



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Parametrices and hypoellipticity for pseudodifferential operators on spaces of tempered ultradistributions

inis is the author's manuscript	
Original Citation:	
Availability:	
This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/151569	since 2017-05-19T14:12:53Z
Published version:	
DOI:10.1007/s11868-014-0095-3	
Terms of use:	
Open Access	
Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the tof all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or p protection by the applicable law.	erms and conditions of said license. Use

(Article begins on next page)

Parametrices and hypoellipticity for pseudodifferential operators on spaces of tempered ultraditributions

Marco Cappiello ^a, Stevan Pilipović ^b and Bojan Prangoski ^c

Abstract

We construct parametrices for a class of pseudodifferential operators of infinite order acting on spaces of tempered ultradistributions of Beurling and Roumieu type. As a consequence we obtain a result of hypoellipticity in these spaces.

0 Introduction

The main concern in this paper is the study of hypoellipticity for pseudodifferential operators in the setting of tempered ultradistributions of Beurling and Roumieu type on \mathbb{R}^d . These distributions represent the global counterpart of the ultradistributions studied by Komatsu, see [12, 13, 16]. We recall that the space of test functions for the ultradistributions of [12, 13, 16] is a natural generalisation of the Gevrey classes. In the same way tempered ultradistributions act on a space which generalises the spaces of type \mathcal{S} introduced by Gelfand and Shilov in [9].

Before presenting our results let us recall some previous results on hypoellipticity in the spaces mentioned above. Hypoellipticity in Gevrey classes has been studied by several authors, see [11, 17, 22, 25] and the references therein. Indeed the functional setting allows to consider very general symbols $a(x,\xi)$ admitting exponential growth at infinity with respect to the covariable ξ . This was first noticed in [25] and generalised in [6, 7] with applications to hyperbolic equations in Gevrey classes. In [25] the hypoellipticity has been obtained by means of the construction of a parametrix. More recently, the results of [25] have been extended by Fernández et al. [8] to the space of ultradistributions of Beurling type and by the first author to the global frame of the Gelfand-Shilov spaces of type \mathcal{S} , see [2, 3, 4], allowing exponential growth for the symbols also with respect to the variable x.

It is then natural to study the same problem for pseudodifferential operators acting on tempered ultradistributions. In a recent paper [21], the third author constructed a global calculus for pseudodifferential operators of infinite order of Shubin type in this setting. Here we want to apply this tool to construct parametrices for the class of [21] and to prove a hypoellipticity result.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematical Subject Classification: 47G30, 46F05, 35A17 keywords: Tempered ultradistributions, pseudodifferential operators, parametrices, hypoellipticity

Let us first fix some notation and introduce the functional setting where our results are obtained. In the sequel, the sets of integer, non-negative integer, positive integer, real and complex numbers are denoted by \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{Z}_+ , \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{C} . We denote $\langle x \rangle \, = \, (1 + |x|^2)^{1/2} \ \, \text{for} \ \, x \, \in \, \mathbb{R}^d, \, \, D^{\alpha} \, = \, D^{\alpha_1}_1 \dots D^{\alpha_d}_d, \, \, \, D^{\alpha_j}_j \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \, i^{-1} \partial^{\alpha_j} / \partial x^{\alpha_j}, \, \, \alpha \, = \,$ $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$. Finally, fixed B > 0 we shall denote by Q_B^c the set of all $(x,\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ for which we have $\langle x \rangle \geq B$ or $\langle \xi \rangle \geq B$.

Following [12], in the sequel we shall consider sequences M_p of positive numbers such that $M_0 = M_1 = 1$ and satisfying all or some of the following conditions:

$$(M.1) M_p^2 \le M_{p-1} M_{p+1}, p \in \mathbb{Z}_+;$$

(M.1)
$$M_p^2 \le M_{p-1}M_{p+1}, \ p \in \mathbb{Z}_+;$$

(M.2) $M_p \le c_0 H^p \min_{0 \le q \le p} \{M_{p-q}M_q\}, \ p, q \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ for some } c_0, H \ge 1;$

$$(M.3) \sum_{p=q+1}^{\infty} \frac{M_{p-1}}{M_p} \le c_0 q \frac{M_q}{M_{q+1}}, \ q \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

$$(M.4) \left(\frac{M_p}{p!}\right)^2 \leq \frac{M_{p-1}}{(p-1)!} \cdot \frac{M_{p+1}}{(p+1)!}$$
, for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,
In some assertions in the sequel we could replace $(M.3)$ by the weaker assumption

$$(M.3)' \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{M_{p-1}}{M_p} < \infty,$$

cf. [12]. It is important to note that (M.4) implies (M.1).

Note that the Gevrey sequence $M_p = p!^s$, s > 1, satisfies all of these conditions.

For a multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$, M_{α} will mean $M_{|\alpha|}$, $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + ... + \alpha_d$. Recall that the associated function for the sequence M_p is defined by

$$M(\rho) = \sup_{p \in \mathbb{N}} \log_+ \frac{\rho^p}{M_p}, \ \rho > 0.$$

The function $M(\rho)$ is non-negative, continuous, monotonically increasing, it vanishes for sufficiently small $\rho > 0$ and increases more rapidly than $\ln \rho^p$ when ρ tends to infinity, for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$ (see [12]).

For m > 0 and a sequence M_p satisfying the conditions (M.1) - (M.3), we shall denote by $\mathcal{S}^{M_p,m}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the Banach space of all functions $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$\|\varphi\|_{m} := \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{d}} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}} \frac{m^{|\alpha|} |D^{\alpha}\varphi(x)| e^{M(m|x|)}}{M_{\alpha}} < \infty, \tag{0.1}$$

endowed with the norm in (0.1) and we denote $\mathcal{S}^{(M_p)}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \lim_{\substack{\longleftarrow \\ m \to \infty}} \mathcal{S}^{M_p,m}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and

 $\mathcal{S}^{\{M_p\}}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \varinjlim \mathcal{S}^{M_p,m}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In the sequel we shall consider simultaneously the

two latter spaces by using the common notation $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For each space we will consider a suitable symbol class. Definitions and statements will be formulated first for the (M_p) case and then for the $\{M_p\}$ case, using the notation *. We shall denote by $\mathcal{S}^{*'}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the strong dual space of $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We refer to [5, 18, 19] for the properties of $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathcal{S}^{*'}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Here we just recall that the Fourier transformation is an automorphism on $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and on $\mathcal{S}^{*'}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and that for $M_p = p!^s$, s > 1, we have $M(\rho) \sim \rho^{1/s}$. In this case $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ coincides respectively with the Gelfand-Shilov

spaces $\Sigma_s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (resp. $S_s(\mathbb{R}^d)$) of all functions $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$\sup_{\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{N}^d} h^{-|\alpha|-|\beta|} (\alpha!\beta!)^{-s} \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} |x^\beta \partial^\alpha \varphi(x)| < \infty$$

for every h > 0 (resp. for some h > 0), cf. [9, 18].

