



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Fast and specific detection of the invasive forest pathogen Heterobasidion irregulare through a Loop-mediated isothermal AMPlification (LAMP) assay

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1651501 since 2017-11-09T13:23:27Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1111/efp.12396

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

This is an author version of the contribution:

Questa è la versione dell'autore dell'opera: [Sillo F., Giordano L., Gonthier P. Forest Pathology 2017, DOI: 10.1111/efp.12396]

The definitive version is available at:

La versione definitiva è disponibile alla URL: [http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/efp.12396/full]

Fast and specific detection of the invasive forest pathogen *Heterobasidion irregulare* through a Loop-mediated isothermal AMPlification (LAMP)
 assay

17

18 Fabiano Sillo¹, Luana Giordano^{1,2}, and Paolo Gonthier^{1*}

¹University of Torino, Department of Agricultural, Forest and Food Sciences, Largo Paolo
Braccini 2, I-10095 Grugliasco (TO), Italy.

²University of Torino, Centre of Competence for the Innovation in the Agro-Environmental

22 Field (AGROINNOVA), Largo Paolo Braccini 2, I-10095 Grugliasco (TO), Italy.

23 *Corresponding author: paolo.gonthier@unito.it

24

25 Summary

26 Heterobasidion irregulare is one of the most destructive fungal pathogens of pines in North 27 America and was accidentally introduced into central Italy, where it has become invasive. The 28 fungus is currently recommended for regulation by the European and Mediterranean Plant 29 Protection Organisation (EPPO). In this work, an efficient diagnostic tool for the early detection 30 of H. irregulare based on Loop-mediated isothermal AMPlification (LAMP) coupled with two 31 different DNA extraction methods was developed. The LAMP assay showed high specificity 32 and good sensitivity, with a limit of detection of about 20 picograms of target DNA and time 33 of detection of less than 40 minutes. The assay was successfully tested on a variety of different 34 samples, including fungal fruiting bodies, infected plants, and colonized wood. A survey on 35 environmental samples collected in the field was also performed by using the LAMP assay coupled with a rapid DNA extraction method. The possible applications of this molecular 36 37 diagnostic tool encompass the monitoring of pine forests surrounding the current invasion area,

laboratory or in-field analyses of samples from suspected trees, and the surveillance in the portsof entry of wood imported from North America.

40

41

42 Introduction

43

44 The *Heterobasidion annosum* species complex includes two fungal species distributed in North 45 America, i.e. H. irregulare Garbel. & Otrosina and H. occidentale Otrosina & Garbel., and 46 three in Eurasia, i.e. H. abietinum Niemelä & Korhonen, H. annosum (Fr.) Bref. and H. 47 parviporum Niemelä & Korhonen (Garbelotto & Gonthier, 2013). All species are necrotrophic 48 pathogens regarded as some of the most destructive disease agents of conifers worldwide. 49 Within the species complex, H. irregulare attacks pines (Pinus spp.) in North America 50 (Otrosina & Garbelotto, 2010; Olson et al., 2012; Garbelotto & Gonthier, 2013). The disease 51 can result in root rot, reduced growth, and mortality of host trees (Garbelotto & Gonthier, 2013). 52 Primary infection is effected by basidiospores on freshly cut stumps or wounds, while 53 secondary infection occurs by mycelium growth from stumps or diseased trees to healthy ones 54 through root contacts (Garbelotto & Gonthier, 2013). The North American H. irregulare was 55 accidentally introduced in central Italy during World War II (Gonthier et al., 2004), most likely 56 through the movement of pallets or other military equipment made of untreated lumber from 57 infected trees (Gonthier et al., 2004; Garbelotto et al., 2013). In the same area in central Italy, 58 its Eurasian pine-associated sister species *H. annosum* is also present. The newfound sympatry 59 between these two allopatrically diverged species favoured hybridization processes with 60 unpredictable ecological consequences (Gonthier & Garbelotto, 2011). The introduction of H. 61 *irregulare* has also resulted in an ongoing invasion process in coastal Italian stone pine (P. pinea L.) stands (Gonthier et al., 2007). The invasive species is seemingly outcompeting the 62 native one, despite the two fungi displaying comparable pathogenicity levels towards pines 63

64 (Garbelotto et al., 2010). Indeed, H. irregulare can saprobically colonize a larger volume of 65 wood and may produce a higher number of fruiting bodies than H. annosum (Giordano et al., 2014), two factors that may account for its invasiveness (Giordano et al., 2014; Gonthier et al., 66 67 2014). The relevant actual and potential impact of *H. irregulare* on European pine stands, the documented hybridization with the native H. annosum, and the ability to colonize oak stands 68 69 (Gonthier & Garbelotto, 2011; Gonthier et al., 2012, 2014), have lead to the recent inclusion 70 (September 2015) of the invasive pathogen in the A2 list of pests recommended for regulation 71 by the European and Mediterranean Plant Protection Organisation (EPPO). As a consequence 72 of this situation, efficient diagnostic tools are needed for the monitoring of this pathogen and 73 for accurate and effective containment measures to be implemented in the previously defined 74 zone of infestation (ZOI) and buffer zone (BZ) (Gonthier et al., 2014; EPPO, 2015).

75 Molecular methods are now widely and routinely used in plant disease diagnostics as they are 76 generally efficient and sensitive, and they may allow early detection and identification of a 77 range of plant pathogens and pests. Molecular diagnostic assays combined with appropriate 78 sampling methods have been developed for the rapid detection of several wood decay fungi 79 (Guglielmo et al., 2007, 2008, 2010, 2012; Gonthier et al., 2015). A tool recently developed by 80 Lamarche et al. (2017) and based on qPCR TaqManTM probes enabled the detection of H. 81 *irregulare* in samples such as airborne particles captured by silicone-based spore traps. This 82 tool offers a great example of how the molecular biology may be useful for plant pathological 83 diagnostic purposes (Lamarche et al., 2017). Other molecular methods have been developed to discriminate different Heterobasidion species (Garbelotto et al., 1996; Gonthier et al., 2003) 84 85 and to detect Heterobasidion spp. in wood samples (Gonthier et al., 2015), based on Taxon-Specific Competitive-Priming (TSCP) and Multiplex PCR, respectively. However, a reliable 86 87 simple/fast/in-field assay for the detection of *H. irregulare* in environmental samples is still 88 lacking.

