



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Current perspectives in food-based studies exploiting multi-omics approaches

This is a pre print version of the following article:		
Original Citation:		
Availability:		
This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1623879	since	2018-01-03T14:06:34Z
Published version:		
DOI:10.1016/j.cofs.2017.01.002		
Terms of use:		
Open Access		
Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as ' under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the te of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or pu protection by the applicable law.	erms ar	nd conditions of said license. Use

(Article begins on next page)

1	Current perspectives in food-based studies exploiting multi-omics approaches
2	
3	
4	Ilario Ferrocino*, Luca Cocolin
5	
6	
7	Addresses
8	DISAFA - Microbiology and food technology sector, University of Turin, Grugliasco, Torino, Italy
9	Abstract
10	Corresponding author: Ilario Ferrocino (ilario.ferrocino@unito.it)
11	
12	Abstract
13	The new frontiers of microbial ecology are concerned_pertain to what microbes are do in a complex
14	ecosystem, such as food, and how the environmental conditions (e.g changes in the process
15	parameters, storage temperature, the addition of a starter culture and changes in ingredients) can
16	affect the development and functioning of microbiota. A multi-omics approach can help researchers
17	to obtain an unprecedented insight into the mechanisms that can affect the final characteristics of
18	products, in term of organoleptic proprieties, as well as safety.
19	
20	Highlights
21	• Bioinformatics tools have been developed to provide information on microbe diversity
22	• Shotgun metagenomics is a promising approach to discover the functions of microbiota
23	• Data generated through a multi-omics approach can improve the knowledge on what
24	happens in food
25	
26	

27 Introduction

28 Next-generation sequencing and metagenomics were first used in microbial ecology in the second 29 decade of the 2000s. At present, a search on the ISI Web of Knowledge on the topics 30 "metagenomics" and "food" shows the presence of 660 research papers, with less than 90 per year 31 before 2013, a peak of 132 in 2015 and 109 in the first 10 months of 2016. This exponential 32 increase in studies is due to the greater availability of sequencing centers with competitive prices, 33 along with a growing population of scientists with a good background in bioinformatics and 34 biostatistics, as well as the development of online platforms that allow a huge amount of data to be 35 analyzed, even by inexperienced researchers. The term metagenomics is a miscellaneous term that 36 is often misused by many researchers. Metagenomics is the appropriate term for a shotgun approach 37 in which all the genome contents from the matrix are sequenced (host, gene fragments of taxonomic 38 interest, as well as functional genes); instead, if a taxonomic region is massively sequenced (16S, 39 ITS or 26S), the term that should be used is amplicon based sequencing. The first decision that a 40 researcher has to make is whether to adopt global or live high throughput sequencing (HTS). This 41 is the crucial issue that has to be resolved before starting an experiment, since the use of DNA or 42 rRNA as targets can lead to both advantages and disadvantages. DNA is more stable and easier to 43 extract and manipulate, but a DNA experiment displays the global microbial population, including 44 DNA from dead and damaged cells, as well as from live cells, with the consequence that a 45 researcher will not be able to discern whether the microbiota is still alive and active or dead at a 46 specific sampling point. The decision to use RNA as a target eliminates this bias, because RNA, 47 after cell lysis, is less stable than DNA, and allows the analysis to be focused only on live and 48 active microbiota [1]. On the other hand, the disadvantage of using rRNA as a target is the 49 amplification of ribosomal genes, due to the operon copy number, which varies widely across the 50 taxa, and can even distort the quantitative diversity estimates [2]. Another possible way of 51 detecting live populations is through the use of the DNA of ethidium monoazide (EMA) and 52 propidium monoazide (PMA), which can prevent the amplification of DNA from dead cells.

Increased data analysis skills can allow the study of microbial composition (amplicon target sequencing), gene content (meta-genomics), gene function (meta-transcriptomics), functional activity (meta-proteomics) and metabolites (meta-metabolomics) to be joined together. The huge amount of data generated through a multi-omics approach can improve the knowledge on what really happens in a complex process, such as in the food fermentation process, or in general during a process that involves microbes.

