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Productivity and woodchip quality of different chippers during poplar plantation harvesting

Abstract

In this work, the productivity and work quality of different types of chipping machines used for biomass comminution produced by dedicated plantations were evaluated. Drum and disc chippers with different powers were compared with feller-chippers and grinders. Machines were tested using only one tree species (poplar) and two different feedstocks: branchwood (seven-year-old treetops and biomass produced by a vSRC) and whole-trees (materials produced by an SRC). This study showed a similar performance for all types of machines tested in terms of working rate using different feeding systems, i.e., automatic and forestry crane. However, different results were obtained for woodchip quality. The whole tree comminution was able to guarantee the best woodchips, and chippers produced better wood chips in comparison to grinders. The results obtained indicate that productivity is linked to engine power and that feedstock size can influence wood chip quality. Furthermore, feller-chippers are able to guarantee the same productivity and wood chip quality as “conventional” chippers.
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1. Introduction

The comminution of wood is performed to homogenize different wood assortments (logs, branches, etc.) and to increase the load density [1]. Typically, woodchips are used for energy production and making chipboard panels. At present, in Italy and in Europe, large amounts of woodchips are used as biomass for energy production because there are many economic incentives for this biofuel use [2-5].

In Europe, large amounts of woodchips are produced by dedicated cultivations: short rotation coppices (SRC). Recently, the ligno-cellulosic species cultivation has increased because several farms have included SRCs in their cultural plans [6]. The main forestry species cultivated in Europe are poplar (*Populus* spp.) [7], willow (*Salix* spp.) [8], black locust (*Robinia pseudoacacia*) [9], and eucalyptus (*Eucalyptus* spp.) [10]. Forestry species can be cultivated with a high planting density (5,500–14,000 trees ha$^{-1}$) and harvested every 1–4 years (very short rotation coppice - vSRC) or with a lower planting density (1,000–2,000 trees ha$^{-1}$), with harvesting ranging from 5 to 7 years (short rotation coppice - SRC) [11].

Woodchips used for energy production must be of high quality (uniform size), and every chip should be of a size smaller than 60 mm to guarantee the correct automatic feeding of the power station [12]. Furthermore, woodchips should have low cortex and moisture contents because the cortex content affects ash production and the moisture content decreases the lower Calorific heating value (LCHV) [13]. If cortex and moisture content depend on timber assortment type, the chip sizes are mainly related to the chipper characteristics.
The chipping operation can be made during the biomass harvest or some days after tree cutting. This operation can be performed by two different groups of machines: chippers, i.e., machines using sharp tools (knives) to cut or slice wood, and grinders, i.e., machines using blunt tools (hammers) to smash or crush wood [14]. In particular, grinders are used for contaminated wood, as their blunt tools are less sensitive to the wearing effect of contaminants but offer a rather coarse product [15]. In contrast, chippers are exclusively applied to clean wood and offer a finer and better product [12].

Chippers used for woodchip production for energy use can be divided by the function of their comminution devices, i.e., discs and drums [16]. All chippers offer high product quality, but disc chippers are more energy efficient than drum chippers. However, drum chippers are generally more productive [16]. Chippers can also be divided by frame type, i.e., mobile or stationary [17]. The first type are used principally for wood chipping in fields or forests, whereas the second type are assembled directly at "woodyards or terminals". Of course, the latter have a greater size and power. In SRCs, in addition to these “conventional” chippers, specific self-propelled machines exist for simultaneously harvesting and chipping the biomass produced (feller-chippers). These chippers are modified foragers equipped with a specific head that is able to cut and chip small trees [18].

Over multiple years, these different chipping machine types were tested singularly at different sites and using different feedstock types. On the basis of these tests, the goal of this work is to evaluate the productivity and work quality of different types of chipping machines used for biomass comminution produced by SRC and vSRC under the same working conditions and using the same feedstock. Drum and disc mobile chippers with different power sizes were compared with feller-chippers and grinders. Machines were tested using only one tree species (poplar spp.), but two different feedstocks were used (branchwood and whole-trees).
2. Materials

For this experimentation, eight different machines were chosen. In particular, three of these were powered by tractor PTOs, whereas the other five were powered by an independent engine. The tested machines required power ranging between 103 and 420 kW. In the tests, drum chippers and disc chippers were used. In addition, one grinder and three feller-chippers (self-propelled) were analysed (Table 1).

