

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

**Postharvest application of a novel chitinase cloned from *Metschnikowia fructicola* and overexpressed in *Pichia pastoris* to control brown rot of peaches**

**This is the author's manuscript**

*Original Citation:*

*Availability:*

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/156508> since 2016-11-10T11:45:15Z

*Published version:*

DOI:10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2015.01.002

*Terms of use:*

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

This Accepted Author Manuscript (AAM) is copyrighted and published by Elsevier. It is posted here by agreement between Elsevier and the University of Turin. Changes resulting from the publishing process - such as editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms - may not be reflected in this version of the text. The definitive version of the text was subsequently published in INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF FOOD MICROBIOLOGY, 199, 2015, 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2015.01.002.

You may download, copy and otherwise use the AAM for non-commercial purposes provided that your license is limited by the following restrictions:

- (1) You may use this AAM for non-commercial purposes only under the terms of the CC-BY-NC-ND license.
- (2) The integrity of the work and identification of the author, copyright owner, and publisher must be preserved in any copy.
- (3) You must attribute this AAM in the following format: Creative Commons BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/deed.en>), 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2015.01.002

The publisher's version is available at:

<http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0168160515000033>

When citing, please refer to the published version.

Link to this full text:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2318/156508>

1 **Postharvest application of a novel chitinase cloned from *Metschnikowia fructicola* and**  
2 **overexpressed in *Pichia pastoris* to control brown rot of peaches**

3

4 **Short running head:**

5 **Chitinase against brown rot of peaches**

6

7 Houda Banani<sup>1,2</sup>, Davide Spadaro<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Dianpeng Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Slavica Matic<sup>1,2</sup>, Angelo Garibaldi<sup>1</sup>, Maria  
8 Lodovica Gullino<sup>1,2</sup>

9

10 <sup>1</sup> Centre of Competence for the Innovation in the Agro-environmental Sector - AGROINNOVA,  
11 University of Torino, Largo Paolo Braccini 2, I-10095 Grugliasco (TO), Italy.

12

13 <sup>2</sup> DiSAFA – Dept. Agricultural, Forestry and Food Sciences, University of Torino, Largo Paolo  
14 Braccini 2, I-10095 Grugliasco (TO), Italy.

15

16 \* Corresponding Author:

17 Davide Spadaro

18 Tel.: +39 011 6708942

19 Fax: +39 011 6709307.

20 E-mail address: [davide.spadaro@unito.it](mailto:davide.spadaro@unito.it)

21

## 22    **Abstract**

23

24    *Metschnikowia fructicola* strain AP47 is a yeast antagonist against postharvest pathogens of fruits.  
25    The yeast was able to produce chitinase enzymes in the presence of pathogen cell wall. A novel  
26    chitinase gene *MfChi* (GenBank accession number HQ113461) was amplified from the genomic  
27    DNA of *M. fructicola* AP47. Sequence analysis showed lack of introns, an open reading frame (ORF)  
28    of 1,098 bp encoding a 365 amino acid protein with a calculated molecular weight of 40.9 kDa and a  
29    predicted pI of 5.27. *MfChi* was highly induced in *Metschnikowia fructicola* after interaction with  
30    *Monilinia fructicola* cell wall, suggesting a primary role of *MfChi* chitinase in the antagonistic  
31    activity of the yeast. The *MfChi* gene overexpressed in the heterologous expression system of *Pichia*  
32    *pastoris* KM71 and the recombinant chitinase showed high endochitinase activity towards 4-  
33    Nitrophenyl  $\beta$ -D-N, N', N''-triacylchitotriose substrate. The antifungal activity of the recombinant  
34    chitinase was investigated against *Monilinia fructicola* and *Monilinia laxa* *in vitro* and on peaches.  
35    The chitinase significantly controlled the spore germination and the germ tube length of the tested  
36    pathogens in PDB medium and the mycelium diameter in PDA. The enzyme, when applied on  
37    peaches cv. Redhaven, successfully reduced brown rot severity. This work shows that the chitinase  
38    *MfChi* could be developed as a postharvest treatment with antimicrobial activity for fruit undergoing  
39    a short shelf life, and confirms that *Pichia pastoris* KM71 is a suitable microorganism for cost-  
40    effective large-scale production of recombinant chitinases.

41

42    **Keywords:** Cloning, Quantitative real-time PCR (RT-qPCR), recombinant expression, *Pichia*  
43    *pastoris*, *Monilinia fructicola*, *Monilinia laxa*

44

45

46

47

## 1. Introduction

Considerable losses are caused by postharvest diseases during transportation and storage of fruit (Sharma et al., 2009). Brown rot caused mainly by *Monilinia laxa* (Aderh. et Rulh.) Honey and *Monilinia fructicola* (G. Wint.) Honey is considered the main postharvest disease of stone fruit (De Cal and Melgarejo, 1999; De Cal et al., 2009). *M. fructicola* is the most destructive pre- and postharvest pathogen in all stone fruit-growing regions of the United States (Janisiewicz et al., 2013). In the European Union, neither additional cultural measures nor increased fungicide treatments are sufficient to control brown rot in the orchard and in postharvest after the introduction of *M. fructicola* (EFSA, 2011; Pellegrino et al., 2009), and no chemical fungicides are allowed for postharvest treatment of stone fruit. Moreover, the public demands to reduce pesticide use on fruit and to improve environmental protection and human health have increased the need to develop alternative control methods (Lopez-Reyes et al., 2013; Sisquella et al., 2014). Biological control using antagonistic yeasts has been explored as one of several promising alternatives to chemical fungicides (Liu et al., 2013a). Antagonistic yeasts deserve particular attention and are considered promising biocontrol candidates, as their activity neither involves production of toxic metabolites nor negative impact on the environmental safety (Spadaro et al., 2002; 2008).

Among different antagonistic yeasts, *Metschnikowia fructicola* Kurtzman and Droby is an important yeast species which has been successfully applied to control a number of pathogens on fruits and vegetables, such as *Penicillium expansum* on apple (Liu et al., 2011; Spadaro et al., 2013), *Botrytis cinerea* on grape (Karabulut et al., 2003; Kurtzman and Droby, 2001) and on strawberries (Karabulut et al., 2004). Moreover, one strain of *Metschnikowia fructicola* was registered and commercially available in Israel to control storage diseases of fruits and vegetables (Kurtzman and Droby, 2001, Macarisin et al., 2010). The strain AP47 of *Metschnikowia fructicola* (Zhang et al., 2010a) was obtained from the carposphere of an apple grown in organic orchard in North Italy. Under semi-commercial conditions, *Metschnikowia fructicola* strain AP47 showed a high efficacy in controlling

74 brown rot caused by *Monilinia* spp. on stone fruits, however its mechanism against postharvest  
 75 pathogens is still unclear (Zhang et al., 2010a).

76 Various mechanisms of action of antagonistic yeasts have been described, such as competition for  
 77 nutrients and niche exclusion (Li et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2012a), induction of host defense mechanisms  
 78 (Jiang et al., 2009; Xu et al., 2013) and the production of hydrolases such as chitinase, protease and  
 79 glucanase, which is proposed as an important mode of action against fungal pathogens, due to its role  
 80 in breaking down pathogens cell wall and inhibiting spore germinations (Masih and Paul, 2002; Smits  
 81 et al., 2001; Zhang et al., 2011; 2012).

82 Cloning, expression and characterisation of new chitinase genes from microorganisms is useful for  
 83 antagonism activity as well as for developing new potential chitin biological degraders. Compared  
 84 with the extensive research into the chitinases from some antagonistic fungi, such as *Trichoderma*  
 85 spp. (Nakahara et al., 2001; Silva et al., 2011) and bacteria such as *Bacillus* spp. (Shivakumar et al.,  
 86 2014; Yang et al., 2009), few studies have been carried out on chitinases produced by yeasts with  
 87 molecular tools. To our knowledge, there is no published report on cloning and phylogenetic analysis  
 88 and expression of chitinase from the antagonistic yeast species *Metschnikowia fructicola*.

89 Recently *Pichia pastoris* has emerged as an important yeast host for heterologous protein expression  
 90 (Cregg et al., 1993; Macauley et al., 2005), since it has many of the advantages of higher eukaryotic  
 91 expression systems, such as protein processing and folding and posttranslational modifications  
 92 (Balamurugan et al., 2007). Therefore it was used in this study for chitinase expression.