59

60 High-throughput amplicon target sequencing.

61 The first and most frequently applied HTS technique is the application of amplicon target 62 sequencing to the microbial composition of a food matrix in order to study the microbiota (targeting 63 the 16S gene) or the mycobiome (targeting the ITS or the 26S gene) of the food. The flurry of 64 research has been witnessed over the past couple of years aimed at estimating the microbial 65 diversity in different dairy ecosystems using 16S DNA as the target. Several studies on food have 66 clearly shown the presence of several contaminant taxa, probably originating from the environment, 67 which can play a role in the decay of food quality. However, the main objective of all of these 68 studies has been to assess the microbial structure of the analyzed product in order to find a 69 correlation between the external perturbations (e.g. changes in the process, ingredients and 70 sampling point) and the evolution of the microbial composition. Table 1 reports an extensive, 71 although not complete, list of these studies.

In the targeted amplicon technique, the most common approach adopted to study the mycobiome is that of amplifying the fungal "internal transcribed spacer" (ITS) regions. Since these ITS regions are not part of the conserved transcribed regions of the structural ribosomal RNAs, they are highly divergent between fungi, and are often sufficiently different to allow the fungi to be classified at species level. The locus in fungi is generally duplicated 100–200 times, thus caution must be used when trying to derive quantitative comparisons between various species in mixed populations through this approach. First, unlike bacterial 16S amplicons, fungal ITS sequences from different 79 species can differ to a great extent in size and sequence content [28]. ITS fragments generally vary 80 in length from between 100 and 550 base pairs, and it is not vet clear how the variable lengths 81 affect the recovery of sequences through the various steps of sequencing on high-throughput 82 platforms. In addition, there is no well-established database of ITS sequences. The publicly 83 available repositories of fungal sequences are replete with redundant sequences containing 84 incomplete and/or incorrect taxonomic assignments [29]. Most fungi show high interspecific 85 variability in the variable D1/D2 domain of large subunit (26S) ribosomal DNA [30], and 86 sequencing appears most robust because strain comparisons can easily be made. Recent studies 87 [11,29-32] have indicated that the use of the D1/D2 region of the 26S rRNA gene, using NL1 88 primers to investigate the fungal distribution in the samples, appears to be the most robust approach. 89 However, more work still needs to be done to implement and make a database, such as Greengenes, 90 available for 16S.

91 Only a few papers have been aimed at understanding what the microbiota really does in a food 92 matrix by coupling HTS with other techniques, thus representing complete and comprehensive 93 studies. Interesting results have been obtained from these studies, and they clearly show that only a 94 few taxa really play important roles during the food process, and that it is only by coupling 95 different techniques that it is possible to study complex food ecosystems. In addition, one of the 96 important questions that need to be addressed, once the microbiota composition has been evaluated, 97 is how this microbiota (in most cases a few taxa) can affect the final characteristics of the products. 98 One possible approach is to couple the HTS-amplicon based approach with metabolomics (both 99 targeted and untargeted) to create a tool that can be used to identify the potential candidate 100 metabolites (biomarkers) related to specific taxa [33].

101

102 Bioinformatic tools to translate sequences into data for interpretation purposes

103 Recently, several tools have been developed to use the data from amplicon base sequencing as input104 and to analyze these data so as to provide information on the diversity of the microbes. Network

105 analysis [34••] has emerged as an important tool that can be used to easily observe the structure and 106 dynamics of microbes, from an interactive point of view of the microbiota distribution, which can 107 also be used for food process development. Gephi or Cytoscape software can help scientists to 108 visualize data and to easily extract information about the development or the interaction of the 109 microbiota in the samples. Foodmicrobionet (http://www.foodmicrobionet.org/fmbn1 0 3web/) is a 110 recently developed application that collects data from multiple food-based studies with the aim of allowing an easy and visual-effective comparison of one's own samples with several others from 111 112 the same food environment $[34 \bullet \bullet]$.

Amplicon-based sequencing is a key tool for studies on microbial communities, but does not 113 114 provide direct evidence on a community's functional capabilities. An easy way of getting an idea of 115 the potential function of the microbial community is to use a computational approach to predict the 116 functional composition of a metagenome, using marker gene data and a database of reference 117 genomes. PICRUSt (phylogenetic investigation of communities by reconstruction of unobserved 118 states) shows that the phylogenetic information contained in 16S marker gene sequences is 119 sufficiently well correlated to the genomic content to provide an accurate prediction of the gene 120 repertoires, associated with their microbiota [35]. The main application of this tool is to 121 environmental samples, however, in food associated studies, the tool has been found to be able to 122 find correlations among taxa and metabolic functions associated with spoilage [5,7].