Following [21] we now introduce the class of pseudodifferential operators to which our results apply. Let M_p, A_p be two sequences of positive numbers. We assume that M_p satisfies (M.1), (M.2) and (M.3) and that A_p satisfies $A_0 = A_1 = 1$, (M.1), (M.2), (M.3)' and (M.4). Moreover we suppose that $A_p \subset M_p$ i.e. there exist $c_0 > 0, L > 0$ such that $A_p \leq c_0 L^p M_p$ for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\rho_0 = \inf\{\rho \in \mathbb{R}_+ | A_p \subset M_p^\rho\}$. Obviously $0 < \rho_0 \leq 1$. Let $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_+$ be arbitrary but fixed such that $\rho_0 \leq \rho \leq 1$ if the infimum can be reached, or otherwise $\rho_0 < \rho \leq 1$. For any fixed h > 0, m > 0 we denote by $\Gamma_{A_p,\rho}^{M_p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d};h,m)$ the space of all functions $a(x,\xi) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ such that

$$\sup_{\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{Z}_+^d}\sup_{(x,\xi)\in\mathbb{R}^{2d}}\frac{|D_\xi^\alpha D_x^\beta a(x,\xi)|\langle (x,\xi)\rangle^{\rho|\alpha+\beta|}e^{-(M(m|x|)+M(m|\xi|))}}{h^{|\alpha+\beta|}A_\alpha A_\beta}<\infty, \tag{0.2}$$

where $M(\cdot)$ is the associated function for the sequence M_p . Then we define

$$\Gamma^{(M_p),\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) = \lim_{\substack{m \to \infty \\ h \to 0}} \lim_{\substack{k \to 0 \\ h \to 0}} \Gamma^{M_p,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d};h,m);$$

$$\Gamma^{\{M_p\},\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) = \lim_{\substack{k \to \infty \\ h \to \infty}} \lim_{\substack{m \to 0 \\ m \to 0}} \Gamma^{M_p,\infty}_{A_p,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^{2d};h,m).$$

Remark 1. We notice that in the case $M_p = p!^s$, s > 1, we can replace $M(m|x|) + M(m|\xi|)$ by $M(m|x||\xi|)$ in (0.2). In particular, in the case of non-quasi-analytic Gelfand-Shilov spaces, we can include symbols of the form $e^{\pm \langle (x,\xi) \rangle^{1/s}}$ in our class, cf. [20].

We associate to any symbol $a \in \Gamma_{A_p,\rho}^{*,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ a pseudodifferential operator a(x,D) defined, as it is usual, by

$$a(x,D)f(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\langle x,\xi\rangle} a(x,\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi, \qquad f \in \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \tag{0.3}$$

where \hat{f} denotes the Fourier transform of f. In [21] it was proved that operators of the form (0.3) act continuously on $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and on $\mathcal{S}^{*'}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Moreover, a symbolic calculus for $\Gamma_{A_p,\rho}^{*,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ (denoted there by $\Gamma_{A_p,A_p,\rho}^{*,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$) has been constructed. As a consequence it was proved that the class of pseudodifferential operators with symbols in $\Gamma_{A_p,\rho}^{*,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ is closed with respect to composition and adjoints. Here we introduce a notion of hypoellipticity for this class.

Definition 0.1. Let $a \in \Gamma_{A_n,\rho}^{*,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. We say that a is $\Gamma_{A_n,\rho}^{*,\infty}$ -hypoelliptic if

i) there exists B > 0 such that there exist c, m > 0 (resp. for every m > 0 there exists c > 0) such that

$$|a(x,\xi)| \ge ce^{-M(m|x|) - M(m|\xi|)}, \quad (x,\xi) \in Q_B^c$$
 (0.4)

ii) there exists B > 0 such that for every h > 0 there exists C > 0 (resp. there exist h, C > 0) such that

$$\left| D_{\xi}^{\alpha} D_{x}^{\beta} a(x,\xi) \right| \leq C \frac{h^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} |a(x,\xi)| A_{\alpha} A_{\beta}}{\langle (x,\xi) \rangle^{\rho(|\alpha|+|\beta|)}}, \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d}, \ (x,\xi) \in Q_{B}^{c}. \tag{0.5}$$

The main result of the paper is the following

Theorem 0.2. Let $a \in \Gamma_{A_p,\rho}^{*,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ be $\Gamma_{A_p,\rho}^{*,\infty}$ -hypoelliptic and let $v \in \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then every solution $u \in \mathcal{S}^{*\prime}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to the equation a(x,D)u = v belongs to $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Remark 2. In the case $M_p = p!^s$, s > 1, symbols of the form $e^{\langle (x,\xi)\rangle^{1/s}}$ satisfy the conditions (0.4), (0.5), cf. [20, Section 5] for details and other examples of hypoelliptic operators. Moreover, using the results obtained in [10] for Gelfand-Shilov spaces, it is easy to verify that the lower bound assumption (0.4) is sharp if we consider operators of the form $\exp(-P^{1/ms})u := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_j^{1/ms}} u_j \varphi_j$, where P is a positive globally elliptic Shubin differential operator of order m, cf. [24], λ_j are its eigenvalues, $\{\varphi_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions of P and u_j are the Fourier coefficients of u.

The proof of Theorem 0.2 is based on the construction of a parametrix for a $\Gamma_{A_p,\rho}^{*,\infty}$ -hypoelliptic operator. To perform this step we use the global calculus developed in [21]. In Section 1 we recall some facts about this calculus. Section 2 is devoted to the construction of the parametrix and to the proof of Theorem 0.2.

1 Pseudodifferential operators on $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathcal{S}^{*\prime}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

In this section we recall some facts about the pseudodifferential calculus for operators with symbols in $\Gamma_{A_p,\rho}^{*,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ which will be used in the proofs of the next section. Since the statements below are proved in [21] for slightly more general classes of symbols, we prefer to report here the same results as they should be read for the class $\Gamma_{A_p,\rho}^{*,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ in order to make the paper self-contained. For proofs and further details we refer to [21]. First we recall the notion of asymptotic expansion, cf. [21, Definition 2].

Definition 1.1. Let M_p and A_p be as in the definition of $\Gamma_{A_p,\rho}^{*,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and let $m_0 = 0, m_p = M_p/M_{p-1}, p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. We denote by $FS_{A_p,\rho}^{*,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ the space of all formal sums $\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} a_j$ such that for some B>0, $a_j\in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\operatorname{int}Q_{Bm_j}^c)$ and satisfy the following condition: there exists m>0 such that for every h>0 (resp. there exists h>0 such that for every m>0) we have

$$\sup_{j\in\mathbb{N}}\sup_{\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{N}^d}\sup_{(x,\xi)\in Q^c_{Bm_j}}\frac{|D^\alpha_\xi D^\beta_x a_j(x,\xi)|\langle (x,\xi)\rangle^{\rho(|\alpha+\beta|+2j)}e^{-M(m|x|)-M(m|\xi|)}}{h^{|\alpha+\beta|+2j}A_\alpha A_\beta A_j^2}<\infty.$$

Notice that any symbol $a \in \Gamma_{A_p,\rho}^{*,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ can be regarded as an element $\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} a_j$ of $FS_{A_p,\rho}^{*,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ with $a_0=a, a_j=0$ for $j\geq 1$.

