

This is a pre print version of the following article:



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Prevalence of HPV infection in racial-ethnic subgroups of head and neck cancer patients

Original Citation:	
Availability:	
This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1634725	since 2017-05-16T16:22:16Z
Published version:	
DOI:10.1093/carcin/bgw203	
Terms of use:	
Open Access	
Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or protection by the applicable law.	terms and conditions of said license. Use

(Article begins on next page)

Prevalence of HPV Infection in Racial-Ethnic Subgroups of Head and Neck Cancer Patients

Camille Ragin^{1,2,\phi*}, Jeffrey C. Liu³, Gieira Jones², Olubunmi Shoyele⁴, Bukola Sowunmi¹, Rachel Kennett¹, Denise Gibbs^{1\phi}, Elizabeth Blackman^{1,2\phi}, Michael Esan¹, Margaret S. Brandwein⁵, Karthik Devarajan⁶, Francesco Bussu⁷, Rebecca Chernock⁸, Chih-Yen Chien⁹, Marc A. Cohen¹⁰, Samir El-Mofty⁸, Mikio Suzuki¹¹, Gypsyamber D'Souza¹², Pauline Funchain¹³, Charis Eng¹³, Susanne M. Gollin¹⁴, Angela Hong¹⁵, Yuh-S Jung¹⁶, Maximilian Krüger¹⁷, James Lewis Jr¹⁸, Patrizia Morbini¹⁹, Santo Landolfo²⁰, Massimo Rittà²⁰, Jos Straetmans²¹, Krisztina Szarka²², Ruth Tachezy²³, Francis P Worden²⁴, Deborah Nelson², Samuel Gathere^{25,\phi}, Emanuela Taioli^{26,\phi}

¹Cancer Prevention and Control Program, Fox Chase Cancer Center – Temple Health, Philadelphia, PA, USA

²Department of Epidemiology & Biostatistics, Temple University, College of Public Health, Philadelphia, PA, USA

³Department of Otolaryngology, Temple University; and Fox Chase Cancer Center, Philadelphia, PA, USA

⁴Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Danbury Hospital, Danbury, CT, USA

⁵Department of Pathology and Anatomical Sciences, SUNY at the University at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY, USA

⁶Department of Biostatistics, Fox Chase Cancer Center – Temple Health, Philadelphia, PA, USA

⁷Institute of Otolaryngology, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Policlinico Agostino Gemelli, Rome, Italy

⁸Department of Pathology and Immunology, Washington University School of Medicine, St Louis, MO, USA

⁹Department of Otolaryngology, Kaohsiung Chang Gung Memorial Hospital and Chang Gung University College of Medicine, Kaohsiung, Taiwan

¹⁰Department of Surgery, Head and Neck Service, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA

¹¹Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, Graduate School of Medicine, University of the Ryukyus, Okinawa, Japan

- ¹² Department of Epidemiology, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, MD, USA
- ¹³Genomic Medicine Institute, Cleveland Clinic Lerner Research Institute, Cleveland, OH, USA
- ¹⁴Department of Human Genetics, Graduate School of Public Health, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA USA
- ¹⁵Central Clinical School, The University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia
- ¹⁶Department of Otolaryngology, Research Institute and Hospital, National Cancer Center, Gyeonggi-do, Korea
- ¹⁷Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Plastic Surgery, University Medical Center of the Johannes Gutenberg-University, Mainz, Germany
- ¹⁸Department of Pathology, Microbiology, and Immunology, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, 37232, USA
- ¹⁹Department of Molecular Medicine, Unit of Pathology, University of Pavia, and à IRCCS Policlinico S. Matteo Foundation, Pavia, Italy
- ²⁰Department of Sciences of Public Health and Pediatrics, University of Turin, Turin, Italy
- ²¹Department of Otorhinolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, GROW Institute, Maastricht University Medical Centre, Maastricht, The Netherlands
- ²²Department of Medical Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Debrecen, Hungary
- ²³Department of Immunology, Institute of Hematology and Blood Transfusion National Reference Laboratory for Papillomaviruses, Prague, Czech Republic.
- ²⁴Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Hematology-Oncology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA
- ²⁵Non Communicable Diseases Research Programme, Kenya Medical Research Institute, Nairobi, Kenya
- ²⁶Departments of Population Health Science and Policy, of Thoracic Surgery, and Institute For Translational Epidemiology, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA
- ^{\$\phi}African Caribbean Cancer Consortium, Philadelphia, PA

*To whom correspondence should be addressed Cancer Prevention and Control Program Fox Chase Cancer Center 333 Cottman Avenue Philadelphia, PA 19111 Camille.ragin@fccc.edu

Phone: 215-728-1148 FAX: 215-214-1622

Running head: Head and neck cancer, HPV infection and Race

ABSTRACT

The landscape of HPV infection in racial/ethnic subgroups of head and neck cancer (HNC) patients has not been evaluated carefully. In this study, a meta-analysis examined the prevalence of HPV in HNC patients of African ancestry. Additionally, a pooled analysis of subject-level data was also performed to investigate HPV prevalence and patterns of p16 (CDNK2A) expression amongst different racial groups. Eighteen publications (N = 798 Black HNC patients) were examined in the meta-analysis, and the pooled analysis included 29 datasets comprised of 3,129 HNC patients of diverse racial/ethnic background. The meta-analysis revealed that the prevalence of HPV16 was higher among Blacks with oropharyngeal cancer than Blacks with non-oropharyngeal cancer. However, there was great heterogeneity observed among studies (Q test P<0.0001). In the pooled analysis, after adjusting for each study, year of diagnosis, age, gender and smoking status, the prevalence of HPV16/18 in oropharyngeal cancer patients was highest in Whites (61.1%), followed by 58.0% in Blacks and 25.2% in Asians (P<0.0001). There was no statistically significant difference in HPV16/18 prevalence in nonoropharyngeal cancer by race (P=0.682). With regard to the pattern of HPV16/18 status and p16 expression, White patients had the highest proportion of HPV16/18+/p16+ oropharyngeal cancer (52.3%), while Asians and Blacks had significantly lower proportions (23.0% and 22.6%, respectively) [P < 0.0001]. Our findings suggest that the pattern of HPV16/18 status and p16 expression in oropharyngeal cancer that appears to differ by race and this may contribute to survival disparities by race.

Introduction

Head and neck cancer (HNC) is the sixth most common cancer in the world, accounting for approximately 4% of all cancer cases (1). In 2012, there were an estimated 599,637 new cases of cancer of the oral cavity, larynx and oropharynx, and 324,794 deaths attributed to the disease worldwide(1). Although tobacco and alcohol use are the primary risk factors for developing HNC, human papillomavirus (HPV) is also an established risk factor for cancers arising in the oropharynx (2;3). Recently, HPV has also been reported to be associated with a subset of oral cavity cancers (4;5), but an etiological role has not been clearly demonstrated.

A recent review and meta-analysis from our group of head and neck cancer survival in relation to HPV demonstrated a survival advantage for all HPV-positive patients (6), but the survival advantage was only significant for patients with cancer of the oropharynx. Compared to patients with HPV-negative oropharyngeal cancer, the risk of death and risk of recurrence for patients with HPV-positive oropharyngeal cancer was reduced by ~28% and ~49%, respectively. In the United States (US), a clear disparity in HNC survival has been reported between Black and White patients, particularly for oropharyngeal cancers. Poor survival rates for Black Americans compared to White Americans have been observed (7), and some studies have suggested that this disparity may be explained at least partially by a difference in prevalence of HPV infection (8-10). Comparisons of HPV prevalence in cancer of the oral cavity and larynx between various racial/ethnic populations have been reported in a recent meta-analysis (11). However, a summary of HPV prevalence for Black patients was only reported for oral cavity cancer

in this study (11). Furthermore, an assessment of attributed survival differences for oropharyngeal cancer between racial/ethnic populations was not conducted.

The goal of the present study was to develop a more complete perspective of the landscape of HPV infection in ethnic subgroups of head and neck cancer patients by examining the published literature. We conducted a meta-analysis examining the prevalence of HPV in the Black population. We also performed a pooled analysis of cases reporting HNC and HPV status using subject-level data from the published literature to investigate HPV segregation and prevalence amongst different ethnic groups.

Materials and methods

This study was approved by the Fox Chase Cancer Center Institutional Review Committee.

Literature review and data collection

A PubMed search was conducted (from inception to December 2014) using the search terms, ("human papillomavirus"[All Fields] OR "HPV"[All Fields]) AND ("squamous cell carcinoma"[All Fields] OR "cancer"[All Fields]) AND ("oropharyngeal"[All Fields] OR "oropharynx"[All Fields] OR "head and neck"[All Fields] OR "tonsil"[All Fields]). All abstracts and full text of articles from the PubMed search were reviewed independently by two reviewers. When there was a discrepancy between reviewers, a third reviewer evaluated the article(s) to resolve the discrepancy. All studies that tested for the presence of HPV in head and neck cancer tissues from patients diagnosed with squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck (oral cavity, oropharynx, larynx and hypopharynx) were

eligible for inclusion in this analysis. The bibliographies of several review articles were also examined in order to identify additional publications that might have been missed by our PubMed search (11-15). This review identified 291 original articles that qualified conditionally for the analysis. Studies that used serology methods to detect HPV antibodies were excluded from the analysis, as this method does not identify which tissue is infected by HPV. Studies that primarily evaluated HPV in lip cancers were excluded from this analysis, with the exception of studies where it was impossible to distinguish lip cancer data from the other head and neck subsites. In addition, case reports and studies that included only HPV-positive head and neck cancer tumors/patients were excluded. Additional exclusion criteria includes studies of HNC patients who were co-infected with other diseases, such as HIV; studies in which the cancer tissues were sampled via cytobrushing and not biopsy or surgery; studies that classified HNC as HPV-related or HPV non-related tumors based on tumor site without directly testing that tissue for HPV; studies in which fewer than 80% of the eligible cases were tested for HPV; and studies that selected patient samples non-randomly, but applied pre-defined criteria for patient inclusion (e.g., patients with undifferentiated carcinoma only, metastasis only, positive lymph nodes only, advanced stage only, patients who underwent a specific treatment regimen, studies where smoking and drinking patient tissues were matched with nonsmoker and nondrinker patient tissues, etc.). For overlapping studies, the publication with the largest population and/or more complete information was included in this analysis. After accounting for these inclusion and exclusion criteria, 140 articles with data for all racial/ethnic populations were eligible for inclusion in this study. Of these, only 18 articles presented data that could be abstracted and were included in the meta-analysis

of Black cancer patients. All 140 articles were eligible for inclusion in the pooled analysis.