93 The objectives of this research were: i) to study the chitinolytic activity of the antagonistic yeast  
 94 *Metschnikowia fructicola* strain AP47 *in vitro*; ii) to clone and characterize the chitinase gene *MfChi*  
 95 from AP47; iii) to analyse *MfChi* gene expression in AP47 after exposure to pathogen cell wall  
 96 preparation through reverse transcription quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR); iv) to express the chitinase  
 97 *MfChi* in the methylotrophic yeast *Pichia pastoris*; v) to study the antifungal activity of the expressed  
 98 chitinase *in vitro* and *in vivo* and the effect of the enzyme concentration on the control of *M. laxa* and  
 99 *M. fructicola*.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Microorganisms, growth media, plasmids and molecular kits

*Metschnikowia fructicola* Kurtzman and Droby strain AP47 (Zhang et al., 2010a) was isolated from the carposphere of apple cv. Golden delicious, harvested in an organic orchard located in Piedmont, Northern Italy and identified by using molecular and morphological tools. The microorganism culture was stored at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$  in cell suspension with 65% (v/v) glycerol and 35% (v/v) of a solution of 100 mM  $\text{MgSO}_4$  and 25 mM Tris (pH 8.0). Yeast subcultures were grown in YEMS (30 g/L yeast extract, 5 g/L D-mannitol, 5 g/L l-sorbose (Spadaro et al., 2010). Five strains of *Monilinia fructicola* (G. Wint.) Honey and five strains of *Monilinia laxa* (Aderhold & Ruhland) Honey isolated from rotted peaches were used as a mixture throughout this work after being selected for their virulence by inoculation in artificially wounded peaches.

Oligonucleotides, pGEM-T vector and *Escherichia coli* strain JM109 used in this study were purchased from Promega (Madison, WI, USA). The kits of DNA and RNA extraction (DNeasy and RNeasy). QIAquick PCR purification, Reverse-transcript PCR, Plasmid-extraction, QIAquick Gel extraction and one step RT-PCR kit as well as the materials for PCR were purchased from Qiagen (Hilden, Germany). The kit “Gene Walking Made Easy” and other materials for enzyme assays were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). *Pichia pastoris* KM71 strain used as host for transformations with the plasmid pPIC9 and *Escherichia coli* strain DH5 $\alpha$  used as host for the plasmids were obtained from Invitrogen (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, USA). TURBO DNase was purchased from Ambion (Ambion, Foster City, CA, USA). iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit and 2 $\times$  Power syber green supermix were purchased from Bio-Rad (Richmond, CA, USA) for RT-qPCR.

### 2.2. Chitinase activity of the strain AP47 grown in vitro

126

127 To study the chitinase enzyme production from the strain AP47, and the effect of different substrates  
128 on its chitinolytic activity, the yeast strain was cultured in modified Lilly-Barnett minimal salt  
129 (LBMS) medium (Lilly and Barnett, 1951) containing 2 mg/mL *Monilinia fructicola* cell wall  
130 preparation (CWP), glucose or 5 mg/mL colloidal chitin as sole carbon source. CWP of the pathogen  
131 *Monilinia fructicola* was prepared as described by Saligkarias *et al.*, (2002), and colloidal chitin was  
132 prepared according to the method described by Roberts and Selitrennikoff (1988) from shrimp shell  
133 chitin (C9752, Sigma–Aldrich). In preliminary experiments, the yeast strain produced the highest  
134 chitinase activity when grown for 48 h. Therefore, we just measured the chitinase activity of the strain  
135 when grown for 48 h. The spectrophotometric assay of chitinase activity was carried out according  
136 to the procedure developed by Miller (1959), with small modifications. Chitinase activity was  
137 determined colorimetrically by using colloidal chitin as substrate. The reaction mixture, consisting of  
138 500  $\mu$ L colloidal chitin (0.5% w/v) and 500  $\mu$ L enzyme solution, was incubated at 50 °C in a water  
139 bath for 30 min. The reaction was stopped by centrifugation at 3,000 $\times$ g for 3 min. An aliquot of the  
140 supernatant (0.8 mL) was pipetted into a new sterile tube followed by adding 500  $\mu$ L dinitrosalicylic  
141 acid. The reaction mixture was immediately boiled for 5 min. After cooling, the reducing sugars  
142 released as chitinase activity were measured at 540 nm. One unit of chitinase activity was defined as  
143 the amount of enzyme which produced 1  $\mu$ M/min reducing *N*-acetyl-D-glucosamine.

144

### 145 2.3. Cloning the chitinase gene *MfChi* from the genomic DNA

146

147 The strain AP47 was grown in liquid medium YPD (20 g D-glucose, 20 g peptone casein, and 10 g  
148 yeast extract per litre) at 25 °C for 48 h, then centrifuged at 5,000 $\times$ g for 10 min. DNA was extracted  
149 from the pellet with DNeasy extraction kit (Qiagen), according to the manufacturer's instruction.

150 To clone the first partial sequence of the chitinase gene from genomic DNA of *Metschnikowia*  
151 *fructicola* strain AP47, PCR amplification of the extracted DNA was performed by using the



152 degenerate primers 5'-CTNCTNTCNCTNGTNGTN-3' (Forward primer DPf) and 5'-  
 153 CARTARTTRTTRTARAAYTG-3' (reverse primer DPr). DPf and DPr were designed according to  
 154 the conserved protein sequences (LLSLGG and QFYNNYC) obtained with DNAMAN 7.0 by using  
 155 the alignment of the deduced amino acid sequences of 8 yeasts chitinase genes deposited (Suppl. Fig.  
 156 1). After loading on agarose gel, PCR products were purified with QIAquick gel extraction kit  
 157 (Qiagen) according to the supplier's instructions, then ligated into pGEM-T cloning vector  
 158 (Promega), followed by transformation into chemically competent cells of *E. coli* strain DH5 $\alpha$   
 159 (Invitrogen) and selection of positive transformants with blue / white screening technique. The  
 160 sequencing and BLAST analysis showed that a fragment of 350 bp was obtained. To amplify and  
 161 identify the 5' and 3' flanking regions of the chitinase gene from the genomic DNA of *Metschnikowia*  
 162 *fruticola* strain AP47, special restriction digestion enzymes and primers were designed according to  
 163 the obtained sequence and the kit "Gene Walking Made Easy" (UVS1, Sigma–Aldrich, USA): AP47-  
 164 5UTR: 5'-TCAGTCAAGAACGACAAGATCACAGTGTCC-3' and AP47-3UTR: 5'-  
 165 TGATATGGACAAGAAGAAGCCTTTTGACTTGAACAAG-3' together with Vectorette *Cla* I  
 166 library of "genomic walking kit". The specific process was performed according to the supplier's  
 167 instructions. The fragment from Vectorette *Cla* I library of the strain AP47 was purified, ligated into  
 168 pGEM-T cloning vector and sequenced as described above. Finally the whole sequence of the targeted  
 169 gene was assembled, designated as *MfChi* and deposited in GenBank (accession number:  
 170 HQ113461.1).

171

#### 172 2.4. Cloning the chitinase gene *MfChi* from the cDNA

173

174 Total RNA was extracted from the strain AP47 grown for 48 h in YPD broth at 25 °C by using an  
 175 RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen), then treated with TURBO DNase (Ambion) according to the  
 176 manufacturer's instructions. The absence of genomic DNA contamination was confirmed by PCR  
 177 amplification of the housekeeping gene Actin1 (Li et al., 2006) using One Step RT-PCR Kit (Qiagen).

178 First-strand cDNA was synthesized using iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad) according to the  
179 manufacturer's instructions. To amplify the chitinase gene *MfChi* from the cDNA of *Metschnikowia*  
180 *fructicola* strain AP47, specific primers were designed according to the chitinase gene sequence  
181 obtained from the genomic DNA of *Metschnikowia fructicola* strain AP47: forward primer (FP) 5'-  
182 ATGTTGATGCAACCATTTTTATGC -3' and reverse primer (RP) 5'-  
183 TCAGACTTTGAACTTTGGCTTG-3', then PCR products were purified and sequenced as  
184 described above.

