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#### Abstract

For all integers $n \geq k \geq 1$, define $H(n, k):=\sum 1 /\left(i_{1} \cdots i_{k}\right)$, where the sum is extended over all positive integers $i_{1}<\cdots<i_{k} \leq n$. These quantities are closely related to the Stirling numbers of the first kind by the identity $H(n, k)=s(n+1, k+1) / n!$. Motivated by the works of Erdős-Niven and ChenTang, we study the $p$-adic valuation of $H(n, k)$. Lengyel proved that $\nu_{p}(H(n, k))>$ $-k \log _{p} n+O_{k}(1)$ and we conjecture that there exists a positive constant $c=c(p, k)$ such that $\nu_{P}(H(n, k))<-c \log n$ for all large $n$. In this respect, we prove the conjecture in the affirmative for all $n \leq x$ whose base $p$ representations start with the base $p$ representation of $k-1$, but at most $3 x^{0.835}$ exceptions. We also generalize a result of Lengyel by giving a description of $\nu_{2}(H(n, 2))$ in terms of an infinite binary sequence.


## 1. Introduction

It is well known that the $n$-th harmonic number $H_{n}:=1+\frac{1}{2}+\cdots+\frac{1}{n}$ is not an integer whenever $n \geq 2$. Indeed, this result has been generalized in several ways (see, e.g., [2, 7, 13]). In particular, given integers $n \geq k \geq 1$, Erdős and Niven [8] proved that

$$
H(n, k):=\sum_{1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{k} \leq n} \frac{1}{i_{1} \cdots i_{k}}
$$

is an integer only for finitely many $n$ and $k$. Precisely, Chen and Tang [4] showed that $H(1,1)$ and $H(3,2)$ are the only integral values. (See also [11] for a generalization to arithmetic progressions.)

A crucial step in both the proofs of Erdős-Niven and Chen-Tang's results consists in showing that, when $n$ and $k$ are in an appropriate range, for some prime number $p$ the $p$-adic valuation of $H(n, k)$ is negative, so that $H(n, k)$ cannot be an integer.

[^0]Moreover, a study of the $p$-adic valuation of the harmonic numbers was initiated by Eswarathasan and Levine [9]. They conjectured that for any prime number $p$ the set $\mathcal{J}_{p}$ of all positive integers $n$ such that $\nu_{p}\left(H_{n}\right)>0$ is finite. Although Boyd [3] gave a probabilistic model predicting that $\# \mathcal{J}_{p}=O\left(p^{2}(\log \log p)^{2+\varepsilon}\right)$, for any $\varepsilon>0$, and Sanna [22] proved that $\mathcal{J}_{p}$ has asymptotic density zero, the conjecture is still open. Another result of Sanna [22] is that $\nu_{p}\left(H_{n}\right)=-\left\lfloor\log _{p} n\right\rfloor$ for any $n$ in a subset $\mathcal{S}_{p}$ of the positive integers with logarithmic density greater than 0.273 .

In this paper, we study the $p$-adic valuation of $H(n, k)$. Let $s(n, k)$ denotes an unsigned Stirling number of the first kind [10, §6.1], i.e., $s(n, k)$ is the number of permutations of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with exactly $k$ disjoint cycles. Then $H(n, k)$ and $s(n, k)$ are related by the following easy identity.

Lemma 1.1. For all integers $n \geq k \geq 1$, we have $H(n, k)=s(n+1, k+1) / n$ !.
In light of Lemma 1.1, and since the $p$-adic valuation of the factorial is given by the formula [10, p. 517, 4.24]

$$
\nu_{p}(n!)=\frac{n-s_{p}(n)}{p-1}
$$

where $s_{p}(n)$ is the sum of digits of the base $p$ representation of $n$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{p}(H(n, k))=\nu_{p}(s(n+1, k+1))-\frac{n-s_{p}(n)}{p-1}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence the study of $\nu_{p}(H(n, k))$ is equivalent to the study of $\nu_{p}(s(n+1, k+1))$. That explains the title of this paper.

In this regard, $p$-adic valuations of sequences with combinatorial meanings have been studied by several authors (see, e.g., [5, 15, 17, 19, 20, 21, 23). In particular, the $p$-adic valuation of Stirling numbers of the second kind have been extensively studied [1, 6, 12, 14, 16]. On the other hand, very few seems to be known about the $p$-adic valuation of Stirling numbers of the first kind. Indeed, up to our knowledge, the only systematic work on this topic is due to Lengyel [18]. Among several results, he showed (see the proof of [18, Theorem 1.2]) that, for all primes $p$ and positive integers $k$, it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{p}(H(n, k))>-k \log _{p} n+O_{k}(1) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The main aim of this article is to provide an upper bound for $\nu_{p}(H(n, k))$. In this respect, we believe that inequality (2) is nearly optimal, and our Theorem 2.3 confirms this in the special case when the base $p$ representation of $n$ starts with the base $p$ representation of $k-1$.

We restrict ourselves to this special case since the proofs are already quite involved. However, we think that our method could be improved to remove this condition on the base $p$ representation of $n$. Probably, the first step in that direction would be finding a general $p$-adic expansion of $H(n, k)$ with coefficients depending only of the digits of the base $p$ representation of $n$, extending Lemma 3.2 in the following.