89 PCR- and qPCR-based molecular methods are often time-consuming and require specialized 90 operators able to perform and manage the laboratory analyses, as well as to interpret the results (Niessen et al., 2013). Moreover, they can rarely be applied in the field because of the 91 92 requirement for large facilities and of the heterogeneous nature of environmental samples, most 93 of which might contain PCR-inhibitor compounds. Loop-mediated isothermal AMPlification 94 (LAMP) is an emerging molecular tool offering rapid, accurate, and cost-effective diagnosis of 95 plant pathogens. In contrast to PCR-based methods, LAMP is an isothermal assay which does 96 not require a thermocycler (Notomi et al., 2000). A typical LAMP assay uses two sets of primers 97 (external primers F3 and B3, and internal primers FIP and BIP) and a DNA polymerase without 98 exonuclease activity but with strand-displacing activity, in order to generate amplicons 99 containing loop regions to which further primers (namely FL and BL) can bind (Notomi et al., 100 2000). As the enzyme for LAMP is more tolerant to chemical inhibitors often found in 101 environmental samples compared to standard PCR polymerases, the LAMP assay enables the 102 use of fast DNA extraction methods making it possible to perform diagnostics in the field 103 (Tomlinson, 2013). Indeed, the application of LAMP-based assays has been described for the 104 detection of a broad range of plant pathogens (reviewed in Ward & Harper, 2012), including 105 several important fungal and bacterial tree pathogens (Tomlinson et al., 2007; Temple & 106 Johnson, 2011; Bühlmann et al., 2013; Harrison et al., 2017), phytoplasmas (Tomlinson et al., 107 2010a) and wood-inhabiting nematodes (Kang et al., 2015).

Here, we report on the development of a LAMP diagnostic assay coupled with both a standard and a rapid DNA extraction method for the detection of *H. irregulare* in a variety of environmental samples, i.e. mycelia, fruiting bodies, plant samples and wood. The molecular diagnostic tool was developed following the EPPO Standards PM 7/98(2) guidelines (EPPO, 2014).

113

114 Materials and methods

116 Using available data from whole genome comparative analysis between *H. irregulare* and its 117 sibling species H. annosum (Sillo et al., 2015), a candidate genomic locus for the design of the 118 LAMP assay was selected on the basis of both strong intra-specific conservation and inter-119 specific divergence. Analytical specificity of primers was assessed by performing the LAMP 120 assay on DNA extracted from the three taxonomically-related species within the genus 121 Heterobasidion reported in Europe, i.e. H. abietinum, H. annosum and H. parviporum, and of 122 other non-target wood decay fungi often associated with pines. Analytical sensitivity was 123 assessed by performing the LAMP assay on independent dilution series of *H. irregulare* DNA. 124 Selectivity, i.e. test of the matrix effects on the assay, was evaluated by performing the assay 125 on different environmental-like matrices produced in the laboratory such as pine logs and 126 seedlings artificially inoculated with the pathogen. A survey on environmental samples 127 collected in the field and processed by using the rapid DNA extraction method was also 128 performed. Finally, the assay was validated for repeatability and reproducibility.

129

130 Development of primers for Loop-mediated isothermal AMPlification (LAMP) assay

131 By using available BAM files of the whole genome comparative analysis between H. irregulare 132 and H. annosum performed by Sillo et al. (2015), a H. irregulare genomic region was selected 133 for the design of LAMP primers. H. annosum was indeed regarded as the most similar species 134 to *H. irregulare* by several studies (Linzer et al., 2008; Dalman et al., 2010; Sillo et al., 2015). 135 In detail, SamTools mpileup (Li et al., 2009) on BAM files was used to calculate nucleotide 136 diversity along the aligned genomes to find a region showing high nucleotide diversity between 137 H. irregulare and H. annosum and no intraspecific diversity within species. A small region (316 138 bp) showing high nucleotide diversity (> 20 Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms-SNPs/Kb) 139 between species but no intraspecific nucleotide diversity (no SNPs among isolates of the same species) was used as a target region to design primers for LAMP (Figure 1). This genomic 140

141 region is located in the scaffold_03 inside a gene (Transcript ID: 472015) annotated as 142 Cytochrome P450 monooxygenase with heme binding activity (Olson et al., 2012). The selected 143 region showed 14 intra-specific conserved SNPs (Figure 1). Primers were designed on the H. 144 irregulare sequence of the reference genome (Olson et al., 2012) using PrimerExplorer V.4 145 (https://primerexplorer.jp/e/) and standard parameter sets. The LAMP assay included six 146 primers, namely external primers F3 and B3, internal primers FIP and BIP, and loop primers 147 FL and BL. An additional set of primers developed by Tomlinson et al. (2010b) was used as 148 internal control in the DNA extraction from plant materials, i.e. COX LAMP assay. Successful 149 DNA extraction from plant samples was verified by scoring the positive signal in the COX 150 LAMP assay. Primers were synthesized by Thermo Fisher Scientific and all sequences are 151 shown in Table 1.

152

153 Fungal materials

154 In order to assess the sensitivity and specificity of the LAMP assay, mycelia from pure cultures 155 were used (Table 2). Nine isolates of H. irregulare were selected as positive controls. Six out 156 of nine were obtained from airspora and one from a fruiting body collected in the invasion area 157 in central Italy and pre-screened for the absence of H. annosum introgressed alleles based on 158 more than 500 independent AFLP loci (Gonthier & Garbelotto, 2011). Two out of nine 159 originated in North America (Table 2). Six isolates of the native sibling species H. annosum 160 were selected as non-target controls (closest non-target species in the genus *Heterobasidion*) 161 (Table 2). In addition, three isolates of H. abietinum and three of H. parviporum were selected 162 as additional non-target controls within the genus Heterobasidion. All isolates were previously 163 identified through molecular diagnostic assays (Gonthier et al., 2003, 2007).

164 Seven other fungal taxa were selected as non-target controls since they are basidiomycetes often

165 found in the same hosts as *H. irregulare*, i.e. pines: *Echinodontium tinctorium* (Ellis & Everh.)

166 Ellis & Everh., Fomitopsis pinicola (Sw.) P. Karst., Fuscoporia torulosa (Pers.) T. Wagner &

167 M. Fisch., Onnia spp., Phaeolus schweinitzii (Fr.) Pat., Porodaedalea pini (Brot.) Murrill and 168 Stereum spp. Two isolates were used for each of the above taxa (Table 2). Isolates were grown 169 in 2% malt extract broth at 25°C for one week before being harvested. Approximately 200 mg 170 of mycelium for each isolate was collected using a vacuum pump, freeze dried overnight and 171 ground using four glass beads (two with diameter of 0.2 mm and two with diameter of 0.4 mm) 172 in a FastPrepTM Cell Disrupter (FP220-Qbiogene). DNA extraction from mycelia was 173 performed using EZNA® Stool DNA Kit (Omega Bio-Tek, USA) according to Gonthier et al. 174 (2015).

