

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Candida pruni sp. nov. is a new yeast species with antagonistic potential against brown rot of peaches.

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/157907> since 2017-05-11T22:56:23Z

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the author's final version of the contribution published as:

Zhang D.-P.; Lu C.-G.; Zhang T.-T.; Spadaro D.; Liu D.-W.; Liu W.-C..
Candida pruni sp. nov. is a new yeast species with antagonistic potential
against brown rot of peaches.. ARCHIVES OF MICROBIOLOGY. 196 (7)
pp: 525-530.

When citing, please refer to the published version.

Link to this full text:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2318/157907>

1 ***Candida pruni* sp. nov. is a new yeast species with antagonistic potential against brown rot of peaches**

2 Dian-peng Zhang^{1*}, Cai-ge Lu¹, Tao-tao Zhang¹, Davide Spadaro², De-wen Liu¹, Wei-cheng Liu^{1*}

3 ¹Institute of Plant and Environment Protection, Beijing Academy of Agriculture and Forestry Sciences,

4 Beijing 100097, China;

5 ²DiSAFA –Dept. Agricultural, Forestry and Food Sciences, University of Torino, via L. da Vinci 44,

6 I-10095 Grugliasco (TO), Italy.

7 *Corresponding author. Tel.: +86-010-51503337; Fax: +86-010-51503899.

8 E-mail address: dreamstar26@126.com

9

10 Running title: ***Candida pruni* sp. nov., a new biocontrol species**

11

12 **Abstract**

13 Brown rot caused by *Monilinia* spp. is among the most important postharvest diseases of commercially
14 grown stone fruits, and application of antagonistic yeasts to control brown rot is one promising strategy
15 alternative to chemical fungicides. In this research, new yeast strains were isolated and tested for their
16 activity against peach brown rot caused by *Monilinia fructicola*. Three yeast strains were originally
17 isolated from the surface of plums (cv Chinese Angelino) collected in different organic orchards in the
18 North of China. In artificially-wounded inoculation tests, the yeast at 1×10^8 CFU mL⁻¹ reduced the brown
19 rot incidence to 20%. The population of the yeast within inoculated wounds on peaches increased at 25°C
20 from an initial level of 5.0×10^6 CFU to 4.45×10^7 CFU per wound after 1 day. The maximum yeast
21 population (9.5×10^7 CFU per wound) was observed 4 days after inoculation. The antagonistic strains were
22 belonging to a new species of the genus *Candida* by sequence comparisons of 26 S rDNA D1/D2 domain
23 and internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region. The strains are most closely related to *C. asparagi* (sequence
24 similarity: 95.0%), *C. musae* (sequence similarity: 96.0%) and *C. fructus* (sequence similarity: 96.0%) on
25 the basis of the phylogenetic trees based on the D1/D2 region of 26S rDNA. However, the strains are
26 notably different from *C. asparagi*, *C. musae* and *C. fructus*, in morphological and physiological
27 characteristics. Therefore, the name *Candida pruni* is proposed for the novel species, with sp-Quan
28 (=CBS12814^T=KCTC 27526^T=GCMC 6582^T) as the type strain. Our study showed that *Candida pruni* is a
29 novel yeast species with potential biocontrol against brown rot caused by *M. fructicola* on peaches.