123 Another promising NGS data analysis method relies on the use of oligotyping, a novel supervised 124 computational method that can elucidate concealed diversity from within the final operational units 125 of classification or clustering approaches. Unlike clustering methods, which compare all the 126 positions in sequence reads to assess similarity, oligotyping utilizes the nucleotide positions that 127 have been identified as the most information-rich, and allows resolution at a species level or even 128 below [36]. Till now, only human-based and environmental studies have used this tool to identify sub-OTU level differences across samples [37], or to track changes in specific populations across 129 130 seasons and geography [38]. However, this tool can also be easily applied to food based studies in

order to ascertain an association between an oligotype and a process, or to have a better idea of thedistribution of a specific taxon in a food-based system.

133

134 Who is there and what are they doing?

135 The shotgun metagenomic approach (DNA-seq or RNA-seq) is a valuable approach that is applied 136 extensively to environmental microbiology, but which is also of increasing interest in food 137 microbiology. The main purpose of this technique is to obtain, at the same time, information about 138 the microbe composition and the gene content without any PCR bias. Interest in the shotgun RNA-139 seq approach, applied to food matrix, is growing, due to its ability to discover the functions of 140 microbes during a food process. This technique has recently been applied to cheese matrices in 141 order to find differences in gene expression associated with a particular ripening time [39], to select 142 biological markers in order to improve cheese quality assessment [40], or just to assess the 143 microbial physiology during cheese manufacturing [41,42]. The main problem of using RNA-seq 144 alone is the lack of availability of genome sequences to map the reads, and the need to couple them 145 to DNA-seq data and to the amplicon-based HTS data, which results in an increase in the cost of 146 sequencing. The use of the shotgun DNA-seq approach is interesting, because it provides higher-147 resolution taxonomic information than 16S rRNA sequencing and can profile hundreds of 148 uncharacterized species, especially those present in low abundances, and at the same time obtain 149 information about the gene content from a global point of view. The main application in food 150 concerns the possibility of detecting foodborne pathogens in a food matrix [43,44], or of 151 understanding the change in the gene content during a process [45-48]. A possible application of 152 DNA-seq concerns the possibility of performing a de novo extraction of strains from metagenomes. 153 Pangenome [49] is used extensively in epidemiology studies with the aim of analyzing strain-154 specific gene sets, and of providing a comprehensive view of the functional and pathogenic 155 potential of the organisms. When reference genomes are included in the analysis, it is also possible 156 to compare different strains or to identify new ones. This tool is promising for food ecologists, and

can easily be applied to food systems in a variety of ways, such as the selection of species/strains for starter cultures, or the discovery of possible associations between a specific strain and a process point. The increase in scientists' bioinformatic skills, the availability of online tools to analyze data (e.g. MG-RAST, Galaxy) and the increase in the number of pipeline applications, such as PanPhlAn [50] or Anvio's [51], all allow the huge amount of data produced with/through the shotgun metagenomic approach to be analyzed.

163

164 Multi-Omics Approach

Most of the studies based on NGS just give a partial representation of the food-based ecosystem, 165 166 because only one of the techniques is applied, and a final remark, such as "...needs further study 167 ...", is often added. In the authors' opinion, this is probably due to the cost of the experiment or the 168 need for different specialties, which are generally lacking in a single research unit. Only a few 169 examples that combine different omics approaches have been found for food. Dugat-Bony et al. 170 have recently shown an example in which data from metagenomic, metatranscriptomic and 171 biochemical analyses have been combined to obtain a complete view of what really happens during De Filippis et al. [39••] have also clearly shown that coupling 172 the process $[42 \bullet \bullet]$. 173 metatranscriptomic and metabolome data is effective in discovering the functional diversity of 174 cheese microbiota affected by different ripening conditions. Coupling the genetic potential and 175 final phenotype to, for example, metabolomics and metaproteomics, which is also called 176 proteogenomics [52], can offer the possibility of resolving the main functional components that 177 drive the function of the microbial ecosystem [53]. Proteogenomics can in particular offer the 178 possibility of exploring the microbial function, although metagenomics analysis can detect the 179 presence of different bacterial species and genes, metaproteomics can/is able to provide information 180 on the most representative metabolic pathways that are active during the food process [54].