Lastly, we also formulate the following:
Conjecture 1.1. For any prime number p and any integer $k \geq 1$, there exists a constant $c=c(p, k)>0$ such that $\nu_{p}(H(n, k))<-c \log n$ for all sufficiently large integers $n$.

## 2. Main Results

Before stating our results, we need to introduce some notation and definition. For any prime number $p$, we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle a_{0}, \ldots, a_{v}\right\rangle_{p}:=\sum_{i=0}^{v} a_{i} p^{v-i}, \text { where } a_{0}, \ldots, a_{v} \in\{0, \ldots, p-1\}, a_{0} \neq 0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

to denote a base $p$ representation. In particular, hereafter, the restrictions of (3) on $a_{0}, \ldots, a_{v}$ will be implicitly assumed any time we will write something like $\left\langle a_{0}, \ldots, a_{v}\right\rangle_{p}$.

For any positive integer $a=\left\langle a_{0}, \ldots, a_{v}\right\rangle_{p}$, let $\mathcal{S}_{p}(a)$ be the set of all positive integers whose base $p$ representations start with the base $p$ representation of $a$, that is,

$$
\mathcal{S}_{p}(a):=\left\{\left\langle b_{0}, \ldots, b_{u}\right\rangle_{p}: u \geq v \text { and } b_{i}=a_{i} \text { for } i=0, \ldots, v\right\} .
$$

We call $p$-tree of root $a=\left\langle a_{0}, \ldots, a_{v}\right\rangle_{p}$ a set of positive integers $\mathcal{T}$ such that:
(Т1) $\left\langle a_{0}, \ldots, a_{v}\right\rangle_{p} \in \mathcal{T}$;
(T2) If $\left\langle b_{0}, \ldots, b_{u}\right\rangle_{p} \in \mathcal{T}$ then $u \geq v$ and $b_{i}=a_{i}$ for $i=0, \ldots, v$;
(т3) If $\left\langle b_{0}, \ldots, b_{u}\right\rangle_{p} \in \mathcal{T}$ and $u>v$ then $\left\langle b_{0}, \ldots, b_{u-1}\right\rangle_{p} \in \mathcal{T}$.
Hence, it is clear that $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{p}(a)$. Moreover, for any $n=\left\langle d_{0}, \ldots, d_{s}\right\rangle_{p} \in \mathcal{S}_{p}(a) \backslash \mathcal{T}$ we denote by $\mu_{p}(\mathcal{T}, n)$ the least positive integer $r$ such that $\left\langle d_{0}, \ldots, d_{r}\right\rangle_{p} \notin \mathcal{T}$. Note that $\mu_{p}(\mathcal{T}, n)$ is indeed well defined and that obviously $\mu_{p}(\mathcal{T}, n) \leq s$. Finally, the girth of $\mathcal{T}$ is the least integer $g$ such that for all $\left\langle b_{0}, \ldots, b_{u}\right\rangle_{p} \in \mathcal{T}$ we have $\left\langle b_{0}, \ldots, b_{u}, c\right\rangle_{p} \in \mathcal{T}$ for at most $g$ values of $c \in\{0, \ldots, p-1\}$.

We are ready to state our results about the $p$-adic valuation of $H(n, k)$.
Theorem 2.1. Let $p$ be a prime number and let $k \geq 2$ be an integer. Then there exist a p-tree $\mathcal{T}_{p}(k)$ of root $k-1$ and a nonnegative integer $W_{p}(k)$ such that for all integers $n=\left\langle d_{0}, \ldots, d_{s}\right\rangle_{p} \in \mathcal{S}_{p}(k-1)$ we have:
(i) If $n \notin \mathcal{T}_{p}(k)$ then $\nu_{p}(H(n, k))=W_{p}(k)+\mu_{p}\left(\mathcal{T}_{p}(k), n\right)-k s$;
(ii) If $n \in \mathcal{T}_{p}(k)$ then $\nu_{p}(H(n, k))>W_{p}(k)-(k-1) s$.

Moreover, the girth of $\mathcal{T}_{p}(k)$ is less than $p^{0.835}$. In particular, $\mathcal{T}_{2}(k)$ is infinite and its girth is equal to 1.

Note that the case $k=1$ has been excluded from the statement. (As mentioned in the introduction, see [3, 29, 22] for results on the $p$-adic valuation of $H(n, 1)=H_{n}$.)

For given $p$ and $k$, the proof of Theorem 2.1 gives a full description of the $p$-tree $\mathcal{T}_{p}(k)$ and of the nonnegative integer $W_{p}(k)$. Moreover, in Section 5 we explain a method to effectively compute the elements of $\mathcal{T}_{p}(k)$. Therefore, Theorem 2.1(i) gives an effective formula for $\nu_{p}(H(n, k))$ for any $n \in \mathcal{S}_{p}(k-1) \backslash \mathcal{T}_{p}(k)$. Note also that the bound on the girth of $\mathcal{T}_{p}(k)$ implies that $\mathcal{S}_{p}(k-1) \backslash \mathcal{T}_{p}(k)$ has infinitely many elements. Furthermore, for some $p$ and $k$ we have that $\mathcal{T}_{p}(k)$ is finite (see Section 5), hence in such cases computing $\nu_{p}(H(n, k))$ for the finitely many $n \in \mathcal{T}_{p}(k)$ and using Theorem 2.1 (i) for $n \in \mathcal{S}_{p}(k-1) \backslash \mathcal{T}_{p}(k)$, we obtain a complete description of $\nu_{p}(H(n, k))$ for all $n \in \mathcal{S}_{p}(k-1)$.

