

Submit a Manuscript: http://www.f6publishing.com

DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v23.i13.2269

World J Gastroenterol 2017 April 7; 23(13): 2269-2275

ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)

EDITORIAL

Gastroesophageal reflux disease and morbid obesity: To sleeve or not to sleeve?

Fabrizio Rebecchi, Marco E Allaix, Marco G Patti, Francisco Schlottmann, Mario Morino

Fabrizio Rebecchi, Marco E Allaix, Mario Morino, Department of Surgical Sciences, University of Torino, 10126 Torino, Italy

Marco G Patti, Francisco Schlottmann, Center for Esophageal Diseases and Swallowing, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, United States

Author contributions: Rebecchi F and Allaix ME contributed equally to this work; Rebecchi F, Allaix ME, Patti MG, Schlottmann F and Morino M designed and performed the research; Rebecchi F, Allaix ME and Schlottmann F analyzed the data; Rebecchi F and Allaix ME drafted the paper; Patti MG revised the language; Patti MG and Morino M critically revised the manuscript for important intellectual content; all authors approved the final version of the article for publication.

Conflict-of-interest statement: No conflict of interest.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article which was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Manuscript source: Invited manuscript

Correspondence to: Mario Morino, MD, Professor, Department of Surgical Sciences, University of Torino, Corso A. M. Dogliotti, 14 - 10126 Torino, Italy. mario.morino@unito.it Telephone: +39-11-6313159 Fax: +39-11-6312548

Received: November 25, 2016 Peer-review started: November 28, 2016 First decision: December 29, 2016 Revised: January 15, 2017 Accepted: March 15, 2017 Article in press: March 15, 2017 Published online: April 7, 2017

Abstract

Laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy (LSG) has reached wide popularity during the last 15 years, due to the limited morbidity and mortality rates, and the very good weight loss results and effects on comorbid conditions. However, there are concerns regarding the effects of LSG on gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD). The interpretation of the current evidence is challenged by the fact that the LSG technique is not standardized, and most studies investigate the presence of GERD by assessing symptoms and the use of acid reducing medications only. A few studies objectively investigated gastroesophageal function and the reflux profile by esophageal manometry and 24-h pH monitoring, reporting postoperative normalization of esophageal acid exposure in up to 85% of patients with preoperative GERD, and occurrence of de novo GERD in about 5% of cases. There is increasing evidence showing the key role of the surgical technique on the incidence of postoperative GERD. Main technical issues are a relative narrowing of the mid portion of the gastric sleeve, a redundant upper part of the sleeve (both depending on the angle under which the sleeve is stapled), and the presence of a hiatal hernia. Concomitant hiatal hernia repair is recommended. To date, either medical therapy with proton pump inhibitors or conversion of LSG to laparoscopic Rouxen-Y gastric bypass are the available options for the management of GERD after LSG. Recently, new minimally invasive approaches have been proposed in patients with GERD and hypotensive LES: the LINX[®] Reflux Management System procedure and the Stretta[®] procedure. Large studies are needed to assess the safety and long-term efficacy of these new approaches. In conclusion, the recent publication of pH monitoring data and the new insights in the association between sleeve morphology and GERD control have led to a wider acceptance of LSG as bariatric procedure also in obese patients with GERD, as recently stated in



WJG | www.wjgnet.com

the 5th International Consensus Conference on sleeve gastrectomy.

Key words: Sleeve gastrectomy; Gastroesophageal reflux; Morbid obesity; Ambulatory pH monitoring; Esophageal manometry

© **The Author(s) 2017.** Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core tip: The current evidence about reflux control and the occurrence of de novo gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) after laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy (LSG) is controversial. Recent studies that have objectively evaluated GERD after LSG have shown no significant increase in postoperative GERD. The absence of mid-stomach narrowing and retained fundus, and the repair of a concomitant hiatal hernia seem to be key in reducing the risk of postoperative GERD. We discuss the currently available evidence on the impact of LSG on GERD, focusing on surgical technical aspects and new minimally invasive approaches for the management of postoperative GERD.

Rebecchi F, Allaix ME, Patti MG, Schlottmann F, Morino M. Gastroesophageal reflux disease and morbid obesity: To sleeve or not to sleeve? *World J Gastroenterol* 2017; 23(13): 2269-2275 Available from: URL: http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v23/i13/2269.htm DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v23. i13.2269

INTRODUCTION

Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) is a highly prevalent condition in morbid obese patients^[1]. The pathophysiology by which the increase in body mass index leads to increase in esophageal acid exposure is multifactorial, with the increased intraabdominal pressure playing a major role^[2]. Laparoscopic Rouxen-Y gastric bypass (LRYGB) is considered by most experts the procedure of choice for the management of GERD in obese patients, with excellent results in terms of reflux control and long lasting weight loss^[3,4].

During the last 15 years, laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy (LSG) has rapidly become a very popular bariatric procedure, since it is less technically demanding than LRYGB, it is burdened by low rates of postoperative complications, and it is associated with significant weight loss and improvement or resolution of several comorbidities^[5]. However, the effect of LSG on GERD is still unclear, with conflicting evidence about pre-existing reflux control and the occurrence of de novo GERD after surgery^[6].

The aim of this paper is to critically analyze the impact of LSG on GERD, in terms of symptom control and changes in gastro-esophageal function. Surgical technical aspects, including the shape of the sleeve and the repair of a concomitant hiatal hernia, and new minimally invasive approaches to manage postoperative GERD are also discussed.

