

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Effect of n-3 fatty acids on patients with advanced lung cancer: a double-blind, placebo-controlled study.

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/131008> since 2019-04-30T09:48:09Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1017/S0007114511005551

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

This is the author's final version of the contribution published as:

Finocchiaro C;Segre O;Fadda M;Monge T;Scigliano M;Scheda M;Tinivella M;Tiozzo E;Catalano MG;Pugliese M;Fortunati N;Aragno M;Muzio G;Maggiore M;Oraldi M;Canuto RA. Effect of n-3 fatty acids on patients with advanced lung cancer: a double-blind, placebo-controlled study.. BRITISH JOURNAL OF NUTRITION. 108 (2) pp: 327-333.
DOI: 10.1017/S0007114511005551

The publisher's version is available at:

http://www.journals.cambridge.org/abstract_S0007114511005551

When citing, please refer to the published version.

Link to this full text:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2318/131008>

1 **Effect of n-3 fatty acids on patients with advanced lung cancer: a double-blind placebo-**
2 **controlled study**

3

4 Concetta Finocchiaro*, Olivia Segre*, Maurizio Fadda*, Taira Monge*, Mara Scigliano*, Marina
5 Schena**, Marco Tinivella***, Elisa Tiozzo***, Maria G. Catalano°, Mariateresa Pugliese°,
6 Nicoletta Fortunati[§], Manuela Aragno^{°°}, Giuliana Muzio^{°°}, Marina Maggiore^{°°}, Manuela Oraldi^{°°},
7 Rosa A. Canuto^{°°}

8

9 *Department of Clinical Nutrition – San Giovanni Battista Hospital – Turin, Italy

10 ** Department of Oncology - San Giovanni Battista Hospital – Turin, Italy

11 ***Division of Clinical Nutrition – San Luigi Hospital – Orbassano (Turin), Italy

12 ° Department of Clinical Pathophysiology, University of Turin, Italy.

13 § Oncological Endocrinology, San Giovanni Battista Hospital – Turin, Italy

14 °°Department of Experimental Medicine and Oncology, University of Turin, Italy.

15

16 Address for Corresponding Author:

17 Dr.ssa C. Finocchiaro

18 Servizio di Dietetica e Nutrizione Clinica

19 Az. Ospedaliera-Universitaria San Giovanni Battista Torino

20 C.so Bramante 88/90, 10126 Torino

21 e-mail: cfinocchiaro@molinette.piemonte.it

22 Telephone number: 0039 0116336491, Fax number: 0039 011679477

23

24 Key words: lung tumour, cachexia, n-3 fatty acids, inflammatory parameters

25 Short title: Effect of n-3 on patients with advanced lung cancer

26 Sources of support: Sigma-Tau S.p.a.

28

29 **Background:** PUFAs from fish oil appear to have anti-inflammatory and anti-oxidative effects and
30 improve nutritional status in cancer patients.

31 **Objective:** the aim of the study was to investigate the effect of eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) plus
32 docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), on inflammatory condition, oxidative and nutritional status in
33 patients with lung cancer.

34 **Design:** in our multicentre, randomised, double blind trial, 33 patients with a diagnosis of advanced
35 inoperable non small cell lung cancer and undergoing chemotherapy were divided into two groups,
36 receiving 4 capsules/day containing 510 mg of EPA and 340 mg of DHA, or 850 mg of placebo, for
37 66 days. At the start of chemotherapy (T₀), after 8 days (T₁), 22 days (T₂), and 66 days (T₃),
38 biochemical (inflammatory and oxidative status parameters) and anthropometric parameters were
39 measured in both groups.

40 **Results:** a significant increase of body weight in the n-3 group at T₃ versus T₀ was observed.
41 Concerning inflammation, C-reactive protein and IL-6 levels differed significantly between the n-3
42 and placebo groups at T₃, and progressively decreased during chemotherapy in the n-3 group,
43 evidencing n-3 PUFAs' anti-inflammatory action. Concerning oxidative status, plasma reactive
44 oxygen species levels increased in the placebo group versus the n-3 group at the later treatment
45 times. Hydroxynonenal levels increased in the placebo group during the study, while they stabilized
46 in the n-3 group.

47 **Conclusions:** our data confirm that the continual assumption of EPA plus DHA determined an anti-
48 inflammatory and anti-oxidative action which could be considered a preliminary goal in anti-
49 cachectic therapy.

50

51 *Introduction*

52

53 The role of fish-oil supplementation in numerous diseases has been emphasised; these include
54 coronary disease, rheumatoid arthritis, inflammatory diseases, and cancer (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6).

