The influence of water stress on growth, ecophysiology and ornamental quality of potted Primula vulgaris 'Heidy' plants. New insights to increase water use efficiency in plant production.

(Article begins on next page)

23 September 2023

Matteo Caser, Claudio Lovisolo, Valentina Scariot

The influence of water stress on growth, ecophysiology and ornamental quality of potted Primula vulgaris 'Heidy' plants. New insights to increase water use efficiency in plant production

1 **The influence of water stress on growth, ecophysiology and ornamental quality of potted**
2 ***Primula vulgaris* 'Heidy' plants. New insights to increase water use efficiency in plant**
3 **production.**

4 Matteo Caser[1*], Claudio Lovisolo[1], Valentina Scariot[1]

5

6 [1]Department of Agricultural, Forest and Food Sciences, University of Torino, Largo Paolo Braccini,
7 2, 10095, Grugliasco (TO), Italy

8

9 *Corresponding author: Matteo Caser, [1]Department of Agricultural, Forest and Food Sciences,
10 University of Torino, Largo Paolo Braccini, 2, 10095, Grugliasco (TO), Italy; +390116708935;
11 matteo.caser@unito.it

12

Abstract

Efficient irrigation practices are required to reduce the amount of water used. In this study, the effects of different irrigation regimes on changes in growth, ecophysiology and ornamental traits of potted *Primula vulgaris* 'Heidy' plants were investigated. Three experiments were carried out. In the first, the plants were either fully irrigated (100% of container capacity) or not. In the second, plants were watered to full irrigation (control), to 50% of the control (moderate water stress), to 25% of the control (severe water stress), or not irrigated and followed by a rehydration phase. Both experiments were conducted under controlled growth conditions. The third experiment was performed under common nursery conditions in an unheated and shaded greenhouse where plants were either irrigated with common irrigation practices (control), or with 66% of the control amount (moderate water stress), or with 33% of the control (severe water stress). In general, the percentage of senescent plants, the growth index, the number of leaves, and the aerial fresh and the dry weight were not affected by moderate water stress treatments. As expected, increasing water stress resulted in a general decrease in all studied gas exchange parameters. However, stressed plants were more efficient in using water than control plants, suggesting that stomata closed to cope with drought conditions without damaging photosynthesis events. The number of fully opened flowers during the growing season was highest in both control and moderately water stressed plants. In conclusion, moderate, but not severe, water stress could be imposed in *Primula vulgaris* 'Heidy' pot production to reduce the water consumption, still maintaining plant ecophysiological performances and ornamental quality.

Keywords Flower, Gas exchange parameters, Instantaneous water use efficiency, Midday leaf water potentials, Plant stress, Primrose

Introduction

Managing global water resources is one of the most pressing challenges of the 21st century. In many areas of the world there is a considerable pressure to produce crops more efficiently by reducing the water use (Fulcher et al. 2016). In floriculture, 100–350 L of water are needed to produce 1 kg of plant dry matter, with differences related to species, variety, cultivation system, and plant growing season (Fornes et al. 2007). Surprisingly, irrigation needs of ornamental pot plants have not been much investigated, although they constitute a major part of horticultural production (Henson et al. 2006). In the last decades, the interest in irrigation procedures was mainly centered on fruit and vegetable crops (Goldhamer and Beede 2004; Mo et al. 2016; Pérez-Jiménez et al. 2016).

In flower farming, the irrigation is generally based on personal experience and is rarely managed to match the effective water needs (Grant et al. 2012). Water and nutrients are often applied in excess, resulting in water wastage and environmental pollution due to the leaching of fertilisers and herbicides (ARPAT 2007). The controlled application of the water may be used in potted plants also to improve quality (Cameron et al. 2006), but precise scheduling is required to minimise the risk of excessive drying of the substrate. In this sense, different works have demonstrated that the plant quality decreases as the severity of water deficit increases (Sanchez-Blanco et al. 2009; Bolla et al. 2010; Bernal et al. 2011; Caser et al. 2012). Plant responses to drought are multiple and interconnected (Efeoğlu et al. 2009; Ali et al. 2017) and their capacities to adapt to this stress may vary considerably within genera and species (Sánchez-Blanco et al. 2002; Torrecillas et al. 2003). Water scarcity can delay and reduce the flowering and the new leaves sizes and the quality (Sanchez-Blanco et al., 2002; Augé et al., 2003) as a physiological consequence to drought (Davies et al. 2002; Zollinger et al. 2006; Alvarez et al. 2009; Caser et al. 2016). Thus, understanding morphological and physiological responses of plants to water management is critical for optimizing a sustainable high-quality production without compromising the economic value of the crop (Cameron et al. 2006; Franco et al. 2006).

Primula vulgaris (primrose, syn. *P. acaulis* (L.) Hill) is a perennial herbaceous native species of western and southern Europe, northwest Africa, and southwest Asia, frequently cultivated as a garden or potted flowering plant all around the world. Effect of water stress on morphology and physiology of *Primula* species have been reported only in *P. palinuri* (Dietz and Heber 1983) and in *P. veris* (Whale 1984) as high tolerant species. A high tolerance to adverse conditions, namely strong light intensity (photosynthetically active radiation > 1500 µmol m^{-2}s^{-1}) and heat stress at 38°C and 42°C was assessed within the genus by Liu et al. (2006) and Ceriani et al. (2009) and Hu et al. (2010). Cloned small heat shock proteins (sHSPs) gene, PfHSP17.1, was obtained by *P. forrestii* plants exposed to thermal stress (42 °C for 2 h) and used to higher resistance to salt and drought in transgenic

Arabidopsis thaliana plants (Zhang et al. 2013). Moreover, further work is needed to characterize and quantify the responses to water shortage.

The present research investigated the effects of different irrigation regimes on changes in the growth, the physiology and the ornamental traits of *P. vulgaris* 'Heidy', both under controlled growth conditions and common greenhouse practices. Such knowledge might help to optimise water use in primrose production and contribute to develop irrigation protocols for potted ornamental plants.

Materials and methods

Plant material

Plants of *P. vulgaris* 'Heidy' were provided in November 2013 by Planta s.s. (Bressanone, Bolzano, Italy) and immediately potted into vases of 11 cm in diameter, filled with a mixture of peat, conifer bark and clay (Turco Silvestro TS2, Albenga, Italy), and amended with 2 g L^{-1} of Osmocote Plus (14:13:13 N, P, K plus microelements). Their cultivation occurred till April 2014 in an unheated and shaded high tunnel at the Floricoltura Lagomarsino nursery (Genova, Italy) (44°23'06.5''N, 9°02'47.6''E). The weather conditions during greenhouse cultivation are listed in Supplementary Table 1. Plants were used in three different experiments as described below.