185

## 186 2.5. Analysis of *MfChi* gene expression

187

188 AP47 was cultured in LBMS medium containing 2 mg/mL of *Monilinia fructicola* CWP at 23 °C by  
189 shaking at 100 rpm, then collected after 6 h, 12 h, 24 h and 48 h of incubation. AP47 grown without  
190 CWP served as a control. Each treatment consisted of three replicates at each time point and the  
191 experiment was repeated three times. Total RNA and cDNA synthesis were performed as described  
192 previously, then the resulting cDNA was used as a template for RT-qPCR to quantify the *MfChi*  
193 transcript expression under different time points. RT-qPCR was performed in triplicate on the cDNA  
194 obtained from each biological replicate using the 2× Power syber green supermix (Bio-Rad) for the  
195 reaction mix according to the manufacturer's instructions. Amplification and detection were carried  
196 out in an iCycler (Bio-Rad), set up with initial denaturation at 95 °C for 10 min followed by 40 cycles  
197 comprising a denaturation step at 95 °C for 15 s and an annealing step at 60 °C for 1 min. The primers  
198 *MfChi*-F (5'-TGATTTCCTCAAGATGAAGC-3') and *MfChi*-R (5'-  
199 AAAGTCACGAGCCTCTGCAT-3') were designed to optimally amplify *MfChi* gene sequence, and  
200 transcript levels of Actin1 served as an internal standard. The primers used were Act1 F (5'-  
201 CCTGAGGAACACCCAGTCTT-3') and Act1 R (5'-GAGTTGTAAGTGGTTTGGTCG-3')  
202 according to Liu et al. (2011). The expression ratio was calculated from equation  $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ , where  $\Delta\Delta CT$

203 represents the  $\Delta CT_{\text{sample}} - \Delta CT_{\text{control}}$  (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001), and values were normalized to the  
204 control at 6 h, arbitrarily set to unity.

205

## 206 2.6. Heterologous expression of *MfChi* in *Pichia pastoris*

207

208 The chitinase gene *MfChi* was amplified from *Metschnikowia fructicola* cDNA by PCR using  
209 forward primer (5'-TCAGAAATTCATGTTGATGCAACCATT<sup>TTTTATGC</sup>-3') and reverse primer  
210 (5'-CAGGAATTCTCAGACTTTGAACTTTGGCTT-3'); bases underlined encode *EcoRI*  
211 restriction sites. The resulting DNA fragment (1098 bp) was digested with *EcoRI* before being ligated  
212 into the corresponding sites of the *expression* vector pPIC9 and designated as pPIC9-*MfChi*. The  
213 ligation product was transformed into *E. coli* JM109 (Promega) and the plasmid was sequenced at  
214 BMR Genomics (Padova, Italy). Transformation of *MfChi* gene into *P. pastoris* KM71 was performed  
215 as recommended by the manufacturer (Invitrogen). Briefly, pPIC9-*MfChi* was linearized using *Stu I*  
216 then transformed into competent *P. pastoris* KM71 cells via electroporation. The empty vector pPIC9  
217 was also transformed as a negative control. Finally His<sup>+</sup> transformants of *P. pastoris* KM71 were  
218 purified on minimal medium plates without histidine to ensure pure clonal isolates.

219 Transformed *P. pastoris* isolates were grown in 100 mL of Buffered Complex Glycerol Medium  
220 (BMGY) until the culture reached an OD 600 nm of 2-6, then the pellet was resuspended in 20 mL  
221 of Buffered Complex Methanol Medium (BMMY). Methanol was added at every 24 h interval to a  
222 final concentration of 1% to maintain the induction.

223 To analyze expression levels and the optimal time post-induction for harvest, supernatants were  
224 collected at different time points (0, 24, 48, 72, 96, 120 and 144 h) and secreted proteins were  
225 analyzed by SDS-PAGE (Laemmli, 1970) (Amersham ECL Gel 10%, GE Healthcare Life Science,  
226 Uppsala, Sweden). The recombinant protein *MfChi* was purified following the method of Liu et al.,  
227 (2013b), then protein concentration was determined according to Bradford (1976) by using bovine  
228 serum albumin (Sigma–Aldrich) as a standard.

## 2.7. Recombinant chitinase activity assay

Recombinant MfChi chitinase activity was determined using a colorimetric Chitinase assay kit (CS0980, Sigma-Aldrich) following manufacturers instruction. The absorbance was measured at 405 nm, then the specific activity of chitinase was expressed as U/mg, where one unit will release 1.0 micromole of *p*-nitrophenol from the substrate at pH 4.8 and 37 °C in one minute for each milligram of protein. Supernatant from cell culture of transformed *P. pastoris* with empty vector pPIC9 was used as a negative control. Three replicates in each treatment were performed, and the experiment was repeated three times.

## 2.8. Effect on pathogen mycelium growth *in vitro*

The activity of the recombinant chitinase MfChi against *Monilinia fructicola* was assayed in Petri dishes containing PDA according to Banani et al. (2014) with some modifications. In brief, the recombinant chitinase MfChi was streaked into a PDA plate. A *M. fructicola* mycelial plug (5 mm diameter) was corked from a PDA culture and fixed in Petri dish at the same distance from the enzyme streak and the Petri dish border (control). After 6 days of pathogen growth at 25 °C, mycelial inhibition was measured and direct interaction *in vitro* was observed using an optical microscope (Eclipse 55i, NIKON, Tokyo, Japan).

## 2.9. Effect on pathogen spore germination and germ tube elongation

255 The effect of the recombinant chitinase MfChi was tested on conidia germination of *M. fructicola*  
256 and *M. laxa* using the method of Zhang et al. (2012) with some modifications. In brief, tubes  
257 containing 2.4 mL potato dextrose broth medium (PDB, Merck), 300 µL of *Monilinia* spp. conidial  
258 suspension ( $1 \times 10^6$  conidia/mL) and 300 µL of the recombinant chitinase were co-incubated at 25 °C  
259 on a rotary shaker (200 rpm). Two chitinase concentrations were assayed: C1 (7 ng/µL) and C2 (70  
260 ng/µL). The control treatment consisted of water added to the tubes instead of the enzyme solution.  
261 After 9 h and 18 h of incubation, 100 conidia of *Monilinia* spp. per replicate were observed, and their  
262 germination rate (%) and germ tube length (µm) were measured by using an optical microscope. For  
263 each treatment, three replications of three tubes were performed and the experiment was repeated  
264 three times.

265

#### 266 2.10. Efficacy against *Monilinia* spp. in vivo

267

268 The biocontrol activity of the recombinant chitinase in controlling *M. fructicola* and *M. laxa* on  
269 peaches cv. Redhaven was evaluated using the method of Yan et al. (2008) with some modifications.  
270 Three wounds (4 mm deep  $\times$  3 mm wide) were made at the equator of each fruit. 20 µL of recombinant  
271 chitinase were applied into each wound. The yeast AP47 was applied at  $10^8$  cells /mL (20 µL) in  
272 order to compare its activity with the chitinase. Peaches inoculated with *Monilinia* spp. spore  
273 suspension acted as untreated control and peaches inoculated and treated with 2.5 mL/L of  
274 tebuconazole (Folicur, Bayer Crop Science, Monheim, Germany; a.i.: 25.0%) were the chemical  
275 control. Two hours later, 20 µL of pathogen suspension ( $10^5$  conidia/mL) was inoculated into each  
276 wound. Two chitinase concentrations (C1: 7 ng/µL and C2: 70 ng/µL) were used. The treated fruits  
277 were incubated at 23 °C, and the rot diameter was measured 3 and 5 days after inoculation (DAI).  
278 Each treatment consisted of three replicates with ten fruits per replicate and the experiment was  
279 performed three times.

280

### 2.11. *DNA sequence and phylogenetic analysis of the chitinase gene*

BLAST and ORF Finder programs at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) were used for the nucleotide sequence analysis, the deduction of the amino acid sequence and database searches. Multiple sequence alignments of DNA and amino acid sequence were performed using the programs of DNAMAN 7.0 and CLASTALW. The phylogenetic tree of the chitinase gene was generated by MEGA6 using neighbour-joining method.