Definition 1.2. A symbol $a \in \Gamma_{A_p,\rho}^{*,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ is equivalent to $\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} a_j \in FS_{A_p,\rho}^{*,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ (we write $a \sim \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} a_j$ in this case) if there exist m, B > 0 such that for every h > 0 (resp. there exist h, B > 0 such that for every m > 0) the following condition holds:

$$\sup_{N\in\mathbb{Z}_+}\sup_{\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{N}^d}\sup_{(x,\xi)\in Q^c_{Bm_N}}\frac{\left|D^\alpha_\xi D^\beta_x \left(a(x,\xi)-\sum_{j< N}a_j(x,\xi)\right)\right|e^{-M(m|x|)-M(m|\xi|)}}{h^{|\alpha+\beta|+2N}A_\alpha A_\beta A^2_N \langle (x,\xi)\rangle^{-\rho(|\alpha+\beta|+2N)}}<\infty.$$

In [21] it was proved that if $a \sim 0$, then the operator a(x, D) is *-regularizing, i.e. it extends to a continuous map from $\mathcal{S}^{*\prime}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to $\mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Moreover we have the following result, cf. [21, Theorem 4].

Proposition 1.3. Let $\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} a_j \in FS_{A_p,\rho}^{*,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. Then there exists a symbol $a\in\Gamma_{A_p,\rho}^{*,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ such that $a\sim\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} a_j$.

Finally we recall the following composition theorem, cf. [21, Corollary 1].

Theorem 1.4. Let $a,b \in \Gamma_{A_p,\rho}^{*,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ with asymptotic expansions $a \sim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} a_j$ and $b \sim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} b_j$. Then there exists $c \in \Gamma_{A_p,\rho}^{*,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and a *-regularizing operator T such that a(x,D)b(x,D) = c(x,D)+T. Moreover c has the following asymptotic expansion

$$c(x,\xi) \sim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{s+k+l=j} \sum_{|\alpha|=l} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} a_s(x,\xi) D_x^{\alpha} b_k(x,\xi).$$

2 Hypoellipticity and parametrix

In this section we construct the symbol of a left (and right) parametrix for a $\Gamma_{A_p,\rho}^{*,\infty}$ -hypoelliptic operator starting from the asymptotic expansion of the symbol and using the symbolic calculus developed in [21]. To do this we need some preliminary results.

Lemma 2.1. Let M_p be a sequence of positive numbers satisfying (M.4) and $M_0 = M_1 = 1$. Then for all $2 \le q \le p$, $\left(\frac{M_q}{q!}\right)^{1/(q-1)} \le \left(\frac{M_p}{p!}\right)^{1/(p-1)}$.

Proof. For brevity in notation put $N_p = M_p/p!$. Then $N_0 = N_1 = 1$ and N_p satisfies (M.1). Morever the sequence N_{p-1}/N_p is monotonically decreasing. It is enough to prove that $N_p^{1/(p-1)} \leq N_{p+1}^{1/p}$ for $p \geq 2$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$. The proof goes by induction. For p = 2 one easily verifies this. Assume that it holds for some $p \geq 2$. Then we have

$$\begin{split} N_{p+1}^{2p+2} & \leq & N_p^{p+1} N_{p+2}^{p+1} \leq N_p N_{p+1}^{p-1} N_{p+2}^{p+1} = N_{p+2}^{2p} N_p \left(\frac{N_{p+1}}{N_{p+2}} \right)^{p-1} \\ & \leq & N_{p+2}^{2p} N_p \frac{N_{p-1}}{N_p} \cdot \ldots \cdot \frac{N_1}{N_2} = N_{p+2}^{2p}, \end{split}$$

from which the desired inequality follows.

Lemma 2.2. Let M_p satisfy (M.4) and $M_0 = M_1 = 1$. Then for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^d$ such that $\beta \leq \alpha$ and $1 \leq |\beta| \leq |\alpha| - 1$ the inequality $\binom{\alpha}{\beta} M_{\alpha-\beta} M_{\beta} \leq |\alpha| M_{|\alpha|-1}$ holds.

Proof. We will consider two cases.

Case 1.
$$2 \le |\beta| \le |\alpha| - 2$$
.

If we use Lemma 2.1 and the inequality $\binom{\kappa}{\nu} \leq \binom{|\kappa|}{|\nu|}$ for $\nu \leq \kappa, \, \kappa, \nu \in \mathbb{N}^d$, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} M_{\alpha-\beta} M_{\beta} & \leq |\alpha|! \cdot \frac{M_{\alpha-\beta}}{(|\alpha|-|\beta|)!} \cdot \frac{M_{\beta}}{|\beta|!}$$

$$\leq |\alpha|! \cdot \left(\frac{M_{|\alpha|-1}}{(|\alpha|-1)!}\right)^{\frac{|\alpha|-|\beta|-1}{|\alpha|-2}} \cdot \left(\frac{M_{|\alpha|-1}}{(|\alpha|-1)!}\right)^{\frac{|\beta|-1}{|\alpha|-2}} = |\alpha| M_{|\alpha|-1}.$$

Case 2.
$$|\beta| = 1$$
 or $|\beta| = |\alpha| - 1$.

Then obviously
$$\binom{\alpha}{\beta} M_{\alpha-\beta} M_{\beta} \leq |\alpha| M_{|\alpha|-1}$$
.

In the following we assume that A_p satisfies the conditions (M.1), (M.2), (M.3)' and (M.4). Furthermore we suppose that $A_0 = A_1 = 1$. Because of (M.3)', $A_p/(pA_{p-1}) \to \infty$, when $p \to \infty$, see [12]. Under these assumptions we can prove the following result.

