# **Peripheral T-cell lymphomas: ESMO Clinical Practice** Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up<sup>†</sup>

F. d'Amore<sup>1</sup>, P. Gaulard<sup>2</sup>, L. Trümper<sup>3</sup>, P. Corradini<sup>4</sup>, W.-S. Kim<sup>5</sup>, L. Specht<sup>6</sup>, M. Bierregaard Pedersen<sup>1</sup> & M. Ladetto<sup>7</sup>, on behalf of the ESMO Guidelines Committee<sup>\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Hematology, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark; <sup>2</sup>Department of Pathology, Hôpital Henri Mondor, Créteil, France; <sup>3</sup>Department of Hematology and Oncology, Georg August University, Göttingen, Germany; <sup>4</sup>Department of Hematology, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Turnori, University of Milan, Milan, Italy; <sup>5</sup>Department of Medicine, Division of Hematology-Oncology, Samsung Medical Center, Seoul, Korea; <sup>6</sup>Department of Oncology and Hematology, Rigshospitalet, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark; <sup>7</sup>Divisione di Ematologia, Azienda Ospedaliera Santi Antonio e Biagio e Cesare Arrigo, Alessandria, Italy

## introduction

The present guidelines cover the systemic subtypes of primary nodal and primary extranodal peripheral T-cell lymphomas (PTCLs). ESMO guidelines for primary cutaneous T-cell lymphomas are published separately [1]. Primary leukaemic PTCL subtypes (i.e. T-cell prolymphocytic leukaemia, T-cell large granular lymphocytic leukaemia, adult T-cell leukaemia/lymphoma and aggressive NK-cell leukaemia) are not covered by the present guidelines. Primary nodal PTCLs include PTCL-not otherwise specified (PTCL-NOS), anaplastic large-cell lymphoma (ALCL), both fusion protein ALCL anaplastic lymphoma kinase positive (ALCL ALK+) and ALCL anaplastic lymphoma kinase negative (ALCL ALK-), and angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma (AITL). The primary extranodal PTCL subtypes covered by the present guidelines comprise enteropathy-associated T-cell lymphoma (EATL), extranodal natural killer/T-cell lymphoma (ENKTCL), and hepatosplenic T-cell lymphoma (HSTCL).

## epidemiology

PTCLs are uncommon and heterogeneous malignant lymphoproliferative disorders that originate from post-thymic (peripheral) T cells or mature natural killer (NK) cells. They represent 10%-15% of all non-Hodgkin's lymphomas. Nodal subtypes are the most frequent in Caucasian patients (>80% of PTCL in Europe, PTCL-NOS 34%, AITL 28%, ALCL ALK+ 6%, ALCL ALK- 9%) [2]. In Asia, the PTCL incidence is higher due to the endemic occurrence of the Epstein-Barr virus (EBV)-associated ENKTCL (44% of PTCL in Asia excluding Japan, where there is a relatively higher frequency of adult T-cell leukaemia/lymphoma) [2]. EATL is more frequent in Northern Europe (9%-10% as compared with 1%–2% in Asia) [2], where there is a higher occurrence of human leukocyte antigen (HLA) haplotypes associated with coeliac disease. Other PTCL subtypes have been associated with chronic autoimmune disorders, such as HSTCL to Crohn's disease [3]. In PTCL, the male/female ratio is 2:1 and the median age at diagnosis is between the sixth and seventh decades of life, but both sex and age patterns vary according to different subtypes [2, 4, 5]. The ALCL ALK+ subtype has a better prognosis than the other PTCL entities, including its ALK-counterpart [6]. Recent reports have suggested that the prognostic difference between ALCL ALK+ and ALCL ALK- may at least be partly due to age-related differences (ALK+ patients are generally younger than the other PTCL patients) [7]. HSTCL occurs most frequently in younger to middleaged males in the setting of immunosuppressive treatment [8].

#### diagnosis

A PTCL diagnosis should be made by an expert haematopathologist and should, whenever possible, rely on an excisional tumour tissue biopsy that provides enough material for formalin-fixed samples. According to the WHO classification (2008), the distinction among different PTCL entities requires the integration of the clinical picture, morphology, immunohistochemistry, flow cvtometry, cvtogenetics, and molecular biology [3]. In PTCL, the indication of the neoplastic nature of a given T-cell population is based on (i) morphology, (ii) aberrant T-cell phenotype, and (iii) clonally rearranged T-cell receptor (TCR) genes (αβ versus γδ genotypes) [9].

Table 1 summarises the immunophenotype of the PTCL entities covered by the present guidelines along with their TCR rearrangement features and putative cell of origin. Accumulating evidence indicates that information on TCR and the cell of origin plays an important role in both tumour biology and clinical behaviour, underscoring the clinical relevance of this information in the light of an increasing number of targeted therapeutic options. TIA1, granzyme B and perforin suggest a cytotoxic profile, which may imply a more aggressive clinical behaviour in PTCL-NOS [10]. At least three of the following markers: CD10, Bcl6, CXCL13, PD1, SAP, ICOS, and CCR5 are suggestive of a follicular T-helper (FTH) cell origin [9, 11, 12]. Although FTH cells are considered to be the cell of origin in AITL, this diagnosis should also be based on morphological parameters such as hyperplasia of follicular dendritic cells (FDCs), arborising high endothelial

© The Author 2015. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Society for Medical Oncology. All rights reserved. For permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com.

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence to: ESMO Guidelines Committee, ESMO Head Office, via L. Taddei 4, CH-6962 Viganello-Lugano, Switzerland. E-mail: clinicalguidelines@esmo.org

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Approved by the ESMO Guidelines Committee: June 2015.