Experiment 1

Plants at the foliar period were transferred and maintained at the Department of Agriculture, Forest and Food Sciences of the University of Torino (Grugliasco, Italy) (45°03'59.73''N, 7°35'24.72''E) in a growth chamber at 20 °C, 60% of relative humidity and 16 h of photoperiod, with a photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) of 157 μmol·m^{-2}·s^{-1} at the top of the canopy, provided by high pressure sodium lamps. The experimental design was a split-plot design with two treatments and three replications per treatment. Thirty-six plants were randomly divided in two groups (18 plants each) and subjected to fully irrigation (100% of container capacity), while the others were not irrigated. The water content was kept constant throughout the experiment. Gravimetric determinations of the water content was made by weighing soil samples before and after oven-drying to constant weight at 80 °C for one week. These values were used to calibrate all measurements of the moisture content of the substrate in the container. The container capacity was determined 48 h after irrigation and was calculated according to the equation of Paquin and Mehuys (1980). The soil moisture levels were maintained by manual irrigation and checked by weighing individual container every two days. This experiment lasted until the not irrigated plants reached the complete leaf turgor loss. These lasts were then recovered by the application of fully irrigation for one week.

104 **Experiment 2**

105 Plants at the beginning of the blooming stage were cultivated under the same growth conditions of

106 the Experiment 1 and divided into four lots. The experimental design was a split-plot design with four

107 treatments and three replications per treatment. Seventy-two plants were randomly divided in four

108 groups (18 plants each) and subjected to fully irrigation (100% of container capacity) as control

109 treatment, 50% of the control (moderate water stress), 25% of the control (severe water stress) or no

110 irrigation till the complete leaf turgor loss. To evaluate their recovery attitude, these lasts were

111 irrigated as control after three days from the complete leaf turgor loss. The gravimetric determinations

112 of water content was conducted as described in Experiment 1.

113

114 **Experiment 3**

115 The experimental design was a split-plot design with three treatments and three replications per

116 treatment. One hundred and eighty plants at the beginning of the foliar period were randomly divided

117 in into three lots (60 plants each) and irrigated using a drip irrigation system under common nursery

118 conditions at the Floricoltura Lagomarsino nursery (Genova, Italy). In the common irrigation practice,

119 used as control treatment (100% of container capacity), plants were watered with three emitters per

120 plant for a total of $4.824 \, l \, h^{-1}$ so that 15% (v/v) of the applied water was leached. Plants subjected to

121 moderate water stress were watered with two emitters (66% of the control) and plants subjected to

122 severe water stress with one emitter (33% of the control). The gravimetric determinations of water

123 content was conducted as previously described. This experiment lasted the entire growing season

124 from November 2013 to April 2014.

125

126 **Measurements**

127 **Growth and ornamental quality evaluation**

128 The described parameters were periodically measured over the growing season on the basis of the

129 specific experiment. Height and diameter of each plant per treatment were measured to calculate the

130 growth index (GI; Π x $\{[(D'+D'')/2]/2\}^2$ x H, where D' is the widest width, D'' is the perpendicular

131 width and H is the height; Hidalgo and Harkess 2002). The number of leaves per plant and their length

132 and width were measured to assess growth variation leaf area (k x length x width; $k = 0.75$; Ruget et

133 al. 1996). The relative quantity of the chlorophyll present in leaf tissue was measured on four leaves

134 of each plant per treatment using the Chlorophyll Meter SPAD-502 (Konica Minolta Sensing Inc.,

135 Osaka, Japan). At the end of the experiments, six plants per treatment were harvested and the aerial

136 part was collected. After recording the fresh biomass (FW), the plant aerial parts were oven-dried at

137 65 °C for one week and the dry biomass (DW) was weighted. The DW:FW ratio was then calculated.

138   The   The root quality was visually evaluated and rated using five classes of roots covering (0= 0%,

139   1 = 1–25%, 2 = 26–50%, 3 = 51–75%, 4 = 76–100% of vase surface; Larcher et al. 2011).

140   To assess the ornamental quality, the leaf damage was visually evaluated and rated using five

141   classes (visual damage class: 0 = 0%; 1 = 1-25%; 2 = 26-50%; 3 = 51-75%; 4 = 76-100% leaf area;

142   Caser et al. 2013). The number of flowered plants, the number of completely opened flowers per plant

143   and their diameter, and the height of peduncles were determined throughout the experiments. The

144   petal color (L^*, a^*, b^* space) was measured on four flowers of six plants per treatment at the

145   beginning and at the end of the blooming stage using a Spectrophotometer CM-2600 (Konica Minolta

146   Sensing Inc., Osaka, Japan). Chroma (C^*) and hue angle ($h°$) was calculated according to Onozaky

147   et al (1999) and Scariot et al (2008).

148

149   **Ecophysiological measurements**

150   Based on the specific experiment, one hour before the beginning of the physiological measurements

151   (10 - 12 a.m.), plants were transferred in the lab for the adaptation to the ambient light intensity, the

152   temperature and the relative humidity. Midday leaf water potentials (MLWP, MPa) were determined

153   in three adult leaves of six plants per treatment using a Scholander-type pressure chamber (Soil

154   Moisture Equipment, Santa Barbara, CA, USA) (Scholander et al. 1965). The measurement of the

155   internal CO_2 concentration (C_i), the transpiration rate (E), the stomatal conductance (GH_2O), and the

156   net photosynthetic rate (A) were performed in three adult leaves of six plants per treatment, using a

157   portable infrared gas analyzer ADC-LCPro+ (The Analytical Development Company Ltd,

158   Hoddesdon, UK). The instantaneous water use efficiency (WUE) was calculated as the ratio between

159   A and E. Leaves were clamped in the leaf chamber, where the light source was set at 1200 μmol

160   photons m^{-2} s^{-1} and the temperature (25 °C) kept constant. The environmental concentration of CO_2

161   (450-470 ppm) and the vapour pressure deficit (about 2.3 kPa) were maintained during the

162   experiments.

163

164   **Statistical analysis**

165   An arcsine transformation was performed on all percent incidence data before statistical analysis in

166   order to improve homogeneity of variance. All the measured and the derived data were then subjected

167   to the homogeneity of the variances and then post-hoc tested using Ryan-Einot-Gabriel-Welsch-F test

168   (REGW-F). The critical value for statistical significance was $P < 0.05$. All the data were computed

169   by means of the SPSS statistical package (version 21.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois).