A flow diagram of study selection is illustrated in Figure 1.

Meta-analysis of Black HNC patients. From each of the 18 articles that included data from Black HNC patients (818 cases), information on the number of patients, HPV prevalence, HPV genotype, tumor subsite, mean age, year of cancer diagnosis, geographic location of the study, tissue source, HPV test methodology and HPV-infected cancer site were extracted and tabulated. All data were abstracted independently by two reviewers and cross-referenced to confirm that there were no data entry errors. Three studies that included data for fewer than 10 Black patients (16-18) were therefore excluded from the meta-analysis, leaving 15 studies including 798 cases.

Pooled analysis. All investigators from the 140 studies were invited to submit their subject-level data for this pooled analysis; data from 22 studies were obtained. The remaining study investigators either did not respond or did not wish to participate. Common data elements included in the pooled analysis were HPV test method, HPV status, HPV genotype, DNA source, geographic location of the study, age at diagnosis, gender, race/ethnicity, p16 status, tobacco and alcohol use, clinical variables (such as tumor site, histology and stage) and survival variables (such as vital status and follow-up time). Seven additional articles reported demographic, clinical, HPV results, tobacco, alcohol and survival data in the publications, which enabled us to create pseudo-datasets for inclusion in the pooled analysis. All patients included in this analysis were diagnosed with cancers of the oral cavity, oropharynx, or larynx. Patients with hypopharyngeal cancers were grouped with the patients with cancers of the larynx. Patients with metastases or

unknown primaries were excluded from this analysis. In total, there were 29 datasets including a total of 3,129 head and neck cancer cases.

Statistical Analysis

The Meta-proportion of any HPV and HPV16 only was calculated for all HNC subsites combined as well as separately for oropharynx and non-oropharynx data. All statistical analyses were performed using Intercooled STATA SE (version 10) software (StataCorp. LP, College Station, TX). Meta-analyses of the proportion of HPV-positive HNC were performed using the *metaprop* command in STATA. HPV proportions were calculated for each individual study and the reported confidence intervals were based on Clopper-Pearson exact binomial procedures(19). Pooled proportions of the multiple studies were estimated using a random effects model. The Meta-prevalence estimates were calculated by multiplying the Meta-proportion and confidence interval values by 100. The Q-statistics were used to test for heterogeneity between the studies included in the meta-analyses. The ℓ metric was also calculated to quantify variation between studies (20). Large between-study variation was observed when the ℓ values were \geq 50% while moderate between-study heterogeneity was denoted by ℓ values between 25-50%. Evidence of publication bias or small study effects (p<0.05) was assessed using the Egger's test (21).

For the pooled analysis, unequal variance in age was observed between categories of race. Therefore, a square root transformation of age at diagnosis was performed. Adjusted HPV prevalence and 95% confidence intervals for each racial/ethnic group was calculated from logistic regression estimates for HPV-positive status, adjusting for study, year of diagnosis, square root of age, sex, history of alcohol drinking, and

smoking history. The adjusted prevalence refers to the average HPV prevalence while averaging the values of the covariates in the regression model. The logistic coefficients and standard errors are provided in Supplementary Materials. A Likelihood Ratio chisquare test was performed to evaluate differences between the adjusted prevalence according to race and an analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare the mean square root of age at diagnosis between racial groups (p-values for pairwise comparisons were Bonferroni adjusted). P-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Mean age at diagnosis for each stratum was back transformed and reported. Follow-up time for overall survival refers to the interval between date of diagnosis and the date of last contact (if the patient was alive) or date of death. Hazard ratios (HR) were calculated and adjusted for each study and other confounders for risk of death or risk of disease progression (i.e., disease persistence, recurrence and/or metastasis). HR<1.0 represents an overall survival benefit and HR>1.0 represents poor overall survival.

Results

Meta-analysis, description of studies

Table 1 summarizes all published studies from which data were available to estimate HPV (any HPV or HPV16) prevalence in Black populations. Study size ranged from 13 to 161 patients. The majority (13/15, 87%) of studies included Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)-based methods to test for the presence of HPV DNA. For all site strata (all head and neck, oropharynx and non-oropharynx), large heterogeneity was observed between the studies (Q test p-value range from 0.000-0.048; I² values range from 62.1%-

94.6%). Nevertheless, as expected, the prevalence of any HPV or HPV16 was higher among oropharyngeal cancer patients (any HPV: 31.5%, 95% CI = 17.7-47.1; HPV16: 45.7%, 95% CI = 25.5-66.6) in comparison to non-oropharyngeal cancer patients (any HPV: 14.5%, 95% CI = 1.4-36.0; HPV16: 1.1%, 95% CI = 0.0-6.0). There was no evidence of publication bias or small study effect. The reasons for underlying heterogeneity were explored by stratifying the dataset according to geographic region (Sub-Saharan Africa vs. US) as well as HPV test methods (ISH vs. PCR/RT-PCR). Large heterogeneity remained when stratified by HPV test method (data not shown). When stratified by geographic region (see Supplementary Table 1), large heterogeneity was still observed except when data were limited to HPV16 infections only. For all head and neck subsites combined, the meta-prevalence of HPV16 in patients from Sub-Saharan Africa (N = 4 studies) was 1.0% (95% CI = 0.0-3.9), Q test p-value was 0.129, I^2 was 47.0%. Large heterogeneity was still observed between the remaining eight studies that included patients from the US (Q test P <0.0001, $I^2 = 89\%$). Further stratification of the Sub-Saharan Africa studies according to head and neck subsite resulted in a meta-prevalence of HPV16 in non-oropharyngeal cancers at 0.1% (95% CI = 0.0-1.8, Q test p-value = 0.768, $I^2 = 0.0\%$). The only study in the US that reported HPV16 data for nonoropharyngeal cancer showed a higher prevalence (13.6%, 95% CI = 1.9-31.7) than that of patients in Sub-Saharan Africa. There were no studies in Sub-Saharan Africa that reported data for HPV16 in oropharyngeal cancer patients and the large heterogeneity remained for the US studies that reported HPV16 data in Black oropharyngeal cancer patients.

Pooled analysis, description of studies

There were a total of 3,129 patients included in this analysis (Table 2). Variations among the 29 studies were noted with regard to study size, the geographic region where the study was conducted, tumor site, and the tissue source. Studies varied in size from 15 to 489 patients and were conducted mostly in Europe (48%, 14/29 studies), followed by the US (31%, 9/29), Asia (17%, 5/29) and a single study in Australia. Most of the studies (65%, 19/29) involved patients diagnosed with cancers at both oropharyngeal and non-oropharyngeal sites (oral cavity, larynx, hypopharynx and non-oropharyngeal sites not otherwise specified). The remaining studies included patients diagnosed with oropharyngeal cancers only. Formalin-Fixed Paraffin-Embedded (FFPE) tissues were examined in 66% of studies to test for the presence of HPV, rather than Fresh Frozen (FF) or Fresh Tissue (FT). All except for four studies used PCR methodology to detect HPV DNA, using either consensus or type-specific primers, and of these, five also evaluated HPV status using DNA in situ hybridization combined with PCR. Two studies detected HPV RNA using only RT-PCR and the other two detected both HPV RNA and DNA using RT-PCR and PCR. CDKN2A (p16) expression was evaluated in 16 studies using immunohistochemistry. With regard to race/ethnicity, the pooled dataset was diverse with patients representing African, African American, Asian and White populations. There was one study that included Aboriginal Australian patients. These patients were combined with the African and African American patients and classified as Black. There were 82 patients classified as other race (for 63 patients race was unknown and 19 patients included Pacific Islander, Middle Eastern, Indian, Hispanic or other not otherwise specified). These patients were grouped and classified as other race. Followup time was available for 19 studies and ranged from 0.03 to 244.5 months with a mean follow-up of 41.7 months and a median follow-up of 30.6 months.

Prevalence of HPV16 and HPV18 according to race and head and neck subsite

The prevalence of HPV16 and/or HPV18 (HPV16,18) stratified by race was calculated for all head and neck cancers, oropharyngeal cancers only and nonoropharyngeal cancers only after adjusting for study, year of diagnosis, age, gender, alcohol drinking, and smoking status (Table 3, and Supplementary Table 2 which summarizes the logistic coefficients and standard errors). As expected, the overall mean age for HPV-positive patients diagnosed with oropharyngeal cancers was lower than the mean age of HPV-positive patients diagnosed with non-oropharyngeal cancers irrespective of whether the patient carried HPV16 or HPV18 in their tumor. The mean age at diagnosis was 56.3 years for HPV16,18+ oropharyngeal cancer patients, was 60.1 years for HPV16,18+ non-oropharyngeal HNC patients (p<0.0001). There was no statistically significant difference in the mean age at diagnosis of HPV16,18+ oropharyngeal cancer patients according to race. However, for non-oropharyngeal head and neck cancer patients, Bonferroni post-hoc test shows that Asians were statistically significantly older compared to Whites (HPV16,18: Asians, 64.1 years vs. Whites, 54.9 years, P = 0.038).

As expected, the prevalence of HPV16,18 was higher in oropharyngeal cancer tissues compared to non-oropharyngeal cancer tissues (HPV16,18: 48.7% vs. 18.2%). HPV16 was the predominant genotype carried in all patient tissues, 46.6% of oropharyngeal cancer patients and 13.4% of non-oropharyngeal head and neck cancer

patients were positive for this genotype. In contrast, only approximately 1-2% of patients carried HPV18, irrespective of whether the cancer was diagnosed in the oropharynx or at a non-oropharyngeal head and neck site.