	PTCL entity	Immunophenotypic features	TCR	Presumed cell of origin
Nodal	PTCL-NOS	CD4>CD8, frequent antigen loss (CD5, CD7), CD30+/-, CD56+/-, subset FTH features, cytotoxic granules+/-	$\alpha$ β, rarely γδ	Variable, mostly T-helper cell
	AITL	CD4+, CD10+/-, BCL+/-, CXCL13+, PD1+, ICOS+/-, SAP+/, CCR5+/-, hyperplasia of FDC, EBV+ B blasts	αβ	FTH
	ALCL ALK+	ALK+, CD30+, EMA+, CD25+, cytotoxic granules+, CD4+/–, CD3+/–	αβ	Cytotoxic T-cell
	ALCL ALK-	ALK–, CD30+, EMA+, CD25+, cytotoxic granules+, CD4+/–, CD3+/–	αβ	Cytotoxic T-cell
Extranodal	EATL, type 1	CD8(+)/-, CD56-, HLA-DQ2/-DQ8	αβ	Intra-epithelial T cells $(\alpha\beta)_{,}$ pre- existing enteropathy
	EATL, type 2	CD8+, CD56+, HLA-DQ2/-DQ8	γδ or αβ	Intra-epithelial T cells or NK, no pre-existing enteropathy
	NKTCL	CD2+, CD56+, surface CD3–, cytoplasmic CD3ε+, gr B+, TIA-1+, perforin+, EBV+, LMP1	TCR in germline configuration, rarely αβ or γδ	NK, rarely cytotoxic T cells
	HSTCL	CD3+, CD56+/–, CD4–, CD8+/–, CD5–, TIA1+, gr M+, gr B–, perforin–	γδ, rarely $\alpha\beta$	Cytotoxic T cell of the innate immune system

PTCL, peripheral T-cell lymphomas; PTCL-NOS, PTCL-not otherwise specified; AITL, angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma; ALCL ALK+, anaplastic large-cell lymphoma anaplastic lymphoma kinase positive; ALCL ALK-, ALCL anaplastic lymphoma kinase negative; EATL, enteropathy-associated T-cell lymphoma; NKTCL, natural killer/T-cell lymphoma; HSTCL, hepatosplenic T-cell lymphoma; FTH, follicular T helper; FDC, follicular dendritic cell; EMA, epithelial membrane antigen; HLA, human leukocyte antigen; EBV, Epstein-Barr virus; TCR, T-cell receptor; NK, natural killer.

venules and a substantial B-cell component, including EBVinfected B-cell blasts [13]. ENKTCL cases show intra-cytoplasmic CD3 (e-chain), in contrast to other PTCL subtypes that only express CD3 on the cell surface [3]. CD56 is helpful in differentiating between EATL type I (CD8+/CD56-) and type II (CD8 -/CD56+), which is also more often  $\gamma\delta+$  and is not associated with coeliac disease [3]. CD30 plays a central role in the recognition of ALCL. ALCL is systematically PAX5-negative, frequently epithelial membrane antigen (EMA)-positive and, in one-third of the cases, CD45-negative. It is further categorised as ALK+ or ALK- depending on the occurrence or lack of occurrence of the classical t(2;5) translocation (or one of its variants) [3, 9]. CD20 and PAX5 allow for the identification of B-cell components and can help in distinguishing ALCL ALK- from morphologically aggressive classical Hodgkin's lymphoma (PAX5+) with anaplastic features. CD21 is useful in revealing the content of FDCs in AITL; CD68 visualises the histiocytic component that can occasionally outnumber the neoplastic cell population (e.g. lymphoepithelioid PTCL-NOS, Lennert's variant and the lymphohistiocytic variant of ALCL). The assessment of EBV (Epstein-Barr encoding region [EBER] in situ hybridisation) is important in T-cell malignancies, as some of the entities (e.g. ENKTCL and a subset of PTCL-NOS) show EBER positivity in the neoplastic cells.

### staging and risk assessment

A complete blood count, routine blood chemistry including lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), and uric acid as well as screening tests for HIV, HTLV-1, and hepatitis B and C are required. At baseline, patients should have at least a computed tomography

(CT) scan of the chest and abdomen, as well as a bone marrow aspirate and biopsy. <sup>18</sup>Fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography combined with computed tomography (<sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET/CT) is increasingly used in nodal PTCL at baseline and restaging, but its role at the subtype-specific level still needs further elucidation. PET may be useful for detecting residual disease at the end of treatment, although residual FDG-avid lesions lack specificity and biopsy confirmation is recommended. The use of PET/CT is recommended in ENKTCL, where it is documented to be a valuable modality for staging and treatment planning [14–18].

#### prognostic indices

The International Prognostic Index (IPI) [19] is the most commonly used prognostic tool in nodal PTCL. A prognostic index for PTCL-NOS [20] with later modification [21] has been proposed, but does not univocally appear to be more useful than the original IPI [4, 22]. For clinical practice purposes, the IPI is therefore still the recommended tool. Male sex has been reported as an adverse prognostic factor [5, 23]. In ENKTCL, high EBV-DNA copy number is correlated with tumour load and is an adverse outcome predictor [24].