To obtain the best performances, all machines were equipped with a “No stress” electronic device capable of managing the speed of the feed rolls in relation to the available power. For each machine category, an appropriate feeding system was used; self-propelled chippers were fed automatically, whereas “conventional” chippers and the grinder were fed by a forestry crane.

All stationary machines, in order to reduce the operator’s effect, as is well known in other forestry sectors [19], were fed using only one forestry crane driven by the same operator. The crane used in the test was a DALLA BONA AS610 fixed to a 4 WD tractor (Same ANTARES 110).

The poplar tree species (*Populus x euroamericana*) used in all tests is one of the main species found in Italy, and it can be considered representative of all wood types used for biomass production [20]. Because the feedstock size can influence productivity [21], in the trials, two feedstock types were used: branchwood (treetops of seven-year-old trees and biomass produced by a 2-year-old very short rotation coppice) and whole tree (materials produced by a 7-year-old short rotation forest).
In this work, we also considered treetops because in some cases, for the economic balance of an SRC to be positive, the basal part of the trunk, up to 4-6 m, is used to produce industrial wood (OSB panel, packaging) [22].

Branchwood had an average diameter (measured to approximately 10 mm from the cutting section) of between 50 and 120 mm, whereas whole trees had a base diameter of between 280 and 400 mm.

Due to the limited size of their cutting heads and to the specific cutting system type, not all chipping machines tested were able to work with two different feedstocks. Feller-chippers 1 and 2 worked on the vSRC plantations (branchwood) only, whereas feller-chipper 4 worked only in the SRC (whole tree).

All of the wood was freshly processed, with a water mass fraction of approximately 55%.

Feedstocks were made available in large piles (approximately 100 m$^3$) built at the field edge. All machines, except feller-chippers, were stationed near the piles, and a forestry crane was used to move the wood into the feeding device. Feller-chippers worked directly in plantations (vSRC and SRC) because the feed of their cutting heads was conducted automatically in the forward speed setting. The trials were performed on a poplar vSRC, where the distance between the rows was 3.00 meters and the distance between trees was 0.50 meters (areal density of 6,700 trees ha$^{-1}$), and a poplar SRC with the same distance between the rows but with a distance between trees of 3.00 meters (1,600 trees per hectare).

Each feller-chipper was tested on a rectangular area of 0.25 hectare, with dimensions of approximately 105 m in length and 24 m in width (eight rows). In particular, the rows had lengths of 95 m and headlands of 5 m.
Chips were blown into three-axle trailers with a capacity of 35 m$^3$. Trailers were towed by farm tractors so that the whole operation was based exclusively on farming equipment.

### 3. Methods

The research was conducted in northwestern Italy, near the town of Alessadria ($45° 8' 33''$ N; $8° 28' 11''$ E), between January and March, 2012. The sampling unit consisted of a full trailer. The experimental design aimed at testing the effect of the technical characteristics of each machine category used for woodchip production (disc chipper, drum chipper, feller-chippers, and grinder) on the productivity. Each treatment was replicated three times (Table 2).

All machines worked with new knives and hammers.

Productivity was estimated through a detailed time-motion study conducted at the cycle level [23], where a full trailer load was assumed to be a cycle. Cycle times were defined and split into time elements according to the IUFRO classification [24]. The working rate of the chipping operation was expressed in terms of dry mass (Mg t DM h$^{-1}$) and density (m$^3$ h$^{-1}$). Furthermore, these parameters were also calculated as functions of chipper engine power (Mg t h$^{-1}$ and m$^3$ h$^{-1}$ x kW). The net chipping productivity of each chipper was determined considering only the productive working time.