170

171   **Results and discussion**

Experiment 1

The changes in physiological traits and growth were investigated in fully or not irrigated plants to characterize the responses to water stress and to determine the minimal water needs of *P. vulgaris* 'Heidy'. Significant differences in midday leaf water potential between treatments were observed starting from the day 2 in not irrigated plants with a constant decrease till day 8, followed by a strong decline to the complete loss of the leaf turgor which occurred at day 10 (MLWP = -0.71 MPa in not irrigated plants, Figure 1A). Similarly, a general decrease was observed in all the studied gas exchange traits (Figure 1B-C-D-E). Stomatal limitation is the first major event that occurs in response to the drought stress (Grassi and Magnani 2005). In fact, the stomatal conductance is extremely sensitive to physiological and environmental factors (e.g. leaf water status). In drought conditions, water deficit stress leads to a progressive limitation of photosynthesis, which is a consequence of an alteration in carbon assimilation (Siddique et al. 2016). The opening and closing of stomata is regulated by changes in turgor pressure in the guard cells that are present in epidermis and, hence, this process protects plants from the dehydration and death during fluctuating environmental conditions. Hence, a complex set of factors is involved in stomatal response to drought stress (Lawlor 2002). Here, a stomatal limitation to photosynthesis occurred. In fact, as stomatal conductance (Figure 1B) closes starting from day 2, the amount of carbon dioxide (Figure 1C) present in mesophyll spaces in leaves also decreases which results in the decline of carbon dioxide to oxygen ratio and to a constant decrease in photorespiration rate (Figure 1D) during mid-time period (from day 2 to day 8) of applied water stress with a mean value of E equal to 1.30 mmol m^{-2} s^{-1}. In the same period, net assimilation rate (Figure 1E) kept constant values (mean A = 6.04 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1}), perhaps because internal CO_2 concentration was utilized in photosynthesis (Faußer et al. 2016). Later stomatal close completely during severe drought at days 9 and 10, which causes both photosynthesis and photorespiration rates to lower (Athar and Ashraf 2005). However, midday leaf water potential equal to -0.40 MPa (day 8) could represent the minimum threshold to maintain the ornamental quality of the studied cultivar. Figure 1F shows how instantaneous water use efficiency (A/E) changed during the experiment. In general, stressed plants resulted equally efficient in using water than control plants, with the exception at days 1, 2, 8 and 9. In the lasts time points, in particular, we hypothesize that plants start to dissipate energy and to close the stomata to survive under severe drought conditions, inducing a consequent increase of WUE at the end of the experiment. Drought-stressed plants with higher WUE are more efficient in utilizing energy captured by photosynthesis per unit of water transpired. Similar trends were observed in *Callistemon laevis* (Alvarez et al. 2011), *Hybanthus floribundus* (Kachenko et al. 2011), *Rosa* x *hybrida* 'RADrazz' (Cai et al. 2012), and in *Zea mays* (Zhang et al. 2015). An experiment carried out on *Erica multiflora* and *Globularia alypum* confirmed that plants exposed to

206 drought conditions show low gas exchange rates compared to plants grown in normal environmental
207 conditions (Llorens et al. 2004).

208   Regarding morphological changes, the growth index, the leaf area and SPAD values were
209 measured at the end of the experiment (day 10) and after one week of recovery (Table 1). At day 10,
210 fully irrigated plants were bigger and showed wider leaves than not irrigated plants (1004.80 cm^3 and
211 207.63 cm^3 G.I.; 35.19 and 30.75 cm^2 in full irrigation and no irrigation, respectively). While, no
212 differences in SPAD values were recorded (data not shown). Similar results were observed by Alvarez
213 et al (2009) in *Dianthus caryophyllus* and by Caser et al (2012) in *Salvia dolomitica* subjected to
214 severe water stress conditions. This is in agreement with the common plant responses to water
215 stressed conditions leading to the decrease in cell enlargement and leaf size due to the low turgor
216 pressure (Martinez et al. 2007). After one week of recovery, no more differences were observed with
217 the exception for the leaf area with 38.10 and 32.15 cm^2 in full irrigated and not irrigated plants,
218 respectively. Similar trend was observed in *Echinacea purpurea*, *Gaillardia aristata*, *Lavandula*
219 *angustifolia*, *Leucanthemum* x *superbum*, *Penstemon barbatus* and *Penstemon* x *mexicali* irrigated
220 every 4 weeks (Zollinger et al. 2006).

221

222 **Experiment 2**
223 The morphological responses to the imposed water stress and consequently the ornamental quality of
224 *Primula vulgaris* 'Heidy' were characterized by the application of four different irrigation regimes
225 under controlled growth conditions. Water stress significantly affected leaf damage values, SPAD
226 values, leaf area, growth index, number of fully opened flowers and flower damages as reported in
227 Figure 2. Only few damages (dead leaves or yellowing and wilted leaves) appeared starting from 21
228 days of cultivation (leaf damage = 1.0) in fully irrigated plants. Starting from this time point, moderate
229 and severe stressed plants presented always superior leaf damage values than control. These achieved
230 the complete leaf damage (class = 4.0) at day 42. Not irrigated plants reached the complete leaf
231 damage after eleven days of cultivation. But imposed re-watering of them after three days (day 14)
232 lead to the restoration of their morphological traits. The application of the recovery reduced the leaf
233 damage of these plants already after three days (day 21 = 2.0) but, it could not reinstate as the control
234 and, at day 49, resulted in the highest leaf damage values as well as half irrigated plants. Zollinger et
235 al (2006) explained that the excellent visual quality of *Penstemon barbatus* after severe drought may
236 be related to the ability to regulate the water loss by stomata closure.

237   Regarding SPAD values, here, no differences were counted between control and moderate
238 stressed plants, showing that a half irrigation not affected the health plant status. On the opposite, the
239 application of severe water stress and no irrigation treatments significantly reduced this parameter.

Several studies reported that water stress leads to a decreased level of chlorophylls in plant leaves (Reddy et al. 2004; Kaminska-Rozek and Pukacki 2004; Guerfel et al. 2009). Here, the reduction in SPAD value could be identified as a drought response mechanism in order to minimize the light absorption by chloroplasts (Pastenes et al. 2005). The application of the recovery induced an increase in SPAD values similarly to control and moderate water stress treatments already from day 14 till the end of the experiment with the exception at day 35, showing that *P. vulgaris* 'Heidy' has an excellent desiccation tolerance of their pigment apparatus (Netto et al. 2005).