Lemma 2.3. Let $a \in \Gamma_{A_p,\rho}^{*,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ be $\Gamma_{A_p,\rho}^{*,\infty}$ -hypoelliptic. Then, the function $p_0(x,\xi) = a(x,\xi)^{-1}$ satisfies the following condition: for every h > 0 there exists C > 0 (resp. there exist h, C > 0) such that

$$\left| D_{\xi}^{\alpha} D_{x}^{\beta} p_{0}(x,\xi) \right| \leq C \frac{h^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} |p_{0}(x,\xi)| A_{\alpha+\beta}}{\langle (x,\xi) \rangle^{\rho(|\alpha|+|\beta|)}}, \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d}, \ (x,\xi) \in Q_{B}^{c}.$$
 (2.1)

Proof. We observe preliminary that (M.1) and (M.2) on A_p imply that (0.5) is equivalent to saying that there exists B > 0 such that for every h > 0 there exists C > 0 (resp. there exist h, C > 0) such that

$$\left| D_{\xi}^{\alpha} D_{x}^{\beta} a(x,\xi) \right| \leq C \frac{h^{|\alpha+\beta|} |a(x,\xi)| A_{\alpha+\beta}}{\langle (x,\xi) \rangle^{\rho(|\alpha+\beta|)}}, \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{d}, \ (x,\xi) \in Q_{B}^{c}.$$
 (2.2)

Then, to simplify the notation, we set $w=(x,\xi)$. First we will consider the (M_p) case. Let h>0 be arbitrary but fixed and take $h_1>0$ such that $2^{4d+2}h_1\leq h$. Then there exists $C_{h_1}\geq 1$ such that

$$|D_w^{\alpha}a(w)| \le C_{h_1} \frac{h_1^{|\alpha|}|a(w)|A_{\alpha}}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho|\alpha|}}, \ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2d}, \ w \in Q_B^c.$$
 (2.3)

Now, there exists $t \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $C_{h_1} \leq 2^t$. Then, for $|\alpha| \geq t$,

$$|D_w^{\alpha}a(w)| \le \frac{(2h_1)^{|\alpha|}|a(w)|A_{\alpha}}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho|\alpha|}}, \ w \in Q_B^c.$$
 (2.4)

Choose $s \in \mathbb{N}$, s > t + 1, such that

$$C_{h_1} s' A_{s'-1} \le A_{s'}, \text{ for all } s' \ge s.$$
 (2.5)

We will prove that

$$|D_w^{\alpha} p_0(w)| \le C_{h_1}^{\min\{s,|\alpha|\}} \frac{h^{|\alpha|} |p_0(w)| A_{\alpha}}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho|\alpha|}}, \ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2d}, \ w \in Q_B^c, \tag{2.6}$$

which will complete the proof in the (M_p) case.

For $|\alpha| = 0$, (2.6) is obviously true. Suppose that it is true for $|\alpha| \le k$, for some $0 \le k \le s - 1$. We will prove that it holds for $|\alpha| = k + 1$. If we differentiate the equality $a(w)p_0(w) = 1$ on Q_B^c , we have

$$|a(w)||D_w^{\alpha}p_0(w)| \leq \sum_{\substack{\beta \leq \alpha \\ \beta \neq 0}} {\alpha \choose \beta} |D_w^{\alpha-\beta}p_0(w)| \cdot |D_w^{\beta}a(w)|.$$

We can use the inductive hypothesis for the terms $|D_w^{\alpha-\beta}p_0(w)|$, Lemma 2.2 and the fact that $qA_{q-1} \leq A_q$, $\forall q \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, (which follows from (M.4)) to obtain

$$|D_{w}^{\alpha}p_{0}(w)| \leq \frac{C_{h_{1}}^{k+1}|p_{0}(w)|}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho|\alpha|}} \sum_{\substack{\beta \leq \alpha \\ \beta \neq 0}} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} h^{|\alpha|-|\beta|} h_{1}^{|\beta|} A_{\alpha-\beta} A_{\beta}$$

$$\leq \frac{C_{h_{1}}^{k+1}|p_{0}(w)|h^{|\alpha|} A_{\alpha}}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho|\alpha|}} \sum_{\substack{\beta \leq \alpha \\ \beta \neq 0}} \left(\frac{h_{1}}{h}\right)^{|\beta|}$$

$$\leq \frac{C_{h_{1}}^{k+1}|p_{0}(w)|h^{|\alpha|} A_{\alpha}}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho|\alpha|}} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{h_{1}}{h}\right)^{r} \sum_{|\beta|=r} 1.$$

Since

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{h_1}{h}\right)^r \sum_{|\beta|=r} 1 \leq \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \binom{r+2d-1}{2d-1} \left(\frac{h_1}{h}\right)^r \leq \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2^{4d}h_1}{h}\right)^r \leq 1,$$

(2.6) is true for $0 \le |\alpha| \le s$. To continue the induction, assume that it is true for $|\alpha| \le k$, with $k \ge s$. To prove it for $|\alpha| = k + 1$, differentiate the equality $a(w)p_0(w) = 1$ for $w \in Q_B^c$. We obtain

$$|a(w)| |D_w^{\alpha} p_0(w)| \leq \sum_{\substack{\beta \leq \alpha \\ \beta \neq 0, \beta \neq \alpha}} {\alpha \choose \beta} \left| D_w^{\alpha - \beta} p_0(w) \right| \left| D_w^{\beta} a(w) \right| + |p_0(w)| |D_w^{\alpha} a(w)|.$$

We can use the inductive hypothesis for the terms $\left|D_w^{\alpha-\beta}p_0(w)\right|$, Lemma 2.2 and (2.5) to obtain

$$|D_w^{\alpha} p_0(w)| \leq \frac{C_{h_1}^s |p_0(w)|}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho|\alpha|}} \left((2h_1)^{|\alpha|} A_{\alpha} + \sum_{\substack{\beta \leq \alpha \\ \beta \neq 0, \, \beta \neq \alpha}} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} C_{h_1} h^{|\alpha| - |\beta|} h_1^{|\beta|} A_{\alpha - \beta} A_{\beta} \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{C_{h_1}^s |p_0(w)|}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho |\alpha|}} \left((2h_1)^{|\alpha|} A_{\alpha} + \sum_{\substack{\beta \leq \alpha \\ \beta \neq 0, \, \beta \neq \alpha}} h^{|\alpha| - |\beta|} h_1^{|\beta|} C_{h_1} |\alpha| A_{|\alpha| - 1} \right) \\
\leq \frac{C_{h_1}^s |p_0(w)|}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho |\alpha|}} \left((2h_1)^{|\alpha|} A_{\alpha} + A_{\alpha} h^{|\alpha|} \sum_{\substack{\beta \leq \alpha \\ \beta \neq 0, \, \beta \neq \alpha}} \left(\frac{h_1}{h} \right)^{|\beta|} \right) \\
\leq \frac{C_{h_1}^s h^{|\alpha|} |p_0(w)| A_{\alpha}}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho |\alpha|}} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2h_1}{h} \right)^r \sum_{|\beta| = r} 1 \\
= \frac{C_{h_1}^s h^{|\alpha|} |p_0(w)| A_{\alpha}}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho |\alpha|}} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \binom{r+2d-1}{2d-1} \left(\frac{2h_1}{h} \right)^r.$$

Finally, we observe that

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \binom{r+2d-1}{2d-1} \left(\frac{2h_1}{h}\right)^r \le \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2^{4d+1}h_1}{h}\right)^r \le 1.$$

This completes the induction.

In the $\{M_p\}$ case, there exist $h_1, C_{h_1} > 0$ such that (2.3) holds. Take h such that $2^{4d+2}h_1 \leq h$. Choose t and s as in (2.4) and (2.5). Then we can prove (2.6) in the same way as for the (M_p) case.