GERD AND LSG: THE EVIDENCE

Several studies have evaluated the effects of LSG on pre-existing GERD and on the new onset of GERD, showing controversial results: some reported amelioration of GERD, while others showed the postoperative occurrence of de novo GERD or worsening of preoperative GERD^[7-44] (Table 1). The analysis of the literature is challenged by the heterogeneity of the studies in regard to the definition of GERD the timing of patients' evaluation. Most studies defined GERD based on proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) use, symptoms evaluation, and presence of esophagitis. Only few studies objectively analyzed patients by esophageal manometry and 24-pH monitoring^[15,20,29,33,34,38,40,43,44].

Discordant data are available regarding the change in the use of PPIs. While Catheline *et al*^[25] observed an increase in the use of PPI from 11.1% to 33.3% at 5 years after LSG, a large population-based study involving 1567 obese patients treated with LSG found that 37.3% of patients who used acid reducing medications preoperatively discontinued the treatment at 1 year^[39]. This discordance is secondary to the fact that the use of acid reducing medications has a poor correlation with the presence of real GERD^[19].

The studies that used validated questionnaires to assess the prevalence of GERD after LSG report conflicting results. For instance, Carter et al[16] found that among 176 obese patients treated by LSG, the incidence of GERD symptoms increased from 34.6% to 47.2%. Conversely, DuPree *et al*^[36] found a decrease in GERD symptoms prevalence after LSG. They conducted a retrospective review of the Bariatric Outcomes Longitudinal Database over a 4-year period, including a total of 4832 patients who had LSG for morbid obesity, reporting resolution of symptoms in 15.9%. We recently published the results of a prospective study aiming to evaluate the physiopathologic changes after LSG^[38]. A total of 28 patients with preoperative 24-h pH monitoring positive for pathological reflux completed the Gastroesophageal reflux disease Symptom Assessment Scale (GSAS) questionnaire preoperatively and at 2 year-follow-up: the decrease in the GSAS score demonstrated a significant improvement of symptoms.

New-onset GERD has been investigated based on symptom evaluation by several studies, reporting a wide range of incidence, from 0% to 34.9%. For instance, Himpens *et al*^[12] invited 30 obese patients at 6 years or more after LSG to complete a questionnaire on GERD symptoms. They reported new-onset GERD symptoms in 23% of patients. However, the absence of 24-h pH monitoring data challenges the interpretation of these findings. In a recently published prospective series, we observed that GERD symptoms were present
 Table 1
 Laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy and gastroesophageal reflux disease: Evidence from studies with more than 45 patients followed up for at least 12 mo after surgery

Ref.	No. of patients	Follow-up (mo)	GERD symptoms	Use of acid reducing medications	LES pressure	Peristalsis amplitude	DMS	New onset GERD symptoms (%)	New onset pathologic esophageal acid exposure (%)
Moon Han et al ^[7]	60	12	Ļ	NR	NR	NR	NR	0	NR
Weiner et al ^[9]	120	24	\downarrow	\downarrow	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Arias et al ^[11]	130	24	Ť	NR	NR	NR	NR	2.1	NR
Lakdawala et al ^[14]	50	12	↑	NR	NR	NR	NR	4	NR
Carter <i>et al</i> ^[16]	176	12	Ť	1	NR	NR	NR	12.6	NR
Mohos et al ^[18]	47	38	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Chopra et al ^[21]	185	16	\downarrow	NR	NR	NR	NR	3.7	NR
Abrahim et al ^[23]	83	12	Ť	NR	NR	NR	NR	11.4	NR
Tai et al ^[24]	66	12	↑	NR	NR	NR	NR	44.8	NR
Catheline et al ^[25]	45	60	↑	1	NR	NR	NR	22.2	NR
Rawlins et al ^[26]	49	60	\downarrow	NR	NR	NR	NR	11	NR
Zhang et al ^[28]	200	12	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	NR	NR	NR	0.2	NR
Carabotti et al ^[30]	74	13	\leftrightarrow	NR	NR	NR	NR	22	NR
Sharma et al ^[32]	32	12	\downarrow	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Kular et al ^[35]	76	60	Ť	NR	NR	NR	NR	15.7	NR
Våge <i>et al</i> ^[37]	117	24	↑	NR	NR	NR	NR	14.6	NR
Rebecchi et al ^[38]	65	24	\downarrow	\downarrow	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	\downarrow	5.4	5.4
Sheppard <i>et al</i> ^[42]	205	12	Î	1	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR

GERD: Gastroesophageal reflux disease; LES: Lower esophageal sphincter; DMS: DeMeester score; \uparrow : Increase; \leftrightarrow : No differences; \downarrow : Decrease; NR: Not reported.

in all obese patients with positive 24-h pH monitoring at 2 years after surgery. However, the evaluation of the correlation of symptoms with the pH monitoring trace showed that only 5.4% (2/37) patients had real "de novo" GERD. Outlet obstruction in the upper portion of the gastric sleeve producing symptoms simulating "de novo" GERD caused the pH decrease below 4 in 13.5% (5/37) patients^[38]. These controversial findings highlight the fact that GERD cannot be diagnosed on the basis of symptoms evaluation only, since the sensitivity and specificity of typical symptoms is low and leads to a wrong diagnosis of GERD occurs in about one third of cases^[45].