## treatment

# nodal PTCL (PTCL-NOS, AITL, ALCL ALK+, ALCL ALK-)

first-line treatment. Treatment strategies should be adapted according to factors such as age, IPI, and co-morbidity that

define a patient's eligibility for dose-intensified approaches. Whenever possible, inclusion in a clinical trial is recommended. A treatment algorithm for newly diagnosed PTCL is shown in Figure 1A. Cyclophosphamide, hydroxydaunorubicin, vincristine and prednisone (CHOP), or variants of it, has been the most commonly used regimen in nodal PTCL. In patients less than 60 years of age with ALCL ALK+ histology, CHOP with the addition of etoposide (CHOEP) has shown some outcome benefits in terms of event-free but not overall survival (OS). CHOEP was mostly feasible in younger patients (≤60 years), toxicity being a limiting factor in older patients [25]. In a large cohort of treatment-naïve PTCL patients, a schedule of 6 courses of bi-weekly CHOEP followed by autologous stem-cell transplantation (autoSCT) demonstrated an overall response rate (ORR) of 82%, with 51% achieving a complete response (CR) [23]. At a median follow-up of 4.5 years, the three included nodal PTCL entities had an estimated 5-year OS and a progression-free survival (PFS) of 70% and 61% (ALCL ALK-), 52% and 49% (AITL) and 47% and 38% (PTCL-NOS). Recent population-based data also indicate that upfront autoSCT in chemosensitive patients is associated with improved OS [5]. On the basis of these data, a dose-dense CHOEP schedule followed by autoSCT in chemosensitive and transplant-eligible patients represents an evidence-based approach adoptable outside of a clinical trial [III, B] (Figure 1A).

Other induction regimens have been tried, e.g. platinum and gemcitabine combinations. In newly diagnosed patients, a recent phase II trial testing the PEGS regimen (cisplatin, etoposide, gemcitabine, and methylprednisolone) revealed a disappointing ORR of 39% and a 2-year PFS of only 14% [26]. Therefore, although the role of anthracyclines in PTCL is still debated, anthracyclinevoid regimens have, so far, failed to demonstrate their superiority to CHOP/CHOEP as the standard chemotherapy regimen outside clinical trials. In low-risk (low-/low-intermediate IPI) ALCL ALK+ patients, consolidation with autoSCT is not recommended, since these patients seem to have a more favourable outcome compared with other PTCL subtypes, with a 5-year failure-free survival (FFS) of 60%-80% [6]. The few patients with truly localised (stage I) disease should receive a shortened chemotherapy schedule (e.g. 3 courses), followed by local radiotherapy, since retrospective analyses seem to indicate a survival advantage for a combined modality approach in early stage disease [27-29] (see 'Radiotherapy' section). Frail patients not eligible for intensive chemotherapy schedules may be considered for less toxic approaches such as monotherapy schedules, e.g. with gemcitabine [30] or bendamustine [31].

*relapse.* Although a fair number of patients with nodal PTCL are chemosensitive, their response duration is often short and relapses are frequent. Except for CD30+ ALCL, there is no standard of care for relapsed/refractory nodal PTCL. The only globally approved salvage treatment in PTCL is the anti-CD30 antibody conjugate brentuximab vedotin (BV) administered in the setting of relapsed systemic ALCL (regardless of the ALK status). In a pivotal phase II study, BV monotherapy in heavily pre-treated, noncutaneous ALCL patients yielded an ORR of 86% and a CR rate of 57%, with a median response duration of 12.6 months [32]. Anti-CD30-directed BV monotherapy in relapsed/refractory ALCL is, therefore, evidence-supported and recommended [III, A]. This

treatment may also be useful to bridge eligible patients towards allogeneic stem-cell transplantation (alloSCT). A proposed treatment algorithm is summarised in Figure 1B. For relapsed/ refractory nodal PTCL other than ALCL, inclusion into clinical trials is highly encouraged. Outside clinical trials, in fit patients, combination chemotherapy regimens such as DHAP (dexamethasone, high-dose cytarabine, cisplatin) or ICE (ifosphamide, etoposide, carboplatin) can be attempted in chemosensitive patients with an available donor, aiming at alloSCT as a potentially curative modality. In unfit patients, monotherapy with gemcitabine or bendamustine are generally well-tolerated, with an ORR of approximately 50% but with modest durations of response [30, 31]. Promising new drugs are under current evaluation in clinical trials. Of these new compounds, the antifolate pralatrexate and the histone deacetylase inhibitors romidepsin and belinostat, have recently been conditionally approved in the US, based on phase II trial results [33, 34]. The same is the case for the anti-CCR4 antibody mogamulizumab, whose label in Japan has recently been extended from adult T-cell leukaemia/lymphoma to cover also relapsed/refractory PTCL and transformed mycosis fungoides [35]. Phase III studies are ongoing in the upfront setting for all of these new compounds.

### EATL

first-line treatment. In EATL, outcome after standard CHOP chemotherapy is generally poor. Recent reports indicate that, for patients sufficiently fit to tolerate more aggressive chemotherapy regimens, outcome can be significantly improved. A regimen with ifosphamide, vincristine, etoposide, and methotrexate (IVE/ MTX) followed by autoSCT has shown promising results, with 5-year OS and PFS of 60% and 52%, respectively [36]. In addition, CHOEP-14 consolidated with autoSCT has shown improved outcomes compared with standard CHOP [III, B] [23]. In a European Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantationbased registry study, 4-year OS and PFS for EATL patients receiving intensive induction regimens followed by autoSCT in first CR/partial response (PR) were 59% and 54%, respectively [37]. It is difficult to estimate the proportion of all EATL patients amenable to intensive therapies, but at least one-half of the patients aged <70 years may be considered for standard-dose chemotherapy. If these patients respond to therapy, their performance status may improve, thus allowing for subsequent autoSCT.

*relapse.* No evidence-based specific relapse regimen can be recommended in relapsed/refractory EATL. Therefore, considerations similar to those described for 'nodal entities' (see above) are also applicable to relapsed/refractory EATL. In transplant-eligible patients who retain chemosensitivity at relapse and have a suitable donor, alloSCT should be attempted.