Remark 3. We observe that to prove Lemma 2.3 we can replace the assumption (M.4) on A_p by a weaker assumption. Namely we can assume that there exists K > 0 such that $\left(\frac{M_q}{q!}\right)^{1/q} \le K\left(\frac{M_p}{p!}\right)^{1/p}$, for all $1 \le q \le p$. In fact, the latter condition is the same adopted to prove that $1/f \in \mathcal{E}^*(\mathbb{R})$ when $f \in \mathcal{E}^*(\mathbb{R})$ and $\inf |f(x)| \ne 0$ (cf. [1] for the Beurling case and [23] for the Roumieu case). The proof in [1], [23] relies on careful considerations of the coefficients in the Faà di Bruno formula applied to the composition of the mapping $t \mapsto 1/t$ with $a(x,\xi)$. On the contrary (M.4) is needed to prove the next Lemma 2.4.

Lemma 2.4. Let $a \in \Gamma_{A_p,\rho}^{*,\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2d}\right)$ be $\Gamma_{A_p,\rho}^{*,\infty}$ -hypoelliptic. Define $p_0(x,\xi) = a(x,\xi)^{-1}$ and inductively

$$p_j(x,\xi) = -p_0(x,\xi) \sum_{0 < |\nu| < j} \frac{1}{\nu!} \partial_{\xi}^{\nu} p_{j-|\nu|}(x,\xi) D_x^{\nu} a(x,\xi), j \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Then, the functions p_i satisfy the following conditions:

there exist B > 0 such that for every h > 0 there exists C > 0 (resp. there exist h, C > 0) such that

$$\left| D_{\xi}^{\alpha} D_{x}^{\beta} p_{j}(x,\xi) \right| \leq C \frac{h^{|\alpha|+|\beta|+2j} A_{|\alpha|+|\beta|+2j} |p_{0}(x,\xi)|}{\langle (x,\xi) \rangle^{\rho(|\alpha|+|\beta|+2j)}}, \tag{2.7}$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^d$, $(x, \xi) \in Q_B^c$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$;

there exist m, B > 0 such that for every h > 0 there exists C > 0 (resp. there exist h, B > 0 such that for every m > 0 there exists C > 0) such that

$$\left| D_{\xi}^{\alpha} D_{x}^{\beta} p_{j}(x,\xi) \right| \leq C \frac{h^{|\alpha|+|\beta|+2j} A_{|\alpha|+|\beta|+2j} e^{M(m|x|)} e^{M(m|\xi|)}}{\langle (x,\xi) \rangle^{\rho(|\alpha|+|\beta|+2j)}}, \tag{2.8}$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^d$, $(x, \xi) \in Q_B^c$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Proof. First, observe that it is enough to prove (2.7) since (2.8) follows from (2.7) by (0.4) (possibly with different constants). As before, we put $w=(x,\xi)$. We will consider first the (M_p) case. Let h>0 be fixed. Choose $h_1>0$ so small such that $2^{9d+1}h_1\leq h$ and $e^{4^ddh_1/h}-1\leq 1/2$. Then by assumption and Lemma 2.3, there exists $C_{h_1}\geq 1$ such that

$$|D_w^{\alpha}a(w)| \leq C_{h_1} \frac{h_1^{|\alpha|}|a(w)|A_{\alpha}}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho|\alpha|}}, \ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2d}, \ w \in Q_B^c, \tag{2.9}$$

$$|D_w^{\alpha} p_0(w)| \leq C_{h_1} \frac{h_1^{|\alpha|} |p_0(w)| A_{\alpha}}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho|\alpha|}}, \ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2d}, \ w \in Q_B^c, \tag{2.10}$$

Take $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, such that

$$C_{h_1}^2 s' A_{s'-1} \le A_{s'}, \text{ for all } s' \ge s.$$
 (2.11)

We will prove that, for $j \geq 1$,

$$|D_w^{\alpha} p_j(w)| \le C_{h_1}^{2\min\{s,j\}+1} \frac{h^{|\alpha|+2j} A_{|\alpha|+2j} |p_0(w)|}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho(|\alpha|+2j)}}, \tag{2.12}$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2d}$, $w \in Q_B^c$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, which will prove the lemma in the (M_p) case. We can argue by induction on j. For j = 1, we have

$$|D_{w}^{\alpha}p_{1}(w)| \leq \sum_{\beta+\gamma+\delta=\alpha} \sum_{|\nu|=1} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!\delta!} \left| D_{w}^{\beta}p_{0}(w) \right| \left| D_{w}^{\gamma}D_{\xi}^{\nu}p_{0}(w) \right| \left| D_{w}^{\delta}D_{x}^{\nu}a(w) \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{C_{h_{1}}^{3}|p_{0}(w)|}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho(|\alpha|+2)}} \sum_{\beta+\gamma+\delta=\alpha} \frac{d \cdot \alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!\delta!} h_{1}^{|\beta|} A_{|\beta|} h^{|\gamma|+1} A_{|\gamma|+1} h_{1}^{|\delta|+1} A_{|\delta|+1}.$$

For $|\gamma| \geq 1$, by using Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$A_{|\gamma|+1} \le (|\gamma|+1)! \left(\frac{A_{|\alpha|+2}}{(|\alpha|+2)!}\right)^{\frac{|\gamma|}{|\alpha|+1}}.$$

For $|\gamma| = 0$ this trivially holds. Also, if $|\beta| \geq 2$,

$$A_{\beta} \leq |\beta|! \left(\frac{A_{|\alpha|+2}}{(|\alpha|+2)!}\right)^{\frac{|\beta|-1}{|\alpha|+1}} \leq |\beta|! \left(\frac{A_{|\alpha|+2}}{(|\alpha|+2)!}\right)^{\frac{|\beta|}{|\alpha|+1}}$$

and this obviously holds if $|\beta| = 1$ or $|\beta| = 0$ (note that (M.4) implies that $A_p \ge p!$ for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$). Moreover for $|\delta| \ge 1$, by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$A_{|\delta|+1} \le (|\delta|+1)! \left(\frac{A_{|\alpha|+2}}{(|\alpha|+2)!}\right)^{\frac{|\delta|}{|\alpha|+1}}.$$

