



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

## High-pressure study of a natural cancrinite

This is the author's manuscript
Original Citation:
Availability:
This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/92628 since
Published version:
DOI:10.2138/am.2012.4039
Terms of use:
Open Access
Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)





This is the author's final version of the contribution published as:

Lotti P; Gatta GD; Rotiroti N; Cámara F. High-pressure study of a natural cancrinite. AMERICAN MINERALOGIST. 97 pp: 872-882. DOI: 10.2138/**a**m.2012.4039

When citing, please refer to the published version.

Link to this full text: http://hdl.handle.net/2318/92628

This full text was downloaded from iris - AperTO: https://iris.unito.it/

1	Revision_1
2	High-pressure study of a natural cancrinite
3 4	Running title: HP behavior of cancrinite
5	Abstract / Key-words
6	Introduction
7	Materials and methods
8	Results
9	Elastic behavior
10	Structure refinements
11	High-pressure framework behavior
12	High-pressure extraframework behavior
13	Discussion
14	Elastic behavior
15	High-pressure framework behavior
16	High-pressure extraframework behavior
17	Acknowledgements
18	References
19	Table and Figure captions
20	
21	Corresponding author: G. Diego GATTA
22	Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra
23	Universita' degli Studi di Milano
24	Via Botticelli, 23
25	I-20133 Milano, Italy
26	E-Mail: diego.gatta@unimi.it

- High-pressure study of a natural cancrinite
   Paolo Lotti<sup>1</sup>, G. Diego Gatta <sup>1,2</sup>, Nicola Rotiroti<sup>1,2</sup>, Fernando Cámara<sup>3</sup>
   <sup>1</sup>Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra Università degli Studi di Milano, Via Botticelli 23, 20133 Milano, Italy
   <sup>2</sup>CNR Istituto per la Dinamica dei Processi Ambientali, Via M. Bianco 9, 20131 Milano, Italy
   <sup>3</sup>Dipartimento di Scienze Mineralogiche e Petrologiche Università degli Studi di Torino, Via Valperga Caluso 35, 10125 Torino, Italy
- 33

#### 34 Abstract

The high-pressure behavior and the *P*-induced structure evolution of a natural cancrinite from 35 Cameroun (Na<sub>6.59</sub>Ca<sub>0.93</sub>[Si<sub>6</sub>Al<sub>6</sub>O<sub>24</sub>](CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>1.04</sub>F<sub>0.41</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O, a = 12.5976(6) Å, c = 5 .1168(2) Å, space 36 37 group: P6<sub>3</sub>) were investigated by *in situ* single-crystal X-ray diffraction under hydrostatic conditions up to 6.63(2) GPa with a diamond anvil cell. The P-V data were fitted with an isothermal Birch-38 39 Murnaghan-type equation of state (BM EoS) truncated to the 3rd-order. Weighted fit (by the uncertainty in P and V) gave the following elastic parameters:  $V_0 = 702.0(7) \text{ Å}^3$ ,  $K_{V0} = 51(2)$  GPa and 40  $K_V = 2.9(4)$ . Linearized BM EoS was used to fit the *a*-*P* and *c*-*P* data, giving the following refined 41 42 parameters:  $a_0 = 12.593(5)$  Å,  $K_{a0} = 64(4)$  GPa,  $K_a' = 4.5(9)$ , for the *a*-axis, and  $c_0 = 5.112(3)$  Å,  $K_{c0} = 5.112(3)$  Å, 43 36(1) GPa,  $K_c' = 1.9(3)$  for the *c*-axis (elastic anisotropy:  $K_{a0}:K_{c0} = 1.78:1$ ). A subtle change of the elastic behavior appears to occur at P > 4.62 GPa, and so the elastic behavior was also described on the 44 basis of BM EoS valid between 0.0001 - 4.62 and 5.00 - 6.63 GPa, respectively. The high-pressure 45 46 structure refinements allowed the description of the main deformation mechanisms responsible for the 47 anisotropic compression of cancrinite on (0001) and along [0001]. A comparative analysis of the 48 structure evolution in response of the applied pressure and temperature of isotypic materials with 49 cancrinite-like topology is carried out.

50 **Keywords:** cancrinite, zeolites, high pressure, compressibility, structure evolution.

51

## 52 Introduction

53 Cancrinite is a feldspathoid with ideal chemical formula  $(Na,Ca)_{7-8}[Al_6Si_6O_{12}](CO_3)_{1.2-1.7} \cdot 2H_2O$ , which 54 can form as a primary phase in low-SiO<sub>2</sub> alkaline rocks in the late hydrothermal stages or as the alteration product of nepheline- or sodalite-group minerals. It is the parent member of a group including about 20 minerals (Bonaccorsi and Merlino 2005; Cámara et al. 2005; Rastvetaeva et al. 2007; Cámara et al. 2010; Bonaccorsi et al. 2012), among which the most common are davyne and vishnevite.

Cancrinite has an open-framework structure (framework density =  $16.9 \text{ T}/1000 \text{ Å}^3$ , Baerlocher 59 60 et al. 2007), with CAN topology. Its framework is built up by 12-, 6- and 4-membered rings of 61 tetrahedra in a way that planes of 6-membered rings perpendicular to [0001] (hereafter 6mRs $\perp$ [0001]) 62 are stacked according to an "ABAB" close-packing sequence (Fig. 1). The resulting framework 63 consists of columns of base-sharing cages (Fig. 1), the so-called cancrinite, or undecahedral or  $\varepsilon$  cages (Baerlocher et al. 2007), and iso-oriented 12mRs-channels parallel to [0001] (Figs. 1, 2). Cages and 64 65 channels are connected by distorted 6mRs windows parallel to [0001] (hereafter 6mR//[0001]) (Fig. 2). 66 Moreover, 4mRs form *double zigzag chains* (Baerlocher et al. 2007) running parallel to [0001] (Fig. 1). The topological symmetry of the CAN framework type is  $P6_3/mmc$ , with idealized lattice constants a =67 12.494 Å and c = 5.254 Å (Baerlocher et al. 2007). 68

69 The cancrinite structure was first described by Pauling (1930) and then refined by Jarchow 70 (1965) in the space group  $P6_3$ , due to the full Si/Al-ordering in the tetrahedral framework. The extra-71 framework population consists of ... Na-H<sub>2</sub>O-Na-H<sub>2</sub>O··· chains lying in the cancrinite cages and by CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> groups lying in two mutually exclusive and partially occupied positions in the center of the 72 73 12mRs-channels, occupied also by mixed Na/Ca sites near the channel wall (Figs. 3 and 4). In 74 cancrinite the ... Na-H<sub>2</sub>O-Na... chains show one shorter and one longer Na-H<sub>2</sub>O bond distances. In 75 contrast, in the isotypic davyne or microsommite (Bonaccorsi and Merlino 2005), ... Ca-Cl... chains show constant bond lengths. In the 12mRs-channel, different anions (e.g.  $SO_4^{2-}$ ,  $NO_3^{-}$ ,  $Cl^-$ ,  $OH^-$ ) or  $H_2O_3^{-}$ 76 molecules can replace  $CO_3^{2-}$  in natural or synthetic cancrinites (*e.g.* Grundy and Hassan 1982; Hassan 77 78 and Grundy 1984; Bresciani-Pahor et al. 1982; Hassan and Grundy 1991; Buhl et al. 2000; Fechtelkord 79 et al. 2001; Ballirano and Maras 2005).

Over the last forty years, cancrinite-like materials have been extensively studied for their structure-related properties. Many studies have been devoted to the superstructures reflections often found in cancrinites, governed by the ordering of the carbonate groups and their vacancies along [0001] (*e.g.* Grundy and Hassan 1982; Brown and Cesbron 1973; Foit et al. 1973; Hassan and Buseck 1992), but also for the occurrence and use of cancrinite in many technological processes: *e.g.* as final product of Na-aluminosilicates precipitation from liquor during the Bayer process for the refining of bauxite (Gerson and Zheng 1997; Barnes et al. 1999) or as a precipitation product in nuclear waste tanks at the Hanford site (WA, USA), and as product of the reaction between simulated leaked waste fluids and quartz- or kaolinite-bearing sediments at the same site (Buck and McNamara 2004; Bickmore et al. 2001; Zhao et al. 2004). Moreover, Zhao et al. (2004) reported a sorption capacity for Cs<sup>+</sup> ions in waste fluids, whereas Poborchii (1994) and Poborchii et al. (2002) studied the optical properties of Se<sub>2</sub><sup>2-</sup> and Se<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> in the cancrinite channels.

92 Only a few studies have been devoted to the behavior of cancrinite under non-ambient conditions. Hassan et al. (2006) performed an *in-situ* high-temperature X-ray powder diffraction study 93 94 up to 1255 K, and reported: 1) a phase transition with loss of the superstructure reflections at ~777 K, 95 2) a continuous dehydration process toward a full dehydration at 898 K coupled with 3) a minimal loss 96 of CO<sub>2</sub>. The results of an *in-situ* high-temperature single-crystal X-ray diffraction study up to 673 K 97 were reported by Isupova et al. (2010), whereas the description of the elastic behavior and structure 98 evolution at low-temperature (LT) conditions (down to 100 K) was recently provided by Gatta et al. 99 (2011) by in-situ LT single-crystal X-ray diffraction. Gatta and Lee (2008) described the elastic 100 behavior and the pressure-induced structure evolution of Na<sub>6</sub>Cs<sub>2</sub>Ga<sub>6</sub>Ge<sub>6</sub>O<sub>24</sub>·Ge(OH)<sub>6</sub>, a synthetic 101 compound isotypic with cancrinite, by means of *in-situ* high pressure (HP) synchrotron powder 102 diffraction up to 5.01 GPa. However, to the best of our knowledge, no study has to date been devoted 103 to the HP behavior of a natural cancrinite. In this light, the aim of this study is to describe the elastic 104 behavior and the P-induced structure evolution of a natural cancrinite, with no evidence of 105 superstructure reflections at ambient conditions, by *in-situ* single-crystal X-ray diffraction under 106 hydrostatic conditions. The formulation of the isothermal equation of state, along with the description 107 of the main deformation mechanisms of the tetrahedral framework and the behavior of the extra-108 framework population will be provided. Furthermore, a comparative analysis of the structure evolution 109 in response of the applied pressure of the natural cancrinite here investigated and of 110 Na<sub>6</sub>Cs<sub>2</sub>Ga<sub>6</sub>Ge<sub>6</sub>O<sub>24</sub>·Ge(OH)<sub>6</sub> (Gatta and Lee 2008) will be carried out.