30

31 **Introduction**

32 Brown rot caused by *Monilinia* spp. is among the most important postharvest diseases of commercially
33 grown stone fruits. The most common species isolated from brown rot-infected peaches and nectarines in
34 China, as well as in other countries, is *M. fructicola* (Tian and Bertolini, 1999; Pellegrino *et al.*, 2009),
35 which causes severe losses during the postharvest storage. When permitted, applying synthetic fungicides
36 is the best method to control the post-harvest diseases on fruits. However, consumers are increasingly
37 concerned about safety, and prefer food free of pesticide residues, toxins and harmful microorganisms
38 (Spadaro and Gullino, 2004). Moreover, many fungal strains are developing resistance to widely-used
39 synthetic fungicides (Conway *et al.*, 2004) and more effective fungicides are no longer re-registered
40 (Ragsdale, 2000). Therefore, new alternative strategies need to be developed. Biological control using
41 microbial antagonists has emerged as one of the most promising alternatives to fungicides in controlling
42 postharvest diseases (Zhang *et al.* 2010a). Some bacteria, actinomycetes and yeasts have shown to be
43 effective against postharvest diseases of fruits and vegetables (Macagan *et al.*, 2008; Zhang *et al.*, 2010b).
44 Moreover, some filamentous fungi have been identified as biocontrol agents of postharvest brown rot in
45 stone fruit such as *Epicoccum nigrum* (Melgarejo *et al.*, 1986; Larena *et al.*, 2005; De Cal *et al.*, 2009) and
46 *Penicillium frequentans* (Guijarro *et al.*, 2007a; 2007b). Among these microbial antagonists, yeasts that
47 occur naturally on fruits and vegetables have drawn the attention as potential antagonists of postharvest
48 diseases due to their fast colonization on fruit surface and their minimal negative effect on the environment
49 (Droby *et al.*, 1997; Ippolito *et al.*, 2000; Kurtzman and Droby, 2001; Karabulut and Baykal, 2003). In
50 pursuit of antagonistic yeasts capable of controlling postharvest pathogens on fruits, a new *Candida*
51 species was discovered from the plums collected in orchards in North China. The objective of this study is
52 to describe a new antagonistic yeast species belonging to the genus *Candida* and to evaluate the efficacy of

75 the yeast to control peach brown rot.

76

77 **Materials and methods**

78 **Microorganisms and culture conditions**

79 Ten plums cv were collected from three different organic orchards located in North China. The plums were

Commen

80 suspended in a 300 mL conical flask containing 100 mL of sterile deionized water and then incubated at

81 27°C for 20 min on a rotary shaker at 150 rpm. One hundred microliters of the suspension was aliquoted on

82 YPDA plate (20 g L⁻¹ dextro-glucose, 20 g L⁻¹ peptone casein, 10 g L⁻¹ yeast extract, 20 g L⁻¹ agar)

83 supplemented with 100 mg L⁻¹ of streptomycin sulphate, and the plates were subsequently incubated at

84 30°C for 48 h. The cultures were purified twice by streaking on YPDA plates. The three strains, sp-Quan,

85 YG1, and GR1 were isolated respectively from plums coming from Beijing, Hebei, and Tianjing province.

Commen

86 Two strains (GenBank accession numbers: KJ131183 and KJ131184) of *Monilinia fructicola* (G.Winter)

87 Honey were isolated from rotted peaches and selected for their virulence by inoculation in artificially

88 wounded peaches. They were used as a mixture (each strain accounted for 1/2 of the total final

89 concentration) throughout this work, to ensure a high level of disease. Each strain was stored in slants on

90 Potato Dextrose Agar (39 g L⁻¹; PDA; Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) with 50 mg L⁻¹ of streptomycin

91 (Merck) at 4°C. Spore suspensions were prepared by growing the isolates on Petri dishes at 25°C for 7 days

92 on Peach Agar [PA; 500 mL L⁻¹ peach juice + 20 g L⁻¹ agar (Merck); pH 7.0] medium. *M. fructicola* spores

93 were collected and suspended in sterile Ringer solution (pH 6.9±0.1; Merck). After filtering through 8

94 layers of sterile cheese-cloth, spores were quantified with a Bürker chamber and brought to the final

95 concentration required.

96

119 **Antagonistic activity of yeast strains against peach brown rot**

120 In preliminary experiments, we found that three strains (sp-Quan, YG1, and GR1) grew faster than other
121 yeasts and could inhibit the growth of *M. fructicola* on PDA plates (data not shown). The strain sp-Quan
122 was selected for further testing the biocontrol activity against peach brown rot. To evaluate the activity of
123 the yeast in controlling brown rot on peaches, the experiments were conducted as described by Zhang et al.
124 (2010b) with small modifications. Peaches (cv Springcrest) harvested at commercial maturity were
125 surface-disinfected with 1% commercial sodium hypochlorite for 1 min, then rinsed with sterilized tap
126 water, and three artificial wounds (about 3 mm wide × 3mm deep) were made along the equatorial zone of
127 the peach. 30-μl aliquots of *M. fructicola* suspension at 1×10^5 conidia mL⁻¹ were pipetted into each wound,
128 and the fruits, after air-drying for 2 h at 25°C, were treated by pipetting 30 μl yeast suspension at 1×10^8
129 CFU mL⁻¹ into each wound. Fruits inoculated with only the pathogen and only the yeast served as
130 inoculated control and yeast control, respectively, and fruits treated with tebuconazole (Folicur, Bayer Crop
131 Science; a.i.: 25.0 %) were used as chemical control. All fruits were incubated at 25°C and 95% RH. Six
132 days after inoculation, the percentage of infected wounds was recorded and the diameter of lesions was
133 measured. There were three replicates of fifteen fruits per treatment, and the experiment was repeated
134 twice.

