Listeria innocua and Listeria monocytogenes strains from dairy plants behave similarly in biofilm sanitizer testing

This is a pre print version of the following article:

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1662912 since 2019-02-15T15:42:38Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1016/j.lwt.2018.02.073

Terms of use:

Open Access

(Article begins on next page)

10 July 2024

1   *Listeria innocua* and *Listeria monocytogenes* strains from dairy plants behave similarly in

2   biofilm sanitizer testing

3   **Annalisa Costa[a,b], Antonio Lourenco[b,1], Tiziana Civera[a], Luísa Brito[b,*]**

4

5   [a]Dipartimento di Scienze Veterinarie, University of Turin, Grugliasco (TO), Italy.

6   [b]LEAF-Linking Landscape, Environment, Agriculture and Food/DRAT-Departamento dos

7   Recursos Naturais, Ambiente e Território, Instituto Superior de Agronomia, University of Lisbon,

8   1349-017 Lisbon, Portugal

9   [1]Current address: Food Safety Department, Teagasc Food Research Centre, Moorepark, Fermoy,

10   Cork, Ireland

11   E-mail addresses:

12   annalisa.costa@unito.it (A. Costa)

13   tiziana.civera@unito.it (T. Civera)

14   antonio.lourenco@teagasc.ie (A. Lourenco)

15

16

17

18   [*]Corresponding author: Laboratório de Microbiologia, DRAT, Instituto Superior de Agronomia,

19   University of Lisbon, Tapada da Ajuda 1349-017 Lisbon, Portugal.

20   Tel.:+ 351 21 365 3435/3240; fax: +351 21 365 3383

21   E-mail address: lbrito@isa.ulisboa.pt (L. Brito)

ABSTRACT

Five *L. innocua* and five *L. monocytogenes*, including persistent and non-persistent isolates collected from Gorgonzola processing plants, were compared regarding their biofilm-forming ability and their biofilm susceptibility to two hydrogen peroxide (HP) based disinfectants in use at the plants. No significant difference in biofilm-forming ability by both species was observed ($P>0.05$) in crystal violet staining and viable count assays. The susceptibility to HP disinfectants of the *L. monocytogenes* and *L. innocua* biofilms was determined. In order to mimic clean and soiled biofilm forming conditions, biofilms were grown, respectively, in 1/10 diluted TSB-YE and in TSB-YE. The results showed no significant differences between species or conditions ($P>0.05$) regardless of whether the isolates were classified as persistent or non-persistenttb. A hierarchical clustering based on Principal Component Analysis performed on the tested variables, indicated the presence of two major clusters. Persistent and non-persistent isolates from both species were allocated in both clusters, suggesting that they behaved in a similar way in response to the tested conditions. This study showed that biofilms of in-house *L. innocua* could monitor the effectiveness of HP-based disinfectants. Moreover, biofilms of *L. innocua* could be used as surrogates of *L. monocytogenes* in sanitizer-based biofilm eradication trials simulating dairy processing environments, whenever the use of the pathogen is not an option.

1. INTRODUCTION

Listeria innocua and *Listeria monocytogenes* have been isolated from the same foods (Lappi et al., 2004; Moshtaghi & Mohamadpour, 2007; Simmons et al., 2014; Vongkamjan, Fuangpaiboon, Turner, & Vuddhakul, 2016) and food processing environments (Chambel et al., 2007; Lappi et al., 2004; Nucera, Morra, & Grassi, 2011; Rørvik, Caugant, & Yndestad, 1995). Sauders et al. (2012) found that both species were associated with urban environments, in contrast with *L. seeligeri* and *L. welshimeri* that were associated with natural environments. Also phylogenetic analysis showed that *L. innocua* and *L. monocytogenes* are closely related species (den Bakker et al., 2010; Glaser et al., 2001; Schmid et al., 2005).