111

#### 112 Materials and methods

113 The single-crystal X-ray diffraction experiments were performed on a platy crystal of natural cancrinite 114 free of defects under the polarized microscope, collected from the same gem-quality sample from 115 Cameroun used by Della Ventura et al. (2009), for a single-crystal neutron diffraction experiment and 116 polarized infra-red spectroscopy, and by Gatta et al. (2011), for a L*T* single-crystal X-ray diffraction 117 study. Cancrinite crystals from this sample do not show any evidence of superstructure reflections. The 118 chemical composition, obtained by electron microprobe analysis in wavelength dispersive mode, is 119 Na<sub>6.59</sub>Ca<sub>0.93</sub>[Si<sub>6</sub>Al<sub>6</sub>O<sub>24</sub>](CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>1.04</sub>F<sub>0.41</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O (Z = 1) (Della Ventura et al. 2009).

120 An intensity data collection with the crystal in air was first performed using an Xcalibur -121 Oxford Diffraction diffractometer equipped with a CCD detector, operating at 50 kV and 40 mA with a 122 monochromatised MoK $\alpha$  radiation source and a detector-sample distance fixed at 80 mm. A 123 combination of  $\omega$  and  $\varphi$  scans was chosen to maximize data coverage and redundancy. The step scan 124 was 1°/frame along with an exposure time of 60 s/frame (Table 1). A total number of 19,933 125 reflections, out of which 1933 unique for symmetry, were collected up to  $2\theta_{max}=70^{\circ}$ , showing a 126 metrically hexagonal lattice with systematic extinctions consistent with the space group  $P6_3$  (Table 1). The refined unit-cell parameters were: a = 12.5976(6) Å, c = 5.1168(2) Å and V = 703.2(1) Å<sup>3</sup> (Table 127 128 1). Intensities were then integrated, corrected for Lorentz-polarization (Lp) and for absorption effects 129 (analytical absorption corrections by Gaussian integration based upon the physical description of the 130 crystal) using the CrysAlis software package (Oxford Diffraction 2010). Further details pertaining to 131 the data collection strategy are in Table 1. A hydrogen-free structure refinement of cancrinite was then 132 performed with the Shelx-97 program (Sheldrick 1997), starting from the atomic coordinates of Della 133 Ventura et al. (2009) in the space group  $P6_3$ . Neutral atomic scattering factors for Si, Al, Na, Ca, C and 134 O from the International Tables of Crystallography (Wilson and Prince 1999) were used. A mixed 135 scattering curve of Na and Ca was used to model the Na2 site, and the site occupancy factors of C1 and 136 C2 were constrained to be equal to that of Oc1 and Oc2, respectively. The H<sub>2</sub>O oxygen site (Ow), lying 137 off the 3-fold axis in three symmetry-related and mutually exclusive positions, was modeled with a site 138 occupancy factor (s.o.f.) of 1/3. The C-Oc bond lengths were restrained to  $1.300 \pm 0.005$  Å, on the 139 basis of the neutron refinement reported by Della Ventura et al. (2009). In the last cycles of the 140 refinement, the displacement parameters of all the atomic sites were refined anisotropically; only C, Oc 141 and Ow sites were refined isotropically, due to a significant correlation among the refined parameters 142 likely ascribable to the positional disorder of the carbonate groups and H<sub>2</sub>O molecules (Grundy and 143 Hassan 1982; Della Ventura et al. 2009). The refinement converged to an agreement factor  $R_1$  of 5.6% 144 for 1092 reflections with  $F_0 > 4\sigma(F_0)$ . At the end of the refinement, no significant correlation was 145 observed in the variance-covariance matrix and the residual peaks in the difference-Fourier function of

146 the electron density were between  $+0.77/-0.55 e^{-7}/\text{Å}^3$ . Further details pertaining to the structure 147 refinement are in Table 1.

148 An ETH-type diamond anvil cell (DAC, Miletich et al. 2000), was used for the high-pressure 149 experiments. A T301 steel foil, 0.250 mm thick, was used as a gasket, which was pre-indented to a 150 thickness of about 0.110 mm before drilling a micro-hole (~ 0.300 mm in diameter) by spark-erosion. 151 The same crystal of cancrinite previously investigated at ambient conditions was placed into the gasket 152 hole along with some ruby chips and a single-crystal of quartz used as P-standards (Mao et al. 1986; 153 Angel et al. 1997). A 4:1 mixture of methanol:ethanol was used as hydrostatic pressure-transmitting 154 medium (Angel et al. 2007). Lattice parameters were measured between 0.0001 and 6.63(2) GPa (Table 155 2), using 42 Bragg reflections with a KUMA KM4 point-detector diffractometer, operating at 50 kV 156 and 40 mA with a graphite monochromatized MoK $\alpha$  radiation source. Ten intensity data collections 157 between 0.0001 GPa (with crystal in the DAC without any pressure medium) and 6.63(3) GPa (Table 1) were performed with an Xcalibur - Oxford Diffraction diffractometer equipped with a CCD 158 159 (graphite-monochromatized MoK $\alpha$  radiation). A combination of  $\omega$  and  $\varphi$  scans was used, with step of 160 0.5 °/frame and an exposure time of 60 s/frame (Table 1). No violations of the reflection conditions 161 expected for the space group  $P6_3$  were observed within the *P*-range investigated. Integrated intensity 162 data were corrected for Lp and absorption effects due to the crystal and the DAC using the ABSORB 163 computer program (Angel 2004). The HP structure refinements based on the intensity data collected 164 with the crystal in the DAC were performed with the Shelx-97 program (Sheldrick 1997). The number 165 of the refined parameters was reduced to 45: all the occupancy factors were constrained to the values 166 refined with the crystal in air and the atomic displacement parameters (a.d.p.s.) were all refined 167 isotropically. In addition, the C1-C2 and Oc1-Oc2 a.d.p.s. were, respectively, constrained to be equal. 168 As for the structure model at room conditions, the C-Oc bond lengths were restrained to  $1.300 \pm 0.005$ Å<sup>3</sup>. The refinements converged for all the HP datasets with  $R_1$  always lower than 8.9%, with no 169 170 significant correlation between the refined parameters and residual peaks in the difference-Fourier maps lower than  $\pm 0.96 e^{-7}$ Å<sup>3</sup>. 171

Atomic fractional coordinates, site occupancy factors and atomic displacement parameters pertaining to the structure refinements at room-*P* and H*P* are given in Table 3; bond distances and angles are listed in Table 4. Refined anisotropic displacement parameters are available in the CIF (deposited).

#### 176 **Results**

#### 177 Elastic behavior

The evolution of the unit-cell parameters of cancrinite up to 6.63(2) GPa is shown in Fig. 5. The unit-cell parameters measured in decompression showed that the pressure-induced structural evolution of up to ~6.6 GPa is completely reversible.

The P-V between 0.0001 and 6.63 GPa data were first fitted with an isothermal Birch-181 182 Murnaghan-type equation of state truncated to the 3rd-order (BM-III EoS, Birch 1947), using the EoS-183 Fit v5.2 program (Angel 2000). Weighted fit (by the uncertainty in *P* and *V*) gives the following elastic parameters:  $V_0 = 702.0(7)$  Å<sup>3</sup>,  $K_{V0} = 51(2)$  GPa and  $K_V = 2.9(4)$ . The evolution of the Eulerian strain 184 vs. the normalized pressure within the entire P-range investigated (fe-Fe plot,  $f_e = [(V_0/V)^{2/3} - 1]/2$  and 185  $F_e = P/[3f_e(1+2f_e)^{5/2}]$ , Angel 2000) is shown in Fig. 5, suggesting that the isothermal volume 186 compression in cancrinite can be described with a 3rd-order BM EoS, giving a bulk modulus at room-187 P of Fe(0) = 50(1) and its P-derivative of 3.2(3). A "linearized" BM-III equation of state was used to 188 fit the *a-P* and *c-P* data (Angel 2000), giving the following refined parameters:  $a_0 = 12.593(5)$  Å,  $K_{a0} =$ 189 64(4) GPa,  $K_a' = 4.5(9)$ ,  $c_0 = 5.112(3)$  Å,  $K_{c0} = 36(1)$  GPa,  $K_c' = 1.9(3)$ . The elastic anisotropy at room 190 191 pressure is then:  $K_{a0}$ : $K_{c0} = 1.78$ :1.

192 When one EoS is used over the entire *P*-range investigated, a modest misfit is observed for the 193 a-axis and the unit-cell volume, respectively, suggesting a potential change of the compressional behaviors between 4.62 – 5.00 GPa. On this basis, two different BM EoS fits were used to model the 194 195 elastic behavior along the a-axis and for the unit-cell volume between 0.0001 - 4.62 and 5.00 - 6.63GPa, respectively. The refined parameters are:  $a_0 = 12.603(7)$  Å,  $K_{a0} = 52(6)$  GPa and  $K_a' = 11(4)$ , and 196  $V_0 = 703.3(7)$  Å<sup>3</sup>,  $K_{V0} = 45(2)$  GPa and  $K_V = 6(1)$  between 0.0001 and 4.62 GPa;  $a_0 = 12.63(2)$  Å,  $K_{a0} = 12.63(2)$  Å,  $K_$ 197 58(4) GPa and  $K_a' = 4$  (fixed), and  $V_0 = 715(4) \text{ Å}^3$ ,  $K_{V0} = 40(2)$  GPa and  $K_V' = 4$  (fixed) between 5.00 198 199 and 6.63 GPa. A further *fe-Fe* plot is shown in Fig. 5 with the refined  $V_0$  obtained by the BM-III EoS fit 200 (0.0001 - 4.62 GPa) and by the BM-II EoS fit (5.00 - 6.63 GPa), respectively.

