

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Liver transplantation after severe hepatic trauma: a sustainable practice. A single-center experience and review of the literature

This is a pre print version of the following article:

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/146405> since 2016-11-11T09:45:57Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1111/ctr.12192

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)



**Liver Transplantation after Severe Hepatic Trauma: a Sustainable Practice.
A Single-Center Experience and Review of the Literature**

Journal:	<i>Clinical Transplantation</i>
Manuscript ID:	CLTX-12-OA-0628.R2
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Original Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	27-Mar-2013
Complete List of Authors:	Patrono, Damiano; A.O. Città della Salute e della Scienza - Presidio San Giovanni Battista, General Surgery 8 - Liver Transplantation Center Brunati, Andrea; A.O. Città della Salute e della Scienza - Presidio San Giovanni Battista, General Surgery 8 - Liver Transplantation Center Romagnoli, Renato; A.O. Città della Salute e della Scienza - Presidio San Giovanni Battista, General Surgery 8 - Liver Transplantation Center Salizzoni, Mauro; A.O. Città della Salute e della Scienza - Presidio San Giovanni Battista, General Surgery 8 - Liver Transplantation Center
Keywords:	hemorrhage, liver failure, liver transplantation, sepsis, thrombosis, hepatic trauma
Abstract:	Severe hepatic trauma is a rare indication for liver transplantation (LT). We report our single-center experience of LT for hepatic trauma. Four new cases are discussed in the light of a literature review in order to depict the pathways leading from hepatic trauma to LT and to assess the outcomes of this practice. LT is generally indicated in case of uncontrollable hemorrhage, acute liver failure, or post-traumatic late sequelae. Hepatic vessels thrombosis, sepsis, major hepatic resections and a late referral are factors associated with the progression towards irreversible liver failure. Considering all reported cases, early patient and graft survival reached 68% and 62%, respectively, but in the last decade both have improved to 84%. LT after severe hepatic trauma is a sustainable practice considering the current good outcomes and the ineluctable death of these patients without LT.

1
2
3 Liver Transplantation after Severe Hepatic Trauma: a Sustainable Practice.
4
5

6 A Single-Center Experience and Review of the Literature
7

8
9 Damiano Patrono, Andrea Brunati, Renato Romagnoli, and Mauro Salizzoni

10
11 General Surgery 8 and Liver Transplantation Center, San Giovanni Battista – Molinette University

12
13 Hospital, A. O. Città della Salute e della Scienza, Turin, Italy
14

15
16 **Running head:** Liver Transplantation after Hepatic Trauma

17
18 **Authors contributions:** Damiano Patrono designed and performed the study, collected data and
19
20 wrote the manuscript; Andrea Brunati helped in collecting the data; Renato Romagnoli critically
21
22 revised the manuscript; Mauro Salizzoni supervised and critically revised the manuscript.
23

24
25 No grant or financial support was received for the publication of this paper

26
27 **Conflict of interest:** the authors disclose no conflicts of interest

28
29 **Corresponding author:**

30
31 Prof. Mauro Salizzoni

32
33 Professor of Surgery. University of Turin

34
35 General Surgery 8 and Liver Transplantation Center, San Giovanni Battista – Molinette University

36
37 Hospital, Turin, Italy

38
39 Corso Bramante 88, 10126, Torino

40
41 Tel: 00390116334374

42
43 Fax: 00390116336770

44
45 e-mail: mauro.salizzoni@unito.it
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 **Authors:** Patrono D, Brunati A, Romagnoli R, Salizzoni M.
4

5 **Title:** Liver Transplantation after Severe Hepatic Trauma: a Sustainable Practice.
6

7 A Single-Center Experience and Review of the Literature
8

9 **Journal:** Clin Transplant
10

11 **ABSTRACT**
12

13
14 Severe hepatic trauma is a rare indication for liver transplantation (LT). We report our single-center
15
16 experience of LT for hepatic trauma. Four new cases are discussed in the light of a literature review
17
18 in order to depict the pathways leading from hepatic trauma to LT and to assess the outcomes of this
19
20 practice. LT is generally indicated in case of uncontrollable hemorrhage, acute liver failure, or post-
21
22 traumatic late sequelae. Hepatic vessels thrombosis, sepsis, major hepatic resections and a late
23
24 referral are factors associated with the progression towards irreversible liver failure. Considering all
25
26 reported cases, early patient and graft survival reached 68% and 62%, respectively, but in the last
27
28 decade both have improved to 84%. LT after severe hepatic trauma is a sustainable practice
29
30 considering the current good outcomes and the ineluctable death of these patients without LT.
31
32

33
34 **Keywords:** hemorrhage, liver failure, liver transplantation, sepsis, thrombosis
35

36 **Corresponding author:**
37

38 Prof. Mauro Salizzoni
39

40 Professor of Surgery. University of Turin
41

42 General Surgery 8 and Liver Transplantation Center, San Giovanni Battista – Molinette University
43

44 Hospital, Turin, Italy
45

46 Corso Bramante 88, 10126, Torino
47

48 Tel: 00390116334374
49

50 Fax: 00390116336770
51

52 e-mail: mauro.salizzoni@unito.it
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

FIGURE LEGENDS:

Figure 1. (Case 1) A. Contrast-enhanced computed tomography showing severe dilatation of intra-hepatic bile ducts of the liver remnant after right hepatectomy (arrow) and B. Portal vein thrombosis (arrow) and inferior vena cava dilatation (arrowhead).

Figure 2. (Case 4) Contrast-enhanced computed tomography showing the severely swollen and inhomogeneous liver remnant after right hepatectomy.

Figure 3. Clinical scenarios of LT for hepatic trauma

INTRODUCTION

Liver is the most frequently injured abdominal organ (1). The treatment of blunt hepatic trauma has significantly evolved over the last three decades with the introduction and wide acceptance of the concepts of nonoperative management, damage control surgery and the use of interventional radiology (2-6). Nowadays, nonoperative management is the preferred strategy in hemodynamically stable patients (6-9) but surgery, alone or in association with interventional radiology, still plays a major role in the management of severe liver injuries (grade IV and V according to the American Association for the Surgery of Trauma Organ Injury Score) (10), which remains extremely challenging even in experienced hands (11, 12). The main causes of death in these patients are exsanguinating hemorrhage and liver failure leading to multiorgan failure (13). Both these conditions, in principle, can be treated by a timely liver transplantation (LT).

Nevertheless, severe hepatic trauma is a peculiar albeit rare indication for orthotopic LT. LT has been most frequently performed for an uncontrollable hemorrhage requiring a total hepatectomy, or for an acute liver failure (ALF) developing after the first treatment.

Although salvage LT for hepatic trauma represents a well-established practice in all major liver transplant centers, a comprehensive literature review analyzing the different scenarios in which LT becomes the last possible therapeutic option after hepatic trauma has not been carried out so far. Furthermore, the short and long-term results of this practice have not been thoroughly assessed.

In this article we report four more cases of patients who underwent LT at our institution following a severe hepatic trauma; we focused our case reports on the initial trauma management and on how LT eventually resulted as the only viable therapeutic solution. Afterwards, based on our experience and on an accurate review of the literature, we depict the clinical scenarios in which a liver injury can eventually lead to LT and assess the outcomes of this practice. Finally, we highlight some specific factors linked to the indication for LT in these extreme situations.

CASE REPORTS

CASE 1

In June 2002 a 15-year-old female suffered a grade IV right liver injury as a consequence of a horse kick. She was first referred to a peripheral hospital, where she underwent a right hepatectomy. Her postoperative course was complicated by progressive liver failure, portal vein and inferior vena cava thrombosis, biliary leak-related sepsis, and acute renal failure (Figure 1). She was then transferred to our institution on postoperative day (POD) 14, where a relaparotomy was performed in order to drain the bile collections and to assess the hepatic vessels permeability. At reoperation, we observed a complete portal vein and hepatic artery thrombosis, the latter due to some surgical stitches from the previous operation, and a biliary leak coming from the left bile duct, which had been completely severed. The biliary leak was treated by placing a drain into the left bile duct stump, but the portal vein thrombosis invariably recurred after two successive attempts of surgical thrombectomy. Forty-eight hours later, she developed hepatic failure and coagulopathy and became hemodynamically unstable as a result of massive abdominal hemorrhage. The bleeding was only partially controlled by liver packing. She was then placed on the national waiting list for an urgent liver transplantation for acute liver failure. Despite massive fresh frozen plasma transfusions due to ongoing bleeding, her Model for End-stage Liver Disease (MELD) score was 23. Transplantation was carried out two days later (19 days after the trauma) with resection of the retro-hepatic inferior vena cava that was occluded by a thrombus. The post-transplant course was uneventful and she was discharged home on POD 18. More than 10 years after, she is alive and well with a normal hepatic function.

CASE 2

In March 2003 a 20-year-old female with a previous medical history of Thalassemia Major suffered an abdominal trauma from a motorway accident. She underwent an explorative laparotomy in a

1
2
3 peripheral hospital, where a 5-cm-deep parenchymal tear involving segment 8 was treated by
4
5 hepatorrhaphy. Due to persistent bleeding and respiratory failure she was transferred to our
6
7 department on POD 1, where a decision for a re-laparotomy was taken after a CT-scan revealed a
8
9 large hematoma of the right liver lobe, a dilatation of the bile ducts of the right liver segments and
10
11 an abundant peritoneal fluid collection. During the operation, we observed a huge hematoma of the
12
13 right hepatic lobe and a large tear of the right hepatic vein. Hemostasis was achieved through a right
14
15 hepatectomy. Postoperatively, the patient developed progressive liver failure, acidosis and a
16
17 systemic inflammatory response syndrome. After having received the pathological report that
18
19 revealed a severe hepatic iron overload and fibrosis, iron-chelation with desferoxamine was started
20
21 but could not reverse the deterioration of the hepatic function, which was characterized by
22
23 hyperbilirubinemia, ascites and encephalopathy. She was placed on the national list for an urgent
24
25 LT with a MELD score of 32 and was transplanted six days after, i.e. fifteen days after the trauma.
26
27 Unexpectedly, a previously unrecognized portal vein thrombosis was observed during
28
29 transplantation. The postoperative course was uneventful except for a transitory early graft
30
31 dysfunction. She is still alive and well 10 years after the trauma.
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 **CASE 3**