- 181
- 182

183 Conclusion

At the moment, several tools are available to help one really understand what happens in a foodbased system. Unfortunately, only a few examples of multi-omics approaches are available in the literature and these approaches need to be implemented to obtain a better understanding of food microbial ecosystems. However, this approach also suffers from certain limitations, due to its relatively high cost and the need for specific bioinformatics and biostatistics skills for the data analysis.

190

191 192 Table 1 Amplicon target sequencing studies on different food matrices

Target	Short description	Food matrix	Referen
	Bacterial diversity of Salame Piacentino		
16S DNA	PDO during ripening	Meat	[3]
16S RNA (cDNA)	Piedmontese fermented meat during ripening	Meat	[4]
	Beef burger (controls or with added		
16S RNA (cDNA)	preservatives, nisin +EDTA) vacuum packed	Meat	[5]
16S DNA	Vacuum-packaged, cooked sausage	Meat	[6]
16S DNA	Fresh beef and pork cuts	Meat	[7]
16S DNA	Fresh and spoiled meat and seafood samples	Meat/fish	[8]
	Chicha, a maize-based fermented beverage		
16S DNA	from Argentina	Fermented beverages	[9]
16S DNA	French organic sourdoughs	Doughs	[10]
16S RNA (cDNA)/16S	Olive surfaces and brine during spontaneous	-	
DNA	and inoculated fermentation	Vegetables	[11•]
	Wheat flour grown under organic and	C	
16S RNA (cDNA)	conventional farming conditions	Doughs	[12•]
	Milk kefir grains collected in different	C	
16S DNA/26S DNA	Italian regions	Fermented beverages	[13]
	Samples from spontaneous 'Vino Santo		L - J
16S DNA/ITS DNA	Trentino' fermentation	Fermented beverages	[14]
	Microbiota of Belgian white pudding after		[1.]
16S DNA	refrigerate storage	Meat	[15]
	Rind and core microbiota of Caciotta and	iviout	[10]
16S DNA	Caciocavallo cheese	Dairy and fermented milks	[16]
	Mozzarella cheese made from cow's milk	Durfy and fermented minds	[10]
	and produced with different acidification		
16S DNA	methods	Dairy and fermented milks	[17]
	Naturally fermented cow's milk collected	Daily and fermented minks	[1/]
16S DNA/18S DNA	from Mongol-ethnic families	Dairy and fermented milks	[18]
16S DNA/185 DNA	Pico cheese made from raw cow milk	Dairy and fermented milks	[10]
16S DNA	Spoiled hard cheeses during ripening	Dairy and fermented milks	
16S DNA	Brine-salted continental-type cheese	-	[20]
IOS DINA	V 1	Dairy and fermented milks	[21]
	Poro cheeses manufactured with different	Daimy and fame anto durilly	[22]
16S DNA	milk	Dairy and fermented milks	[22]
	Herve cheeses from both raw and		[22]
16S DNA	pasteurized milk	Dairy and fermented milks	[23]
	Piedmont hard cheese made from raw milk:		[0.4]
16S RNA (cDNA)	milk, curd and cheese throughout ripening	Dairy and fermented milks	[24]
	Milk, curd and Caciocavallo cheese during		50 5 3
16S RNA (cDNA)	ripening	Dairy and fermented milks	[25•]
	Milk (from different lactation stages), curd		
	and Fontina cheese from three different		
16S RNA (cDNA)	dairies	Dairy and fermented milks	[26]
16S DNA/18S DNA	Fermentation of Pu-erh tea	Fermented beverages	[27••]

