

[4] Mair R. En-bloc rotation of the truncus arteriosus—a technique for complete repair of transposition of the great arteries/ventricular septal defect/left ventricular outflow tract obstruction or double outlet right ventricle and left ventricular outflow tract obstruction. *Oper Tech Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2009;14/1:45–54.

* Corresponding author. Children's Heart Center Linz, Krankenhausstr. 9, 4020 Linz, Austria. Tel: +43-5-76808373265; fax: +43-5-768-0832228; e-mail: rudolf.mair@akh.linz.at (R. Mair).

doi:10.1093/ejcts/ezw089

Advance Access publication 20 May 2016

Lost in perfusion

Fulvio Morello^{a*}, Peiman Nazerian^b and Enrico Lupia^{a,c}

^a Emergency Department, A.O.U. Città della Salute e della Scienza, Turin, Italy

^b Emergency Department, A.O.U. Careggi, Firenze, Italy

^c Department of Medical Sciences, Università degli Studi di Torino, Turin, Italy

Received 4 December 2015; accepted 26 February 2016

Keywords: Aorta • Death • Ischaemia • Shock • Surgery

The recently published article by Conzelmann *et al.*, based on the GERAADA registry, provides further multicentre evidence from a large number of patients that organ malperfusion represents a key factor for mortality in acute Stanford type A aortic dissection (AD), with death rates increasing proportional to the number of affected organs [1, 2]. This concept critically applies also to Stanford type B AD, where non-surgical options play a primary role. In our strive to continuously improve outcome, these findings primarily imply that tailored strategies to revert organ malperfusion should start as early as possible in conjunction with aortic repair, and should take advantage of an expert multidisciplinary 'aortic team'. A major challenge in front of us, however, is represented by the unclear definition of organ malperfusion syndromes in AD. This negatively affects both clinical practice and research, and leads to poor recognition of the substantial clinical heterogeneity of patients with AD. Unfortunately, in spite of its leading role in identifying perfusion as a key pathophysiological and prognostic factor, the GERAADA registry itself does not provide any provisional definition of malperfusion syndromes applicable to clinical practice and to further studies, as the diagnosis of malperfusion was operator-/centre-specific [1–3].

In the current era, a large amount of data exploring organ perfusion three-dimensionally can be made available for patients with AD in a timely fashion and already in the Emergency Department. These data, awaiting systematic record and exploration, include objective assessment of neurological status (NIH Stroke Scale, Glasgow Coma Scale, Modified Rankin Scale), ECG findings, bedside ultrasonography of the heart and vessels, biomarkers exploring organ damage (myocardium: troponin; kidney: cystatin C, neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin, creatinine; visceral organs: lactate dehydrogenase, amylase, transaminases; muscle: creatine kinase, lactate dehydrogenase), microperfusion (lactate), systemic inflammation (white blood cells, C-reactive protein), thrombotic burden (D-dimer, fibrinogen, platelets) and increasingly detailed imaging data. For standardization, these variables could be classified in predefined bundles of organ damage. A relevant model providing readily applicable methods and criteria could be sepsis, another multiorgan malperfusion killer [4].

In front of us, we should pave our way towards two major objectives. First, meta-analytic studies combining large registries of AD now have a chance to provide clinicians, especially emergency physicians from non-specialized centres, with simple assessment tools based on few unequivocal variables (e.g. age, gender, haemodynamics, coma, necessity to resuscitate) for the identification of the sickest patients. This will favour well-balanced clinical management and communication with patients' family members, while limiting heroic therapeutic decisions. Second, a new era of multidimensional evaluation and treatment of organ perfusion with measurable criteria and end-points has come, which will allow us to continuously improve the outcomes of AD.

REFERENCES

[1] Conzelmann LO, Weigang E, Mehlhorn U, Abugameh A, Hoffmann I, Blettner M *et al.* Mortality in patients with acute aortic dissection type A: analysis of pre- and intraoperative risk factors from the German Registry for

Acute Aortic Dissection Type A (GERAADA). *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2016; 49:e44–e52.

[2] Czerny M, Schoenhoff F, Etz C, Englberger L, Khaladj N, Zierer A *et al.* The impact of pre-operative malperfusion on outcome in acute type A aortic dissection: results from the GERAADA registry. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2015;65: 2628–35.

[3] Bachet J. Mortality in patients with acute aortic dissection type A—analysis of pre- and intraoperative risk factors from the German Registry for Acute Aortic Dissection Type A: is this the real world? *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2016; 49:e52–e53.

[4] Dellinger RP, Levy MM, Rhodes A, Annane D, Gerlach H, Opal SM *et al.* Surviving sepsis campaign: international guidelines for management of severe sepsis and septic shock: 2012. *Crit Care Med* 2013;41:580–637.

* Corresponding author. Emergency Department, Molinette Hospital, A.O.U. Città della Salute e della Scienza; C.so Bramante 88, 10126 Turin, Italy. Tel: +39-011-6337122; fax: +39-011-6335082; e-mail: fmorello@cittadellasalute.to.it (F. Morello).

doi:10.1093/ejcts/ezw097

Advance Access publication 2 May 2016

Reply to Morello *et al.*

Lars Oliver Conzelmann^{a*}, Uwe Mehlhorn^a, Martin Czerny^b and Ernst Weigang^c

^a HELIOS Clinic for Cardiac Surgery, Karlsruhe, Germany

^b University Hospital Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany

^c Evangelical Hospital Hubertus, Berlin, Germany

Received 24 February 2016; accepted 26 February 2016

Keywords: Malperfusion • Acute aortic dissection • GERAADA

We thank Morello *et al.* for their interest in our work [1–3]. Their demand for a 'provisional definition of malperfusion syndromes' is well comprehensible, but this was neither the primary intention of the GERAADA (German Registry for Acute Aortic Dissection Type A) registry, nor possible to achieve by the collected parameters of the registry. The variables for the GERAADA registry were defined long time before the onset of the registry in July 2006, comprising the presence or absence of coronary, cerebral, spinal, visceral, renal and peripheral malperfusions as well as neurological symptoms. Thus, for the purpose of predicting lethal risk factors, the registry includes a detailed inventory of malperfused organ systems. A more detailed collection of 'malperfusion' parameters—as specified by the authors (e.g. biomarkers and imaging data)—may be worthwhile. But as we had already mentioned in our paper (see Limitations section), the limited amount of data for the GERAADA registry was deliberately designed to keep the data recruitment as simple as possible. Concerning data input into registries, the quality and reliability of too many variables is questionable and might introduce undesirable bias. Furthermore, to analyse questions, where multiple parameters or interactions of parameters should reveal a significant impact, a cohort of 2137 patients is most likely underpowered. Sometimes less is more; therefore, we are convinced that our analyses with the limited amount of data are appropriate for the predefined aim of this study. And it was one of our intentions to direct the focus on one important issue: the presence or absence of malperfusion syndromes, which has a tremendous impact on the patient's fate.

Funding

This work was supported by the German Society for Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery (GSTCVS) and is financially rewarded through this project. GERAADA is an official project of the Task Force for Aortic Surgery and Interventional Vascular Surgery of the German Society for Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery (GSTCVS). GERAADA is sponsored by the GSTCVS and various companies (Medtronic, St Jude Medical, Vascutek, Edwards Lifesciences and The Medicines Company).

REFERENCES

[1] Morello F, Nazerian P, Lupia E. Lost in perfusion. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2016;50:586.