39
40 In September 2002 a 4-year-old female was rammed by a car and suffered a grade III blunt liver
41
42 trauma. She was first referred to another institution where, since she was hemodynamically stable, a
43
44 nonoperative management was initially attempted, in spite of the presence of abundant abdominal
45
46 effusion. Due to persistent abdominal distension and fever, a percutaneous ultrasound-guided
47
48 drainage of a bile collection was performed a month later. As the fistula persisted, an explorative
49
50 laparoscopy was carried out showing a complex injury of the main bile ducts at the hilar plate. Two
51
52 drains were placed in the hepatic hilar region with the hope of a spontaneous healing of the biliary
53
54 fistula, but without any positive result. She was eventually treated by a double
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 hepaticojejunostomy. In the early postoperative period, she developed several episodes of
4
5 *Enterococcus Faecium* cholangitis and a moderate liver function impairment. She was finally
6
7 discharged home and was in good health for the following two years, until she presented a sudden
8
9 upper gastrointestinal bleeding from large esophageal varices. Biliary ducts dilatation and portal
10
11 vein thrombosis were ruled out by Doppler ultrasonography and CT-scan, which showed signs of
12
13 portal hypertension and liver cirrhosis. A liver biopsy was consistent with secondary biliary
14
15 cirrhosis. She subsequently developed thrombocytopenia and presented several episodes of
16
17 cholangitis, with blood tests revealing a marked cholestasis. The indication for LT was based on the
18
19 reiterate episodes of cholangitis in the setting of an established cirrhosis complicated by variceal
20
21 bleeding, thrombocytopenia and growth delay. LT was carried out in February 2005 using a whole
22
23 liver allograft. The postoperative course was uneventful and she was discharged home on POD 8.
24
25
26
27 Eight years after LT she is well and attending school regularly.
28
29
30
31

32 **CASE 4**

33
34
35 In August 2006, during a motorcycle accident, a 22-year-old male suffered a grade IV liver injury
36
37 of the posterior right liver segments associated with a grade V lesion of the right kidney. He was
38
39 initially referred to a peripheral hospital where a right nephrectomy and a liver packing were
40
41 performed. The packing was removed on POD 3, after which the patient presented a biliary leak
42
43 exteriorized by the surgical drains, complicated by sepsis and renal failure. Due to the worsening of
44
45 his conditions despite a broad-spectrum antibiotic therapy he was transferred to our department on
46
47 POD 11. On arrival, he presented hypotension, tachycardia, fever and anuria. He underwent an
48
49 explorative laparotomy during which an abundant bile collection was washed out. The liver was
50
51 oedematous, brown-coloured, and presented a deep necrosis of the right posterolateral segments, the
52
53 origin of the biliary leak, which was treated by a debridement right hepatectomy. In the
54
55 postoperative period, we observed a mild but continuous bleeding from the drains and a
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 progressively worsening coagulopathy. Five days later he underwent a further laparotomy during
4
5 which an abundant hemoperitoneum was observed and the abdomen was packed to secure
6
7 hemostasis; at that moment, the liver remnant was severely swollen and cholestatic (Figure 2). As
8
9 the hemorrhage was not completely controlled despite the peri-hepatic packing, this had to be re-
10
11 positioned three times during three subsequent operations, but could not achieve a complete
12
13 hemostasis because of the underlying coagulopathy. Finally, on POD 27, the patient became
14
15 hemodinamically unstable as a result of the liver failure, so we decided to perform a total
16
17 hepatectomy associated with a porto-caval shunt and place him on the national list for an emergent
18
19 LT. An AB0-compatible donor was available the same day, limiting the duration of the anhepatic
20
21 phase to 19 hours, during which he was treated with continuous veno-venous hemodialysis and
22
23 infusion of calcium gluconate and glucose. After LT, the abdominal wall closure was achieved with
24
25 a multistep approach using a Gore-Tex[®] dual-mesh and the vacuum-assisted closure device (VAC[®]
26
27 Therapy). The postoperative course was complicated by an oropharyngeal *Rizhomucor* infection,
28
29 cytomegalovirus infection, an acute rejection, biliary and urinary tract infections by *Enterococcus*
30
31 and *Pseudomonas Aeruginosa*. He was discharged home 85 days after LT but, six months later, he
32
33 developed a pharyngeal B-cell lymphoma, which was treated with Rituximab and an
34
35 immunosuppression switch from Tacrolimus to Everolimus. One year after LT, he presented several
36
37 episodes of cholangitis in the setting of bilateral intra-hepatic ischemic-type biliary lesions. We
38
39 considered retransplantation unfeasible due to the previous surgical and medical history, so he
40
41 underwent several percutaneous dilatations. Recurrent cholangitis was complicated by pneumonias
42
43 that led to the development of bronchiectasis colonized by multi-resistant *Pseudomonas*
44
45 *Aeruginosa*. The latter episode of pneumonia was complicated by an untreatable respiratory failure
46
47 leading to the patient's death in December 2010, 49 months after LT.
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 **METHODS** A systematic interrogation of the PubMed database was performed on December 1
4 2012 using the terms “hepatic trauma”, “liver trauma” and “liver transplantation”. Articles reporting
5 recipients of a liver transplantation as a consequence of hepatic trauma were reviewed. References
6 from each article were cross-checked to identify additional articles. Articles reporting cases of
7 multivisceral transplantation, autotransplantation or with insufficient patients’ data were excluded.
8 According to Ringe et al. (14), a 2-stage procedure was defined as a LT procedure in which total
9 hepatectomy is performed urgently when a liver allograft is not yet available and a temporary porto-
10 caval shunt is performed to avoid splanchnic congestion. While waiting for an allograft, the patient
11 remains anhepatic; when the two stages are completed, the anhepatic phase is followed by the
12 implantation of the liver allograft. Cases in which the patient died before graft implantation were
13 excluded. The data collected were patients demographics, type of injury (blunt or penetrating),
14 Glasgow Coma Scale score, American Association for the Surgery of Trauma Liver Injury Score,
15 indication for transplantation, timing of transplantation, number and type of previous operations,
16 surgical technique, length of follow-up, patient and graft survival and causes of death or re-
17 transplantation. A particular effort was made to gather information about factors possibly associated
18 with the need for LT, like portal vein or hepatic artery thrombosis or occlusion, sepsis or major
19 hepatic resection (i.e. resection of three or more Couinaud’s segments). Early LTs were defined as
20 those performed as a direct consequence of the injury or for an ALF arising after the initial
21 treatment. All other cases were defined as late LTs. Postoperative mortality and graft loss were
22 defined as those occurring within 30 days after LT or during the hospital stay. Data from the
23 literature were pooled with those of the patients in our series. An analysis was performed comparing
24 patients transplanted with a standard versus a 2-stage procedure and according to different
25 indications. The groups were compared by two-sided Fisher’s exact test or Mann-Whitney test, as
26 appropriate.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 **RESULTS** After excluding a case of auto-transplantation (15), six double reports (13, 14, 16-22)
4 and a case for which no information about the management was provided (23), 30 patients were
5 identified (17, 24-40) and pooled with the four patients in our series, for a total of 34 patients. Table
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 shows a synopsis of the present series. Table 2 summarizes the literature review, which has been split in two periods, 1987 – 2001 and 2002 - 2012. Median age of LT recipients was 24 years (range 4 – 68) and in 76% of the cases LT was performed after a blunt hepatic injury. The indication for LT was ALF and hemorrhage in 59% and 26% of patients, respectively. Concerning risk factors for acute liver failure, fourteen (41%) patients underwent a major hepatectomy during the first management of injury and 9 (26%) developed a sepsis thereafter; fifteen (44%) patients presented a thrombosis or an occlusion of the portal vein or the hepatic artery which was considered significant in determining the indication for LT. Median length of follow up was 6 months (range 1 – 156 months) and in only 15 (48%) cases long-term follow up (i.e. ≥ 12 months) was reported. In the whole series, postoperative patient and graft survival rate was 68% and 62%, respectively. For patients transplanted in the last decade, postoperative and long-term patient survival significantly improved to 84% and 79%, respectively. In 9 (26%) cases LT was performed as a 2-stage procedure. Overall, a 2-stage procedure was attempted in 13 patients, four of whom (31%) died before the second stage completion (i.e. graft implantation) and another four (31%) died in the early post-LT period, leading to a procedure-specific 38% survival rate. The most frequent cause of early death was sepsis. Seven patients required re-transplantation and one patient was transplanted three times (38). Death with a functioning graft and primary non function were the most frequent causes of graft loss. No significant difference between early and late LT was observed concerning the rates of postoperative patient survival (67% vs 71%, $p = 1$) and graft survival (59% vs 71%, $p = 0.68$). An analysis comparing standard versus 2-stage procedure was performed (Table 3): a 2-stage procedure was carried out most frequently in case of uncontrollable hemorrhage; no difference in patient survival was observed but patients undergoing a 2-stage procedure showed a trend towards a decreased graft survival (72% versus 33%; $p = 0.057$). Finally, patients were regrouped according

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

to the indication for LT (Table 4). Patients transplanted for an uncontrollable hemorrhage were more likely to undergo a 2-stage procedure; in this group postoperative graft survival was 27%.

For Review Only

DISCUSSION

As hemodynamic stability is the main factor determining the treatment, roughly 85% of liver trauma are managed nonoperatively (41). Unstable patients requiring an emergent laparotomy usually present more severe injuries that can be managed with a combination of surgical techniques including bimanual compression, Pringle maneuver, resectional debridement, hepatic resection and liver packing (1). Along with surgical procedures, angiography with transcatheter embolization is a mainstay in liver trauma management, either as a nonoperative hemostasis technique or as an adjunct hemostasis following damage control surgery (42, 43).

The most frequent cause of death after severe hepatic trauma is an exsanguinating hemorrhage from a disrupted liver or an acute liver failure consequence of the injury itself or to the operative procedures used to achieve hemostasis. Although both of these conditions are ideally treatable by LT, only 34 cases have been reported. In 1987, Esquivel et al. reported the first case of post-traumatic LT in a patient with a complex vascular and biliary lesion 66 days after a motor vehicle accident (29). In 1988 (44), Ringe et al. described the two-stage procedure, which was used in this context to treat an injury beyond repair by an expeditious total hepatectomy, in the hope of a readily available liver donor.

Subsequent reports have described a variety of clinical situations that can be grouped in three distinct clinical scenarios (Figure 3). The emergency indication for LT is represented by a massive hemorrhage arising from an injured liver that can be controlled only with a total hepatectomy. In this case, LT is carried out as a two-stage procedure. The longest anhepatic phase mentioned in the literature lasted 66 hours (45) and there are several reports in which an anhepatic period lasting more than 30 hours was tolerated (25, 27). However, it must be noted that survival rate of patients in whom a 2-stage procedure was attempted was 38% and that a reduced postoperative graft survival and a trend towards an increased postoperative mortality were observed in patients reaching the second step. In the second scenario the urgent indication for LT is ALF. As the clinical

1
2
3 picture deteriorates quickly, clinicians have the choice to list the patient for an urgent LT with the
4
5 standard technique, or to opt for a two-stage procedure with the aim of improving the hemodynamic
6
7 state through immediate removal of a toxic liver. As the efficacy of such a strategy is not clear (28),
8
9 the choice to perform a two-stage procedure in this situation should be carefully evaluated on a
10
11 case-by-case basis. Finally, the third setting refers to the patients with late post-traumatic sequelae,
12
13 mostly complex vascular and biliary injuries that are not amenable to a surgical repair or lead to a
14
15 secondary biliary cirrhosis, in which LT is carried out electively (30).

