



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Hematologic toxicity in anal cancer patients during combined chemo-radiation: a radiation oncologist perspective**This is the author's manuscript***Original Citation:**Availability:*This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1632323> since 2017-04-14T15:33:12Z*Published version:*

DOI:10.1080/14737140.2017.1288104

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)



This is the author's final version of the contribution published as:

Franco, Pierfrancesco; Arcadipane, Francesca; Ragona, Riccardo;
Mistrangelo, Massimiliano; Cassoni, Paola; Racca, Patrizia; Morino, Mario;
Numico, Gianmauro; Ricardi, Umberto. Hematologic toxicity in anal cancer
patients during combined chemo-radiation: a radiation oncologist perspective.
EXPERT REVIEW OF ANTICANCER THERAPY. 17 (4) pp: 335-345.
DOI: 10.1080/14737140.2017.1288104

The publisher's version is available at:

<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/14737140.2017.1288104>

When citing, please refer to the published version.

Link to this full text:

<http://hdl.handle.net/>

This full text was downloaded from iris - AperTO: <https://iris.unito.it/>

Table 1. Acute hematologic toxicity in phase III randomized trials of anal cancer patients

Author	Year	Randomization	Pts	CHT	RT technique	Boost strategy	HT scoring scale	≥G3 HT
Flam et al. RTOG 8704/ECOG 1289	1996	RT-CHT vs.	145	5-FU	AP/PA	Sequential	NCI	G4-G5: 3%
		RT-CHT	146	5-FU/MMC				vs. 18%
UKCCCR	1996	RT alone vs.	290	None	AP/PA	Sequential	NA	No grading available
ACT I		RT-CHT	295	5-FU/MMC	Boost: electrons, photons, ¹⁹² Ir implants			WBC < 1,000/ μ l 0% (RT) vs. 2% (RT-CHT)
Bartelink et al. EORTC 22861	1997	RT alone vs.	52	None	AP/PA	Sequential	WHO	NA
		RT-CHT	51	5-FU/MMC	Boost: electrons, photons, ¹⁹² Ir implants			Plt < 25,000/ μ l 0% (RT) vs. 2% (RT-CHT)
Ajani et al. RTOG 98-11	2008	RT-CHT vs.	341	5-FU/MMC	AP/PA	Sequential	CTCAE v2.0	Overall: 61%
		RT-CHT	341	5-FU/DDP	AP/PA + paired laterals PA + laterals Direct perineal boost: electrons, photons			vs. 42%
Peiffert et al. ACCORD 03	2012	ICHT + RT-CHT (standard boost) vs. ICHT + RT-CHT (intensified boost) vs. RT-CHT (standard boost) vs. RT-CHT (intensified boost)	75	5-FU/DDP	AP/PA	Sequential	CTCAE v3.0	Overall: 29% (ICT arms) vs. 19% (RT-CT arms)
James et al. ACT II	2013	RT-CHT vs. RT-CHT vs.	246	5-FU/MMC	Four-field box technique	Sequential	CTCAE v3.0	Overall: MMC group: 26% vs.
		RT-CHT	246	5-FU/DDP	Boost: 3DCRT			

Author	Year	Randomization	Pts	CHT	RT technique	Boost strategy	HT scoring scale	≥G3 HT
		RT-CHT + maintenance CT	226	5-FU/MMC + 5FU/DDP				DDP group: 16%
		vs.						
		RT-CHT + maintenance CT	222	5-FU/DDP + 5FU/DDP				

pts: patients; CHT: chemotherapy; RT: radiotherapy; HT: hematologic toxicity; ICHT: induction chemotherapy; 5-FU: 5-fluorouracil; MMC: mytomycin C; DDP: cisplatin; AP/PA: anterior-posterior/posterior-anterior; ¹⁹²Ir: iridium 192; 3DCRT: 3-dimensional conformal radiotherapy; NA: not available; NCI: National Cancer Institute; WHO: World Health Organization; CTCAE: Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Effects; WBC: white blood cells; Plt: platelets; μ : microliter.