If $|\delta| = 0$ this inequality obviously holds. Insert these inequalities in the estimate for $|D_w^{\alpha} p_1(w)|$ to obtain

$$|D_{w}^{\alpha}p_{1}(w)| \leq \frac{C_{h_{1}}^{3}h^{|\alpha|+2}A_{|\alpha|+2}|p_{0}(w)|}{\langle w\rangle^{\rho(|\alpha|+2)}} \sum_{\beta+\gamma+\delta=\alpha} \frac{d \cdot \alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!\delta!} \left(\frac{h_{1}}{h}\right)^{|\beta|+|\delta|+1} \cdot \frac{(|\gamma|+1)!|\beta|!(|\delta|+1)!}{(|\alpha|+2)!}.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{split} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!\delta!} &= \binom{\alpha}{\beta+\gamma} \binom{\beta+\gamma}{\beta} \leq \binom{|\alpha|}{|\beta+\gamma|} \binom{|\beta+\gamma|}{|\beta|} \\ &= \frac{|\alpha|!}{|\beta|!|\gamma|!|\delta|!} \leq \frac{(|\alpha|+1)!}{|\beta|!(|\gamma|+1)!|\delta|!} \leq \frac{(|\alpha|+2)!}{|\beta|!(|\gamma|+1)!(|\delta|+1)!}. \end{split}$$

We obtain

$$|D_w^{\alpha} p_1(w)| \le \frac{C_{h_1}^3 h^{|\alpha|+2} A_{|\alpha|+2} |p_0(w)|}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho(|\alpha|+2)}} \sum_{\beta + \gamma + \delta = \alpha} \left(\frac{2^d h_1}{h} \right)^{|\beta| + |\delta| + 1}.$$

Note that

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\beta+\gamma+\delta=\alpha} \left(\frac{2^d h_1}{h}\right)^{|\beta|+|\delta|+1} &\leq & \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\beta|+|\delta|=l} \left(\frac{2^d h_1}{h}\right)^{l+1} \\ &\leq & \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{l+4d-1}{4d-1} \left(\frac{2^d h_1}{h}\right)^{l+1} \\ &\leq & \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2^{9d} h_1}{h}\right)^{l+1} \leq 1, \end{split}$$

which completes the proof for j = 1. Suppose that it holds for all $j \le k$, $k \le s - 1$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. We will prove it for j = k + 1.

$$\begin{split} |D_{w}^{\alpha}p_{j}(w)| &\leq \sum_{\beta+\gamma+\delta=\alpha} \sum_{0<|\nu|\leq j} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!\delta!} \cdot \frac{1}{\nu!} |D_{w}^{\beta}p_{0}(w)| \cdot |D_{w}^{\gamma}D_{\xi}^{\nu}p_{j-|\nu|}(w)| \cdot |D_{w}^{\delta}D_{x}^{\nu}a(w)| \\ &\leq \frac{C_{h_{1}}^{2j+1}|p_{0}(w)|}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho(|\alpha|+2j)}} \sum_{\beta+\gamma+\delta=\alpha} \sum_{0<|\nu|\leq j} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!\delta!\nu!} \cdot h_{1}^{|\beta|}A_{|\beta|}h^{|\gamma|+2j-|\nu|}A_{|\gamma|+2j-|\nu|}h_{1}^{|\delta|+|\nu|}A_{|\delta|+|\nu|}, \end{split}$$

where we used the inductive hypothesis for the derivatives of the terms $p_{j-|\nu|}(w)$. By using Lemma 2.1, we obtain (note that $2j-|\nu| \geq 2$)

$$A_{|\gamma|+2j-|\nu|} \leq (|\gamma|+2j-|\nu|)! \left(\frac{A_{|\alpha|+2j}}{(|\alpha|+2j)!}\right)^{\frac{|\gamma|+2j-|\nu|-1}{|\alpha|+2j-1}} \\ \leq (|\gamma|+2j-|\nu|)! \left(\frac{A_{|\alpha|+2j}}{(|\alpha|+2j)!}\right)^{\frac{|\gamma|+2j-|\nu|}{|\alpha|+2j-1}},$$

where the last inequality follows from $A_p \geq p!$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, which in turn follows from (M.4). Also, if $|\beta| \geq 2$,

$$A_{\beta} \leq |\beta|! \left(\frac{A_{|\alpha|+2j}}{(|\alpha|+2j)!} \right)^{\frac{|\beta|-1}{|\alpha|+2j-1}} \leq |\beta|! \left(\frac{A_{|\alpha|+2j}}{(|\alpha|+2j)!} \right)^{\frac{|\beta|}{|\alpha|+2j-1}}$$

and this obviously holds if $|\beta| = 1$ or $|\beta| = 0$. Moreover for $|\delta| \ge 1$, by Lemma 2.1 (because $|\nu| \ge 1$), we have

$$A_{|\delta|+|\nu|} \leq (|\delta|+|\nu|)! \left(\frac{A_{|\alpha|+2j}}{(|\alpha|+2j)!}\right)^{\frac{|\delta|+|\nu|-1}{|\alpha|+2j-1}}.$$

If $|\delta| = 0$ and $|\nu| \ge 2$ Lemma 2.1 implies the same inequality and if $|\delta| = 0$ and $|\nu| = 1$ this inequality obviously holds. If we insert these inequalities in the estimate for $|D_w^{\alpha} p_j(w)|$, we obtain

 $|D_w^{\alpha}p_j(w)|$

$$\leq \frac{C_{h_{1}}^{2j+1}|p_{0}(w)|}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho(|\alpha|+2j)}} \sum_{\beta+\gamma+\delta=\alpha} \sum_{0<|\nu| \leq j} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!\delta!\nu!} h_{1}^{|\beta|} h^{|\gamma|+2j-|\nu|} h_{1}^{|\delta|+|\nu|} \\ \cdot (|\gamma|+2j-|\nu|)! \left(\frac{A_{|\alpha|+2j}}{(|\alpha|+2j)!} \right)^{\frac{|\gamma|+2j-|\nu|}{|\alpha|+2j-1}} |\beta|! \left(\frac{A_{|\alpha|+2j}}{(|\alpha|+2j)!} \right)^{\frac{|\beta|}{|\alpha|+2j-1}} \\ (|\delta|+|\nu|)! \left(\frac{A_{|\alpha|+2j}}{(|\alpha|+2j)!} \right)^{\frac{|\delta|+|\nu|-1}{|\alpha|+2j-1}} \\ = \frac{C_{h_{1}}^{2j+1} h^{|\alpha|+2j} A_{|\alpha|+2j} |p_{0}(w)|}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho(|\alpha|+2j)}} \sum_{\beta+\gamma+\delta=\alpha} \sum_{0<|\nu| \leq j} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!\delta!\nu!} \left(\frac{h_{1}}{h} \right)^{|\beta|+|\delta|+|\nu|} \\ \cdot \frac{(|\gamma|+2j-|\nu|)!|\beta|!(|\delta|+|\nu|)!}{(|\alpha|+2j)!}.$$

Similarly as above, we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!\delta!} & \leq & \frac{|\alpha|!}{|\beta|!|\gamma|!|\delta|!} \leq \frac{(|\alpha|+2j-|\nu|)!}{|\beta|!(|\gamma|+2j-|\nu|)!|\delta|!} \\ & \leq & \frac{(|\alpha|+2j)!}{|\beta|!(|\gamma|+2j-|\nu|)!(|\delta|+|\nu|)!}. \end{split}$$