Outputs were estimated by measuring the volume and weight of all woodchips produced during each test. The weight of each trailer was measured by a certified weighbridge with an accuracy of 10 kg (Ferrero® FL311). Before determining the trailer weight, the load was...
levelled equal to the tipper topsides. This operation was necessary to obtain biomass density values.

Moisture content determination was conducted using the gravimetric method according to European Standard CENT/TS 14774-2 [25] on one sample (1 kg) per trailer, which were collected in sealed bags and weighed fresh.

The quality of wood chips was assessed on one sample (1 kg) per trailer according to European Standard EN 15149-1 [26]. Seven sieves were used to separate the following eight chip length classes: <3.15 mm (fines), 3.16-8 mm, 9-16 mm, 17-31.5 mm, 31.16–45 mm, 46–63 mm, (acceptable size), 64–100 mm, and >100 mm (oversize particles). Each fraction was then weighed according to a precision scale with an accuracy of 0.01 g (Sartorius® GP3202).

All data collected were processed using Microsoft Excel and analysed with SSPS (2013) advanced statistics software to check the statistical significance of the eventual difference between the trials. The difference between machines was determined using the Ryan-Einot-Gabriel-Welsch (REGW) test because it has higher statistical power with this data distribution.

4. Results

Time consumption ranged from 29 to 196 s m\(^{-3}\) for branchwood and from 32 to 104 s m\(^{-3}\) for whole trees (Table 2).

Independent of feedstock and machine type used, the net chipping time was 78% higher, whereas the supportive work time and delay showed an incidence of total work time of only 2-8%. Complementary work times of the grinder were very low (approximately 8%) in comparison to the other machine types analysed (12-19%) (Table 2).
The statistical analysis showed all differences in the net chipping time for all machines and feedstock types tested (Table 3).

In branchwood chipping, a higher value of productivity \((102.67 \text{ m}^3\text{h}^{-1} \text{ equal to } 16.29 \text{ t h}^{-1})\) was obtained using machine 8, whereas the lowest value was obtained using machine 1 \((19.33 \text{ m}^3\text{h}^{-1} \text{ equal to } 3.06 \text{ t h}^{-1})\).

Net productivity expressed per unit of nominal power of the machine ranged between 30 and 38 kg h\(^{-1}\) x kW (Table 4).

In whole tree chipping, a higher working rate \((112.67 \text{ m}^3\text{h}^{-1} \text{ equal to } 18.14 \text{ t h}^{-1} \text{ of dry matter})\) was obtained using machine 7, whereas a lower value \((34.67 \text{ m}^3\text{h}^{-1} \text{ equal to } 6.07 \text{ t h}^{-1} \text{ of dry matter})\) was found with machine 4. Higher net productivity expressed in dry matter per unit of nominal power of the machine was obtained with machines 5 and 6 \((60 \text{ kg h}^{-1} \text{ x kW})\), whereas a lower value \((32 \text{ kg h}^{-1} \text{ x kW})\) was found with machine 4 (Table 4).

In a comparison of all productivity values, that obtained for whole tree chipping \((0.053 \text{ t h}^{-1} \text{ x kW})\) was approximately 30% higher than that obtained for branchwood chipping.

Furthermore, considering that the chippers were only fed with forestry cranes, the data processing output showed an average productivity of 0.22 m\(^3\)h\(^{-1}\) or 0.035 t of dry matter per kW of nominal power in branchwood chipping, and 0.34 m\(^3\)h\(^{-1}\) or 0.058 t of dry matter per kW of nominal power in whole tree chipping.

In general, chipper productivity increased in relation to the nominal power engine (Fig. 1).
In whole tree chipping, it is possible to obtain a higher data correlation ($R^2 = 0.99$; $y = 0.3747x - 6.880; P < 0.0001$) if the value of machine 4 (190 kw) is not considered (Fig. 1).

This machine, in contrast to the other machines tested, cannot work continuously because, before performing the chipping operation, it needs to reach the tree, cut it, and successively place it in the feeding mouth. The sequence of these operations reduces its productivity (Table 4).