To date, only a few studies have specifically looked at changes in esophageal function after LSG by using esophageal manometry, 24-h pH monitoring or 24-h MII pH monitoring. Regarding manometric changes, very controversial data have been published^[15,20,29,33,34,38,40]. Some small studies have found a significant decrease in lower esophageal sphincter (LES) pressure, while others showed a significant increase in LES pressure postoperatively. For instance, Braghetto et al^[15] prospectively evaluated 20 patients undergoing LSG for morbid obesity. They showed that LES pressure significantly decreased in 85% of patients at 6 mo after surgery. Total length and abdominal length of the high pressure zone were also reduced. The authors proposed the partial section of the sling fibers of the cardias as cause of these findings. On the contrary, Petersen et al^[20] reported an increase in LES pressure regardless of the weight loss, suggesting that this manometric change is related to the position of the stapler in relation to the angle of His. Specifically, the closer the staple line to the gastroesophageal junction,

the higher the LES pressure. In our prospective study of 65 patients, we observed no significant manometric changes in LES pressure and esophageal peristalsis amplitude^[38]. Only Del Genio *et al*^[33] showed in a series of 25 obese patients an increase in ineffective peristalsis with no changes in LES function at a median follow-up of 13 mo.

Only 4 studies^[33,38,40,43] have objectively evaluated the presence of pathologic reflux by 24-h MII pH monitoring or 24-h pH monitoring at 12 mo or more after LSG, reporting conflicting results. Del Genio et al^[33] reported the results in a series of 25 obese patients with no preoperative GERD, who were evaluated with 24-h MII pH monitoring preoperatively and 13 mo postoperatively. They detected a significant increase in the median DeMeester's score (DMS), in the median percentage with esophageal pH < 4 in supine position, the total number of reflux episodes non-acid reflux episodes in both upright and recumbent position. Gorodner et al^[40] prospectively assessed the esophageal function in 14 obese patients preoperatively and at 1 year after LSG. The DMS increased from 12.6 to 28.4 (P < 0.05): in particular, the number of episodes longer than 5 min, duration of longest episode, % of time the pH < 4 (total) increased. Overall, "de novo" GERD developed in 5 (36%) patients, while pre-existing GERD got worse in 3 (21%) patients. Very recently, Georgia *et al*^[43] prospectively studied 12 obese patients without preoperative reflux symptoms by using 24-h multichannel intraluminal impedance-pHmetry (MIIpH) before and one year after LSG. Mean preoperative DMS was 18.15. DMS was abnormal in 5 (42.7%) patients. Postoperatively, abnormal DMS was detected in 10 (83.3%) patients. At one year after surgery, DMS was

WJG | www.wjgnet.com

almost 2.5 times higher than the preoperative DMS.

In our study^[38], 24-h pH monitoring performed at 2 years after surgery in 28 patients with preoperative GERD showed significantly decreased DMS and total %pH < 4. Four (14.3%) patients still had pathologic, even though reduced, esophageal acid exposure. We observed a significant postoperative decrease in both mean symptom index (SI) score and percentage of patients with SI greater than 50% (from 89.3% preoperatively to 14.3% postoperatively). Among patients with negative preoperative 24-h pH monitoring, 7 (18.9%) patients had pathologic DMS and total %pH < 4. No significant changes in the mean SI score were reported at 2 years after LSG compared with the baseline value. Overall, we observed a slightly increase in the percentage of patients with SI of more than 50%, from 8.1% before LSG to 18.9% at 2 years after LSG (P = 0.308). However, as mentioned before, real "de novo" GERD was detected in 5.4% (2/37) patients according to the correlation between symptoms and the 24-h pH monitoring data.

PROPOSED MECHANISMS FAVORING THE OCCURRENCE OF GERD AFTER LSG

Several anatomic and pathophysiologic changes of the LES function secondary to the creation of the gastric sleeve that might cause GERD after LSG have been hypothesized. While data regarding LES function are scarce and controversial, there is increasing evidence supporting the key role of the surgical technique on the incidence of postoperative GERD. Main surgical technical issues are: a relative narrowing of the mid portion of the sleeve, a redundant upper part of the sleeve and the presence of a concomitant hiatal hernia^[46].

The shape of the gastric sleeve plays a major role in leading to GERD. For instance, Himpens et al^[47] noted that GERD symptoms were reported by 21.8% of patients at 1 year after LSG, by 3.1% of patients at 3 years and again by 23% of patients at 6-year followup^[12]. While the decrease of the incidence of GERD symptoms may be secondary to the increase in gastric compliance, the late reappearance of symptoms might be explained by weight regain with associated increased intra-abdominal pressure, and dilatation of the proximal sleeve leading to the formation of a "neofundus"^[12]. Keidar et al^[48] reviewed the UGI Gastrografin series obtained on postoperative day 1 in 8 patients who developed postoperative GERD. They found that a combination of dilated upper portion of the sleeve and a relative narrowing of the mid-stomach was present in all patients. This anatomical situation may be secondary to a too narrow construction of the sleeve in association with retention of part of the gastric fundus by stapling far away from the left pillar of the crus, in order to minimize the risk of postoperative upper gastric fistulas. It has been speculated that the relative mid-gastric

narrowing impairs the emptying of the upper part of the sleeve, causes food stasis and fermentation, while the retained fundus keeps producing acid, thus favouring the onset of reflux of acid gastric contents into the esophagus. Similar findings were recently reported by Toro et al^[49]. They reviewed 76 patients who had routine upper gastrointestinal series with Gastrografin on postoperative day 1 or 2 after LSG and completed the GERD-HRQL score. Sleeve shape was classified as upper pouch, lower pouch, tubular or dumbbell. At 12 mo, 59.2% of patients did not report any GERD-related symptom, while only 7.8% complained moderate to severe reflux symptoms. Patients with the upper pouch shape had the highest severity of symptoms according to the GERD-HRQL score. The lower pouch shape was on the contrary associated with fewer GERD symptoms, suggesting an effective gastric emptying when the antrum is preserved.