135

136 **Population studies of antagonistic yeast**

137 The experiments for population study were carried out according to the method by Viñas et al. (1998), with
138 small modifications. The peaches were wounded as described above. Thirty μL yeast cell suspension
139 (1×10^8 CFU mL⁻¹) was applied into each wound on fruits. All fruits were incubated at 25°C and 95% RH.
140 The yeast was recovered from wounds after incubation at 25°C for 0 (1 h after treatment), 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6

Comment
maybe a s

141 days, respectively. Wounded tissue was removed with sterilized 7 mm (internal diameter) cork borer and
142 ground with a autoclaved mortar and pestle in 50 mL of sterile 0.85 sodium chloride. Serial tenfold
143 dilutions were prepared and 0.1 mL each dilution was spread in NYDA. The plates were incubated at 28°C
144 for 48 h, and the colonies were counted and recorded. Population densities of the antagonistic yeast were
145 reported as log₁₀ CFU per wound. Three single fruit replicates were prepared per treatment, and the
146 experiments were repeated twice.

147

148 **Molecular identification, morphological and physiological characterization of strains**

149 The strains were identified by sequencing the internal transcribed spacer 1 (ITS1), 5.8S ribosomal RNA
150 gene, and internal transcribed spacer 2 (ITS2) according to White et al. (1990) and the D1/D2 domain at
151 the 5' end of the LSU rRNA gene according to Kurtzman and Robnett (1998). The DNA, coming from the
152 yeast strains grown in YPD for 48 h, was extracted as described by Lu *et al.* (2004). The sequences were
153 analysed by using the software BLASTn (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool; Altschul *et al.*, 1990) for
154 similarity.

155 Sequence data were first aligned with the program CLUSTAL_X (Thompson et al., 1997) and then
156 subjected to the program MEGA 4.10. A phylogenetic tree was constructed from evolutionary distance data
157 that were calculated with the neighbour-joining method (Saitou and Nei, 1987) using Kimura's
158 two-parameter distance measure (Kimura, 1980). Confidence limits of phylogenetic trees were estimated
159 from bootstrap analysis (1000 replications). Reference sequences were retrieved from GenBank under the
160 accession numbers indicated in the tree.

161 To characterize the strains morphologically and physiologically, the fermentation and assimilation tests and
162 procedures were performed as described by Yarrow (1998).

163

164 **Statistical analysis**

165 Data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SPSS (version 13.0) and statistical
166 significance was assessed at the level of $P<0.05$, and Duncan's multiple Range Test was used to separate
167 the means.

168

169 **Results and discussion**

170 **Antagonistic activity and population dynamics of the yeast**

171 At 6 days after inoculation, the percentage of infected wounds was recorded and the diameter of lesions
172 was measured. Compared with the inoculated control, the yeast sp-Quan reduced disease incidence from
173 100% to 27% (table 1). Moreover, compared with the inoculated control the yeast sp-Quan reduced brown
174 rot severity on peaches from 48 mm to 15 mm. The result indicated that, when applied at 1×10^8 CFU mL⁻¹,
175 the strain sp-Quan not only significantly reduced disease incidence but also significantly inhibited the
176 development of brown rot caused by *M. fructicola*, suggesting that the strain sp-Quan has the potential to
177 control brown rot on peach fruits.