175

176 Environmental samples

177 In order to test the selectivity, i.e. the variations of the sample materials/matrix (Boonham et 178 al., 2016), three types of samples were used. The first included wood sawdust collected from 179 logs of Pinus sylvestris L. artificially inoculated with H. irregulare and H. annosum; the second 180 was P. pinea seedlings artificially inoculated with H. irregulare and H. annosum; the third 181 comprised wood sawdust from drillings conducted at the base of standing P. pinea trees in 182 disease centers in the invasion area of H. irregulare. The first two types of samples were 183 prepared in the laboratory and are hereafter referred to as "environmental-like samples", while 184 the third is referred to as "environmental samples".

In order to prepare samples of the first type, four 30 cm length - 20 cm diameter fresh *P. sylvestris* logs obtained from branches of healthy trees were inoculated with either *H. irregulare* (2 logs, isolate codes CP15 and 49SA) or *H. annosum* (2 logs, isolate codes Ha.carp. and CAL1) isolates in one of the two extremities as described by Giordano et al. (2014). One non-inoculated log was used as negative control. After eight weeks, each log was drilled four times as previously described (Guglielmo et al., 2010) to a depth of 10 cm and sawdust from drilling was collected for a total of 8 samples from logs inoculated with *H. irregulare*, 8 samples from

192 logs inoculated with *H. annosum*, and 4 samples from the non-inoculated log (Table S1).

193 In order to obtain samples of the second type, 25 P. pinea seeds provided by Florsilva Ansaloni 194 (Bologna, IT) were surface sterilized in 30% (w/v) hydrogen peroxide solution for 60 minutes 195 with constant agitation, and rinsed twice with sterilized water. Subsequently, the embryos were detached and placed singly into 500 ml jars containing 50 ml of water-agar (15 g L^{-1} of agar). 196 197 Jars were incubated under white light at room temperature (25±2°C). After 15 days, seedlings 198 were inoculated with two isolates of *H. irregulare* (isolate codes 90A and 48NB) and two of 199 *H. annosum* (isolate codes 137OC and BM42NG) by placing two mycelial plugs of 6 mm by 200 the pine stems (5 mm apart). For each fungal isolate, five replicates were prepared. Five pine 201 seedlings not inoculated were included as negative controls. Seedlings were inspected daily for 202 the presence of crown symptoms including needle discoloration, damping-off and death. As 203 soon as the first symptoms appeared, stems were collected in 2-ml tubes and stored at -20°C 204 (Table S1).

205 In order to obtain samples of the third type, 10 standing *P. pinea* trees showing crown symptoms 206 and 10 fallen pine trees located in the "Gallinara pine plantation" (Anzio, Rome, IT), and 10 207 standing pine trees located into the gardens of the historical "Villa Doria Pamphjili" (Rome, 208 IT), were sampled by drilling a single hole at the base of the trunk, as described in Guglielmo 209 et al. (2010) for a total of 30 samples (Table S1). After each sampling, the drill bit was cleaned 210 in 0.5% (w/v) sodium hypochlorite solution and washed with sterilized water. Four 211 Heterobasidion fruiting bodies were also collected from fallen P. pinea trees in the "Circeo 212 National Park" (Latina, IT) and included in the study as samples.

213

214 Standard and rapid DNA extraction

Two types of DNA extraction methods were used on all samples, i.e. a standard and a rapid method. The standard DNA extraction method was performed using the EZNA® Stool DNA Kit, as described by Gonthier et al. (2015). Before using the standard DNA extraction method, all samples were lyophilized overnight. The rapid DNA extraction method was based on the use of alkaline polyethylene glycol (PEG) (Chomczynski & Rymaszewski, 2006). Briefly, samples (approx. 200 mg) were homogenized as a crude macerate using a 10-mm stainless steel bead in 5-ml plastic tubes containing 2 ml alkaline PEG lysis buffer (50 g L⁻¹ of PEG average Mn 4,600, 20 mM KOH, pH 13.5). Tubes were shaken by hand for 2 minutes, and 1 μ l of the ten-fold dilution of the crude macerate was used in the LAMP assay.

225

226 LAMP conditions

227 The reaction mixes for the LAMP assay included 200 nM of the external primers F3 and B3, 500 nM of the internal primers FIP and BIP, 500 nM of the loop primers FL and BL, 15 µl of 228 229 Isothermal Mastermix ISO-001 (OptiGene, UK) and 1 µl of DNA or crude macerate extract. 230 LAMP reactions were carried out using a CFX Connect Real-Time PCR detection system (Bio-231 Rad, USA) equipped with FAM reading channel. The protocol was 65°C for 40 minutes with 232 read plate every minute. The programme for the calculation of melting curves was: ramp from 233 65°C to 95°C with a temperature increment of 0.1°C and a read plate every 10 seconds. 234 Amplification and melting curves were analyzed using the Biorad-CFX manager software (Bio-235 Rad, USA).

236

237 Analytical specificity and sensitivity assays

Analytical specificity was assessed on 9 isolates of *H. irregulare* (target species), 12 isolates of other *Heterobasidion* spp. (non-target species most closely related in the genus), and 14 isolates of fungal species often found in the same host as *H. irregulare* (Table 2). DNA concentration of non-target samples was estimated with a Nanodrop (Thermo Scientific, USA) and only concentrations over 10 ng μ l⁻¹ were used in the specificity assay. Two technical replicates were performed for each biological replicate.

Analytical sensitivity was assessed by performing the LAMP assay on three independent 244 tenfold dilution series (ranging from 30 ng μ l⁻¹ to 30 10⁻⁷ ng μ l⁻¹) of DNA of three *H. irregulare* 245 246 isolates (isolate codes 49SA, 91NA and 48NB), tested in triplicate. DNA concentrations were 247 estimated by using a Nanodrop and 5 μ l of fungal DNA were diluted on 45 μ l of extract of P. 248 pinea DNA collected from a pine seedling, in order to mimic natural conditions. The Limit of 249 Detection (LOD) was calculated by multiplying the one-sided t-distribution value (degree of 250 freedom = 6) versus the standard deviation among 7 samples (DNA from isolates 9OA, 1116-251 1, Conk1, 48NB, 38NA, CP15 and 49SA; see Table 2) diluted until the observed limit of 252 detection in the analytical sensitivity test (Hibbert & Godding, 2005).