Reviews on *L. monocytogenes* sanitizer susceptibility and persistence have pointed out the difficulty to extrapolate from laboratory-based results to a food processing environment and the need to better understand the involved mechanisms (Carpentier & Cerf, 2011; Ferreira, Wiedmann, Teixeira, & Stasiewicz, 2014). Since *L. innocua* is nonpathogenic, it might be possible to employ this species directly in trials in processing plant environments to investigate its persistence and sanitizer susceptibility rendering valuable data to predict *L. monocytogenes* behavior. In fact, *L. innocua* has already been proposed as a surrogate, although not in biofilm state, in order to predict the response of *L. monocytogenes* to chemical and physical stresses (Delaquis, Stanich, & Toivonen, 2005; Fairchild & Foegeding, 1993; Friedly et al., 2008; Silva-Angulo et al., 2015).

L. monocytogenes is a concern in the production of Gorgonzola cheese, an Italian blue-veined cheese made of pasteurized cow's milk. Some *L. monocytogenes* strains can persist in Gorgonzola processing plants, suggesting niche adaptation to the dairy environment (Lomonaco et al., 2009). The reasons for persistence are not known, but strong biofilm forming ability and disinfectant susceptibility do not seem to be prerequisites (Costa, Bertolotti, Brito, & Civera, 2016). The present study aimed to investigate whether *L. innocua* biofilms may be used as surrogates of the pathogenic *L. monocytogenes* biofilms. Persistent and non-persistent *L. innocua* and *L. monocytogenes* isolates

69 from Gorgonzola processing environments were selected and their biofilm-forming ability as well

70 as biofilm susceptibility to two in use hydrogen peroxide (HP) based disinfectants were compared.

71 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

72 **2.1 Bacterial isolates**

73 The strains used in this work, five *L. innocua* and five *L. monocytogenes*, were isolated from

74 Gorgonzola cheese processing plants and the farms supplying milk, located in Piedmont and

75 Lombardy, Italy (Table1). Isolates were classified as persistent if they were found repeatedly within

76 the collection periods indicated in Table 1, and were at least 95% similar according to repetitive

77 element sequence-based PCR assays (rep-PCRs) (Nucera et al., 2011; Nucera, Lomonaco, Costa,

78 Morra, & Grassi, 2013) using ERIC and REP primers (Versalovic, Koeuth, & Lupski, 1991)

79 **2.2 Evaluation of biofilm-forming ability and biofilm susceptibility**

80 The evaluation of biofilm-forming ability was performed by quantification of biofilm biomass on

81 microtiter plates (polystyrene), using both the crystal violet (CV) method (Borucki, Peppin, White,

82 Loge, & Call, 2003) and a method of enumeration of viable cells on stainless steel coupons (SSC),

83 as described by Costa et al. (2016). Biofilms were grown in tryptic soy broth with 0.6% yeast

84 extract (TSB-YE, Biokar Diagnostics, Beauvais, France) at 25 °C for 24 (CV) and 48 h (SSC). The

85 CV approach was replicated at least three times on biologically independent cultures on distinct

86 days (biological replicates), with six repetitions under identical conditions each (technical

87 replicates). For the cell enumeration, two biological replicates were performed, with two technical

88 replicates each.

89 The evaluation of the biofilm susceptibility to the disinfectants was performed on biofilms grown

90 on SCC in nutrient-limiting (1/10 diluted TSB-YE) and in nutrient-rich (TSB-YE) medium at 25 °C

91 for 48 h, as described by Costa et al. (2016). Two commercial HP-based disinfectants, commonly

92 employed at the dairies where the isolates were collected, were used: P3-oxonia active (ECOLAB

93 S.r.l) containing acetic acid and peracetic acid (designated herein as P3), and Mida San 315

94 (Christeyns Food Hygiene S.r.l) containing citric acid (designated herein as MS). Disinfectants

95 were diluted using sterile hard water, prepared according to EN 13697 (Anonymous, 2001), to

96 achieve the concentrations recommended by the manufacturers: 0.2% (v/v) and 0.5% (v/v) for P3,

97 and 0.5% (v/v) and 1% (v/v) for MS. Disinfectant efficiency was defined according to EN 13697

98 (Anonymous, 2001), that states that a minimum 4-log reduction in viable cells is required. If that

99 threshold was obtained in 2.5 min with the lowest concentration, no additional treatments were

100 performed. When a 4-log reduction was not achieved, 5- and 7.5-min treatments with higher

101 disinfectant concentrations, when needed, were carried out until a 4-log reduction was observed.