55 Fish-oil supplementation has also been proposed for the treatment of cancer cachexia syndrome, an
56 altered metabolic state characterized by anorexia, weight loss, asthenia, anaemia and alterations in
57 carbohydrate, lipid and protein metabolism (7, 8). This syndrome is the major cause of morbidity
58 and mortality in patients with advanced cancer (9).

59 Previous studies using conventional nutrition have shown that it is impossible to increase the lean
60 tissue in cachexia patients (10); therefore it is important to use natural substances possessing both
61 nutritional and anti-cachectic properties (11). In particular, eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA), an n-3
62 PUFA present in large amounts in fish oil, can be considered as a potential natural support: it has
63 been shown to have anti-inflammatory properties, down-regulating both pro-inflammatory cytokine
64 production and the acute-phase protein response in cancer patients (12, 13, 14). Pro-inflammatory
65 cytokines, IL-1, IL-6, and TNF- α , are recognized to play a central role in the pathogenesis of
66 cancer-related cachexia (15, 16). Furthermore, EPA has also been shown to inhibit activation of the
67 ubiquitin proteasome pathway, by the proteolysis-including factor, a cachectic factor produced by
68 cancer tissue, which induces atrophy of skeletal muscle in animal models (9).

69 In 2006 (17) the administration of a dose of 2 g or 4 g of EPA was compared to placebo in 518
70 cancer patients (gastrointestinal and lung), over an 8-weeks period. The results indicated that there
71 was no benefit with the 4 g dose, but a potentially clinically relevant treatment effect with 2 g EPA
72 per day.

73 In recent years, many studies have addressed this subject, but without reaching any conclusions
74 concerning survival improvement and weight increase. This failure might be attributed to sample
75 heterogeneity, reduction of patient number at the end of studies, lack of patient compliance, or
76 subclinical toxicity of the higher dose of EPA (18).

77 The Cochrane analysis published in 2009 (19) concluded that there was insufficient evidence to
78 draw any conclusions about EPA supplementation in cancer patients with cachexia. This systematic
79 review also suggested there is little evidence of harm from using EPA, especially when combined
80 with Megestrol Acetate.

81 The anti-inflammatory properties of EPA might also be involved in reducing oxidative stress. The
82 association between reactive oxygen species (ROS), carcinogenesis, and progression of lung cancer
83 has been widely demonstrated. The high percentage of oxidants in cigarettes smoke contributes to
84 smoking-associated carcinogenesis (20). Asbestos fibres alter DNA of lung cells, with increased
85 cell proliferation (21), by changing the redox state of the cells. Several mechanisms are responsible
86 for the development of oxidative stress in cancer patients: the altered energy metabolism caused by
87 the impossibility of normal nutrition in patients with anorexia, nausea and vomiting results in a
88 reduced availability of glucose, proteins and vitamins, leading to increased free radicals (22, 23,
89 24). Furthermore, chronic non-specific activation of the immune system, with excessive production
90 of inflammatory cytokines, is responsible for increased production of ROS (25). An additional
91 mechanism leading to oxidative stress derives from the use of anti-neoplastic therapy: many
92 chemotherapies, and in particular alkylating agents and cisplatin, determine an increasing of ROS
93 (26).

94 The aim of the present study was to investigate the effect of fish-oil components, namely EPA plus
95 docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), versus placebo, on inflammatory condition, oxidative and nutritional
96 status, in patients with lung cancer

97

98

99 *Experimental Methods and Participants*

100

101 **Study design**

102 The study was a multicentre, randomised, double-blind trial conducted between May 2007 and May
103 2008. This study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of
104 Helsinki and all procedures involving patients were approved by the Scientific Ethics Committee of
105 the City of Turin, Italy (research protocol n° Eudra-CT 2006-002978-21). Written informed consent
106 was obtained from all patients. Patients were randomised at enrolment using a sequential series of
107 numbered sealed envelopes containing computer-generated random assignments. A copy of the
108 randomisation sequence was kept in a locked cabinet apart from the study personnel. Study
109 products were packaged identically and were not distinguishable from one another.

110 Participants were randomly divided into two groups: the placebo group and the n-3 group (Figure
111 1). The first group was provided with a daily dose of four capsules containing 850 mg of placebo
112 (olive oil), the second with a daily dose of four capsules containing 510 mg of EPA and 340 mg of
113 DHA, for 66 days (the entire period of chemotherapy).

114 Olive oil was selected as placebo because of evidence that ingestion of this oil was unlikely to
115 change the fatty acid composition of either plasma or cellular phospholipids. Self-reported capsule
116 intake was used to determine patient compliance.

117 Participants visited the research unit four times: at baseline (T_0), after 8 days (T_1), 22 days (T_2), and
118 66 days (T_3), at which times a blood sample was taken and measurements were made (Figure 1). T_0
119 coincided with the start of chemotherapy.