### ENKTCL

*first-line treatment*. The treatment strategy for this subtype of lymphoma is unique in the context of PTCL. L-asparaginasecontaining regimens such as SMILE (dexamethasone, methotrexate, ifosphamide, L-asparaginase, etoposide) and AspaMetDex (L-asparaginase, methotrexate, dexamethasone) have produced promising results [38, 39]. Anthracycline-based regimens (CHOP or CHOP-like) are not effective [40]. Addition of radiation to



**Figure 1.** Integrated management algorithm (according to, e.g. risk factors, stage and histological subtype) in the (A) front-line and (B) relapsed/refractory setting. (A) § Stage I: shortened chemotherapy schedule (e.g. 3 courses) followed by curatively intended RT (see 'Radiotherapy' section). \*ALCL ALK+ with a high-risk profile (e.g. IPI >2) should be considered for autoSCT consolidation, while autoSCT in low risk profile patients is not recommended. # if donor available. ¤ SMILE or AspaMetDex. (B) \$: Pralatrexate and romidepsin: FDA but not EMA approved. PTCL, peripheral T-cell lymphomas; PTCL-NOS, PTCL-not otherwise specified; AITL, angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma; ALCL ALK+, anaplastic large-cell lymphoma anaplastic lymphoma kinase positive; ALCL ALK-, ALCL anaplastic lymphoma kinase negative; EATL, enteropathy-associated T-cell lymphoma; HSTCL, hepatosplenic T-cell lymphoma; ENKTCL, extranodal natural killer/T-cell lymphoma; CHOEP, cyclophosphamide, hydroxydaunorubicin, vincristine, prednisone; IVE/MTX, ifosfamide, vincristine, etoposide/methotrexate; ICE, ifosphamide, etoposide, and carboplatin; IVAC, ifosphamide, cytarabine, etoposide; PR, partial response; CR, complete response; alloSCT, allogeneic stem-cell transplantation; autoSCT, autologous stem-cell transplantation; rel/ref, relapsed/refractory; BV, brentuximab vedotin; DHAP, dexamethasone, high-dose cytarabine, cisplatin; SMILE, dexamethasone, methotrexate, ifosphamide, L-asparaginase, etoposide; CS, clinical stage; RT, radiotherapy.

chemotherapy is the preferred treatment of localised disease [40]. EBV DNA copy number from plasma or whole blood can be used as a biomarker for response; therefore, serial monitoring of EBV DNA copy number is recommended [15]. ENKTCL is FDG-avid, and although the role of PET/CT for response evaluation is not yet fully clarified, PET/CT is the recommended imaging modality in ENKTCL.

stages I-II. Most patients present with stage I-II nasal disease. In these cases, radiation combined with chemotherapy is the preferred treatment [40, 41]. Concurrent chemoradiotherapy and sequential chemotherapy with L-asparaginase-containing regimens followed by radiation appear to have comparable efficacy [III, A]. A radiation dose >50 Gy is recommended when treating with radiation alone. However, with radiosensitisers such as cisplatin, a weekly dose of ~40 Gy can give a comparable outcome. Central nervous system prophylaxis is not recommended, although the disease involves nasal and/or paranasal areas. Instances of localised disease outside the nasal region are rare. If feasible, radiation with or without chemotherapy seems to be a more effective treatment compared with chemotherapy alone. The role of high-dose chemotherapy followed by haematopoietic stem-cell transplantation (HSCT) is still controversial. For elderly and/or frail patients, radiation alone is recommended.

*stages III–IV.* L-asparaginase-containing chemotherapy regimens should be preferred as front-line treatment [III, A]. If complete remission is achieved, high-dose chemotherapy with HSCT is recommended. AutoSCT is preferable due to higher treatment-related mortality after alloSCT. For elderly and frail patients, L-asparaginase single agent or mild chemotherapy regimens (AspaMetDex or dose-modified SMILE) can be recommended [42].

relapse. A repeated pre-therapeutic biopsy is strongly recommended, since some of the PET-positive lesions can represent inflammatory changes secondary to ulceration. Selection of a salvage regimen depends on the type of primary treatment and response duration. In early relapse (<12 months) after anthracycline-based prior treatment, L-asparaginase-containing regimens should be recommended. For patients who received L-asparaginase regimens upfront, a gemcitabine-based (e.g. GELOX, gemcitabine, L-asparaginase, oxaliplatin) regimen can be considered for salvage treatment [41]. Although transplant-specific data are very limited, either auto- or alloSCT should be considered in transplant-eligible patients. Both modalities should preferably be tested in clinical trials.

#### **HSTCL**

*first-line treatment.* HSTCL has one of the worst prognoses among PTCLs, with 5-year FFS and OS rates of less than 10%. All cases should be treated with chemotherapy at diagnosis. Although most patients have only brief responses to anthracycline-based therapy, limited evidence suggests that they may respond to a platinum/cytarabine-based induction regimen. In the case of chemosensitivity to induction therapy, upfront consolidation with auto- or alloSCT should be offered to all eligible patients, since it may offer the only chance for durable remission [8, 43]. Recently, intense regimens such as ICE, IVAC (ifosphamide, cytarabine, etoposide), or dose-dense CHOEP/EPOCH (etoposide, vincristine, doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide and prednisone) have been proposed and auto- or alloSCT consolidation in fit patients is recommended [IV, B] [23, 44].

*relapse.* No evidence-based specific relapse regimen can be recommended in relapsed/refractory HSTCL. If chemosensitivity is achieved by current relapse regimens, alloSCT should be attempted in eligible patients, since a graft-versus-host effect has also been described in relapsed/refractory disease.

## radiotherapy

PTCL seem to be somewhat less radiosensitive than the aggressive B-cell lymphomas [45], and higher radiation doses may be needed, although still lower than for most solid tumours.

#### front-line treatment

Most types of PTCLs usually present with advanced disease. The few patients with localised disease may be treated with local radiotherapy after chemotherapy [27–29], although no randomised evidence regarding this approach exists. Recommended doses are 30–40 Gy [46]. Because of the somewhat lower radiosensitivity of PTCLs, doses of 40 Gy should be preferred, in particular if residual lymphoma is present after chemotherapy. The treated volume should include only the initially involved volume with appropriate margins for uncertainty, according to the principles of involved site radiotherapy (ISRT) [47]. High-quality imaging before chemotherapy should be obtained in order to allow for optimal planning of subsequent radiotherapy. Modern advanced radiation treatment techniques should be used to minimise long-term toxicity [47].