102 For each isolate, a control was exposed to sterile hard water and used for the calculation of the log

103 reduction. Each treatment was repeated under identical conditions on two distinct biofilms.

104 **2.3 Data analysis**

105 Agreement to a normal distribution of the data generated by the CV (A_{600}), enumeration on SSC

106 (Log CFU/cm^2), and biofilm susceptibility (reduction of log CFU/cm^2) assays was checked using

107 the Shapiro-Wilk test, and the homogeneity of the variance was confirmed by Levene's test.

108 Comparisons between means were then performed via one-way ANOVA, using Scheffé test. These

109 analyses were performed with the OriginPro 8 SR0 (Northampton, MA, USA) software.

110 Using JMP Pro 13.2.1 (SAS, Cary, NC USA) software, a principal component analysis (PCA) was

111 performed in order to compare the isolates, based on their response to the ten following conditions

112 of log CFU/cm^2 reduction on SSC after disinfectant (P3 or MS) treatment of biofilms grown in

113 clean (C) and soiled (S) conditions, and of biofilm formation: 1) P3 2.5 min 0.2% C; 2) P3 2.5 min

114 0.2% S; 3) P3 5 min 0.2% C; 4) P3 5 min 0.2% S; 5) MS 2.5 min 0.5% C; 6) MS 2.5 min 0.5% S;

115 7) MS 5 min 0.5% C; 8) MS 5 min 0.5% S; 9) biofilm formation by CV; and 10) biofilm formation

116 by enumeration on SSC. A hierarchical clustering using the Ward method was performed based on

117 the component 1, which explained the majority of the variance.

118 For all tests, the confidence level for significance was 95 % (P<0.05).

119 **3. RESULTS**

120 **3.1 Comparison of the biofilm-forming ability of the isolates**

121 The biofilm formation of *L. innocua* isolates ranged from 0.117 and 0.170 (A_{600}) using the CV

122 method while the enumeration method registered values between 6.20 and 6.69 log CFU/cm^2 (Fig.

123 1). When *L. innocua* isolates biofilm-formation values are presented alongside *L. monocytogenes*

124 values (Costa et al., 2016), it is possible to observe that *L. innocua* presented a similar range for

125 both the CV method (0.087-0.270) and enumeration method (5.65-6.74 log CFU/cm^2) (Fig.1).

126 The CV assay relies on the property of the dye to bind to negatively charged surface molecules and

127 polysaccharides in the matrix. This assay may be influenced by the amount of exocellular polymer

128 and by cell sedimentation, which increases with planktonic growth (Lourenco, Rego, Brito, &

129 Frank, 2012). This may explain data variability obtain with this method. However, the CV method

130 showed no significant differences between isolates nor between species (P>0.05, Fig. 1B). The

131 same outcome was obtained for the enumeration on SSC, except for *L. monocytogenes* isolate GR,

132 that was significantly different from *L. monocytogenes* isolates GI and GN and from *L. innocua*

133 isolate 2, with P-values of 0.011, 0.009 and 0.016, respectively (Fig. 1A).

134 **3.2 Comparison of the antibiofilm activity of the disinfectants**

135 The log reductions obtained after the treatment of *L. innocua* biofilms (light dots) with the

136 disinfectants P3 and MS are shown in Fig. 2. In order to allow comparison between species, data

137 from *L. monocytogenes* isolates (dark dots) (Costa et al., 2016) are also shown. Among the isolates

138 that did not reach the 4-log reduction threshold, and for that reason were consequently exposed to

139 longer treatments and/or higher concentrations of P3 and MS, *L. monocytogenes* and *L. innocua*

140 persistent and non-persistent isolates were found. This was observed when biofilms were grown

141 both in nutrient-limiting (1/10 diluted TSB-YE) and in nutrient-rich (TSB-YE) conditions (Fig. 2A-

142 I, quadrants II, III and IV). Moreover, when the log reduction values obtained with both

143 disinfectants at all the tested concentrations and contact times were compared, there were no

144 significant differences between isolates nor between species (P>0.05). The exception was the

145 comparison of susceptibility to P3 at the mildest exposure conditions (0.2% [v/v] for 2.5 min) in

146 which *L. monocytogenes* presented a higher log reduction (P-value of 0.0497).