120

121 **Eligibility criteria**

122 Baseline characteristics of patients recruited into this study are shown in Table 1.

123 Patients were recruited with a clinical diagnosis of advanced inoperable non small cell lung cancer,
124 in the 18 to 70 year age range, and with 10% or less weight loss over the last three months, before
125 the start the study. Patients received 3 courses of chemotherapy with Cisplatin and Gemcitabine to
126 the following schedule: baseline (T_0), after 8 days (T_1), 22 days (T_2), and after 66 days (T_3). Life
127 expectancy was two months or longer; Karnofsky Performance Status was 80 or higher.

128

129 **Exclusion criteria**

130 Patients were excluded if they had undergone chemotherapy failure, if metastases were present, if
131 they were diabetic, had cardiovascular or infectious diseases, previous cancer (less than 5 years
132 before or with relapse signs) or inflammatory disease. Patients with neurological deficiency or
133 psychiatric diseases were also excluded.

134

135 **Nutritional status and dietary intake**

136 Patients were weighed on spring balance scales (Tanita Solar Powered Scale) without shoes and
137 wearing light clothing. BMI was calculated as the ratio of body weight to the square of their height
138 (kg/m^2) (27).

139 In our study we didn't measure lean body mass with bioelectrical impedance analyser.

140 At the start of the study, pre-illness weight, unintentional weight loss over the last 6 months, and
141 height were recorded.

142 Both group received the same dietary counselling with the aim to increase their energy and protein
143 intake. A three day dietary recall was performed prior to assessment at baseline (week 0), and each
144 week in the period of 66 days to assess the patients' dietary intakes. Patients completed a dietary
145 diary, and were instructed by a dietician how fill them out correctly. Data on food intake reported
146 by dietary diaries were then translated into energy and protein intakes by means of specific tables
147 validated for Italian foods (28). Patients were also requested to record the number of capsules of **n-3**
148 **fatty acids or olive oil** supplement taken each day.

149

150 **Blood analysis**

151 Plasma and erythrocytes were obtained by centrifuging venous blood (collected in tubes containing
152 EDTA and kept on ice until separation) at 3000xg for 5 min at 4°C (centrifuge J6M, Beckman, Palo
153 Alto, CA, USA), and stored at -80°C until use. The percentage content of different fatty acids was

154 determined in the total lipids extracted from plasma, and in phospholipids (PLs) extracted from
155 erythrocytes membranes (29).

156 Albumin was analyzed by an autoanalyzer, whereas prealbumin (TBPA) and transferrin were
157 detected quantitatively by immunoturbidity assay. C-reactive protein (CPR), IL-6, TNF α and PGE2
158 production were evaluated in plasma by the ELISA method (R&D systems, Minneapolis, MN,
159 USA). The levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) were detected in the plasma using the probe
160 2',7'-dichlorofluorescein diacetate (DCFH-DA) and measured fluorimetrically (30).
161 Hydroxynonenal (HNE) concentration was determined on plasma by the method of EsterBauer et
162 al. (31).

163

164 **Statistical Analysis**

165 Results are expressed as means \pm S.D. Significant differences between patients in the n-3 and
166 placebo groups were assessed by the unpaired T test, whereas significant differences within groups
167 were assessed by the paired T test, all tests being two-sided. Statistical analyses were performed
168 using the statistical software package SPSS for Windows Version 17.0 (SPSS, Chicago, Illinois,
169 USA) at baseline (T₀), after 8 days (T₁), 22 days (T₂), and 66 days (T₃).

170

171

172 *Results*

173

174 The series comprised 33 participants between 46 and 70 years old; they were randomly assigned to
175 the placebo or the n-3 group. Baseline characteristics (T₀) of patients are shown in Table 1.
176 Fourteen participants, aged 50-70 years (mean age 60.57 \pm 7.43 years), were allocated to the
177 placebo group; 19 participants, aged 46-69 years (mean age 58.10 \pm 6.72 years), were allocated to
178 the n-3 group; 6 patients in the n-3 group (6 of 19: 31%) dropped out during the double-blind phase
179 (2 patients changed department oncology, 2 refused treatment, 2 had diarrhea with capsules) Thus

180 13 participants in the n-3 group, (age 46-66 years; mean 55.56 ± 7.35 years) completed the study
181 (Figure 1).

182

183 **Nutritional Status**

184 The patients' nutritional status was not severely compromised, partly because some patients were
185 overweight at the start of chemotherapy. Mean weight of the n-3 group was 75.10 kg (± 16.12) at
186 baseline and 78.50 kg (± 15.94) at T₃: an increase of 3.4 kg occurred, which was statistically
187 significant (Figure 2). In the placebo group there was no increase: mean weight was 68.00 kg (\pm
188 12.85) at baseline and 68.92 (± 13.44) at T₃ (Figure 2). **There** was no statistical significance
189 between the two groups at T₃.