178 The number of yeast colonies greatly increased within inoculated fruits incubated at 25°C and 95% RH
179 from an initial level of 5.0×10^6 CFU per wound to 4.45×10^7 CFU per wound after 1 day (Fig. 1). Two days
180 after inoculation, the yeast population was increased to 6.8×10^7 CFU per wound. The maximum population
181 (9.5×10^7 CFU per wound) of the yeast was observed on 4th day after inoculation. On 5th day after
182 inoculation, the population of the yeast was a bit lower than the maximum population, however, it still
183 remained at a rather high level (9.4×10^7 CFU per wound). This result indicated that the yeast had a strong
184 capability of colonizing the fruit surfaces, suggesting that nutrition and space competition may play an

185 important role in the biocontrol mechanisms of the yeast against pathogens.
186 Antagonistic activity can act through multiple ways, the most common being production of antimicrobial
187 metabolites, competition for nutrients and direct parasitism (Saravanakumar et al., 2009), but other
188 mechanisms can also be involved, such as induced resistance, sometimes associated with reduction of
189 pathogen enzyme activity (Spadaro et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2010b). Our experiments showed that the
190 novel yeast *Candida pruni* grew faster than other yeasts and could inhibit the growth of *M. fructicola* on
191 PDA plates (data not shown), also implying that nutrition and space competition may play an important
192 role in control.

193

194 **Phylogeny, Morphology and physiology**

195 The GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ accession numbers for the 26S rRNA gene D1/D2 and ITS region sequences
196 of strain *Candida* sp-Quan in this study are JQ917720 and JX257177, respectively. Phylogenetic analysis
197 of the D1/D2 domain of 26S rDNA sequence from the strain “sp-Quan” in a dataset of D1/D2 domain
198 sequences from all currently recognized ascomycetous yeasts included in the database of NCBI indicated
199 that this strain was placed in the clade of *Candida asparagi* and *C. fructus* and was most close to the
200 known species “*C. musae* CECT 11882^T (Genbank accession number: AJ539365.1)” and “*C. fructus* CECT
201 11884^T (Genbank accession number: AJ539366.1)” (De Llanos et al., 2004) (Fig. 2). However, the strain
202 had a very low identity to *C. asparagi* (sequence similarity: 95.0%), *C. musae* (sequence similarity: 96.0%)
203 and *C. fructus* (sequence similarity: 96.0%) . Moreover, the strain “sp-Quan” differs from the strains
204 “CECT1182^T” and “CECT1184^T” by 3.6% substitutions (18 out of 499 nucleotide positions). Previous
205 studies showed that ascomycetous yeasts strains differing by more than 1% substitutions in the D1/D2
206 domain of 26S rDNA sequence represent separate species (Kurtzman and Robnett, 1998). Based on the

207 phylogenetic analysis, the strain “sp-Quan” is described as a new species of genus *Candida*.
208 Morphological culture showed that the cells of the stain are subglobose to ovoid single, in pairs, and in
209 short chains when grown in yeast-extract-peptone-D-glucose (YPD) broth for 3 days at 25°C, and the
210 colonies appear white in colour when grown on YPD agar plates. These are typical characteristics of the
211 *Candida* genus. No sexual state was observed in cultures of the strain sp-Quan, when grown either on 5%
212 malt extract agar at 25°C for 1-3 weeks, or on corn-meal agar at 25°C for 1-4 weeks, or on YPD agar for
213 1-3 weeks, or on PDA for 1-3 weeks.
214 Phylogenetic analysis above demonstrated that *C. asparagi*, *C. musae* and *C. fructus* are the closest known
215 relatives of sp-Quan. However, in terms of fermentation, assimilation and other physiological tests, the
216 three strains are remarkably different from three known species. The strains are different from *C. asparagi*
217 by not fermenting galactose, by their ability to assimilate ribose or citric acid and to grow at 37°C, or to
218 grow on vitamin-free medium. They are also different from *C. musae* by their ability to assimilate
219 galactose, ribose and cellobiose, to grow at 37°C and on vitamin-free medium, and they also obviously
220 differed from *C. fructus* in the assimilation reactions of galactose, sucrose, maltose and cellobiose, as well
221 as their ability to grow at 37°C and on vitamin-free medium (Kurtzman, 1998) (Table 2).

