reach such concentrations as early as possible to rapidly

establish antiproliferative efficacy, although this reasoning is

not underpinned by clinical data.

In a previous study with 13 patients taking mitotane as

first-line therapy, a correlation was observed between

plasma levels and cumulative dose of mitotane (4). This sug-

gests dose proportionality, which means that drug elimination

is independent of the concentration present in the body

(zero order reaction) rather than dependent (first order reaction).

Therefore,wespeculate that in clinical practice elimination

of mitotane proceeds at a constant rate independent

of the plasma concentration. This is supported by the stable

levels ofDDAthat were observed after 4 weeks of treatment

in both groups. Consequently, administration of higher

doses should result in higher plasma levels in a shorter period

of time. Because steady-state levels of mitotane had not been

reached at week 12, it is tempting to speculate that a longer

study duration would have demonstrated that high-dose mitotane

reaches steady-state conditions earlier.

Our data confirm previous observations that the increase

in plasma concentrations is in general slow and highly variable.

Obviously this behavior cannot be sufficiently explained

by differences in dosing only, indicating that other

factors contribute to this observation. Potential explanations

are related to effects on enzyme induction by concomitant

drugs and differences in intestinal absorption, which may

also be affected by ingested food. Also, recent data show that

individual genetic differences are significantly associated

with mitotane levels (19) like this is known for other drugs

(20, 21). In the absence of baseline predictors, early measurements

constitute the only signal whether a given patient

hasahigh probability of reaching the therapeutic level in time

(13).

The observation that the high-dose schedule results in

higher exposure in patients not receiving concomitant chemotherapy

may be explained by multiple factors. It is possible

that patients do not tolerate high mitotane doses in

addition to chemotherapy or that physicians anticipate on

the addition of chemotherapy by lowering the mitotane dosage.

However, cumulative doses were not different among

these subgroups. Of note, treatment compliance could be a

limitation in this respect. Also, the administration of cytotoxic

chemotherapy could result in lower plasma levels due

to drug interactions or impaired intestinal uptake (22).

No significant difference was detected between the two

groups regarding the frequency and severity of adverse

events. This suggests that actual dosage is not the key determinant

of adverse effects. Of note, we did not observe any

(significant) correlation between adverse events and mitotane

plasma level. However, it has to be acknowledged that

18 patients (45%) never reached a plasma level above 14

mg/L, and only six patients had measurements above 20

mg/L. Nevertheless, in our personal experience,AEscan usually

be managed by adequate support, even when given in

parallel to cytotoxic chemotherapy. An increase of GGT is well known and appeared in 100% of our patients. Observations in patients treated adjuvantly demonstrated that this is reversible (15, 23).

Mitotane can induce hormonal effects soon after the onset of treatment. After 12 weeks a significant decrease in FT4 was detectable with a trend for a negative correlation between mitotane and FT4 levels. TSH and FT3 remained unchanged or were increased. It is known from previous research that mitotane use can lead to biochemical findings mimicking central hypothyroidism, ie, low FT4 with approximately normal FT3 and TSH levels (15). Previously proposed explanations are a direct effect on the pituitary level, the induction of thyroid hormone metabolism, an increase in TBG levels, or changes in the thyroid hormone receptor's affinity for FT4 (22, 24, 25). Also, interference with FT3, FT4, and/or TSH assays cannot be excluded.

Data on the influence of mitotane on sex hormones are scarce. In vitro studies showed that mitotane increases the synthesis of steroid binding protein (26, 27). In our study, SHBG was markedly increased, whereas in males free T was decreased and LH was increased. Mitotane has been shown to bind the human estrogen receptor-_ with weak affinity, approximately 1000-fold weaker than that of estradiol itself (28). Although the concentration of mitotane in plasma is about 5.0_10_5 times higher than estradiol levels in men, the presence of increased levels of LH makes a strong estrogenic effect of mitotane at the pituitary level not likely. A recent study demonstrated significantly decreased levels of dihydrotestosterone in mitotane-treated patients, which might be the reason for the increase of gonadotrophins (29). Another

possibility is mitotane-induced inhibition of T secretion, set

off at least partially by an increase inSHBGand by decreased

production (30).