201

202

205 The structure refinement of cancrinite at room-P confirms the framework and extraframework 206 configuration previously described (e.g. Della Ventura et al. 2009), with the ε cages stuffed by …Na- $H_2O$ —Na– $H_2O$ ··· chains and the 12mRs-channels with cation sites close to the channel walls (*Na*2), 207 partially occupied by Na (85.4(6)%) and Ca (14.6(6)%), and  $CO_3^{2-}$  groups in the center of the channel 208 209 in two mutually exclusive positions (*i.e.* C1 and Oc1 s.o.f. = 42.1(7)%; C2 and Oc2 s.o.f = 45.9(6)%; 210 Table 3) (Figs. 3, 4). The chemical formula deduced on the basis of the structure refinement is: 211 Na<sub>7.04</sub>Ca<sub>0.88</sub>[Al<sub>6</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>24</sub>](CO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>1.76</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O, and if we consider the amount of F obtained on the basis of the 212 EMPA-EDS (i.e. 0.41 atoms per formula unit, Della Ventura et al. 2009), we obtain (after a 213 recalculation of the C/F s.o.f.):  $Na_{7.04}Ca_{0.88}[Al_6Si_6O_{24}](CO_3)_{1.15}F_{0.41} \cdot 2H_2O$ . This chemical formula 214 shows a slightly higher amount of Na and a lower amount of Ca with respect to the formula reported by 215 Della Ventura et al. (2009). In fact, the sum of the electrons ascribable to the extraframework cations 216 (Na and Ca) from the structure refinement is 95.4  $e^{-1}$ , slightly higher than 91.1  $e^{-1}$  from the experimental chemical analysis ( $\Delta e^{-} \sim 4.5\%$ ). 217

The HP structure refinements showed that the general configuration of framework and extraframework population was maintained within the *P*-range investigated; the main deformation mechanisms of the tetrahedral framework and of the channel and cage content are described below.

221

#### 222 *High-pressure framework behavior*

223 At high pressure, the structure refinements show that the 6-membered rings perpendicular to [0001] 224 (6mRs $\perp$ [0001]) experience a ditrigonalization process, with the opening of the  $\omega$ l angle (01-02-01) 225 and the closure of  $\omega 2$  angle (O2-O1-O2) (Fig. 2; Table 4). A linear increasing of the ditrigonal rotation 226 angle  $\alpha$  (Fig. 6; Table 4) [ $\alpha = 1/6 \cdot \Sigma_i | 120^\circ - \theta_i | /2$ , where  $\theta_i$  is the angle between the basal edges of 227 neighboring tetrahedra articulated in the six membered ring; Brigatti and Guggenheim 2002] is 228 observed. This unit is also compressed in response to the applied pressure as shown by the shortening 229 of the O1-O2 distance and the reduction of the ditrigonal area subtended by the oxygen atoms (Fig. 2; 230 Table 4). Moreover, we observed a decrease of the (A,B)-plane corrugation [defined as  $\Delta z = [z(O)_{\text{max}} - z(O)_{\text{max}}]$ 231  $z(O)_{\min}$  c, where  $z(O)_{\max}$  is the maximum z coordinate of the oxygen atoms belonging to the plane, 232  $z(O)_{\min}$  the minimum one and c is the unit-cell edge length; Brigatti and Guggenheim 2002)] (Table 4).

233 The 4mR joint-unit shows a compression along the O3-O4 diameter (Figs. 2 and 6; Table 4), 234 whereas an expansion is shown along O2-O2, giving as overall effect a reduction of the area subtended 235 by the four oxygen corners (Fig. 2; Table 4). In the 12mR-channel, an almost constant value of the six 236 symmetrically related O3-O4 distances is observed with pressure, along with a shortening of the six 237 symmetrical O1-O1 distances (i.e. ~5.7%; Figs. 2 and 6; Table 4). The 6mR-windows connecting 238 channels and cages (6mR//[0001]) show a strong ditrigonalization with a shortening of the O1-O1 239 diameter (*i.e.* the c edge of unit-cell), and a less pronounced contraction of the O3-O3 and O4-O4240 diameters (Fig. 2; Table 4). The cancrinite cage shows a pronounced flattening along [0001], governed 241 by the closure of the  $\kappa$  angle (O2-O2-O2) (Figs. 2 and 6; Table 4), whereas the maximum width on the 242 (0001) plane, defined by the three symmetry-related diameters O2-O2 (dashed lines in Fig. 2), is 243 constant within the *P*-range investigated (Table 4).

The evolution of the channel volume (modeled as  $V_{ch} = D \cdot c$ ; with D = (O1-O1 + O3-O4)/2, where O1-O1 and O3-O4 are the independent diameters of the 12mR; Fig. 2, Table 4) and of the  $\varepsilon$ -cage volume (modeled as  $V_{cg} = (V_{cell} - V_{ch})/2$ ) with *P* was studied. Both the  $V_{ch}$ -*P* and  $V_{cg}$ -*P* data (Table 4) were fitted with a truncated BM-III equation of state, fixing  $V_0$  to the experimental value at  $P_0$ . The refined elastic parameters are:  $K_{0ch} = 52(3)$  GPa,  $K'_{ch} = 1.4(1.0)$  for the 12mR channel, and  $K_{0cg} =$ 53(4) GPa,  $K'_{cg} = 4(2)$  for the  $\varepsilon$ -cage.

250

## 251 High-pressure extraframework behavior

The *Na*1 site, which lies in the  $\varepsilon$  cage, coordinates the neighboring 6mR's oxygen and two H<sub>2</sub>O molecules (ditrigonal bipyramid coordination shell) (Fig. 3). The distortion of this polyhedron is strictly governed by the framework deformation. With increasing pressure, we observe a contraction of the *Na*1-*O*2 and an expansion of the *Na*1-*O*1 bond lengths (Table 4). The two *Na*1-*Ow* distances of the coordination polyhedron are symmetrically independent and both show a shortening with pressure (Table 4).

The sum of the refined site occupancies of the mutually exclusive carbonate groups is lower than 1 (Table 3), reflecting site vacancy. The absence of any superstructure reflections suggests that these vacancies are randomly distributed along the 12mR channels. Three combinations of subsequent 261 CO<sub>3</sub> groups are possible: C1-C2 (3.85(7) Å at room-P), C1-C1 and C2-C2 (both spaced by c/2,
262 2.542(2) Å at room-P) (Fig. 4; Table 4).

The *Na*2 site is coordinated by 5 framework oxygen atoms on a side and up to 3 carbonate oxygen atoms on the opposite side (Fig. 3). With increasing pressure, we observe a decrease of the shorter *Na*2-*O*3', *Na*2-*O*4' and *Na*2-*O*1 bond lengths and an expansion of the longer *Na*2-*O*3'' and *Na*2-*O*4'', whereas no significant change occurs for the *Na*2-*Oc* distances (Table 4).

267

#### 268 **Discussion**

## 269 Elastic behavior

The refined isothermal bulk modulus at room-P (*i.e.*  $K_{V0} = 45-52$  GPa) is similar to that of other 270 271 microporous materials which share with cancrinite the presence of "6-membered rings" of tetrahedra as 272 "secondary building units" (sensu Baerlocher et al. 2007) (Gatta 2008), among those: sodalite (i.e.  $K_{V0}$ 273 = 51(1) GPa; Hazen and Sharp 1988), levyne (*i.e.*  $K_{V0}$  = 56(4) GPa for P < 1 GPa, 46(1) GPa for P > 1GPa; Gatta et al. 2005), and chabazite (*i.e.*  $K_{V0} = 35(5)$  GPa for  $P \le 1$ GPa, 62(1) GPa for  $P \ge 1.4$  GPa; 274 275 Leardini et al. 2010). The stiffer open-framework silicates show bulk moduli of 60-70 GPa (e.g. 276 philippsite  $K_{V0} = 67(2)$  GPa, Gatta and Lee 2007; gismondine  $K_{V0} = 63.8(2)$ , Ori et al. 2008), whereas 277 for the softest the range is 18-40 GPa (e.g. Na-ZSM-5  $K_{V0}$  = 18.2(6) GPa, Arletti et al. 2011; H-ZSM-5 278  $K_{V0} = 23.7(4)$  GPa, Quartieri et al. 2011; zeolite-A  $K_{V0} = 22.1(3)$ , Arletti et al. 2003; heulandite  $K_{V0} =$ 279 27.5(2), Gatta et al. 2003; mordenite  $K_{V0} = 41(2)$  GPa, Gatta and Lee 2006).

A subtle change in the elastic behavior of cancrinite appears to occur at P > 4.6 GPa (Fig. 5). However, the accuracy and precision of our data, along with the *P*-range investigated, do not allow to have a unique picture of the elastic behavior. In addition, a potential change of the compressional mechanisms at P > 4.6 GPa is not supported by the deformation mechanisms deduced on the basis of the H*P* structure refinements, by any change of the symmetry or by any evidence of satellite reflections (Fig. 6, Table 4).