We obtain

$$|D_w^{\alpha} p_j(w)| \le \frac{C_{h_1}^{2j+1} h^{|\alpha|+2j} A_{|\alpha|+2j} |p_0(w)|}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho(|\alpha|+2j)}} \sum_{\beta+\gamma+\delta=\alpha} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\nu|=r} \frac{1}{\nu!} \left(\frac{h_1}{h}\right)^{|\beta|+|\delta|+r}.$$

We have the estimate

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\beta+\gamma+\delta=\alpha} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\nu|=r} \frac{1}{\nu!} \left(\frac{h_1}{h} \right)^{|\beta|+|\delta|+r} \\ &\leq \sum_{\beta+\gamma+\delta=\alpha} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \binom{r+d-1}{d-1} \frac{d^r}{r!} \left(\frac{h_1}{h} \right)^{|\beta|+|\delta|+r} \\ &\leq \sum_{\beta+\gamma+\delta=\alpha} \left(\frac{h_1}{h} \right)^{|\beta|+|\delta|} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r!} \left(\frac{2^{2d}dh_1}{h} \right)^r \\ &= \left(e^{4^d dh_1/h} - 1 \right) \sum_{\beta+\gamma+\delta=\alpha} \left(\frac{h_1}{h} \right)^{|\beta|+|\delta|} = \left(e^{4^d dh_1/h} - 1 \right) \sum_{\beta+\delta\leq\alpha} \left(\frac{h_1}{h} \right)^{|\beta|+|\delta|} \\ &\leq \left(e^{4^d dh_1/h} - 1 \right) \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{h_1}{h} \right)^{l} \sum_{|\beta|+|\delta|=l} 1 \\ &= \left(e^{4^d dh_1/h} - 1 \right) \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{h_1}{h} \right)^{l} \binom{l+4d-1}{4d-1} \\ &\leq \left(e^{4^d dh_1/h} - 1 \right) \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2^{8d}h_1}{h} \right)^{l} \leq 1. \end{split}$$

Hence, we proved (2.12) for $1 \le j \le s$. Suppose that it holds for all $j \le k$, $k \ge s$. For j = k + 1, similarly as above, we obtain

$$|D_{w}^{\alpha}p_{j}(w)| \leq \frac{C_{h_{1}}^{2s+1}|p_{0}(w)|}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho(|\alpha|+2j)}} \sum_{\beta+\gamma+\delta=\alpha} \sum_{0<|\nu|\leq j} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!\delta!\nu!} \cdot C_{h_{1}}^{2} h_{1}^{|\beta|} A_{|\beta|} h^{|\gamma|+2j-|\nu|} A_{|\gamma|+2j-|\nu|} h_{1}^{|\delta|+|\nu|} A_{|\delta|+|\nu|}.$$

Note that $|\gamma| + 2j - |\nu| \ge s$, so, by (2.11), we have

$$C_{h_1}^2 A_{|\gamma|+2j-|\nu|} \le A_{|\gamma|+2j-|\nu|+1}/(|\gamma|+2j-|\nu|+1).$$

Also $|\gamma| + 2j - |\nu| + 1 \le |\alpha| + 2j$, hence Lemma 2.1 implies

$$C_{h_1}^2 A_{|\gamma|+2j-|\nu|} \leq \frac{A_{|\gamma|+2j-|\nu|+1}}{|\gamma|+2j-|\nu|+1} \leq (|\gamma|+2j-|\nu|)! \left(\frac{A_{|\alpha|+2j}}{(|\alpha|+2j)!}\right)^{\frac{|\gamma|+2j-|\nu|}{|\alpha|+2j-1|}}.$$

In the same manner as above we obtain

$$A_{\beta} \leq |\beta|! \left(\frac{A_{|\alpha|+2j}}{(|\alpha|+2j)!} \right)^{\frac{|\beta|}{|\alpha|+2j-1}} \text{ and } A_{|\delta|+|\nu|} \leq (|\delta|+|\nu|)! \left(\frac{A_{|\alpha|+2j}}{(|\alpha|+2j)!} \right)^{\frac{|\delta|+|\nu|-1}{|\alpha|+2j-1}}.$$

If we insert these inequalities in the estimate for $|D_w^{\alpha}p_j(w)|$ and use the above inequality for $\frac{\alpha!}{\beta!\gamma!\delta!}$ we obtain

$$|D_w^{\alpha} p_j(w)| \le \frac{C_{h_1}^{2s+1} h^{|\alpha|+2j} A_{|\alpha|+2j} |p_0(w)|}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho(|\alpha|+2j)}} \sum_{\beta+\gamma+\delta=\alpha} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\nu|=r} \frac{1}{\nu!} \left(\frac{h_1}{h}\right)^{|\beta|+|\delta|+r}.$$

We already proved that $\sum_{\beta+\gamma+\delta=\alpha}\sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\sum_{|\nu|=r}\frac{1}{\nu!}\left(\frac{h_1}{h}\right)^{|\beta|+|\delta|+r}\leq 1, \text{ hence the proof for the } (M_p) \text{ case is complete.}$

Next, we consider the $\{M_p\}$ case. By assumption and Lemma 2.3, there exist $h_1, C_{h_1} \geq 1$ such that (2.9) and (2.10) hold. Take h so large such that $2^{9d+1}h_1 \leq h$ and $e^{4^d dh_1/h} - 1 \leq 1/2$. There exists $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $C_{h_1}^2 s' A_{s'-1} \leq A_{s'}$, for all $s' \geq s$. One proves that

$$|D_w^{\alpha} p_j(w)| \leq C_{h_1}^{2 \min\{s,j\}+1} \frac{h^{|\alpha|+2j} A_{|\alpha|+2j} |p_0(w)|}{\langle w \rangle^{\rho(|\alpha|+2j)}},$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2d}$, $w \in Q_B^c$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, by induction on j in the same manner as for (2.12) in the (M_p) case. This completes the proof in the $\{M_p\}$ case.

Theorem 2.5. Let $a \in \Gamma_{A_p,\rho}^{*,\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2d}\right)$ be $\Gamma_{A_p,\rho}^{*,\infty}$ -hypoelliptic. Then there exist *-regularizing operators T and T' and $b,b' \in \Gamma_{A_p,\rho}^{*,\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2d}\right)$ such that $b(x,D)a(x,D) = \mathrm{Id} + T$ and $a(x,D)b'(x,D) = \mathrm{Id} + T'$.