147 The disinfectant P3 showed a greater efficacy than MS at 7.5 minutes of exposure and at the lowest

148 concentration indicated by the manufacturer (0.2% [v/v]), P3 was effective for all the isolates Fig.

149 2A-C) and the treatment with the higher concentration (0.5% [v/v]) was not required. For the same

150 exposure time, the lowest concentration of MS (0.5% [v/v]) was not enough to achieve a 4-log

151 reduction by all the isolates (Fig. 2D-F) and a higher concentration (1% [v/v]) was needed (Fig. 2G-

152 I).

153 **3.3 Comparison of *L. monocytogenes* and *L. innocua* by PCA**

154 Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of data from the 10 isolates regarding biofilm forming ability

155 (CV and SSC) and disinfectant (P3 and MS) susceptibility was performed. Data were from biofilms

156 produced in nutrient-limiting (clean) (1/10 diluted TSB-YE) and in nutrient-rich (soiled) (TSB-YE)

157 conditions. The log reduction values of the 10 isolates exposed to the mildest disinfectant

158 concentrations (0.2% for P3 and 0.5% for MS) for 2.5 and 5 minutes were considered (Fig. 2A, B,

159 D and E). These were the treatments applied to the 10 isolates, since isolates that after these

160 treatments reached the 4-log reduction threshold were not subsequently exposed.

161 By PCA, the initial 10-dimensional space (10 variables) was reduced to a plane F1F2, defined by

162 the two first principal components. This plane accounts for about 68.5% of the variance of the

163 original data (Fig. 3). The projection of the 10 original variables on the first two principal

164 components is presented in Fig. 3A. Except for the treatment with P3 for 5 min at 0.2% in both

165 clean and soiled conditions, in general, disinfectant susceptibility (log reduction) shows a positive

166 correlation with the first component increasing along it. The second principal component is

167 positively correlated with both CV and SSC values, i.e. biofilm production increase along this axis.

168   The projection of the different isolates in the plane F1F2 is presented in Fig. 3B. The isolates in

169   quadrant I (2, GN, GI and 4) and in quadrant II (1) are more susceptible to P3 as all of them reached

170   the 4-log reduction threshold after exposure for 5 min to 0.2% (v/v) (Fig. 3, and Fig. 2B). Isolates in

171   quadrants III (99, 5, 3 and G39) and IV (GR) were less susceptible to P3. These isolates only

172   achieved the 4-log reduction after a 7.5 min exposure to the same concentration (Fig. 3, and Fig.

173   2C). Isolate GR can be seen isolated on quadrant IV as it presented the higher log reductions in both

174   clean and soiled condition for the eight treatments used to perform the PCA (Fig 3, and Fig. 2A, B,

175   D and E). Moreover, isolate GR is worst biofilm producer than three (2, GN and GI) of the four

176   isolates positioned in quadrant II (Fig. 3 and Fig. 1).

177   A hierarchical clustering, based on the first principal component which explains the majority

178   (43.9%) of the variance (Fig. 3) was performed and allowed to confirm the presence of the three

179   clusters of isolates (C1, C2 and C3) (Fig. 4), as suggested by PCA. A two-way clustering was

180   performed. According to the intensity of the response of each isolate in every experiment, the

181   treatments with P3 for 5 min at 0.2%, in both clean and soiled conditions, were the ones that

182   allowed the most differentiation of the isolates. It is also possible to observe that, persistent and

183   non-persistent isolates from both species *L. innocua* and *L. monocytogenes* were allocated in both

184   clusters, confirming that both species respond in a similar way to the conditions tested in this work.

185   **4. DISCUSSION**

186   The use of *Listeria* spp. as an indicator of a possible contamination by *L. monocytogenes* has been

187   suggested by some authors and guidelines (Food and Drug Administration [FDA], 2008;

188   Pennsylvania State University [Penn State], 2003; Tompkin, Scott, Bernard, Sveum, & Gombas,

189   1999). This may be a conservative approach since in Gorgonzola processing plant *L. innocua* was

190   far more frequent than *L. monocytogenes* (Nucera et al., 2001). In fact, repeated positive testing for

191   *Listeria* spp. requires more stringent cleaning and disinfecting procedures and indicates the need to

192   elucidate the reasons for these positive results.