190 Both groups had a satisfying calorie intake (**1.02 g/kg in n-3 group and 0.93 g/kg** in placebo group)
191 but they took a different amount of proteins daily. Data for BMI and dietary intake (calories and
192 proteins) revealed no statistically significant differences between the two groups. There was a non-
193 significant increase in daily calorie and protein intake in the n-3 group (Table 1).

194 Nutritional blood parameters such as albumin, TBPA and transferrin did not differ between the two
195 groups (data not shown).

196

197 **Percentage content of n-3 fatty acids in plasma and erythrocytes**

198 The percentage content of EPA in total lipids from plasma and in PLs from erythrocyte membranes
199 is reported in Figure 3, which shows that EPA increased significantly in both plasma (panel A) and
200 erythrocyte membranes (panel B) for the n-3 group, compared to the placebo group, at the two later
201 experimental times.

202 No significant difference was evident in the percentage content of EPA in the placebo group at any
203 of the experimental times, for either plasma or erythrocytes, whereas in the n-3 group there was a
204 significant increase in EPA between the T₁ and T₀, T₂ and T₀, T₃ and T₀, confirming the
205 consumption of supplementary capsules.

206 The percentage content of DHA, in total lipids from plasma and in PLs from erythrocyte
207 membranes, is reported in Figure 3 (panels C and D), which shows that DHA increased
208 significantly in the plasma (panel C), but not in the erythrocyte membranes (panel D) for the n-3
209 group, compared to the placebo group. Considering the percentage content of DHA in the placebo
210 group throughout the experimental time, no variation was evident for either plasma or erythrocytes,
211 whereas in the n-3 group, significant variations were detected, only for the plasma, between the T₁
212 and T₀, T₂ and T₀, T₃ and T₀.

213 **The percentage content of docosapentaenoic acid (DPA), which is an intermediate between the EPA**
214 **and DHA, was also measured, showing no significant change in the plasma and in the erythrocyte**
215 **membranes from placebo and n-3 groups at all experimental times.**

216

217 **Inflammatory parameters**

218 Figure 4 shows the trends of CPR, IL-6, TNF- α , and PGE₂. In the n-3 group, CPR was not
219 significantly changed between T₀ and T₃ (from 12.89 mg/l to 10.09 mg/l), while in the placebo
220 group the increase, from 11.50 mg/l at T₀ to 27.09 mg/l at T₃, was significant. Comparing the two
221 groups at T₃, the difference was statistically significant (Figure 4, panel A).

222 IL-6 values decreased at T₃ from their T₀ values in the n-3 group, and increased in the placebo
223 group. Comparing the two groups at T₃, the difference was statistically significant (Figure 4, panel
224 B). TNF- α were higher in the placebo group than in the n-3 group, although not significantly so,
225 possibly due to subject variability (Figure 4, panel C). Since n-3 PUFAs are able to inhibit the
226 production of pro-inflammatory PGE₂, this prostaglandin was evaluated in the plasma, using the
227 ELISA test. A significant decrease in the n-3 group occurred during treatment, but no variation in
228 the placebo group (Figure 4, panel D).

229

230 **Oxidative status**

231 Since n-3 PUFAs could be damaged by ROS with the production of HNE, both HNE (panel A) and
232 ROS (panel B) were evaluated in the plasma (Figure 5). Both these parameters increased at the later
233 experimental times in the placebo group, whereas they decreased in the n-3 group. The HNE
234 decrease in the n-3 group was statistically significant between T₀ and T₃, and the difference between
235 the two groups was statistically significant at T₃. ROS values were significantly less in n-3 group
236 than in the placebo group, at the latter two experimental times.

237

238

239 *Discussion*

240

241 Several studies (32, 33, 34) have emphasized that conventional nutritional support can only partially
242 stop lean mass reduction in cancer patients: it is only possible to increase muscular mass by
243 resolving metabolic alterations (35). Nutritional support is often wasted due to the hyper-metabolic
244 state of the inflammatory pattern, and for this reason, in cancer patients, the first target of nutritional
245 therapy should be to reduce the inflammatory state; n-3 PUFAs could have this effect (36, 37). For
246 this reason, our study looked at the effect of n-3 PUFA administration in patients with advanced
247 lung cancer, in order to evidence the ability of these compounds to improve patients' nutritional
248 status and reduce the inflammatory and oxidative pattern. In this trial, EPA and DHA, two PUFAs
249 present in fish oil, were administered in combination, rather than EPA alone, as in most studies.
250 Administration was in capsules rather than supplements, as occurred in Fearons' trials (9, 17), and
251 adherence to the study protocol was observed more closely. Detailed, consistent and persistent
252 dietetic counselling, with assessment of any disorders connected with capsule assumption
253 throughout the study, helped to obtain good compliance with the therapy and with the nutritional
254 intake. Patients' observance of dietetic recommendations was confirmed by the increase, in the
255 plasma of the n-3 group, of EPA and DHA percentage contents from T₀ to T₃, compared with the
256 placebo group. **Changes in DHA content were not due to variation on DPA percentage content,**

257 since the percentage content of this fatty acid did not show significant differences in all
258 experimental times and in both groups.