For oropharyngeal cancers, there was a statistically significant difference in the prevalence of HPV16,18 according to race. White patients had the highest prevalence of HPV16,18+ cancers followed by Blacks then Asians, however, only the prevalence in Asian patients was statistically significantly lower (61.1% vs. 58.0% and 25.2%, respectively; P <0.0001). A similar pattern was observed for the prevalence of HPV16 infections. However, for HPV18, Black patients had the highest prevalence (14.8%) compared to Asians (1.6%) and Whites (1.1%) and this difference was statistically significant (P = 0.0025). For the non-oropharyngeal cancer patients, there was no statistically significant difference in HPV16 and/or 18 prevalence according to race.

Expression of p16 and HPV16,18 DNA according to race in oropharynx cancer patients

Twelve studies (1,397 patients) presented with both HPV16,18 and p16 data. Among oropharyngeal cancer patients, the pattern of combined HPV16,18 and p16 status differed according to race, and this difference was statistically significant (Figure 2A, p<0.0001). White patients had the highest proportion of cancers that were HPV16,18+/p16+ (52.3%). In contrast, Asian and Black patients had lower proportions of tumors with HPV16,18+/p16+ cancers (23.0% and 22.6%, respectively). In addition, Black patients had a higher proportion of cancers that were HPV16,18+, but p16- compared to Asian and White patients (31.1% vs. 10.5% and 4.7%, respectively). The proportion of patients with HPV16,18-/p16- disease also differed significantly by race. Asian patients

had the highest proportion of HPV16,18-/p16- cancers, in contrast to Black and White patients (66.8% vs. 37.7% and 29.6%, respectively).

When the oropharyngeal cancer patients were stratified according to smoking history, the pattern of combined HPV16,18 and p16 status according to race cosegregated with the fraction of patients that were ever smokers (Figure 2B). Among never smokers (Figure 2C), as expected, patients with HPV16,18+/p16+ cancers comprised the predominant fraction among Asian, Black and White patients. However, White patients still had the highest proportion, and Asian patients had the lowest (White: 80.1%, Black: 62.5% Asian: 39.6%, p<0.0001). Even among never smokers, Asians continued to have the largest proportion of patients with HPV16,18-/p16- cancers (37.4%), which was almost equal to the proportion of HPV16,18+/p16+ cancers (39.6%) observed in this subgroup.

Predictors of overall survival for oropharyngeal cancer patients according to race

Independent predictors of overall survival for oropharyngeal cancer patients were age at diagnosis, smoking history, late stage (III/IV) at diagnosis, and combined HPV16,18 and p16 status (Table 4). Patients with HPV16,18-/p16+ cancers had an increased risk of death compared to patients with HPV16,18+/p16+ oropharyngeal cancers. There was also an even greater increased risk of death for patients with p16- cancers irrespective of HPV status. When stratified according to smoking history, among never smokers, HPV16,18-/p16- patients were the only group with a statistically significantly increased risk of death compared to HPV16,18+/p16+ patients (Hazard Ratio[HR]: 2.70, 95% Confidence Interval [CI] 1.12-6.51). Patients with HPV16,18+/p16- or HPV16,18-/p16+

oropharyngeal cancers also had an increased risk of death compared to patients with HPV16,18+/p16+ oropharyngeal cancers, but the hazard ratios were not statistically significant. When stratified according to race, non-White patients differed in comparison to White patients regarding risk of death based on HPV16,18/p16 status. Table 4 shows that p16 status rather than HPV DNA status appeared to be a predictor of overall survival for non-White patients, but not for White patients. For non-Whites, the risk of death was statistically significantly increased for patients with p16-negative oropharyngeal cancers, irrespective of HPV16,18 status (HPV16,18+/p16-: HR = 2.95, 95% CI = 1.60-5.42, HPV16,18-/p16-: HR = 3.11, 95% CI = 1.97-4.92 vs. HPV16,18-/p16+: HR = 0.69, 95% CI = 0.24-2.01). In contrast, the risk of death for White patients with p16+ cancers was dependent upon HPV16,18 status. White patients with HPV16,18-/p16+ oropharyngeal cancers had an increased risk of death (HR = 2.91, 95% CI = 1.72-4.92) in comparison to White patients with HPV16,18+/p16+ oropharyngeal cancers.

The risk of disease persistence, recurrence or metastasis based on HPV16,18/p16 status differed between White and non-White oropharyngeal cancer patients and is presented in Table 5. White patients that did not have HPV16,18+/p16+ disease had an increased risk of disease persistence and/or recurrence in comparison to patients diagnosed with HPV16,18+/p16+ disease. In contrast non-white patients with HPV16,18-/p16- were the only subgroup with a greater risk of disease persistence and/or recurrence in comparison to HPV16,18+/p16+ disease (HR = 2.70, 95% CI = 1.52-4.82). The risk of metastasis was only associated with non-White patients carrying HPV16,18-/p16-oropharyngeal cancers.

Discussion

This study expands on our prior reported meta-analysis of HPV and HNC (6). In that study, we showed that the presence of HPV infection, specifically in the oropharynx had a significant effect on disease-free survival and overall survival. Since the time of that publication, HPV-positive squamous cell carcinoma of the oropharynx has been well described and reported as a distinct clinical entity. Oropharyngeal cancer patients are often non-smokers, male, younger and White compared to traditional substance abuse-related (tobacco and alcohol) head and neck cancer. A dramatic increase in oropharyngeal cancer prevalence has been identified over the last decade (2;22;23). The number of cases of oropharyngeal cancer exceeded the number of cervical cancer cases in 2010 in the United States, and the number of HPV+ oropharyngeal cancer is expected to exceed the incidence of cervical cancer by 2020 (2). In addition, the more favorable outcome of HPV+ oropharyngeal cancer is well-documented and has been confirmed in multiple studies (24;25). These tumors appear to be HPV-related, and a hallmark of favorable tumors is p16-positivity.

For unclear reasons, the prevalence and favorable outcome of HPV+ oropharyngeal cancer is seen mostly in Whites. Variations in the prevalence of HPV have been noted previously in studies of Black patients with oropharyngeal cancer, where some report lower prevalence and others report a prevalence that is higher and/or comparable to White oropharyngeal cancer patients (9;10;26). In the first part of this study, the meta-analysis of published HPV prevalence and HNC in Black patients echoes these findings. Consistent with what is expected when comparing HPV prevalence in oropharyngeal and non-oropharyngeal cancer subsites, we show that for Black patients,

cancers in the oropharynx have a higher prevalence of HPV16 (45.7%), than non-oropharyngeal sites (14.5%). There was large heterogeneity between the studies included in our meta-analysis. It is possible that differences in the HPV detection methods used in different studies may have influenced HPV positivity rates. For example, DNA ISH assays lack sensitivity and in general, PCR may lack specificity for transcriptionally active virus. Nevertheless, we observed that the meta-prevalence of HPV16 among Black patients is similar to the prevalence reported in our pooled analysis (i.e. higher in the oropharynx and lower in non-oropharyngeal sites).

We performed a pooled analysis of published HPV and HNC data in racial/ethnic subgroups in order to obtain a broader perspective. HPV status was obtained predominantly by PCR on FFPE tissues. Evaluation of HPV16, HPV18, and HPV16,18 prevalence by subsite and race yielded multiple findings. First, it is clear that HPV, specifically HPV16 or HPV18 within the oropharynx is most common in Whites (61%). There is a similar yet lower rate of HPV16,18+ disease in Blacks (58%) and a significant difference in the rate of HPV16,18+ disease in Asians (25%). This highlights the major HPV prevalence difference between Whites and Asians. This finding is curious, since the prevalence of HPV in Black patients has been reported to be statistically significantly lower than what has been reported for White patients in the literature (22;27). However, our pooled analysis reflects data from multiple institutions which is more reliable than a single study. The observed differences in HPV prevalence between Asians and Whites is also interesting and is not consistent with the previously reported meta-analysis (11). This inconsistency might be explained by differences in the type of Asian populations included in our study. This pooled analysis only included Asians from Taiwan (China) and Japan

while the previously published meta-analysis, included Asian populations from China and Korea. Significantly higher HPV prevalence was observed in Korean patients compared to Chinese patients and could explain the higher prevalence of HPV+ oropharyngeal cancer in Asians in that review (11).

An unexpected finding was the higher prevalence of HPV18 amongst Blacks. While HPV18 is rarely reported at either oropharyngeal (1.1%) or non-oropharyngeal cancer sites (1.5%) in Whites, HPV18 is nearly fifteen times more frequently detected in Black oropharyngeal cancer patients. This major difference was unexpected. It is unclear if this is due to a higher rate of HPV18 infection in HNC in Blacks or a lower rate of HPV16+ oropharyngeal cancer in Blacks, thereby unmasking HPV18.

To better characterize oropharyngeal cancers, we evaluated by both HPV and p16 status. Canonical HPV oropharyngeal cancer is characterized by a HPV+/p16+ signature and p16 status has been reported previously as the best prognostic marker for this disease (24;28). Oropharyngeal cancer that develops in White nonsmokers is mostly likely to be HPV-associated. Our study confirmed this finding; nearly 80% of White nonsmokers were HPV+/p16+ (Figure 2C). As p16 loss is associated with smoking (29), amongst ever smokers, a much higher incidence of p16- disease was reported in all races. Although approximately 45% of ever smokers continue to be HPV+/p16+, only half that frequency of HPV+/p16+ is reported in non-Whites. Amongst Blacks and especially Asians, HPV-/p16+ disease comprises the majority of oropharyngeal disease, in distinction to Whites, where HPV+/p16+ disease is the predominant disease.