16
17
18 Although LT was frequently performed in desperate situations, early patient and graft survival rate
19
20 was 68% and 62%, respectively, increasing to 84% in the last decade. This improvement can be
21
22 explained by the fact that in comparison with the 1987 – 2001 period, in the last decade the
23
24 indication for LT was less frequently an uncontrollable hemorrhage and consequently a 2-stage
25
26 technique was more rarely used. As performing a total hepatectomy to fix an otherwise
27
28 uncontrollable hemorrhage clearly represents an extreme clinical situation, it is not surprising that a
29
30 trend towards a reduced postoperative patient and graft survival was observed in this setting (Table
31
32 4 and 5).
33
34
35

36
37 Our experience reflects the heterogeneity of the scenarios depicted above: patients reported in case
38
39 1 and 2 were transplanted for an ALF presenting after a major hepatic resection and favored in one
40
41 case by sepsis and in the other by an underlying liver disease; case 3 is an example of LT carried
42
43 out for the late consequences of a traumatic injury to the biliary ducts and of the repair procedure; in
44
45 case 4 ALF was complicated by reiterate hemorrhage and hemodynamic instability, leading to the
46
47 need for a 2-stage procedure.
48

49
50 Our results highlight some common features associated with the progression towards hepatic failure
51
52 and the need for LT.
53

54
55 In the whole series, a major hepatic resection was performed prior to LT in 41% of the cases. Our
56
57 patients who finally required LT for an ALF had undergone a major hepatic resection, one as the
58
59 first treatment and two during a subsequent laparotomy. There is no doubt that there are situations
60

1
2
3 in which performing a formal hepatic resection is unavoidable and this can yield good results in
4
5 centers where an experienced hepatobiliary surgeon is readily available (23). Nevertheless, in the
6
7 trauma setting, performing a major hepatic resection is associated with an increased mortality and
8
9 morbidity (46, 47), and several Authors claim that it should be avoided as much as possible. For
10
11 example, it could be argued that a liver packing followed by angiography would have probably been
12
13 a more sound approach for our patient in case 1. Based on our results, it could be suggested that
14
15 also in the “second look” operations viable liver parenchyma should be preserved as much as
16
17 possible, because the hepatic functional reserve could be reduced as a result of hypovolemic shock,
18
19 sepsis and previously unknown hepatic disease.
20
21

22
23 Another frequent finding in patients requiring LT for ALF was the presence of a portal vein or
24
25 hepatic artery thrombosis, which was observed in 26% and 35% of the patients, respectively (Table
26
27 2). It is well known that an abrupt interruption of the hepatic arterial inflow can favor the
28
29 progression towards irreversible ALF, even in a non-traumatic setting (48), and this should be taken
30
31 into account in case of angiographic embolization, if the arterial supply to large portions of hepatic
32
33 parenchyma is interrupted (42). Concerning portal vein thrombosis, this can be either one of the
34
35 factors precipitating ALF, or one of its effects. Portal vein thrombosis can result from the vessel
36
37 injury itself or from the surgical maneuvers to achieve hemostasis, or be favored by the pro-
38
39 coagulating state which results from the activation of the inflammatory pathways after trauma.
40
41 Alternatively, it can be caused by sinusoidal congestion that has been observed after major hepatic
42
43 resection in association with liver failure (49) as it was probably the case for our patient in case 3.
44
45 Finally, sepsis was documented in 26% of the cases. The presence of an inflammatory response in
46
47 patients with ALF is a negative prognostic factor and, when it is associated with sepsis, the
48
49 progression towards encephalopathy is more probable (50). The presence of a bile leak clearly
50
51 represents a predisposing factor for an abdominal sepsis and ALF, as it has been observed after
52
53 elective hepatic resections (51) and in the trauma setting (37).
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 The analysis of the factors linked to the progression towards ALF stresses once more the mainstay
4 importance of the first surgical management and of a timely referral to a center where a whole
5 surgical expertise and all therapeutic means, including LT, are available. Every effort should be
6 made not to get to a desperate situation in which LT remains the only possible therapeutic mean.
7
8

9
10
11 In conclusion, despite the widespread ongoing problem of organ shortage, the indication for LT
12 after hepatic trauma has to be considered sustainable, in the light of both the current good early
13 survival (utilitarian approach) and the virtual 100% mortality of these patients without LT (survival
14 benefit approach). Three different scenarios characterized by different degrees of urgency and
15 surgical strategy are possible. As a trend towards a reduced patient and graft survival has been
16 observed in patients transplanted for an uncontrollable hemorrhage undergoing a 2-stage procedure,
17 the indication for LT in this setting should be carefully evaluated. Sepsis, hepatic vessels
18 thrombosis and major hepatic resections may favor the progression towards liver failure. Early
19 referral to a specialized center should aim primarily at avoiding the need for LT and the consequent
20 possible complications and secondarily at allowing LT to be performed in a timely fashion when it
21 remains the only therapeutic option.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 **Acknowledgment.** The authors thank Ms Elizabeth Clarke for her kind assistance in the
42 grammatical revision of the manuscript
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

1. Kozar RA, Feliciano DV, Moore EE, Moore FA, Cocanour CS, West MA, Davis JW, McIntyre RC, Jr. Western Trauma Association/critical decisions in trauma: operative management of adult blunt hepatic trauma. *J Trauma* 2011;71:1-5
2. Boone DC, Federle M, Billiar TR, Udekwu AO, Peitzman AB. Evolution of management of major hepatic trauma: identification of patterns of injury. *J Trauma* 1995;39:344-50
3. Brasel KJ, DeLisle CM, Olson CJ, Borgstrom DC. Trends in the management of hepatic injury. *Am J Surg* 1997;174:674-7
4. Feliciano DV, Mattox KL, Jordan GL, Jr. Intra-abdominal packing for control of hepatic hemorrhage: a reappraisal. *J Trauma* 1981;21:285-90
5. Franklin GA, Casos SR. Current advances in the surgical approach to abdominal trauma. *Injury* 2006;37:1143-56
6. Meredith JW, Young JS, Bowling J, Roboussin D. Nonoperative management of blunt hepatic trauma: the exception or the rule? *J Trauma* 1994;36:529-34; discussion 534-5
7. Croce MA, Fabian TC, Menke PG, Waddle-Smith L, Minard G, Kudsk KA, Patton JH, Jr., Schurr MJ, Pritchard FE. Nonoperative management of blunt hepatic trauma is the treatment of choice for hemodynamically stable patients. Results of a prospective trial. *Ann Surg* 1995;221:744-53; discussion 753-5
8. Pachter HL, Hofstetter SR. The current status of nonoperative management of adult blunt hepatic injuries. *Am J Surg* 1995;169:442-54
9. Pachter HL, Knudson MM, Esrig B, Ross S, Hoyt D, Cogbill T, Sherman H, Scalea T, Harrison P, Shackford S, et al. Status of nonoperative management of blunt hepatic injuries in 1995: a multicenter experience with 404 patients. *J Trauma* 1996;40:31-8

10. Moore EE, Shackford SR, Pachter HL, McAninch JW, Browner BD, Champion HR, Flint LM, Gennarelli TA, Malangoni MA, Ramenofsky ML, et al. Organ injury scaling: spleen, liver, and kidney. *J Trauma* 1989;29:1664-6
11. Asensio JA, Petrone P, Garcia-Nunez L, Kimbrell B, Kuncir E. Multidisciplinary approach for the management of complex hepatic injuries AAST-OIS grades IV-V: a prospective study. *Scand J Surg* 2007;96:214-20
12. Chen RJ, Fang JF, Lin BC, Hsu YP, Kao JL, Chen MF. Factors determining operative mortality of grade V blunt hepatic trauma. *J Trauma* 2000;49:886-91
13. Chen RJ, Fang JF, Lin BC, Wang YD, Chen MF. Factors that influence the operative mortality after blunt hepatic injuries. *Eur J Surg* 1995;161:811-7
14. Ringe B, Lubbe N, Kuse E, Frei U, Pichlmayr R. Total hepatectomy and liver transplantation as two-stage procedure. *Ann Surg* 1993;218:3-9
15. Boggi U, Vistoli F, Del Chiaro M, Signori S, Sgambelluri F, Roncella M, Filipponi F, Mosca F. Extracorporeal repair and liver autotransplantation after total avulsion of hepatic veins and retrohepatic inferior vena cava injury secondary to blunt abdominal trauma. *J Trauma* 2006;60:405-6
16. Angstadt J, Jarrell B, Moritz M, Munoz S, Maddrey W, Carabasi A, Yang SL, Radomski J, Ruggiero R, Gastfriend R, et al. Surgical management of severe liver trauma: a role for liver transplantation. *J Trauma* 1989;29:606-8
17. Delis SG, Bakoyiannis A, Selvaggi G, Weppler D, Levi D, Tzakis AG. Liver transplantation for severe hepatic trauma: experience from a single center. *World J Gastroenterol* 2009;15:1641-4
18. Demirbas A, Fragulidis GP, Karatzas T, Tsaroucha A, Ginzberg E, Weppler D, Nery JR, Tzakis AG. Role of liver transplantation in the management of liver trauma. *Transplant Proc* 1997;29:2848