Since the statement of Theorem 2.1 is a bit complicated, for the sake of clarity we give a numerical example: Take $p=3$ and $k=2$. Then $\mathcal{T}_{p}(k)$ is the finite set of 8 integers drawn in Figure 1, while $W_{p}(k)=0$. If we choose $n=1257=\langle 1,2,0,1,1,2,0\rangle_{3}$, then it follows easily that $n \in \mathcal{S}_{p}(k-1) \backslash \mathcal{T}_{p}(k)$ and $\mu_{p}\left(\mathcal{T}_{p}(k), n\right)=3$ thus Theorem 2.1 gives $\nu_{p}(H(n, k))=0+3-2 \cdot 6=-9$.

Lengyel [18, Theorem 2.5] proved that for each integer $m \geq 2$ it holds

$$
\nu_{2}\left(s\left(2^{m}, 3\right)\right)=2^{m}-3 m+3
$$

which, in light of identity (1), is in turn equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{2}\left(H\left(2^{m}-1,2\right)\right)=4-2 m \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

As an application of Theorem 2.1, we give a corollary that generalizes (4) and provides a quite precise description of $\nu_{2}(H(n, 2))$.

Corollary 2.2. There exists a sequence $f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots \in\{0,1\}$ such that for any integer $n=\left\langle d_{0}, \ldots, d_{s}\right\rangle_{2} \geq 2$ we have:
(i) If $d_{0}=f_{0}, \ldots, d_{r-1}=f_{r-1}$, and $d_{r} \neq f_{r}$, for some positive integer $r \leq s$, then $\nu_{2}(H(n, 2))=r-2 s ;$
(ii) If $d_{0}=f_{0}, \ldots, d_{s}=f_{s}$, then $\nu_{2}(H(n, 2))>-s$.

Precisely, the sequence $f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots$ can be computed recursively by $f_{0}=1$ and

$$
f_{s}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \nu_{2}\left(H\left(\left\langle f_{0}, \ldots, f_{s-1}, 1\right\rangle_{2}, 2\right)\right)>-s  \tag{5}\\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

for any positive integer s. In particular, $f_{0}=1, f_{1}=1, f_{2}=0$.
Note that (4) is indeed a consequence of Corollary 2.2. In fact, on the one hand, for $m=2$ the identity (4) can be checked quickly. On the other hand, for any integer $m \geq 3$ we have $2^{m}-1=\left\langle d_{0}, \ldots, d_{m-1}\right\rangle_{2}$ with $d_{0}=\cdots=d_{m-1}=1$, so that $d_{0}=f_{0}$, $d_{1}=f_{1}$, and $d_{2} \neq f_{2}$, hence (4) follows from Corollary 2.2 (i), with $s=m-1$ and $r=2$.

Finally, we obtain the following upper bound for $\nu_{p}(H(n, k))$.
Theorem 2.3. Fix a prime number $p$, and integer $k \geq 2$, and $x \geq(k-1) p$. Then

$$
\nu_{p}(H(n, k))<-(k-1)\left(\log _{p} n-\log _{p}(k-1)-1\right)
$$

holds for all $n \in \mathcal{S}_{p}(k-1) \cap[(k-1) p, x]$, but at most $3 x^{0.835}$ exceptions.
Note that $\#\left(\mathcal{S}_{p}(k-1) \cap[(k-1) p, x]\right)>_{p, k} x$. Hence Theorem 2.3 gives an upper bound for $\nu_{p}(H(n, k))$ for almost all $n \in \mathcal{S}_{p}(k-1)$, with respect to the its asymptotic relative density. In particular, there exists a positive constant $c=c(p, k)$ such that

$$
\nu_{p}(H(n, k))<-c \log (n)
$$

for almost all $n \in \mathcal{S}_{p}(k-1)$, which provides, in turn, a sort of evidence in support of Conjecture 1.1 .

## 3. Preliminaries

Let us start by proving the identity claimed in Lemma 1.1 .
Proof of Lemma 1.1. By [10, Eq. 6.11] and $s(n+1,0)=0$, we have the polynomial identity