194	Refe	rences and recommended reading		
195	Papers of particular interest, published within the review period, have been highlighted as:			
196	• 0	f special interest		
197	••	of outstanding interest		
198				
199	[1].	Ceuppens S, Li D, Uyttendaele M, Renault P, Ross P, Ranst M Van, Cocolin L, Donaghy J:		
200		Molecular Methods in Food Safety Microbiology: Interpretation and Implications of		
201		Nucleic Acid Detection. Compr Rev Food Sci Food Saf 2014, 13:551–577.		
202	[2].	Dolci P, Zenato S, Pramotton R, Barmaz A, Alessandria V, Rantsiou K, Cocolin L: Cheese		
203		surface microbiota complexity: RT-PCR-DGGE, a tool for a detailed picture?. Int J		
204		<i>Food Microbiol</i> 2013, 162 :8–12.		
205	[3].	Połka J, Rebecchi A, Pisacane V, Morelli L, Puglisi E: Bacterial diversity in typical Italian		
206		salami at different ripening stages as revealed by high-throughput sequencing of 16S		
207		rRNA amplicons. Food Microbiol 2015, 46:342–356.		
208	[4].	Greppi A, Ferrocino I, La Storia A, Rantsiou K, Ercolini D, Cocolin L: Monitoring of the		
209		microbiota of fermented sausages by culture independent rRNA-based approaches. Int		
210		<i>J Food Microbiol</i> 2015, 212 :67–75.		
211	[5].	Ferrocino I, Greppi A, La Storia A, Rantsiou K, Ercolini D, Cocolin L: Impact of Nisin-		
212		Activated Packaging on Microbiota of Beef Burgers during Storage. Appl Environ		
213		<i>Microbiol</i> 2016, 82 :549–559.		
214	[6].	Hultman J, Rahkila R, Ali J, Rousu J, Björkroth KJ: Meat processing plant microbiome		
215		and contamination patterns of cold-tolerant bacteria causing food safety and spoilage		
216		risks in the manufacture of vacuum-packaged cooked sausages. Appl Environ Microbiol		
217		2015, 81 :7088–7097.		
218	[7].	Stellato G, La Storia A, De Filippis F, Borriello G, Villani F, Ercolini D: Overlap of		

stenato G, La Stofia A, De Filippis F, Borriello G, Villani F, Erconni D. Overlap of
 spoilage-associated microbiota between meat and the meat processing environment in

small-scale and large-scale retail. 2016, 82:4045–4054.

221 [8]. Chaillou S, Chaulot-Talmon A, Caekebeke H, Cardinal M, Christieans S, Denis C, Hélène

222 Desmonts M, Dousset X, Feurer C, Hamon E, *et al.*: Origin and ecological selection of core

- and food-specific bacterial communities associated with meat and seafood spoilage.
 ISME J. 2015, 9:1105–18.
- [9]. Elizaquível P, Pérez-Cataluña A, Yépez A, Aristimuño C, Jiménez E, Cocconcelli PS,
 Vignolo G, Aznar R: Pyrosequencing vs. culture-dependent approaches to analyze lactic
 acid bacteria associated to chicha, a traditional maize-based fermented beverage from
 Northwestern Argentina. Int J Food Microbiol 2015, 198:9–18.
- [10]. Lhomme E, Orain S, Courcoux P, Onno B, Dousset X: The predominance of Lactobacillus
- sanfranciscensis in French organic sourdoughs and its impact on related bread
 characteristics. Int J Food Microbiol 2015, 213:40–48.
- 232 [11. De Angelis M, Campanella D, Cosmai L, Summo C, Rizzello CG, Caponio F: Microbiota
- and metabolome of un-started and started Greek-type fermentation of Bella di
- 234 Cerignola table olives. *Food Microbiol* 2015, **52**:18–30.
- Selected starter cultures provided more controlled and consistent fermentation and to positively
 impact the overall table olive quality by affecting the amount of FAAs and phenolic and volatile
 organic compounds
- 239 [12]. Rizzello CG, Cavoski I, Turk J, Ercolini D, Nionelli L, Pontonio E, De Angelis M, De
- 240 Filippis F, Gobbetti M, Di Cagno R: Organic cultivation of *Triticum turgidum* subsp.
- 241 *durum* is reflected in the flour-sourdough fermentation-bread axis. Appl Environ
- 242 *Microbiol* 2015, **81**:3192–3204.
- The environment microbiota is an important factor that can affect sourdoughs and it has been
 found to be closely correlated to the abundance of free and bound phenolic compounds, assessed
 by means of HPLC
- 246
- 247 [13]. Garofalo C, Osimani A, Milanović V, Aquilanti L, De Filippis F, Stellato G, Di Mauro S,
- 248 Turchetti B, Buzzini P, Ercolini D, et al.: Bacteria and yeast microbiota in milk kefir

249

grains from different Italian regions. Food Microbiol 2015, 49:123–133.