The elastic behavior of the natural cancrinite here investigated differs significantly from that of the isotypic Na<sub>6</sub>Cs<sub>2</sub>Ga<sub>6</sub>Ge<sub>6</sub>O<sub>24</sub>·Ge(OH)<sub>6</sub>. The compressional behavior of the synthetic analogue was fitted with a Murnaghan-type equation of state (Murnaghan 1937) by Gatta and Lee (2008), giving:  $K_{V0}$  289 = 36(2) GPa and  $K_V' = 9(1)$  GPa. Possible reasons for the higher compressibility at room-*P*, along with 290 the higher stiffness rate of the synthetic compound, can be found in the compression of the (Ga,Ge) 291 tetrahedra, which is already significant in response to moderate pressure (*i.e. P* < 5 GPa) if compared to 292 the almost rigid behavior of the (Si,Al) tetrahedra of the natural sample (Table 4), and in the different 293 nature of the extraframework population.

294

## 295 High-pressure framework behavior

296 The main deformation mechanism in the cancrinite framework in response to the applied pressure is the 297 anti-cooperative rotation of adjacent tetrahedra belonging to the 6mRs1[0001]. This mechanism 298 requires that for a given tetrahedron belonging to the A plane which shows a clockwise rotation, the 299 four adjacent vertex-sharing tetrahedra (three on the same A plane and one on the next B plane) will 300 show an anti-clockwise rotation (Fig. 2). The 6mRs1[0001] ditrigonalization is the main effect of the 301 anti-cooperative rotation mechanism, leading to the 12mR-channels contraction along the O1-O1 302 distances (Figs. 2 and 6). The (O1-O1/O3-O4) ratio decreases with P from 1.038(3) at 0.0001 GPa to 303 0.989(2) at 6.63 GPa (Table 4). The shortest free diameter of the channels (*i.e.* 03-04) is nearly 304 constant up to  $\sim 5.3-5.4$  GPa and then decreases at higher pressure. On the whole, a) the channel O1-O1 305 shortening coupled with b) the 4mR joint-units compression along the O3-O4 direction and c) the 306  $6mRs \perp [0001]$  compression (described by the O1-O2 shortening, Table 4) are the mechanisms 307 responsible for the *P*-driven contraction on the (0001) plane (Figs. 2 and 6), whereas the compression 308 along the c axis is accommodated by a) the  $\varepsilon$ -cage flattening, b) the decrease of the (A,B)-planes 309 corrugation and c) the ditrigonal distortion of the 6mRs//[0001] windows connecting channels and 310 cages (Figs. 2 and 6; Table 4).

The elastic parameters obtained from the compressional behavior of the 12mR-channel and of the  $\varepsilon$  cage (Table 4) show that these units have similar bulk moduli at room-*P*, but cage becomes stiffer with increasing pressure, likely for the presence of the ...Na-H<sub>2</sub>O... chains as cage-population which can hinder the compression of the cage.

The isotypic  $Na_6Cs_2Ga_6Ge_6O_{24}$ ·Ge(OH)<sub>6</sub> variant (Gatta and Lee 2008), despite the significant differences in the nature of framework and extraframework population, shows the same *P*-induced main deformation mechanisms found in natural cancrinite. On this basis, we believe that the main deformation mechanisms here described might be intrinsically governed by the CAN framework topology. As a matter of fact, a comparison with the structural evolution of a natural cancrinite at hightemperature conditions, on the basis of the data reported by Hassan et al. (2006), shows the same deformation mechanisms, but opposite in sign, here observed at high pressure.

- 322
- 323

## 324 High-pressure extraframework behavior

At high pressure, the *Na*<sup>1</sup> to framework-oxygen bond lengths variation appears to be the effect of the 6mRs $\perp$ [0001] ditrigonalization, whereas the compression along [0001] governs the bond lengths shortening along the ...Na–H<sub>2</sub>O–Na–H<sub>2</sub>O···· chains (Fig. 3; Table 4).

Different coordination shells, with maximum coordination number (C.N.) of 8, are possible for the Na/Ca mixed *Na*2 site, due to the different CO<sub>3</sub> ordering but with a fixed coordination with 5 framework oxygen (Fig. 3). At high pressure, the expansion of the longer *Na*2-*O*3'' and *Na*2-*O*4'' bond lengths leads an increasingly weaker interaction with these atoms, suggesting an actual C.N. = 6 at these conditions.

333 The contraction of the short C1-C1 and C2-C2 distances (equal to c/2) at high pressure suggests an increasing instability for these stacking configurations in favor of the longer C1-C2 one (~3.85 Å at 334 room-P), if we consider the C-C distance in aragonite ( $\sim 2.87$  Å) as the shortest reported in carbonates 335 336 (Bonaccorsi and Merlino 2005). However, previous structure refinements of natural cancrinites from 337 X-ray and neutron diffraction data (e.g. Ballirano and Maras 2005; Della Ventura et al. 2009, Gatta et 338 al. 2011) showed anisotropic displacement parameters of the CO<sub>3</sub> group significantly elongated along 339 [0001], likely due to a positional disorder aimed to optimize the C-C distances at a local scale toward 340 an energetically less costly configuration.

The role of the extraframework population on the high-pressure structure evolution of natural cancrinite appears to be only secondary, suggesting that the *P*-induced structure response is mainly governed by the framework deformation mechanisms, which, in turn, are likely controlled by the CAN topology.

#### 347 Acknowledgments

Giancarlo Della Ventura and Fabio Bellatreccia (Università Roma Tre) are thanked for the crystals of cancrinite from Cameroun. Giorgio Pafundi and Bruno Pafundi are thanked for the set-up of the H*P*-lab in Milan. The reviewers Simona Quartieri and Ronald Miletich, the Technical Editor (Ron Peterson) and the Associate Editor (Alexandra Friedrich) are thanked. This work was funded by the Italian Ministry of University and Research, MIUR-Project: 2008SPZ743.

353

354

## 355 **References**

Angel, R.J. (2000) Equations of state. In R.M. Hazen and R.T. Downs, eds., High-temperature and
high-pressure crystal chemistry, vol. 41, pp. 35–60. Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry,
Mineralogical Society of America and the Geochemical Society, Chantilly, Virginia.

Angel RJ (2004) Absorption corrections for diamond-anvil pressure cells implemented in a software
 package Absorb-6.0. Journal of Applied Crystallography, 37, 486-492.

Angel, R.J., Allan, D.R., Miletich, R. and Finger, L.W. (1997) The use of quartz as internal pressure
calibrant in high-pressure crystallography. Journal of Applied Crystallography, 30, 461–466.

Angel, R.J., Bujak, M., Zhao, J., Gatta, G.D. and Jacobsen, S.J. (2007) Effective hydrostatic limits of
 pressure media for high-pressure crystallographic studies. Journal of Applied Crystallography, 40, 26 32.

Arletti, R., Ferro, O., Quartieri, S., Sani, A., Tabacchi, G., and Vezzalini, G. (2003) Structural
deformation mechanisms of zeolites under pressure. American Mineralogist, 88, 1416-1422.

Arletti, R., Vezzalini, G., Morsli, A., Di Renzo, F., Dmitriev, V. and Quartieri, S. (2011) Elastic
behavior of MFI-type zeolites: 1- Compressibility of Na-ZSM-5 in penetrating and non-penetrating
media. Microporous and Mesoporous Materials, 142, 696-707.

- Baerlocher, C., McCusker, L.B. and Olson, D.H. (2007) Atlas of zeolite framework types (sixth
  edition), 84 p., Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Ballirano, P. and Maras, A. (2005) The crystal structure of a "disordered" cancrinite. European Journal
  of Mineralogy, 16, 135–141.
- Barnes, M.C., Addai-Mensah, J. and Gerson, A.R. (1999) The mechanism of the sodalite-to-cancrinite
  phase transformation in synthetic spent Bayer liquor. Microporous and Mesoporous Materials, 31, 287–
  302.
- Bickmore, B.R., Nagy, K.L., Young, J.S. and Drexler, J.W. (2001) Nitrate-Cancrinite Precipitation on
  Quartz Sand in Simulated Hanford Tank Solutions. Environmental Science and Technology, 35, 4481–
  4486.
- Birch, F. (1947) Finite elastic strain of cubic crystals. Physical Review, 71, 809-824.
- 382 Bonaccorsi, E. and Merlino, S. (2005) Modular microporous minerals: Cancrinite-Davyne group and
- 383 C-S-H phases. In G. Ferraris and S. Merlino, Eds., Micro- and mesoporous mineral phases, vol. 57, p.
- 384 241–290. Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry, Mineralogical Society of America and the
- 385 Geochemical Society, Chantilly, Virginia.
- Bonaccorsi, E., Ballirano, P., Cámara, F. (2012) The crystal structure of sacrofanite, the 74 Å phase of the cancrinite–sodalite supergroup, Microporous and Mesoporous Materials, 147, 318-326.
- Bresciani-Pahor, N., Calligaris, M., Nardin, G. and Randaccio, L. (1982) Structure of a Basic
  Cancrinite. Acta Crystallographica, B38, 893–895.
- Buck, E.C. and McNamara, B.K. (2004) Precipitation of Nitrate-Cancrinite in Hanford Tank Sludge.
  Environmental Science and Technology, 38, 4432–4438.
- Brigatti, M.F. and Guggenheim, S. (2002) Mica crystal chemistry and the influence of pressure,
  temperature, and solid solution on atomistic models. In A. Mottana, F.P. Sassi, J.B. Thompson Jr. and
- 394 S. Guggenheim, eds, Micas: crystal chemistry and metamorphic petrology, vol. 46, pp. 1–98. Reviews
- in Mineralogy and Geochemistry, Mineralogical Society of America and the Geochemical Society,
- 396 Chantilly, Virginia.