193   Meylheuc, Giovannacci, Briandet, and Bellon-Fontaine (2002) have compared the bioadhesive

194   behavior of both species and concluded that the non-pathogenic strain exhibited a more marked

195   electronegative character and a slightly more hydrophilic nature than *L. monocytogenes*.

196   Nevertheless, to our knowledge, only two studies have previously compared the biofilm production

197   of *L. monocytogenes* and *L. innocua*: Zhou et al. (2011) used the CV method and concluded that *L.*

198   *innocua* is a weaker biofilm former compared to *L. monocytogene*s; Koo, Ndahetuye, O'Bryan,

199   Ricke, and Crandall (2014) used the cell enumeration method on aluminum and stainless steel and

200   concluded that after 24 h the attachment of *L. monocytogenes* was significantly higher than that of

201   *L. innocua*, though no significant differences were observed between both species biofilms after 72

202   h. In the present work, two methods were used (CV in polystyrene P96 microtiter plates and

203   enumeration on SSC) to evaluate the biofilm forming ability of a set of *L. innocua* and *L.*

204   *monocytogenes* isolates fairly representative of the contaminant microorganisms, collected from

205   Gorgonzola processing plants. The comparison performed here, indicated that both species

206   produced similar values, suggesting an equivalent biofilm production.

207   Regarding disinfectant susceptibility, Best, Kennedy, and Coates (1990) tested the efficacy of 14

208   disinfectants against both species after been spotted onto the surface of stainless steel disks and

209   dried for 30 minutes. The obtained results showed that the pathogenic species was slightly less

210   susceptible to disinfection than *L. innocua*. A few other comparative studies have assessed

211   differences in the susceptibility of planktonic cells of both species to disinfectants finding no

212   differences between species (Margolles, Mayo & de los Reyes-Gavilán, 2000) or highlighting an

213   higher resistance of planktonic *L. innocua* (Yeater, Kirsch, Taylor, Mitchell, & Osburn, 2015).

214   In this work, using *L. innocua* and *L. monocytogenes* collected from the same environment, a

215   similar susceptibility to the tested disinfectants was found for biofilms of both species. Moreover,

216   the susceptibility to P3 and to MS of biofilms grown in conditions mimicking clean and soiled

217   environment, showed no significant differences in terms of log reduction between persistent and

218   non-persistent isolates. According to these results, *L. innocua* could be used as a surrogate for *L.*

219 *monocytogenes*, not only regarding the biofilm production, but also the biofilm susceptibility to HP-
220 based disinfectants.

221 *L. innocua* was the only *Listeria* species besides *L. monocytogenes* detected in the Gorgonzola
222 processing plants from where the isolates analyzed in this work were collected (Nucera et al., 2011).
223 Our results demonstrate that the presence of *L. innocua* could indicate a contamination by *L.*
224 *monocytogenes,* since the disinfectant susceptibility of the two species was similar. In fact, as
225 concluded in the review by Milillo et al. (2012), if the two species have adapted to fit different
226 environmental niches, they may not always respond to stress the same way. Therefore, the absence
227 of *Listeria* spp. (i.e. *L. innocua* and *L. monocytogenes*) on food contact surfaces, equipment and
228 floors would suggest the effectiveness of the sanitation procedures in place in the processing plant.
229 Conversely, the detection of positive samples for *Listeria* spp. would indicate a need of improving
230 the procedures to keep the environmental contamination under control, as suggested by Tompkin et
231 al. (1999). Moreover, Zitz, Zunabovic, Domig, Wilrich, and Kneifel (2011) also verified reduced
232 detectability of *L. monocytogenes* in the presence of *L. innocua* mainly due to the overgrowth of *L.*
233 *monocytogenes* by *L. innocua* during the selective enrichment, leading to false-negative results.
234 Furthermore, the use of *Listeria* spp. as an indicator of a potential *L. monocytogenes* contamination
235 represents lower costs for routine laboratory analysis, due to the higher cost of chromogenic media
236 used for *L. monocytogenes* (Tomkin, 2002).