- [14]. Stefanini I, Albanese D, Cavazza A, Franciosi E, De Filippo C, Donati C, Cavalieri D:
 Dynamic changes in microbiota and mycobiota during spontaneous "Vino Santo
 Trentino" fermentation. *Microb Biotechnol* 2016, 9:195–208.
- [15]. Cauchie E, Gand M, Kergourlay G, Taminiau B, Delhalle L, Korsak N, Daube G: The use of
 16S rRNA gene metagenetic monitoring of refrigerated food products for
 understanding the kinetics of microbial subpopulations at different storage
 temperatures: the example of white pudding. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2016,
 doi:10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2016.10.012.
- [16]. Calasso M, Ercolini D, Mancini L, Stellato G, Minervini F, Di Cagno R, De Angelis M,
 Gobbetti M: Relationships among house, rind and core microbiotas during manufacture
 of traditional Italian cheeses at the same dairy plant. *Food Microbiol* 2016, 54:115–126.
- [17]. Guidone A, Zotta T, Matera A, Ricciardi A, De Filippis F, Ercolini D, Parente E: The
 microbiota of high-moisture mozzarella cheese produced with different acidification
 methods. Int J Food Microbiol 2016, 216:9–17.
- Liu W, Zheng Y, Kwok L-Y, Sun Z, Zhang J, Guo Z, Hou Q, Menhe B, Zhang H: High throughput sequencing for the detection of the bacterial and fungal diversity in
 Mongolian naturally fermented cow's milk in Russia. *BMC Microbiol* 2015, 15:45.
- 267 [19]. Riquelme C, Câmara S, Enes Dapkevicius M de LN, Vinuesa P, da Silva CCG, Malcata FX,
- 268 Rego OA: Characterization of the bacterial biodiversity in Pico cheese (an artisanal
 269 Azorean food). Int J Food Microbiol 2015, 192:86–94.
- [20]. Bassi D, Puglisi E, Cocconcelli PS: Understanding the bacterial communities of hard
 cheese with blowing defect. *Food Microbiol.* 2015, 52:106–118.
- 272 [21]. O'Sullivan DJ, Cotter PD, O'Sullivan O, Giblin L, McSweeney PLH, Sheehan JJ: Temporal
 273 and spatial differences in microbial composition during the manufacture of a
- 274 **continental-type cheese.** *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2015, **81**:2525–2533.

- [22]. Aldrete-Tapia A, Escobar-Ramírez MC, Tamplin ML, Hernández-Iturriaga M: High throughput sequencing of microbial communities in Poro cheese, an artisanal Mexican
 cheese. *Food Microbiol* 2014, 44:136–141.
- 278 [23]. Delcenserie V, Taminiau B, Delhalle L, Nezer C, Doyen P, Crevecoeur S, Roussey D,
- 279 Korsak N, Daube G: Microbiota characterization of a Belgian protected designation of
- **280** origin cheese, Herve cheese, using metagenomic analysis. *J Dairy Sci* 2014, **97**:6046–56.
- 281 [24]. Alessandria V, Ferrocino I, De Filippis F, Fontana M, Rantsiou K, Ercolini D, Cocolin L:
- 282 Microbiota of an Italian Grana like cheese during manufacture and ripening unraveled
 283 by 16S rRNA-based approaches. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2016, 82:3988 –3995.
- 284 [25]. De Pasquale I, Di Cagno R, Buchin S, De Angelis M, Gobbetti M: Spatial distribution of
- the metabolically active microbiota within Italian PDO ewes' milk cheeses. *PLoS One*2016, 11:1–23.
- Only a few taxa from the metabolically active microbiota have been related to the proteolysis
 index in ewe's milk cheese and correlated with the synthesis of volatile compounds.
- 290 [26]. Dolci P, De Filippis F, La Storia A, Ercolini D, Cocolin L: rRNA-based monitoring of the
- 291 microbiota involved in Fontina PDO cheese production in relation to different stages of
 292 cow lactation. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2014, 185:127–35.
- 293 [27]. Zhao M, Zhang D-L, Su X-Q, Duan S-M, Wan J-Q, Yuan W-X, Liu B-Y, Ma Y, Pan Y-H:
- 294 An integrated metagenomics/metaproteomics investigation of the microbial
- communities and enzymes in solid-state fermentation of pu-erh tea. Sci Rep 2015,
- **5**:10117.
- Proteobacteria have been found to be responsible for the characteristics of Post-fermented Pu erh tea (also known as Chinese tea), which was investigated by coupling amplicon HTS with a
 metaproteomic approach
- 300
- 301 [28]. Santamaria M, Fosso B, Consiglio A, De Caro G, Grillo G, Licciulli F, Liuni S, Marzano M,
- 302 Alonso-alemany D, Valiente G, et al.: Reference databases for taxonomic assignment in