### 2.12. *Statistical analysis*

All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS version 20.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Data obtained in all the experiments were analysed using analysis of variance (ANOVA). The treatment means were separated at 5% significance level by using Duncan's multiple range tests. Values are presented as the mean  $\pm$  SD (standard deviation of the mean). The results are the mean of three independent experiments.

## 3. **Results**

### 3.1. *Production of chitinase by Metschnikowia fructicola AP47 and its activity*

The strain AP47 showed chitinase activity when grown in different media. The chitinase activity of AP47 was higher when grown in LBMS with *Monilinia fructicola* CWP as sole carbon source (0.35 U/mL) than with glucose (0.21 U/mL). The highest chitinase activity (0.46 U/mL) was observed when grown in LBMS with colloidal chitin.

### 3.2. *Cloning the chitinase gene from DNA and cDNA of the yeast strain AP47*

307

308 PCR amplification of the partial sequence of chitinase gene from *Metschnikowia fructicola* AP47  
309 DNA with the degenerate fragments DPf and DPr produced a 350 bp fragment of the putative  
310 chitinase gene containing the consensus motif (DGXDFXXE) as signature pattern of Family 18  
311 hydrolases. The signature pattern is highly conserved among most known chitinases from bacteria  
312 and yeasts in its deduced amino acid sequence. PCR amplification of the flanking regions of the  
313 fragment of the chitinase gene was performed from the genomic DNA of AP47, then the whole  
314 chitinase gene sequence was assembled and designated as *MfChi* (GenBank accession number  
315 HQ113461) with 1,098 bp (Suppl. Fig. 2). PCR amplification of the cDNA of *Metschnikowia*  
316 *fructicola* strain AP47 and sequence analysis revealed that the ORF from the cDNA of *Metschnikowia*  
317 *fructicola* strain AP47 shared the same nucleotide sequence with that of the genomic DNA, showing  
318 the lack of introns inside the gene *MfChi*.

319

### 320 3.3. Characterization of the chitinase gene *MfChi*

321

322 The prediction of the signal peptide of the chitinase gene *MfChi* was performed according to Bendtsen  
323 et al. (2004), and showed the presence of 19 amino acid signal peptide (positions from 1 to 19). Often,  
324 the first 20 amino acids serve as a typical cleavable signal sequence for secreted proteins (Kuranda  
325 and Robbins. 1991). Sequencing and nucleotide analysis confirmed the lack of introns inside *MfChi*  
326 gene, and an ORF of 1,098 bp encoding a 365 amino acid protein with predicted molecular weight of  
327 40.9 kDa and pI of 5.27 were calculated (Suppl. Table 1). Prediction and analysis of the deduced  
328 amino acids from the gene showed that *MfChi* has 14 putative phosphorylation sites at positions of  
329 34, 49, 103, 108, 115, 233, 285, 296, 125, 288, 92, 159, 204 and 304, respectively. In addition, *MfChi*  
330 has 4 putative *N*-glycosylation sites at positions of 67, 314, 318 and 324, respectively (Suppl. Fig. 2),  
331 while no *O*-glycosylation sites were observed. Sequence alignment of the deduced amino acids from  
332 *MfChi* with other related chitinases of yeasts retrieved from NCBI database showed that *MfChi* has

only high similarity (97.0%) with *MpChi* chitinase of *Metschnikowia pulcherrima* strain MACH1 (GenBank accession number HQ113462, Saravanakumar et al., 2009), but low similarity to other yeast chitinases (Suppl. Fig. 3). However, when alignment was performed with the N-terminal regions of the chitinase *MfChi* and other yeast chitinases, a high homology was observed between these chitinases (Suppl. Fig. 4). Moreover *MfChi* shared a common putative catalytic domain which conformed to the signature motif (DXXDXXE) of family 18 of chitinases proposed by Watanabe et al. (1993), suggesting to belong to family 18 of chitinases. In addition, six conserved cysteine residues required for substrate-binding by the chitinase were identified (Suppl. Fig. 4). To reveal the relationship of *MfChi* with the chitinases from other yeast and other organisms, a phylogenetic analysis was performed on the nucleotide sequences. *MfChi* belong to GH family 18 and it is included into subgroup II including yeast chitinases (Suppl. Fig. 5 and Suppl. Table 2). Among the chitinases of the subgroup II, *MfChi* is the closest to the yeast chitinase *MpChi* of *Metschnikowia pulcherrima* strain MACH1 (Saravanakumar et al., 2009).

346

#### 3.4. Expression of *MfChi* in *Metschnikowia fructicola* in response to pathogen cell wall

348

Quantitative real-time PCR (RT-qPCR) was conducted to analyze the expression of *MfChi* in AP47 after exposure to CWP of *Monilinia fructicola* *in vitro*. The time-points included in the analysis were 6 h, 12 h, 24 h and 48 h of co-incubation. The expression of the *MfChi* gene was upregulated at an early stage of incubation and then it was downregulated after 24 h of incubation. The results indicated that *Monilinia fructicola* CWP directly induced *MfChi* expression in *Metschnikowia fructicola*, especially at 12 hours of incubation, when the gene expression was threefold higher than without CWP (Fig. 1). At longer incubation times, *MfChi* expression gradually decreased.

356

#### 3.5. Expression and purification of recombinant chitinase *MfChi*

358



359 The recombinant chitinase expressed in different *P. pastoris* isolates was analysed with SDS-PAGE  
360 (Fig. 2). After 120 h induction, a wide band appeared in some transformed isolates, with a size of  
361 about 40.9 kDa, which corresponds to the same molecular weight predicted, while no band was  
362 observed in the negative control (non-insert control: lane number 0). After small-scale production,  
363 the best producer colonies (isolate 2 and 4, Fig. 2) were selected for large-scale chitinase expression  
364 and purification.

365

### 366 3.6. Identification of *MfChi* enzyme activity

367

368 Chitinase activity was performed to assess whether or not the expression of the chitinase *MfChi* in  
369 the *P. pastoris* expression system resulted in a functional protein, and to evaluate its chitinolytic  
370 activity. The recombinant chitinase *MfChi* showed high endochitinase activity towards the chitin  
371 pseudosubstrate 4-Nitrophenyl  $\beta$ -D-N, N', N''-triacetylchitotriose p-(GlcNAc)<sub>3</sub>, which is a suitable  
372 substrate for endochitinase activity detection . Additionally, no chitinase activity was detected in the  
373 culture medium of *P. pastoris* KM71 transformed with the empty vector pPIC9 after methanol  
374 induction, indicating that chitinase displayed in the transformed yeast cells was due to the expression  
375 of the foreign gene *MfChi*.

376

### 377 3.7. Effect on *Monilinia* spp. mycelium growth in vitro

378

379 After 6 days of *Monilinia fructicola* growth in PDA plates streaked with the chitinase *MfChi*, the  
380 effect of the recombinant enzyme on pathogen mycelium growth was observed. *MfChi* chitinase  
381 significantly inhibited *M. fructicola* mycelial growth, in addition, no conidia sporulation was  
382 observed in the growing side of the pathogen mycelium closer to the chitinase treatment -.

383 This result was confirmed by observation under optical microscope which showed that the presence  
384 of chitinase caused swelling of *M. fructicola* hyphae (Data not shown).

### 3.8. Effect on *Monilinia* spp. spore germination and germ tube elongation

By co-culturing both pathogens and the enzyme in liquid medium (PDB), the recombinant chitinase MfChi efficiently controlled the conidial germination and germ tube length of *M. fructicola* and *M. laxa* compared to the control treatments (pathogen+water) either at 9 h or at 18 h of incubation (Fig. 3). Moreover, the chitinase applied at higher concentration (C2: 70 ng/μL) showed better results in reducing the spore germination (Fig. 3A) and the germ tube elongation, than applied at lower concentration (C1: 7 ng/μL). At 70 ng/μL, the chitinase almost completely blocked the germ tube development of *M. fructicola* and *M. laxa* either at 9 h or 18 h of incubation (Fig. 3B). Higher germ tube lengths were observed for *M. fructicola* than for *M. laxa*, when incubated with water as control (Fig. 3B).