ENKTCLs have special features. They most commonly involve the nasal cavity, paranasal sinuses, and Waldeyer's ring. Radiotherapy is an important part of the treatment and should be administered early [III, A] [15, 48]. Patients with stage I disease may be treated with radiotherapy alone to a dose of  $\geq$ 50 Gy [49]. Alternatively, and for patients with risk factors or stage II disease, concomitant chemoradiotherapy with a platinum-containing regimen and radiotherapy dose of  $\geq$ 50 Gy is an option [41]. With more effective chemotherapy regimens, sequential chemoradiation with radiation doses of 45-50 Gy may be used. ENKTCL is often locally destructive and may infiltrate extensively in the submucosa of the upper aerodigestive tract. A generous ISRT volume is recommended, covering the entire organ(s) involved with lymphoma before chemotherapy plus adjacent structures with concern for subclinical disease. Advanced imaging including PET/CT and magnetic resonance imaging and conformal radiotherapy techniques should be used.

#### relapse/refractory disease

Palliative radiotherapy may be used to treat locally symptomatic disease. Usual palliative doses of 30 Gy in 10 fractions may be used.

# autologous stem-cell transplantation (autoSCT)

#### front-line treatment

There are no randomised prospective studies to guide clinicians in the decision on whether to perform autoSCT consolidation in first remission versus expectant observation. A body of prospective literature has accumulated that evaluates an up-front autoSCT in PTCL [23, 50-52]. Recent population-based data also indicate that upfront autoSCT in chemosensitive patients is associated with improved OS [5]. The nodal entities (PTCL-NOS, AITL, and ALCL mostly restricted to ALK- cases) represent the majority of patients enrolled in clinical trials. ALCL ALK+ patients usually have been excluded from upfront autoSCT trials, given their superior outcome following CHOP or CHOP-like regimens. The largest prospective study evaluating autoSCT consolidation in de novo PTCL was carried out by the Nordic group, where patients achieving CR or PR after a CHOEP-based dose-dense induction schedule received BEAM (carmustine, etoposide, cytarabine, melphalan) conditioning and autoSCT. With the caveat of phase II data, autoSCT in first remission seems quite feasible and possibly beneficial in the selected subset of transplant-eligible PTCL [III, B].

# allogeneic stem-cell transplantation (alloSCT)

AlloSCT is a potentially curative option for patients affected by PTCL. The first prospective phase II results demonstrated sustained responses in relapsed/refractory PTCL patients, suggesting the existence of a possible 'graft-versus-T-cell lymphoma' effect [III, B] [53, 54]. Non-relapse mortality (NRM) was low, supporting the feasibility of a reduced-intensity conditioning alloSCT (RIC-alloSCT) even in heavily pre-treated patients. Retrospective and registry-based analyses also confirmed that alloSCT can yield long-term responses in relapsed/refractory PTCL [55-58]. In extranodal subtypes data are anecdotal, but generally supportive of the feasibility and efficacy of alloSCT. In a recently reported prospective trial carried out in treatmentnaïve PTCL patients, after an induction phase with intensive chemoimmunotherapy, responding patients were randomised to auto- or alloSCT based on the availability of a HLA identical sibling or a matched unrelated donor [59]. The sample size did not allow identification of a preferred approach among the two; however, allo- and autografted patients had a 4-year PFS of 69% and 70%, respectively. In conclusion, alloSCT is a valid treatment option in transplant-eligible relapsed PTCL patients, also after a failed prior autograft. The benefit is most evident in chemosensitive patients. A RIC-alloSCT should be preferred to a myeloablative approach in order to reduce NRM. In the upfront setting, alloSCT should be carried out primarily within clinical trials.

#### relapsed/refractory disease

For patients with relapsed/refractory PTCLs, potential curative options include consolidation with either an autoSCT or alloSCT. Although often appropriately offered, not all patients are able to have a transplant due to highly refractory and progressive disease. The literature remains controversial with regard to the long-term outcomes with autoSCT in this setting [60].

#### personalised medicine

Two personalised approaches are currently widely accepted and formally approved in the treatment of PTCL. One is the use of L-asparaginase in the treatment of ENKTCL and the other is the use of the anti-CD30 antibody conjugate BV for the treatment of relapsed/refractory ALCL also with the purpose of bridging eligible patients to alloSCT. BV is also currently being tested as part of the upfront treatment in CD30-positive PTCL other than ALCL. Increasing knowledge of the molecular mechanisms involved in PTCL pathogenesis is accumulating. This may lead to new and more targeted treatment approaches in the near future. Currently, no evidence-based personalised medicine approaches are available for patients with PTCL-NOS, AITL, EATL, and HSTCL. In these settings, more research is needed to identify molecular markers which could lead to advances in personalised medicine.

### response evaluation and follow-up

In systemic PTCL, a midway interim evaluation should be carried out in order to assess chemosensitivity. Increasing evidence points at PTCL as consistently FDG-avid tumours [61, 62] providing the rationale for the use of PET/CT, particularly in the context of residual disease evaluation. Diagnostic imaging (CT or PET/CT) should be repeated at the end of treatment along with a bone marrow biopsy (only if initially involved). Presently, no evidence-based recommendation is possible with regard to the length of follow-up. However, in the Nordic NLG-T-01 trial, where a cohort of 160 evaluable systemic PTCL patients were followed over a median period of 5 years (range: 2-8 years), 7% of all relapses occurred after 2 years [23]. On this basis, a follow-up schedule consisting of history and physical examination every 3 months for 1 year, every 6 months for 2 more years, and then once a year for detection of secondary tumours or other longterm side-effects [V, C]. CT examinations at 6, 12, and 24 months after the end of treatment are usual practice, but there is no definitive evidence that routine imaging in patients in complete remission provides any outcome advantage [V, C]. Routine surveillance with PET scan is not recommended.