253

254 Selectivity and validation of rapid DNA extraction method and LAMP assay on 255 environmental samples

The selectivity assay was performed on 75 different samples (see "environmental samples" section). Two technical replicates were performed for each biological replicate. Validation of the assay for samples of DNA extracted using the standard method was performed by comparing the outcomes of the LAMP assay to those obtained by using standard molecular techniques to detect *Heterobasidion* spp. In detail, PCR with taxon-specific primers for *Heterobasidion* spp. was performed (Gonthier et al., 2015), amplicons sequenced and compared with other sequences in GenBank using the blastn algorithm.

This type of validation was not possible for samples of DNA extracted using the rapid method, since no DNA was amplifiable by PCR. Therefore, for these samples validation was performed by comparing the outcomes of PCR with taxon-specific primers on samples extracted using the standard method.

267 Diagnostic sensitivity (*DSe*), or true positive rate, and specificity (*DSp*), or true negative rate,
268 were calculated as follows:

269 1)
$$DSe = \frac{\Sigma TP}{\Sigma TP + FN}$$
 2) $DSp = \frac{\Sigma TN}{\Sigma TN + FP}$

271 where TP are True Positive values (positive samples in the standard assay), TN are True 272 Negative values (negative samples in both standard and LAMP assays), FN are False Negative 273 values (negative samples in LAMP assay only), and FP are False Positive values (positive 274 samples in LAMP assay only) (Altman & Bland, 1994). The Positive Likehood Ratio (LR+) 275 was calculated as the ratio between DSe and the false positive rate, while Negative Likehood 276 Ratio (LR-) was calculated as the ratio between the false negative rate and DSp. Calculation of 277 the likelihood ratios and their 95% confidence intervals was performed with the internet online 278 Diagnostic Test Calculator (available at: http://araw.mede.uic.edu/cgi-bin/testcalc.pl). 279 Repeatability and reproducibility were assessed by performing twice the standard DNA 280 extraction and LAMP assay and the rapid DNA extraction and LAMP assay by two different 281 operators. Five positive and five negative DNA samples were used in each assay.

282

283 **Results**

284

285 Analytical specificity and sensitivity assays

Using the LAMP assay coupled with the standard DNA extraction method on fungal isolates, no amplification was observed in negative controls. The LAMP assay developed for *H. irregulare* did not show cross-amplification with other *Heterobasidion* species, including *H. abietinum*, *H. annosum* and *H. parviporum*, nor with seven other wood decay-causing Hymenomycetes often found in pine trees (Figure 2).

Detection of fluorescence in LAMP reactions performed with a tenfold serial dilution of positive controls (*H. irregulare* DNA) resulted in a time of detection ranging from 6 to 27 minutes, depending on the starting DNA concentration (Figure 3). Optimum results in term of time of amplification were obtained with DNA concentrations of approximately 3 ng μ l⁻¹. Increased dilutions substantially affected the time of detection, and no amplification before 40 minutes was observed for dilutions over 3 x 10⁻³ ng μ l⁻¹. Based on the results of the LAMP assay on lower concentrations of seven positive controls (seven different concentrations of approx. 0.03 ng μ l⁻¹, with standard deviation = 0.00538), the minimum LOD of the assay was 1.99 x 10⁻² ng μ l⁻¹ (19.9 pg μ l⁻¹).

300

301 Selectivity and validation of the rapid DNA extraction method and LAMP assay on 302 environmental samples

All 45 environmental-like samples showed a positive signal in the COX LAMP assay, confirming the effectiveness of both DNA extraction methods (Figure 4). Neither false positive nor false negative results were obtained by using the LAMP assay coupled with the standard DNA extraction method on environmental-like samples, confirming the robustness of the assay (Table 3 and Table S1). The value of *Dsp* was 1.0 (100%) and the value of *Dse* was 1.0 (100%).

308 Likelihood ratios LR+ and LR- considering 40% of positive samples were both infinite.

309 One false negative result was obtained when the LAMP assay was performed on DNA extracted

with the rapid extraction method (Table 3 and Table S1). As a consequence, for these samples
only LR+ was infinite, while the LR- was close to 0 (0.06) (Figure 5). The *Dse* decreased to
0.964 (96.4%).

On a total of 30 environmental samples collected in the field, five samples were positive for *Heterobasidion* spp. after taxon-specific PCR. Sequencing of amplicons confirmed that one out of five was positive for *H. irregulare*. Only the sample containing *H. irregulare* DNA was positive to the LAMP assay, and with both DNA extraction methods (Table 4 and Table S1). This sample was collected from a fallen *P. pinea* tree in the "Gallinara pine plantation" (Table S1). Three out of four fruiting bodies collected at the "Circeo National Park" were positive for *H. irregulare* after the LAMP assay coupled both DNA extraction methods. Repeatability and 320 reproducibility of the LAMP assay were confirmed by the outcomes of the analyses performed 321 by two different operators. In the repeatability and reproducibility assays, there were no 322 significant differences in terms of time of detection of the pathogen when analyses were 323 performed by different operators (*t test*; p-value < 0.05).

324

325 **Discussion**

326

327 This work successfully developed a rapid and specific diagnostic LAMP assay for the forest 328 pathogen H. irregulare. The availability of the genome of H. irregulare (Olson et al., 2012) and 329 the comparative genomic analysis between this fungus and its sister species H. annosum (Sillo 330 et al., 2015), which is closely related from a genomic perspective, have allowed the selection 331 of an appropriate species-specific locus to design the primer set for the LAMP assay. The 332 approach we used to select this locus is a good example of how data from whole genomic 333 studies have practical applications in forest pathology. The recent improvements of sequencing 334 techniques favoured a rise in numbers of sequenced and released fungal genomes, as 335 successfully demonstrated Fungal Genomics by the Program (FGP: 336 http://genome.jgi.doe.gov/programs/fungi/index.jsf) launched by the Joint Genome Institute 337 (JGI) of the US Department of Energy (Grigoriev et al., 2011). In the near future, fungal 338 genomics is expected to further support plant pathologists involved in the development of 339 molecular diagnostic tools.