### 3.9. Antifungal activity of recombinant chitinase against *Monilinia* spp. on peaches

The antifungal activity of the recombinant chitinase was investigated on peaches stored at room temperature. After 3 DAI (Fig. 4), the chitinase treatment significantly reduced *Monilinia* spp. rot diameter compared to *M. fructicola* (33 mm) and (24 mm) *M. laxa* untreated controls. The chitinase at 70 ng/μL (C2) significantly controlled the lesion diameter on peaches (about 13 mm for both pathogens), similarly to the antagonistic cells of *Metschnikowia fructicola* AP47 (10 mm), and better than the protease at 7 ng/μL (C1) for *M. fructicola* (23 mm) and *M. laxa* (20 mm). At 5 DAI for *M. fructicola*, the chitinase was still more efficient than the untreated control (68 mm) especially at 70 ng/μL (50 mm), but its efficacy was lower than AP47 cell suspension (32 mm). For *M. laxa* (Fig. 4), the rot diameter of peaches treated with the chitinase was similar to the untreated control.

## 4. Discussion

411

412           This is the first study to characterize the role of a chitinase from *Metschnikowia fructicola* in  
413 the control of brown rot.. Though several studies have been performed by a large number of  
414 laboratories on the antagonistic activity of *Metschnikowia fructicola*, its mechanism of action against  
415 postharvest pathogens is still unclear, and the production of lytic enzymes, especially chitinases, is  
416 proposed as an important mode of action of antagonistic yeasts. Hydrolases attack the cell wall of  
417 phytopathogenic fungi to cause cell lysis and subsequent death (Tseng et al., 2008).  
418 A better understanding of the modes of action of yeast biocontrol agents is essential for developing  
419 appropriate commercial formulations and application methods, to maximize their potential use to  
420 manage postharvest diseases (Droby et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2010b).  
421 In this research, the antagonistic yeast *Metschnikowia fructicola* strain AP47 showed to produce  
422 higher chitinase activity in the presence of *Monilinia fructicola* CWP and colloidal chitin, compared  
423 to glucose as sole carbon source in the medium. Therefore, we cloned, characterized, and expressed a  
424 novel endochitinase gene *MfChi* from *Metschnikowia fructicola* AP47 and we studied its antifungal  
425 activity and potential use against *Monilinia* spp. *in vitro* and *in vivo*.  
426 The presence of a 19-residue putative signal peptide confirmed that *MfChi* is an extracellular protein,  
427 a feature common to the majority of endochitinases expressed by mycoparasites (Hayes et al., 1994;  
428 Morissette et al., 2003; Viterbo et al., 2001) and its activity towards p-(GlcNAc)<sub>3</sub> substrate confirms  
429 its endochitinase activity.  
430 RT-qPCR expression analysis clarified that *MfChi* gene of *Metschnikowia fructicola* is highly  
431 induced by cell wall fragments of *Monilinia fructicola* during the first 24 h of contact then the gene  
432 was downregulated. Similar results were reported for the endochitinase *chi46* from the fungus  
433 *Chaetomium globosum*, which was highly upregulated at the early stage of interaction with different  
434 pathogens cell wall, and then it was downregulated (Liu et al., 2008). It is important to note that  
435 *MfChi* gene expression was altered dramatically within 12 h of exposure to cell walls, indicating a  
436 rapid physiological response pathway in *Metschnikowia fructicola* AP47.

437 As expected, the recombinant chitinase expressed in *P. pastoris* was directly secreted into the  
438 medium, with a size of 40.9 kDa, it confirmed to have a high endochitinase activity, and it was the  
439 most abundant protein in the medium. These results confirmed MfChi characteristics, previously  
440 calculated by sequence analysis tools, and proved that *P. pastoris* is a successful system for yeast  
441 protein expression.

442 The expressed chitinase was able to cause swelling of the hyphae of *Monilinia fructicola* under optical  
443 microscope, confirming the reliability of dual culture procedure to evaluate the presence of active  
444 hydrolases *in vitro*.

445 Previous studies confirmed that chitinase can decompose fungal cell walls (Li et al., 2005; Liu et al.,  
446 2008) since the chitin is the essential cell wall component of many fungal pathogens (Liu et al.,  
447 2012b). This study demonstrated that the recombinant chitinase MfChi expressed in *P. pastoris* is  
448 highly effective in reducing spore germination and germ tube length of *Monilinia* spp. *in vitro*, but  
449 its antifungal activity mainly depends on the chitinase concentration.

450 *In vivo* trials confirmed the high efficacy shown *in vitro* by the recombinant chitinase, and  
451 demonstrated the capacity of the chitinase to keep its activity for some days in the unfavourable  
452 environment of the fruit wounds and on fruit stored at room temperature. However, the efficacy was  
453 dependent on the enzyme concentration and the temporal distance from the chitinase treatment which  
454 could be explained by the loss of chitinolytic activity with increasing the number of storage days. Our  
455 results are in accordance with the results obtained by previous studies, which demonstrated that the  
456 efficacy of recombinant enzymes expressed in *P. pastoris* against pathogens in fruits is dependent on  
457 the concentration of the enzyme and the time between enzyme treatment and pathogen inoculation  
458 (Banani et al., 2014; Yan et al., 2008).

459 Interestingly, though *M. fructicola* has bigger conidial dimension, more abundant sporulation, longer  
460 germ tube length and higher growth rates than *M. laxa* (EPPO, 2009), the recombinant chitinase  
461 similarly controlled both species, either *in vitro* or *in vivo*, showing that its efficacy is not dependent  
462 on the pathogen species.

463 To our knowledge, it is the first time that a chitinase gene from the yeast *Metschnikowia fructicola*  
464 was cloned and characterized. The present work provides the clarification of the chitinase role in the  
465 antagonistic activity of the biocontrol agent *Metschnikowia fructicola* AP47.  
466 Moreover, our results confirm that MfChi chitinase has an excellent antifungal activity to control  
467 *Monilinia* species, present as postharvest pathogens not only on stone fruits, but also on other fruits  
468 such as apples and pears. *Pichia pastoris* KM71 is a suitable strain for the expression of foreign  
469 chitinase genes, which could facilitate the development of a new cost-effective technique for large-  
470 scale production of recombinant chitinases for biocontrol of fungal postharvest pathogens of fruit.  
471 This work shows that the chitinase MfChi could be developed as a postharvest treatment with  
472 antimicrobial activity for fruit undergoing a short shelf life, since it is able to keep its enzymatic  
473 activity for some days on the fruit surface and in the wounds. The storage conditions tested in the  
474 experiments are highly favourable to the development of brown rot, while when peaches are stored  
475 at cold storage temperature, the disease development is slower and the efficacy of chitinase could be  
476 for longer periods. Further work will aim at determining the best conditions of activity and stability  
477 of this enzyme to obtain the maximum efficacy against the pathogens.

478

#### 479 **Acknowledgements**

480 This research was funded by the project “SafeFoodControl - Development of innovative systems and  
481 technologies for the production, storage, processing and valorization of Piedmontese fruit and  
482 vegetables” granted by the Piedmont Region.

483

#### 484 **References**

485 Balamurugan, V., Reddy, G. R., Suryanarayana, V. V. S., 2007. *Pichia pastoris*: A notable  
486 heterologous expression system for the production of foreign proteins—Vaccines. Indian Journal of  
487 Biotechnology 6, 175–186.

488 Banani, H., Spadaro, D., Zhang, D., Matic, S., Garibaldi, A., Gullino, M. L., 2014. Biocontrol  
 489 activity of an alkaline serine protease from *Aureobasidium pullulans* expressed in *Pichia pastoris*  
 490 against four postharvest pathogens on apple. International Journal of Food Microbiology 182-183, 1-  
 491 8.

492 Bendtsen, J. D., Nielsen, H., Heijne, G. V., Brunak, S., 2004. Improved prediction signal peptides:  
 493 Signal P 3.0. Journal of Molecular Biology 340, 783-790.

494 Bradford, M. M., 1976. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities  
 495 of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Analytical Biochemistry 72(1-2), 248-254.

496 Cregg, J. M., Vedvick, T. S., Raschke, W. C., 1993. Recent advances in the expression of foreign  
 497 genes in *Pichia pastoris*. Biotechnology 11(8), 905–910.

498 De Cal, A., Melgarejo, P., 1999. Effects of long-wave UV light on *Monilinia* growth and  
 499 identification of species. Plant Disease 83, 62–65.