- Brown, W.L. and Cesbron, F. (1973) Sur les surstructures des cancrinites. Comptes Rendus de
  l'Academie de Sciences, 276(Aer. D), 1–4.
- Buck, E.C. and McNamara, B.K. (2004) Precipitation of Nitrate-Cancrinite in Hanford Tank Sludge.
  Environmental Science and Technology, 38, 4432–4438.
- 401 Buhl, J.C., Stief, F., Fechtelkord, M., Gesing, T.M., Taphorn, U. and Taake, C. (2000) Synthesis, X-ray
- 402 diffraction and MAS NMR characteristics of nitrate cancrinite Na<sub>7.6</sub>[AlSiO<sub>4</sub>]<sub>6</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>1.6</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>. Journal
- 403 of Alloys and Compounds, 305, 93–102.
- 404 Cámara, F., Bellatreccia, F., Della Ventura, G. and Mottana, A. (2005) Farneseite, a new mineral of the
- 405 cancrinite-sodalite group with a 14 layer stacking sequence: occurrence and crystal structure. European
- 406 Journal of Mineralogy, 17, 839–846.
- 407 Cámara, F., Bellatreccia, F., Della Ventura, G., Mottana, A., Bindi, L., Gunter, M.E. and Sebastiani, M.
- 408 (2010) Fantappièite, a new mineral of the cancrinite-sodalite group with a 33-layer stacking sequence:
- 409 Occurrence and crystal structure. American Mineralogist, 95, 472–480.
- 410 Della Ventura, G., Gatta, G.D., Redhammer, G.J., Bellatreccia, F., Loose, A. and Parodi, G.C. (2009)
- 411 Single-crystal polarized FTIR spectroscopy and neutron diffraction refinement of cancrinite. Physics412 and Chemistry of Minerals, 36, 193–206.
- Fechtelkord, M., Stief, F. and Buhl, J.C. (2001) Sodium cation dynamics in nitrate cancrinite: A low and high temperature <sup>23</sup>Na and <sup>1</sup>H MAS NMR study and high temperature Rietveld structure refinement. American Mineralogist, 86, 165–175.
- Foit, F.F. Jr., Peacor, D.R and Heinrich, E.W.M. (1973) Cancrinite with a new superstructure from
  Bancroft, Ontario. Canadian Mineralogist, 11, 940–951.
- Gatta, G.D. (2008) Does porous mean soft? On the elastic behaviour and structural evolution of zeolites
  under pressure. Zeitschrift fur Kristallografie, 223, 160–170.
- 420 Gatta, G.D., Comodi, P., and Zanazzi, P.F. (2003): New insights on high-pressure behaviour of 421 microporous materials from X-ray single crystal data. Microporous and Mesoporous Materials, 61, 422 105-111.

- 423 Gatta, G.D. and Lee, Y. (2006) On the elastic behavior of zeolite mordenite. A synchotron powder 424 diffraction study. Physics and Chemistry of Minerals, 32, 726–732.
- Gatta, G.D. and Lee, Y. (2007) Anisotropic elastic behavior and structural evolution of zeolite
  phillipsite at high-pressure: a synchrotron powder diffraction study. Microporous and Mesoporous
  Materials, 135, 239–250.
- 428 Gatta, G.D. and Lee, Y. (2008) Pressure-induced structural evolution and elastic behaviour of 429 Na<sub>6</sub>Cs<sub>2</sub>Ga<sub>6</sub>Ge<sub>6</sub>O<sub>24</sub>·Ge(OH)<sub>6</sub> variant of cancrinite: A synchrotron powder diffraction study. Microporous 430 and Mesoporous Materials, 116, 51–58.
- 431 Gatta, G.D., Comodi, P., Zanazzi, P.F. and Boffa Ballaran, T. (2005) Anomalous elastic behavior and
- 432 high-pressure structural evolution of zeolite levyne. American Mineralogist, 90, 645–652.
- 433 Gatta, G.D., Lotti, P., Kahlenberg, V. and Haefeker, U. (2012) On the low-temperature behavior of 434 cancrinite: an *in-situ* single-crystal X-ray diffraction study. Mineralogical Magazine (*in press*).
- Gerson, A.R. and Zheng, K. (1997) Bayer process plant scale: transformation of sodalite to cancrinite.
  Journal of Crystal Growth, 171, 209–218.
- Grundy, H.D. and Hassan, I. (1982) The crystal structure of a carbonate-rich cancrinite. Canadian
  Mineralogist, 20, 239–251.
- Hassan, I. and Buseck, P.R. (1992) The origin of the superstructure and modulations in cancrinite.
  Canadian Mineralogist, 30, 49–59.
- Hassan, I. and Grundy, H.D. (1984) The character of the cancrinite-vishnevite solid solution series. The
  Canadian Mineralogist, 22, 333–340.
- Hassan, I. and Grundy, H.D. (1991) The crystal structure of basic cancrinite, ideally
  Na<sub>8</sub>[Al<sub>6</sub>Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>24</sub>](OH)<sub>2</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O. Canadian Mineralogist, 29, 377–383.
- Hassan, I., Antao, S.M. and Parise, J.B. (2006) Cancrinite: Crystal structure, phase transitions, and
  dehydration behavior with temperature. American Mineralogist, 91, 1117-1124.
- Hazen, R.M. and Sharp, Z.D. (1988) Compressibility of sodalite and scapolite. American Mineralogist,
  73, 1120–1122.

- 449 Isupova, D., Ida, A., Kihara, K., Morishita, T. and Bulka, G. (2010) Asymmetric thermal vibrations of
- 450 atoms and pyroelectricity in cancrinite. Journal of Mineralogical and Petrological Sciences, 105, 29–41.
- Jarchow, O. (1965) Atomanordnung und strukturverfeinerung von Cancrinit. Zeitschrift fur
  Kristallografie, 122, 407–422
- Leardini, L., Quartieri, S. and Vezzalini, G. (2010) Compressibility of microporous materials with
  CHA topology: 1. Natural chabazite and SAPO-34. Microporous and Mesoporous Materials, 127, 219227.
- Mao, H.K., Xu, J. and Bell, P.M. (1986) Calibration of the ruby pressure gauge to 800 kbar under
  quasi-hydrostatic conditions. Journal of Geophysical Research, 91, 4673-4676.
- 458 Miletich, R, Allan, D.R. and Kuhs, W.F. (2000) High-pressure single-crystal techniques. In R.M.
- 459 Hazen and R.T. Downs, eds., High-temperature and high-pressure crystal chemistry, vol. 41, pp. 445–
- 460 519. Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry, Mineralogical Society of America and the 461 Geochemical Society, Chantilly, Virginia.
- 462 Murnaghan, F.D. (1937) Finite deformations of an elastic solid. American Journal of Mathematic, 49,
  463 235-260.
- 464 Oxford Diffraction (2010) Oxford Diffraction Ltd., Xcalibur CCD system, CrysAlis Software system.
- Ori, S., Quartieri, S., Vezzalini, G., and Dmitriev, V. (2008) Pressure-induced over-hydration and water
  ordering in gismondine: A synchrotron powder diffraction study. American Mineralogist, 93, 1393–
  1403.
- Pauling, L. (1930) The structure of some sodium and calcium aluminosilicates. Proceedings of the
  National Academy of Sciences, 16, 453–459.
- 470 Poborchii, V.V. (1994) Structure of one-dimensional selenium chains in zeolite channels by polarized
  471 Raman scattering. Journal of Physics and Chemistry of Solids, 55, 737–774.
- 472 Poborchii, V.V., Lindner, G.G. and Sato, M. (2002) Selenium dimers and linear chains in one473 dimensional cancrinite nanochannels: Structure, dynamics, and optical properties. Journal of Chemical
  474 Physics, 116, 2609–2617.

- 475 Quartieri, S., Montagna, G., Arletti, R. and Vezzalini, G. (2011) Elastic behavior of MFI-type zeolites:
- 476 Compressibility of H-ZSM-5 in pentrating and non-penetrating media. Journal of Solid State
- 477 Chemistry, 184, 1505-1516.
- Rastvetaeva, R., Pekov, I., Chukanov, N., Rozenberg, K. and Olysych, L. (2007) Crystal structures of
  low-symmetry cancrinite and cancrisilite varieties. Crystallography Reports, 52, 811–818.
- 480 Sheldrick, G.M. (1997) SHELX-97 A program for crystal structure refinement. University of
  481 Goettingen, Germany.
- Wilson, A.J.C. and Prince, E. (1999) International Tables for Crystallography Vol. C, Mathematical,
  physical anc chemical tables (third edition), 578 p., Kluwer, Dordrecht.
- Zhao, H., Deng, Y., Harsh, J.B., Flury, M. and Boyle, J.S. (2004) Alteration of kaolinite to cancrinite
  and sodalite by simulated Hanford tank waste and its impact on Cesium retention. Clays and Clay
  Minerals, 52, 1–13.

Figure 1. (*Left side*) The building scheme of the CAN framework: planes of 6-membered rings of
tetrahedra perpendicular to [0001] are stacked according to an ABAB close-packing sequence. (*Right side*) A column, parallel to [0001], of base-sharing ε cages. Three *double zigzag chains* run along the
column.



494 Figure 2. (A) The cancrinite framework viewed down [0001]. (B) The  $\varepsilon$  cage, with a view of the  $\kappa$ 495 angle (O2-O2-O2) and of the 6mR//[0001] window's diameters. (C and D) The 6mR $\perp$ [0001] at room-*P* 496 (C) and at 6.63 GPa (D). *P*-induced anti-cooperative rotation of adjacent tetrahedra (ditrigonalization) 497 is shown.

A B b С D 6.63(2) GPa 0.0001 GPa 

Figure 3. (*Left side*) The coordination shell of the Na1 site. Dashed lines represent mutually exclusive Na-Ow bond lengths. (*Right side*) The coordination shell of the Na2 site (*i.e.* 5 framework oxygen on a side and up to three carbonate oxygen on the opposite side, maximum C.N. = 8).



503

504

Figure 4. The extraframework population of the 12mR channel, with the Na2 site close to the wall and the carbonate anions lying at the center. Different stacking sequences of the  $CO_3^{2-}$  groups and their vacancies are possible.