The LAMP assay developed in this work showed high specificity and sensitivity in the detection of *H. irregulare* DNA from different sample materials, including mycelia and fruiting bodies, pine seedlings and wood samples. The trustworthiness of the molecular tool was assessed using 343 35 fungal isolates and 75 between environmental-like and environmental samples. All *H. irregulare* DNA samples were successfully amplified by using the LAMP assay, showing clear and consistent positive results. The use of a Real-Time PCR detection system coupled with 346 OptiGene reagents allowed the assay to be completed in less than 40 minutes. The minimum amount of target DNA detected by the LAMP assay (19.9 pg μl^{-1}) was comparable to that 347 348 observed in other assays for forest pathogens including Phytophthora ramorum Werres, De 349 Cock & Man in 't Veld (10 pg μ l⁻¹; Tomlinson et al., 2007), *Phytophthora kernoviae* Brasier, 350 Beales & S.A. Kirk (17 pg μ l⁻¹; Tomlinson et al., 2010b) and *Hymenoscyphus fraxineus* (T. 351 Kowalski) Baral, Queloz & Hosoya (7 pg μ l⁻¹; Harrison et al., 2017). Real time PCR assays for 352 H. irregulare (Lamarche et al., 2017) showed a higher sensitivity than the molecular assay 353 developed here, however both primer set and TaqMan[™] probe were designed on multi-copy 354 genomic regions, i.e. the Internal Transcribed Spacer (ITS). In our study, the development of 355 two different primer sets for LAMP designed on ITS regions failed to specifically amplify H. 356 irregulare and showed cross-amplification with DNA of H. annosum (data not shown). The 357 genic region selected to design primers for the LAMP assay is deemed to be present as a single 358 copy. The differences in the two LOD values between Real Time PCR and LAMP assays can 359 thus be regarded as a consequence of the different copy number of target regions as previously 360 suggested (Chern et al., 2011).

361 The LAMP assay was validated perfectly on sample DNA extracted with the standard method.
362 In fact, for these samples LR+ and LR- were infinite. An infinite likelihood ratio indicates that
363 if the test is positive/negative, the pathogen is definitely present/absent.

364 A DNA sample extracted with the rapid extraction method from a log inoculated with H. 365 irregulare showed a false negative result to the LAMP assay, which affected the values of LR-366 and of Dse. Taken together, these findings suggest that there is a small probability that an 367 infected sample will prove negative in the assay coupled with the rapid DNA extraction method. 368 However, it should be noted that the other three samples coming from the same log as the one 369 showing the false negative result were positive in the LAMP assay. Therefore, the false negative 370 result is likely to be due to the very low quantity of fungal materials in the sample. It is worth 371 noting that the diagnostic efficiency of molecular analysis on wood samples is strongly 372 affected by sampling (Guglielmo et al., 2010). A sampling scheme based on four drillings 373 significantly increased the efficiency of the diagnostic test compared to samplings based on 374 single drillings in standing trees (Guglielmo et al., 2010). An optimal sampling procedure for 375 the LAMP assay coupled with the rapid extraction method could include at least four samples 376 from different drillings *per* tree, which can be further pooled together as a single sample, as 377 previously suggested (Guglielmo et al., 2010). The rapid extraction method can be considered 378 a practical alternative to the standard DNA extraction method we tested since it does not require 379 specific equipment or expensive reagents. In addition, it is much less time consuming compared 380 to the standard method (2 minutes of sample homogenization versus ~ 40-60 minutes of DNA 381 extraction with commercial kits), favouring application in the field.

The successful development of a LAMP assay combined with a rapid DNA extraction method and working on a broad range of sample materials is expected to reduce the time currently needed to perform routine diagnostics with other methods, and could pave the way to application of this tool for in-field diagnosis. In fact, even though the LAMP assay was developed and validated on a Real Time PCR detection system, it can be performed using infield portable equipment, e.g. Genie® II from OptiGene, as documented in comparable studies (Lenarčič et al., 2013).

389 In the Pest Risk Analysis (PRA) for *H. irregulare*, EPPO argued that the spread of this pathogen 390 would potentially have a considerable impact on European pines in the long term (EPPO, 2015). 391 Practical solutions for surveillance and monitoring of this pathogen will be pivotal not only in 392 Italy, but in all European countries where pines or other hosts are present. Applications of the 393 LAMP assay encompass the periodic monitoring of pine trees in the buffer zone surrounding 394 the current invasion area, with emphasis on laboratory or in-field analyses of samples from 395 suspected trees, and surveillance in the ports of entry of wood of hosts imported from North 396 America. In addition, this rapid diagnostic tool may be useful in North America, where H. 397 irregulare stands among the most harmful forest pathogens (Garbelotto & Gonthier, 2013).

399 Acknowledgements

400 This research was funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation 401 programme under grant agreement No 634179 (EMPHASIS). The authors gratefully 402 acknowledge Giovanni Sicoli formerly at the Department of Biology and Plant Pathology of 403 the University of Bari (Italy), Rimvydas Vasaitis at the Department of Forest Mycology and 404 Pathology of the Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences in Uppsala (Sweden), Libor 405 Jankovský at the Department of Forest Protection and Wildlife Management of Mendel 406 University in Brno (Czech Republic), and Matteo Garbelotto at the Department of 407 Environmental Science, Policy and Management of the University of California in Berkeley 408 (USA) for providing fungal isolates.

409

410 **References**

Altman, D. G., & Bland, J. M. (1994). Statistics Notes: Diagnostic tests 2: predictive values. *Bmj*, **309**, 102.

413

Boonham, N., Tomlinson, J., & Mumford, R. (2016). Molecular Methods in Plant Disease
Diagnostics: Principles and Protocols. CABI, UK.

416

- 417 Bühlmann, A., Pothier, J. F., Rezzonico, F., Smits, T. H., Andreou, M., Boonham, N., Duffy,
- 418 B., & Frey, J. E. (2013). *Erwinia amylovora* loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP)
- 419 assay for rapid pathogen detection and on-site diagnosis of fire blight. *Journal of*420 *Microbiological Methods*, **92**, 332–339.