The impact of the size of the bougie on the prevention of sleeve narrowing and GERD is unclear, since there is no standardization of the surgical technique (the diameter of the bougies used ranges between 26.4 Fr to 50 Fr). While there is increasing consensus that smaller bougies are associated with leaks secondary to gastric strictures^[50], the limited data available do not allow to draw any association between the size of the bougie and GERD. The use of a smaller bougie might lead to the creation of a narrower sleeve with a higher intrasleeve pressure, thus exposing the patient to a higher risk of postoperative GERD. However, the use of a larger bougie might also favour the occurrence of GERD because the creation of a larger sleeve is associated with reduced weight loss and increased number of residual parietal cells.

Finally, the presence of a hiatal hernia is not considered by many bariatric surgeons a contraindication to LSG^[50]. However, the current evidence on this topic is limited by several factors: (1) there are very few studies including more than 100 patients; (2) mean follow-up is short; and (3) those studies that describe the hiatal hernia repair report different ways to close the hiatus: suture posterior cruroplasty (most common), suture anterior cruroplasty. and hiatal herniorrhaphy with mesh (biological or polypropylene mesh). In addition, all studies based their results on symptom evaluation without assessing postoperative GERD by 24-h pH monitoring or 24-h pH MII monitoring. A recent review of the literature^[51] investigated the results and the technical aspects of simultaneous LSG and hiatal hernia repair. A total of 17 studies (737 patients) were included. Mean follow-up was 13.9 mo. Most studies reported satisfactory postoperative results in terms of reduction of symptoms and use of acid reducing medications^[52]. However, less satisfactory results have been recently reported^[53,54]. For instance, Santonicola et al^[53] compared 78 patients undergoing LSG and HH repair with 102 patients without HH who underwent LSG alone. With a mean follow-up of 14.6 mo in the



WJG | www.wjgnet.com

LSG with HH repair group and 17.1 mo in the LSG only group, a significant reduction in the prevalence of GERD symptoms was reported only in patients treated with LSG alone, while no improvement was observed among patients undergoing LSG and HH repair. In the absence of recommendations about the use of mesh to close large hiatal hernia defects, Ruscio *et al.*⁽⁵⁵⁾ recently reported no mortality and no mesh-related complications in 48 patients undergoing LSG with on-lay synthetic absorbable mesh-reinforced cruroplasty for a large HH (hiatal area defect > 4 and < 8 cm²). With a mean follow-up of 19 mo, GERD symptoms resolved in 95% of patients, while de novo GERD symptoms developed in 3.6% of patients:

We feel that large prospective (randomized) studies with long follow-up and objective evaluation of GERD are needed before drawing any definitive conclusion on the real effect of LSG in patients with concomitant hiatal hernia.

TREATMENT OPTIONS OF GERD IN PATIENTS AFTER LSG: THE PRESENT AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

Medical therapy with PPIs represents the initial treatment option in patients with GERD after LSG. However, data reported in the literature regarding the efficacy of this approach are heterogeneous, mainly due to the lack of consistency in defining GERD. For instance, Hendricks *et al*^[56] recently analyzed 919 obese patients undergoing LSG. GERD was defined based on pH manometric findings. They found de novo GERD in 3% of patients: most patients were successfully managed with low or high doses of PPIs and conversion to LRYGB was necessary in only 4% of them. Sheppard *et al*^[42] found similar results. On the contrary, other authors reported high rates of failure of PPI therapy, suggesting revisional surgery in patients with refractory GERD after LSG^[57,58].

To date, conversion of LSG to LRYGB is the procedure of choice in patients with objectively documented postoperative GERD. Several studies have reported excellent results in terms of improvement or resolution of reflux symptoms^[57-61]. Revisional minimally invasive gastric gastric bypass is highly effective in controlling GERD related symptoms and is currently the standard option in these patients^[62]. Very recently, new minimally invasive approaches have been proposed in patients with GERD and hypotensive LES: the LINX[®] Reflux Management System procedure and the Stretta[®] procedure. Desart et al^[63] retrospectively revised retrospective reviewed 7 consecutive patients treated with the laparoscopic placement of the LINX[®] magnetic sphincter device (Torax Medical Inc, Shoreview, MN, United States) for refractory GERD after LSG. All patients reported a significant improvement in GERS symptoms at 2 to 4 wk after surgery. While these results are promising,

the few patients evaluated and the lack of long-term follow-up do not let draw any conclusion and further large prospective studies are awaited.

The Stretta[®] (Mederi Therapeutics Inc, Norwalk, CT, United States) procedure has been studied in nonobese patients only, and has been shown to lead to durable improvement of symptoms and decrease in acid reducing medications use in selected patients^[64,65]. The first study that will give some information about the outcomes in patients treated with Stretta after LSG is the ongoing observational prospective study Management of Reflux after Sleeve using Stretta (MaRSS), ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT02637713.

CONCLUSION

There is a multifactorial relationship between LSG and GERD. Most recent studies have shown satisfactory postoperative reflux control in the majority of patients and low rates of de novo GERD. The shape of the gastric sleeve appears to be one of the main factors predicting the risk of postoperative GERD. These data are leading to a wider acceptance of LSG as bariatric procedure also in obese patients with GERD, provided that a tubular sleeve is created, as recently stated in the 5th International Consensus Conference on sleeve gastrectomy^[50].