Table 2. Acute hematologic toxicity in IMRT series of anal cancer patients

Author	Year	Pts	IMRT technique	Boost strategy	BM opt	CHT	HT scoring scale	G3-G4 HT
Milano et al.	2005	17	Stating angle 7-field class solution	Sequential	Yes (iliac BM)	5-FU/MMC 5-FU	RTOG	Overall: 53% Leukopenia: 47% Thrombocytopenia: 18% Anemia: 12%
Salama et al.	2007	53	Static angle 9-field class solution	Sequential SIB	No	5-FU/MMC 5-FU/DDP 5-FU	CTCAE v 3.0	Leukopenia: 53% Thrombocytopenia: 28% Anemia: 9%
Pepek et al.	2010	47	NA	Sequential	Yes (iliac BM)	5-FU/MMC Cape/MMC Cape	CTCAE v 3.0	Overall: 24% Leukopenia: 24% Thrombocytopenia: 3% Anemia: 3%
Bazan et al.	2011	29	Static angle	Sequential	NA	5-FU/MMC Cape/MMC 5-FU/DDP	CTCAE v 3.0	Overall: 21%
Vieillot et al.	2012	72	Static angle 5–7 field class solution	Sequential	Yes (iliac BM)	5-FU/MMC 5-FU/DDP	CTCAE v 3.0	Overall: 25% Neutropenia: 21% Thrombocytopenia: 9% Anemia: 6%
DeFoe et al.	2012	78	Static angle 5–9 field class solution	Sequential	Yes (pelvic bones)	5-FU/MMC 5-FU/DDP Cape	CTCAE v 3.0	Overall: 43% Leukopenia: 36% Neutropenia: 39% Thrombocytopenia: 12% Anemia: 4%
Kachnic et al.	2012	43	Static angle 8–10 field class solution	SIB	Yes (iliac BM)	5-FU/MMC 5-FU/DDP 5-FU	CTCAE v 3.0	Overall: 61%
Kachnic et al.	2013	52	NA	SIB	Yes (iliac BM)	5-FU/MMC	CTCAE v 3.0	Overall: 58%
RTOG 0529								
Chuong et al.	2013	52	NA	Sequential	No	5-FU/MMC	CTCAE v 4.0	Leukopenia: 30%
				SIB		5-FU/DDP		Thrombocytopenia: 21% Anemia: 13%

Author	Year	Pts	IMRT technique	Boost strategy	BM opt	CHT	HT scoring scale	G3-G4 HT
Belgioia et al.	2015	41	Helical tomotherapy	SIB	Yes (pelvic bones)	5-FU/MMC Cape	CTCAE v 3.0	Overall: 7%
Franco et al.	2016	39	VMAT	SIB	No	5-FU/MMC	CTCAE v 3.0	Leukopenia: 36%
Call et al.	2016	152	Static angle 7–9 field class solution	Sequential SIB	Yes (iliac BM)	5-FU/MMC 5-FU/DDP 5-FU/DDP/Cet 5-FU/MMC/DDP	RTOG CTCAE v 3.0	Neutropenia: 31% Thrombocytopenia: 13% Anemia: 0% Overall: 41%

Table 3. Dosimetric parameters with a correlation to hematologic toxicity in anal cancer series.