237 The presented work tried to mimic food industry conditions. Consequently, susceptibility testing
238 was conducted with biofilms produced on stainless steel, in soiled and in clean conditions, and
239 testing two commonly used HP-based disinfectants at the dairy plants where the isolates were
240 collected from. Nevertheless, in the real industrial environment the strains will form multi-species
241 biofilms and that may become relevant to the response of both *L. monocytogenes* and *L. innocua* to
242 disinfectants.

243

4. CONCLUSION

This study has shown that biofilms of in-house *L. innocua* could be employed for the validation and monitoring of HP-based disinfectant efficacy and proper sanitation procedures in Gorgonzola processing plants. In fact, not only *L. innocua s*usceptibility to HP-based disinfectants is similar to *L. monocytogenes* biofilms, but also both species, collected from the same food industry environment, showed no differences in biofilm forming ability. The common origin of the isolates is probably fundamental when looking for the adequacy of *L. innocua* as a surrogate of *L. monocytogenes*. The convenience and safety in using a non-pathogenic surrogate will certainly contribute to clarify the factors that contribute to *L. monocytogenes* persistent colonization not only in some Gorgonzola processing plants as in other food industry environments.

REFERENCES

Anonymous (2001). European Standard EN 13697: Chemical disinfectants and antiseptics – qualitative non-porous surface test for the evaluation of bacteriocidal and/or fungicidal activity of chemical disinfectants used in food, industrial, domestic and institutional areas – test method and requirements without mechanical action (phase 2, step 2).

Best, M., Kennedy, M. E., & Coates, F. (1990). Efficacy of a variety of disinfectants against *Listeria* spp. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 56, 377–380.

Borucki, M. K., Peppin, J. D., White, D., Loge, F., & Call, D. R. (2003). Variation in biofilm formation among strains of *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 69, 7336–7342.

Carpentier, B., & Cerf, O. (2011). Review-Persistence of *Listeria monocytogenes* in food industry equipment and premises. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 145, 1–8.

Chambel, L., Sol, M., Fernandes, I., Barbosa, M., Zilhão, I., Barata, B., Jordan, S., Perni, S., Shama, G., Adrião, A., Faleiro, L., Requena, T., Peláez, C., Andrew, P.W., & Tenreiro, R. (2007). Occurrence and persistence of *Listeria* spp. in the environment of ewe and cow's milk cheese dairies in Portugal unveiled by an integrated analysis of identification, typing and spatial-temporal mapping along production cycle. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 116, 52–63.

Costa, A., Bertolotti, L., Brito, L., & Civera, T. (2016). Biofilm formation and disinfectant susceptibility of persistent and nonpersistent *Listeria monocytogenes* isolates from Gorgonzola cheese processing plants. *Foodborne Pathogens and Disease,* 13, 602–609.

Delaquis, P., Stanich, K., & Toivonen, P. (2005). Effect of pH on the inhibition of *Listeria* spp. by 3 vanillin and vanillic acid. *Journal of Food Protection*, 68, 1472–1476.

den Bakker, H. C., Cummings, C. A., Ferreira, V., Vatta, P., Orsi, R. H., Degoricija, L., Barker, M., Petrauskene, O., Furtado, M. R., & Wiedmann, M. (2010). Comparative genomics of the

288   bacterial genus *Listeria*: genome evolution is characterized by limited gene acquisition and

289   limited gene loss. *BMC Genomics*, 11, 688.

290 Fairchild, T. M., & Foegeding, P. M. (1993). A proposed nonpathogenic biological indicator for

291   thermal inactivation of *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*,

292   59, 1247-1250.

293 Ferreira, V., Wiedmann, M., Teixeira, P., & Stasiewicz, M. J. (2014). *Listeria monocytogenes*

294   persistence in food-associated environments: epidemiology, strain characteristics, and

295   implications for public health. *Journal of Food Protection*, 77, 150–170.

296 Food and Drug Administration (FDA) (2008). Guidance for industry: control of *Listeria*

297   *monocytogenes* in refrigerated or frozen ready-to-eat foods.

298 Friedly, E. C., Crandall, P. G., Ricke, S., O'Bryan, C. A., Martin, E. M., & Boyd, L. M. (2008).

299   Identification of Listeria innocua surrogates for Listeria monocytogenes in hamburger

300   patties. *Journal of Food Science*, 73, M174–M178.