Plant can adapt to environmental change usually by morphological and anatomical modifications. In the present study, fully irrigated plants showed the widest leaves and the highest growth index. While, surprisingly plants subjected to moderate water stress showed more narrow leaves, starting from day 8, and reduced growth, after 21 days of cultivation, as well as severe water stressed plants. Not irrigated plants showed the lowest values for both traits at day 11. The recovery phase was effective to increase the studied traits starting from the day 14 onward. The effect of water stress on plant growth reduction has been described in several crops species such as *Cistus albidus*, *Cistus monspeliensis*, *Petunia* x *hybrida*, *Pelargonium* x *hortorum* and *Callistemon citrinus* (Sanchez-Blanco et al. 2002; Niu et al. 2006; Vernieri et al. 2006; Alvarez and Sanchez-Blanco 2013). During severe water stress, the total leaf area and the stem length commonly significantly decreased in many ornamentals such as potted *Dianthus caryophyllus* and *Petunia* x *hybrida* (Alvarez et al. 2009; Van Iersel et al. 2010), resulting from the decline in the cell enlargement and the leaves senescence (Bañon et al. 2004).

The present experiment was conducted at the beginning of the blooming stage and is known that flowering is the most sensitive phase to water stress in ornamental plants such as in potted geranium (Alvarez et al. 2013). Here, significant differences between treatments in the number of fully opened flowers were observed (Fig. 2E). At day 8, fully irrigated plants presented more opened flowers (11 flowers per plant) than the others. At day 21, plants subjected to control, moderate water stress, and recovery treatments had more flowers than severe water stressed plants (16, 14, 11 and 7, respectively). In fact, these lasts anticipated the flowering peak at day 14. Later, a general decrease in all the treated plants was observed. As indicated in the previous experiment, *P. vulgaris* 'Heidy' subjected to drought stress maintain active photosynthesis, suggesting that no metabolic impairment occurred in this cultivar. In fact, in this experiment we can observe a flower production strictly related to the severity of imposed water stress and not a flowering peak induction caused by severe water stress. Figure 2F shows the evolution of flower damage (wilted flowers) during the experiment. In general no significant differences were observed, even if, fully and half irrigated plants had a similar dynamic. The recovered plants showed a restoration already after the first week of recovery, showing

the lowest damages from day 14 till day 35. Later, a strong increase occurred, reaching the highest class of flower damage (4.0) at the end of the experiment as well as for severe water stressed plants. As reported by different authors, water stress application may increase flower damages and reduce flowering intensity such as in *Antirhinum majus* (Asrar et al. 2012) and in *Callistemon citrinus* (Alvarez and Blanco 2013; 2015).

At the end of the experiment the mean flower diameter, dry weight and fresh weight ratio, and the midday leaf water potential were evaluated (Table 2). Fully irrigated plants showed the highest values in flower diameter, without significant differences with half irrigated and recovered plants, and in MLWP. No differences with recovered plants were observed also in DW:FW ratio. On the opposite, plants subjected to severe water stress showed the lowest values in all the traits (1.9 cm, and -0.46 MPa, respectively) with exception for DW:FW ratio (0.98). These results confirmed that controlled irrigation practices could be possible and can be a useful tool to affect ornamental and morphological characteristics.

Experiment 3

Plant growth and ornamental traits

Water use in the nursery is an increasingly important factor due to limited water supply and drought is one of the main adverse factors for seasonal plants, especially for plants grown in pots (Alvarez et al. 2013). In the present experiment three irrigation regimes were applied during the common growing cycle of potted *P. vulgaris* 'Heidy' in nursery conditions.

The percentage of senescent plants, the aerial dry and fresh weight ratio, the growth index, the number of leaves and the SPAD values were not affected by control and moderate water stress treatments (Table 3; Figure 3). At the opposite, severe water stress significantly increased the percentage of senescent plants starting from day 29 (21.7%) until the end of the experiment, when the 83% of plants were completely senescent. While the application of the same irrigation regime resulted in the lower values in the G.I., starting from day 29, in the leaf area, from day 46, and in the number of leaves from day 85. A significant increase in SPAD values occurred at day 163 (32.7) in comparison with control and moderate water stress (20.0 and 21.1, respectively). A peak in SPAD values in plants close to the full senescence was observed also in aromatic plants such as *Salvia sinaloensis*, *S. dolomitica* and *Helicrhysum petiolare* treated with 20% of container capacity as showed by Caser et al (2012). This result could be explained by the fact that the leaf water status of a plant may interfere with the SPAD 502 Chlorophyll Meter measurements. Martinez and Guiamet (2004) also described that SPAD values increased as leaf water content decreased in wheat leaves. Taken together these data highlighted the possibility of water safe of ca. 35% without compromise

the survival of plants. With the same purpose to reduce water irrigation, similar results were observed also in other nursery potted species such as *Dianthus caryophyllus* and *Pelargonium* x *hortorum* subjected to 35% and 40% of control irrigation as described by Alvarez et al (2009) and Sanchez-Blanco et al (2009), respectively. Moreover, as shown in Table 3, the irrigation with 33% of the control induced significant higher aerial dry /fresh weight ratio. While, no differences in the root quality (data not shown) was observed between treatments, indicating that shoots and roots react differently to drought (Bacelar et al. 2007). The effect of water stress is usually greater on aerial growth than on root growth as indicated by Navarro et al (2009). As reported by Bradford and Hsiao (1982) and by Sanchez-Blanco et al (2009), this is probably due to the plants need to maintain root surface area under drought conditions in order to absorb water from the substrate. This criterion can be considered as a plant adaptation to drought conditions and could promote a quicker establishment in gardening (Franco et al. 2011). Within the genus *Primula*, also Noda et al (2004) found out that water stress increased the root weight in *P. sieboldii*. Thus, the application of controlled deficit irrigation during nursery production can be used as a technique to save water without reducing morphological traits (Morvant et al. 1998). However, plants may lose their ornamental characteristics and reduce and delay flowering to save assimilates (Augé et al. 2003; Cameron et al. 2006; Alvarez et al. 2009). Flower colour parameters (lightness, chroma and hue angle) variation during the blooming stage were not affected by imposed treatments (data not shown). This suggested that the petal colour was not modified by the applied water stress conditions and meaning that plants can cope with water shortage without losing their ornamental value (Brawner 2003). Similar results were observed in potted *Pelargonium* x *hortorum* (Sanchez-Blanco et al. 2009; Alvarez et al. 2013), *Dianthus caryophyllus* (Alvarez et al. 2009) and in *Callistemon citrinus* (Alvarez and Sanchez-Blanco 2013) plants in which deficit irrigation treatments did not affected flower colour parameters. Instead, plant quality in terms of percentage of leaf damage (wilted leaves) was significantly affected by moderate and severe water stress starting from day 113 onward (Fig. 3E). Only at the end of the experiment moderate water stressed plants showed a similar leaf damage to the controlled (2.73 and 2.40, respectively). Concerning the number of fully opened flowers during the growing season, control and moderate water stressed plants showed a similar trend (Fig. 3F). The blooming peak was observed at day 113 with 6.7 and 5.9 flowers per plants, respectively. On the opposite, at day 142 and 163 the highest number of flowers per plants was observed in severe stressed plants (6.4 and 6.5 flowers, respectively). These results highlighted that this condition extend and delay the flowering period in *P. vulgaris* 'Heidy'. Unlike the Experiment 2, here, in common growing condition, we observed that severe water stress significantly influenced a delay of flowering in the studied plants, implying that the different growing conditions may influence the flower development. Several studies