500 De Cal, A., Gell, I., Usall, J., Viñas, I., Melgarejo, P., 2009. First report of brown rot caused  
 501 by *Monilinia fructicola* in peach orchards in Ebro Valley, Spain. Plant Disease 93(7), 763.

502 Droby, S., Wisniewski, M., Macarisin, D., Wilson, C., 2009. Twenty years of postharvest  
 503 biocontrol research: Is it time for a new paradigm? Postharvest Biology and Technology 52(2), 137-  
 504 145.

505 EFSA., 2011. Pest risk assessment of *Monilinia fructicola* for the EU territory and identification  
 506 and evaluation of risk management options. EFSA Journal 9(4), 2119.

507 EPPO., 2009. Diagnostic: *Monilinia fructicola*. Bulletin OEPP/EPPO 39, 337–343.

508 Hayes, C. K., Klemsdal, S., Lorito, M., Di Pietro, A., Peterbauer, C., Nakas, J.P., Tronsmo, A.,  
 509 Harman, G.E., 1994. Isolation and sequence of an endochitinase-encoding gene from a cDNA library  
 510 of *Trichoderma harzianum*. Gene 138(1-2), 143–148.

511 Janisiewicz, W. J., Biggs, A. R., Jurick, W. M., Vico, I., Conway, W. S., 2013. Biological  
 512 characteristics of *Monilinia fructicola* isolates from stone fruits in eastern West Virginia. Canadian  
 513 Journal of Plant Pathology 35(3), 315–327.

514 Jiang, F., Zheng, X., Chen, J., 2009. Microarray analysis of gene expression profile induced by the  
 515 biocontrol yeast *Cryptococcus laurentii* in cherry tomato fruit. *Gene* 430, 12–16.

516 Karabulut, O. A., Smilanick, J., Gabler, F., Mansour, M., Droby, S., 2003. Near-harvest  
 517 applications of *Metschnikowia fructicola*, ethanol, and sodium bicarbonate to control postharvest  
 518 diseases of grape in central California. *Plant Disease* 87(11), 1384-1389.

519 Karabulut, O. A., Tezcan, H., Daus, A., Cohen, L., Wiess, B., Droby, S., 2004. Control of  
 520 preharvest and postharvest fruit rot in strawberry by *Metschnikowia fructicola*. *Biocontrol Science*  
 521 *and Technology* 14(5), 513–521.

522 Kuranda, M. J., Robbins, P. W., 1991. Chitinase is required for cell separation during the growth  
 523 of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* 266(29), 19758-19767.

524 Kurtzman, C. P., Droby, S., 2001. *Metschnikowia fructicola*, a new ascosporic yeast effective for  
 525 biocontrol of postharvest fruit rots. *Systematic and Applied Microbiology* 24(3), 395-399.

526 Laemmli, U. K., 1970. Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of  
 527 bacteriophage T4. *Nature* 227(5259), 680-685.

528 Li, B.Q., Zhou, Z. W., Tian, S.P., 2008. Combined effects of endo- and exogenous trehalose on  
 529 stress tolerance and biocontrol efficacy of two antagonistic yeasts. *Biological Control* 46(2), 187–  
 530 193.

531 Li, D. C., Chen, S., Jing, L. U., 2005. Purification and partial characterization of two chitinases  
 532 from the mycoparasitic fungus *Talaromyces flavus*. *Mycopathologia* 159(2), 223–229.

533 Li, X., Sun, J. N., Okamoto-Shibayama, K., Edgerton, M., 2006. *Candida albicans* cell wall ssa  
 534 proteins bind and facilitate import of salivary histatin 5 required for toxicity. *The Journal of*  
 535 *Biological Chemistry* 281(32), 22453–22463.

536 Lilly, V. G., Barnett, H. L., 1951. *Physiology of the fungi*. McGraw-Hill, New York.

537 Liu, J., Wisniewski, M., Droby, S., Tian, S., HersHKovitz, V., TworKoski, T., 2011. Effect of heat  
 538 shock treatment on stress tolerance and biocontrol efficacy of *Metschnikowia fructicola*. *FEMS*  
 539 *Microbiology Ecology* 76(1), 145–155.

540 Liu, J., Wisniewski, M., Droby, S., Norelli, J., HersHKovitz, V., Tian, S., Farrell, R., 2012a.  
 541 Increase in antioxidant gene transcripts, stress tolerance and biocontrol efficacy of *Candida oleophila*  
 542 following sublethal oxidative stress exposure. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 80(3), 578–590.

543 Liu, J., Sui, Y., Wisniewski, M., Droby, S., Tian, S., Norelli, J., HersHKovitz, V., 2012b. Effect of  
 544 heat treatment on inhibition of *Monilinia fructicola* and induction of disease resistance in peach fruit.  
 545 Postharvest Biology and Technology 65, 61–68.

546 Liu, J., Wisniewski, M., Artlip, T., Sui, Y., Droby, S., Norelli, J., 2013a. The potential role of PR-  
 547 8 gene of apple fruit in the mode of action of the yeast antagonist, *Candida oleophila*, in postharvest  
 548 biocontrol of *Botrytis cinerea*. Postharvest Biology and Technology 85, 203-209.

549 Liu, J., Sui, Y., Wisniewski, M., Droby, S., Liu, Y., 2013b. Review: Utilization of antagonistic  
 550 yeasts to manage postharvest fungal diseases of fruit. International Journal of Food Microbiology  
 551 167(2), 153-160.

552 Liu, Z. H., Yang, Q., Hu, S., Zhang, J. D., Ma, J., 2008. Cloning and characterization of a novel  
 553 chitinase gene (chi46) from *Chaetomium globosum* and identification of its biological activity.  
 554 Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 80(2), 241-252.

555 Livak, K. J., Schmittgen, T. D., 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time  
 556 quantitative PCR and the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$  method. Methods 25(4), 402–408.

557 Lopez-Reyes, J.G., Spadaro, D., Prella, A., Garibaldi, A., Gullino, M.L., 2013. Efficacy of plant  
 558 essential oils on postharvest control of rots caused by fungi on different stone fruits *in vivo*. Journal  
 559 of Food Protection 76(4), 631-639.

560 Macarisin, D., Droby, S., Bauchan, G., Wisniewski, M., 2010. Superoxide anion and hydrogen  
 561 peroxide in the yeast antagonist-fruit interaction: a new role for reactive oxygen species in postharvest  
 562 biocontrol? Postharvest Biology and Technology 58(3), 194–202.

563 Macauley-Patrick, S., Fazenda, M. L., McNeil, B., Harvey, L. M., 2005. Heterologous protein  
 564 production using the *Pichia pastoris* expression system. Yeast 22(4), 249–270.



565       Masih E. I., Paul, B., 2002. Secretion of  $\beta$ -1,3-glucanase by the yeast *Pichia membranifaciens* and  
566       its possible role in the biocontrol of *Botrytis cinerea* causing grey mold disease of the grapevine.  
567       Current Microbiology 44(6), 391-395.

568       Miller, G. L., 1959. Use of dinitrosalicylic acid for determination of reducing sugar. Analytical  
569       Chemistry 31(3), 426-428.

570       Morissette, D. C., Driscoll, B. T., & Jabaji-Hare, S., 2003. Molecular cloning, characterization,  
571       and expression of a cDNA encoding an endochitinase gene from the mycoparasite *Stachybotrys*  
572       *elegans*. Fungal Genetics and Biology 39(3), 276-285.

573       Nakahara, K., Yoshida, K., Ito, T., Suzaki, K., Kudo, A., 2001. Cloning and sequencing of  
574       endochitinase genes from *Gliocladium virens* and *Trichoderma* species. Archives of Phytopathology  
575       and Plant Protection 33(6), 519-527.

576       Pellegrino, C., Gullino, M.L., Garibaldi, A., Spadaro, D., 2009. First report of brown rot of stone  
577       fruit caused by *Monilinia fructicola* in Italy. Plant Disease 93(6), 668.

578       Roberts, W. K., Selitrennikoff, C. P., 1988. Plant and bacterial chitinases differ in antifungal  
579       activity. Journal of General Microbiology 134(1), 169-176.

580       Saligkarias, I. D., Gravanis, F. T., Epton, H.A.S., 2002. Biological control of *Botrytis cinerea* on  
581       tomato plants by the use of epiphytic yeasts *Candida guilliermondii* strains 101 and US 7 and *Candida*  
582       *oleophila* strain I-182: I. *In vivo* studies. Biological Control 25(2), 143-150.