While it is not surprising that patients with HPV-/p16+ oropharyngeal cancer have a higher risk of death compared to patients with HPV+/p16+ oropharyngeal cancer, it was

interesting to note that among non-Whites, the risk of death for patients with HPV-/p16+ oropharyngeal cancer was not different from patients diagnosed with HPV+/p16+ oropharyngeal cancer (HPV16,18-/p16+ Hazard Ratio: 0.69, 0.24-2.01). Unlike Whites (HPV16,18-/p16+ Hazard Ratio: 2.91, 1.72-4.92), the survival benefit among non-Whites appears to be attributed to p16 status rather than HPV. In Whites, the survival benefit appears to be attributed to HPV status rather than p16 status. However, it is possible that HPV16,18-/p16+ oropharyngeal cancers in non-Whites may be attributed to other high-risk HPV types. Further investigation of the possible role of high-risk HPV types other than HPV16,18 in non-White oropharyngeal cancer patients is needed. Overall, our findings suggest that the difference in HPV/p16 patterns according to race may impact survival differently. Given the multifactorial cause of racial survival disparities, such as poor socioeconomic status and poor access to care, the effect of HPV/p16 patterns on racial disparities in survival is not easily identified and further investigations are needed.

A limitation of this study is the use of publications as the source of patient data. Unlike database data, like SEER or The National Cancer Database, published data represent a sampling of the true population. A major assumption of our pooled analysis is that the landscape of the published literature is representative of the population as a whole. Given the dramatic differences noted in survival here between Whites and non-Whites, we feel it is highly unlikely that an error in sampling of the literature can explain these differences. A high fraction of cells with expression of p16 in both the nucleus and cytoplasm is the only good correlation with prognosis and with high-risk HPV mRNA. For each of the studies included in the pooled analysis, we did not have detailed information on the cutoffs used to define p16 status (i.e., fraction of p16 expression in nuclei vs.

cytoplasm). This is also a limitation of our study, as this detail may have provided more accurate correlations of p16 expression and outcome according to race.

The reasons for this difference in patterns of HPV/p16 in oropharyngeal cancer are unclear. While smoking status has predicted p16 status (29), even amongst never smokers in this study, the prevalence of HPV+/p16+ disease is lower in non-Whites. Possible explanations include genetic and environmental causes. The development of HPV+ oropharyngeal cancer has been associated with differences in sexual behavior patterns and marijuana use (31). Differential sexual and behavior patterns amongst Whites vs. non-Whites have not been studied well. While the number of oral sex partners has been identified in the risk of developing HPV+ oropharyngeal cancer (31). The percentage difference in ever oral sex partners in individuals 45-60 years old between Whites and Blacks appears modest (about 15% difference in prevalence) from a few major studies (32;33), but this remains an area of active research. Other potential explanations are genetic differences between races and differences in the host response to HPV infection, which merit further investigation. Intratypic variation of HPV16 is associated with geographical distribution and may contribute to differences in outcome (34-39). For example, African and Asian-American intratypic variants of HPV16 show higher transforming potential in tumors of the anogenital tract. Therefore, in HNC, differential infection by HPV variants between races may also be an important area for investigation.

At this time, we do not have sufficient understanding to offer a clear recommendation as to how to reduce oropharyngeal HPV infection or the risk of developing HPV+ oropharyngeal cancer. This appears to be a problem of environment

and biology, without a reversible modifiable factor to reduce risk. We hope that greater adoption of HPV vaccination will alter the incidence curve within about 20 years. Our study has examined HPV and HNC, with a focus on oropharyngeal cancer. This study demonstrates that while HPV-related oropharyngeal cancer (HPV+/p16+) represents the majority cause among White patients, Blacks and Asians have lower rates. Because HPV-related oropharyngeal cancer has a more favorable outcome regardless of race, the differential HPV prevalence amongst Blacks and Asians is expected to cause a significant outcome disparity in oropharyngeal cancer treatment. Further studies specifically examining racial differences in HPV+ oropharyngeal cancer are needed to corroborate these findings. However, this comprehensive pooled analysis of the published literature strongly supports a prevalence disparity in HPV+ oropharyngeal cancer that would predict an outcome/survival disparity.

Funding

Supported by the American Cancer Society (RSG-14-033-01-CPPB to C.R.) and in part by National Cancer Institute (P30 CA006927) and Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. This work was also supported in part by The Lagrange Project – CRT Foundation/ISI Foundation, Turin, Italy to M.R.

Conflict of Interest Statement: None declared.

Reference List

- 1. Ferlay, J. et al. GLOBOCAN 2012 v1.0, Cancer Incidence and Mortality Worldwide: IARC CancerBase No. 11 [Internet]. 2013. Lyon, France, International Agency for Research on Cancer; Available from: http://globocan.iarc.fr/Default.aspx.
- 2. Chaturvedi, A.K. et al. (2011) Human papillomavirus and rising oropharyngeal cancer incidence in the United States. *J Clin Oncol*, **29**, 4294-4301.
- 3. Gillison,M.L. et al. (2012) Prevalence of oral HPV infection in the United States, 2009-2010. *JAMA*, **307**, 693-703.
- 4. Hobbs, C.G. et al. (2006) Human papillomavirus and head and neck cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin. Otolaryngol.*, **31**, 259-266.
- 5. Syrjanen, S. et al. (2011) Human papillomaviruses in oral carcinoma and oral potentially malignant disorders: a systematic review. *Oral Dis.*, **17 Suppl 1**, 58-72.
- 6. Ragin, C.C. et al. (2007) Survival of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck in relation to human papillomavirus infection: review and meta-analysis. *Int.J.Cancer*, **121**, 1813-1820.
- 7. Zandberg, D.P. et al. (2014) Oropharyngeal cancer is a driver of racial outcome disparities in squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck: 10-year experience at the University of Maryland Greenebaum Cancer Center. *Head Neck Dec.9* [Epub ahead of print].
- 8. Chernock,R.D. et al. (2011) Human papillomavirus-related squamous cell carcinoma of the oropharynx: a comparative study in whites and African Americans. *Arch. Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg.*, **137**, 163-169.
- 9. Settle,K. et al. (2009) Racial survival disparity in head and neck cancer results from low prevalence of human papillomavirus infection in black oropharyngeal cancer patients. *Cancer Prev Res (Phila Pa)*, **2**, 776-781.
- 10. Worsham, M.J. et al. (2013) Improved survival with HPV among African Americans with oropharyngeal cancer. *Clin Cancer Res*, **19**, 2486-2492.
- 11. Ndiaye, C. et al. (2014) HPV DNA, E6/E7 mRNA, and p16INK4a detection in head and neck cancers: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Oncol.*, **15**, 1319-1331.
- 12. Kruger,M. et al. (2014) The prevalence of human papilloma virus (HPV) infections in oral squamous cell carcinomas: a retrospective analysis of 88 patients and literature overview. *J.Craniomaxillofac.Surg.*, **42**, 1506-1514.
- 13. Mehanna,H. et al. (2013) Prevalence of human papillomavirus in oropharyngeal and nonoropharyngeal head and neck cancer-systematic review and meta-analysis of trends by time and region. *Head Neck*, **35**, 747-755.

- 14. Dayyani,F. et al. (2010) Meta-analysis of the impact of human papillomavirus (HPV) on cancer risk and overall survival in head and neck squamous cell carcinomas (HNSCC). *Head Neck Oncol.*, **2**, 15.
- 15. Stein, A.P. et al. (2014) Prevalence of human papillomavirus in oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma in the United States across time. *Chem.Res.Toxicol.*, **27**, 462-469.
- 16. Ragin, C.C. et al. (2006) 11q13 amplification status and human papillomavirus in relation to p16 expression defines two distinct etiologies of head and neck tumours. *Br.J.Cancer*, **95**, 1432-1438.
- 17. Ukpo,O.C. et al. (2009) Human papillomavirus-associated oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinomas: primary tumor burden and survival in surgical patients. *Ann. Otol. Rhinol. Laryngol.*, **118**, 368-373.
- 18. Wang,X.I. et al. (2012) Changing trends in human papillomavirus-associated head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Ann Diagn.Pathol.*, **16**, 7-12.
- 19. Newcombe, R.G. (1998) Two-sided confidence intervals for the single proportion: comparison of seven methods. *Stat.Med.*, **17**, 857-872.
- 20. Higgins, J.P.T. et al. (2003) Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ*, **327**, 557-560.
- 21. Egger,M. et al. (1997) Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ*, **315**, 629-634.
- 22. Fakhry, C. et al. (2015) Oropharyngeal cancer survivorship in Denmark, 1977-2012. *Oral Oncol.Aug* 27 [Epub ahead of print].
- 23. de Souza, D.L. et al. (2012) Trends in the incidence of oral cavity and oropharyngeal cancers in Spain. *Head Neck*, **34**, 649-654.
- 24. Ang,K.K. et al. (2010) Human papillomavirus and survival of patients with oropharyngeal cancer. *N.Engl.J Med*, **363**, 24-35.
- 25. Fakhry, C. et al. (2008) Improved survival of patients with human papillomavirus-positive head and neck squamous cell carcinoma in a prospective clinical trial. *J.Natl.Cancer Inst.*, **100**, 261-269.
- 26. Liu, J.C. et al. (2015) High prevalence of discordant human papillomavirus and p16 oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinomas in an African American cohort. *Head and neck May 12.*[Epub ahead of print].
- 27. Zandberg, D.P. et al. (2015) Emergence of HPV16-positive oropharyngeal cancer in Black patients over time: University of Maryland 1992-2007. *Cancer Prev.Res.(Phila)*, **8**, 12-19.
- 28. Salazar, C.R. et al. (2014) Combined P16 and human papillomavirus testing predicts head and neck cancer survival. *Int.J.Cancer*, **135**, 2404-2412.