222

223 **Description of *Candida pruni* sp. nov.**

224 *Candida pruni* (pru'ni. L. gen. n. pruni, of a plum-tree). Growth in YM broth: 3 days after incubation at
225 25°C, the cells are globose to ovoidal, $(2.4-4.8) \times (2.4-4.8) \mu\text{m}$ in shape and occur singly, in pairs, short
226 chains or groups (Fig. 3). In addition, the sediment is formed and budding is multilateral. Growth on YM
227 agar: 1 month after incubation at 25°C, the colonies of the streak culture are butyrous in texture, off-white
228 in colour, smooth and opaque, with an entire to flat border. Dalmau plate culture on corn-meal agar: 7 days

229 after incubation at 25°C, no pseudohyphae or ascospores are observed. Glucose is fermented; galactose,
230 sucrose, maltose, lactose and raffinose are not. Glucose, galactose, sucrose, maltose, cellobiose, trehalose,
231 D-xylose, D-ribose, ribitol, D-mannitol, succinic acid and citric acid are assimilated; lactose, raffinose,
232 soluble starch, L-arabinose, L-rhamnose, erythritol and inositol are not. Nitrate, L-lysine and cadaverine
233 dihydrochloride are assimilated; Growth in vitamin-free medium is positive. Maximum growth
234 temperature is 37°C. The type strain, *Candida* sp-Quan, isolated from plums collected in organic orchard
235 in North of China has been deposited in China General Microbiological Culture Collection Centre
236 (CGMCC), Academia Sinica, Beijing, China as GCMCC 6582^T, in the CBS-KNAW Fungal Biodiversity
237 Centre, Utrecht, Netherlands as CBS 12814^T, and in Korean Collection for Type Cultures designated as KCTC
238 27526^T. The MycoBank number is MB804750.

239 In conclusion, the three yeast strains (sp-Quan, YG1, and GR1) showed a great potential to control peach
240 brown rot caused by *M. fructicola*, and the strains demonstrated to be a novel species of the genus *Candida*,
241 by colony morphology, physiological features, and molecular analysis. Better understanding of the modes
242 of action is essential for developing appropriate commercial formulation and application methods to
243 maximize the potential of biocontrol agents (Spadaro and Gullino, 2004; De Cal et al., 2009). Future
244 research will target the elucidation of the mechanisms of biocontrol against brown rot on peaches.

245

246 **Acknowledgments**

247 The authors give thanks to Dr. Jianping-Wang for reviewing the manuscript. The work was supported by
248 Youth Science and Technology Foundation from Beijing Academy of Agriculture and Forestry Sciences
249 (No.QNJJ201317), funding for training talents in Beijing City (2013D002020000005), and the grant of
250 Science and Technology Innovation Foundation from Beijing Academy of Agriculture and Forestry

251 Sciences (No.KJCX201101001).

252

253 **References**

254 **Altschul SE, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ (1990)** Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol
255 Biol **5**: 403-410.

256 **Conway WS, Leverentz B, Janisiewicz WJ, Blodgett AB, Saftner RA, Camp MJ (2004)** Integrating
257 heat treatment, biocontrol and sodium bicarbonate to reduce postharvest decay of apple caused by
258 *Colletotrichum acutatum* and *Penicillium expansum*. Postharvest Biol Technol **34**: 11-20.

259 **De Cal A, Larena I, Liñán M, Torres R, Lamarca N, Usall JM, Domenichini P, Bellini A, de Eribe**
260 **XO, Melgarejo P (2009)** Population dynamics of *Epicoccum nigrum*, a biocontrol agent against brown
261 rot in stone fruits. J Appl Microbiol **106**: 592-605.

262 **De Llanos Frutos R, Fernandez-Espinar MT, Querol A (2004)** Identification of species of the genus
263 *Candida* by analysis of the 5.8S rRNA gene and the two ribosomal internal transcribed spacers.
264 *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* **85**: 175-185.

265 **Droby S, Wisniewski ME, Cohen L, Weiss B, Touitou D, Eilam Y, Chalutz E (1997)** Influence of CaCl₂
266 on *Penicillium digitatum*, grapefruit peel tissue, and biocontrol activity of *Pichia guilliermondii*.
267 *Phytopathology* **87**: 310-315.