508



510 Figure 5. Variation of the unit-cell parameters of cancrinite with pressure and Eulerian finite strain vs normalized stress plot

(*fe-Fe* plot). For the unit-cell parameters *vs. P* plots, the dotted lines represent the axial and volume BM-EoS fits between
0.0001 and 6.63 GPa, whereas the solid lines represents the BM-EoS fit between 0.0001- 4.62 GPa and 5.00-6.63 GPa,
respectively (see text for further details). The *e.s.ds* are of the same size of the symbols.



- 518 Figure 6. Evolution with *P* of: (A) the ditrigonal rotation angle  $\alpha$  of the 6mR $\perp$ [0001] unit, (B) the O3-
- 519 O4 diameter of the 4mR unit, (C) the O1-O1 diameter of the 12mR unit, and (D) the  $\kappa$  angle (O2-O2-520 O2) of the  $\epsilon$  cage. The weighted linear fits through the data points are shown.



#### 

P (GPa)	0.0001	0.0001*	0.76(2)	0.99(2)	1.39(2)	2.33(2)	3.59(2)	4.30(3)	5.00(2)	5.73(3)	6.16(2)	6.63(3)
X-ray radiation	Μο-Κα	Μο- <i>Κ</i> α	Μο-Κα	Μο-Κα	Μο-Κα	Μο-Κα	Μο-Κα	Μο-Κα	Μο- <i>Κ</i> α	Μο- <i>Κ</i> α	Μο-Κα	Μο- <i>Κ</i> α
Scan width (°/frame)	1	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
<i>a</i> (Å)	12.5976(6)	12.620(9)	12.580(9)	12.530(9)	12.514(9)	12.447(5)	12.386(3)	12.347(6)	12.322(4)	12.278(2)	12.266(3)	12.240(4)
c (Å)	5.1168(2)	5.083(4)	5.058(3)	5.072(5)	5.034(2)	5.006(2)	4.954(2)	4.935(3)	4.905(2)	4.876(1)	4.864(2)	4.843(2)
Maximum 2θ (°)	69.96	60.91	64.02	64.05	64.05	64.05	63.42	63.64	63.96	63.66	64.00	63.85
Measured reflections	19,933	1,545	1,858	1,932	2,034	1,838	1,937	2,238	2,019	2,000	2,111	1,945
Unique reflections	1,980	685	789	769	771	722	728	834	780	752	799	704
Unique reflections with $F_0 > 4\sigma(F_0)$	1,092	327	374	396	356	343	325	402	350	337	373	327
R <sub>int</sub>	0.0581	0.1068	0.0958	0.1177	0.0936	0.0964	0.1088	0.0961	0.0900	0.0972	0.0884	0.0995
N. of refined parameters	87	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45
$R_1, F_0 > 4\sigma(F_0)$	0.0560	0.0833	0.0888	0.0777	0.0656	0.0549	0.0634	0.0696	0.0606	0.0733	0.0830	0.0694
$wR^2$	0.0634	0.0925	0.1025	0.1192	0.0946	0.0775	0.0890	0.0958	0.0855	0.1045	0.0958	0.0881
GooF	1.073	1.096	1.036	1.117	1.095	1.285	1.098	1.079	1.080	1.062	1.029	1.024
Residuals $(e^7/\text{\AA}^3)$	+0.77/	+0.71/	+0.65/	+0.66/	+0.70/	+0.77/	+0.66/	+0.60/	+0.61/	+0.79/	+0.76/	+0.89/
	-0.55	-0.85	-0.74	-0.72	-0.73	-0.51	-0.69	-0.87	-0.63	-0.96	-0.80	-0.72

\* With the crystal in the DAC without any *P*-medium. At any pressure:  $\omega/\varphi$  scan type, 60 s exposure time, *P*6<sub>3</sub> space group.  $R_{\text{int}} = \Sigma | F_{\text{obs}}^2 - F_{\text{obs}}^2(\text{mean}) | / \Sigma [ F_{\text{obs}}^2 ]; R_1 = \Sigma (|F_{\text{obs}}| - |F_{\text{calc}}|) / \Sigma | F_{\text{obs}} ]; wR_2 = [\Sigma [w(F_{\text{obs}}^2 - F_{\text{calc}}^2)^2 / \Sigma [w(F_{\text{obs}}^2)^2 + (0.01*P)^2], P = (\text{Max} (F_{\text{obs}}^2, 0) + 2*F_{\text{calc}}^2) / 3$ 

Table 2. Unit-cell parameters of cancrinite at different pressures measured using a KUMAdiffractometer.

535				
536	P (GPa)	<i>a</i> (Å)	<i>c</i> (Å)	$V(\text{\AA}^3)$
550	0.0001*	12.598(8)	5.114(4)	702.6(8)
537	0.74(2)	12.550(7)	5.077(3)	692.5(7)
538	0.96(2)	12.530(9)	5.072(5)	690.0(9)
550	1.30(2)	12.515(6)	5.054(3)	685.6(6)
539	1.99(2)	12.466(8)	5.028(4)	676.6(8)
540	2.33(2)	12.447(5)	5.006(2)	671.7(5)
540	2.63(2)	12.434(6)	4.994(2)	668.7(5)
541	2.96(2)	12.415(7)	4.978(7)	664.0(9)
542	3.27(2)	12.393(5)	4.974(3)	661.6(5)
572	3.59(2)	12.386(3)	4.954(2)	658.3(3)
543	3.60(2)	12.378(6)	4.961(3)	658.5(6)
544	3.93(2)	12.367(4)	4.944(2)	654.9(4)
5	4.30(3)	12.347(6)	4.935(3)	651.5(6)
545	4.44(2)	12.346(4)	4.925(2)	650.1(4)
546	4.62(3)	12.340(3)	4.919(2)	648.6(3)
540	5.00(2)	12.322(4)	4.905(2)	645.0(4)
547	5.16(2)	12.310(3)	4.898(2)	642.9(3)
548	5.51(2)	12.294(2)	4.886(1)	639.6(2)
540	5.75(2)	12.278(2)	4.876(1)	636.6(2)
549	5.98(2)	12.269(5)	4.866(3)	634.2(5)
550	6.14(2)	12.266(3)	4.864(2)	633.7(3)
550	6.30(3)	12.250(3)	4.852(2)	630.5(3)
551	6.63(3)	12.240(4)	4.843(2)	628.3(4)
552	* With the crystal in Note: Unit-cell parar	the DAC without any <i>P</i> - neters measured with a F	medium. KUMA point-detector c	liffractometer.

		Sites occupano	cies at P <sub>0</sub> -AIR		
Site	s.o.f.	Site	s.o.f.	Site	s.o.f.
Si	1.0	O4	1.0	Oc1	0.421(7)
Al	1.0	Na1	0.960(9)	C2	0.459(6)
01	1.0	Na2(Na)	0.854(6)	Oc2	0.459(6)
02	1.0	Na2(Ca)	0.146(6)	Ow	1.0
O3	1.0	C1	0.421(7)		
	Site fra	actional coordinates ar	nd displacement para	ameters	
P (GPa)	Site	x	у	z	$U_{ m iso}/~U_{ m eq}$
0.0001	Si	0.08267(7)	0.41096(7)	0.7338(2)	0.0090(2
$(P_0$ -AIR)	Al	0.33709(7)	0.41224(7)	0.7342(2)	0.0087(2
	O1	0.2014(2)	0.4043(2)	0.6419(4)	0.0155(5
	O2	0.1145(2)	0.5507(2)	0.7109(5)	0.0198(5
	O3	0.0443(2)	0.3588(2)	0.0276(4)	0.0164(6
	O4	0.3212(2)	0.3508(2)	0.0442(4)	0.0148(6
	Na1	2/3	1/3	0.1159(5)	0.0294(9
	Na2	0.8742(1)	0.7516(1)	0.7764(2)	0.0287(5
	C1	0	0	0.914(4)	0.048(6)
	OC1	0.0562(7)	0.1184(4)	0.888(2)	0.052(3)
	C2	0	0	0.649(3)	0.021(4)
	OC2	0.0639(5)	0.1196(3)	0.664(1)	0.030(2)
	Ow	0.315(1)	0.6171(6)	0.171(1)	0.048(3)
0.0001	Si	0.0824(3)	0.4106(3)	0.7244(8)	0.0082(9
$(P_0 \text{-} \text{DAC})$	Al	0.3372(3)	0.4126(3)	0.7231(9)	0.0087(9
	01	0.2007(7)	0.4027(7)	0.634(1)	0.013(2)
	O2	0.1140(6)	0.5520(7)	0.698(2)	0.019(2)
	03	0.0462(8)	0.3590(8)	0.020(2)	0.012(3)
	O4	0.3199(8)	0.3517(7)	0.036(1)	0.007(2)
	Na1	2/3	1/3	0.108(2)	0.038(3)
	Na2	0.8746(4)	0.7519(4)	0.7673(5)	0.027(1)
	C1	0	0	0.91(1)	0.006(9)
	OC1	0.058(2)	0.1182(8)	0.881(6)	0.038(5)
	C2	0	0	0.652(9)	0.006(9)
	OC2	0.062(2)	0.1190(5)	0.662(4)	0.038(5)
	Ow	0.316(4)	0.617(2)	0.173(4)	0.039(9)
0.76(2)	Si	0.0828(3)	0.4112(3)	0.7347(7)	0.0095(7
0170(2)	Al	0.3373(3)	0.4126(3)	0.7348(9)	0.0111(8
	01	0.2009(7)	0.4022(7)	0.639(1)	0.015(2)
	02	0.1175(6)	0.5542(6)	0.704(1)	0.019(2)
	03	0.0491(7)	0.3632(7)	0.034(2)	0.014(2)
	04	0.3212(7)	0.3540(7)	0.048(2)	0.010(2)
	Na1	2/3	1/3	0.112(1)	0.041(3)
	Na2	0.8754(4)	0.7517(4)	0.7779(6)	0.032(1)
	C1	0.0754(4)	0.7317(4)	0.90(2)	0.032(1)
		0.061(2)	0 1100(8)	0.90(2)	0.04(1)
	UCI	0.061(2)	0.1190(8)	0.883(6)	0.048(5)

Table 3. Atomic fractional coordinates, site occupancy factors (s.o.f.), and thermal displacement parameters ( $Å^2$ ) at different pressures.