REFERENCES

- Hampel H, Abraham NS, El-Serag HB. Meta-analysis: obesity and the risk for gastroesophageal reflux disease and its complications. *Ann Intern Med* 2005; **143**: 199-211 [PMID: 16061918 DOI: 10.7326/0003-4819-143-3-200508020-00006]
- 2 Corley DA, Kubo A. Body mass index and gastroesophageal reflux disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2006; 101: 2619-2628 [PMID: 16952280 DOI: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2006.00849.x]
- 3 Pallati PK, Shaligram A, Shostrom VK, Oleynikov D, McBride CL, Goede MR. Improvement in gastroesophageal reflux disease symptoms after various bariatric procedures: review of the Bariatric Outcomes Longitudinal Database. *Surg Obes Relat Dis* 2014; 10: 502-507 [PMID: 24238733 DOI: 10.1016/j.soard.2013.07.018]
- 4 **Shoar S**, Saber AA. Long-term and midterm outcomes of laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy versus Roux-en-Y gastric bypass: a systematic review and meta-analysis of comparative studies. *Surg Obes Relat Dis* 2017; **13**: 170-180 [PMID: 27720197 DOI: 10.1016/j.soard.2016.08.011]
- 5 Gadiot RP, Biter LU, van Mil S, Zengerink HF, Apers J, Mannaerts GH. Long-Term Results of Laparoscopic Sleeve Gastrectomy for Morbid Obesity: 5 to 8-Year Results. *Obes Surg* 2017; 27: 59-63 [PMID: 27178407 DOI: 10.1007/s11695-016-2235-8]
- 6 Oor JE, Roks DJ, Ünlü Ç, Hazebroek EJ. Laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy and gastroesophageal reflux disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Surg* 2016; 211: 250-267 [PMID: 26341463 DOI: 10.1016/j.amjsurg.2015.05.031]
- 7 Moon Han S, Kim WW, Oh JH. Results of laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy (LSG) at 1 year in morbidly obese Korean patients. *Obes Surg* 2005; 15: 1469-1475 [PMID: 16354529 DOI: 10.1381/ 096089205774859227]
- 8 Melissas J, Koukouraki S, Askoxylakis J, Stathaki M, Daskalakis M, Perisinakis K, Karkavitsas N. Sleeve gastrectomy: a restrictive procedure? *Obes Surg* 2007; 17: 57-62 [PMID: 17355769 DOI: 10.1007/s11695-007-9006-5]
- 9 Weiner RA, Weiner S, Pomhoff I, Jacobi C, Makarewicz W,

WJG www.wjgnet.com

Weigand G. Laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy--influence of sleeve size and resected gastric volume. *Obes Surg* 2007; **17**: 1297-1305 [PMID: 18098398 DOI: 10.1007/s11695-007-9232-x]

- 10 Nocca D, Krawczykowsky D, Bomans B, Noël P, Picot MC, Blanc PM, de Seguin de Hons C, Millat B, Gagner M, Monnier L, Fabre JM. A prospective multicenter study of 163 sleeve gastrectomies: results at 1 and 2 years. *Obes Surg* 2008; 18: 560-565 [PMID: 18317859 DOI: 10.1007/s11695-007-9288-7]
- 11 Arias E, Martínez PR, Ka Ming Li V, Szomstein S, Rosenthal RJ. Mid-term follow-up after sleeve gastrectomy as a final approach for morbid obesity. *Obes Surg* 2009; **19**: 544-548 [PMID: 19280267 DOI: 10.1007/s11695-009-9818-6]
- Himpens J, Dobbeleir J, Peeters G. Long-term results of laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy for obesity. *Ann Surg* 2010;
 252: 319-324 [PMID: 20622654 DOI: 10.1097/SLA.0b013e3 181e90b31]
- 13 Braghetto I, Csendes A, Korn O, Valladares H, Gonzalez P, Henríquez A. Gastroesophageal reflux disease after sleeve gastrectomy. Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech 2010; 20: 148-153 [PMID: 20551811 DOI: 10.1097/SLE.0b013e3181e354bc]
- 14 Lakdawala MA, Bhasker A, Mulchandani D, Goel S, Jain S. Comparison between the results of laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy and laparoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass in the Indian population: a retrospective 1 year study. *Obes Surg* 2010; 20: 1-6 [PMID: 19802646 DOI: 10.1007/s11695-009-9981-9]
- 15 Braghetto I, Lanzarini E, Korn O, Valladares H, Molina JC, Henriquez A. Manometric changes of the lower esophageal sphincter after sleeve gastrectomy in obese patients. *Obes* Surg 2010; 20: 357-362 [PMID: 20013071 DOI: 10.1007/ s11695-009-0040-3]
- 16 Carter PR, LeBlanc KA, Hausmann MG, Kleinpeter KP, deBarros SN, Jones SM. Association between gastroesophageal reflux disease and laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy. *Surg Obes Relat Dis* 2011; 7: 569-572 [PMID: 21429818 DOI: 10.1016/j.soard.2011.01.040]
- 17 Howard DD, Caban AM, Cendan JC, Ben-David K. Gastroesophageal reflux after sleeve gastrectomy in morbidly obese patients. *Surg Obes Relat Dis* 2011; 7: 709-713 [PMID: 21955743 DOI: 10.1016/j.soard.2011.08.003]
- 18 Mohos E, Schmaldienst E, Prager M. Quality of life parameters, weight change and improvement of co-morbidities after laparoscopic Roux Y gastric bypass and laparoscopic gastric sleeve resection--comparative study. *Obes Surg* 2011; 21: 288-294 [PMID: 20628831 DOI: 10.1007/s11695-010-0227-7]
- 19 Bytzer P, Jones R, Vakil N, Junghard O, Lind T, Wernersson B, Dent J. Limited ability of the proton-pump inhibitor test to identify patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2012; 10: 1360-1366 [PMID: 22813439 DOI: 10.1016/ j.cgh.2012.06.030]
- 20 Petersen WV, Meile T, Küper MA, Zdichavsky M, Königsrainer A, Schneider JH. Functional importance of laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy for the lower esophageal sphincter in patients with morbid obesity. *Obes Surg* 2012; 22: 360-366 [PMID: 22065341 DOI: 10.1007/s11695-011-0536-5]
- 21 Chopra A, Chao E, Etkin Y, Merklinger L, Lieb J, Delany H. Laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy for obesity: can it be considered a definitive procedure? *Surg Endosc* 2012; 26: 831-837 [PMID: 22179438 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-011-1960-2]
- 22 Braghetto I, Csendes A, Lanzarini E, Papapietro K, Cárcamo C, Molina JC. Is laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy an acceptable primary bariatric procedure in obese patients? Early and 5-year postoperative results. *Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech* 2012; 22: 479-486 [PMID: 23238373 DOI: 10.1097/SLE.0b013e318262dc29]
- 23 Abrahim A, Sperker C, Kees-Belyus M, Brix J, Kopp HP, Schermann M, Roka R. Technique and results of single-step laparoscopic sleeve resection: 1-year single centre experience. *Eur Surg* 2012; 44: 23-27 [DOI: 10.1007/s10353-011-0069-0]
- 24 **Tai CM**, Huang CK, Lee YC, Chang CY, Lee CT, Lin JT. Increase in gastroesophageal reflux disease symptoms and erosive esophagitis 1 year after laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy among