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{n}(X+i)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} s(n+1, k+1) X^{k}
$$

hence

$$
1+\sum_{k=1}^{n} H(n, k) X^{k}=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{X}{i}+1\right)=\frac{1}{n!} \prod_{i=1}^{n}(X+i)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{s(n+1, k+1)}{n!} X^{k}
$$

and the claim follows.
From here later, let us fix a prime number $p$ and let $k=\left\langle e_{0}, \ldots, e_{t}\right\rangle_{p}+1 \geq 2$ and $n=\left\langle d_{0}, \ldots, d_{s}\right\rangle_{p}$ be positive integers with $s \geq t+1$ and $d_{i}=e_{i}$ for $i=0, \ldots, t$. For any $a_{0}, \ldots, a_{v} \in\{0, \ldots, p-1\}$, define

$$
B_{p}\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{v}\right):=\left\langle a_{0}, \ldots, a_{v}\right\rangle_{p}-\left\langle a_{0}, \ldots, a_{v-1}\right\rangle_{p}
$$

where by convention $\left\langle a_{0}, \ldots, a_{v-1}\right\rangle_{p}=0$ if $v=0$, and also

$$
\mathcal{B}_{p}\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{v}\right):=\left\{c_{p}(i): i=1, \ldots, B_{p}\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{v}\right)\right\}
$$

where $c_{p}(1)<c_{p}(2)<\cdots$ denotes the sequence of all positive integers not divisible by p. Lastly, put

$$
\mathcal{A}_{p}(n, v):=\left\{m \in\{1, \ldots, n\}: \nu_{p}(m)=s-v\right\}
$$

for each integer $v \geq 0$. The next lemma relates $\mathcal{A}_{p}(n, v)$ and $\mathcal{B}_{p}\left(d_{0}, \ldots, d_{v}\right)$.
Lemma 3.1. For each nonnegative integer $v \leq s$, we have

$$
\mathcal{A}_{p}(n, v)=\left\{j p^{s-v}: j \in \mathcal{B}_{p}\left(d_{0}, \ldots, d_{v}\right)\right\} .
$$

In particular, $\# \mathcal{A}_{p}(n, v)=B_{p}\left(d_{0}, \ldots, d_{v}\right)$ and $\mathcal{A}_{p}(n, v)$ depends only on $p, s, d_{0}, \ldots, d_{v}$. Proof. For $m \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, we have $m \in \mathcal{A}_{p}(n, v)$ if and only if $p^{s-v} \mid n$ but $p^{s-v+1} \nmid n$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\# \mathcal{A}_{p}(n, v) & =\left\lfloor\frac{n}{p^{s-v}}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{n}{p^{s-v+1}}\right\rfloor=\left\lfloor\sum_{i=0}^{s} d_{i} p^{v-i}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\sum_{i=0}^{s} d_{i} p^{v-i-1}\right\rfloor \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{v} d_{i} p^{v-i}-\sum_{i=0}^{v-1} d_{i} p^{v-i-1}=\left\langle d_{0}, \ldots, d_{v}\right\rangle_{p}-\left\langle d_{0}, \ldots, d_{v-1}\right\rangle_{p} \\
& =B_{p}\left(d_{0}, \ldots, d_{v}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{p}(n, v) & =\left\{c_{p}(i) p^{s-v}: i=1, \ldots, \# \mathcal{A}_{p}(n, v)\right\} \\
& =\left\{c_{p}(i) p^{s-v}: i=1, \ldots, B_{p}\left(d_{0}, \ldots, d_{v}\right)\right\} \\
& =\left\{j p^{s-v}: j \in \mathcal{B}_{p}\left(d_{0}, \ldots, d_{v}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

as claimed.
Before stating the next lemma, we need to introduce some additional notation. First, we define

$$
\mathcal{C}_{p}(n, k):=\bigcup_{v=0}^{t} \mathcal{A}_{p}(n, v) \quad \text { and } \quad \Pi_{p}(k):=\prod_{j \in \mathcal{C}_{p}(n, k)} \frac{1}{\operatorname{free}_{p}(j)}
$$

where $\operatorname{free}_{p}(m):=m / p^{\nu_{p}(m)}$ for any positive integer $m$. Note that, since $d_{i}=e_{i}$ for $i=0, \ldots, t$, from Lemma 3.1 it follows easily that indeed $\Pi_{p}(k)$ depends only on $p$ and $k$, and not on $n$. Then we put

$$
U_{p}(k):=\sum_{v=0}^{t} B_{p}\left(e_{0}, \ldots, e_{v}\right) v+t+1
$$

while, for $a_{0}, \ldots, a_{t+v+1} \in\{0, \ldots, p-1\}$, with $v \geq 0$ and $a_{i}=e_{i}$ for $i=0, \ldots, t$, we set

$$
H_{p}^{\prime}\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{t+v}\right):=\sum_{\substack{0 \leq v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k} \leq t+v \\ v_{1}+\cdots+v_{k}=U_{p}(k)+v}} \sum_{\substack{j_{1} / p^{v_{1}}<\cdots<j_{k} / p^{v_{k}} \\ j_{1} \in \mathcal{B}_{p}\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{v_{1}}\right), \ldots, j_{k} \in \mathcal{B}_{p}\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{v_{k}}\right)}} \frac{1}{j_{1} \cdots j_{k}}
$$

and

$$
H_{p}\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{t+v+1}\right):=H_{p}^{\prime}\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{t+v}\right)+\Pi_{p}(k) \sum_{j \in \mathcal{B}_{p}\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{t+v+1}\right)} \frac{1}{j}
$$

Note that $\nu_{p}\left(H_{p}\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{t+v+1}\right)\right) \geq 0$, this fact will be fundamental later.
The following lemma gives a kind of $p$-adic expansion for $H(n, k)$. We use $O\left(p^{v}\right)$ to denote a rational number with $p$-adic valuation greater than or equal to $v$.