	C2	0	0	0.672(4)	0.04(1)
	OC2	0.062(2)	0.1193(5)	0.672(4)	0.048(5)
	Ow	0.306(4)	0.613(2)	0.181(4)	0.054(9)
0.99(2)	Si	0.0826(3)	0.4108(3)	0.7376(6)	0.0139(7)
	Al	0.3374(2)	0.4121(3)	0.7389(7)	0.0133(8)
	01	0.2000(7)	0.4017(7)	0.644(1)	0.020(2)
	02	0.1163(6)	0.5513(6)	0.707(1)	0.020(2)
	03	0.0457(7)	0.3588(7)	0.034(1)	0.015(2)
	04	0.3191(7)	0.3512(7)	0.053(1)	0.017(2)
	Na1	2/3	1/3	0.115(1)	0.036(2)
	Na2	0.8733(3)	0.7497(4)	0.7820(6)	0.030(2)
	C1	0	0	0.91(1)	0.052(1)
	001	0.056(3)	0 110(1)	0.964(7)	0.050(1)
	62	0.030(3)	0.119(1)	0.804(7)	0.009(0)
	0.02	0	0	0.66(1)	0.05(1)
	002	0.063(2)	0.1200(5)	0.657(5)	0.069(6)
	Ow	0.309(3)	0.608(2)	0.173(4)	0.05(1)
1.39(2)	Si	0.0829(2)	0.4109(2)	0.7342(6)	0.0105(7)
	Al	0.3372(2)	0.4121(3)	0.7356(7)	0.0112(7)
	01	0.2004(6)	0.4006(7)	0.635(1)	0.017(2)
	O2	0.1180(5)	0.5533(6)	0.702(1)	0.022(2)
	O3	0.0484(6)	0.3620(6)	0.035(1)	0.013(2)
	O4	0.3183(6)	0.3535(6)	0.054(1)	0.015(2)
	Na1	2/3	1/3	0.110(1)	0.040(2)
	Na2	0.8743(3)	0.7508(3)	0.7784(5)	0.032(1)
	C1	0	0	0.90(1)	0.028(9)
	OC1	0.058(2)	0.1200(6)	0.886(6)	0.052(5)
	C2	0	0	0.671(4)	0.028(9)
	OC2	0.063(2)	0.1203(5)	0.671(4)	0.052(5)
	Ow	0.305(3)	0.614(2)	0.178(4)	0.056(8)
2.33(2)	Si	0.0829(2)	0.4111(2)	0.7340(7)	0.0103(6)
	Al	0.3369(2)	0.4123(3)	0.7357(8)	0.0092(7)
	01	0.2004(6)	0.4010(7)	0.634(1)	0.016(2)
	02	0.1174(5)	0.5525(5)	0.699(1)	0.021(2)
	03	0.0494(6)	0.3629(7)	0.0390(1)	0.014(2)
	04	0.3181(6)	0.3529(6)	0.058(1)	0.016(2)
	Na1	2/3	1/3	0.107(1)	0.042(2)
	Na2	0.8749(3)	0.7524(3)	0.7792(5)	0.0283(9)
	C1	0	0	0.90(1)	0.032(8)
	OC1	0.052(2)	0.1193(7)	0.870(5)	0.053(5)
	C2	0	0	0.655(9)	0.032(8)
	002	0.067(2)	0 1198(6)	0.672(3)	0.053(5)
	Ow	0.319(4)	0.617(2)	0.176(3)	0.047(6)
2 50(2)	5:	0.0821(2)	0.017(2)	0.170(3)	0.047(0)
3.39(2)	51	0.0001(0)	0.4112(3)	0.7300(7)	0.0101(7)
	AI	0.3307(3)	0.4124(3)	0.7393(8)	0.0105(7)
	01	0.1988(7)	0.39//(/)	0.631(1)	0.017(2)
	02	0.1232(6)	0.5556(6)	0.697(1)	0.020(2)
	03	0.0529(7)	0.3664(6)	0.047(1)	0.014(2)
	O4	0.3189(6)	0.3581(6)	0.062(1)	0.015(2)

	Na1	2/3	1/3	0.100(1)	0.038(2)
	Na2	0.8755(3)	0.7523(3)	0.7785(6)	0.028(1)
	C1	0	0	0.91(1)	0.05(1)
	OC1	0.066(3)	0.119(1)	0.860(5)	0.054(5)
	C2	0	0	0.686(9)	0.05(1)
	OC2	0.057(2)	0.1210(6)	0.664(4)	0.054(5)
	Ow	0.308(3)	0.615(2)	0.159(3)	0.031(7)
4.30(3)	Si	0.0835(2)	0.4111(3)	0.7345(7)	0.0120(6)
	Al	0.3369(2)	0.4126(3)	0.7366(8)	0.0125(7)
	01	0.1981(6)	0.3957(7)	0.628(1)	0.019(2)
	02	0.1243(5)	0.5566(6)	0.691(1)	0.020(2)
	03	0.0539(6)	0.3675(6)	0.046(1)	0.015(2)
	04	0.3181(6)	0.3579(6)	0.063(1)	0.017(2)
	Na1	2/3	1/3	0.095(1)	0.040(2)
	Na2	0.8754(3)	0.7523(3)	0.7756(6)	0.0291(9)
	C1	0	0	0.90(1)	0.0251(5)
	001	0.055(2)	0 1204(9)	0.867(5)	0.053(5)
	C2	0.035(2)	0.1204())	0.682(7)	0.035(3)
	002	0.067(2)	0 1216(5)	0.082(7)	0.020(8)
	002	0.007(2)	0.617(2)	0.005(4)	0.031(6)
5.00(2)	0w	0.0841(2)	0.017(2)	0.133(2)	0.031(0)
5.00(2)	51	0.0841(2) 0.2272(2)	0.4110(2)	0.7309(7)	0.0127(0)
	Al	0.5572(2)	0.4131(3)	0.7384(8)	0.0123(7)
	01	0.1984(6)	0.3978(6)	0.626(1)	0.016(2)
	02	0.1235(6)	0.5554(6)	0.691(1)	0.024(2)
	03	0.0546(7)	0.3666(6)	0.048(1)	0.014(2)
	04	0.3182(6)	0.3584(6)	0.067(1)	0.017(2)
	Nal	2/3	1/3	0.094(1)	0.039(2)
	Na2	0.8757(3)	0.7527(3)	0.7795(6)	0.0276(9)
	C1	0	0	0.918(9)	0.025(8)
	OC1	0.054(2)	0.118(1)	0.857(5)	0.050(4)
	C2	0	0	0.659(8)	0.025(8)
	OC2	0.065(2)	0.1218(5)	0.665(4)	0.050(4)
	Ow	0.314(3)	0.614(2)	0.151(3)	0.045(8)
5.73(3)	Si	0.0839(3)	0.4118(3)	0.7377(8)	0.0123(7)
	Al	0.3377(3)	0.4137(3)	0.7415(9)	0.0131(8)
	01	0.1955(7)	0.3916(8)	0.625(1)	0.020(2)
	O2	0.1259(7)	0.5560(7)	0.686(1)	0.027(2)
	O3	0.0574(8)	0.3702(6)	0.058(1)	0.014(2)
	O4	0.3196(7)	0.3617(7)	0.072(2)	0.017(2)
	Na1	2/3	1/3	0.085(2)	0.049(3)
	Na2	0.8760(4)	0.7531(4)	0.7764(7)	0.030(1)
	C1	0	0	0.90(1)	0.024(9)
	OC1	0.060(3)	0.121(1)	0.855(6)	0.047(5)
	C2	0	0	0.67(1)	0.024(9)
	OC2	0.064(2)	0.1226(5)	0.668(4)	0.047(5)
	Ow	0.308(4)	0.614(2)	0.151(3)	0.048(9)
6.16(2)	Si	0.0842(3)	0.4119(3)	0.7387(8)	0.0130(6)
	Al	0.3375(2)	0.4139(3)	0.7405(9)	0.0125(7)

	O1	0.1960(7)	0.3931(7)	0.627(1)	0.020(2)
	O2	0.1267(6)	0.5590(6)	0.686(1)	0.027(2)
	O3	0.0578(7)	0.3721(6)	0.055(1)	0.014(2)
	O4	0.3193(6)	0.3613(6)	0.070(1)	0.017(2)
	Na1	2/3	1/3	0.084(1)	0.047(2)
	Na2	0.8761(3)	0.7530(3)	0.7774(7)	0.031(1)
	C1	0	0	0.92(1)	0.016(8)
	OC1	0.060(2)	0.119(1)	0.853(5)	0.045(4)
	C2	0	0	0.676(7)	0.016(8)
	OC2	0.062(2)	0.1228(5)	0.666(4)	0.045(4)
	Ow	0.311(3)	0.614(2)	0.146(3)	0.048(9)
6.63(3)	Si	0.0841(2)	0.4123(3)	0.7392(8)	0.0126(6)
	Al	0.3373(2)	0.4142(3)	0.7424(9)	0.0137(7)
	01	0.1959(6)	0.3910(7)	0.626(1)	0.022(2)
	O2	0.1302(6)	0.5576(6)	0.687(1)	0.027(2)
	O3	0.0572(7)	0.3721(6)	0.061(1)	0.018(2)
	O4	0.3199(6)	0.3620(6)	0.073(1)	0.019(2)
	Na1	2/3	1/3	0.077(2)	0.065(3)
	Na2	0.8762(3)	0.7527(3)	0.7758(7)	0.034(1)
	C1	0	0	0.90(1)	0.031(8)
	OC1	0.061(4)	0.121(1)	0.854(5)	0.055(4)
	C2	0	0	0.684(8)	0.031(8)
	OC2	0.062(3)	0.1225(6)	0.661(4)	0.055(4)
	Ow	0.308(3)	0.615(2)	0.141(3)	0.045(9)

Table 4. Relevant bond distances (Å), ring "diameters" (Å), angles (°), areas (Å<sup>2</sup>), volumes (Å<sup>3</sup>) and the (0001)-plane corrugation ( $\Delta z$ , Å) at different pressures. 