268 **Guijarro B., Melgarejo P, De Cal A (2007a)** Effect of stabilizers on the shelf-life of *Penicillium*
269 *frequentans* conidia and their efficacy as a biological agent against peach brown rot. Int J Food
270 Microbiol **113**: 117-124.

271 **Guijarro B, Melgarejo P, Torres R, Lamarca N, Usall J, De Cal A (2007b)** Effects of different
272 biological formulations of *Penicillium frequentans* on brown rot of peaches. Biol Control **42**: 86-96.

273 **Ippolito A, El-Ghaouth A., Wilson CL, Wisniewski M (2000)** Control of post harvest decay of apple
274 fruit by *Aureobasidium pullulans* and induction of defense responses. *Postharvest Biol Technol* **19**:
275 265-272.

276 **Karabulut OA, Baykal N (2003)** Biological control of postharvest diseases of peaches and nectarines by
277 yeasts. *J Phytopathol* **151**: 130-134.

278 **Kimura M (1980)** A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through
279 comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. *J Mol Evol* **16**: 111-120.

280 **Kurtzman CP (1998)** Williopsis Zender. In *The Yeasts, a Taxonomic Study*, 4th edn, pp. 529-920. Edited
281 by C. P. Kurtzman & J. W. Fell. Amsterdam: Elsevier.

282 **Kurtzman CP, Droby S (2001)** *Metschnikowia fructicola*, a new ascosporic yeast with potential for
283 biocontrol of postharvest fruit rots. *System Appl Microbiol* **24**: 395-399.

284 **Kurtzman CP, Robnett CJ (1998)** Identification and phylogeny of ascomycetous yeasts from analysis of
285 nuclear large subunit (26S) ribosomal DNA partial sequences. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* **73**: 331-371.

286 **Larena I, Torres R, De Cal A, Liñán M, Melgarejo P, Domenichini P, Bellini A, Mandrin JF, Ochoa
287 De Eribe X, Usall J (2005)** Biological control of postharvest brown rot (*Monilinia* spp.) of peaches by
288 field applications of *Epicoccum nigrum*. *Biol Control* **32**: 305-310.

289 **Lu HZ, Jia JH, Wang QM, Bai F-Y (2004)** *Candida asparagi* sp. nov., *Candida diospyri* sp. nov., and
290 *Candida qinglingensis* sp. nov., novel anamorphic, ascomycetous yeast species. *Int J Syst Evol*
291 *Microbiol* **54**:1409-1414.

292 **Macagan D, Romeiro RS, Pomella AWV, Souza JT (2008)** Production of lytic enzymes and
293 siderophores, and inhibition of germination of basidiospores of *Moniliophthora (ex Crinipellis)*
294 *perniciosa* by phylloplane actinomycetes. *Biol Control* **47**: 309-314.

295 **Melgarejo P, Carrillo R, Sagasta EM (1986)** Potential for biological control of *Monilinia laxa* in peach
296 twigs. *Crop Prot* **5**: 422-426.

297 **Pellegrino C, Gullino ML, Garibaldi A, Spadaro D (2009)** First report of brown rot of stone fruit caused
298 by *Monilinia fructicola* in Italy. *Plant Dis* **93**: 668.

299 **Ragsdale NN (2000)** The impact of the food quality protection act on the future of plant disease
300 management. *Annu Rev Phytopathol* **38**: 577-596.

301 **Saitou N, Nei M (1987)** The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic
302 trees. *Mol Biol Evol* **4**: 406-425.

303 **Saravanakumar D, Spadaro D, Garibaldi A, Gullino ML (2009)** Detection of enzymatic activity and
304 partial sequence of a chitinase gene in *Metschnikowia pulcherrima* strain MACH1 used as post-harvest
305 biocontrol agent. *Eur J Plant Pathol* **123**: 183-193.

306 **Spadaro D, Gullino ML (2004)** State of art and future perspectives of biological control of postharvest
307 fruit diseases. *Int J Food Microbiol* **91**: 185-194.

308 **Thompson JD, Gibson TJ, Plewniak F, Jeanmougin F, Higgins DG (1997)** The CLUSTAL_X windows
309 interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. *Nucleic*
310 *Acids Res* **25**: 4876-4882.