11

further indicate that drought delays the onset of flowering and shortens the length of flowering (Prieto et al. 2008; Jentsch et al. 2009) such as in *Genista tinctoria* and *Calluna vulgaris* subjected to recurring weather extremes (Nagy et al. 2013). Control and moderate water stress induced also the highest peduncles and the largest flowers in comparison with the severe water stress conditions (5.9, 6.1 and 4.4 cm and 5.1, 5.2 and 3.7 cm, respectively). These data suggested that *P. vulgaris* 'Heidy' requires at least 66% of control irrigation to maintain acceptable plant and flower quality. Similarly, another season plant such as potted geranium, cultivated in nursery, needs at least 75% of control irrigation as reported by Henson et al (2006) and Sanchez-Blanco et al (2009). Nevertheless, further research is required to determine the most appropriate degree of water stress in order to optimise the growth and health of the plants and flower development. Similarly, Ma and Gu (2012) successfully applied severe water stress to extend flowering in *Bougainvillea spectabilis* 'Raspberry ice'.

Water relations

Midday leaf water potential and gas exchange traits were measured at the beginning of the blooming stage (Fig. 4). Moderate and severe water stressed plants showed significant lower MLWP (-0.31 and -0.45 MPa, respectively) than control (-0.10 MPa; Figure 4A). The data on MLWP are in agreement with the previous experiments conducted under controlled growth conditions. In particular, under severe water stress the decrease in the leaf water potential could be the cause of morphological adaptations previously described such as lower growth index, number of leaves, leaf area, and dry weight, which could contribute to reduce the total water consumption (Kang et al. 2000). Moreover, we can confirm that a MLWP equal to -0.40 MPa could be considered as a critic threshold for the survival of the studied plants. On the opposite, differences with the previous experiment were highlighted on gas exchange traits. We observed that after about 80 days of cultivation under common nursery conditions, no differences between treatments were measured, and the levels of transpiration rate (E), stomatal conductance (GH_2O), and net photosynthetic rate (A) were lower than at the end of the Experiment 1 (almost 1/5 in E and GH_2O and 1/10 in A). This fact could be explained by the completely different growing conditions and by the longest cultivation period in comparison to the previous experiment. While, both moderate and severe water stress induced significant higher content of internal CO_2 concentration (C_i). Within the genus *Primula*, Dietz and Heber (1983) reported that water stressed *Primula palinuri* in field showed a complete wilting with MLWP equal to -4.0 MPa, much lower than in our study and that the complete stomatal closure was reach with at least a water loss of the 20% of turgid leaves. Here, a shift from stomatal limitation to non-stomatal limitation was observed as described by Siddique et al (2016). We can hypothesize that studied plants impaired carbon assimilation to cope with drought by conserving the internal CO_2 and blocking photosynthesis.

376 Hasibeder et al (2015) demonstrated that in plants under severe drought regimes the usage of fresh

377 photosynthates is transferred from metabolic activity to osmotic adjustment and storage compounds.

378 Taken together all these results suggested that there is variability within *Primula* species and that *P.*

379 *vulgaris* 'Heidy' is a not a drought tolerant cultivar under severe water stress.

380

Conclusions

382 In controlled growth conditions, the mechanism of drought tolerance of *Primula vulgaris* 'Heidy'

383 was described by the physiological dynamics, that show a long-term decline in stomatal conductance

384 and transpiration rate also under extreme drought condition. The application of recovery resulted in

385 a restoration of all the morphological and ornamental traits and in the leaf water potential, thus the

386 decrease in leaf water potential during the water stress could be reversed by the application of control

387 irrigation.

388   Under common nursery cultivation practices, moderate water stress could be successfully

389 applied in potted *Primula vulgaris* 'Heidy' production, allowing a reduction of ca. 40% (ca. 1.64 L

390 h^{-1} per plant) of irrigation water and in the meanwhile maintaining plant health and ornamental quality

391 comparable with common irrigation practice. Also severe deficit irrigation did not affect ornamental

392 quality in terms of flower colour, number and size, but it deeply compromised plant survival. Overall,

393 the studied cultivar appears to be a not drought tolerant cultivar reacting to deficit irrigation by

394 reducing leaf water potential and stomata, activating photosynthesis and maintaining the water use

395 efficiency similarly to control plants. In both growth conditions, the parameters that best allow

396 determining the water use of the studied species were leaf water potential, gas exchanges, leaves

397 traits, growth index, and the percentage of senescent plants.

398   In conclusion, the degree of the imposed water stress is critical to reveal the responses of the

399 different species at the different phenological stages. The knowledge of physiological dynamics and

400 morphological responses to water stress of a potted seasonal ornamental plant along the cultivation

401 cycle could allow floriculture companies to schedule a sustainable irrigation plan, which match the

402 effective species water needs.