301 Glaser, P., Frangeul, L., Buchrieser, C., Rusniok, C., Amend, A., Baquero, F., Berche, P., Bloecker,

302   H., Brandt, P., Chakraborty, T., Charbit, A., Chetouani, F., Couve, E., de Daruvar, A.,

303   Dehoux, P., Domann, E., Dominguez-Bernal, G., Duchaud, E., Durant, L., Dussurget, O.,

304   Entian, K. D., Fsihi, H., Garcia-Del Portillo, F., Garrido, P., Gautier, L., Goebel, W.,

305   Gomez-Lopez, N., Hain, T., Hauf, J., Jackson, D., Jones, L. M., Kaerst, U., Kreft, J., Kuhn,

306   M., Kunst, F., Kurapkat, G., Madueno, E., Maitournam, A., Vicente, J. M., Ng, E., Nedjari,

307   H., Nordsiek, G., Novella, S., de Pablos, B., Perez-Diaz, J.C., Purcell, R., Remmel, B.,

308   Rose, M., Schlueter, T., Simoes, N., Tierrez, A., Vazquez-Boland, J. A., Voss, H., Wehland,

309   J., & Cossart, P. (2001). Comparative genomics of *Listeria* species. *Science,* 294, 849–852.

310 Koo, O. K., Ndahetuye, J. B., O'Bryan, C. A., Ricke, S. C., & Crandall, P. G. (2014). Influence of

311   *Listeria innocua* on the attachment of *Listeria monocytogenes* to stainless steel and

312   aluminum surfaces. *Food Control,* 39, 135–138.

313 Lappi, V. R., Thimothe, J., Walker, J., Bell, J., Gall, K., Moody, M. W., & Wiedmann, M. (2004).

314   Impact of intervention strategies on *Listeria* contamination patterns in crawfish processing

315   plants: a longitudinal study. *Journal of Food Protection*, 67, 1163–1169.

316 Lomonaco, S., Decastelli, L., Nucera, D., Gallina, S., Bianchi, D. M., & Civera, T. (2009). *Listeria*

317   *monocytogenes* in Gorgonzola: subtypes, diversity and persistence over time. *International*

318   *Journal of Food Microbiology*, 128, 516–520.

319 Lourenco, A., Rego, F., Brito, L., & Frank, J. F. (2012). Evaluation of methods to assess the

320   biofilm-forming ability of *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Journal of Food Protection*, 75, 1411 –7.

321 Moshtaghi, H., & Mohamadpour, A. A. (2007). Incidence of *Listeria* spp. in raw milk in

322   Shahrekord, Iran. *Foodborne Pathogens Disease*, 4, 107–110.

323 Meylheuc, T., Giovannacci, I., Briandet, R., & Bellon-Fontaine, M.-N. (2002). Comparison of the

324   cell surface properties and growth characteristics of *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Listeria*

325   *innocua*. *Journal of Food Protction*, 65, 786–793.

326 Milillo, S. R., Friedly, E. C., Saldivar, J. C., Muthaiyan, A., O'Bryan, C., Crandall, P. G., Johnson,

327   M. G., & Ricke, S. C. (2012). A review of the ecology, genomics, and stress response of

328   *Listeria innocua* and *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and*

329   *Nutrition*, 52, 712–725.

330 Margolles, A., Mayo, B., & de los Reyes-Gavilán, C. G. (2000). Phenotypic characterization of

331   *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Listeria innocua* strains isolated from short-ripened cheeses.

332   *Food Microbiology*, 17, 461–467.

333 Nucera, D., Morra, P., & Grassi, M. A. (2011). Detection and characterisation of *Listeria* isolates

334   collected along the Gorgonzola PDO production chain. *Italian Journal of Food Safety*, 1,

335   25–31.

336 Nucera, D. M., Lomonaco, S., Costa, A., Morra, P., & Grassi, M. A. (2013). Diagnostic

337   performance of rep-PCR as a rapid subtyping method for *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Food*

338   *Analytical Methods,* 6, 868–871.

339   Pennsylvania State University (Penn State), College of Agricultural Sciences, Agricultural Research
340   and Cooperative Extension (2003). Control of *Listeria monocytogenes* in Small Meat and
341   Poultry Establishments.