583       Saravanakumar, D., Spadaro, D., Garibaldi, A., Gullino, M. L., 2009. Detection of enzymatic  
584       activity and partial sequence of a chitinase in *Metschnikowia pulcherrima* strain MACH1 used as  
585       postharvest biocontrol agent. European Journal of Plant Pathology 123(2), 183-193.

586       Sharma, R. R., Singh, D., Singh, R., 2009. Biological control of postharvest diseases of fruits and  
587       vegetables by microbial antagonists: A review. Biological Control 50(3), 205-221.

588       Shivakumar, S., Karmali, A. N., Ruhimbana, C., 2014. Partial purification, characterization, and  
589       kinetic studies of a low-molecular-weight, alkali-tolerant chitinase enzyme from *Bacillus subtilis*  
590       JN032305, A potential biocontrol strain. Preparative Biochemistry & Biotechnology 44(6), 617-632.

591 Silva, B. D. S., Ulhoa, C. J., Batista, K. A., Yamashita, F., Fernandes, K. F., 2011. Potential fungal  
 592 inhibition by immobilized hydrolytic enzymes from *Trichoderma asperellum*. Journal of Agricultural  
 593 and Food Chemistry 59(15), 8148-8154.

594 Sisquella, M., Viñas, I., Picouet, P., Torres, R., Torres, R., Usall, J., 2014. Effect of host and  
 595 *Monilinia* spp. variables on the efficacy of radio frequency treatment on peaches. Postharvest Biology  
 596 and Technology 87, 6-12.

597 Smits, G. J., van den Ende, H., Klis, F. M., 2001. Differential regulation of cell wall biogenesis  
 598 during growth and development in yeast. Microbiology 147(4), 781-794.

599 Spadaro, D., Vola, R., Piano, S., Gullino, M.L., 2002. Mechanisms of action and efficacy of four  
 600 isolates of the yeast *Metschnikowia pulcherrima* active against postharvest pathogens on apples.  
 601 Postharvest Biology and Technology 24(2), 123-134.

602 Spadaro, D., Sabetta, W., Acquadro, A., Portis, E., Garibaldi, A., Gullino, M.L., 2008. Use of  
 603 AFLP for differentiation of *Metschnikowia pulcherrima* strains for postharvest disease biological  
 604 control. Microbiological Research 163, 523-530.

605 Spadaro, D., Ciavarella, A., Zhang, D., Garibaldi, A., Gullino, M.L., 2010. Effect of culture media  
 606 and pH on the biomass production and biocontrol efficacy of a *Metschnikowia pulcherrima* strain to  
 607 be used as a biofungicide for postharvest disease control. Canadian Journal of Microbiology 56(2),  
 608 128-137.

609 Spadaro, D., Lorè, A., Garibaldi, A., Gullino, M.L., 2013. A new strain of *Metschnikowia*  
 610 *fructicola* for postharvest control of *Penicillium expansum* and patulin accumulation on four cultivars  
 611 of apple. Postharvest Biology and Technology 75, 1-8.

612 Tseng, S., Liu, S., Yang, H., Lo, C., Peng, K., 2008. Proteomic study of biocontrol mechanisms of  
 613 *Trichoderma harzianum* ETS 323 in response to *Rhizoctonia solani*. Journal of Agricultural and Food  
 614 Chemistry 56(16), 6914-6922.

615 Viterbo, A., Haran, S., Friesem, D., Ramot, O., Chet, I., 2001. Antifungal activity of a novel  
616 endochitinase gene (chit36) from *Trichoderma harzianum* Rifai TM. FEMS Microbiology Letters  
617 200(2), 169–174.

618 Watanabe, T., Kobori, K., Miyashita, K., Fuji, T., Sakai, H., Uchida, M., Tanaka, H., 1993.  
619 Identification of glutamic acid 204 and aspartic acid 200 in chitinase A1 of *Bacillus circulans* WL-  
620 12 as essential residues for chitinase activity. The Journal of Biological Chemistry 268(25), 18567-  
621 18572.

622 Xu, B., Zhang, H., Chen, K., Xu, Q., Yao, Y., Gao, H., 2013. Biocontrol of postharvest *Rhizopus*  
623 decay of peaches with *Pichia caribbica*. Current Microbiology 67(2), 255–261.

624 Yan, R., Ding, D., Guan, W., Hou, J., Li, M., 2008. Control of grey mould rot of loquat with  
625 chitinase expressed in *Pichia pastoris*. Crop Protection 27(10), 1312– 1317.

626 Yang, C., Ho, Y., Pang, J., Huang, S., Tschen, J. S., 2009. Cloning and expression of an antifungal  
627 chitinase gene of a novel *Bacillus subtilis* isolate from Taiwan potato field. Bioresource Technology  
628 100(3), 1454-1458.

629 Zhang, D., Spadaro, D., Garibaldi, A., Gullino, M. L., 2010a. Selection and evaluation of new  
630 antagonists for their efficacy against postharvest brown rot of peaches. Postharvest Biology and  
631 Technology 55(3), 174-181.

632 Zhang, D., Spadaro, D., Garibaldi, A., Gullino, M.L., 2010b. Efficacy of the antagonist  
633 *Aureobasidium pullulans* PL5 against postharvest pathogens of peach, apple and plum and its modes  
634 of action. Biological Control 54, 172-180.

635

636 Zhang, D., Spadaro, D., Garibaldi, A., Gullino, M.L., 2011. Potential biocontrol activity of a strain  
637 of *Pichia guilliermondii* against grey mould of apples and its possible modes of action. Biological  
638 Control 57(3), 193-201.

639 Zhang, D., Spadaro, D., Valente, S., Garibaldi, A., Gullino, M. L., 2012. Cloning, characterization,  
640 expression and antifungal activity of an alkaline serine protease of *Aureobasidium pullulans* PL5

641 involved in the biological control of postharvest pathogens. *International Journal of Food*  
642 *Microbiology* 153(3), 453-464.

643 .

644

645 **Supplementary Tables**

646 **Supplementary Table 1** Amino acid characterization of *MfChi* of *Metschnikowia fructicola* AP47.

Parameters**	MfChi	
Number of amino acids	365	
Molecular weight (kDa)	40.92	
Theoretical pI	5.27	
Amino acids and composition*	Nr	Cp
Ala (A)	25	6.8%
Arg (R)	16	4.4%
Asn (N)	29	7.9%
Asp (D)	34	9.3%
Cys (C)	7	1.9%
Gln (Q)	15	4.1%
Glu (E)	13	3.6%
Gly (G)	27	7.4%
His (H)	4	1.1%
Ile (I)	10	2.7%
Leu (L)	31	8.5%
Lys (K)	24	6.6%
Met (M)	12	3.3%
Phe (F)	23	6.3%
Pro (P)	17	4.7%
Ser (S)	21	5.8%
Thr (T)	16	4.4%
Trp (W)	3	0.8%
Tyr (Y)	14	3.8%
Val (V)	24	6.6%

647

648 \* Nr: number of residues; Cp: composition (percentage) of each amino residue.

649 \*\*The parameters of MpChi and MfChi were characterized with ExPASy Proteomics Server at the

650 website: <http://www.expasy.org>

651

652 **Supplementary Table 2** Full name and accession number of the chitinases used for the  
653 phylogenetic tree analysis of MfChi, retrieved from NCBI and UniProt database.

