

Citations

From References: 0

From Reviews: 0

MR3029090 68Q42 03B15 03B40

Stump, Aaron (1-IA-C); **Zantema, Hans** (NL-EIND-C);

Kimmell, Garrin (1-IA-C); El Haj Omar, Ruba [**El Haj Omar, Roba**] (1-IA-C)

A rewriting view of simple typing. (English summary)

Log. Methods Comput. Sci. 9 (2013), no. 1, 1:04, 29 pp.

This paper studies a technique that can be used to recast the development of simple type theory into a rewriting perspective. It focuses on the simply typed lambda-calculus (STLC) extended with ground and functional constants.

To transform typing issues into rewriting issues, two crucial steps are undertaken. First, a “mixed language” merging together the STLC-syntax (variables, abstractions and applications) with types (atoms and arrows) is considered. Second, a suitable reduction \rightarrow_a is defined for the mixed-language in order to ensure that: a closed lambda-term M (in STLC) is typed T if and only if M (in the mixed-calculus) reduces to T .

Patently, the reduction defined on STLC is still defined on the mixed-calculus; thus the reduction properties of STLC can still be studied on the mixed-calculus. The proof of basic rewriting properties of the mixed language (with respect to all reductions) provides the basis to deal with standard results about STLC (as, for instance, type preservation, progress properties and normalization).

Luca Paolini

References

1. S. Abramsky, D. Gabbay, and T. Maibaum, editors. *Handbook of Logic in Computer Science*. Oxford University Press, 1992. [MR1381698](#)
2. Stuart F. Allen, Mark Bickford, Robert L. Constable, Richard Eaton, Christoph Kreitz, Lori Lorigo, and E. Moran. Innovations in computational type theory using Nuprl. *J. Applied Logic*, 4(4):428–469, 2006. [MR2277550](#)
3. T. Aoto, J. Yoshida, and Y. Toyama. Proving Confluence of Term Rewriting Systems Automatically. In R. Treinen, editor, *Rewriting Techniques and Applications (RTA)*, pages 93–102, 2009. [MR2545471](#)
4. B. Aydemir, A. Bohannon, M. Fairbairn, J. Foster, B. Pierce, P. Sewell, D. Vytiniotis, G. Washburn, S. Weirich, and S. Zdancewic. Mechanized metatheory for the masses: The POPLmark Challenge. In *Proceedings of the Eighteenth International Conference on Theorem Proving in Higher Order Logics (TPHOLs 2005)*, 2005.
5. H. Barendregt. Lambda Calculi with Types. In S. Abramsky, D. Gabbay, and T. Maibaum, editors, *Handbook of Logic in Computer Science*, volume 2, pages 117–309. Oxford University Press, 1992. [MR1381697](#)
6. B. Beckert, R. Hähnle, and P. Schmitt, editors. *Verification of Object-Oriented Software: The KeY Approach*. LNCS 4334. Springer-Verlag, 2007.
7. B. Beckert and V. Klebanov. Must Program Verification Systems and Calculi be Verified? In *Proceedings, 3rd International Verification Workshop (VERIFY), Workshop at Federated Logic Conferences (FLoC), Seattle, USA*, pages 34–41, 2006.
8. Robert L. Constable, Stuart F. Allen, S. F. Allen, H. M. Bromley, W. R. Cleaveland, J. F. Cremer, R. W. Harper, Douglas J. Howe, T. B. Knoblock, N. P. Mendler, P. Panangaden, Scott F. Smith, James T. Sasaki, and S. F. Smith. *Implementing Mathematics with The Nuprl Proof Development System*. Prentice Hall, 1986.

9. C. Ellison, T. Șerbănuță, and G. Roșu. A Rewriting Logic Approach to Type Inference. In A. Corradini and U. Montanari, editors, *Recent Trends in Algebraic Development Techniques (WADT)*, pages 135–151, 2008.
10. Jean Gallier. *On Girard’s “Candidats De Reductibilité”*, pages 123–230. Academic Press, 1990.
11. J. Giesl, P. Schneider-Kamp, and R. Thiemann. Automatic Termination Proofs in the Dependency Pair Framework. In U. Furbach and N. Shankar, editors, *Automated Reasoning, Third International Joint Conference (IJCAR)*, pages 281–286, 2006. [MR2361327](#)
12. J.-Y. Girard, Y. Lafont, and P. Taylor. *Proofs and Types*. Cambridge University Press, 1989. [MR1003608](#)
13. M. Hills and G. Rosu. A Rewriting Logic Semantics Approach to Modular Program Analysis. In C. Lynch, editor, *Proceedings of the 21st International Conference on Rewriting Techniques and Applications, RTA 2010, July 11–13, 2010, Edinburgh, Scotland, UK*, pages 151–160, 2010. [MR2853880](#)
14. G. Kuan, D. MacQueen, and R. Findler. A rewriting semantics for type inference. In *Proceedings of the 16th European conference on Programming (ESOP)*, pages 426–440. Springer-Verlag, 2007.
15. P. Martin-Löf and Z. A. Lozinski. Constructive Mathematics and Computer Programming. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, 312(1522):pp. 501–518, 1984. [MR0776272](#)
16. J. Mitchell. *Foundations for Programming Languages*. The MIT Press, 1996.
17. B. Pierce. *Types and Programming Languages*. The MIT Press, 2002. [MR1887075](#)
18. Colin Riba. Strong Normalization as Safe Interaction. In *22nd IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science (LICS 2007), 10–12 July 2007, Wrocław, Poland, Proceedings*, pages 13–22. IEEE Computer Society, 2007.
19. C. Schürmann and F. Pfenning. Automated Theorem Proving in a Simple Meta-Logic for LF. In C. Kirchner and H. Kirchner, editors, *15th International Conference on Automated Deduction (CADE)*, pages 286–300, 1998. [MR1733015](#)
20. Aaron Stump, Garrin Kimmell, and Roba El Haj Omar. Type Preservation as a Confluence Problem. In Manfred Schmidt-Schauß, editor, *Proceedings of the 22nd International Conference on Rewriting Techniques and Applications (RTA)*, volume 10 of *LIPICS*, pages 345–360, 2011. [MR2862819](#)
21. Aaron Stump, Duckki Oe, Andrew Reynolds, Liana Hadarean, and Cesare Tinelli. Smt proof checking using a logical framework. *Formal Methods in System Design*, pages 1–28. available online as of July, 2012.
22. TeReSe, editor. *Term Rewriting Systems*, volume 55 of *Cambridge Tracts in Theoretical Computer Science*. Cambridge University Press, 2003. [MR2007186](#)
23. N. Tillmann and W. Schulte. Parameterized Unit Tests. *SIGSOFT Softw. Eng. Notes*, 30:253–262, 2005.
24. A. Wright and M. Felleisen. A Syntactic Approach to Type Soundness. *Information and Computation*, 115(1):38–94, 1994. [MR1303020](#)

Note: This list reflects references listed in the original paper as accurately as possible with no attempt to correct errors.