403

408

409   **References**

410   Ali F, Bano A, Fazal A (2017) Recent methods of drought stress tolerance in plants. Plant Growth

411   Regul 82:363-375

412   Álvarez S, Navarro A, Bañón S, Sánchez-Blanco MJ (2009) Regulated deficit irrigation in potted

413   *Dianthus* plants: effects of severe and moderate water stress on growth and physiological

414   responses. Sci Hortic 122:579-585

415   Álvarez S, Navarro A, Nicolas E, Sanchez-Blanco MJ (2011) Transpiration, photosynthetic

416   responses, tissue water relations and dry mass partitioning in Callistemon plants during drought

417   conditions. Sci Hortic 129(2):306-312

418   Álvarez S, Sanchez-Blanco MJ (2013) Changes in growth rate, root morphology and water use

419   efficiency of potted *Callistemon citrinus* plants in response to different levels of water deficit. Sci

420   Hortic 156:54-62

421   Álvarez S, Bañón S, Sanchez-Blanco MJ (2013) Regulated deficit irrigation in different phenological

422   stages of potted geranium plants: water consumption, water relations and ornamental quality. Acta

423   Physiol Plant 35:1257-1267

424   Álvarez S, Sanchez-Blanco MJ (2015) Comparison of individual and combined effects of salinity and

425   deficit irrigation on physiological, nutritional and ornamental aspects of tolerance in *Callistemon*

426   *laevis* plants. J Plant Physiol 185:65-74

427   ARPAT (2007) Programma di monitoraggio dell'ambiente marino costiero della toscana attività

428   luglio 2006 - gennaio 2007, pp. 85.

429   Asrar AA, Abdel-Fattah GM, Elhindi KM (2012) Improving growth, flower yield, and water relations

430   of snapdragon (*Antirhinum majus* L.) plants grown under well-watered and water-stress conditions

431   using arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Photos 50:305-316

432   Athar HR, Ashraf M (2005) Photosynthesis under drought stress. In: Pessarakli M (Ed), Handbook

433   of photosynthesis, CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group, New York, pp. 793–809.

434   Augé RM, Stodola AJW, Moore JL, Klingeman WE, Duan X (2003) Comparative dehydration

435   tolerance of foliage of several ornamental crops. Sci Hortic 98:511-516

436   Bacelar EA, Moutinho-Pereira JM, Gonçalves BC, Ferreira HF, Correia CM (2007) Changes in

437   growth, gas exchange, xylem hydraulic properties and water use efficiency of three olive cultivars

438   under contrasting water availability regimes. Env Exp Bot 60:183-192

439   Bañón S, Fernández JA, Franco JA, Torrecillas A, Alarcón JJ, Sánchez-Blanco MJ (2004) Effects of

440   water stress and night temperature pre-conditioning on water relations and morphological and

441   anatomical changes of *Lotus creticus* plants. Sci Hort 101:333–342

442 Bernal M, Estiarte M, Peñuelas J (2011) Drought advances spring growth phenology of the
443   Mediterranean shrub *Erica multiflora*. Plant Biol 13:252-257

444 Bolla A, Voyiatzis D, Koukourikou-Petridou M, Chimonidou D (2010) Photosynthetic parameters
445   and cut-flower yield of rose 'Eurored' (H.T.) are adversely affected by mild water stress
446   irrespective of substrate composition. Sci Hortic 126:390-394

447 Bradford KJ, Hsiao TC (1982) Physiological responses to moderate water stress. In: Lange OL, Nobel
448   PS, Osmond CB, Ziegler H (Eds) Encyclopedia of plant physiology. New series. Physiological
449   plant ecology II: water relations and carbon assimilation. Springer, Berlin, pp 263–324.

450 Brawner F (2003) Geraniums. The Complete Encyclopedia, Schiffer Publishing Ltd., Atglen, p. 13.

451 Cai X, Starman T, Niu G, Hall C, Lombardini L (2012) Response of selected garden rose to drough
452   stress. HortSci 47(8):1050-1055

453 Cameron RWF, Harrison-Murray RS, Atkinson CJ, Judd HL (2006) Regulated deficit irrigation – a
454   means to control growth in woody ornamentals. J Hortic Sci Biotech 81:435-443

455 Caser M, Ruffoni B, Scariot V (2012) Screening for drought tolerance in *Salvia* spp. and *Helichrysum*
456   *petiolare*: a way to select low maintenance ornamental plants. Acta Hortic 953:239-246

457 Caser M, Scariot V, Gaino W, Larcher F, Devecchi M (2013) The effects of sodium chloride on the
458   aesthetic value of *Buxus* spp. Europ J Hortic Sci 78(4):153-159

459 Caser M, D'Angiolillo F, Chitarra W, Lovisolo C, Ruffoni B, Pistelli Lu, Pistelli La, Scariot V (2016)
460   Water deficit regimes trigger changes in valuable physiological and phytochemical parameters in
461   *Helichrysum petiolare* Hilliard & BL Burtt. Ind Crops Prod 83:680-692

462 Ceriani RM, Pierce S, Cerabolini B (2009) The survival strategy of the alpine endemic *Primula*
463   *glaucescens* is fundamentally unchanged throughout its climate envelope despite superficial
464   phenotypic variability. Plant Ecol 204:1-10

465 Davies WJ, Wilkinson S, Loveys B (2002) Stomatal control by chemical signalling and the
466   exploitation of this mechanism to increase water use efficiency in agriculture. New Phyt 153:449-
467   460

468 Dietz KJ, Heber U (1983) Carbon dioxide gas exchange and the energy status of leaves of *Primula*
469   *palinuri* under water stress. Planta 158:349-356

470 Efeoğlu B, Ekmekçi Y, Çiçek N (2009) Physiological responses of three maize cultivars to drought
471   stress and recovery. South Afri J Bot 75:34-42

472 Faußer AC, Dušek J, Čížková H, Kazda M (2016) Diurnal dynamics of oxygen and carbon dioxide
473   concentrations in shoots and rhizomes of a perennial in a constructed wetland indicate down-
474   regulation of below ground oxygen consumption. AoB PLANTSplw025 doi:
475   10.1093/aobpla/plw025

476 Fornes F, Belda RM, Carrión C, Noguera V, García-Agustín P, Abad M (2007) Pre-conditioning
477   ornamental plants to drought by means of saline water irrigation as related to salinity tolerance.
478   Sci Hortic 113:52–59

479 Franco JA, Martinez-Sánchez JJ, Fernández JA, Bañón S (2006) Selection and nursery production of
480   ornamental plants for landscaping and xerogardening in semi-arid environments. J Hortic Sci
481   Biotech 81:3-17

482 Franco JA, Bañón S, Vicente MJ, Miralles J, Martinez-Sánchez JJ (2011) Root development in
483   horticultural plants grown under abiotic stress conditions – a review. J Hortic Sci Biotech 86:543-
484   556

485 Fulcher A, LeBude AV, Owen Jr JS, White SA, Beeson RC (2016) The next ten years: strategic vision
486   of water resources for nursery producers. HortTech 26:121-132

487 Goldhamer D, Beede R (2004) Regulated deficit irrigation effects on yield, nut quality and water-use
488   efficiency of mature pistachio trees. J Hortic Sci Biotech 79:538-545