- 303 metagenomics. Brief Bioinform 2012, 13:682–695.
- 304 [29]. Tang J, Iliev ID, Brown J, Underhill DM, Funari VA: Mycobiome : Approaches to analysis
 305 of intestinal fungi. *J Immunol Methods* 2015, 421:112–121.
- 306 [30]. Kurtzman CP, Robnett CJ: Identification and phylogeny of ascomycetous yeasts from
 307 analysis of nuclear large subunit (26S) ribosomal DNA partial sequences. Antonie van
 308 Leeuwenhoek, Int J Gen Mol Microbiol 1998, 73:331–371.
- 309 [31]. Wang C, García-Fernández D, Mas A, Esteve-Zarzoso B: Fungal diversity in grape must
 and wine fermentation assessed by massive sequencing, quantitative PCR and DGGE.
 311 Front Microbiol 2015, 6:1–8.
- 312 [32]. Stellato G, De Filippis F, La Storia A, Ercolini D: Coexistence of lactic acid bacteria and
 313 potential spoilage microbiota in a dairy-processing environment. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 314 2015, 22:7893-7904.
- 315 [33]. Pinu FR: Early detection of food pathogens and food spoilage microorganisms:
 316 Application of metabolomics. *Trends Food Sci Technol* 2016, 54:213–215.
- 317 [34]. Parente E, Cocolin L, De Filippis F, Zotta T, Ferrocino I, O'Sullivan O, Neviani E, De

318 Angelis M, Cotter PD, Ercolini D: FoodMicrobionet: A database for the visualisation and

- 319 exploration of food bacterial communities based on network analysis. Int J Food
- 320 *Microbiol* 2016, **219**:28–37.
- This paper describe an easy-to-use tool for the visualization and comparison of microbiota in diverse foodstuffs. Users can easily extract subsets of samples for the food matrix of interest, visualize them in a network and/or use them in comparative studies.
- [35]. Langille MGI, Zaneveld J, Caporaso JG, McDonald D, Knights D, Reyes J a, Clemente JC,
 Burkepile DE, Vega Thurber RL, Knight R, *et al.*: Predictive functional profiling of
 microbial communities using 16S rRNA marker gene sequences. *Nat Biotechnol* 2013,
 31:814–21.
- 329 [36]. Eren AM, Maignien L, Sul WJ, Murphy LG, Grim SL, Morrison HG, Sogin ML:
 330 Oligotyping: Differentiating between closely related microbial taxa using 16S rRNA

- **gene data.** *Methods Ecol Evol* 2013, **4**:1111–1119.
- 332 [37]. Berni Canani R, Sangwan N, Stefka AT, Nocerino R, Paparo L, Aitoro R, Calignano A,
 333 Khan A a, Gilbert J a, Nagler CR: *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG-supplemented formula
- expands butyrate-producing bacterial strains in food allergic infants. *ISME J* 2015,
 10:1–9.
- 336 [38]. Fisher JC, Levican A, Figueras MJ, McLellan SL: Population dynamics and ecology of
 337 Arcobacter in sewage. Front Microbiol 2014, 5:1–9.
- 338 [39. De Filippis, F, Genovese A, Ferranti P, Gilbert JA, Ercolini D: Metatranscriptomics
- reveals temperature-driven functional changes in microbiome impacting cheese
 maturation rate. *Sci Rep* 2016, 6:1–12.
- The study has clearly shown that the ripening temperature can significantly affect the gene
 expression, with a clear correlation with the metabolomic profiles of volatile organic compounds
 during cheese ripening. NOT CLEAR
- 345 [40]. Lessard M-H, Viel C, Boyle B, St-Gelais D, Labrie S: Metatranscriptome analysis of
- 346 fungal strains *Penicillium camemberti* and *Geotrichum candidum* reveal cheese matrix
- 347 breakdown and potential development of sensory properties of ripened Camembert-
- **type cheese.** *BMC Genomics* 2014, **15**:235.