# methodology

These clinical practice guidelines were developed in accordance with the ESMO standard operating procedures for clinical practice guidelines development. The relevant literature has been selected by the expert authors. Levels of evidence and grades of recommendation have been applied using the system shown in Table 2. Statements without grading were considered justified standard clinical practice by the experts and the ESMO faculty. This manuscript has been subjected to an anonymous peer review process.

# conflict of interest

FA has reported Scientific advisory boards and speaker's honoraria: Takeda, Norpharma, Kyowa Kirin, CTI Life Sciences,

<b>Table 2.</b> Levels of evidence and grades of recommendation (adapted from the Infectious Diseases Society of America-United States Public Health   Service Grading System <sup>a</sup> )			
Levels of evidence			
Ι	Evidence from at least one large randomised, controlled trial of good methodological quality (low potential for bias) or meta-analyses of well- conducted randomised trials without heterogeneity		
Π	Small randomised trials or large randomised trials with a suspicion of bias (lower methodological quality) or meta-analyses of such trials or of trials with demonstrated heterogeneity		
III	Prospective cohort studies		
IV	Retrospective cohort studies or case-control studies		
V	Studies without control group, case reports, experts opinions		
Grades of recommendation			
А	Strong evidence for efficacy with a substantial clinical benefit, strongly recommended		
В	Strong or moderate evidence for efficacy but with a limited clinical benefit, generally recommended		
С	Insufficient evidence for efficacy or benefit does not outweigh the risk or the disadvantages (adverse events, costs,), optional		
D	Moderate evidence against efficacy or for adverse outcome, generally not recommended		
Е	Strong evidence against efficacy or for adverse outcome, never recommended		
<sup>a</sup> By	permission of the Infectious Diseases Society of America [63].		

Seattle Genetics, Infinity. Research support: Sanofi, Amgen. PG has reported Scientific advisory boards and speaker's honoraria: Takeda. LT has reported Research grants from Sanofi, Amgen, Roche and Mundipharma. WSK: Research supported by grants from Takeda, Novartis, Celgene, Roche. LS has reported Scientific advisory board and speaker's honoraria: Takeda. PC has reported Scientific advisory boards and speaker's honoraria: Takeda, Celgene, Roche, Novartis, Sanofi, Gilead, Janssen. ML has reported honoraria from Celgene, Janssen-Cilag, Roche, Amgen, Mundipharma and Teva; research contracts from Celgene, Pfizer, Mundipharma and Roche; funds received from Amgen, Roche and Takeda. MBP has reported no conflicts of interests.

### references

- Willemze R, Hodak E, Zinzani PL et al. Primary cutaneous lymphomas: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Ann Oncol 2013; 24(Suppl 6): vi149–vi154.
- Vose J, Armitage J, Weisenburger D. International peripheral T-cell and natural killer/T-cell lymphoma study: pathology findings and clinical outcomes. J Clin Oncol 2008; 26: 4124–4130.
- Swerdlow S, Campo E, Harris NL et al. Eds. WHO Classification of Tumours of Haematopoietic and Lymphoid Tissue. Lyon: International Agency for Research on Cancer, 2008.
- Pedersen MB, Hamilton-Dutoit SJ, Bendix K et al. Evaluation of clinical trial eligibility and prognostic indices in a population-based cohort of systemic peripheral T-cell lymphomas from the Danish Lymphoma Registry. Hematol Oncol 2014 [Epub ahead of print].
- Ellin F, Landstrom J, Jerkeman M, Relander T. Real-world data on prognostic factors and treatment in peripheral T-cell lymphomas: a study from the Swedish Lymphoma Registry. Blood 2014; 124: 1570–1577.
- Savage KJ, Harris NL, Vose JM et al. ALK- anaplastic large-cell lymphoma is clinically and immunophenotypically different from both ALK+ ALCL and peripheral T-cell lymphoma, not otherwise specified: report from the International Peripheral T-Cell Lymphoma Project. Blood 2008; 111: 5496–5504.
- Sibon D, Fournier M, Briere J et al. Long-term outcome of adults with systemic anaplastic large-cell lymphoma treated within the Groupe d'Etude des Lymphomes de l'Adulte trials. J Clin Oncol 2012; 30: 3939–3946.
- Ferreri AJ, Govi S, Pileri SA. Hepatosplenic gamma-delta T-cell lymphoma. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol 2012; 83: 283–292.