P (GPa)	0.0001 <i>P</i> <sub>0</sub> -DAC	0.76(2)	0.99(2)	1.39(2)	2.33(2)	3.59(2)	4.30(3)	5.00(2)	5.73(3)	6.16(2)	6.63(3)
Si-O1	1.613(9)	1.620(8)	1.603(8)	1.618(7)	1.610(7)	1.611(8)	1.607(7)	1.598(7)	1.604(9)	1.596(8)	1.610(7)
Si-O2	1.627(8)	1.631(8)	1.600(7)	1.617(7)	1.599(6)	1.607(8)	1.619(7)	1.601(7)	1.598(8)	1.629(7)	1.595(7)
Si-O3	1.612(8)	1.604(8)	1.611(7)	1.610(6)	1.617(7)	1.621(8)	1.609(7)	1.603(7)	1.624(8)	1.597(8)	1.616(8)
Si-O4	1.628(8)	1.624(9)	1.615(8)	1.622(7)	1.602(7)	1.615(8)	1.615(7)	1.613(7)	1.604(9)	1.612(8)	1.603(8)
<si-o></si-o>	1.620	1.620	1.607	1.617	1.607	1.613	1.613	1.604	1.608	1.609	1.606
Al-O1	1.725(8)	1.723(8)	1.728(8)	1.721(7)	1.711(7)	1.709(8)	1.706(7)	1.716(7)	1.723(8)	1.716(8)	1.703(7)
Al-O2	1.701(9)	1.701(8)	1.723(7)	1.713(7)	1.708(6)	1.719(7)	1.711(7)	1.711(7)	1.719(8)	1.687(8)	1.730(7)
Al-O3	1.752(9)	1.755(9)	1.741(8)	1.736(8)	1.727(7)	1.729(8)	1.727(8)	1.734(8)	1.729(9)	1.734(8)	1.718(8)
Al-O4	1.734(8)	1.718(8)	1.734(8)	1.731(7)	1.742(7)	1.704(8)	1.715(8)	1.716(8)	1.708(9)	1.702(8)	1.697(9)
<al-o></al-o>	1.728	1.724	1.732	1.725	1.722	1.715	1.715	1.719	1.720	1.710	1.712
Na1-O1 (x 3)	2.888(8)	2.883(8)	2.879(8)	2.886(7)	2.867(7)	2.894(7)	2.902(7)	2.874(7)	2.931(8)	2.914(7)	2.932(7)
Na1-O2 (x 3)	2.441(7)	2.397(6)	2.403(6)	2.38(6)	2.374(6)	2.312(6)	2.286(7)	2.291(6)	2.262(7)	2.252(6)	2.219(6)
Na1-Ow'	2.28(2)	2.26(2)	2.34(2)	2.25(2)	2.23(2)	2.25(1)	2.24(1)	2.25(1)	2.19(2)	2.20(2)	2.18(1)
Na1-Ow"	2.92(2)	2.94(2)	2.90(2)	2.91(2)	2.90(2)	2.83(1)	2.81(1)	2.79(1)	2.82(2)	2.79(2)	2.79(1)
Na2-O1	2.515(9)	2.479(8)	2.467(8)	2.432(7)	2.425(6)	2.371(7)	2.353(7)	2.337(6)	2.294(8)	2.301(7)	2.280(7)
Na2-O3'	2.436(9)	2.426(9)	2.419(8)	2.406(7)	2.397(6)	2.357(7)	2.351(7)	2.334(7)	2.310(8)	2.329(7)	2.308(7)
Na2-O4'	2.429(9)	2.437(8)	2.390(8)	2.375(7)	2.359(6)	2.355(7)	2.327(7)	2.324(7)	2.315(8)	2.316(7)	2.308(7)
Na2-O3"	2.930(10)	2.980(9)	2.902(8)	2.947(8)	2.949(8)	2.995(8)	2.999(8)	2.985(8)	3.040(10)	3.043(9)	3.051(9)
Na2-O4''	2.903(9)	2.905(9)	2.884(8)	2.910(7)	2.902(7)	2.940(7)	2.940(7)	2.937(7)	2.976(8)	2.960(7)	2.964(8)
Na2-Oc1'	2.40(3)	2.42(3)	2.34(4)	2.38(3)	2.26(3)	2.44(3)	2.27(3)	2.25(3)	2.30(3)	2.30(3)	2.31(5)
Na2-Oc1"	2.44(3)	2.38(3)	2.41(3)	2.43(3)	2.46(3)	2.23(3)	2.14(3)	2.39(3)	2.32(3)	2.31(3)	2.29(5)
Na2-Oc1'''	2.42(3)	2.44(3)	2.56(3)	2.42(3)	2.48(2)	2.50(3)	2.43(3)	2.49(2)	2.45(3)	2.47(2)	2.44(3)
Na2-Oc2'	2.39(2)	2.37(2)	2.41(3)	2.38(2)	2.29(2)	2.41(3)	2.28(3)	2.31(2)	2.30(3)	2.33(2)	2.33(4)
Na2-Oc2"	2.43(2)	2.43(2)	2.46(3)	2.42(2)	2.45(2)	2.34(3)	2.42(3)	2.40(2)	2.36(3)	2.34(2)	2.34(4)
Na2-Oc2'''	2.45(2)	2.44(2)	2.37(2)	2.42(2)	2.40(2)	2.34(2)	2.37(2)	2.32(2)	2.32(2)	2.31(2)	2.29(2)
C1↔C1, C2↔C2	2.542(2)	2.529(2)	2.538(3)	2.517(1)	2.503(1)	2.477(1)	2.468(2)	2.453(1)	2.438(1)	2.432(1)	2.422(1)
C1↔C2	3.85(7)	3.8(1)	3.81(9)	3.63(7)	3.71(7)	3.55(8)	3.56(6)	3.72(6)	3.59(8)	3.60(6)	3.46(7)
				6n	nR⊥[0001]						
ω1	136.0(5)	137.5(5)	137.4(4)	138.2(4)	137.9(4)	141.4(5)	142.7(4)	141.5(4)	144.9(5)	144.9(5)	146.9(4)
ω2	100.8(4)	99.1(3)	99.5(4)	98.3(3)	98.7(3)	95.1(3)	94.0(3)	95.0(3)	92.0(4)	92.1(3)	89.9(3)
α	8.8(2)	9.6(2)	9.5(2)	10.0(2)	9.8(2)	11.6(2)	12.2(2)	11.6(2)	13.2(2)	13.2(2)	14.3(2)
01↔02	5.29(1)	5.24(1)	5.24(1)	5.23(1)	5.20(1)	5.15(1)	5.14(1)	5.119(9)	5.14(1)	5.11(1)	5.08(1)
Area	18.2(4)	17.8(4)	17.8(4)	17.7(4)	17.5(4)	17.1(4)	17.0(4)	16.8(4)	16.9(4)	16.7(4)	16.5(4)
					4mR						

03↔04	4.15(1)	4.08(1)	4.13(1)	4.09(1)	4.07(1)	3.99(1)	3.97(1)	3.98(1)	3.91(1)	3.89(1)	3.87(1)
02↔02	3.56(1)	3.60(1)	3.584(9)	3.591(9)	3.563(8)	3.625(8)	3.63(8)	3.604(8)	3.625(9)	3.629(9)	3.677(9)
Area	7.39(6)	7.34(4)	7.40(4)	7.34(4)	7.25(3)	7.23(3)	7.21(3)	7.17(3)	7.09(4)	7.06(4)	7.11(4)
	12mR										
01↔01	9.16(1)	9.12(1)	9.08(1)	9.04(1)	9.00(1)	8.88(1)	8.81(1)	8.837(9)	8.68(1)	8.70(1)	8.636(9)
03↔04	8.48(1)	8.51(2)	8.41(1)	8.432(9)	8.388(8)	8.405(8)	8.380(8)	8.351(8)	8.377(9)	8.386(8)	8.379(8)
(01↔01)/(03↔04)	1.038(3)	1.030(4)	1.037(3)	1.030(2)	1.031(2)	1.015(2)	1.009(2)	1.017(2)	0.994(2)	0.996(2)	0.989(2)
6mR/[0001]											
03↔03	4.97(1)	4.98(1)	4.94(1)	4.95(1)	4.93(1)	4.92(1)	4.91(1)	4.88(1)	4.89(1)	4.90(1)	4.89(1)
04↔04	4.95(1)	4.95(1)	4.92(1)	4.91(1)	4.88(1)	4.89(1)	4.87(1)	4.86(1)	4.86(1)	4.85(1)	4.85(1)
					ε cage						
к (02-02-02)	91.1(3)	89.3(2)	90.2(2)	89.0(2)	89.3(2)	86.2(2)	85.7(2)	85.8(2)	84.5(2)	84.2(2)	82.4(2)
02↔02	8.47(1)	8.51(1)	8.45(1)	8.47(1)	8.42(1)	8.48(1)	8.48(1)	8.44(1)	8.46(1)	8.46(1)	8.51(1)
Δz	0.90(2)	0.86(2)	0.88(1)	0.84(1)	0.80(1)	0.74(1)	0.72(1)	0.70(1)	0.62(1)	0.64(1)	0.61(1)
$V_{ m ch}$	298(1)	296(1)	292(1)	289.4(8)	285.0(7)	278.7(7)	274.6(8)	273.0(7)	267.1(7)	267.5(7)	264.1(7)
$V_{ m cg}$	157(3)	155(2)	154(3)	153(2)	151(2)	150(2)	150(2)	147(2)	149(2)	147(2)	148(2)