489 Grant SB, Saphores JD, Feldman DL, Hamilton AJ, Fletcher TD, Cook PLM, Stewardson M, Sanders
490   BF, Levin LA, Ambrose RF, Deletic A, Brown R, Jiang SC, Rosso D, Cooper WJ, Marusic I
491   (2012) Taking the "waste" out of "wastewater" for human water security and ecosystem
492   sustainability. Science 337(6095):681-686

493 Grassi G, Magnani F (2005) Stomatal, mesophyll conductance and biochemical limitations to
494   photosynthesis as affected by drought and leaf ontogeny in ash and oak trees. Plant Cell Env
495   28:834-849

496 Guerfel M, Ouni Y, Boujnah D, Zarrouk M (2009) Photosynthesis parameters and activities of
497   enzymes of oxidative stress in two young 'Chemlali' and 'Chetoui' olive trees under water deficit.
498   Photosynthetica 47(3):340-346

499 Hasibeder R, Fuchslueger L, Richter A, Bahn M (2015) Summer drought alters carbon allocation to
500   roots and root respiration in mountain grassland. New Phyt 205:1117-1127

501 Henson DY, Newman SE, Hartley DE (2006) Performance of selected herbaceous annual
502   ornamentals grown at decreasing levels of irrigation. HortSci 41:1481-1486

503 Hidalgo PR, Harkess RL (2002) Earthworm castings as a substrate for *Poinsettia* production. HortSci
504   37:304–308

505 Hu WJ, Zhang QX, Pan HT, Dong LL (2010) Differences in ultrastructure of mesophyll cell between
506   two *Primula* species with different thermotolerance under heat acclimation and heat stress. J South
507   China Agric Univ 2010-03

508   Jentsch A, Kreyling J, Boettcher-Treschkow J, Beierkuhnlein C (2009) Beyond gradual warming:
509   extreme weather events alter flower phenology of European grassland and heat species. Glob
510   Change Biol 15:837-849

511   Kachenko AG, Bhatia N, Singh B (2011) Influence of drought stress on the nickel-hyperaccumulating
512   shrub *Hybanthus floribundus* (Lindl.) F. Muell. Subsp. floribundus. Int J Plant Sci 172(3):315-322

513   Kaminska-Rozek E, Pukacki PM (2004) Effect of water deficit on oxidative stress and degradation
514   of cell membranes in needles of Norway spruce (*Picea abies* (L.) Karst.). Acta Physiol Plant
515   26:431–442

516   Kang S, Shi W, Zhang J (2000) An improved water-use efficiency for maize grown under regulated
517   deficit irrigation. Field Crops Res 67:207-214

518   Larcher F, Berruti A, Gullino P, Scariot V (2011) Reducing peat and growth regulator input in
519   camellia pot cultivation. Hort Sci 38:35-42

520   Lawlor DW (2002) Limitation to photosynthesis in water-stressed leaves: stomatal vs. metabolism
521   and the role of ATP. Ann Bot 89:871-885

522   Liu FH, Hou SMH, Liang XN (2006) Gas exchange characteristics of four domesticated *Primula*
523   species. New Zeal J Crop Hortic Sci 34:403-411

524   Llorens L, Peñuelas J, Estiarte M, Bruna P (2004) Contrasting growth changes in two dominant
525   species of a Mediterranean shrubland submitted to experimental drought and warming. Ann Bot
526   94:843-853

527   Ma S, Gu M (2012) Effects of water stress and selected plant growth retardants on growth and
528   flowering of 'Raspberry ice' boungainvillea (*Boungainvillea spectabilis*). Acta Hortic 937:237-
529   242

530   Martinez D, Guiamet J (2004) Distortion of the SPAD 502 chlorophyll meter readings by changes in
531   irradiance and leaf water status. Agronomie 24:41-46

532   Martinez JP, Silva H, Ledent JF, Pinto M (2007) Effect of drought stress on the osmotic adjustment,
533   cell wall elasticity and cell volume of six cultivars of common beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.).
534   Europ J Agr 26:30-38

535   Mo Y, Yang R, Liu L, Gu X, Yang X, Wang Y, Zhang X, Li H (2016) Growth, photosynthesis and
536   adaptive responses of wild and domesticated watermelon genotypes to drought stress and
537   subsequent re-watering. Plant Growth Regul 79:229-241

538   Morvant JK, Dole JM, Cole JC (1998) Irrigation frequency and system affect *Poinsettia* growth, water
539   use and runoff. Hort Sci 33:42–46

540 Nagy L, Kreyling J, Gellesch E, Beierkuhnlein C, Jentsch A (2013) Recurring weather extremes alter
541   the flowering phenology of two common temperate shrubs. Int J Biometeorol 57:579
542   doi:10.1007/s00484-012-0585-z

543 Navarro A, Sanchez-Blanco MJ, Morte A, Bañón S (2009) The influence of mycorrhizal inoculation
544   and paclobutrazol on water and nutritional status of *Arbutus unedo* L. Env Exp Bot 66:362-371

545 Netto AT, Campostrini E, de Oliveira JG, Bressan-Smith RE (2005) Photosynthetic pigments,
546   nitrogen, chlorophyll *a* fluorescence and SPAD-502 readings in coffee leaves. Sci Hortic 104:199-
547   209

548 Niu G, Rodriguez DS, Wang YT (2006) Impact of drought and temperature on growth and leaf gas
549   exchange of six bedding plant species under greenhouse conditions. HortSci 41:1408-1411

550 Noda H, Muraoka H, Washitani I (2004) Morphological and physiological acclimation responses to
551   contrasting light and water regimes in *Primula sieboldii*. Ecol Res 19:331-340

552 Onozaky T, Mato M, Shibata M, Ikeda H (1999) Differences in flower colour and pigment
553   composition among white carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus* L.) cultivars. Sci Hortic 82:103–111

554 Paquin R, Mehuys GR (1980) Influence of soil moisture on cold tolerance of alfalfa. Can J Plant Sc.
555   60:139–147

556 Pastenes C, Pimentel P, Lillo J (2005) Leaf movements and photoinhibition in relation to water stress
557   in field-grown beans. J Exp Bot 56:425–433

558 Pérez-Jiménez M, Pazos-Navarro M, Piñero MC, Otálora-Alcón G, López-Marin J, del Amor FM
559   (2016) Regulaytion of the drought response of sweet pepper (Capsicum annuum L.) by foliar-
560   applied hormones, in Mediterranean-climate greenhouse conditions. Plant Growth Regul 80:159-
561   169