We acknowledge the limitations of our study. First, patients

were not randomized to a dosing regimen, which could

have introduced bias. However, due to theembedmentof the

study within the FIRM-ACT protocol, a second randomization

was judged to be not feasible. Second, protocol deviations

produced missing data, which made the study underpowered

in several analyses. Furthermore, we acknowledge

that more patients in the high-dose group had to be excluded

from the pharmacokinetic analyses. However, as mentioned

in Results, the reasons for exclusion are unlikely treatment

related, and therefore, we do not expect that this fact influenced

the conclusions.Afourth limitation is that steady-state

levels of mitotane were not reached in all patients. However,

due to the aggressiveness of the disease, a longer, comprehensive

pharmacokinetic study would be very challenging

for patients and investigators. Finally, the study was underpowered

to assess differences in subgroups.

In conclusion, the high-dose starting regimen led to higher

mitotane plasma levels within 12 weeks of treatment, and

more patients reached the target level of 14 mg/L. Observed

differences were greater in the subgroup of patients who did

not receive concomitant cytotoxic chemotherapy, but the

results were not statistically significant due to lack of power.

The rate of AEs was similar between both groups, leading us

to conclude that the high-dose approach is the preferred

strategy in patients with mitotane monotherapy. However,

in patients with reduced tolerability (eg, due to concomitant

cytotoxic chemotherapy), a less aggressive regimen might be

reasonable.

Acknowledgments

References

1. Baudin E, Leboulleux S, Al Ghuzlan A, et al. Therapeutic management of advanced adrenocortical carcinoma: What do we know in 2011? Horm Cancer. 2011;2(6):363–371.

2. Fassnacht M, Libe R, Kroiss M, Allolio B. Adrenocortical carcinoma: a clinician's update. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2011;7(6):323–335.

3. Hahner S, Fassnacht M. Mitotane for adrenocortical carcinoma treatment. Curr Opin Investig Drugs. 2005;6(4):386–394.

4. Baudin E, Pellegriti G, Bonnay M, et al. Impact of monitoring plasma 1,1-dichlorodiphenildichloroethane (o,p_DDD)levels on the treatment of patients with adrenocortical carcinoma. Cancer. 2001; 92(6):1385–1392.

5. Haak HR, Hermans J, van de Velde CJ, et al. Optimal treatment of adrenocortical carcinoma with mitotane: results in a consecutive series of 96 patients. Br J Cancer. 1994;69(5):947–951.

6. van Slooten H, Moolenaar AJ, van Seters AP, Smeenk D. The treatment of adrenocortical carcinoma with o,p_-DDD: prognostic implications of serum level monitoring. Eur J Cancer Clin Oncol. 1984; 20(1):47–53.

7. Hermsen IG, Fassnacht M, Terz. Plasma concentrations of o,p_DDD, o,p_DDA, and o,p_DDE as predictors of tumor response to mitotane in adrenocortical carcinoma: results of a retrospective ENS@T multicenter study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 201196(6): 1844–1851.

8. Moy RH. Studies of the pharmacology of o,p_DDD in man. J Lab

Clin Med. 1961;58:296–304.

9. Terzolo M, Pia A, Berruti A, et al. Low-dose monitored mitotane

treatment achieves the therapeutic range with manageable side effects

in patients with adrenocortical cancer. J Clin Endocrinol

Metab. 2000;85(6):2234–2238.

10. Faggiano A, Leboulleux S, Young J, Schlumberger M, Baudin E.

Rapidly progressing high o,p_DDD doses shorten the time required

to reach the therapeutic threshold with an acceptable tolerance:

preliminary results. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf). 2006;64(1):110–113.

11. Cai W, Benitez R, Counsell RE, et al. Bovine adrenal cortex transformations

of mitotane [1-(2-chlorophenyl)-1-(4-chlorophenyl)-

2,2-dichloroethane; o,p_-DDD] and its p,p_- and m,p_-isomers.

Biochem Pharmacol. 1995;49(10):1483–1489.

12. Andersen A, Kasperlik-Zaluska AA, Warren DJ. Determination of

mitotane (o,p-DDD) and its metabolites o,p-DDA and o,p-DDE in

plasma by high-performance liquid chromatography. Ther Drug

Monit. 1999;21(3):355–359.