424	Letters in Applied Microbiology, 52, 298–306.
425	
426	Chomczynski, P., & Rymaszewski, M. (2006). Alkaline polyethylene glycol-based method for
427	direct PCR from bacteria, eukaryotic tissue samples, and whole blood. <i>Biotechniques</i> , 40, 454–
428	457.
429	
430	Dalman, K., Olson, Å., & Stenlid, J. (2010). Evolutionary history of the conifer root rot fungus
431	Heterobasidion annosum sensu lato. Molecular Ecology, 19, 4979-4993.
432	
433	EPPO. (2014). EPPO Standards PM 7/98(2) Diagnostics. Specific requirements for laboratories
434	preparing accreditation for a plant pest diagnostic activity. EPPO Bulletin, 44, 117–147.
435	
436	EPPO. (2015). Pest risk analysis for Heterobasidion irregulare. EPPO, Paris. Available at
437	http://www.eppo.int/QUARANTINE/Pest_Risk_Analysis/PRA_intro.htm (last accessed:
438	06/07/2017).
439	
440	Garbelotto, M., Ratcliff, A., Bruns, T. D., Cobb, F. W., & Otrosina, W. J. (1996). Use of taxon-
441	specific competitive-priming PCR to study host specificity, hybridization, and intergroup gene
442	flow in intersterility groups of Heterobasidion annosum. Phytopathology, 86, 543-551.
443	
444	Garbelotto, M., Linzer, R., Nicolotti, G., & Gonthier, P. (2010). Comparing the influences of
445	ecological and evolutionary factors on the successful invasion of a fungal forest pathogen.
446	Biological Invasions, 12, 943–957.

Chern, E. C., Siefring, S., Paar, J., Doolittle, M., & Haugland, R. A. (2011). Comparison of

quantitative PCR assays for *Escherichia coli* targeting ribosomal RNA and single copy genes.

447

422

- Garbelotto, M., & Gonthier, P. (2013). Biology, epidemiology, and control of *Heterobasidion*species worldwide. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, **51**, 39–59.
- 450
- Garbelotto, M., Guglielmo, F., Mascheretti, S., Croucher, P. J. P., & Gonthier, P. (2013).
 Population genetic analyses provide insights on the introduction pathway and spread patterns
 of the North American forest pathogen *Heterobasidion irregulare* in Italy. *Molecular Ecology*,
 22, 4855–4869.

- Giordano, L., Gonthier, P., Lione, G., Capretti, P., & Garbelotto, M. (2014). The saprobic and
 fruiting abilities of the exotic forest pathogen *Heterobasidion irregulare* may explain its
 invasiveness. *Biological Invasions*, 16, 803–814.
- 459
- Gonthier, P., Garbelotto, M., & Nicolotti, G. (2003). Swiss stone pine trees and spruce stumps
 represent an important habitat for *Heterobasidion* spp. in subalpine forests. *Forest Pathology*,
 33, 191–203.

- Gonthier, P., Warner, R., Nicolotti, G., Mazzaglia, A., & Garbelotto, M. M. (2004). Pathogen
 introduction as a collateral effect of military activity. *Mycological Research*, 108, 468–470.
- Gonthier, P., Nicolotti, G., Linzer, R., Guglielmo, F., & Garbelotto, M. (2007). Invasion of
 European pine stands by a North American forest pathogen and its hybridization with a native
 interfertile taxon. *Molecular Ecology*, 16, 1389–1400.
- 470

- 472 analyses reveal massive gene introgression from the European fungal pathogen *Heterobasidion*
- 473 *annosum* into its introduced congener *H. irregulare*. *Molecular Ecology*, **20**, 2756–2770.

⁴⁷¹ Gonthier, P., & Garbelotto, M. (2011). Amplified fragment length polymorphism and sequence

- Gonthier, P., Lione, G., Giordano, L., & Garbelotto, M. (2012). The American forest pathogen *Heterobasidion irregulare* colonizes unexpected habitats after its introduction in Italy. *Ecological Applications*, 22, 2135–2143.
- 478
- Gonthier, P., Anselmi, N., Capretti, P., Bussotti, F., Feducci, M., Giordano, L., Honorati, T.,
 Lione, G., Michelozzi, M., Paparatti, B., Sillo, F., & Garbelotto, M. (2014). An integrated
 approach to control the introduced forest pathogen *Heterobasidion irregulare* in Europe. *Forestry*, 87, 471–481.
- 483
- 484 Gonthier, P., Guglielmo, F., Sillo, F., Giordano, L., & Garbelotto, M. (2015). A molecular
 485 diagnostic assay for the detection and identification of wood decay fungi of conifers. *Forest*486 *Pathology*, 45, 89–101.
- 487
- Grigoriev, I. V., Nordberg, H., Shabalov, I., Aerts, A., Cantor, M., Goodstein, D., Kuo, A.,
 Minovitsky, S., Nikitin, R., Ohm, R. A., Otillar, R., Poliakov, A., Ratnere, I., Riley, R.,
 Smirnova, T., Rokhsar, D., & Dubchak, I. (2011). The genome portal of the department of
 energy joint genome institute. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 40, D26–D32.
- 492
- Guglielmo, F., Bergemann, S. E., Gonthier, P., Nicolotti, G., & Garbelotto, M. (2007). A
 multiplex PCR-based method for the detection and early identification of wood rotting fungi in
 standing trees. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, **103**, 1490–1507.
- 496
- 497 Guglielmo, F., Gonthier, P., Garbelotto, M., & Nicolotti, G. (2008). A PCR-based method for
- 498 the identification of important wood rotting fungal taxa within Ganoderma, Inonotus s.l. and
- 499 *Phellinus* s.l. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, **282**, 228–237.

501

502

503	51 , 90–97.
504	
505	Guglielmo, F., Michelotti, S., Nicolotti, G., & Gonthier, P. (2012). Population structure analysis
506	provides insight into the infection biology and invasion strategies of Kretzschmaria deusta in
507	trees. Fungal Ecology, 5, 714–725.
508	
509	Harrison, C., Tomlinson, J., Ostoja-Starzewska, S., & Boonham, N. (2017). Evaluation and
510	validation of a loop-mediated isothermal amplification test kit for detection of Hymenoscyphus
511	fraxineus. European Journal of Plant Pathology, doi:10.1007/s10658-017-1179-8.
512	
513	Hibbert, D. B., & Gooding, J. J. (2005). Data Analysis for Chemistry: An Introductory Guide
514	for Students and Laboratory Scientists. Oxford University Press, NY, USA.
515	
516	Kang, J. S., Kim, A. Y., Han, H. R., Moon, Y. S., & Koh, Y. H. (2015). Development of two
517	alternative Loop-mediated isothermal amplification tools for detecting pathogenic pine wood
518	nematodes. Forest Pathology, 45, 127–133.
519	
520	Lamarche, J., Potvin, A., Stewart, D., Blais, M., Pelletier, G., Shamoun, S. F., Hamelin, R. C.,
521	& Tanguay, P. (2017). Real-time PCR assays for the detection of Heterobasidion irregulare,
522	H. occidentale, H. annosum sensu stricto and the Heterobasidion annosum complex. Forest
523	Pathology, 47, e12321.
524	