- Gaulard P, de Leval L. Pathology of peripheral T-cell lymphomas: where do we stand? Semin Hematol 2014; 51: 5–16.
- Asano N, Suzuki R, Kagami Y et al. Clinicopathologic and prognostic significance of cytotoxic molecule expression in nodal peripheral T-cell lymphoma, unspecified. Am J Surg Pathol 2005; 29: 1284–1293.
- de Leval L, Rickman DS, Thielen C et al. The gene expression profile of nodal peripheral T-cell lymphoma demonstrates a molecular link between angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma (AITL) and follicular helper T (TFH) cells. Blood 2007; 109: 4952–4963.
- Iqbal J, Weisenburger DD, Greiner TC et al. Molecular signatures to improve diagnosis in peripheral T-cell lymphoma and prognostication in angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma. Blood 2010; 115: 1026–1036.
- de Leval L, Gaulard P. Pathology and biology of peripheral T-cell lymphomas. Histopathology 2011; 58: 49–68.
- Chan WK, Au WY, Wong CY et al. Metabolic activity measured by F-18 FDG PET in natural killer-cell lymphoma compared to aggressive B- and T-cell lymphomas. Clin Nucl Med 2010; 35: 571–575.
- Kwong YL, Anderson BO, Advani R et al. Management of T-cell and natural-killercell neoplasms in Asia: consensus statement from the Asian Oncology Summit 2009. Lancet Oncol 2009; 10: 1093–1101.
- Zhou X, Lu K, Geng L et al. Utility of PET/CT in the diagnosis and staging of extranodal natural killer/T-cell lymphoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Medicine (Baltimore) 2014; 93: e258.
- 17. Tse E, Kwong YL. How I treat NK/T-cell lymphomas. Blood 2013; 121: 4997–5005.
- Moon SH, Cho SK, Kim WS et al. The role of 18F-FDG PET/CT for initial staging of nasal type natural killer/T-cell lymphoma: a comparison with conventional staging methods. J Nucl Med 2013; 54: 1039–1044.
- A predictive model for aggressive non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. The International Non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma Prognostic Factors Project. N Engl J Med 1993; 329: 987–994.
- Gallamini A, Stelitano C, Calvi R et al. Peripheral T-cell lymphoma unspecified (PTCL-U): a new prognostic model from a retrospective multicentric clinical study. Blood 2004; 103: 2474–2479.
- Went P, Agostinelli C, Gallamini A et al. Marker expression in peripheral T-cell lymphoma: a proposed clinical-pathologic prognostic score. J Clin Oncol 2006; 24: 2472–2479.
- Gutierrez-Garcia G, Garcia-Herrera A, Cardesa T et al. Comparison of four prognostic scores in peripheral T-cell lymphoma. Ann Oncol 2011; 22: 397–404.
- d'Amore F, Relander T, Lauritzsen GF et al. Up-front autologous stem-cell transplantation in peripheral T-cell lymphoma: NLG-T-01. J Clin Oncol 2012; 30: 3093–3099.

- Suzuki R, Yamaguchi M, Izutsu K et al. Prospective measurement of Epstein-Barr virus-DNA in plasma and peripheral blood mononuclear cells of extranodal NK/Tcell lymphoma, nasal type. Blood 2011; 118: 6018–6022.
- Schmitz N, Trumper L, Ziepert M et al. Treatment and prognosis of mature T-cell and NK-cell lymphoma: an analysis of patients with T-cell lymphoma treated in studies of the German High-Grade Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma Study Group. Blood 2010; 116: 3418–3425.
- Mahadevan D, Unger JM, Spier CM et al. Phase 2 trial of combined cisplatin, etoposide, gemcitabine, and methylprednisolone (PEGS) in peripheral T-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma: Southwest Oncology Group Study S0350. Cancer 2013; 119: 371–379.
- Weisenburger DD, Savage KJ, Harris NL et al. Peripheral T-cell lymphoma, not otherwise specified: a report of 340 cases from the International Peripheral T-cell Lymphoma Project. Blood 2011; 117: 3402–3408.
- Zhang XM, Li YX, Wang WH et al. Survival advantage with the addition of radiation therapy to chemotherapy in early stage peripheral T-cell lymphoma, not otherwise specified. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2013; 85: 1051–1056.
- Zhang XM, Li YX, Wang WH et al. Favorable outcome with doxorubicin-based chemotherapy and radiotherapy for adult patients with early stage primary systemic anaplastic large-cell lymphoma. Eur J Haematol 2013; 90: 195–201.
- Zinzani PL, Venturini F, Stefoni V et al. Gemcitabine as single agent in pretreated T-cell lymphoma patients: evaluation of the long-term outcome. Ann Oncol 2010; 21: 860–863.
- Damaj G, Gressin R, Bouabdallah K et al. Results from a prospective, open-label, phase II trial of bendamustine in refractory or relapsed T-cell lymphomas: the BENTLY trial. J Clin Oncol 2013; 31: 104–110.
- Pro B, Advani R, Brice P et al. Brentuximab vedotin (SGN-35) in patients with relapsed or refractory systemic anaplastic large-cell lymphoma: results of a phase II study. J Clin Oncol 2012; 30: 2190–2196.
- O'Connor OA, Pro B, Pinter-Brown L et al. Pralatrexate in patients with relapsed or refractory peripheral T-cell lymphoma: results from the pivotal PROPEL study. J Clin Oncol 2011; 29: 1182–1189.
- Piekarz RL, Frye R, Prince HM et al. Phase 2 trial of romidepsin in patients with peripheral T-cell lymphoma. Blood 2011; 117: 5827–5834.
- 35. Ogura M, Ishida T, Hatake K et al. Multicenter phase II study of mogamulizumab (KW-0761), a defucosylated anti-cc chemokine receptor 4 antibody, in patients with relapsed peripheral T-cell lymphoma and cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. J Clin Oncol 2014; 32: 1157–1163.
- Sieniawski M, Angamuthu N, Boyd K et al. Evaluation of enteropathy-associated Tcell lymphoma comparing standard therapies with a novel regimen including autologous stem cell transplantation. Blood 2010; 115: 3664–3670.
- Jantunen E, Boumendil A, Finel H et al. Autologous stem cell transplantation for enteropathy-associated T-cell lymphoma: a retrospective study by the EBMT. Blood 2013; 121: 2529–2532.
- Kwong YL, Kim WS, Lim ST et al. SMILE for natural killer/T-cell lymphoma: analysis of safety and efficacy from the Asia Lymphoma Study Group. Blood 2012; 120: 2973–2980.
- 39. Jaccard A, Gachard N, Marin B et al. Efficacy of ∟-asparaginase with methotrexate and dexamethasone (AspaMetDex regimen) in patients with refractory or relapsing extranodal NK/T-cell lymphoma, a phase 2 study. Blood 2011; 117: 1834–1839.
- Kim WS, Song SY, Ahn YC et al. CHOP followed by involved field radiation: is it optimal for localized nasal natural killer/T-cell lymphoma? Ann Oncol 2001; 12: 349–352.
- Yamaguchi M, Tobinai K, Oguchi M et al. Concurrent chemoradiotherapy for localized nasal natural killer/T-cell lymphoma: an updated analysis of the Japan clinical oncology group study JCOG0211. J Clin Oncol 2012; 30: 4044–4046.
- Yang L, Liu H, Xu XH et al. Retrospective study of modified SMILE chemotherapy for advanced-stage, relapsed, or refractory extranodal natural killer (NK)/T cell lymphoma, nasal type. Med Oncol 2013; 30: 720.
- Tanase A, Schmitz N, Stein H et al. Allogeneic and autologous stem cell transplantation for hepatosplenic T-cell lymphoma: a retrospective study of the EBMT Lymphoma Working Party. Leukemia 2015; 29: 686–688.
- 44. Voss MH, Lunning MA, Maragulia JC et al. Intensive induction chemotherapy followed by early high-dose therapy and hematopoietic stem cell transplantation