562 Prieto P, Peñuelas J, Ogaya R, Estiarte M (2008) Precipitation-dependent flowering of *Globularia*
563   *alypum* and *Erica multiflora* in Mediterranean shrubland under experimental drought and
564   warming, and its inter-annual variability. Ann Bot 102:275-285

565 Reddy AR, Chaitanya KV, Vivekanandan M (2004) Drought-induced responses of photosynthesis
566   and antioxidant metabolism in higher plants. J Plant Physiol 161(11):1189-1202

567 Ruget F, Bonhomme R, Chartier M (1996) Estimation simple de la surface foliaire de plantes de mais
568   en croissance. Agronomie 16:553-562

569 Sánchez-Blanco MJ, Rodriguez P, Morales MA, Torrecillas A (2002) Comparative growth and water
570   relations of *Cistus albidus* and *Cistus monspeliensis* plants during water deficit conditions and
571   recovery. Plant Sci 162:107-113

572 Sánchez-Blanco MJ, Álvarez S, Navarro A, Bañón S (2009) Changes in leaf water relations, gas
573   exchange, growth and flowering quality in potted geranium plants irrigated with different water
574   regimes. J Plant Phys 166:467-476

575 Scariot V, Seglie L, Caser M, Devecchi M (2008) Evaluation of ethylene sensitivity and postharvest
576   treatments to improve the vase life of four *Campanula* species. Europ J Hortic Sci 73(4):166-170

577 Scholander PF, Hammel HT, Bradstreet ED, Hemmingsen EA (1965) Sap pressure in vascular plants.
578   Negative hydrostatic pressure can be measured in plants. Science 148:339–346

579 Siddique Z, Jan S, Imadi SR, Gul A, Ahmad P (2016) Drought stress and photosynthesis in plants.
580   In: Ahmad P (Ed), Water Stress and Crop Plants: A Sustainable Approach, 2 Volume Set. Wiley
581   Blackwell, Chichester, West Sussex, pp. 1-11

582 Torrecillas A, Rodriguez P, Sánchez-Blanco MJ (2003) Comparison of growth, leaf water relations
583   and gas exchange of *Cistus albidus* and *C. monspeliensis* plants irrigated with water of different
584   NaCl salinity levels. Sci Hortic 97:353-368

585 Van Iersel MW, Dove S, Kang JG, Burnett SE (2010) Growth and water use of *Petunia* as affected
586   by substrate water content and daily light integral. HortSci 45:277-282

587 Vernieri P, Mugnai S, Borghesi E, Petrognani L, Serra G (2006) Non-chemical growth control of
588   potted *Callistemon laevis* Anon. Agr Med 160:85-90

589 Whale DM (1984) Habitat requirements in *Primula* species. New Phyt 97:665–679

590 Zhang C, Zhang J, Zhang H, Zhao J, Wu Q, Zhao Z, Cai T (2015) Mechanisms for the relationships
591   between water-use efficiency and carbon isotope composition and specific leaf area of maize (*Zea
592   mays* L.) under water stress. Plant Growth Regul 77:233-243

593 Zhang L, Gao Y, Pan H, Hu W, Zhang Q (2013) Cloning and characterisation of a *Primula* heat shock
594   protein gene, *PfHSP17.1*, which confers heat, salt and drought tolerance in transgenic *Arabidopsis
595   thaliana*. Acta Physiol Plant 35:3191-3200

596 Zollinger N, Kjelgren, R, Cerny-Koenig T, Kopp K, Koenig R (2006) Drought responses of six
597   ornamental herbaceous perennials. Sci Hortic 109:267-274

598

Tables

600 **Table 1.** Values of the growth index (G.I., cm^3) and the leaf area (cm^2) in potted *Primula vulgaris*

601 'Heidy' plants subjected to full irrigation (100% of container capacity) or no irrigation at day 10 and

602 after the recovery phase during Experiment 1.

Treatments	G.I. (cm^3)		Leaf area (cm^2)	
	day 10	Recovery	day 10	Recovery
Full irrigation	1004.80	1140.12	35.19	38.10
No irrigation	207.63	930.74	30.75	32.15
P	**	ns	*	*

603 The statistical relevance of 'Between-Subjects Effects' tests (* = P ≤ 0.05, ** = ≤ 0.001, ns = not

604 significant) was evaluated.

605

606 **Table 2.** Influence of water stress (full irrigation, control, 100% of container capacity; 50% of the

607 control, moderate water stress, MWS; 25% of the control, severe water stress, SWS; no irrigation

608 water followed by recovery at day 14) on flower diameter (cm), dry weight/fresh weight rate

609 (DW:FW), and midday leaf water potential (MLWP, MPa) on *Primula vulgaris* 'Heidy' plants at the

610 end of the Experiment 2.

Treatments	Flower diameter	DW:FW	MLWP
Control	3.2 a[§]	0.29 c	-0.07 a
MWS	3.0 a	0.50 b	-0.15 b
SWS	1.9 b	0.98 a	-0.46 c
No water + r	3.0 a	0.28 c	-0.27 b
P	**	**	**

611 [§]Different letter indicates significant differences at the 0.05 level, Ryan-Einot-Gabriel-Welsch (F)

612 post hoc test (* = P ≤ 0.05, ** = ≤ 0.001, ns = not significant).

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621 **Table 3.** Effect of different irrigation regimes (full irrigation, control, 100% of container capacity;

622 66% of the control, moderate water stress, MWS; 33% of the control, severe water stress, SWS) on

623 the mean percentage (%) of senescent plants of *Primula vulgaris* 'Heidy' during the pot cultivation

624 and the aerial dry weight/fresh weight ratio (DW:FW) calculated at the end of the Experiment 3

Days Treatments	Senescence (%)							DW:FW
	29	46	71	85	113	142	163	
Control	0 b[§]	0 b	0 b	0 b	0 b	0 b	0 b	0.19 b
MWS	0 b	1.7 b	3.3 b	3.5 b	3.8 b	4.0 b	5.3 b	0.19 b
SWS	21.7 a	36.7 a	38.6 a	41.7 a	59 a	66.7 a	83 a	0.29 a
P	*	*	*	*	**	**	**	*

625 [§]Different letter indicates significant differences at the 0.05 level, Ryan-Einot-Gabriel-Welsch (F)

626 post hoc test (* = $P \le 0.05$, ** = ≤ 0.001, ns = not significant).

627

letters indicate not significant differences ($P \leq 0.05$) according to Ryan-Einot-Gabriel-Welsch (F) post hoc test.