Guglielmo, F., Gonthier, P., Garbelotto, M., & Nicolotti, G. (2010) Sampling optimization for

DNA-based diagnosis of wood decay fungi in standing trees. Letters in Applied Microbiology,

- Lenarčič, R., Morisset, D., Mehle, N., & Ravnikar, M. (2013). Fast real-time detection of potato
 spindle tuber viroid by RT-LAMP. *Plant Pathology*, **62**, 1147–1156.
- 527
- 528 Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis, G.,
- 529 Durbin, R., & 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup7. (2009). The sequence 530 alignment/map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*, **25**, 2078–2079.
- 531
- Linzer, R. E., Otrosina, W. J., Gonthier, P., Bruhn, J., Laflamme, G., Bussieres, G., &
 Garbelotto, M. (2008). Inferences on the phylogeography of the fungal pathogen *Heterobasidion annosum*, including evidence of interspecific horizontal genetic transfer and of
 human-mediated, long-range dispersal. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 46, 844–862.
- 536
- Niessen, L., Luo, J., Denschlag, C., & Vogel, R. F. (2013). The application of loop-mediated
 isothermal amplification (LAMP) in food testing for bacterial pathogens and fungal
 contaminants. *Food Microbiology*, 36, 191–206.
- 540
- Notomi, T., Okayama, H., Masubuchi, H., Yonekawa, T., Watanabe, K., Amino, N., & Hase,
 T. (2000). Loop-mediated isothermal amplification of DNA. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 28, e63–
 e63.
- 544
- 545 Olson, Å., Aerts, A., Asiegbu, F., Belbahri, L., Bouzid, O., Broberg, A., Canbäck, B., Coutinho,
- 546 P. M., Cullen, D., Dalman, K., Deflorio, G., van Diepen, L. T. A., Dunand, C., Duplessis, S.,
- 547 Durling, M., Gonthier, P., Grimwood, J., Fossdal, C. G., Hansson, D., Henrissat, B., Hietala,
- 548 A., Himmelstrand, K., Hoffmeister, D., Högberg, N., James, T. Y., Karlsson, M., Kohler, A.,
- 549 Kües, U., Lee, Y. H., Lin, Y.C., Lind, M., Lindquist, E., Lombard, V., Lucas, S., Lundén, K.,
- 550 Morin, E., Murat, C., Park, J., Raffaello, T., Rouzé, P., Salamov, A., Schmutz, J., Solheim, H.,

- Ståhlberg, J., Vélëz, H., de Vries, R. P., Wiebenga, A., Woodward, S., Yakovlev, I., Garbelotto,
 M., Martin, F., Grigoriev, I. V., & Stenlid, J. (2012). Insight into trade-off between wood decay
 and parasitism from the genome of a fungal forest pathogen. *New Phytologist*, **194**, 1001–1013.
- Otrosina, W. J., & Garbelotto, M. (2010). *Heterobasidion occidentale* sp. nov. and *Heterobasidion irregulare* nom. nov.: a disposition of North American *Heterobasidion*biological species. *Fungal Biology*, **114**, 16–25.
- 558
- Sillo, F., Garbelotto, M., Friedman, M., & Gonthier, P. (2015). Comparative genomics of
 sibling fungal pathogenic taxa identifies adaptive evolution without divergence in pathogenicity
 genes or genomic structure. *Genome Biology and Evolution*, 7, 3190–3206.
- 562
- Temple, T. N., & Johnson, K. B. (2011). Evaluation of loop-mediated isothermal amplification
 for rapid detection of *Erwinia amylovora* on pear and apple fruit flowers. *Plant Disease*, 95,
 423–430.
- 566
- Tomlinson, J. A., Barker, I., & Boonham, N. (2007). Faster, simpler, more-specific methods
 for improved molecular detection of *Phytophthora ramorum* in the field. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **73**, 4040–4047.
- 570
- 571 Tomlinson, J. A., Boonham, N., & Dickinson, M. (2010a). Development and evaluation of a
- 572 one-hour DNA extraction and loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay for rapid detection
- 573 of phytoplasmas. *Plant Pathology*, **59**, 465–471.
- 574 Tomlinson, J. A., Dickinson, M. J., & Boonham, N. (2010b). Rapid detection of *Phytophthora*
- 575 ramorum and P. kernoviae by two-minute DNA extraction followed by isothermal

amplification and amplicon detection by generic lateral flow device. *Phytopathology*, **100**, 143–

577 149.

- 579 Tomlinson, J. (2013). In-field diagnostics using loop-mediated isothermal amplification.
- 580 Phytoplasma: Methods and Protocols, Vol. 938, New York, NY, USA: Humana Press, 291-
- 581 300.
- 582
- 583 Ward, L. I., & Harper, S. J. (2012). Loop-mediated isothermal amplification for the detection
- 584 of plant pathogens. *Methods in Molecular Biology*, Vol. 862, New York, NY, USA: Humana
- 585 Press, 161–70.
- 586
- 587

588 Figure legends

589

Fig. 1. Genomic alignment between *H. irregulare* and *H. annosum* of the selected locus for the
design of the LAMP primer sets. Black bars represent the locations of the designed primers (F3,
B3). Forward primer FIP includes F1c (complementary) and F2 regions. Backward primer BIP
includes B1c (complementary) and B2 regions. Polymorphic sites between the two species are
highlighted in light grey.

595

Fig. 2. Results of the analytical specificity assay. (a) Amplification curve of positive control (*H. irregulare*) and no amplification of non-target controls (DNA from *H. abietinum*, *H. annosum*, *H. parviporum*, and other seven fungal species found in pines) as detected by the CFX Connect Real-Time PCR detection system. (b) Melting curves displayed as negative first derivative of the fluorescence-*versus*-temperature plot over the temperature (-d(RFU)/dT *versus* T). For a better resolution of the image, only one representative replicate for each species is visualized.

603

Fig. 3. Results of the analytical sensitivity assay. (a) Amplification curve of different dilutions
of a positive control (*H. irregulare* isolate 48NB) as detected by the CFX Connect Real-Time
PCR detection system. (b) Jitter plot of the three independent dilution series. The quantity of
DNA (ng; x-axys) is plotted against the detection threshold time of the instrument (minutes).