Lemma 3.2. We have

$$
H(n, k)=\sum_{v=0}^{s-t-1} H_{p}\left(d_{0}, \ldots, d_{t+v+1}\right) \cdot p^{v-k s+U_{p}(k)}+O\left(p^{s-t-k s+U_{p}(k)}\right)
$$

Proof. Clearly, we can write

$$
H(n, k)=\sum_{v=0}^{V_{p}(n, k)} J_{p}(n, k, v) \cdot p^{v-V_{p}(n, k)}
$$

where

$$
V_{p}(n, k):=\max \left\{\nu_{p}\left(i_{1} \cdots i_{k}\right): 1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{k} \leq n\right\}
$$

and

$$
J_{p}(n, k, v):=\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{k} \leq n \\ \nu_{p}\left(i_{1} \cdots i_{k}\right)=V_{p}(n, k)-v}} \frac{1}{\operatorname{free}_{p}\left(i_{1} \cdots i_{k}\right)}
$$

for each nonnegative integer $v \leq V_{p}(n, k)$.
We shall prove that $V_{p}(n, k)=k s-U_{p}(k)$. On the one hand, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{v=0}^{t} B_{p}\left(e_{0}, \ldots, e_{v}\right) & =\sum_{v=0}^{t}\left(\left\langle e_{0}, \ldots, e_{v}\right\rangle_{p}-\left\langle e_{0}, \ldots, e_{v-1}\right\rangle_{p}\right)  \tag{6}\\
& =\left\langle e_{0}, \ldots, e_{t}\right\rangle_{p}=k-1
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, by (6) and thanks to Lemma 3.1, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# \mathcal{C}_{p}(n, k)=\sum_{v=0}^{t} \# \mathcal{A}_{p}(n, v)=\sum_{v=0}^{t} B_{p}\left(e_{0}, \ldots, e_{v}\right)=k-1 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, in order to maximize $\nu_{p}\left(i_{1} \cdots i_{k}\right)$ for positive integers $i_{1}<\cdots<i_{k} \leq n$, we have to choose $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}$ by picking all the $k-1$ elements of $\mathcal{C}_{p}(n, k)$ and exactly one element from $\mathcal{A}_{p}(n, t+1)$. Therefore, using again (6) and Lemma 3.1, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{p}(n, k) & =\sum_{v=0}^{t} \# \mathcal{A}_{p}(n, v)(s-v)+(s-t-1)  \tag{8}\\
& =\sum_{v=0}^{t} B_{p}\left(e_{0}, \ldots, e_{v}\right)(s-v)+(s-t-1) \\
& =\left(\sum_{v=0}^{t} B_{p}\left(e_{0}, \ldots, e_{v}\right)+1\right) s-U_{p}(k) \\
& =k s-U_{p}(k)
\end{align*}
$$

as desired.
Similarly, if $\nu_{p}\left(i_{1} \cdots i_{k}\right)=V_{p}(n, k)-v$, for some positive integers $i_{1}<\cdots<i_{k} \leq$ $n$ and some nonnegative integer $v \leq s-t-1$, then only two cases are possible: $\nu_{p}\left(i_{1}\right), \ldots, \nu_{p}\left(i_{k}\right) \geq s-t-v$; or $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}$ consist of all the $k-1$ elements of $\mathcal{C}_{p}(n, k)$ and one element of $\mathcal{A}_{p}(n, t+v+1)$. As a consequence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{p}(n, k, v)=\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{k} \leq n \\ \nu_{p}\left(i_{1} \cdots i_{k}=V_{p}(n, k)-v \\ \nu_{p}\left(i_{1}\right), \ldots, \nu_{p}\left(i_{k}\right) \geq s-t-v\right.}} \frac{1}{\operatorname{free}_{p}\left(i_{1} \cdots i_{k}\right)}+\Pi_{p}(k) \sum_{i \in \mathcal{A}_{p}(n, t+v+1)} \frac{1}{\operatorname{free}_{p}(i)}, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all nonnegative integers $v \leq s-t-1$.
By putting $v_{\ell}:=s-\nu_{p}\left(i_{\ell}\right)$ and $j_{\ell}:=\operatorname{free}_{p}\left(i_{\ell}\right)$ for $\ell=1, \ldots, k$, the first sum of (9) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{0 \leq v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k} \leq t+v \\
\left(s-v_{1}\right)+\cdots+\left(s-v_{k}\right)=V_{p}(n, k)-v}} \sum_{\substack{i_{1}<\cdots<i_{k} \\
i_{1} \in \mathcal{A}_{p}\left(n, v_{1}\right), \ldots, i_{k} \in \mathcal{A}_{p}\left(n, v_{k}\right)}} \frac{1}{\operatorname{free}_{p}\left(i_{1} \cdots i_{k}\right)} \\
& =\sum_{\substack{0 \leq v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k} \leq t+v \\
v_{1}+\cdots+v_{k}=U_{p}(k)+v}} \sum_{\substack{\left.j_{1} / p^{v_{1}} \mathcal{B}_{1}<\cdots<j_{k} / p^{v_{k}} \\
j_{0}, \ldots, d_{v_{1}}\right), \ldots, j_{k} \in \mathcal{B}_{p}\left(d_{0}, \ldots, d_{v_{k}}\right)}} \frac{1}{j_{1} \cdots j_{k}}=H_{p}^{\prime}\left(d_{0}, \ldots, d_{t+v}\right) \text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have also made use of (8) and Lemma 3.1, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{p}(n, k, v)=H_{p}\left(d_{0}, \ldots, d_{t+v+1}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any nonnegative integer $v \leq s-t-1$.
At this point, being $s>t$, by (8) it follows that $V_{p}(n, k)>s-t-1$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(n, k)=\sum_{v=0}^{s-t-1} J_{p}(n, k, v) \cdot p^{v-k s+U_{p}(k)}+O\left(p^{s-t-k s+U_{p}(k)}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