Abbreviation	Full names of the chitinases
<i>B. bassiana</i> endo-Chi	<i>Beauveria bassiana</i> , endochitinase gb AAN41260.1
<i>B. circulans</i> ChiA	<i>Bacillus circulans</i> chitinase A1 (chiA) gb M57601.1 BACCHIA3
<i>B. licheniformis</i> Chi	<i>Bacillus licheniformis</i> , chitinase gene, gb AY205293.1
<i>B. subtilis</i> Chi	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> chitinase (chi) gene, gb AF069131.1
<i>C. albicans</i> Chi	<i>Candida albicans</i> , chitinase gb AAS66201.1
<i>C. albicans</i>  S65110  Chi	<i>Candida albicans</i> , chitinase (EC 3.2.1.14) gb S65110
<i>C. albicans</i> SC5314 Chi	<i>Candida albicans</i> SC5314, chitinase ref XP_719348.1
<i>C. albicans</i> SC5314 Cht2	<i>Candida albicans</i> SC5314, chitinase Cht2 ref XP_721807.1
<i>C. albicans</i> SC5314 Cht2(2)	<i>Candida albicans</i> SC5314, chitinase Cht2 ref XP_721966.1
<i>E. americana</i> Chi	<i>Ewingella americana</i> , chitinase emb X90562.1
<i>I. farinosa</i> Chi	<i>Isaria farinosa</i> , chitinase gb ABD64606.1
<i>I. fumosorosea</i> endo-Chi	<i>Isaria fumosorosea</i> , bacterial-type endochitinase gb AAX19146.1
<i>L. lecanii</i> acidic-Chi	<i>Lecanicillium lecanii</i> , acidic chitinase gb AAX56960.1
<i>L. lecanii</i> basic-Chi	<i>Lecanicillium lecanii</i> , basic chitinase gb AAV98691.1
<i>M. anisopliae</i> Chi	<i>Metarhizium anisopliae</i> , chitinase gb AAV32603.1
<i>M. flavoviride</i> Chi	<i>Metarhizium flavoviride</i> , chitinase emb CAB44709.1
<i>Malus x domestica</i> class II CHTMA	<i>Malus x domestica</i> , class II chitinase (CHTMA) gb HQ416905.1
<i>N. rileyi</i> Chi	<i>Nomuraea rileyi</i> , chitinase  AAP04616.1
<i>N. tabacum</i> endo-Chi	<i>Nicotiana tabacum</i> , Acidic endochitinase sp P17514 CHIQ_TOBAC Q
<i>O. sativa</i> CHI11	<i>Oryza sativa</i> subsp. <i>japonica</i> , Chitinase 11 sp Q10S66 CHI11_ORYSJ
<i>S. cerevisiae</i> endo-Chi 2	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> endochitinase gb AAA34539.1
<i>S. cerevisiae</i> endo-Chi1	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> endochitinase gb AAA34538.1
<i>S. cerevisiae</i> S288c Cts1p	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> S288c, Cts1p ref NP_013388.1
<i>S. cerevisiae</i> RM11-1a endo-Chi	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> RM11-1a, endochitinase gb EDV08610.1
<i>S. cerevisiae</i> YJM789 endo-Chi	<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> YJM789, endochitinase gb EDN59372.1
<i>S. stipitis</i> Chi	<i>Scheffersomyces stipitis</i> CBS 6054, chitinase ref XP_001386607.2
<i>Streptomyces</i> sp. ChiN	<i>Streptomyces</i> sp. ABRIINW 18 ChiN gene, gb HM748586.1
<i>T. aureoviride</i> endo-Chi	<i>Trichoderma aureoviride</i> , 42 kDa endochitinase gb AY850032.1
<i>U. dioica</i> endo-Chi	<i>Urtica dioica</i> , Lectin/endochitinase 1 sp P11218  AGI_URTDI

654

655

656 **Figure captions**

657 **Fig. 1** Relative expression levels (transcript accumulation) determined by Reverse transcription-  
658 quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) of the chitinase gene *MfChi* in  
659 *Metschnikowia fructicola* AP47 cultured with *Monilinia fructicola* CWP and without CWP (control)  
660 at each time point (6 h, 12 h, 24 h and 48 h). Values were normalized to the control at 6h arbitrarily  
661 set to unity. Vertical lines represent the standard error for an average of three biological replicates.  
662 Different letters above the columns indicated a significant difference determined by Duncan's  
663 Multiple comparison Test ( $p < 0.05$ ).

664  
665 **Fig. 2** SDS-PAGE analysis of the recombinant chitinase expressed in *P. pastoris*. Supernatants of the  
666 yeast culture were taken from different isolates after 120 h of induction. Lanes: M: molecular weight  
667 marker (Precision Plus Protein Dual Color Standards, BIO RAD); 0: *P. pastoris* KM71 isolate  
668 transformed with pPIC9 (Control); 1, 2, 3 and 4: some transformed *P. pastoris* isolates with pPIC9-  
669 *MfChi*.

670  
671 **Fig. 3** Effect of the recombinant chitinase *MfChi* on spore germination (**A**) and germ tube length (**B**)  
672 of *M. fructicola* and *M. laxa* after 9 h and 18 h of incubation at 25 °C in potato dextrose broth medium.  
673 The chitinase was applied at 7 ng/μL (C1) and 70 ng/μL (C2). Treatments followed by different letters  
674 are statistically different following the Duncan's multiple range test ( $p < 0.05$ ).

675  
676 **Fig. 4** Antifungal activity of the recombinant chitinase *MfChi* in controlling the decay development  
677 of *M. fructicola* and *M. laxa* in wound-inoculated peaches. The chitinase was applied at 7 ng/μL (C1)  
678 and 70 ng/μL (C2). The results are the mean of three independent experiments. Treatments followed  
679 by different letters are statistically different following the Duncan's multiple range test ( $p < 0.05$ ).

680

**Supplementary Fig. 1** Alignment of the deduced amino acid sequence of eight chitinase genes from yeasts. The data were retrieved from NCBI database: *Candida albicans* chitinase (S65110); *Candida albicans* chitinase (AAS66201.1); *Candida albicans* SC5314 chitinase (XP\_719348.1); *Candida albicans* SC5314 chitinase Cht2 (XP\_721807.1); *Candida albicans* SC5314 chitinase Cht2 (XP\_721966.1); *Candida tropicalis* MYA-3404 chitinase 1 precursor (XP\_002546283.1); *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* endochitinase (AAA34538.1); *Scheffersomyces stipitis* CBS 6054 chitinase (XP\_001386607.2). Multiple sequence alignment of proteins was performed by using DNAMAN 7.0. Identical residues are highlighted in black background. The conserved region (LLSLGG and QFYNNYC) marked with asterisks were used to design the degenerate primers to amplify the partial sequence of chitinase genes from the antagonistic yeasts *Metschnikowia fructicola* strain AP47.

**Supplementary Fig. 2** Nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequences of *MfChi*. The entire DNA sequence of *MfChi* is shown together with the corresponding amino acid sequence displayed below it. Nucleotides and amino acids are numbered on the left side of the sequence. The start codon (ATG) is underlined with a single line; the stop codon (TGA) is marked with an asterisk; the signal peptide is highlighted with the arrows; four putative *N*-glycosylation sites (NFSN, NLTN, NLTV and NLTN) are underlined with double lines, the chitinase catalytic activity site (DGYDFNME) is bolded and underlined with a single line, and the two repeated regions in the 3 prime terminal of the open reading frame (ORF) of *MfChi* are shadowed with grey colour.

**Supplementary Fig. 3** Alignment of *MfChi* amino acids with those of chitinase genes from the yeasts, *Metschnikowia pulcherrima*, *Candida albicans*, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Scheffersomyces stipitis* with DNAMAN 7.0 and CLASTALW. Chitinase genes for alignments were retrieved from NCBI database. Amino acids that are identical between *MfChi* and other sequences are shadowed with black color. Non-coding amino acids were shown in dashed line.



707

708 **Supplementary Fig. 4** Alignment of the N-terminal regions of MfChi with those of other known  
709 yeast chitinases retrieved from NCBI and UniProt databases. Alignment was performed with  
710 DNAMAN 7.0 and CLASTALW. Identical residues are shadowed with black color. Non-coding  
711 amino acids are shown in dashed line. Numbers mean the position of selected peptide fragments  
712 starting from their corresponding start codons. Six cysteine residues highly conserved are marked  
713 with an asterisk. The chitinase family 18 active site is highlighted with a box. The proposed aspartic  
714 and glutamic catalytic residues are highlighted with a full-black triangle.

715

716 **Supplementary Fig. 5** Phylogenetic analysis of MfChi and other chitinases from different  
717 microorganisms and plants. The amino acid sequences of other chitinases were retrieved from NCBI  
718 and UniProt database as seen in Supplementary Table 2. The phylogenetic tree of MfChi was  
719 generated using MEGA6 by neighbour-joining method. The numbers at node indicate the bootstrap  
720 percentages of 1000 resamples.

721