259 The patients did not take other dietetic supplements unless n-3 fatty acids or olive oil capsules.

260 In regard to the nutritional status, we evidenced a slight increase, although not significant, of daily
261 calorie and protein intakes during the study in the n-3 group, from start to end of chemotherapy,
262 while calorie and protein intakes stabilized in the placebo group.

263 The difference between two groups are random, in fact already the n-3 fatty acid group at T₀ took
264 more calories and this trend continued throughout the time. Moreover, a statistically significant
265 increase in body weight was achieved in the n-3 group at T₃ versus T₀. It is not feasible that the
266 slight increase of daily calorie and protein intakes may influence the variation in body weight.

267 These data are particularly interesting compared to reports in the literature, which are not always
268 univocal on this point: some studies (9, 10, 38, 39) that have examined the effect of fish oil in
269 cachectic patients expressed the opinion that valid conclusions are difficult to draw, for several
270 reasons (short duration of trial, poor tolerability of supplementation, inability of patients to
271 complete the study). Also the recent Cochrane (19) review about EPA for treatment of cancer
272 cachexia did not confirm or reject the use of EPA in clinical practice; the results of the systematic
273 review suggest that there is little evidence of harm deriving from the use of EPA. On the contrary,
274 other studies have reported that EPA + DHA, as in our research, or EPA alone, reduce weight loss
275 in patients with advanced cancer (33, 40, 41). In the case of other studies (9, 17, 40-42) a
276 comparison with this research is difficult, because of methodological differences (lung, pancreatic
277 or gastrointestinal cancer, treated with pure EPA).

278 A reduction of inflammatory parameter values found in the n-3 group versus the placebo group,
279 although not always statistically significant, was observed. For example, CPR and IL-6 levels
280 showed a significant difference between n-3 and placebo groups at T₃ (p<0.05) and a progressive
281 decrease during chemotherapy in the n-3 group, evidencing an anti-inflammatory action of n-3
282 PUFAs. On the contrary, variations in TNF α were not significant, and those of PGE₂ (expression of

283 pro-inflammatory factors) were statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) from T_0 to T_2 and T_3 in the n-3
284 group, but not between the two groups.

285 Some studies (10, 33, 43) have reported that n-3 PUFAs may suppress inflammatory cytokines in
286 patients with advanced cancers; our data confirm this result . Van der Meij et al (43) recently
287 published the results of a randomised, case-control, double-blind trial of 40 patients with stage III
288 NSCLC, who received chemotherapy and radiotherapy, together with either supplements containing
289 2 gr of EPA or isocaloric control supplements. After five weeks of treatment they observed that
290 levels of inflammatory markers had decreased during chemotherapy and that IL-6 production was
291 lower in the intervention group than in the control group.

292 To evaluate the oxidative status throughout the period of the trial reported here, ROS and HNE
293 levels were determined. Plasma ROS levels were higher in the placebo group than in the n-3 group
294 at the later treatment times ($p < 0.05$). HNE levels (expression of the injury from cellular oxidation)
295 significantly ($p < 0.05$) increased in the placebo group during the study, while they stabilized in the
296 n-3 group; this demonstrates the cellular oxidative effect of chemotherapy drugs, and the probable
297 protective action of EPA + DHA. The difference between the two groups at the end of the study
298 was also statistical significant.

299 Our data are encouraging with regard to the goals achieved, although the number of patients was
300 limited: a statistically significant increase in body weight together with a reduction of inflammatory
301 and oxidative parameters in the n-3 group confirm that the continual assumption of EPA + DHA
302 showed an anti-inflammatory and anti-oxidative action, which might be considered a preliminary
303 goal in anti-cachectic therapy.

304

305

306 *Conclusions*

307

308 Although numerous studies have addressed this subject and there is great interest in scientific
309 research concerning n-3 fatty acids, there is as yet little clinic proof to justify applying the results to
310 cancer patients.

311 In our randomised, double-blind study, despite the small number of patients, we analysed clinical,
312 inflammatory and oxidative status during a period of 66 days, until the end of chemotherapy.