608

609 Fig. 4. Example of sample (wood sawdust from an inoculated P. sylvestris log – sample ID
610 LOG1-CP15/b) positive to COX and H. irregulare LAMP assays. (a) Amplification plot of
611 plant DNA as detected by the COX LAMP assay (grey line) and of H. irregulare DNA as
612 detected by the specific assay (black line). (b) Melting curves displayed as negative first

derivative of the fluorescence-*versus*-temperature plot over the temperature (-d(RFU)/dT *versus* T). NC: Negative control.

616	Fig. 5. Nomogram for likelihood ratios of the LAMP assay coupled with the rapid DNA
617	extraction method considering a hypothetical pre-test probability of presence of H. irregulare
618	of 40% (as expected for environmental-like samples). Blue line represents LR+, while red line
619	represents LR

623 Fig. 1















636 Fig. 4









644 <i>Table 1.</i> Primers used in the LAMP assay.	644	<i>Table 1.</i> Primers used in the LAMP assay.
---	-----	---

Primer	Sequence (5'-3')	Source
name		
HirrSC3_F3	GCCACCAAAACTGGTTGT	This study
HirrSC3_B3	TGAAGATGTCAATGGAGGT	This study
HirrSC3_FIP	TCACTAGAACCGATTTCATGGGTAAAGGTGCTAGAGCATAGC	This study
HirrSC3_BIP	AGTGGAGAATCGTTGTTACAGTCCACTGTCGACATAAGTGCA	This study
HirrSC3_FL	ACATGGCGTACGTATGCTTG	This study
HirrSC3_BL	GAGGTTGAAGACAAAAACTTACGTG	This study
		Tomlinson et al.,
COX-F3	TATGGGAGCCGTTTTTGC	2010b
		Tomlinson et al.,
COX-B3	AACTGCTAAGRGCATTCC	2010b
		Tomlinson et al.,
COX-FIP	ATGGATTTGRCCTAAAGTTTCAGGGCAGGATTTCACTATTGGGT	2010b
		Tomlinson et al.,
COX-BIP	TGCATTTCTTAGGGCTTTCGGATCCRGCGTAAGCATCTG	2010b
		Tomlinson et al.,
COX-FL	ATGTCCGACCAAAGATTTTACC	2010b
		Tomlinson et al.,
COX-BL	GTATGCCACGTCGCATTCC	2010b

Table 2. List of fungal isolates used in this study.

		Host/Source-	Source or MUT ¹	Use in the assay
Species	Isolate ID	Geographic	Accession number	
species	code	Geographic	Accession number	
		origin		
Heterobasidion			MUT00003629	
irregulare	90A	airspora-Italy		
Heterobasidion	2011	oirenore Italy	MUT00001193	-
irregulare	JUNE	anspora-nary		
Heterobasidion	4010	· • • •	MUT00003627	-
irregulare	48NB	airspora-italy		
Heterobasidion	2011		MUT00001161	-
irregulare	38NA	airspora-Italy		
Heterobasidion	10.5.1		MUT00003628	Dositivo complos for
irregulare	49SA	airspora-Italy		rositive samples for
Heterobasidion			DISAFA ²	the target species
irregulare	91NA	airspora-Italy		
Heterobasidion	CD15		MUT00003560	-
irregulare	CP15	Pinus pinea-Italy		
Heterobasidion	1116.1	Diversional a LICA	ESPM	-
irregulare	1110-1	Pinus taeaa-USA		
Heterobasidion	0.11		ESPM	-
irregulare	CONKI	Pinus taeaa-USA		
Heterobasidion			DISAFA ²	
abietinum	38EF	airspora-Italy		
Heterobasidion			MUT00005618	
abietinum	P137r	airspora-Italy		
Heterobasidion			MUT00005577	Non-target samples
abietinum	VPS	Pinus strobus-Italy		
Heterobasidion	BM42NG	airspora-Italy	MUT00003543	morphologically
annosum s.s.				and taxonomically
Heterobasidion	137OC	airspora-Italy	MUT00003656	- - -
annosum s.s.				related
Heterobasidion	109SA	airspora-Italy	MUT00003538	1
annosum s.s.				
Heterobasidion			MUT00001215	1
annosum s.s.	CAL1	Fagus spItaly		

Heterobasidion	Ha.carp.	Pinus pinea-Italy	MUT00001143		
annosum s.s.					
Heterobasidion			MUT00005583		
annosum s.s.	Sib2	Pinus sylvestris-Russia			
Heterobasidion			DISAFA ²		
parviporum	A2B	airspora-Italy			
Heterobasidion			MUT00005615	-	
parviporum	P162r	airspora-Italy			
Heterobasidion			DISAFA ²		
parviporum	Cep7	Picea abies-Italy			
Echinodontium	Abo 60.88 P		ESPM		
tinctorium	Апо-оо-88-к	N/A-USA			
Echinodontium	ED 45204 E		ESPM	-	
tinctorium	FP-47304-T	N/A-USA			
Fomitopsis pinicola	C-Joux	Picea abies-Italy	MUT00005674	-	
Fomitopsis pinicola	FFP2	Abies alba-Italy	DISAFA ²		
Fuscoporia torulosa	759	N/A-Czech Republic	CCBAS	-	
Fuscoporia torulosa	DP39	Prunus pissardi-Italy	MUT00005649	-	
Onnia leporina	Phaeo1	Pinus sylvestris-Italy	DISAFA ²	-	
Onnia tomentosa	OT-Slu	Picea abies-Sweden	SLU	-	
Phaeolus schweinitzii	CeMiCa	Cedrus spItaly	DISAFA ²	Non-target samples	
Phaeolus schweinitzii	574	Picea abies-Italy	MUT00005653		
Porodaedalea pini	14	Pinus halepensis-Italy	BPV	often found in the	
Porodaedalea pini	28	Pinus halepensis-Italy	BPV	host plant	
Stereum hirsutum	27E.3	Castanea sativa-Italy	MUT00005631		
Stereum	12	Lavir decidua Itoly	MUT00005673		
sanguinolentum	12				
¹ Mycotheca Universitatis Taurinensis					
² only DNA extract avai	ilable				
BPV, Department of Bio	ology and Plant Pat	hology of the University of B	ari (Italy); CCBAS, Department		
of Experimental Mycology, Institute of Microbiology, Czechoslovak Academic of Sciences, Videnska					
(Prague, Czech Republic); DISAFA, Department of Agricultural, Forest and Food Sciences of the					
University of Torino (Italy); ESPM, Department of Environmental Science, Policy and Management of					
the University of California in Berkeley (USA); SLU, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences					
(Sweden); N/A, data no	t available.				