- 1
2
3 19. Kodakat SK, Ginsburg R, Gopal PB, Rela M. A case of post-reperfusion syndrome
4 following surgery for liver trauma. *Br J Anaesth* 2006;96:31-5
5
6
- 7 20. Lin PJ, Jeng LB, Chen RJ, Kao CL, Chu JL, Chang CH. Femoro-arterial bypass using Gott
8 shunt in liver transplantation following severe hepatic trauma. *Int Surg* 1993;78:295-7
9
- 10 21. Ringe B, Pichlmayr R, Ziegler H, Grosse H, Kuse E, Oldhafer K, Bornscheuer A,
11 Gubernatis G. Management of severe hepatic trauma by two-stage total hepatectomy and
12 subsequent liver transplantation. *Surgery* 1991;109:792-5
13
14
- 15 22. Veroux M, Cillo U, Brolese A, Veroux P, Madia C, Fiamingo P, Zanusi G, Buffone A,
16 Gringeri E, D'Amico DF. Blunt liver injury: from non-operative management to liver
17 transplantation. *Injury* 2003;34:181-6
18
19
- 20 23. Polanco P, Leon S, Pineda J, Puyana JC, Ochoa JB, Alarcon L, Harbrecht BG, Geller D,
21 Peitzman AB. Hepatic resection in the management of complex injury to the liver. *J Trauma*
22 2008;65:1264-9; discussion 1269-70
23
24
- 25 24. Anderson IB, Kortbeek JB, Al-Saghiar M, Kneteman NM, Bigam DL. Liver transplantation
26 in severe hepatic trauma after hepatic artery embolization. *J Trauma* 2005;58:848-51
27
28
- 29 25. Angstadt J, Jarrell B, Carabasi A, Yang SL, Moritz M, Radomski J, Munoz S, Maddrey W,
30 Ruggiero R, Gastfriend R, et al. Liver transplantation following severe liver trauma.
31 *Transplantation* 1988;46:321-2
32
33
- 34 26. Catalano G, De Simone P, Montin U, Coletti L, Tincani G, Urbani L, Biancofiore G,
35 Filipponi F. Severe liver trauma: the transplant surgeon's perspective. A case report.
36 *Hepatogastroenterology* 2008;55:1458-9
37
38
- 39 27. Chiumello D, Gatti S, Caspani L, Savioli M, Fassati R, Gattinoni L. A blunt complex
40 abdominal trauma: total hepatectomy and liver transplantation. *Intensive Care Med*
41 2002;28:89-91
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 28. Dominguez Fernandez E, Lange K, Lange R, Eigler FW. Relevance of two-stage total
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
28. Dominguez Fernandez E, Lange K, Lange R, Eigler FW. Relevance of two-stage total hepatectomy and liver transplantation in acute liver failure and severe liver trauma. *Transpl Int* 2001;14:184-90
29. Esquivel CO, Bernardos A, Makowka L, Iwatsuki S, Gordon RD, Starzl TE. Liver replacement after massive hepatic trauma. *J Trauma* 1987;27:800-2
30. Ginzburg E, Shatz D, Lynn M, Pombo H, Diaz M, Martin L, Livingstone A, Khan MF, Nery J, Tzakis A. The role of liver transplantation in the subacute trauma patients. *Am Surg* 1998;64:363-4
31. Hatipoglu S, Bulbuloglu E, Ates M, Kayaalp C, Yilmaz S. Liver Transplantation following Blunt Liver Trauma. *Transplant Proc* 2012;44:1720-1
32. Heuer M, Kaiser GM, Lendemans S, Vernadakis S, Treckmann JW, Paul A. Transplantation after blunt trauma to the liver: a valuable option or just a "waste of organs"? *Eur J Med Res* 2010;15:169-73
33. Honore C, Deroover A, Gilson N, Detry O. Liver transplantation for hepatic trauma: Discussion about a case and its management. *J Emerg Trauma Shock* 2011;4:137-9
34. Jeng LB, Hsu CH, Wang CS, Chen RJ, Chen SC, Chen MF. Emergent liver transplantation to salvage a hepatic avulsion injury with a disrupted suprahepatic vena cava. *Arch Surg* 1993;128:1075-7
35. Ringe B, Pichlmayr R. Total hepatectomy and liver transplantation: a life-saving procedure in patients with severe hepatic trauma. *Br J Surg* 1995;82:837-9
36. Schnitzbauer AA, Tsui TY, Kirchner G, Scherer MN, Bein T, Schlitt HJ, Obed A. Liver transplantation for sclerosing cholangitis in a polytraumatized patient. *Nat Clin Pract Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2009;6:121-6
37. Sherlock DJ, Bismuth H. Secondary surgery for liver trauma. *Br J Surg* 1991;78:1313-7
38. Tucker ON, Marriott P, Rela M, Heaton N. Emergency liver transplantation following severe liver trauma. *Liver Transpl* 2008;14:1204-10

- 1
2
3 39. Veroux M, Madia C, Cillo U, Brolese A, Zanusi G, Fiamingo P, Veroux P, D'Amico DF.
4
5 Long-term follow-up after liver transplantation for blunt hepatic trauma. *Transplant Proc*
6
7 2002;34:1226-8
8
9
10 40. Plackett TP, Barmparas G, Inaba K, Demetriades D. Transplantation for severe hepatic
11
12 trauma. *J Trauma* 2011;71:1880-4
13
14 41. Kozar RA, Moore FA, Moore EE, West M, Cocanour CS, Davis J, Biffl WL, McIntyre RC,
15
16 Jr. Western Trauma Association critical decisions in trauma: nonoperative management of
17
18 adult blunt hepatic trauma. *J Trauma* 2009;67:1144-8; discussion 1148-9
19
20
21 42. Dabbs DN, Stein DM, Scalea TM. Major hepatic necrosis: a common complication after
22
23 angioembolization for treatment of high-grade liver injuries. *J Trauma* 2009;66:621-7;
24
25 discussion 627-9
26
27
28 43. Misselbeck TS, Teicher EJ, Cipolle MD, Pasquale MD, Shah KT, Dangleben DA, Badellino
29
30 MM. Hepatic angioembolization in trauma patients: indications and complications. *J*
31
32 *Trauma* 2009;67:769-73
33
34
35 44. Ringe B, Pichlmayr R, Lubbe N, Bornscheuer A, Kuse E. Total hepatectomy as temporary
36
37 approach to acute hepatic or primary graft failure. *Transplant Proc* 1988;20:552-7
38
39
40 45. Hammer GB, So SK, Al-Uzri A, Conley SB, Concepcion W, Cox KL, Berquist WE,
41
42 Esquivel CO. Continuous venovenous hemofiltration with dialysis in combination with total
43
44 hepatectomy and portocaval shunting. Bridge to liver transplantation. *Transplantation*
45
46 1996;62:130-2
47
48
49 46. Malhotra AK, Fabian TC, Croce MA, Gavin TJ, Kudsk KA, Minard G, Pritchard FE. Blunt
50
51 hepatic injury: a paradigm shift from operative to nonoperative management in the 1990s.
52
53 *Ann Surg* 2000;231:804-13
54
55
56 47. Moore FA, Moore EE, Seagraves A. Nonresectional management of major hepatic trauma.
57
58 An evolving concept. *Am J Surg* 1985;150:725-9
59
60

- 1
2
3 48. Sato A, Yamada T, Takase K, Matsuhashi T, Higano S, Kaneda T, Egawa S, Takeda K,
4
5 Ishibashi T, Takahashi S. The fatal risk in hepatic artery embolization for hemostasis after
6
7 pancreatic and hepatic surgery: importance of collateral arterial pathways. *J Vasc Interv*
8
9 *Radiol* 2011;22:287-93
10
11 49. Helling TS. Liver failure following partial hepatectomy. *HPB (Oxford)* 2006;8:165-74
12
13 50. Rolando N, Wade J, Davalos M, Wendon J, Philpott-Howard J, Williams R. The systemic
14
15 inflammatory response syndrome in acute liver failure. *Hepatology* 2000;32:734-9
16
17 51. Lam CM, Lo CM, Liu CL, Fan ST. Biliary complications during liver resection. *World J*
18
19 *Surg* 2001;25:1273-6
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Liver Transplantation after Severe Hepatic Trauma: a Sustainable Practice.
4
5

6 A Single-Center Experience and Review of the Literature
7

8 Damiano Patrono, Andrea Brunati, Renato Romagnoli, and Mauro Salizzoni
9

10 General Surgery 8 and Liver Transplantation Center, San Giovanni Battista – Molinette University
11

12 Hospital, A. O. Città della Salute e della Scienza, Turin, Italy
13
14

15 **Running head:** Liver Transplantation after Hepatic Trauma
16

17 **Authors contributions:** Damiano Patrono designed and performed the study, collected data and
18 wrote the manuscript; Andrea Brunati helped in collecting the data; Renato Romagnoli critically
19 revised the manuscript; Mauro Salizzoni supervised and critically revised the manuscript.
20
21
22
23

24 No grant or financial support was received for the publication of this paper
25

26 **Conflict of interest:** the authors disclose no conflicts of interest
27

28 **Corresponding author:**
29

30 Prof. Mauro Salizzoni
31

32 Professor of Surgery. University of Turin
33

34 General Surgery 8 and Liver Transplantation Center, San Giovanni Battista – Molinette University
35
36

37 Hospital, Turin, Italy
38

39 Corso Bramante 88, 10126, Torino
40

41 Tel: 00390116334374
42

43 Fax: 00390116336770
44

45 e-mail: mauro.salizzoni@unito.it
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Authors: Patrono D, Brunati A, Romagnoli R, Salizzoni M.

Title: Liver Transplantation after Severe Hepatic Trauma: a Sustainable Practice.

A Single-Center Experience and Review of the Literature

Journal: Clin Transplant

ABSTRACT

Severe hepatic trauma is a rare indication for liver transplantation (LT). We report our single-center experience of LT for hepatic trauma. Four new cases are discussed in the light of a literature review in order to depict the pathways leading from hepatic trauma to LT and to assess the outcomes of this practice. LT is generally indicated in case of uncontrollable hemorrhage, acute liver failure, or post-traumatic late sequelae. Hepatic vessels thrombosis, sepsis, major hepatic resections and a late referral are factors associated with the progression towards irreversible liver failure. ~~Considering all reported cases, early patient and graft survival rate reaches 68% and 62%, respectively, but in the last decade postoperative results have improved to 84% patient and graft survival rate.~~ Considering all reported cases, early patient and graft survival reached 68% and 62%, respectively, but in the last decade both have improved to 84%. LT after severe hepatic trauma is a sustainable practice considering the current good outcomes and the ineluctable death of these patients without LT.

Keywords: hemorrhage, liver failure, liver transplantation, sepsis, thrombosis

Corresponding author:

Prof. Mauro Salizzoni

Professor of Surgery. University of Turin

General Surgery 8 and Liver Transplantation Center, San Giovanni Battista – Molinette University

Hospital, Turin, Italy

Corso Bramante 88, 10126, Torino

Tel: 00390116334374

Fax: 00390116336770

e-mail: mauro.salizzoni@unito.it

FIGURE LEGENDS:

Figure 1. (Case 1) A. Contrast-enhanced computed tomography showing severe dilatation of intra-hepatic bile ducts of the liver remnant after right hepatectomy (arrow) and B. Portal vein thrombosis (arrow) and inferior vena cava dilatation (arrowhead).

Figure 2. (Case 4) Contrast-enhanced computed tomography showing the severely swollen and inhomogeneous liver remnant after right hepatectomy.