313 From this we may conclude that:

- 314 - fewer patients dropped-out than did from other studies;
- 315 - compliance with the dieticians' recommendations, and with the EPA and DHA assumption,
316 were good;
- 317 - body weight increased significantly in the n-3 group;
- 318 - a significant reduction in inflammatory indexes and in oxidative status was observed.

319

320 *Acknowledgments*

321

322 The work was supported by grants from Piedmont Region and University of Turin, Italy.

323 All authors have read and agreed to the editorial policies, and declare that there are no financial
324 conflicts of interest that might be construed to influence the results or interpretation of their
325 manuscript.

326

327

328 *Contribution of:*

329

330 C. Finocchiaro designed research,

331 T. Monge, M. Scigliano, M. Tinivella and E. Tiozzo conducted research,

332 M. Aragno, , M. Maggiora, M. Oraldi and M. Schena provided essential reagents and patients,

333 M. Pugliese performed TNF α ; M.G. Catalano and N. Fortunati analysed data.

334 M. Fadda and G. Muzio analysed data and performed statistical analysis,
335 O. Segre wrote paper,
336 M. Canuto and C. Finocchiaro had primary responsibility for final content.
337
338 All author read and approval the final manuscript. None of the authors had conflicts of interest.
339
340

341 *References*

342

- 343 1. Ross JA, Moses AGW & Fearon KCH (1999) The anti-catabolic effect of n-3 fatty acids.
344 *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care* **2**, 219-226.
- 345 2. De Leiris J, De Lorgeril M & Boucher F (2009) Fish Oil and Heart Health. *J Cardiovasc*
346 *Pharmacol* **54**, 378-384.
- 347 3. Mayer K & Seeger W (2008) Fish oil in critical illness. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care* **11**,
348 121-127.
- 349 4. Singer P & Shapiro H (2009) Enteral omega-3 in acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Curr*
350 *Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care* **12**, 123-128.
- 351 5. Holub BJ (2009) Docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) and cardiovascular disease risk factors.
352 *Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids* **81**, 199-204.
- 353 6. Friesecke S, Lotze C, Kohler J *et al* (2008) Fish oil supplementation in the parenteral
354 nutrition of critically ill medical patients: a randomised controlled trials. *Intensive Care Med*
355 **34**, 1411-1420.
- 356 7. Colomer R, Moreno-Nogueira J, Garcia-Luna P *et al* (2007) n-3 Fatty acids, cancer and
357 cachexia: a systematic review on the literature. *Br J Nutr* **97**, 823-831.
- 358 8. Evans WJ, Morley JE, Argiles J. *et al* (2008) Cachexia: a new definition. *Clin Nutr* **27**, 203-
359 209.
- 360 9. Fearon KC, Von Meyenfeldt MF, Moses AGW *et al* (2003) Effect of a protein and energy
361 dense n-3 fatty acid enriched oral supplement on loss of weight and lean tissue in cancer
362 cachexia: a randomised double blind trial. *Gut* **52**, 1479-1486.
- 363 10. Bruera E, Strasser F, Palmer JL *et al* (2003) Effect of Fish Oil on Appetite and Other
364 Symptoms in Patient with advanced cancer and Anorexia/Cachexia: a double-blind,
365 placebo-controlled study. *J Clin Oncol* **21**, 129-134.

- 366 11. Calder PC (2009) Polyunsaturated fatty acids and inflammatory processes: new twists in an
367 old tale. *Biochimie* **91**, 791-795.
- 368 12. Whitehouse AS & Tisdale MJ (2003) Increased expression of the ubiquitin-proteasome
369 pathway in murine myotubes by proteolysis-inducing factor (PIF) is associated with
370 activation of the transcription factor NK-KB. *Br J Cancer* **89**, 1116-1122.
- 371 13. Calder PC (2007) Immunomodulation by omega-3 fatty acids. *Prostaglandins Leukot Essent*
372 *Fatty Acids* **77**, 327-335.
- 373 14. Jho DH, Cole SM, Lee EM *et al* (2004) Role of omega-3 fatty acid supplementation in
374 inflammation and malignancy. *Integr Cancer Ther* **3**, 98-111.
- 375 15. Martin F, Santolaria F, Batista N *et al* (1999) Cytokine levels (IL-6 and INF-gamma), acute
376 phase response and nutritional status as prognostic factors in lung cancer. *Cytokine* **11**, 80-
377 86.
- 378 16. Simons JP, Schols AM, Buurman WA *et al* (1999) Weight loss and low body cell mass in
379 males with lung cancer: relationship with systemic inflammation, acute-phase response,
380 resting energy expenditure, and catabolic and anabolic hormones. *Clin Sci (Lond)* **97**, 125-
381 123.
- 382 17. Fearon KC, Barber MD, Moses AG *et al* (2006) Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled,
383 Randomized Study of Eicosapentaenoic Acid Diester in Patients with Cancer Cachexia. *J*
384 *Clin Oncol* **24**, 3401-3407.
- 385 18. McLean CH, Newberry SJ, Mojica WA *et al* (2006) Effects of Omega-3 fatty acids on
386 Cancer Risk, a systematic review. *JAMA* **295**, 403-415.
- 387 19. Dewey A, Baughan C & Dean TP (2009) Eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA, an omega-3 fatty
388 acid from fish oils) for the treatment of cancer cachexia. The Cochrane Library, Issue 1
- 389 20. Prior WA (1997) Cigarette smoke radicals and the role of free radicals in chemical
390 carcinogenity. *En Health Perspect* **105**, 875-882.
- 391 21. Mossman BT & Gee JB (1998) Asbestosis-related disease. *N Engl J Med*, **320**, 1721-1730.