results in improved outcome for patients with hepatosplenic T-cell lymphoma: a single institution experience. Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk 2013; 13: 8–14.

- Sakata K, Fuwa N, Kodaira T et al. Analyses of dose-response in radiotherapy for patients with mature T/NK-cell lymphomas according to the WHO classification. Radiother Oncol 2006; 79: 179–184.
- Lowry L, Smith P, Qian W et al. Reduced dose radiotherapy for local control in non-Hodgkin lymphoma: a randomised phase III trial. Radiother Oncol 2011; 100: 86–92.
- 47. Illidge T, Specht L, Yahalom J et al. Modern radiation therapy for nodal non-Hodgkin lymphoma-target definition and dose guidelines from the International Lymphoma Radiation Oncology Group. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2014; 89: 49–58.
- Huang MJ, Jiang Y, Liu WP et al. Early or up-front radiotherapy improved survival of localized extranodal NK/T-cell lymphoma, nasal-type in the upper aerodigestive tract. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2008; 70: 166–174.
- 49. Bi XW, Li YX, Fang H et al. High-dose and extended-field intensity modulated radiation therapy for early-stage NK/T-cell lymphoma of Waldeyer's ring: dosimetric analysis and clinical outcome. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2013; 87: 1086–1093.
- 50. Corradini P, Dodero A, Farina L et al. Allogeneic stem cell transplantation following reduced-intensity conditioning can induce durable clinical and molecular remissions in relapsed lymphomas: pre-transplant disease status and histotype heavily influence outcome. Leukemia 2007; 21: 2316–2323.
- Rodriguez J, Conde E, Gutierrez A et al. Frontline autologous stem cell transplantation in high-risk peripheral T-cell lymphoma: a prospective study from The Gel-Tamo Study Group. Eur J Haematol 2007; 79: 32–38.
- Reimer P, Rudiger T, Geissinger E et al. Autologous stem-cell transplantation as first-line therapy in peripheral T-cell lymphomas: results of a prospective multicenter study. J Clin Oncol 2009; 27: 106–113.
- Corradini P, Dodero A, Zallio F et al. Graft-versus-lymphoma effect in relapsed peripheral T-cell non-Hodgkin's lymphomas after reduced-intensity conditioning followed by allogeneic transplantation of hematopoietic cells. J Clin Oncol 2004; 22: 2172–2176.
- 54. Dodero A, Spina F, Narni F et al. Allogeneic transplantation following a reducedintensity conditioning regimen in relapsed/refractory peripheral T-cell lymphomas: long-term remissions and response to donor lymphocyte infusions support the role of a graft-versus-lymphoma effect. Leukemia 2012; 26: 520–526.
- Kim SW, Tanimoto TE, Hirabayashi N et al. Myeloablative allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for non-Hodgkin lymphoma: a nationwide survey in Japan. Blood 2006; 108: 382–389.
- Le Gouill S, Milpied N, Buzyn A et al. Graft-versus-lymphoma effect for aggressive T-cell lymphomas in adults: a study by the Societe Francaise de Greffe de Moelle et de Therapie Cellulaire. J Clin Oncol 2008; 26: 2264–2271.
- Smith SM, Burns LJ, van Besien K et al. Hematopoietic cell transplantation for systemic mature T-Cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma. J Clin Oncol 2013; 31: 3100–3109.
- 58. Kyriakou C, Canals C, Goldstone A et al. High-dose therapy and autologous stemcell transplantation in angioimmunoblastic lymphoma: complete remission at transplantation is the major determinant of outcome – Lymphoma Working Party of the European Group for Blood and Marrow Transplantation. J Clin Oncol 2008; 26: 218–224.
- Corradini P, Vitolo U, Rambaldi A et al. Intensified chemo-immunotherapy with or without stem cell transplantation in newly diagnosed patients with peripheral T-cell lymphoma. Leukemia 2014; 28: 1885–1891.
- 60. Zinzani PL. High-dose therapy and stem cell transplantation. Semin Hematol 2010; 47(Suppl 1): S15–S17.
- 61. Tsukamoto N, Kojima M, Hasegawa M et al. The usefulness of (18)F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography ((18)F-FDG-PET) and a comparison of (18)F-FDG-pet with (67)gallium scintigraphy in the evaluation of lymphoma: relation to histologic subtypes based on the World Health Organization classification. Cancer 2007; 110: 652–659.
- Khong PL, Pang CB, Liang R et al. Fluorine-18 fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography in mature T-cell and natural killer cell malignancies. Ann Hematol 2008; 87: 613–621.
- Dykewicz CA. Summary of the guidelines for preventing opportunistic infections among hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients. Clin Infect Dis 2001; 33: 139–144.

Downloaded from http://annonc.oxfordjournals.org/ by guest on November 15, 2016