Figure 3. Clinical scenarios of LT for hepatic trauma

INTRODUCTION

~~The liver~~ Liver is the most frequently injured abdominal organ (1). The treatment of blunt hepatic trauma ~~has~~ significantly evolved over the last three decades with the introduction and wide acceptance of the concepts of nonoperative management, damage control surgery and the use of interventional radiology (2-6). Nowadays, nonoperative management is the preferred strategy in hemodynamically stable patients (6-9) but surgery, alone or in association with interventional radiology, still plays a major role in the management of severe liver injuries (grade IV and V according to the American Association for the Surgery of Trauma Organ Injury Score) (10)), which remains extremely challenging even in experienced hands (11, 12). The main causes of death in these patients are exsanguinating hemorrhage and liver failure ~~evolving-leading~~ to multiorgan failure (13). ~~Both these~~ conditions ~~that~~, in principle, can be treated by a timely liver transplantation (LT).

Nevertheless, severe hepatic trauma is a peculiar albeit rare indication for orthotopic LT. LT has been most frequently performed for an uncontrollable hemorrhage requiring a total hepatectomy, or for an acute liver failure (ALF) developing after the first treatment.

Although salvage LT for hepatic trauma represents a well-established practice in all major liver transplant centers, a comprehensive literature review analyzing the different scenarios in which LT becomes the last possible therapeutic option after hepatic trauma has not been carried out so far. Furthermore, the short and long-term results of this practice have not been thoroughly assessed.

In this article, we report four more cases of patients who underwent LT at our institution following a severe hepatic trauma; we focused our case reports on the initial trauma management and on how LT eventually resulted as the only viable therapeutic solution. Afterwards, based on our experience and on an accurate review of the literature, we depict the clinical scenarios in which a liver injury can eventually lead to LT and assess the outcomes of this practice. Finally, we highlight some specific factors linked to the indication for LT in these extreme situations.

CASE REPORTS

CASE 1

In June 2002, a 15-year-old female suffered a grade IV right liver injury as a consequence of a horse kick. She was first referred to ~~another a peripheral~~ hospital, where she underwent a right hepatectomy. Her postoperative course was complicated by progressive liver failure, portal vein and inferior vena cava thrombosis, biliary leak-related sepsis, and acute renal failure (Figure 1). She was then transferred to our institution on postoperative day (POD) 14, where a relaparotomy was performed in order to drain the bile collections and to assess the hepatic vessels permeability. At reoperation, we observed a complete portal vein and hepatic artery thrombosis, the latter due to some surgical stitches from the previous operation, and a biliary leak coming from the left bile duct, which had been completely severed. ~~The biliary leak was treated by draining exteriorly placing a~~ drain into the left bile duct stump, but the portal vein thrombosis invariably recurred after two successive attempts of surgical thrombectomy. Forty-eight hours ~~after later, as a consequence of she~~ developed hepatic failure and coagulopathy, ~~and she~~ became hemodynamically unstable ~~and developed as a result of~~ massive abdominal hemorrhage. ~~The B~~ bleeding was only partially controlled by liver packing. She was then placed on the national waiting list for an urgent liver transplantation, ~~the indication being for~~ acute liver failure. Despite massive fresh frozen plasma transfusions due to ongoing bleeding, her Model for End-stage Liver Disease (MELD) score was 23. Transplantation was carried out two days later (19 days after the trauma) with resection of the retro-hepatic inferior vena cava that was occluded by a thrombus. ~~The P~~ post-transplant course was uneventful and she was discharged home on POD 18. More than 10 years after, she is alive and well, with a normal hepatic function.

CASE 2

1
2
3 In March 2003, a 20-year-old female with a previous medical history ~~significant for~~ of Thalassemia
4 Major suffered an abdominal trauma ~~during~~ from a motorway accident. She underwent an
5
6 explorative laparotomy in a peripheral hospital, where a 5-cm-deep parenchymal tear involving
7
8 segment 8 was treated by hepatorrhaphy. Due to ~~ongoing~~ persistent bleeding and respiratory failure
9
10 she was transferred to our department on POD 1, where a decision for a re-laparotomy was taken
11
12 after a CT-scan revealed a large hematoma of the right liver lobe, a dilatation of the bile ducts of the
13
14 right liver segments, and an abundant peritoneal fluid collection. During the operation, we
15
16 observed a huge hematoma of the right hepatic lobe and a large tear of the right hepatic vein.
17
18 Hemostasis was achieved through a right hepatectomy. Postoperatively, the patient developed
19
20 progressive liver failure, acidosis and a systemic inflammatory response syndrome. After having
21
22 received the pathological report that revealed a severe hepatic iron overload and fibrosis, iron-
23
24 chelation with desferoxamine was started but could not reverse the deterioration of the hepatic
25
26 function, which was characterized by hyperbilirubinemia, ascites and encephalopathy. She was
27
28 placed on the national list for an urgent LT with a MELD score of 32 and was transplanted six days
29
30 ~~after~~ later, i.e. fifteen days after the trauma. Unexpectedly, a previously unrecognized portal vein
31
32 thrombosis was observed during transplantation. The postoperative course was uneventful except
33
34 for a transitory early graft dysfunction. She is ~~currently~~ still alive and well, 10 years after the
35
36 trauma.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 CASE 3

46
47 In September 2002, a 4-year-old female was rammed by a car and suffered a grade III blunt liver
48
49 trauma. She was first referred to another institution where, since she was hemodynamically stable, a
50
51 nonoperative management was initially attempted, in spite of the presence of abundant abdominal
52
53 effusion. ~~One month later,~~ Due to the persistence ~~of~~ abdominal distension and fever, a
54
55 percutaneous ultrasound-guided drainage of a bile collection was performed a month later. As the
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 fistula persisted. ~~Due to the persistence of the biliary leak,~~ an explorative laparoscopy was carried
4
5 out showing a complex injury of the main bile ducts at the hilar plate. Two drains were placed in the
6
7 hepatic hilar region with the hope of a spontaneous healing of the biliary fistula, but without any
8
9 positive result. ~~As the biliary leak persisted,~~ she was eventually treated by a double
10
11 hepaticojejunostomy. In the early postoperative period, she developed several episodes of
12
13 *Enterococcus Faecium* cholangitis and a moderate liver function impairment. She was finally
14
15 discharged home and was in good health for the following two years, until she presented a sudden
16
17 upper gastrointestinal bleeding from large esophageal varices. Biliary ducts dilatation and portal
18
19 vein thrombosis were ruled out by Doppler ultrasonography and CT-scan, which showed signs of
20
21 portal hypertension and liver cirrhosis. A liver biopsy was consistent with secondary biliary
22
23 cirrhosis. She subsequently developed thrombocytopenia and presented several episodes of
24
25 cholangitis, with blood tests revealing a marked cholestasis. The indication for LT was based on the
26
27 reiterate episodes of cholangitis in the setting of an established cirrhosis complicated by variceal
28
29 bleeding, thrombocytopenia and growth delay. LT was carried out in February 2005 using a whole
30
31 liver allograft. The postoperative course was uneventful and she was discharged home on POD 8.
32
33
34
35
36 Eight years after LT, she is well and ~~regularly~~-attending school regularly.
37
38
39
40

41 **CASE 4**

42
43
44 In August 2006, during a motorcycle accident, a 22-year-old male suffered a grade IV liver injury
45
46 of the posterior right liver segments associated with a grade V lesion of the right kidney. He was
47
48 initially referred to a peripheral hospital where a right nephrectomy and a liver packing were
49
50 performed. The packing was removed on POD 3, after which the patient presented a biliary leak
51
52 exteriorized by the surgical drains, complicated by sepsis and renal failure. Due to the worsening of
53
54 his conditions despite a broad-spectrum antibiotic therapy he was transferred to our department on
55
56 POD 11. On arrival, he presented hypotension, tachycardia, fever and anuria. He underwent an
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 explorative laparotomy during which an abundant bile collection was washed out. The liver was
4
5 oedematous, brown-coloured, and presented a deep necrosis of the right posterolateral segments, the
6
7 origin of the biliary leak, which was treated by a debridement right hepatectomy. In the
8
9 postoperative period, we observed a mild but continuous bleeding from the drains and a
10
11 progressively worsening coagulopathy. Five days later he underwent a further laparotomy during
12
13 which an abundant hemoperitoneum was ~~evacuated~~observed and the abdomen was packed to
14
15 secure hemostasis; at that moment, the liver remnant was severely swollen and cholestatic (Figure
16
17 2). As the hemorrhage was not completely controlled despite the peri-hepatic packing, this had to be
18
19 re-positioned three times during three subsequent operations, but could not achieve a complete
20
21 hemostasis because of the underlying coagulopathy. Finally, on POD 27, the patient became
22
23 hemodynamically unstable as a result of the liver failure, so we decided to perform a total
24
25 hepatectomy associated with a porto-caval shunt and place him on the national list for an emergent
26
27 LT. An AB0-compatible donor was available the same day, limiting the duration of the anhepatic
28
29 phase to 19 hours, during which he was treated with continuous veno-venous hemodialysis and
30
31 infusion of calcium gluconate and glucose. After LT, the abdominal wall closure was achieved with
32
33 a multistep approach using a Gore-Tex[®] dual-mesh and the vacuum-assisted closure device (VAC[®]
34
35 Therapy). The postoperative course was complicated by an oropharyngeal *Rizhomucor* infection,
36
37 cytomegalovirus infection, an acute rejection, biliary and urinary tract infections by *Enterococcus*
38
39 and *Pseudomonas Aeruginosa*. He was discharged home 85 days after LT but, six months later, he
40
41 developed a pharyngeal B-cell lymphoma, which was treated with Rituximab and an
42
43 immunosuppression switch from Tacrolimus to Everolimus. One year after LT, he presented several
44
45 episodes of cholangitis in the setting of bilateral intra-hepatic ischemic-type biliary lesions. We
46
47 considered retransplantation unfeasible due to the previous surgical and medical history, so he
48
49 underwent several percutaneous dilatations. Recurrent cholangitis was complicated by pneumonias
50
51 that led to the development of bronchiectasis colonized by multi-resistant *Pseudomonas*
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 | *Aeruginosa*. The ~~last~~-latter episode of pneumonia was complicated by an untreatable respiratory
4
5 failure leading to the patient's death in December 2010, 49 months after LT.
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Review Only