Author	Year	Pts	Technique	CHT	Bony regions	BM def	BM opt	HT scoring scale	End point	DP increasing HT risk
Mell et al.	2008	48	Static IMRT	5-FU/MMC	Pelvic bones	CT-based	Yes (some pts)	CTCAE v 3.0	WBC, ANC nadirs	PBM-V ₅ -V ₁₀ , V ₁₅ -V ₂₀
					WB contour					LSBM-V ₁₀ -V ₁₅ , V ₂₀
Bazan et al.	2012	33	IMRT	5-FU/MMC	Pelvic bones	CT-based	No	CTCAE v 3.0	≥G3 overall HT	PBM-mean dose ≥30 Gy
				Cape/MMC	WB contour					
Cheng et al.	2014	32	IMRT	5-FU/MMC	Pelvic bones	CT-based	No	CTCAE v 3.0	≥G3 overall HT	LSBM-V ₁₀ >80%
				Cape/MMC	WB contour					
Julie et al.	2015	108	Static IMRT	5-FU/MMC	Pelvic bones	CT-based	No	CTCAE v 4.0	≥G2 anemia	PBM-D _{max} >57 Gy
				Cape/MMC	WB contour				≥G2 overall HT	PBM-V ₁₀ >87%
Robinson et al.	2015	40	3DCRT	5-FU/MMC	Pelvic bones	CT-based	No	CTCAE v 4.0	WBC, ANC nadirs	Several metrics of
			IMRT	Cape/MMC	WB contour					PBM, LSBM, IBM, LPBM
				MMC						
Rose et al.	2016	45	IMRT	5-FU/MMC	Pelvic bones	¹⁸ FDG-PET-based	Yes (iliac crests, ^{ACT} BM contour)	RTOG	ANC, WBC nadirs, ≥G3 overall HT	^{ACT} BM-EUD
					WB contour					
Franco et al.	2016	50	Static IMRT	5-FU/MMC	Pelvic bones	CT-based	No	RTOG	≥G3 overall HT	LSBM-V ₄₀ ≥41%
			VMAT		WB contour					
Franco et al.	2016	44	Static IMRT	5-FU/MMC	Pelvic bones	¹⁸ FDG-PET-based	No	RTOG	WBC, ANC, Plt, Hb nadirs	LSBM-mean dose
			VMAT		ACTBM contour					IBM-V ₁₀

pts: patients; CHT: chemotherapy; BM: bone marrow; def: definition; opt: optimization; HT: hematologic toxicity; DP: dosimetric parameters; IMRT: intensity-modulated radiotherapy; 3DCRT: 3-dimensional conformal radiotherapy; 5-FU: 5-fluorouracil; Cape: capecitabine; MMC: mytomycin C; CT-based: computed tomography-based; WB: whole bone; ¹⁸FDG-based PET: ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose-based positron-emission tomography; ^{ACT}BM: active bone marrow; RTOG: Radiation Therapy Oncology Group; CTCAE: Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Effects; WBC: white blood cells; ANC: absolute neutrophil count; Plt: platelets; Hb: hemoglobin; PBM: pelvic bone marrow; LSBM: lumbar-sacral bone marrow; IBM: iliac bone marrow; LPBM: lower pelvis bone marrow; EUD: equivalent uniform dose; V_x: volume receiving the dose X Gy.

pts: patients; Cht: chemotherapy; BM: bone marrow; def: definition; opt: optimization; HT: hematologic toxicity; DP: dosimetric parameters; IMRT: intensity-modulated radiotherapy; 3DCRT: 3-dimensional conformal radiotherapy; 5-FU: 5-fluorouracil; Cape: capecitabine; MMC: mytomycin C; CT-based: computed tomography-based; WB: whole bone; ¹⁸FDG-based PET: ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose-based positron-emission tomography; ^{ACT}BM: active bone marrow; RTOG: Radiation Therapy Oncology Group; CTCAE: Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Effects; WBC: white blood cells; ANC: absolute neutrophil count; Plt: platelets; Hb: hemoglobin; PBM: pelvic bone marrow; LSBM: lumbar-sacral bone marrow; IBM: iliac bone marrow; LPBM: lower pelvis bone marrow; EUD: equivalent uniform dose; V_x: volume receiving the dose X Gy.

Table 4. Dosimetric parameters with a correlation to hematologic toxicity in clinical series with tumors other than anal cancer.