- 392 22. Hardman WE (2002) Omega-3 Fatty Acids to Augment Cancer Therapy. *International*
393 *Research Conference of Food, Nutrition & Cancer* 3508S-3511S.
- 394 23. Burns CP, Halabi S, Clamon G *et al* (2004) Phase II Study of High-Dose Fish Oil Capsules
395 for Patients with Cancer-Related Cachexia. *Cancer* **101**, 370-378.
- 396 24. Larsson SC, Kumlin M, Ingelman-Sundberg M *et al* (2004) Dietary long-chain n-3 fatty
397 acids for prevention of cancer: a review of potential mechanisms. *Am J Clin Nutr* **79**, 935-
398 945.
- 399 25. Mantovani G, Macciò A, Lai P *et al* (1998) Cytokine activity in cancer-related
400 anorexia/cachexia: role of megestrol acetate and medroxyprogesterone acetate. *Semin Oncol*
401 **25**, 45-52.
- 402 26. Weijl NI, Cleton FJ & Osanto S (1997) Free radicals and antioxidants in chemotherapy-
403 induced toxicity. *Cancer Treat Rev* **23**, 209-240.
- 404 27. Detsky AS, Smalley PS & Chang J (1994) The rational clinical examination. Is this patients
405 malnourished? *JAMA* **271**, 150-157.
- 406 28. Food composition tables. National Research Institute for Food and Nutrition (INRAN), 2000
- 407 29. Trombetta A, Maggiora M, Martinasso G *et al* (2007) Arachidonic and docosahexaenoic
408 acids reduce the growth of A549 human lung-tumor cells increasing lipid peroxidation and
409 PPARs. *Chem Biol Interac* **165**, 239-520.
- 410 30. Ravindranath V (1994) Animal models and molecular markers for cerebral ischemia-
411 reperfusion injury in brain. *Methods Enzymol* **233**, 610-619.
- 412 31. Esterbauer H, Schaur RJ & Zollner H (1991) Chemistry and biochemistry of 4-
413 hydroxynonenal, malonaldehyde and related aldehydes. *Free Radic Biol Med* **11**, 81-128.
- 414 32. Ravasco P, Monteiro-Grillo I, Marques VP *et al* (2005) Impact of nutrition on outcome: a
415 prospective randomized controlled trial in patients with head and neck cancer undergoing
416 radiotherapy. *Head neck* **27**, 659-668.

- 417 33. Ross PJ, Ashley S, Norton A *et al* (2004) Do patients with weight loss have a worse
418 outcome when undergoing chemotherapy for lung cancer? *Br J Cancer* **90**, 1905-1911.
- 419 34. Jatoi A (2005) W-3 fatty acid supplementation for Cancer-Associated weight loss. *Nutr Clin*
420 *Pract* **20**, 394-399.
- 421 35. Staal-van den Brekel AJ, Dentener MA, Schols AM *et al* (1995) Increased resting energy
422 expenditure and weight loss are related to a systemic inflammatory response in lung cancer
423 patients. *J Clin Oncol* **13**, 2600-2605.
- 424 36. Moses AW, Slater C, Preston T *et al* (2004) Reduced total energy expenditure and physical
425 activity in cachectic patients with pancreatic cancer can be modulated by an energy and
426 protein dense oral supplementation enriched with n-3 fatty acids. *Br J Cancer* **90**, 996-1002.
- 427 37. Brown T, Zelnik D & Dobs A (2003) Fish Oil Supplementation in the Treatment of
428 cachexia in Pancreatic Cancer Patients. *Int J Gastrointest Cancer* **34**, 143-150.
- 429 38. Guarcello M, Riso S, Buosi R *et al* (2007) EPA-enriched oral nutritional support in patients
430 with lung cancer: effects on nutritional status and quality of life. *Nutr Ther Metab* **25**, 25-30.
- 431 39. Elia M, Van Bokhorst-de van der Schueren MA, Garvey J *et al* (2006) Enteral (oral or tube
432 administration) nutritional support and eicosapentanoic acid in patients with cancer: a
433 systematic review. *Int J Oncol* **28**, 5-23.
- 434 40. Barber MD, Ross JA, Voss AC *et al* (1999) The effect of an oral nutritional supplement
435 enriched with fish oil on weight-loss in patients with pancreatic cancer. *Br J cancer* **81**, 80-
436 86.
- 437 41. Barber MD, Mc Millan DC, Preston T *et al* (2000) Metabolic response to feeding in weight-
438 losing pancreatic cancer patients and its modulation by a fish-oil-enriched nutritional
439 supplement. *Cli Sci (Lond)* **98**, 389-399.
- 440 42. Wigmore SJ, Barber MD, Ross JA *et al* (2000) Effect of oral eicosapentaenoic acid on
441 weight loss in patients with pancreatic cancer. *Nutr. Cancer* **36**, 177-184.