obese adults. *Surg Endosc* 2013; **27**: 1260-1266 [PMID: 23232995 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-012-2593-9]

- 25 Catheline JM, Fysekidis M, Bachner I, Bihan H, Kassem A, Dbouk R, Bdeoui N, Boschetto A, Cohen R. Five-year results of sleeve gastrectomy. *J Visc Surg* 2013; 150: 307-312 [PMID: 24060743 DOI: 10.1016/j.jviscsurg.2013.08.008]
- 26 Rawlins L, Rawlins MP, Brown CC, Schumacher DL. Sleeve gastrectomy: 5-year outcomes of a single institution. Surg Obes Relat Dis 2013; 9: 21-25 [PMID: 23201209 DOI: 10.1016/ j.soard.2012.08.014]
- 27 Kehagias I, Spyropoulos C, Karamanakos S, Kalfarentzos F. Efficacy of sleeve gastrectomy as sole procedure in patients with clinically severe obesity (BMI ≤50 kg/m(2)). Surg Obes Relat Dis 2013; 9: 363-369 [PMID: 22342326 DOI: 10.1016/ j.soard.2011.12.011]
- 28 Zhang N, Maffei A, Cerabona T, Pahuja A, Omana J, Kaul A. Reduction in obesity-related comorbidities: is gastric bypass better than sleeve gastrectomy? *Surg Endosc* 2013; 27: 1273-1280 [PMID: 23239292 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-012-2595-7]
- 29 Kleidi E, Theodorou D, Albanopoulos K, Menenakos E, Karvelis MA, Papailiou J, Stamou K, Zografos G, Katsaragakis S, Leandros E. The effect of laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy on the antireflux mechanism: can it be minimized? *Surg Endosc* 2013; 27: 4625-4630 [PMID: 23836127 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-013-3083-4]
- 30 Carabotti M, Silecchia G, Greco F, Leonetti F, Piretta L, Rengo M, Rizzello M, Osborn J, Corazziari E, Severi C. Impact of laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy on upper gastrointestinal symptoms. *Obes Surg* 2013; 23: 1551-1557 [PMID: 23636996 DOI: 10.1007/s11695-013-0973-4]
- 31 Daes J, Jimenez ME, Said N, Dennis R. Improvement of gastroesophageal reflux symptoms after standardized laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy. *Obes Surg* 2014; 24: 536-540 [PMID: 24203681 DOI: 10.1007/s11695-013-1117-6]
- 32 Sharma A, Aggarwal S, Ahuja V, Bal C. Evaluation of gastroesophageal reflux before and after sleeve gastrectomy using symptom scoring, scintigraphy, and endoscopy. Surg Obes Relat Dis 2014; 10: 600-605 [PMID: 24837563 DOI: 10.1016/ j.soard.2014.01.017]
- 33 Del Genio G, Tolone S, Limongelli P, Brusciano L, D'Alessandro A, Docimo G, Rossetti G, Silecchia G, Iannelli A, del Genio A, del Genio F, Docimo L. Sleeve gastrectomy and development of "de novo" gastroesophageal reflux. *Obes Surg* 2014; 24: 71-77 [PMID: 24249251 DOI: 10.1007/s11695-013-1046-4]
- 34 Burgerhart JS, Schotborgh CA, Schoon EJ, Smulders JF, van de Meeberg PC, Siersema PD, Smout AJ. Effect of sleeve gastrectomy on gastroesophageal reflux. *Obes Surg* 2014; 24: 1436-1441 [PMID: 24619293 DOI: 10.1007/s11695-014-1222-1]
- 35 Kular KS, Manchanda N, Rutledge R. Analysis of the five-year outcomes of sleeve gastrectomy and mini gastric bypass: a report from the Indian sub-continent. *Obes Surg* 2014; 24: 1724-1728 [PMID: 24805912 DOI: 10.1007/s11695-014-1264-4]
- 36 DuPree CE, Blair K, Steele SR, Martin MJ. Laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy in patients with preexisting gastroesophageal reflux disease : a national analysis. *JAMA Surg* 2014; 149: 328-334 [PMID: 24500799 DOI: 10.1001/jamasurg.2013.4323]
- 37 Våge V, Sande VA, Mellgren G, Laukeland C, Behme J, Andersen JR. Changes in obesity-related diseases and biochemical variables after laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy: a two-year follow-up study. *BMC Surg* 2014; 14: 8 [PMID: 24517247 DOI: 10.1186/1471-2482-14-8]
- 38 Rebecchi F, Allaix ME, Giaccone C, Ugliono E, Scozzari G, Morino M. Gastroesophageal reflux disease and laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy: a physiopathologic evaluation. *Ann Surg* 2014; 260: 909-914; discussion 914-915 [PMID: 25379861 DOI: 10.1097/SLA.00000000000967]
- 39 Varban OA, Hawasli AA, Carlin AM, Genaw JA, English W, Dimick JB, Wood MH, Birkmeyer JD, Birkmeyer NJ, Finks JF. Variation in utilization of acid-reducing medication at 1 year following bariatric surgery: results from the Michigan Bariatric Surgery Collaborative. Surg Obes Relat Dis 2015; 11: 222-228