344

- 349 [41]. Monnet C, Dugat-Bony E, Swennen D, Beckerich J-M, Irlinger F, Fraud S, Bonnarme P:
- 350 Investigation of the activity of the microorganisms in a reblochon-style cheese by
- 351 metatranscriptomic analysis. *Front. Microbiol.* 2016, 7:536.
- 352 [42]. Dugat-Bony E, Straub C, Teissandier A, Onésime D, Loux V, Monnet C, Irlinger F, Landaud
- 353 S, Leclercq-Perlat M-N, Bento P, *et al.*: **Overview of a surface-ripened cheese community**
- **functioning by meta-omics analyses.** *PLoS One* 2015, **10**:e0124360.

This study has clearly shown that the application of a multi-omics approach is able to furnish
 an overview of the cheese maturation process and to obtain a better understanding of the metabolic
 activities of the different community members and their possible interactions.

- 359 [43]. Yang X, Noyes NR, Doster E, Martin JN, Linke LM, Magnuson RJ, Yang H, Geornaras I,
- 360 Woerner DR, Jones KL: Use of metagenomic shotgun sequencing technology to detect

- foodborne pathogens within the microbiome of the beef production chain. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2016, 82:2433–2443.
- 363 [44]. Leonard SR, Mammel MK, Lacher DW, Elkins CA: Application of metagenomic
 364 sequencing to food safety: detection of shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* on fresh
 365 bagged spinach. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2015, 81:8183–8191.
- Jung JY, Lee SH, Kim JM, Park MS, Bae J, Hahn Y, Madsen EL, Jeon CO: Metagenomic
 analysis of kimchi, a traditional korean fermented food. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2011,
 77:2264–2274.
- 369 [46]. Nieminen TT, Koskinen K, Laine P, Hultman J, Säde E, Paulin L, Paloranta A, Johansson P,
 370 Björkroth J, Auvinen P: Comparison of microbial communities in marinated and
 371 unmarinated broiler meat by metagenomics. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2012, 157:142–149.
- 372 [47]. Nalbantoglu U, Cakar A, Dogan H, Abaci N, Ustek D, Sayood K, Can H: Metagenomic
 373 analysis of the microbial community in kefir grains. *Food Microbiol* 2014, 41:42–51.
- 374 [48]. Escobar-Zepeda A, Sanchez-Flores A, Quirasco Baruch M: Metagenomic analysis of a
 375 Mexican ripened cheese reveals a unique complex microbiota. *Food Microbiol* 2016,
 376 57:116–127.
- 377 [49]. Nayfach S, Pollard KS: Leading edge perspective toward accurate and quantitative
 378 comparative metagenomics. 2016, *Cell* 116:1103-1116.
- 379 [50]. Scholz M, Ward DV, Pasolli E, Tolio T, Zolfo M, Asnicar F, Truong DT, Tett A, Morrow
- 380 AL, Segata N: Strain-level microbial epidemiology and population genomics from
 381 shotgun metagenomics. *Nat Methods* 2016, 13:435–438.
- 382 [51]. Eren AM, Esen ÖC, Quince C, Vineis JH, Morrison HG, Sogin ML, Delmont TO: Anvi'o:
 383 an advanced analysis and visualization platform for 'omics data. *PeerJ* 2015, 3:e1319.
- 384 [52]. Armengaud J, Hartmann EM, Bland C: Proteogenomics for environmental microbiology.
 385 *Proteomics* 2013, 13:2731–2742.
- 386 [53]. Wilmes P, Heintz-Buschart A, Bond PL: A decade of metaproteomics: Where we stand

- **and what the future holds.** *Proteomics* 2015, **15**:3409–3417.
- 388 [54]. Soggiu A, Piras C, Mortera SL, Alloggio I, Urbani A, Bonizzi L, Roncada P: Unravelling
 389 the effect of clostridia spores and lysozyme on microbiota dynamics in Grana Padano
- 390 cheese: A metaproteomics approach. *J Proteomics* 2015, **147**:21–27.
- 391