311 **Tian SP, Bertolini P (1999)** Effect of temperature during conidial formation of *Monilinia laxa* on conidial
312 size, germination and infection of stored nectarines. *J Phytopathol* **147**: 635-641.

313 **Viñas I, Usall J, Teixidó N, Sanchis V (1998)** Biological control of major postharvest pathogens on apple
314 with *Candida sake*. *Int J Food Microbiol* **40**: 9-16.

315 **Zhang D, Spadaro D, Garibaldi A, Gullino ML (2010a)** Efficacy of the antagonist *Aureobasidium*
316 *pullulans* PL5 against postharvest pathogens of peach, apple and plum and its modes of action. *Biol*

317 Control **54**: 172-180.

318 **Zhang D, Spadaro D, Garibaldi A, Gullino ML (2010b)** Selection and evaluation of new antagonists for
319 their efficacy against postharvest brown rot of peaches. *Postharvest Biol Technol* **55**: 174-181.

320 **Table 1.** Antagonistic activity of the yeast strain sp-Quan to control brown rot caused by *M. fructicola* on
 321 peaches

Treatment	Disease incidence (%)	Diameters of brown rot lesions (mm)**
sp-Quan	20 ± 2 c	12 ± 3 b
Tebuconazole*	5 ± 1 b	8 ± 2 b
Inoculated control	100 ± 0.0 d	47 ± 3 c
Yeast control	0 ± 0.0 a	0 ± 0.0 a
Blank control	0 ± 0.0 a	0 ± 0.0 a

322

323 * Peaches were treated with 2.5 mL L⁻¹ of Folicur (Bayer Crop Science; tebuconazole: 25.0 %).

324 ** The yeast strain sp-Quan was applied at 10⁸ cells mL⁻¹. Fruits (cv Springcrest) were stored at 25°C for 6
 325 days. The results are the mean of two independent experiments. “±” stands for standard deviation of the
 326 means. Values followed by the same letter are not statistically different by Duncan’s Multiple Range Test
 327 ($p < 0.05$).

328

329 **Table 2.** Comparison of the physiological characteristics of *Candida pruni* sp. nov. with three closely
 330 related species.*

Characteristics	<i>C. pruni</i>	<i>C. asparagi</i>	<i>C. fructus</i>	<i>C. musae</i>
Fermentation:				
Galactose	-	+	-	-
Assimilation:				
Galactose	+	+	-	-
D-arabinose	-	+	-	-
D-ribose	+	w	+	-
Maltose	+	+	-	+
Cellobiose	+	+	-	-
Citric acid	+	w	+	+
Sucrose	+	+	-	+
Nitrate	+	+	+	+
Other tests:				
Growth at 37°C	+	-	-	-
Vitamin-free medium	+	-	-	-

331 *The lists of carbon sources and nitrates and other tests were prepared using standard methods described
 332 by Yarrow (1998). In the reactions of fermentation, assimilation and other tests, only different
 333 characteristics were listed, and the rest characteristics are the same.

334 + positive; - negative; w weak. Data of reference species were taken from Lu et al. (2004).

335 **Figure captions**

336

337 **Figure 1**

338 Population dynamics of *C. pruni* sp-quan in wounds of peaches. Thirty μL washed yeast cell suspension at
339 $1 \times 10^8 \text{ CFU mL}^{-1}$ was applied into each wound on fruits, and the yeast colonies were recovered from the
340 wounds after incubation at 25°C and 95% RH. Data are from one trial with each point representing the
341 mean colony counts from replicate fruits.

342

343 **Figure 2**

344 Phylogenetic tree made from neighbour-joining analysis of 26S rRNA gene D1/D2 region sequences
345 reveals the relationship of the novel species, *Candida* sp-Quan, with closely related taxa. Bootstrap values
346 (%) based on 1000 replications are given on each node. Bar means 5% sequence divergence. Reference
347 sequences were retrieved from GenBank under the accession numbers indicated in parentheses.

348

349 **Figure 3**

350 Cells of *Candida pruni* sp. nov.: after 3 days of growth in YM broth at 25°C , Bar= $20 \mu\text{m}$.