since clearly $\nu_{p}\left(J_{p}(n, k, v)\right) \geq 0$ for any nonnegative integer $v \leq V_{p}(n, k)$.
In conclusion, the claim follows from (10) and (11).
Finally, we need two lemmas about the number of solutions of some congruences. For rational numbers $a$ and $b$, we write $a \equiv b \bmod p$ to mean that $\nu_{p}(a-b)>0$.

Lemma 3.3. Let $r$ be a rational number and let $x, y$ be positive integers with $y<p$. Then the number of integers $v \in[x, x+y]$ such that $H_{v} \equiv r \bmod p$ is less than $\frac{3}{2} y^{2 / 3}+1$.
Proof. The case $r=0$ is proved in [22, Lemma 2.2] and the proof works exactly in the same way even for $r \neq 0$.

Lemma 3.4. Let $q$ be a rational number and let $a$ be a positive integer. Then the number of $d \in\{0, \ldots, p-1\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=a}^{a+d} \frac{1}{c_{p}(i)} \equiv q \bmod p \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

is less than $p^{0.835}$.
Proof. It is easy to see that there exists some $h \in\{0, \ldots, p-2\}$ such that

$$
c_{p}(i)= \begin{cases}c_{p}(a)+i-a & \text { for } i=a, \ldots, a+h \\ c_{p}(a)+i-a+1 & \text { for } i=a+h+1, \ldots, a+p-1\end{cases}
$$

Therefore, by putting $x:=c_{p}(a), y:=h$, and $r:=q+H_{x-1}$ in Lemma 3.3, we get that the number of $d \leq h$ satisfying $\sqrt{12}$ is less than $\frac{3}{2} h^{2 / 3}+1$. Similarly, by putting $x:=c_{p}(a)+h+2, y:=p-h-2$, and

$$
r:=q+H_{x-1}-\sum_{i=a}^{a+h} \frac{1}{c_{p}(i)}
$$

in Lemma 3.3, we get that the number of $d \in[h+1, p-1]$ satisfying (12) is less than $\frac{3}{2}(p-h-2)^{2 / 3}+1$. Thus, letting $N$ be the number of $d \in\{0, \ldots, p-1\}$ that satisfy (12), we have

$$
N \leq \frac{3}{2} h^{2 / 3}+1+\frac{3}{2}(p-h-2)^{2 / 3}+1 \leq 3\left(\frac{p-2}{2}\right)^{2 / 3}+2
$$

Furthermore, it is clear the $d$ and $d+1$ cannot both satisfy 12 , hence $N \leq\lceil p / 2\rceil$. Finally, a little computation shows that the maximum of

$$
\log _{p}\left(\min \left(3\left(\frac{p-2}{2}\right)^{2 / 3}+2,\left\lceil\frac{p}{2}\right\rceil\right)\right)
$$

is obtained for $p=59$ and is less than 0.835 , hence the claim follows.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 2.1

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 2.1. For any $a_{0}, \ldots, a_{t+u+1} \in\{0, \ldots, p-1\}$, with $u \geq 0$ and $a_{i}=e_{i}$ for $i=0, \ldots, t$, let

$$
\Sigma_{p}\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{t+u+1}\right):=\sum_{v=0}^{u} H_{p}\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{t+v+1}\right) \cdot p^{v}
$$

Furthermore, define the sequence of sets $\mathcal{T}_{p}^{(0)}(k), \mathcal{T}_{p}^{(1)}(k), \ldots$ as follows: $\mathcal{T}_{p}^{(0)}(k):=$ $\left\{\left\langle e_{0}, \ldots, e_{t}\right\rangle_{p}\right\}$, and for any integer $u \geq 0$ put $\left\langle a_{0}, \ldots, a_{t+u+1}\right\rangle_{p} \in \mathcal{T}_{p}^{(u+1)}(k)$ if and only
if $\left\langle a_{0}, \ldots, a_{t+u}\right\rangle_{p} \in \mathcal{T}_{p}^{(u)}(k)$ and $\nu_{p}\left(\Sigma_{p}\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{t+u+1}\right)\right) \geq u+1$. At this point, setting

$$
\mathcal{T}_{p}(k):=\bigcup_{u=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{T}_{p}^{(u)}(k)
$$

it is straightforward to see that $\mathcal{T}_{p}(k)$ is a $p$-tree of root $\left\langle e_{0}, \ldots, e_{t}\right\rangle_{p}$. Put $W_{p}(k):=$ $U_{p}(k)-t-1$.