[PMID: 24981934 DOI: 10.1016/j.soard.2014.04.027]

- 40 Gorodner V, Buxhoeveden R, Clemente G, Solé L, Caro L, Grigaites A. Does laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy have any influence on gastroesophageal reflux disease? Preliminary results. *Surg Endosc* 2015; 29: 1760-1768 [PMID: 25303918 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-014-3902-2]
- 41 Moon RC, Teixeira AF, Jawad MA. Is preoperative manometry necessary for evaluating reflux symptoms in sleeve gastrectomy patients? *Surg Obes Relat Dis* 2015; 11: 546-551 [PMID: 25547053 DOI: 10.1016/j.soard.2014.07.014]
- 42 Sheppard CE, Sadowski DC, de Gara CJ, Karmali S, Birch DW. Rates of reflux before and after laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy for severe obesity. *Obes Surg* 2015; 25: 763-768 [PMID: 25411120 DOI: 10.1007/s11695-014-1480-y]
- 43 Georgia D, Stamatina T, Maria N, Konstantinos A, Konstantinos F, Emmanouil L, Georgios Z, Dimitrios T. 24-h Multichannel Intraluminal Impedance PH-metry 1 Year After Laparocopic Sleeve Gastrectomy: an Objective Assessment of Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease. *Obes Surg* 2017; 27: 749-753 [PMID: 27592124 DOI: 10.1007/s11695-016-2359-x]
- 44 Thereaux J, Barsamian C, Bretault M, Dusaussoy H, Lamarque D, Bouillot JL, Czernichow S, Carette C. pH monitoring of gastro-oesophageal reflux before and after laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy. *Br J Surg* 2016; 103: 399-406 [PMID: 26806096 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.10089]
- 45 Patti MG, Diener U, Tamburini A, Molena D, Way LW. Role of esophageal function tests in diagnosis of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Dig Dis Sci* 2001; 46: 597-602 [PMID: 11318538 DOI: 10.1023/A:1005611602100]
- 46 Daes J, Jimenez ME, Said N, Daza JC, Dennis R. Laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy: symptoms of gastroesophageal reflux can be reduced by changes in surgical technique. *Obes Surg* 2012; 22: 1874-1879 [PMID: 22915063 DOI: 10.1007/s11695-012-0746-5]
- Himpens J, Dapri G, Cadière GB. A prospective randomized study between laparoscopic gastric banding and laparoscopic isolated sleeve gastrectomy: results after 1 and 3 years. *Obes Surg* 2006; 16: 1450-1456 [PMID: 17132410 DOI: 10.1381/09608920677886 9933]
- 48 Keidar A, Appelbaum L, Schweiger C, Elazary R, Baltasar A. Dilated upper sleeve can be associated with severe postoperative gastroesophageal dysmotility and reflux. *Obes Surg* 2010; 20: 140-147 [PMID: 19949885 DOI: 10.1007/s11695-009-0032-3]
- 49 Toro JP, Lin E, Patel AD, Davis SS, Sanni A, Urrego HD, Sweeney JF, Srinivasan JK, Small W, Mittal P, Sekhar A, Moreno CC. Association of radiographic morphology with early gastroesophageal reflux disease and satiety control after sleeve gastrectomy. J Am Coll Surg 2014; 219: 430-438 [PMID: 25026879 DOI: 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2014.02.036]
- 50 Gagner M, Hutchinson C, Rosenthal R. Fifth International Consensus Conference: current status of sleeve gastrectomy. Surg Obes Relat Dis 2016; 12: 750-756 [PMID: 27178618 DOI: 10.1016/j.soard.2016.01.022]
- 51 Mahawar KK, Carr WR, Jennings N, Balupuri S, Small PK. Simultaneous sleeve gastrectomy and hiatus hernia repair: a systematic review. *Obes Surg* 2015; 25: 159-166 [PMID: 25348434 DOI: 10.1007/s11695-014-1470-0]
- 52 Soricelli E, Iossa A, Casella G, Abbatini F, Cali B, Basso N. Sleeve gastrectomy and crural repair in obese patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease and/or hiatal hernia. Surg Obes Relat Dis 2013; 9: 356-361 [PMID: 22867558 DOI: 10.1016/ j.soard.2012.06.003]
- 53 **Santonicola A**, Angrisani L, Cutolo P, Formisano G, Iovino P. The effect of laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy with or without hiatal