442 43. Van der Meij B, Langius J, Smit E *et al* (2010) Oral Nutritional Supplements Containing (n-
443 3) Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids Affect the Nutritional Status of Patients with Stage III Non-
444 Small Cell Lung Cancer during Multimodality Treatment. *J Nutr* **140**, 1774-1780.
445

446 TABLE 1 - Nutritional status and calorie and protein intakes at baseline and at study end (T₀ and
 447 T₃)

		n-3 group (n= 13)		Placebo group (n= 14)	
		(M/F 8/5)	SD	(M/F 11/3)	SD
Weight loss %	T ₀	3.0	3.5	2.0	3.3
Karnofsky					
Performance Status	T ₀	80	10	80	10
BMI (kg/m²)	T ₀	26.19	6.98	25.25	3.92
	T ₃	27.65	7.02	25.58	4.43
Calorie intake/day	T ₀	8072.47	1596.3	7371.46	1421.95
(Kjoule/Kg)(Kcal/d		1990.56	393,44	1685.57	169.32
ay)	T ₃	8840.08	1147.7	7131.32	1016.10
		2160	330.8	1730.29	242.69
Protein intake/day	T ₀	68.56	15.03	60.00	8.5
(g/day)	T ₃	74.88	17.33	61.43	8.52
Calorie intake/Kg	T ₀	119.31	26.85	110.63	23.64
(Kjoule /Kg)		29.22	6.38	26.42	5.65
(K/Kg)	T ₃	120.48	26.69	109.32	17.08
		29.63	7	26.11	4.08
Protein intake/Kg	T ₀	1.02	0.29	0.94	0.24
(g/kg)	T ₃	1.04	0.32	0.94	0.16

448

449 The weight loss percentage is related to the last three months, before the start of the study.

450 **Figure legends**

451

452 FIGURE 1 – Study design

453

454 FIGURE 2 – Comparison of weight changes (Kg) in the placebo and n-3 groups during the
455 experimental time

456 Data are expressed as means \pm S.D. * “paired t test” $p < 0.05$ T_3 versus T_0 .

457 (–▲–) placebo group, (–□–) n-3 group

458

459 FIGURE 3 – Comparison of changes in EPA and DHA in plasma and in erythrocyte membrane
460 content in the placebo and n-3 groups during the experimental time

461 Data are expressed as means \pm S.D. and are the percentage content of EPA in plasma (panel A) and
462 erythrocyte membranes (panel B), and the percentage content of DHA in plasma (panel C) and
463 erythrocyte membranes (panel D).

464 * “paired t test” $p < 0.05$ T_1 , T_2 , T_3 versus T_0 .

465 § “unpaired t test” $p < 0.05$ n-3 group versus placebo group.

466 (–▲–) placebo group, (–□–) n-3 group

467

468 FIGURE 4 – Comparison of changes in CPR, IL-6, PGE2 and TNF- α content in plasma in the
469 placebo and n-3 groups during the experimental time

470 Data are expressed as means \pm S.D. and are the plasma content of CPR (panel A), IL-6 (panel B),
471 and PGE2 (panel C).

472 * “paired t test” $p < 0.05$ T_2 , T_3 versus T_0 .

473 § “unpaired t test” $p < 0.05$ n-3 group versus placebo group.

474 (–▲–) placebo group, (–□–) n-3 group

475

476 FIGURE 5 – Comparison of changes in HNE and ROS content in plasma in the placebo and n-3
477 groups during the experimental time
478 Data are expressed as means \pm S.D. and are the plasma content of HNE (panel A) and ROS (panel
479 B).
480 * “paired t test” $p < 0.05$ T_3 versus T_0 .
481 § “unpaired t test” $p < 0.05$ n-3 group versus placebo group.
482 (—▲—) placebo group, (—□—) n-3 group

Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3







Figure 4







Figure 5