- 29. Junor, E. et al. (2012) Benefit of chemotherapy as part of treatment for HPV DNA-positive but p16-negative squamous cell carcinoma of the oropharynx. *Br.J.Cancer*, **106**, 358-365.
- 30. Coordes,A. et al. (2015) Meta-analysis of survival in patients with HNSCC discriminates risk depending on combined HPV and p16 status. *Eur.Arch.Otorhinolaryngol.Jul.*31 [Epub ahead of print].
- 31. Gillison,M.L. et al. (2008) Distinct risk factor profiles for human papillomavirus type 16-positive and human papillomavirus type 16-negative head and neck cancers. *J.Natl.Cancer Inst.*, **100**, 407-420.
- 32. D'Souza,G. et al. (2014) Differences in oral sexual behaviors by gender, age, and race explain observed differences in prevalence of oral human papillomavirus infection. *PLoS.One.*, **9**, e86023.
- 33. Rettig,E.M. et al. (2016) Race is Associated With Sexual Behaviors and Modifies the Effect of Age on Human Papillomavirus Serostatus Among Perimenopausal Women. *Sex Transm.Dis.*, **43**, 231-237.
- 34. Xi,L.F. et al. (1997) Genomic variation of human papillomavirus type 16 and risk for high grade cervical intraepithelial neoplasia. *J.Natl.Cancer Inst.*, **89**, 796-802.
- 35. Villa,L.L. et al. (2000) Molecular variants of human papillomavirus types 16 and 18 preferentially associated with cervical neoplasia. *J.Gen.Virol.*, **81**, 2959-2968.
- 36. Berumen, J. et al. (2001) Asian-American variants of human papillomavirus 16 and risk for cervical cancer: a case-control study. *J.Natl.Cancer Inst.*, **93**, 1325-1330.
- 37. Hildesheim, A. et al. (2001) Human papillomavirus type 16 variants and risk of cervical cancer. *J.Natl.Cancer Inst.*, **93**, 315-318.
- 38. Xi,L.F. et al. (2002) Acquisition and natural history of human papillomavirus type 16 variant infection among a cohort of female university students. *Cancer Epidemiol.Biomarkers Prev.*, **11**, 343-351.
- 39. Tornesello,M.L. et al. (2008) Human papillomavirus genotypes and HPV16 variants in penile carcinoma. *Int.J.Cancer*, **122**, 132-137.
- 40. Van Rensburg, E.J. et al. (1995) Detection of human papillomavirus DNA with in situ hybridisation in oral squamous carcinoma in a rural black population. *S.Afr.Med.J.*, **85**, 894-896.
- 41. Gillison, M.L. et al. (2000) Evidence for a causal association between human papillomavirus and a subset of head and neck cancers. *J.Natl.Cancer Inst.*, **92**, 709-720.
- 42. Boy,S. et al. (2006) HPV detection in primary intra-oral squamous cell carcinomas-commensal, aetiological agent or contamination? *J.Oral Pathol.Med.*, **35**, 86-90.

- 43. Agrawal, Y. et al. (2008) Oral human papillomavirus infection before and after treatment for human papillomavirus 16-positive and human papillomavirus 16-negative head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Clin.Cancer Res.*, **14**, 7143-7150.
- 44. Lewis, J.S., Jr. et al. (2010) p16 positive oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma: an entity with a favorable prognosis regardless of tumor HPV status. *Am.J.Surg.Pathol.*, **34**, 1088-1096.
- 45. Jalouli, J. et al. (2012) Human papilloma virus, herpes simplex virus and epstein barr virus in oral squamous cell carcinoma from eight different countries. *Anticancer Res.*, **32**, 571-580.
- 46. Jiron, J. et al. (2014) Racial disparities in Human Papillomavirus (HPV) associated head and neck cancer. *Am.J. Otolaryngol.*, **35**, 147-153.
- 47. Stephen, J.K. et al. (2012) Human papillomavirus outcomes in an access-to-care laryngeal cancer cohort. *Otolaryngol.Head Neck Surg.*, **146**, 730-738.
- 48. Babiker, A.Y. et al. (2013) Screening for high risk human papilloma virus (HR-HPV) subtypes, among Sudanese patients with oral lesions. *Int.J.Clin.Exp.Med.*, **6**, 275-281.
- 49. Isayeva,T. et al. (2014) African Americans with oropharyngeal carcinoma have significantly poorer outcomes despite similar rates of human papillomavirus-mediated carcinogenesis. *Hum.Pathol.*, **45**, 310-319.
- 50. Ndiaye, C. et al. (2013) The role of human papillomavirus in head and neck cancer in Senegal. *Infect Agent Cancer*, **8**, 14.
- 51. Salazar, C.R. et al. (2014) Human papillomavirus-associated head and neck squamous cell carcinoma survival: a comparison by tumor site and initial treatment. *Head Neck Pathol.*, **8**, 77-87.
- 52. Isayeva,T. et al. (2015) The protective effect of p16(INK4a) in oral cavity carcinomas: p16(Ink4A) dampens tumor invasion-integrated analysis of expression and kinomics pathways. *Mod.Pathol.*, **28**, 631-653.
- 53. Cruz,I.B. et al. (1996) Age-dependence of human papillomavirus DNA presence in oral squamous cell carcinomas. *Eur.J.Cancer B Oral Oncol.*, **32B**, 55-62.
- 54. Tsuhako,K. et al. (2000) Comparative study of oral squamous cell carcinoma in Okinawa, Southern Japan and Sapporo in Hokkaido, Northern Japan; with special reference to human papillomavirus and Epstein-Barr virus infection. *J. Oral Pathol. Med.*, **29**, 70-79.
- 55. Koskinen,W.J. et al. (2003) Prevalence and physical status of human papillomavirus in squamous cell carcinomas of the head and neck. *Int J Cancer*, **107**, 401-6.
- 56. De Petrini, M. et al. (2006) Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma: role of the human papillomavirus in tumour progression. *New Microbiol.*, **29**, 25-33.

- 57. Al-Swiahb, J.N. et al. (2010) Prognostic impact of p16, p53, epidermal growth factor receptor, and human papillomavirus in oropharyngeal cancer in a betel nut-chewing area. *Arch. Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg.*, **136**, 502-508.
- 58. Armas,G.L. et al. (2008) The impact of virus in N3 node dissection for head and neck cancer. *Eur.Arch.Otorhinolaryngol.*, **265**, 1379-1384.
- 59. Cohen,M.A. et al. (2008) Increased viral load correlates with improved survival in HPV-16-associated tonsil carcinoma patients. *Acta Otolaryngol.*, **128**, 583-589.
- 60. Worden, F.P. et al. (2008) Chemoselection as a strategy for organ preservation in advanced oropharynx cancer: response and survival positively associated with HPV16 copy number. *J.Clin.Oncol.*, **26**, 3138-3146.
- 61. Major, T. et al. (2005) The characteristics of human papillomavirus DNA in head and neck cancers and papillomas. *J. Clin. Pathol.*, **58**, 51-55.
- 62. Feher, E. et al. (2009) Investigation of the occurrence of torque tenovirus in malignant and potentially malignant disorders associated with human papillomavirus. *J.Med.Virol.*, **81**, 1975-1981.
- 63. Straetmans, J.M. et al. (2009) Human papillomavirus reduces the prognostic value of nodal involvement in tonsillar squamous cell carcinomas. *Laryngoscope*, **119**, 1951-1957.
- 64. Tachezy,R. et al. (2009) Demographic and risk factors in patients with head and neck tumors. *J.Med.Virol.*, **81**, 878-887.
- 65. D'Souza,G. et al. (2010) Moderate predictive value of demographic and behavioral characteristics for a diagnosis of HPV16-positive and HPV16-negative head and neck cancer. *Oral Oncol*, **46**, 100-104.
- 66. Bennett,K.L. et al. (2010) HPV status-independent association of alcohol and tobacco exposure or prior radiation therapy with promoter methylation of FUSSEL18, EBF3, IRX1, and SEPT9, but not SLC5A8, in head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. *Genes Chromosomes.Cancer*, **49**, 319-326.
- 67. Kabeya,M. et al. (2012) Prevalence of human papillomavirus in mobile tongue cancer with particular reference to young patients. *Cancer Sci.*, **103**, 161-168.
- 68. Hoffmann,M. et al. (2012) HPV DNA, E6*I-mRNA expression and p16INK4A immunohistochemistry in head and neck cancer how valid is p16INK4A as surrogate marker? *Cancer Lett.*, **323**, 88-96.
- 69. Park,W.S. et al. (2012) Human papillomavirus in oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinomas in Korea: use of G1 cycle markers as new prognosticators. *Head Neck*, **34**, 1408-1417.
- 70. Heusinkveld,M. et al. (2012) Systemic and local human papillomavirus 16-specific T-cell immunity in patients with head and neck cancer. *Int.J.Cancer*, **131**, E74-E85.

- 71. Bussu,F. et al. (2013) HPV infection in squamous cell carcinomas arising from different mucosal sites of the head and neck region. Is p16 immunohistochemistry a reliable surrogate marker? *Br.J.Cancer*, **108**, 1157-1162.
- 72. Bussu,F. et al. (2014) Human papillomavirus (HPV) infection in squamous cell carcinomas arising from the oropharynx: detection of HPV DNA and p16 immunohistochemistry as diagnostic and prognostic indicators--a pilot study. *Int.J.Radiat.Oncol.Biol.Phys.*, **89**, 1115-1120.
- 73. Isayeva,T. et al. (2013) African Americans with oropharyngeal carcinoma have significantly poorer outcomes despite similar rates of human papillomavirus-mediated carcinogenesis. *Hum.Pathol.[Epub Ahead of Print]*.
- 74. Deng,Z. et al. (2011) Prevalence and clinical features of human papillomavirus in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma in Okinawa, southern Japan. *Eur.Arch.Otorhinolaryngol.*, **268**, 1625-1631.
- 75. Deng,Z. et al. (2013) Viral load, physical status, and E6/E7 mRNA expression of human papillomavirus in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Head Neck*, **35**, 800-808.
- 76. Morbini,P. et al. (2013) Oral HPV infection and persistence in patients with head and neck cancer. *Oral Surg.Oral Med.Oral Pathol.Oral Radiol.*, **116**, 474-484.
- 77. Hong,A. et al. (2013) HPV status of oropharyngeal cancer by combination HPV DNA/p16 testing: biological relevance of discordant results. *Ann.Surg.Oncol.*, **20 Suppl 3**, S450-S458.
- 78. Morbini,P. et al. (2015) Identification of transcriptionally active HPV infection in formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded biopsies of oropharyngeal carcinoma. *Hum.Pathol.*, **46**, 681-689.