Proof. Let p_j , $j \in \mathbb{N}$, be as in Lemma 2.4. Then the functions p_0 and p_j , $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, satisfy the estimates given in Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4. Since A_p satisfies (M.1) and (M.2), these estimates are equivalent to the following:

there exist m, B > 0 such that for every h > 0 there exists C > 0 (resp. there exist h, B > 0 such that for every m > 0 there exists C > 0) such that

$$\left| D_{\xi}^{\alpha} D_{x}^{\beta} p_{j}(x,\xi) \right| \leq C \frac{h^{|\alpha|+|\beta|+2j} A_{\alpha} A_{\beta} A_{j}^{2} e^{M(m|x|)} e^{M(m|\xi|)}}{\langle (x,\xi) \rangle^{\rho(|\alpha|+|\beta|+2j)}}, \tag{2.13}$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^d$, $(x, \xi) \in Q_B^c$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$. One can modify p_0 near the boundary of Q_B^c so that it can be extended to C^{∞} function on \mathbb{R}^{2d} and satisfy (2.13) on the whole \mathbb{R}^{2d} . Hence, (2.13) remains true for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with larger B. We obtain $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_j \in FS_{A_p,\rho}^{\infty,*}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. Let $b \sim \sum_j p_j$, $b \in \Gamma_{A_p,\rho}^{*,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. By Theorem 1.4 there exist $c \in \Gamma_{A_p,\rho}^{*,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ and a *-regularizing operator \widetilde{T}'_1 such that $b(x,D)a(x,D) = c(x,D) + \widetilde{T}$ and c has the asymptotic expansion $c \sim \sum_j c_j$, where

$$c_j(x,\xi) = \sum_{s+l=j} \sum_{|\nu|=l} \frac{1}{\nu!} \partial_{\xi}^{\nu} p_s(x,\xi) D_x^{\nu} a(x,\xi).$$

One easily verifies that $c_0(x,\xi) = 1$ on Q_B^c . Also, for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$c_{j} = p_{j}a + \sum_{l=1}^{j} \sum_{|\nu|=l} \frac{1}{\nu!} \partial_{\xi}^{\nu} p_{j-l} \cdot D_{x}^{\nu} a = p_{j}a + \sum_{0 < |\nu| \le j} \frac{1}{\nu!} \partial_{\xi}^{\nu} p_{j-|\nu|} \cdot D_{x}^{\nu} a = 0,$$

on Q_B^c , by the definition of p_j . Hence, b(x, D)a(x, D) = Id+T for some *-regularizing operator T. With similar constructions one obtains b' such that a(x, D)b'(x, D) = Id + T', where T' is a *-regularizing operator.

Proof of Theorem 0.2. Let $u \in \mathcal{S}^{*'}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a solution of $a(x, D)u = v \in \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then, applying the left parametrix b(x, D) of a(x, D), we obtain u = b(x, D)v - Tu for some *-regularizing operator T. Hence $u \in \mathcal{S}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The theorem is proved. \square

References

- [1] J. Bruna, On inverse-closed algebras of infinitely differentiable functions, Studia Math. 69 1 (1981), 59-68
- [2] M. Cappiello, Gelfand spaces and pseudodifferential operators of infinite order in \mathbb{R}^n , Ann. Univ Ferrara, Sez. VII, Sc. Mat., 48 (2002), 75-97
- [3] M. Cappiello, Pseudodifferential parametrices of infinite order for SG-hyperbolic problems, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Pol. Torino, 61 4 (2003), 411-441
- [4] M. Cappiello, Fourier integral operators of infinite order and applications to SG-hyperbolic equations, Tsukuba J. Math. 28 (2004), 311-361
- [5] R. Carmichael, A. Kamiński and S. Pilipović, Boundary Values and Convolution in Ultradistribution Spaces, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., 2007
- [6] Cattabriga, L. and Mari, D., Parametrix of infinite order on Gevrey spaces to the Cauchy problem for hyperbolic operators with one constant multiple characteristic, Ric. Mat., 36 Suppl. Vol. XXXVI (1987), 127-147.
- [7] Cattabriga, L. and Zanghirati, L., Fourier integral operators of infinite order on Gevrey spaces. Application to the Cauchy problem for certain hyperbolic operators, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., 30 (1990), 142-192.
- [8] C. Fernández, A. Galbis, D. Jornet, Pseudodifferential operators on nonquasianalytic classes of Beurling type, Studia Math. 167 (2005), no. 2, 99-131.
- [9] I.M. Gelfand and G.E. Shilov, Generalized functions II, Academic Press, New York, 1968.
- [10] T. Gramchev, S. Pilipović and L. Rodino, Eigenfunction expansions in \mathbb{R}^n , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **139** (2011), no. 12, 4361-4368.
- [11] S. Hashimoto, T. Matsuzawa and Y. Morimoto, Opérateurs pseudodifférentiels et classes de Gevrey, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 8 (1983), 1277-1289.
- [12] H. Komatsu, Ultradistributions, I: Structure theorems and a characterization,
 J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA Math., 20 1 (1973), 25-105
- [13] H. Komatsu, Ultradistributions, II: The kernel theorem and ultradistributions with support in submanifold, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA Math., 24 3 (1977), 607-628

- [14] H. Komatsu, An analogue of the Cauchy-Kowalevsky theorem for ultradifferentiable functions and a division theorem of ultradistributions as its dual, (1979)
- [15] H. Komatsu, The implicit function theorem for ultradifferentiable mappings, Proc. Japan Acad., Ser. A **55** 3 (1979), 69-72
- [16] H. Komatsu, Ultradistributions, III: Vector valued ultradistributions and the theory of kernels, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA Math., 29 3 (1982), 653-717
- [17] O. Liess and L. Rodino, Inhomogeneous Gevrey classes and related pseudodifferential operators. Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. C (6) 3 (1984), no. 1, 233-323.
- [18] S. Pilipović, Tempered ultradistributions, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B (7) 2 (1988), no. 2, 235-251
- [19] S. Pilipović, Characterizations of bounded sets in spaces of ultradistributions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 120 4 (1994), 1191-1206
- [20] S. Pilipović and N. Teofanov, Pseudo-differential operators on ultra-modulation spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 208 (2004), 194-228.
- [21] B. Prangoski, Pseudodifferential operators of infinite order in spaces of tempered ultradistributions, J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl. 4 (2013), 495-549.
- [22] L. Rodino, Linear partial differential operators in Gevrey spaces, World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore, 1993.
- [23] W. Rudin, Division in algebras of infinitely differentiable functions, No. MRC-TSR-273. WISCONSIN UNIV-MADISON MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTER, 1961
- [24] M. Shubin, *Pseudodifferential operators and the spectral theory*, Springer Series in Soviet Mathematics, Springer Verlag, Berlin 1987.
- [25] L. Zanghirati, Pseudodifferential operators of infinite order and Gevrey classes, Ann. Univ Ferrara, Sez. VII, Sc. Mat., 31 (1985), 197-219.

 $email: \ marco. cappiello @unito. it$

email: stevan.pilipovic@gmail.com

email: bprangoski@yahoo.com

^a Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Torino, via Carlo Alberto 10, 10123 Torino, Italy

^b Department of Mathematics and Informatics, Faculty of Sciences, University of Novi Sad, Novi Sad, Serbia

^c Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University Ss. Cyril and Methodius, Skopje, Macedonia