If $n \notin \mathcal{T}_{p}(k)$ then, for the sake of convenience, set $r:=\mu_{p}\left(\mathcal{T}_{p}(k), n\right)$. Thus $r>t$, $\left\langle d_{0}, \ldots, d_{r-1}\right\rangle_{p} \in \mathcal{T}_{p}(k)$ but $\left\langle d_{0}, \ldots, d_{r}\right\rangle \notin \mathcal{T}_{p}(k)$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{p}\left(\Sigma_{p}\left(d_{0}, \ldots, d_{r}\right)\right) \leq r-t-1 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we distinguish between two cases. If $r=t+1$, then $\nu_{p}\left(\Sigma_{p}\left(d_{0}, \ldots, d_{t+1}\right)\right)=0$ and by Lemma 3.2 we obtain $\nu_{p}(H(n, k))=W_{p}(k)+r-k s$. If $r>t+1$ then by $\left\langle d_{0}, \ldots, d_{r-1}\right\rangle \in \overline{\mathcal{T}_{p}}(k)$ we get that $\nu_{p}\left(\Sigma_{p}\left(d_{0}, \ldots, d_{r-1}\right)\right) \geq r-t-1$, which together with (13) and

$$
\Sigma_{p}\left(d_{0}, \ldots, d_{r}\right)=\Sigma_{p}\left(d_{0}, \ldots, d_{r-1}\right)+H_{p}\left(d_{0}, \ldots, d_{r}\right) \cdot p^{r-t-1}
$$

implies that $\nu_{p}\left(\Sigma_{p}\left(d_{0}, \ldots, d_{r}\right)\right)=r-t-1$, hence by Lemma 3.2 we get $\nu_{p}(H(n, k))=$ $W_{p}(k)+r-k s$, and (i) is proved.

If $n \in \mathcal{T}_{p}(k)$ then, by the definition of $\mathcal{T}_{p}(k)$, we have $\nu_{p}\left(\Sigma_{p}\left(d_{0}, \ldots, d_{s}\right)\right) \geq s-t$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.2 it follows that $\nu_{p}(H(n, k))>W_{p}(k)-(k-1) s$, and this proves (ii).

It remains only to bound the girth of $\mathcal{T}_{p}(k)$. Let $u$ be a nonnegative integer and pick $\left\langle a_{0}, \ldots, a_{t+u}\right\rangle_{p} \in \mathcal{T}_{p}^{(u)}(k)$. By the definition of $\mathcal{T}_{p}^{(u+1)}(k)$, we have $\left\langle a_{0}, \ldots, a_{t+u+1}\right\rangle_{p} \in$ $\mathcal{T}_{p}^{(u+1)}(k)$ if and only if $\nu_{p}\left(\Sigma_{p}\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{t+u+1}\right)\right) \geq u+1$, which in turn is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{v=0}^{u-1} H_{p}\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{t+v+1}\right) \cdot p^{v-u}+H_{p}^{\prime}\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{t+u}\right)+\Pi_{p}(k) \sum_{j \in \mathcal{B}_{p}\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{t+u+1}\right)} \frac{1}{j}  \tag{14}\\
& \equiv \sum_{v=0}^{u} H_{p}\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{t+v+1}\right) \cdot p^{v-u} \equiv 0 \bmod p
\end{align*}
$$

Using the definition of $\mathcal{B}_{p}\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{t+u+1}\right)$ and the facts that

$$
B_{p}\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{t+u+1}\right)=a_{t+u+1}+(p-1) \sum_{v=0}^{u} a_{v} p^{u-v}
$$

and $\nu_{p}\left(\Pi_{p}(k)\right)=0$, we get that 14 is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=a}^{a+a_{t+u+1}} \frac{1}{c_{p}(i)} \equiv-\sum_{i=1}^{a-1} \frac{1}{c_{p}(i)} \\
& -\frac{1}{\Pi_{p}(k)}\left(\sum_{v=0}^{u-1} H_{p}\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{t+v+1}\right) \cdot p^{v-u}+H_{p}^{\prime}\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{t+u}\right)\right) \bmod p \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
a:=(p-1) \sum_{v=0}^{u} a_{v} p^{u-v}
$$

Note that both $a$ and the right-hand side of (15) do not depend on $a_{t+u+1}$. As a consequence, by Lemma 3.4 we get that $\left\langle a_{0}, \ldots, a_{t+u+1}\right\rangle_{p} \in \mathcal{T}_{p}^{(u+1)}(k)$ for less than $p^{0.835}$ values of $a_{t+u+1} \in\{0, \ldots, p-1\}$. Thus the girth of $\mathcal{T}_{p}(k)$ is less than $p^{0.835}$.

Finally, consider the case $p=2$. Obviously, $1 / c_{2}(i) \equiv 1 \bmod 2$ for any positive integer $i$, while the right-hand side of $(15)$ is equal to 0 or $1(\bmod 2)$. Therefore, there exists one and only one choice of $a_{t+u+1} \in\{0,1\}$ such that 15 is satisfied. This means that $\mathcal{T}_{2}(k)$ is infinite and its girth is equal to 1.

The proof is complete.