Table 1: Meta-Analysis of HPV Prevalence in Populations of African Descent

	HPV test			Any HPV	HPV 16
Study	Method	HPV types detected	N	N%, 95% CI	N%, 95% CI
		ALL HEAD AND NECK			
Van Rensburg et al. (1995)(40)	ISH		66	0.0% (0.0-5.4)	0.0% (0.0-5.4)
Gillison et al. (2000)(41)	PCR	16, 18, 33, 31	48	20.8% (10.5-35.0)	
Boy et al. (2006)(42)	PCR	16,18	21	9.5% (1.2-30.4)	0.0% (0.0-16.1)
Argwal et al. (2008)(43)	ISH	16	13	0.0% (0.0-24.7)	0.0% (0.0-24.7)
Lewis et al. (2010)(44)	ISH,PCR	16, 33	26	11.5% (2.4-30.1)	
Jalouli et al. (2012)(45)	PCR	X	20	65.0% (40.8-84.6)	
Jiron et al. (2013)(46)	PCR	6,33,11,16,18,31,52,35,45,51,56	161	24.8% (18.4-32.3)	20.5% (14.5-27.6)
Stephen et al. (2012)(47)	qRT-PCR	16	31	16.1% (5.4-33.7)	16.1% (5.4-33.7)
Babiker et al. (2013)(48)	PCR	16, 18, 33, 31	100	8.0% (3.5-15.2)	5.0% (1.6-11.3)
Isayeva et al. (2014)(49)*	qRT-PCR	16, 18	30	60.0% (40.6-77.3)	43.3% (25.5-62.6)
Ndiaye C et al. (2013)(50)	PCR	16, 35, 45	110	3.6% (1.0-9.0)	0.9% (0.0-5.0)
Salazar et al. (2014)(51)	PCR,RT-PCR	16	57	15.8% (7.5-27.9)	15.8% (7.5-27.9)
Worsham et al. (2013)(10)	q-PCR	NR	49	30.6% (18.2-45.4)	30.6% (18.2-45.4)
Isayeva et al. (2015)(52)*	qRT-PCR	16, 18	22	22.7% (7.8-45.4)	13.6% (2.9-34.9)
Liu et al. (2015)(26)*	PCR	16	44		72.7% (57.2-85.0)
TOTAL			798	17% (8.8-27.0)	13.7% (1.5-26.4)
p value, Q test				0.000	0.000
f test				89.8%	93.8%
p value, Egger's test				0.419	0.643
		OROPHARYNX			
Lewis et al. (2010)(44)	ISH,PCR	16, 33	26	11.5% (2.4-30.1)	
Jiron et al. (2012)(46)	PCR	6,33,11,16,18,31,52,35,45,51,56	36	25.0% (12.1-42.2)	
Isayeva et al. (2013) (49)*	qRT-PCR	16, 18	30	60.0% (40.6-77.3)	43.3% (25.5-62.6)
Salazar et al. (2013)(51)	PCR,RT-PCR	16	23	34.8 (16.4-57.3)	34.8% (16.4-52.3)
Worsham et al. (2013)(10)	q-PCR	NR	49	30.6% (18.2-45.4)	30.6% (18.2-45.4)
Liu et al. (2015)(26)* ^	PCR	16	44	,	72.7% (57.2-85.0)
TOTAL			146	31.5% (17.7-47.1)	45.7% (25.5-66.6)
p value, Q test				0.003	0.000
f test				75.5%	84.1%
p value, Egger's test				0.997	0.807
, ,		NON-OROPHARYNX			
Van Rensburg et al. (1995)(40)	ISH		66	0.0% (0.0-5.4)	0.0% (0.0-5.4)
Boy et al. (2006)(42)	PCR	16, 18	21	9.5% (1.2-30.4)	0.0% (0.0-16.1)
Jalouli et al. (2012)(45)	PCR	X	20	65.0% (40.8-84.6)	()
Jiron et al. (2013)(46)	PCR	6,33,11,16,18,31,52,35,45,51,56	125	24.8% (17.5-33.3)	
Ndiaye C et al. (2013)(50)	PCR	16,35,45	105	3.8% (1.0-9.5)	1.0% (0.0-5.2)
Isayeva et al. (2015)(52)*	qRT-PCR	16,18	22	2.370 (0 0.0)	13.6% (2.9-34.9)
TOTAL	۹ ن	, • •	337	14.5% (1.4-36.0)	1.1% (0.0-6.0)
p value, Q test			001	0.000	0.048
f test				94.6%	62.1%
, 1001				U-T.U /U	V=. 1 /U

X: HPV genotype unknown; NR: Not reported; *Studies included in the pooled analysis

Table 2: Description of studies included in the pooled-analysis

Author (Year)	Study size	Tissue source	HPV testing method	p16 expression	Geographic region	Race/ Ethnicity	Tumor site	FU, months (median)
Cruz et al. (1996)(53)*	35	FF	PCR		Europe	W	NO	
Tsuhako et al. (2000)(54)*	88	FFPE	PCR		Asia	AS	NO , O	
Koskinen et al. (2003)(55)*	61	FF	PCR		Europe	W	NO , O	
De Petrini et al. (2006)(56)	70	FF	PCR		Europe	W	NO, O	30.4
Ragin et al. (2006)(16)	125	FFPE	PCR	IHC	US	W	NO, O	48.4
Armas et al. (2008)(57;58) Cohen et al. (2008)(59)*	280 35	FFPE FFPE	PCR PCR	IHC 	Asia US	AS UNK	NO, O O	18.6
Worden et al. (2008)(60)	70	FFPE	PCR		US	AA, W	NO, O	13.5
Szarka et al. (2005)(61)	33	FF	PCR		Europe	W	NO, O	25.4
Szarka et al.2009(62)	55	FF	PCR		Europe	W	NO, O	77.4
Straetmans et al. (2009)(63)	81	FFPE	PCR/ISH	IHC	Europe	W	0	
Tachezy et al. (2009)(64)	135	FFPE	PCR		Europe	W	NO, O	47.7
D'Souza et al. (2010)(65)	246	FFPE	PCR/ISH		US	AA, AS, W	NO, O	31.0
Eng et al. (2010)(66)	15	FFPE	PCR	IHC	US	W	NO, O	69.8
Chernock et al. (2011)(8)	266	FFPE	PCR/ISH	IHC	US	AA, AS, W	0	
Kabeya et al. (2012)(67)*	31	FF	PCR	IHC	Asia	AS	NO	
Hoffman et al. (2012)(68)*	78	FF	PCR	IHC	Europe	W	NO,O	
Park et al. (2012)(69)	89	FFPE	PCR	IHC	Asia	AS	0	20.9
Heusinkveld et al. (2012)(70)*	41	FFPE	PCR		Europe	W	NO, O	
Bussu et al. (2013, 2014)(71;72)	136	FT	RT-PCR/HC2	IHC	Europe	A, W	NO,O	12.5
Isayeva et al. (2013; 2015)(52;73)	315	FFPE	RT-PCR	IHC	US	AA, AS, W	NO, O	27.5
Deng et al. (2013)(74;75)	131	FF	PCR		Asia	AS	NO, O	25.1
Morbini et al. (2013)(76)	52	FFPE	PCR/ISH	IHC	Europe	W	NO, O	50.5
Hong et al. (2013)(77)	489	FFPE	PCR	IHC	Australia	W, AB, AS	0	49.0
Kruger et al. (2014)(12)	88	FF	PCR		Europe	W	NO	
Liu (2015)(26)	44	FFPE	PCR	IHC	US	AA	0	18.9
Morbini et al. (2015)(78)	41	FFPE	PCR/ISH	IHC	Europe	W	0	21.2
TOTAL	3,129							30.6

Pseudo datasets created from publication data; FFPE: Formalin-Fixed Paraffin Embedded tissue, FF - Fresh Frozen tissue, FT - Fresh Tissue; PCR - Polymerase Chain Reaction, RT-PCR, Real-time PCR (mRNA), HC2 - Hybrid Capture 2, ISH - *in situ* hybridization, IHC - Immunohistochemistry; A - African, AA - African American, AB - Aboriginal Australian, AS - Asian, W - White, UNK - unknown race; O - Oropharynx, NO - Non-Oropharynx, UNKP - Unknown Primary; FU - Follow-up.

