

| Time | Abstract n° | Description | stract age n° |
|----------------------------|----------------|---|------------------|
| hairperson : Nick E | OKOOZLIAN | 1 | |
| 0.50 - 12.10 am | | Oral Presentations | |
| | L33 | Hipólito MEDRANO (Universitat de les Illes Balears, Palma, Spain) Exploring the genetic variability in water use efficiency: evaluation of inter and intra cultivar genetic diversity in grapevines | 4 |
| | L34 | Thierry SIMONNEAU (Institut National de le Recherche Agronomique, France) Breeding for high water use efficiency in grapevine: transpiration at night as a relevant target | 2 |
| James | L35 | Claudio LOVISOLO (University of Torino, Italy) The grapevine VvPIP2;4N aquaporin controls leaf hydraulic capacitance | 2 |
| | L36 | Annette NASSUTH (University of Guelph, Canada) Deciphering the ICE-CBF frost tolerance pathway in grapes and its overlap with stomatal developments | ent |
| | | 12.10 - 1.45 pm - Lunch / Poster Viewing | |
| | (Po | oster Schedule 6: authors P108 – P141 to be present at their posters) | |
| hairperson : Aaroi | | | |
| ession 4: Soil | , water re | elations and mineral nutrition | |
| | | Plenary Lecture | |
| .45 pm | L37 | Nathalie OLLAT (INRA, ISVV, France) Grapevine roots: the dark side | |
| 2.15 - 3.55 pm | | Oral Presentations Simone Diego CASTELLARIN (The University of British Columbia, Canada) | |
| | L38 | Modulation of transcripts and metabolites in Merlot berries under drought | |
| | L39 | Grant R. CRAMER (University of Nevada, USA) Transcriptomic analysis of dehydrated grapevine leaves reveals large differences between | |
| | L40 | three species differing in drought tolerance Gabriella DE LORENZIS (Università di Milano, Italy) | |
| | 240 | Physiological and transcriptomic responses of two grapevine rootstock genotypes to drought treatments | |
| | L41 | Gregory GAMBETTA (Institut des Sciences de la Vigne et du Vin, France) Novel insights into drought resistance and recovery in grape | |
| | L42 | Claudio PASTENES (Universidad de Chile, Chile) | |
| | | Whole plant water potential and loss of hydraulic conductivity does not depend on stomatal control upon water stress: evidence from three grapevine varieties | |
| | | 3.55 - 4.30 pm - Coffee Break / Poster Viewing | |
| | (F | Poster Schedule 7: authors P142 – P160 to be present at their posters) | |
| Chairperson: Andı | rea SCHUBEI | RT | |
| 4.30 - 6.10 pm | | Oral Presentations | |
| | L43 | Silvina DAYER (Universidad Nacional de Cuyo, Argentina) Relationship between hydraulic and stomatal conductance and its regulation by root and leaf aquaporins under progressive water stress and recovery and exogenous application of ABA in gra | pevin |
| | L44 | lose Carlos HERRERA (University of Udine, Italy) | |
| | | Is water stress induced embolism in grapevines a common phenomenon? Hints from MRI | |
| | 2-7-1 | | |
| | L45 | and microCT visualizations Susanne TITTMANN (Hochschule Geisenheim University, Germany) Physiological responses of grapevine (Vitis vinifera cv. Müller-Thurgau) to different water | |
| | | and microCT visualizations Susanne TITTMANN (Hochschule Geisenheim University, Germany) Physiological responses of grapevine (Vitis vinifera cv. Müller-Thurgau) to different water and nitrogen application Anita ZAMBONI (Università di Verona, Italy) | |
| | L45 | and microCT visualizations Susanne TITTMANN (Hochschule Geisenheim University, Germany) Physiological responses of grapevine (<i>Vitis vinifera</i> cv. Müller-Thurgau) to different water and nitrogen application | |



V

-

F

E

E

E

E

E

L35

The grapevine VvPIP2;4N aquaporin controls leaf hydraulic capacitance

Marco Vitali ⁽¹⁾ - Hervé Cochard ⁽²⁾ - Giorgio Gambino ⁽³⁾ - Alex Ponomarenko ⁽²⁾ - Irene Perrone ⁽³⁾ <u>Claudio Lovisolo</u> ⁽¹⁾

DISAFA, UNITO, Grugliasco (Torino), Italy ⁽¹⁾ - INRA, UMR, Clermont-Ferrand, France ⁽²⁾ - IPSP, CNR, Grugliasco (Torino), Italy ⁽³⁾

Our study highlights that leaf hydraulic capacitance (Ch), as well as leaf hydraulic resistance (Rh) are influenced by the constitutive over-expression of *VvPIP2;4N* aquaporin.

Ch in a plant tissue buffers the xylem tension storing and releasing water and has been highlighted in recent years as an important factor that affects water relations such as drought tolerance and embolism formation. Aquaporins are well known to control leaf hydraulic resistance (Rh) but their role in the control of Ch is unknown. Here, we assess Rh and Ch on detached grapevines leaves (cv. Brachetto) wild type (WT) and over-expressing the aquaporin gene *VvPIP2;4N* (OE). For this purpose, we developed a new method inspired from the pressure-volume curve technique and the rehydration kinetic method, which allowed us to monitor the dynamics of dehydration and rehydration in the same leaf. The recovery after dehydration was measured in the dark, in light non-transpirative conditions, light-transpirative conditions and transpirative condition adding abscisic acid (ABA).

Pressurizing leaves in the OE line, the recorded Rh and Ch were respectively lower and higher than those in the WT. The same results were obtained in the dark recovery treatment. In the presence of light, either when leaves transpired or not (by depressing vapour pressure deficit), the described effects disappeared. The change in these hydraulic traits did not affect the kinetics of desiccation of detached leaves in the dark in air, in OE plants compared to WT.

The effect of aquaporins on Ch is reported here for the first time and may involve a modulation of cell reflexion coefficient.

Acknowledgements: This work was partly funded by the "INTEFLAVI" project, jointly financed by Fondazione CRC (Cuneo), CRA (Asti), and CRT (Torino).