1
2
3 **METHODS** A systematic interrogation of the PubMed database was performed on December 1,
4 2012, using the terms “hepatic trauma”, “liver trauma” and “liver transplantation”. Articles
5 reporting recipients of a liver transplantation as a consequence of hepatic trauma were reviewed.
6
7 References from each article were cross-checked to identify additional articles. Articles reporting
8 cases of multivisceral transplantation, autotransplantation or with insufficient patients’ data were
9 excluded. According to Ringe et al. (14), a 2-stage procedure was defined as a LT procedure in
10 which total hepatectomy is performed urgently when a liver allograft is not yet available and a
11 temporary porto-caval shunt is performed to avoid splanchnic congestion. While waiting for an
12 allograft, the patient remains anhepatic; when the two stages are completed, the anhepatic phase is
13 followed by the implantation of the liver allograft. Cases in which the patient died before graft
14 implantation were excluded. The data collected ~~data~~ were patients demographics, type of injury
15 (blunt or penetrating), Glasgow Coma Scale score, American Association for the Surgery of
16 Trauma Liver Injury Score, indication for transplantation, timing of transplantation, number and
17 type of previous operations, surgical technique, length of follow-up, patient and graft survival, and
18 causes of death or re-transplantation. A particular effort was made to gather information about
19 factors possibly associated with the need for LT, like portal vein or hepatic artery thrombosis or
20 occlusion, sepsis, or major hepatic resection (i.e. resection of three or more Couinaud’s segments).
21 Early LTs were defined as those performed as a direct consequence of the injury or for an ALF
22 arising after the initial treatment. All other cases were defined as late LTs. Postoperative mortality
23 and graft loss were defined as those occurring within 30 days after LT or during the ~~same~~-hospital
24 stay. Data from the literature were pooled with those of the patients in our series. An analysis was
25 performed comparing patients transplanted with a standard versus a 2-stage procedure and
26 according to different indications. ~~The G~~ groups were compared by two-sided Fisher’s exact test or
27 Mann-Whitney test, as appropriate.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 **RESULTS** After excluding a case of auto-transplantation (15), six double reports (13, 14, 16-22)
4 and a case for which no information about the management was provided (23), 30 patients were
5 identified (17, 24-40) and pooled with the four patients in our series, for a total of 34 patients. Table
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 shows a synopsis of the present series. Table 2 summarizes the literature review, which has been split in two periods, 1987 – 2001 and 2002 - 2012. Median age of LT recipients was 24 years (range 4 – 68) and in 76% of the cases LT was performed after a blunt hepatic injury. The indication for LT was ALF and hemorrhage in 59% and 26% of patients, respectively. Concerning risk factors for acute liver failure, fourteen (41%) patients underwent a major hepatectomy during the first management of injury and 9 (26%) developed a sepsis thereafter; fifteen (44%) patients presented a thrombosis or an occlusion of the portal vein or the hepatic artery which was considered significant in determining the indication for LT. Median length of follow up was 6 months (range 1 – 156 months) and in only 15 (48%) cases long-term follow up (i.e. ≥ 12 months) was reported. In the whole series, postoperative patient and graft survival rate was 68% and 62%, respectively. ~~For~~ patients transplanted in the last decade, postoperative and long-term patient survival significantly improved to 84% and 79%, respectively. In 9 (26%) cases LT was performed as a 2-stage procedure. Overall, a 2-stage procedure was attempted in 13 patients, four of whom (31%) died before the second stage completion (i.e. graft implantation) and another four (31%) died in the early post-LT period, leading to a procedure-specific 38% survival rate. The most frequent cause of early death was sepsis. Seven patients required re-transplantation and one patient was transplanted three times (38). Death with a functioning graft and primary non function were the most frequent causes of graft loss. No significant difference between early and late LT was observed concerning the rates of postoperative patient survival (67% vs 71%, $p = 1$) and graft survival (59% vs 71%, $p = 0.68$). An analysis comparing standard versus 2-stage procedure was performed (Table 3): a 2-stage procedure was carried out most frequently in case of uncontrollable hemorrhage; no difference in patient survival was observed but patients undergoing a 2-stage procedure showed a trend towards a decreased graft survival (72% versus 33%; $p = 0.057$). Finally, patients were regrouped according

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

to the indication for LT (Table 4). Patients transplanted for an uncontrollable hemorrhage were more likely to undergo a 2-stage procedure; in this group postoperative graft survival was 27%.

For Review Only

DISCUSSION

As hemodynamic stability is the main factor determining the treatment, roughly 85% of liver trauma are managed nonoperatively (41). Unstable patients requiring an emergent laparotomy usually present more severe injuries, ~~which that~~ can be managed with a combination of surgical techniques including bimanual compression, Pringle maneuver, resectional debridement, hepatic resection and liver packing (1). Along with surgical procedures, angiography with transcatheter embolization is a mainstay in liver trauma management, either as a nonoperative hemostasis technique, or as an adjunct hemostasis following damage control surgery (42, 43).

The most frequent cause of death after severe hepatic trauma is an exsanguinating hemorrhage from a disrupted liver or an acute liver failure ~~consequent to~~ consequence of the injury itself or to the operative procedures used to achieve hemostasis. Although both of these conditions are ideally treatable by LT, only 34 cases have been reported. In 1987, Esquivel et al. reported the first case of post-traumatic LT in a patient with a complex vascular and biliary lesion 66 days after a motor vehicle accident (29). In 1988 (44), Ringe et al. described the two-stage procedure, which was used in this context to treat an injury beyond repair by an expeditious total hepatectomy, in the hope of a readily available liver donor.

Subsequent reports have described a variety of clinical situations that can be grouped in three distinct clinical scenarios (Figure 3). The emergency indication for LT is represented by a massive hemorrhage arising from an injured liver that can be controlled only with a total hepatectomy. In this case, LT is carried out as a two-stage procedure. The longest anhepatic phase mentioned in the literature lasted 66 hours (45) and there are several reports in which an anhepatic period lasting more than 30 hours was tolerated (25, 27). However, it must be noted, ~~however,~~ that survival rate of patients in whom a 2-stage procedure was attempted was 38% and ~~that, in patients reaching the second step,~~ a reduced postoperative graft survival and a trend towards an increased postoperative mortality were observed in patients reaching the second step. In the second scenario the urgent

1
2
3 indication for LT is ALF. As the clinical picture deteriorates quickly, clinicians have the choice to
4 list the patient for an urgent LT with the standard technique, or to opt for a two-stage procedure
5 with the aim of improving the hemodynamic state through immediate removal of a toxic liver. As
6 the efficacy of such a strategy is not clear (28), the choice to perform a two-stage procedure in this
7 situation should be carefully evaluated on a case-by-case basis. Finally, the third setting refers to the
8 patients with late post-traumatic sequelae, mostly complex vascular and biliary injuries that are not
9 amenable to a surgical repair or lead to a secondary biliary cirrhosis, in which LT is carried out
10 electively (30).

11
12 Although LT was frequently performed in desperate situations, early patient and graft survival rate
13 was 68% and 62%, respectively, increasing to 84% in the last decade. This improvement can be
14 explained by the fact that ~~—compared to~~ in comparison with the 1987 – 2001 period, in the last
15 decade the indication for LT was less frequently an uncontrollable hemorrhage and; consequently; a
16 2-stage technique was more rarely used. As performing a total hepatectomy to fix an otherwise
17 uncontrollable hemorrhage clearly represents an extreme clinical situation, it is not surprising that a
18 trend towards a reduced postoperative patient and graft survival was observed in this setting (Table
19 4 and 5).

20
21 Our experience reflects the heterogeneity of the scenarios depicted above: patients reported in case
22 1 and 2 were transplanted for an ALF presenting after a major hepatic resection and favored in one
23 case by sepsis and in the other by an underlying liver disease; case 3 is an example of LT carried
24 out for the late consequences of a traumatic injury to the biliary ducts and of the repair procedure; in
25 case 4 ALF was complicated by reiterate hemorrhage and hemodynamic instability, leading to the
26 need for a 2-stage procedure.

27
28 Our results highlight some common features associated with the progression towards hepatic failure
29 and the need for LT.

30
31 In the whole series, a major hepatic resection was performed prior to LT in 41% of the cases. Our
32 patients who finally required LT for an ALF had undergone a major hepatic resection, one as the

1
2
3 first treatment and two during a subsequent laparotomy. There is no doubt that there are situations
4
5 in which performing a formal hepatic resection is unavoidable and this can yield good results in
6
7 centers where an experienced hepatobiliary surgeon is readily available (23). Nevertheless, in the
8
9 trauma setting, performing a major hepatic resection is associated with an increased mortality and
10
11 morbidity (46, 47), and several Authors claim that it should be avoided as much as possible. For
12
13 example, it could be argued that a liver packing followed by angiography would have probably been
14
15 a more sound approach for our patient in case 1. Based on our results, it could be suggested that
16
17 also in the “second look” operations viable liver parenchyma should be preserved as much as
18
19 possible, because the hepatic functional reserve could be reduced as a result of hypovolemic shock,
20
21 sepsis and previously unknown hepatic disease.
22
23

24
25 Another frequent finding in patients requiring LT for ALF was the presence of a portal vein or
26
27 hepatic artery thrombosis, which was observed in 26% and 35% of the patients, respectively (Table
28
29 2). It is well known that an abrupt interruption of the hepatic arterial inflow can favor the
30
31 progression towards irreversible ALF, even in a non-traumatic setting (48), and this should be taken
32
33 into account in case of angiographic embolization, if the arterial supply to large portions of hepatic
34
35 parenchyma is interrupted (42). Concerning portal vein thrombosis, this can be either one of the
36
37 factors precipitating ALF, or one of its effects. Portal vein thrombosis can result from the vessel
38
39 injury itself or from the surgical maneuvers to achieve hemostasis, or be favored by the pro-
40
41 coagulating state which results from the activation of the inflammatory pathways after trauma.
42
43 Alternatively, it can be caused by sinusoidal congestion that has been observed after major hepatic
44
45 resection in association with liver failure (49); as it was probably the case for our patient in case 3.
46
47

48
49 Finally, sepsis was documented in 26% of the cases. The presence of an inflammatory response in
50
51 patients with ALF is a negative prognostic factor and, when it is associated with sepsis, the
52
53 progression towards encephalopathy is more probable (50). The presence of a bile leak clearly
54
55 represents a predisposing factor for an abdominal sepsis and ALF, as it has been observed after
56
57 elective hepatic resections (51) and in the trauma setting (37).
58
59
60

1
2
3 | (46, 47) The analysis of the factors linked to the progression towards ALF stresses once more the
4 | mainstay importance of the first surgical management and of a timely referral to a center where a
5 | whole surgical expertise and all therapeutic means, including LT, are available. Every effort should
6 | be made not to get ~~in~~to a desperate situation in which LT remains the only possible therapeutic
7 | mean.
8 |