Author	Year	Setting	Pts	Technique	CHT	Bony regions	BM def	BM opt	HT scoring scale	End point	DP increasing HT risk
Yang et al.	2014	Rectal cancer	120	3DCRT	5-FU	Pelvic bones	CT-based	No	CTCAE v 3.0	WBC, Hb nadirs	LSBM-V ₄₅
				IMRT	Cape		WB contour				Coxal BM-V45
Wan et al.	2015	Rectal cancer	93	Static IMRT	Cape	Pelvic bones	CT-based	No	CTCAE v 4.0	≥G2 overall HT	LSBM-V ₄₀ ≥ 60%
Wang et al.	2016	Rectal cancer	35	Static IMRT	Cape + Oxa	Pelvic bones	MR-based	Yes (pelvic bones)	RTOG	WBC, ANC, Plt nadirs	PBM-V ₅
							ACTBM contour				
Wang et al.	2016	Gastric cancer	25	Static IMRT	Cape	Based on the extent of PTV	MR-based	Yes	RTOG	WBC, ANC, Plt nadirs	PBM-V ₅ , V ₂₀ , V ₃₀
Sini et al.	2016	Prostate cancer	121	Static IMRT	None	Pelvic bones	CT-based	No	CTCAE v 4.0	≥ G2 lymphopenia	PBM-V ₄₀ > 94.6 cc
				VMAT, Tomo			WB contour				
Deek et al.	2016	NSCLC	52	3DCRT	CBDCA + Pacl	D1-D10 vertebrae	CT-based	No	CTCAE v 4.0	≥G3 leukopenia	MVD>23.9 Gy
				IMRT		Ribs, clavicles	WB contour				TV-V ₂₀ > 56%
Mell et al.	2006	Cervical cancer	37	IMRT	Weekly DDP	Pelvic bones	CT-based	Yes (some pts)	RTOG	≥G2 leukopenia	PBM-V ₁₀ > 90%
							WB contour			≥G2 neutropenia	PBM-V ₂₀ > 75%
Albuquerque et al.	2011	Cervical cancer	40	3DCRT	Weekly DDP	Pelvic bones	CT-based	No	CTCAE v 3.0	≥G2 overall HT	PBM-V ₂₀ > 80%
Rose et al.	2011	Cervical cancer	81	IMRT	Weekly DDP	Pelvic bones	CT-based	No	RTOG	≥G3 leukopenia	PBM-V ₁₀ > 95%
							WB contour				PBM-V ₂₀ > 76%
Rose et al.	2012	Cervical cancer	26	IMRT	Weekly DDP	Pelvic bones	¹⁸ FDG-PET-based	Yes (pelvic bones)	RTOG	WBC, ANC, Plt, Hb	ACTBM-mean dose
							ACTBM contour			nadirs	
Zhu et al.	2015	Cervical cancer	102	IMRT	Weekly DDP	Pelvic bones	CT-based	Yes (pelvic bones)	NA	Weekly reduction in	PBM-V ₂₀ , V ₃₀ , V ₄₀
							WB contour			WBC and ANC	

pts: patients; CHT: chemotherapy; BM: bone marrow; def: definition; opt: optimization; HT: hematologic toxicity; DP: dosimetric parameters; IMRT: intensity-modulated radiotherapy; 3DCRT: 3-dimensional conformal radiotherapy; VMAT: volumetric-modulated arc therapy; Tomo: tomotherapy; PTV: planning target volume; 5-FU: 5-fluorouracil; Cape: capecitabine; Oxa: Oxaliplatin; CBDCA: carboplatin; Pacl: paclitaxel; DDP: cisplatin; CT-based: computed tomography-based; MR-based: magnetic resonance based; WB: whole bone; ^{18}FDG -based PET: ^{18}F -fluorodeoxyglucose-based positron-emission tomography; $^{\text{ACT}}$ BM: active bone marrow; RTOG: Radiation Therapy Oncology Group; CTCAE: Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Effects; WBC: white blood cells; ANC: absolute neutrophil count; Plt: platelets; Hb: hemoglobin; PBM: pelvic bone marrow; LSBM: lumbar-sacral bone marrow; TV: thoracic vertebrae; V_x : volume receiving the dose $X\text{ Gy}$; MVD: mean vertebral dose.