Table 3: Adjusted prevalence of HPV16 and HPV18 according to race stratified by head and neck sub-site

	N	HPV16+	HPV16	N	HPV18+	HPV18	N	HPV16,18+	HPV16,18
		Mean Age [¢]	Prevalence [†]		Mean Age [∳]	Prevalence [†]		Mean Áge [∳]	Prevalence [†]
		(years ± SD)	% (95% CI)		(years, ± SD)			(years, ± SD)	
			,		All HNC		-		
Number	of studies	= 28		Number (of studies = 24		Number	of studies =28	
Asian	634	58.9 ± 0.64	28.4% (23.8-33.4)	631	61.9 ± 0.90	1.6% (0.7-3.9)	632	59.0 ± 0.68	26.0% (21.6-30.9)
Black	158	56.6 ± 0.55	43.7% (34.2-53.8)	85	56.7 ± 0.20	9.8% (4.0-21.9)	131	56.7 ± 0.51	56.2% (45.1-66.7)
White	2.123	56.5 ± 0.47	36.9% (34.0-40.0)	1,778	54.5 ± 0.50	1.6% (0.9-2.8)	1,915	56.6 ± 0.48	44.0% (40.8-47.3)
Other*	58	55.3 ± 0.52	34.3% (16.2-58.5)	54		7.7% (1.0-39.6)	58	55.3 ± 0.51	44.9% (23.1-68.8)
			P=0.0123 [¥]			$P = 0.0077^{4}$			P < 0.0001 [¥]
TOTAL	2,973	56.8 ± 0.50	35.0% (32.8-37.2)	2,548	58.2 ± 0.70	1.9% (1.2-2.8)	2,736	56.9 ± 0.51	39.3% (37.1-41.7)
					Oropharynx				
Number	of studies	= 25		Number	of studies = 21		Number	of studies = 25	
Asian	433	56.8 ± 0.63	25.9% (21.1-31.4)	431	55.8 ± 1.02	1.6% (0.5-4.7)	432	56.7 ± 0.63	25.2% (20.5-30.7)
Black	120	56.7 ± 0.58	51.1% (39.0-63.0)	65	57.0 ± 0.12	14.8% (5.6-33.7)	110	56.9 ± 0.54	58.0% (45.0-70.0)
White	1,317	56.2 ± 0.46	57.3% (53.1-61.4)	1,100	56.5 ± 0.30	1.1% (0.4-2.5)	1,229	56.2 ± 0.46	61.1% (56.8-65.3)
Other*	44	55.9 ± 0.49	74.1% (35.4-93.7)	42			44	55.9 ± 0.48	74.1% (35.5-93.7)
			P < 0.0001 [¥]			$P = 0.0025^{*}$			P < 0.0001 [¥]
TOTAL	1,914	56.3 ± 0.49	46.6% (43.7-49.4)	1,638	56.4 ± 0.46	1.6% (1.0-2.7)	1,815	56.3 ± 0.48	48.7% (45.9-51.6)
				-	Non-Oropharyn	1X			
Number	of studies	= 21		Number	of studies = 19		Number	of studies = 21	
Asian	201	65.0 ± 0.50	27.1% (16.4-41.4)	200	64.6 ± 0.80		200	64.1 ± 0.64	20.9% (11.9-34.0)
Black	38	54.6 ± 0.22	13.3% (5.0-30.8)	20	55.5 ± 0.90	3.2% (0.4-22.6)**	21	54.9 ± 0.31	30.2% (12.9-55.7)
White	806	59.2 ± 0.52	11.3% (8.7-14.6)	678	51.9 ± 0.76	1.5% (0.6-3.8)**	686	58.7 ± 0.59	17.2% (13.5-21.5)
Other*	14		6.7% (0.9-37.0)	12		11.6% (1.3-56.3)**	14		18.4% (4.2-53.3)
			P = 0.0553 [¥]	-		P = 0.1434¥	-		P = 0.6344*
TOTAL	1,059	60.5 ± 0.55	13.4% (11.0-16.3)	910	59.9 ± 0.88	1.4% (0.6-3.2)**	921	60.1 ± 0.63	18.2% (15.2-21.8)

^{*}Other includes other race/ethnic groups and unknown race; [†]Adjusted for each study, year of diagnosis, square root age, gender, alcohol, and smoking status; **smoking status predicted HPV18 perfectly and was excluded as a covariate; [‡]Chi-square p-value for the differences between the four race/ethnic group categories; SD = Standard Deviation; [‡]Age at diagnosis was back transformed after ANOVA using square root transformation.

Table 4: Predictors of overall survival (all-cause mortality) for oropharyngeal cancer patients according to smoking status and race

		All-cause mortali					
		All Races	All Races				
	All Races	Ever Smokers	Never Smokers	White	Non-White	Stage 0/I/II	Stage III/IV
Oropharynx	(N = 880)	(n = 746)	(n = 134)	(n = 475)	(n = 401)	(n = 149)	(n = 731)
Race							
White	Ref (1.00)	Ref (1.00)	Ref (1.00)			Ref (1.00)	Ref (1.00)
Asian	0.87 (0.56-1.37)	0.80 (0.50-1.28)	1.27 (0.27-5.91)			2.54 (0.73-8.78)	0.67 (0.41-1.11)
Black	0.85 (0.50-1.45)	0.78 (0.45-1.37)	2.14 (0.19-24.08)			1.47 (0.27-7.82)	0.71 (0.40-1.28)
Other	0.57 (0.08-4.15)	 `	3.99 (0.46-34.18)				` `
Age at diagnosis	1.25 (1.09-1.44)	1.23 (1.06-1.42)	1.47(0.90-2.39)	1.75 (1.39-2.21)	1.00 (0.89-1.26)	1.45 (0.93-2.26)	1.24 (1.07-1.43)
Smoking							
Never smoker	Ref (1.00)			Ref (1.00)	Ref (1.00)	Ref (1.00)	Ref (1.00)
Ever smoker	1.95 (1.34-2.83)			1.70 (0.99-2.93)	1.87 (1.11-3.17)	2.58 (0.79-8.46)	2.08 (1.39-3.11)
Alcohol							
Never drinker	Ref (1.00)	Ref (1.00)	Ref (1.00)	Ref (1.00)	Ref (1.00)	Ref (1.00)	Ref (1.00)
Ever drinker	1.00 (0.80-1.26)	0.96 (0.76-1.22)	1.45 (0.65-3.23)	1.46 (0.92-2.34)	0.89 (0.67-1.20)	1.06 (0.51-2.22)	1.00 (0.79-1.28)
Sex							
Male	Ref (1.00)	Ref (1.00)	Ref (1.00)	Ref (1.00)	Ref (1.00)	Ref (1.00)	Ref (1.00)
Female	0.74 (0.54-1.01)	0.74 (0.53-1.05)	0.69 (0.30-1.61)	0.70 (0.49-1.01)	0.87 (0.48-1.59)	0.36 (0.16-0.81)	0.87 (0.62-1.23)
Stage							
0/1/11	Ref (1.00)	Ref (1.00)	Ref (1.00)	Ref (1.00)	Ref (1.00)		
III/IV	2.08 (1.56-2.77)	2.15 (1.59-2.90)	1.83 (0.64-5.23)	2.20 (1.53-3.16)	2.13 (1.27-3.55)		
HPV/p16 status							
HPV16,18+/p16+	Ref (1.00)	Ref (1.00)	Ref (1.00)	Ref (1.00)	Ref (1.00)	Ref (1.00)	Ref (1.00)
HPV16,18-/p16+	1.88 (1.19-2.97)	1.82 (1.09-3.03)	2.29 (0.74-7.08)	2.91 (1.72-4.92)	0.69 (0.24-2.01)	7.96 (2.08-30.43)	1.58 (0.95-2.63)
HPV16,18+/p16-	3.24 (2.25-4.66)	3.41 (2.32-5.01)	2.19 (0.57-8.44)	3.30 (2.09-5.21)	2.95 (1.60-5.42)	7.22 (2.26-23.11)	2.85 (1.91-4.25
HPV16,18-/p16-	3.17 (2.39-4.20)	3.30 (2.43-4.47)	2.70 (1.12-6.51)	2.82 (1.94-4.10)	3.11 (1.97-4.92)	4.36 (1.51-12.60)	3.07 (2.28-4.13)

^{*}Covariates included: square root age, year of diagnosis, race, sex, smoking, alcohol, stage at diagnosis combined HPV16,18 and p16 status and study

Table 5: Risk of disease progression for oropharyngeal cancer patients according to HPV/p16 status and race

	Disease persistence and/or recurrence HR,					
		95% CI*				
	White	Non-White				
HPV/p16 status	N = 475	N = 401				
HPV16,18+/p16+	Ref (1.00)	Ref (1.00)				
HPV16,18-/p16+	2.33 (1.22-4.45)	0.52 (0.12-2.27)				
HPV16,18+/p16-	3.62 (2.21-5.95)	1.44 (0.58-3.61)				
HPV16,18-/p16-	3.23 (2.14-4.88)	2.70 (1.52-4.82)				
	Metastasis HR, 9	5% CI*				
HPV16,18+/p16+	Ref (1.00)	Ref (1.00)				
HPV16,18-/p16+	1.84 (0.49-6.90)	0.81 (0.35-1.88)				
HPV16,18+/p16-	2.61 (0.90-7.51)	1.08 (0.48-2.42)				
HPV16,18-/p16-	2.08 (0.88-4.91)	1.94 (1.26-2.99)				

^{*}Adjusted for year of diagnosis, square root age, sex, race, stage, smoking, alcohol and study

Figure Legends

Figure 1: Flow diagram of study selection

Figure 2: Proportions of combined HPV16,18 and p16 status among all (A), ever smoker (B) and never smoker (C) oropharynx cancer patients stratified by race

Supplementary Table 1: Meta-Analysis of HPV Prevalence in Populations of African Descent, Stratified by Geographic Region and HPV Test Method