9 |
10 |
11 |
12 |
13 |
14 | In conclusion, despite the widespread ongoing problem of organ shortage, the indication for LT
15 | after hepatic trauma has to be considered sustainable, in the light of both the current good early
16 | survival (utilitarian approach) and the virtual 100% mortality of these patients without LT (survival
17 | benefit approach). Three different scenarios characterized by different degrees of urgency and
18 | surgical strategy are possible, ~~characterized by different degrees of urgency and surgical strategy~~.
19 |

20 |
21 |
22 |
23 |
24 |
25 | As a trend towards a reduced patient and graft survival has been observed in patients transplanted
26 | for an uncontrollable hemorrhage undergoing a 2-stage procedure, the indication for LT in this
27 | setting should be carefully evaluated. Sepsis, hepatic vessels thrombosis and major hepatic
28 | resections may favor the progression towards liver failure. Early referral to a specialized center
29 | should aim primarily at avoiding the need for LT and the consequent possible complications, and
30 | secondarily at allowing LT to be performed in a timely fashion when it remains the only therapeutic
31 | option.
32 |
33 |
34 |
35 |
36 |
37 |
38 |
39 |

40 |
41 |
42 |
43 |
44 |
45 | **Acknowledgment.** The authors thank Ms Elizabeth Clarke for her kind assistance in the ~~drafting~~
46 | grammatical revision of the manuscript
47 |
48 |
49 |
50 |
51 |
52 |
53 |
54 |
55 |
56 |
57 |
58 |
59 |
60 |

References

1. Kozar RA, Feliciano DV, Moore EE, Moore FA, Cocanour CS, West MA, Davis JW, McIntyre RC, Jr. Western Trauma Association/critical decisions in trauma: operative management of adult blunt hepatic trauma. *J Trauma* 2011;71:1-5
2. Boone DC, Federle M, Billiar TR, Udekwu AO, Peitzman AB. Evolution of management of major hepatic trauma: identification of patterns of injury. *J Trauma* 1995;39:344-50
3. Brasel KJ, DeLisle CM, Olson CJ, Borgstrom DC. Trends in the management of hepatic injury. *Am J Surg* 1997;174:674-7
4. Feliciano DV, Mattox KL, Jordan GL, Jr. Intra-abdominal packing for control of hepatic hemorrhage: a reappraisal. *J Trauma* 1981;21:285-90
5. Franklin GA, Casos SR. Current advances in the surgical approach to abdominal trauma. *Injury* 2006;37:1143-56
6. Meredith JW, Young JS, Bowling J, Roboussin D. Nonoperative management of blunt hepatic trauma: the exception or the rule? *J Trauma* 1994;36:529-34; discussion 534-5
7. Croce MA, Fabian TC, Menke PG, Waddle-Smith L, Minard G, Kudsk KA, Patton JH, Jr., Schurr MJ, Pritchard FE. Nonoperative management of blunt hepatic trauma is the treatment of choice for hemodynamically stable patients. Results of a prospective trial. *Ann Surg* 1995;221:744-53; discussion 753-5
8. Pachter HL, Hofstetter SR. The current status of nonoperative management of adult blunt hepatic injuries. *Am J Surg* 1995;169:442-54
9. Pachter HL, Knudson MM, Esrig B, Ross S, Hoyt D, Cogbill T, Sherman H, Scalea T, Harrison P, Shackford S, et al. Status of nonoperative management of blunt hepatic injuries in 1995: a multicenter experience with 404 patients. *J Trauma* 1996;40:31-8

10. Moore EE, Shackford SR, Pachter HL, McAninch JW, Browner BD, Champion HR, Flint LM, Gennarelli TA, Malangoni MA, Ramenofsky ML, et al. Organ injury scaling: spleen, liver, and kidney. *J Trauma* 1989;29:1664-6
11. Asensio JA, Petrone P, Garcia-Nunez L, Kimbrell B, Kuncir E. Multidisciplinary approach for the management of complex hepatic injuries AAST-OIS grades IV-V: a prospective study. *Scand J Surg* 2007;96:214-20
12. Chen RJ, Fang JF, Lin BC, Hsu YP, Kao JL, Chen MF. Factors determining operative mortality of grade V blunt hepatic trauma. *J Trauma* 2000;49:886-91
13. Chen RJ, Fang JF, Lin BC, Wang YD, Chen MF. Factors that influence the operative mortality after blunt hepatic injuries. *Eur J Surg* 1995;161:811-7
14. Ringe B, Lubbe N, Kuse E, Frei U, Pichlmayr R. Total hepatectomy and liver transplantation as two-stage procedure. *Ann Surg* 1993;218:3-9
15. Boggi U, Vistoli F, Del Chiaro M, Signori S, Sgambelluri F, Roncella M, Filipponi F, Mosca F. Extracorporeal repair and liver autotransplantation after total avulsion of hepatic veins and retrohepatic inferior vena cava injury secondary to blunt abdominal trauma. *J Trauma* 2006;60:405-6
16. Angstadt J, Jarrell B, Moritz M, Munoz S, Maddrey W, Carabasi A, Yang SL, Radomski J, Ruggiero R, Gastfriend R, et al. Surgical management of severe liver trauma: a role for liver transplantation. *J Trauma* 1989;29:606-8
17. Delis SG, Bakoyiannis A, Selvaggi G, Weppler D, Levi D, Tzakis AG. Liver transplantation for severe hepatic trauma: experience from a single center. *World J Gastroenterol* 2009;15:1641-4
18. Demirbas A, Fragulidis GP, Karatzas T, Tsaroucha A, Ginzberg E, Weppler D, Nery JR, Tzakis AG. Role of liver transplantation in the management of liver trauma. *Transplant Proc* 1997;29:2848

- 1
2
3 19. Kodakat SK, Ginsburg R, Gopal PB, Rela M. A case of post-reperfusion syndrome
4 following surgery for liver trauma. *Br J Anaesth* 2006;96:31-5
5
6
- 7 20. Lin PJ, Jeng LB, Chen RJ, Kao CL, Chu JL, Chang CH. Femoro-arterial bypass using Gott
8 shunt in liver transplantation following severe hepatic trauma. *Int Surg* 1993;78:295-7
9
- 10 21. Ringe B, Pichlmayr R, Ziegler H, Grosse H, Kuse E, Oldhafer K, Bornscheuer A,
11 Gubernatis G. Management of severe hepatic trauma by two-stage total hepatectomy and
12 subsequent liver transplantation. *Surgery* 1991;109:792-5
13
14
- 15 22. Veroux M, Cillo U, Brolese A, Veroux P, Madia C, Fiamingo P, Zanusi G, Buffone A,
16 Gringeri E, D'Amico DF. Blunt liver injury: from non-operative management to liver
17 transplantation. *Injury* 2003;34:181-6
18
19
- 20 23. Polanco P, Leon S, Pineda J, Puyana JC, Ochoa JB, Alarcon L, Harbrecht BG, Geller D,
21 Peitzman AB. Hepatic resection in the management of complex injury to the liver. *J Trauma*
22 2008;65:1264-9; discussion 1269-70
23
24
- 25 24. Anderson IB, Kortbeek JB, Al-Saghi M, Kneteman NM, Bigam DL. Liver transplantation
26 in severe hepatic trauma after hepatic artery embolization. *J Trauma* 2005;58:848-51
27
28
- 29 25. Angstadt J, Jarrell B, Carabasi A, Yang SL, Moritz M, Radomski J, Munoz S, Maddrey W,
30 Ruggiero R, Gastfriend R, et al. Liver transplantation following severe liver trauma.
31 *Transplantation* 1988;46:321-2
32
33
- 34 26. Catalano G, De Simone P, Montin U, Coletti L, Tincani G, Urbani L, Biancofiore G,
35 Filipponi F. Severe liver trauma: the transplant surgeon's perspective. A case report.
36 *Hepatogastroenterology* 2008;55:1458-9
37
38
- 39 27. Chiumello D, Gatti S, Caspani L, Savioli M, Fassati R, Gattinoni L. A blunt complex
40 abdominal trauma: total hepatectomy and liver transplantation. *Intensive Care Med*
41 2002;28:89-91
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 28. Dominguez Fernandez E, Lange K, Lange R, Eigler FW. Relevance of two-stage total
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
28. Dominguez Fernandez E, Lange K, Lange R, Eigler FW. Relevance of two-stage total
hepatectomy and liver transplantation in acute liver failure and severe liver trauma. *Transpl
Int* 2001;14:184-90
29. Esquivel CO, Bernardos A, Makowka L, Iwatsuki S, Gordon RD, Starzl TE. Liver
replacement after massive hepatic trauma. *J Trauma* 1987;27:800-2
30. Ginzburg E, Shatz D, Lynn M, Pombo H, Diaz M, Martin L, Livingstone A, Khan MF, Nery
J, Tzakis A. The role of liver transplantation in the subacute trauma patients. *Am Surg*
1998;64:363-4
31. Hatipoglu S, Bulbuloglu E, Ates M, Kayaalp C, Yilmaz S. Liver Transplantation following
Blunt Liver Trauma. *Transplant Proc* 2012;44:1720-1
32. Heuer M, Kaiser GM, Lendemans S, Vernadakis S, Treckmann JW, Paul A. Transplantation
after blunt trauma to the liver: a valuable option or just a "waste of organs"? *Eur J Med Res*
2010;15:169-73
33. Honore C, Deroover A, Gilson N, Detry O. Liver transplantation for hepatic trauma:
Discussion about a case and its management. *J Emerg Trauma Shock* 2011;4:137-9
34. Jeng LB, Hsu CH, Wang CS, Chen RJ, Chen SC, Chen MF. Emergent liver transplantation
to salvage a hepatic avulsion injury with a disrupted suprahepatic vena cava. *Arch Surg*
1993;128:1075-7
35. Ringe B, Pichlmayr R. Total hepatectomy and liver transplantation: a life-saving procedure
in patients with severe hepatic trauma. *Br J Surg* 1995;82:837-9
36. Schnitzbauer AA, Tsui TY, Kirchner G, Scherer MN, Bein T, Schlitt HJ, Obed A. Liver
transplantation for sclerosing cholangitis in a polytraumatized patient. *Nat Clin Pract
Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2009;6:121-6
37. Sherlock DJ, Bismuth H. Secondary surgery for liver trauma. *Br J Surg* 1991;78:1313-7
38. Tucker ON, Marriott P, Rela M, Heaton N. Emergency liver transplantation following
severe liver trauma. *Liver Transpl* 2008;14:1204-10