hernia repair on gastroesophageal reflux disease in obese patients. *Surg Obes Relat Dis* 2014; **10**: 250-255 [PMID: 24355324 DOI: 10.1016/j.soard.2013.09.006]

- 54 Samakar K, McKenzie TJ, Tavakkoli A, Vernon AH, Robinson MK, Shikora SA. The Effect of Laparoscopic Sleeve Gastrectomy with Concomitant Hiatal Hernia Repair on Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease in the Morbidly Obese. *Obes Surg* 2016; 26: 61-66 [PMID: 25990380 DOI: 10.1007/s11695-015-1737-0]
- 55 Ruscio S, Abdelgawad M, Badiali D, Iorio O, Rizzello M, Cavallaro G, Severi C, Silecchia G. Simple versus reinforced cruroplasty in patients submitted to concomitant laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy: prospective evaluation in a bariatric center of excellence. *Surg Endosc* 2016; **30**: 2374-2381 [PMID: 26428202 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-015-4487-0]
- 56 Hendricks L, Alvarenga E, Dhanabalsamy N, Lo Menzo E, Szomstein S, Rosenthal R. Impact of sleeve gastrectomy on gastroesophageal reflux disease in a morbidly obese population undergoing bariatric surgery. *Surg Obes Relat Dis* 2016; **12**: 511-517 [PMID: 26792456 DOI: 10.1016/j.soard.2015.08.507]
- 57 Iannelli A, Debs T, Martini F, Benichou B, Ben Amor I, Gugenheim J. Laparoscopic conversion of sleeve gastrectomy to Roux-en-Y gastric bypass: indications and preliminary results. *Surg Obes Relat Dis* 2016; 12: 1533-1538 [PMID: 27425833 DOI: 10.1016/j.soard.2016.04.008]
- 58 Casillas RA, Um SS, Zelada Getty JL, Sachs S, Kim BB. Revision of primary sleeve gastrectomy to Roux-en-Y gastric bypass: indications and outcomes from a high-volume center. *Surg Obes Relat Dis* 2016; 12: 1817-1825 [PMID: 27887931 DOI: 10.1016/ j.soard.2016.09.038]
- 59 Frezza EE, Ikramuddin S, Gourash W, Rakitt T, Kingston A, Luketich J, Schauer P. Symptomatic improvement in gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) following laparoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass. *Surg Endosc* 2002; 16: 1027-1031 [PMID: 11984683 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-001-8313-5]
- 60 El Chaar M, Stoltzfus J, Claros L, Miletics M. Indications for Revisions Following 630 Consecutive Laparoscopic Sleeve Gastrectomy Cases: Experience in a Single Accredited Center. J Gastrointest Surg 2017; 21: 12-16 [PMID: 27576451 DOI: 10.1007/s11605-016-3215-y]
- 61 Quezada N, Hernández J, Pérez G, Gabrielli M, Raddatz A, Crovari F. Laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy conversion to Rouxen-Y gastric bypass: experience in 50 patients after 1 to 3 years of follow-up. *Surg Obes Relat Dis* 2016; **12**: 1611-1615 [PMID: 27521255 DOI: 10.1016/j.soard.2016.05.025]
- 62 Mahawar KK, Graham Y, Carr WR, Jennings N, Schroeder N, Balupuri S, Small PK. Revisional Roux-en-Y Gastric Bypass and Sleeve Gastrectomy: a Systematic Review of Comparative Outcomes with Respective Primary Procedures. *Obes Surg* 2015; 25: 1271-1280 [PMID: 25893649 DOI: 10.1007/ s11695-015-1670-2]
- 63 Desart K, Rossidis G, Michel M, Lux T, Ben-David K. Gastroesophageal Reflux Management with the LINX® System for Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease Following Laparoscopic Sleeve Gastrectomy. J Gastrointest Surg 2015; 19: 1782-1786 [PMID: 26162926 DOI: 10.1007/s11605-015-2887-z]
- 64 Auyang ED, Carter P, Rauth T, Fanelli RD. SAGES clinical spotlight review: endoluminal treatments for gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD). *Surg Endosc* 2013; 27: 2658-2672 [PMID: 23801538 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-013-3010-8]
- 65 Dughera L, Rotondano G, De Cento M, Cassolino P, Cisarò F. Durability of Stretta Radiofrequency Treatment for GERD: Results of an 8-Year Follow-Up. *Gastroenterol Res Pract* 2014; 2014: 531907 [PMID: 24959175 DOI: 10.1155/2014/531907]

P- Reviewer: Garcia-Olmo D, Jadallah KA, Montasser IF S- Editor: Gong ZM L- Editor: A E- Editor: Zhang FF





WJG www.wjgnet.com



Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA Telephone: +1-925-223-8242 Fax: +1-925-223-8243 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: http://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk http://www.wjgnet.com