Table 4 shows the particle size distribution of the chips produced using different machines. The acceptable size accounted for the majority of the sample weight, but the oversize particle content was substantial (14.8% of the total weight). The particle size distribution did not differ significantly between the considered feedstocks (Table 5).

Disc and drum chippers produce high-quality woodchips and show little presence of fine particles in comparison to grinders (hammers) (Table 6).

5. Discussion

In vSRC and SRC harvesting, independent of feeding systems adopted by chippers (automatically or with forestry crane), the supporting work time and delay are low (8% of total working time). This value is similar to those obtained in other works performed using traditional chippers [27], but it is substantially lower (four times) in comparison to the self-propelled forager modified for wood chipping tested on a poplar plantation with a diameter of 270 mm [28]. This difference could be attributed to the smaller tree sizes and the optimal conditions (large square and large head field) that machines have worked during the trials. Overall, it is very important to highlight that the working time can also be linked to the operator's training and skill level [29].
Productivity is influenced particularly by rotation length of the SRC harvesting because a different plantation edge can lead to different feedstock types. In fact, it is lower when the wood assortment processed is characterized by a small size (branchwood - vSRC). This effect may be attributed to low feedstock density and the greater difficulty of its operation. This feedstock can also cause some problems in feeding operations, where the branches can become stuck in the feeding mouth of the chippers. These operative problems were also shown in other studies [12, 30].

Furthermore, this study has highlighted that cutting operations performed simultaneously with the chipping operations (feller-chippers) do not considerably reduce chipping operation productivity or influence woodchip quality. The results also indicate the strong performance of feller-chippers, which in previous tests, have shown economic advantages [31] and less soil compaction [32] compared to other machines used in tree cutting and wood comminution. Nevertheless, machine 4 (i.e., a feller-chipper that worked only in the SRC – a plantation with a medium-length rotation) showed a low working rate because its working process was not continuous due to the difficulty of cutting trees with large diameters (up to 400 mm). In fact, as reported by Hauk et al. [33], when the SRC rotation length is long (7 years), manual harvesting becomes economically competitive.

In this study, it is noted that independent of the machine type used (self-propelled chippers, feller-chippers or grinders) in biomass comminution, the productivity was strictly related to the nominal engine power. These results are comparable with those found in previous works [12, 17]. The difference of singular values could be linked to different forestry cranes used and differences in operator skills.
The particle size distributions obtained in this experiment are very similar to those obtained in 
other experiments conducted in similar conditions [34-38].
The woodchips are of high quality for all of the chippers tested (acceptable size > 80%) 
except for the grinder (acceptable size < 67%). This trend is similar to that found in other 
works where the biomass was processed with grinders [15]. Independent of the machine type 
considered, in this work, feedstock biomass sizes influenced woodchip quality. The best 
biofuels were obtained with the whole tree chipping (acceptable size > 88%). The production 
of many fine particles using branchwood or materials with small diameters was also 
confirmed by Spinelli et al. [39]. In contrast to Nati et al. [40], in this study, disc and drum 
chippers show no significant difference in woodchip quality (Table 5). This result could be 
related to the single forestry species (poplar) processed in this study.

Considering the importance of forestry species [34-35, 41] and the effect of wear knives on 
the machine productivity and woodchip quality [42], it could be useful to improve these 
results with others studies conducted with the same machines but using different forestry 
species and wear knives.

6. Conclusions

This study showed similar performances for all type of machines tested in terms of specific 
working rate (working rate expressed by unit of nominal power). No difference in 
productivity was obtained using different feeding systems (automatic and with forestry crane) 
and commination systems (disc, drum or hammers). However, different results were obtained 
in woodchip quality. In fact, in order to obtain high-quality wood chips, large size feedstock 
(whole tree) and chippers (drum or disc) were required.
This information is very important because it is useful for consideration during biomass plantation planning and management.

Finally, the data obtained in this experiment highlight that in the SRC, it is better to use feller-chippers. In fact, these machines, in addition to ensuring the same performance of “conventional” chippers in terms of productivity and wood chip quality (results obtained in this work), are able to reduce soil compaction and hourly costs (results obtained in other studies [30-31]).
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