## 5. The computation of $\mathcal{T}_{p}(k)$

Given $p$ and $k$, it might be interesting to effectively compute the elements of $\mathcal{T}_{p}(k)$. Clearly, $\mathcal{T}_{p}(k)$ could be infinite - by Theorem 2.1 this is indeed the case when $p=2$ hence the computation should proceed by first enumerating all the elements of $\mathcal{T}_{p}^{(0)}(k)$, then all the elements of $\mathcal{T}_{p}^{(1)}(k)$, and so on. An obvious way to do this is using the recursive definition of the $\mathcal{T}_{p}^{(u)}(k)$ 's. However, it is easy to see how this method is quite complicated and impractical. A better idea is noting that from Theorem 2.1 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{T}_{p}^{(u+1)}(k)=\left\{\left\langle a_{0}, \ldots, a_{t+u}, b\right\rangle_{p}:\right. & \left\langle a_{0}, \ldots, a_{t+u}\right\rangle_{p} \in \mathcal{T}_{p}^{(u)}(k)  \tag{16}\\
& \left.\nu_{p}\left(H\left(\left\langle a_{0}, \ldots, a_{t+u}, b\right\rangle_{p}, k\right)\right)>W_{p}(k)-(k-1) s\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

for all integers $u \geq 0$. Therefore, starting from $\mathcal{T}_{p}^{(0)}(k)=\left\{\left\langle e_{0}, \ldots, e_{t}\right\rangle_{p}\right\}$, formula (16) gives a way to compute recursively all the elements of $\mathcal{T}_{p}(k)$. In particular, if $\mathcal{T}_{p}(k)$ is finite, then after sufficient computation one will get $\mathcal{T}_{p}^{(u)}(k)=\varnothing$ for some positive integer $u$, so the method actually proves that $\mathcal{T}_{p}(k)$ is finite.

The authors implemented this algorithm in SAGEMATH, since it allows computations with arbitrary-precision $p$-adic numbers. In particular, they found that $\mathcal{T}_{3}(2), \ldots, \mathcal{T}_{3}(6)$ are all finite sets, with respectively $8,24,16,7,23$ elements, while the cardinality of $\mathcal{T}_{3}(7)$ is at least 43. Through these numerical experiments, it seems that, in general, $\mathcal{T}_{p}(k)$ does not exhibit any trivial structure (see Figures 1, 2, 3), hence the question of the finiteness of $\mathcal{T}_{p}(k)$ is probably a difficult one.

## 6. Proof of Corollary 2.2

Only for this section, let us focus on the case $p=2$ and $k=2$, so that $t=0, e_{0}=1$, and $W_{2}(2)=0$. Thanks to Theorem 2.1 we know that $\mathcal{T}_{2}(2)$ is infinite and its girth is equal to 1 . Hence, it follows easily that there exists a sequence $f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots \in\{0,1\}$ such that $\mathcal{T}_{2}^{(u)}(2)=\left\{\left\langle f_{0}, \ldots, f_{u}\right\rangle_{2}\right\}$ for all integers $u \geq 0$. In particular, $f_{0}=e_{0}=1$. At this point, (i) and (ii) are direct consequences of Theorem 2.1, while the recursive formula (5) is just a special case of (16).

## 7. Proof of Theorem 2.3

On the one hand, if $n=\left\langle d_{0}, \ldots, d_{s}\right\rangle_{p} \in\left(\mathcal{S}_{p}(k-1) \backslash \mathcal{T}_{p}(k)\right) \cap[(k-1) p, x]$ then by Theorem 2.1 we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu_{p}(H(n, k)) & =W_{p}(k)+\mu_{p}\left(\mathcal{T}_{p}(k), n\right)-k s \\
& \leq W_{p}(k)-(k-1) s \\
& =\sum_{v=0}^{t} B_{p}\left(e_{0}, \ldots, e_{v}\right) v-(k-1) s \\
& \leq \sum_{v=0}^{t} B_{p}\left(e_{0}, \ldots, e_{v}\right) t-(k-1) s<-(k-1)\left(\log _{p} n-\log _{p}(k-1)-1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have made use of (6) and the inequalities $\mu_{p}\left(\mathcal{T}_{p}(k), n\right) \leq s, s>\log _{p} n-1$, and $t \leq \log _{p}(k-1)$.

On the other hand, by Theorem 2.1, the girth of $\mathcal{T}_{p}(k)$ is less than $p^{0.835}$, hence it follows easily that $\# \mathcal{T}_{p}^{(u)}(k)<p^{0.835 u}$, for any positive integer $u$. As a consequence,

$$
\#\left(\mathcal{T}_{p}(k) \cap[(k-1) p, x]\right) \leq \sum_{u=1}^{\left\lfloor\log _{p} x\right\rfloor-t} \# \mathcal{T}_{p}^{(u)}(k)<\sum_{u=1}^{\left\lfloor\log _{p} x\right\rfloor} p^{0.835 u}<3 x^{0.835}
$$

and the claim follows.

## 8. Figures



Figure 1. The 8 elements of $\mathcal{T}_{3}(2)$ (left tree), and the 7 elements of $\mathcal{T}_{3}(5)$ (right tree).


Figure 2. The 24 elements of $\mathcal{T}_{3}(3)$.


Figure 3. The 16 elements of $\mathcal{T}_{3}(4)$.
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