13. Mauclere-Denost S, Leboulleux S, Borget I, et al. High-dose mitotane

strategy in adrenocortical carcinoma: prospective analysis of

plasma mitotane measurement during the first 3 months of followup.

Eur J Endocrinol. 2012;166(2):261–268.

14. Schteingart DE, Doherty GM, Gauger PG, et al. Management of

patients with adrenal cancer: recommendations of an international

consensus conference. Endocr Relat Cancer. 2005;12(3):667–680.

15. Daffara F, De Francia S, Reimondo G, et al. Prospective evaluation

of mitotane toxicity in adrenocortical cancer patients treated adjuvantly.

Endocr Relat Cancer. 2008;15(4):1043–1053.

16. Fassnacht M, Terzolo M, Allolio B, et al. Combination chemotherapy

in advanced adrenocortical carcinoma. N Engl J Med. 2012;

366(23):2189–2197.

17. Hermsen IG, den Hartigh J, Haak HR. Mitotane serum level analysis;

good agreement between two different assays. Clin Endocrinol

(Oxf). 2010;73(2):271–272.

18. Wangberg B, Khorram-Manesh A, Jansson S, et al. The long-term

survival in adrenocortical carcinoma with active surgical management and use of monitored mitotane. Endocr Relat Cancer. 2010; 17(1):265–272.

19. D'Avolio A, De Francia S, Basile V, et al. Influence of the CYP2B6 polymorphism on the pharmacokinetics of mitotane. Pharmacogenet Genomics. 2013;23(6):293–300.

20. Chouchana L, Narjoz C, Beaune P, Loriot MA, Roblin X. Review article: the benefits of pharmacogenetics for improving thiopurine therapy in inflammatory bowel disease. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2012;35(1):15–36.

21. Fung E, Patsopoulos NA, Belknap SM, et al. Effect of genetic variants, especially CYP2C9 and VKORC1, on the pharmacology of warfarin. Semin Thromb Hemost. 2012;38(8):893–904.

22. Kroiss M, Quinkler M, Lutz WK, Allolio B, Fassnacht M. Drug interactions with mitotane by induction of CYP3A4 metabolism in the clinical management of adrenocortical carcinoma. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf). 2011;75(5):585–591.

23. Fassnacht M, Allolio B. Clinical management of adrenocortical carcinoma. Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2009;23(2):273–289.

24. Zatelli MC, Gentilin E, Daffara F, et al. Therapeutic concentrations of mitotane (o,p_-DDD) inhibit thyrotroph cell viability and TSH expression and secretion in a mouse cell line model. Endocrinology. 2010;151(6):2453–2461.

25. van Erp NP, Guchelaar HJ, Ploeger BA, Romijn JA, Hartigh J, Gelderblom H. Mitotane has a strong and a durable inducing effect on CYP3A4 activity. Eur J Endocrinol. 2011;164(4):621–626.

26. Nader N, Raverot G, Emptoz-Bonneton A, et al. Mitotane has an estrogenic effect on sex hormone-binding globulin and corticosteroid-binding globulin in humans. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2006; 91(6):2165–2170.

27. Pugeat M, Nader N, Hogeveen K, Raverot G, Dechaud H, Grenot C. Sex hormone-binding globulin gene expression in the liver: drugs and the metabolic syndrome. Mol Cell Endocrinol. 2010;316(1):53–59.

28. Chen CW, Hurd C, Vorojeikina DP, Arnold SF, Notides AC. Transcriptional activation of the human estrogen receptor by DDT isomers and metabolites in yeast andMCF-7cells. Biochem Pharmacol. 1997;53(8):1161–1172.

29. Chortis V, Taylor AE, Schneider P, et al. Mitotane therapy in adrenocortical cancer induces CYP3A4 and inhibits 5_-reductase, explaining the need for personalized glucocorticoid and androgen replacement. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2013;98(1):161–171.

30. Sparagana M. Primary hypogonadism associated with o,p_DDD (mitotane) therapy. J Toxicol Clin Toxicol. 1987;25(6):463–472.