	HPV test			Any HPV	HPV 16
Study	Method	HPV types detected	N	N%, 95% CI	N%, 95% CI
		ALL HEAD AND NECK			
SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA					
Van Rensburg et al. (1995)(40)	ISH		66	0.0% (0.0-5.4)	0.0% (0.0-5.4)
Boy et al. (2006)(42)	PCR	16,18	21	9.5% (1.2-30.4)	0.0% (0.0-16.1)
Jalouli et al. (2012)(45)	PCR	X	20	65.0% (40.8-84.6)	,
Babiker et al. (2013)(48)	PCR	16, 18, 33, 31	100	8.0% (3.5-15.2)	5.0% (1.6-11.3)
Ndiaye C et al. (2013)(50)	PCR	16, 35, 45	110	3.6% (1.0-9.0)	0.9% (0.0-5.0)
TOTAL			317	10.7% (1.0-27.2)	1.0% (0.0-3.9)
p value, Q test				0.000	0.129
₽ test				92.0%	47.0%
<i>p</i> value, Egger's test				0.600	0.686
PCR methods only					
Boy et al. (2006)(42)	PCR	16,18	21	9.5% (1.2-30.4)	0.0% (0.0-16.1)
Jalouli et al. (2012)(45)	PCR	X	20	65.0% (40.8-84.6)	,
Babiker et al. (2013)(48)	PCR	16, 18, 33, 31	100	8.0% (3.5-15.2)	5.0% (1.6-11.3)
Ndiaye C et al. (2013)(50)	PCR	16, 35, 45	110	3.6% (1.0-9.0)	0.9% (0.0-5.0)
TOTAL			251	16.2% (2.3-37.7)	1.7% (0.0-5.4)
p value, Q test				0.000	0.184
<i>P</i> test				91.9%	40.9%
USA					
Gillison et al. (2000)(41)	PCR	16, 18, 33, 31	48	20.8% (10.5-35.0)	
Argwal et al. (2008)(43)	ISH	16	13	0.0% (0.0-24.7)	0.0% (0.0-24.7)
Lewis et al. (2010)(44)	ISH,PCR	16, 33	26	11.5% (2.4-30.1)	, ,
Jiron et al. (2013)(46)	PCR	6,33,11,16,18,31,52,35,45,51,56	161	24.8% (18.4-32.3)	20.5% (14.5-27.6
Stephen et al. (2012)(47)	qRT-PCR	16	31	16.1% (5.4-33.7)	16.1% (5.4-33.7)
Isayeva et al. (2014)(49)*	qRT-PCR	16, 18	30	60.0% (40.6-77.3)	43.3% (25.5-62.6
Salazar et al. (2014)(51)	PCR,RT-PCR	16	57	15.8% (7.5-27.9)	15.8% (7.5-27.9)
Worsham et al. (2013)(10)	q-PCR	NR	49	30.6% (18.2-45.4)	30.6% (18.2-45.4
Isayeva et al. (2015)(52)*	qRT-PCR	16, 18	22	22.7% (7.8-45.4)	13.6% (2.9-34.9)
Liu et al. (2015)(26)*	PCR	16	44		72.7% (57.2-85.0
TOTAL			798	21.7% (13.7-30.8)	25.0% (12.3-40.2
p value, Q test				0.000	0.000
<i>P</i> test				74.3%	89.0%
<i>p</i> value, Egger's test				0.249	0.967
PCR methods only					
Gillison et al. (2000)(41)	PCR	16, 18, 33, 31	48	20.8% (10.5-35.0)	
Jiron et al. (2013)(46)	PCR	6,33,11,16,18,31,52,35,45,51,56	161	24.8% (18.4-32.3)	20.5% (14.5-27.0
Stephen et al. (2012)(47)	qRT-PCR	16	31	16.1% (5.4-33.7)	16.1% (5.4-33.7)

Isayeva et al. (2014)(49)* Salazar et al. (2014)(51) Worsham et al. (2013)(10) Isayeva et al. (2015)(52)* Liu et al. (2015)(26)* TOTAL p value, Q test f test	qRT-PCR PCR,RT-PCR q-PCR qRT-PCR PCR	16, 18 16 NR 16, 18 16	30 57 49 22 44	60.0% (40.6-77.3) 15.8% (7.5-27.9) 30.6% (18.2-45.4) 22.7% (7.8-45.4) 26% (17.7-35.3) 0.002 71.1%	43.3% (25.5-62.6) 15.8% (7.5-27.9) 30.6% (18.2-45.4) 13.6% (2.9-34.9) 72.7% (57.2-85.0) 29.4% (15.8-45.0) 0.000 89.0%
		OROPHARYNX			
USA Lewis et al. (2010)(44) Jiron et al. (2012)(46) Isayeva et al. (2013) (49)* Salazar et al. (2013)(51) Worsham et al. (2013)(10) Liu et al. (2015)(26)* TOTAL p value, Q test f test p value, Egger's test	ISH,PCR PCR qRT-PCR PCR,RT-PCR q-PCR PCR	16, 33 6,33,11,16,18,31,52,35,45,51,56 16, 18 16 NR 16	26 36 30 23 49 44 146	11.5% (2.4-30.1) 25.0% (12.1-42.2) 60.0% (40.6-77.3) 34.8 (16.4-57.3) 30.6% (18.2-45.4) 31.5% (17.7-47.1) 0.003 75.5% 0.997	43.3% (25.5-62.6) 34.8% (16.4-52.3) 30.6% (18.2-45.4) 72.7% (57.2-85.0) 45.7% (25.5-66.6) 0.000 84.1% 0.807
PCR methods only Jiron et al. (2012)(46) Isayeva et al. (2013) (49)* Salazar et al. (2013)(51) Worsham et al. (2013)(10) Liu et al. (2015)(26)* TOTAL p value, Q test f test	PCR qRT-PCR PCR,RT-PCR q-PCR PCR	6,33,11,16,18,31,52,35,45,51,56 16, 18 16 NR 16	36 30 23 49 44 182	25.0% (12.1-42.2) 60.0% (40.6-77.3) 34.8 (16.4-57.3) 30.6% (18.2-45.4) 36.9% (22.8-52.1) 0.025 68%	43.3% (25.5-62.6) 34.8% (16.4-52.3) 30.6% (18.2-45.4) 72.7% (57.2-85.0) 45.7% (25.5-66.6) 0.000 84.1%
		NON-OROPHARYNX			
SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA Van Rensburg et al. (1995)(40) Boy et al. (2006)(42) Jalouli et al. (2012)(45) Ndiaye C et al. (2013)(50) TOTAL p value, Q test f test p value, Egger's test	ISH PCR PCR PCR	16, 18 X 16,35,45	66 21 20 105 212	0.0% (0.0-5.4) 9.5% (1.2-30.4) 65.0% (40.8-84.6) 3.8% (1.0-9.5) 12.2% (0.0-38.1) 0.000 93.9% 0.280	0.0% (0.0-5.4) 0.0% (0.0-16.1) 1.0% (0.0-5.2) 0.1% (0.0-1.8) 0.768 0.0% 0.881
PCR methods only Boy et al. (2006)(42) Jalouli et al. (2012)(45) Ndiaye C et al. (2013)(50) TOTAL	PCR PCR PCR	16, 18 X 16,35,45	21 20 105 146	9.5% (1.2-30.4) 65.0% (40.8-84.6) 3.8% (1.0-9.5) 20.8% (0.0-62.3)	0.0% (0.0-16.1) 1.0% (0.0-5.2) 0.3% (0.0-2.9)

p value, Q test f test				0.000 94.4%	0.932 0.0%
USA Jiron et al. (2013)(46) Isayeva et al. (2015)(52)* TOTAL	PCR qRT-PCR	6,33,11,16,18,31,52,35,45,51,56 16,18	125 22 337	24.8% (17.5-33.3)	13.6% (2.9-34.9)

Supplementary Table 2: Adjusted prevalence of HPV16 and HPV18 according to race stratified by head and neck sub-site with regression coefficients and standard errors.

		HPV16			HPV18			HPV16,18	
	Coefficient	Standard Error	Prevalence [†]	Coefficient	Standard Error	Prevalence [†]	Coefficient	Standard Error	Prevalence [†]
					All HNC		_		
Number o	f studies = 28			Number of studies	= 24		Number of studies	=28	
Asian	-0.391	0.148	28.4% (23.8-33.4)	0.021	0.598	1.6% (0.7-3.9)	-0.808	0.155	26.0% (21.6-30.9)
Black	0.283	0.219	43.7% (34.2-53.8)	1.902	0.532	9.8% (4.0-21.9)	0.490	0.241	56.2% (45.1-66.7)
White	(reference)		36.9% (34.0-40.0)	(reference)		1.6% (0.9-2.8)	(reference)		44.0% (40.8-47.3)
Other*	`-0.117 ´	0.511	34.3% (16.2-58.5)	1.644	1.084	7.7% (1.0-39.6)	0.033	0.513	44.9% (23.1-68.8)
			P=0.0123 [¥]			$P = 0.0077^{*}$			P < 0.0001 [¥]
TOTAL			35.0% (32.8-37.2)			1.9% (1.2-2.8)			39.3% (37.1-41.7)
					Oropharynx				
Number of	f studies = 25			Number of studies	= 21		Number of studies	s = 25	
Asian	-1.344	0.182	25.9% (21.1-31.4)	0.372	0.828	1.6% (0.5-4.7)	-1.540	0.189	25.2% (20.5-30.7)
Black	-0.252	0.271	51.1% (39.0-63.0)	2.769	0.682	14.8% (5.6-33.7)	-0.129	0.290	58.0% (45.0-70.0)
White	(reference)		57.3% (53.1-61.4)	(reference)		1.1% (0.4-2.5)	(reference)		61.1% (56.8-65.3)
Other*	0.758	0.846	74.1% (35.4-93.7)				0.598	0.845	74.1% (35.5-93.7)
			P < 0.0001 [¥]			$P = 0.0025^{*}$			P < 0.0001 [¥]
TOTAL			46.6% (43.7-49.4)			1.6% (1.0-2.7)			48.7% (45.9-51.6)
				N	on-Oropharynx				
Number of	f studies = 21			Number of studies	= 19		Number of studies = 21		
Asian	1.069	0.397	27.1% (16.4-41.4)				0.241	0.401	20.9% (11.9-34.0)
Black	0.183	0.571	13.3% (5.0-30.8)	0.784	1.133	3.2% (0.4-22.6)**	0.735	0.568	30.2% (12.9-55.7)
White	(reference)		11.3% (8.7-14.6)	(reference)		1.5% (0.6-3.8)**	(reference)		17.2% (13.5-21.5)
Other*	-0.569	1.073	6.7% (0.9-37.0)	2.155	1.209	11.6% (1.3-56.3)**	0.083	0.834	18.4% (4.2-53.3)
			$P = 0.0553^{*}$			$P = 0.1434^{*}$			$P = 0.6344^{*}$
TOTAL		 	13.4% (11.0-16.3)			1.4% (0.6-3.2)**			18.2% (15.2-21.8)

^{*}Other includes other race/ethnic groups and unknown race; †Adjusted for each study, year of diagnosis, square root age, gender, alcohol, and smoking status; **smoking status predicted HPV18 perfectly and was excluded as a covariate; *Chi-square p-value for the differences between the four race/ethnic group categorie