- 1
2
3 39. Veroux M, Madia C, Cillo U, Brolese A, Zanusi G, Fiamingo P, Veroux P, D'Amico DF.
4
5 Long-term follow-up after liver transplantation for blunt hepatic trauma. *Transplant Proc*
6
7 2002;34:1226-8
8
9
10 40. Plackett TP, Barmparas G, Inaba K, Demetriades D. Transplantation for severe hepatic
11
12 trauma. *J Trauma* 2011;71:1880-4
13
14 41. Kozar RA, Moore FA, Moore EE, West M, Cocanour CS, Davis J, Biffi WL, McIntyre RC,
15
16 Jr. Western Trauma Association critical decisions in trauma: nonoperative management of
17
18 adult blunt hepatic trauma. *J Trauma* 2009;67:1144-8; discussion 1148-9
19
20
21 42. Dabbs DN, Stein DM, Scalea TM. Major hepatic necrosis: a common complication after
22
23 angioembolization for treatment of high-grade liver injuries. *J Trauma* 2009;66:621-7;
24
25 discussion 627-9
26
27
28 43. Misselbeck TS, Teicher EJ, Cipolle MD, Pasquale MD, Shah KT, Dangleben DA, Badellino
29
30 MM. Hepatic angioembolization in trauma patients: indications and complications. *J*
31
32 *Trauma* 2009;67:769-73
33
34
35 44. Ringe B, Pichlmayr R, Lubbe N, Bornscheuer A, Kuse E. Total hepatectomy as temporary
36
37 approach to acute hepatic or primary graft failure. *Transplant Proc* 1988;20:552-7
38
39
40 45. Hammer GB, So SK, Al-Uzri A, Conley SB, Concepcion W, Cox KL, Berquist WE,
41
42 Esquivel CO. Continuous venovenous hemofiltration with dialysis in combination with total
43
44 hepatectomy and portocaval shunting. Bridge to liver transplantation. *Transplantation*
45
46 1996;62:130-2
47
48
49 46. Malhotra AK, Fabian TC, Croce MA, Gavin TJ, Kudsk KA, Minard G, Pritchard FE. Blunt
50
51 hepatic injury: a paradigm shift from operative to nonoperative management in the 1990s.
52
53 *Ann Surg* 2000;231:804-13
54
55
56 47. Moore FA, Moore EE, Seagraves A. Nonresectional management of major hepatic trauma.
57
58 An evolving concept. *Am J Surg* 1985;150:725-9
59
60

- 1
2
3 48. Sato A, Yamada T, Takase K, Matsuhashi T, Higano S, Kaneda T, Egawa S, Takeda K,
4
5 Ishibashi T, Takahashi S. The fatal risk in hepatic artery embolization for hemostasis after
6
7 pancreatic and hepatic surgery: importance of collateral arterial pathways. *J Vasc Interv*
8
9 *Radiol* 2011;22:287-93
10
11 49. Helling TS. Liver failure following partial hepatectomy. *HPB (Oxford)* 2006;8:165-74
12
13 50. Rolando N, Wade J, Davalos M, Wendon J, Philpott-Howard J, Williams R. The systemic
14
15 inflammatory response syndrome in acute liver failure. *Hepatology* 2000;32:734-9
16
17 51. Lam CM, Lo CM, Liu CL, Fan ST. Biliary complications during liver resection. *World J*
18
19 *Surg* 2001;25:1273-6
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Synopsis of the present series

Pt	Mechanism of injury	Grade	First treatment	Complications	Secondary treatment	Evolution	Indication for LT	Interval from trauma to LT	Surgical technique	IS	Length of follow-up (years)	Status
1	Horse kick	IV	Right hepatectomy	Biliary leak, sepsis, hepatic artery injury; portal vein and IVC thrombosis, ARF	Portal vein thrombectomy, external biliary drainage	Acute liver failure, hemorrhage requiring repacking	ALF	19 days	1-stage	Tac, steroids	10.5	Alive
2	Motorway accident	III	Hepatorrhaphy	Hemorrhage, ARF, respiratory failure	Right hepatectomy	Acute liver failure, portal vein thrombosis	ALF	15 days	1-stage	CyA, AZA, steroids	10	Alive
3	Motorway accident	III	Nonoperative management	Biliary leak, sepsis	Laparoscopic drainage, hepatico - jejunostomy	Recurrent cholangitis; secondary biliary cirrhosis	Secondary biliary cirrhosis	28 months	1-stage	Tac, steroids	8	Alive
4	Motorcycle accident	IV	Liver packing	Biliary leak, sepsis	Right hepatectomy	Acute liver failure, hemorrhage requiring repacking	ALF	27 days	2-stage	Tac, steroids	4	Dead

Abbreviations: Pt, patient; LT, liver transplantation; IS, immunosuppression; IVC, inferior vena cava; ALF, acute liver failure; ARF, acute renal failure; Tac, tacrolimus; CyA, cyclosporine A, AZA, azathioprine

Table 2. Liver Transplantation after Hepatic Trauma

	Overall n = 34	1987 – 2001 n = 15	2002 – 2012 n = 19	p
Age (years)	24 (4-68)	21.5 (6 – 43)	26 (4 – 68)	ns
Sex				0.019
Male	17 (50%)	8 (53%)	6 (32%)	
Female	14 (41%)	4 (27%)	13 (68%)	
Not specified	3 (9%)	3 (20%)	0	
AAST grade				ns
III	4 (12%)	1 (7%)	3 (16%)	
IV	12 (35%)	3 (20%)	9 (47%)	
V	14 (41%)	7 (47%)	7 (39%)	
VI	1 (3%)	1 (7%)	0	
Not specified	3 (9%)	3 (20%)	0	
Mechanism of injury				ns
Blunt	26 (76%)	10 (67%)	16 (84%)	
Penetrating	5 (15%)	2 (13%)	3 (16%)	
Not specified	3 (9%)	3 (20%)	0	
Indication				0.007
Acute Liver Failure	20 (59%)	5 (33%)	15 (79%)	
Hemorrhage	9 (26%)	7 (47%)	2 (11%)	
Biliary fistula	2 (6%)	2 (13%)	0	
Secondary biliary cirrhosis	2 (6%)	1 (7%)	1 (5%)	
Liver gangrene	1 (3%)	0	1 (5%)	
Timing				ns
Early	27 (79%)	10 (67%)	17 (89%)	
Late	7 (21%)	5 (33%)	2 (11%)	
Number of previous operation	2 (0 – 8)	2 (0 – 4)	2 (1 – 8)	ns
Packing	20 (59%)	8 (53%)	12 (63%)	ns
Major hepatic resection	14 (41%)	4 (27%)	10 (53%)	ns
Angiographic embolization	6 (18%)	2 (13%)	4 (21%)	ns
Portal vein occlusion	8 (26%)	5 (33%)	3 (16%)	ns
Hepatic artery occlusion	12 (35%)	6 (40%)	6 (32%)	ns
Sepsis	9 (26%)	3 (20%)	6 (32%)	ns
Technique				0.025
1-stage	25 (74%)	8 (53%)	17 (89%)	
2-stage	9 (26%)	7 (47%)	2 (11%)	
Length of follow up (months)*	6 (1 – 156)	1 (1 – 67)	36 (1 – 156)	0.006
Follow up ≥ 12 months*	15 (48%)	2 (17%)	13 (68%)	0.009
Postoperative patient survival	23 (68%)	7 (47%)	16 (84%)	0.03
Postoperative graft survival	21 (62%)	5 (33%)	16 (84%)	< 0.01
Overall patient survival	22 (65%)	7 (47%)	15 (79%)	0.07
Overall graft survival	17 (50%)	4 (27%)	13 (68%)	0.04
Re-transplantation	8 (24%)	5 (33%)	3 (16%)	ns
Cause of death				ns
Sepsis	5 (15%)	3 (20%)	2 (11%)	
Pneumonia	2 (6%)	1 (7%)	1 (5%)	
Bowel ischemia	1 (3%)	1 (7%)	0	
CMV infection	1 (3%)	1 (7%)	0	
Cerebral edema	1 (3%)	0	1 (5%)	
Multi-organ failure	1 (3%)	1 (7%)	0	
Not specified	1 (3%)	1 (7%)	0	
Cause of graft loss				ns
Death with functioning graft	9 (26%)	6 (40%)	3 (16%)	
Primary non function	5 (15%)	4 (27%)	1 (5%)	
Chronic rejection	1 (3%)	0	1 (5%)	
Hepatic artery thrombosis	1 (3%)	1 (7%)	0	
Ischemic-type biliary lesions	1 (3%)	0	1 (5%)	

Data are expressed as number (prevalence) or median (range). Length of follow up was not available in three cases (*). Abbreviations: AAST, American Association for the Surgery of Trauma; CMV, cytomegalovirus. Data in the two periods are compared with Fisher's exact or Mann-Whitney test, as appropriate.

Table 3. 1-stage versus 2-stage procedure

	1-stage (n = 25)	2-stage (n = 9)	p
	N (%)	N (%)	
Indication			0.036
Acute Liver Failure	17 (68%)	3 (33%)	
Hemorrhage	3 (12%)	6 (67%)	
Biliary fistula	2 (8%)	0	
Secondary biliary cirrhosis	2 (8%)	0	
Liver gangrene	1 (4%)	0	
Timing			ns
Early	19 (76%)	8 (89%)	
Late	6 (24%)	1 (11%)	
Postoperative patient survival	18 (72%)	5 (56%)	ns
Postoperative graft survival	18 (72%)	3 (33%)	0.057

Data are compared with Fisher's exact test.

For Review Only

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 4. Surgical technique and outcome according to indication for LT

	ALF (n = 20) N (%)	Hemorrhage (n = 9) N (%)	Other (n = 5) N (%)	p*
Surgical technique				0.008
1-stage	17 (85%)	3 (33%)	5 (100%)	
2-stage	3 (15%)	6 (76%)	0	
Postoperative outcome				
Patient survival	15 (75%)	4 (44%)	4 (80%)	0.27
Graft survival	15 (75%)	2 (22%)	4 (80%)	0.019

(*) Two-sided Fisher exact test. Abbreviations: LT, Liver Transplantation; ALF, Acute Liver Failure.

For Review Only




Figure 1. (Case 1) A. Contrast-enhanced computed tomography showing severe dilatation of intra-hepatic bile ducts of the liver remnant after right hepatectomy (arrow) and B. Portal vein thrombosis (arrow) and inferior vena cava dilatation (arrowhead).
249x111mm (150 x 150 DPI)

Review Only


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



Figure 2. (Case 4) Contrast-enhanced computed tomography showing the severely swollen and inhomogeneous liver remnant after right hepatectomy.
166x130mm (150 x 150 DPI)

Only

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



169x170mm (150 x 150 DPI)