342   Rørvik, L. M., Caugant, D. A., & Yndestad, M. (1995). Contamination pattern of *Listeria*
343   *monocytogenes* and other *Listeria* spp. in a salmon slaughterhouse and smoked salmon
344   processing plant. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 25, 19–27.

345   Sauders, B. D., Overdevest, J., Fortes, E., Windham, K., Schukken, Y., Lembo, A., & Wiedmann,
346   M. (2012). Diversity of *Listeria* species in urban and natural environments. *Applied and*
347   *Environmental Microbiology*, 78, 4420–4433.

348   Schmid, M. W., Ng, E. Y. W., Lampidis, R., Emmerth, M., Walcher, M., Kreft, J., Goebel, W.,
349   Wagner, M., & Schleifer, K.-H. (2005). Evolutionary history of the genus *Listeria* and its
350   virulence genes. *Systematic and Applied Microbiology*, 28, 1–18.

351   Silva-Angulo, A. B., Zanini, S. F., Rosenthal, A., Rodrigo, D., Klein, G., & Martínez, A. (2015).
352   Comparative study of the effects of citral on the growth and injury of *Listeria innocua* and
353   *Listeria monocytogenes* cells. *PLoS ONE*, 10, e0114026.

354   Simmons, C., Stasiewicz, M. J., Wright, E., Warchocki, S., Roof, S., Kause, J. R., Bauer, N.,
355   Ibrahim, S., Wiedmann, M., & Oliver, H. F. (2014). *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Listeria*
356   spp. contamination patterns in retail delicatessen establishments in three U.S. States. *Journal*
357   *of Food Protection*, 77, 1929–1939.

358   Tompkin, R. B. (2002). Control of *Listeria monocytogenes* in the food-processing environment.
359   *Journal of Food Protection*, 65, 709–725.

360   Tompkin, R. B., Scott, V. N., Bernard, D. T., Sveum, W. H., & Gombas, K. S. (1999). Guidelines
361   to prevent post-processing contamination from *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Dairy, Food and*
362   *Environmental Sanitation*, 19, 551–603.

363 Versalovic, J., Koeuth, T., & Lupski, J.R. (1991). Distribution of repetitive DNA sequences in

364   Eubacteria and application to fingerprinting of bacterial genomes. *Nucleic Acids Research*,

365   19, 6823–6831.

366 Vongkamjan, K., Fuangpaiboon, J., Turner, M. P., & Vuddhakul, V. (2016). Various ready-to-eat

367   products from retail stores linked to occurrence of diverse *Listeria monocytogenes* and

368   *Listeria* spp. isolates. *Journal of Food Protection*, 79, 239–245.

369 Yeater, M. C., Kirsch, K. R., Taylor, T. M., Mitchell, J., & Osburn, W. N. (2015). Effectiveness of

370   sanitizing products on controlling selected pathogen surrogates on retail deli slicers. *Journal*

371   *of Food Protection,* 78, 707–715.

372 Zhou, Q., Feng, F., Wang, L., Feng, X., Yin, X., & Luo, Q. (2011). Virulence regulator PrfA is

373   essential for biofilm formation in *Listeria monocytogenes* but not in *Listeria innocua*.

374   *Current Microbiology*, 63, 186–192.

375 Zitz, U., Zunabovic, M., Domig, K. J., Wilrich, P.-T., & Kneifel, W. (2011). Reduced detectability

376   of *Listeria monocytogenes* in the presence of *Listeria innocua*. *Journal of Food Protection*,

377   74, 1282–1287.

378

379

Fig. 1 Biofilm forming ability of *Listeria monocytogenes* (grey bars) and *Listeria innocua* (white bars). Oblique line bars represent persistent isolates; A - assessed by cell enumeration on SSC (grown in TSB-YE for 48 h at 25 °C). The isolate GR, only on region 1 is statistically different from isolates 2, GI and GN, on region 3 (regions marked on the right), The isolates on region 2 are neither statistically different from isolates on region 1 nor from region 3. Two biological replicates with two technical replicates each were performed; **B** - assessed by crystal violet (CV) method in polystyrene 96-well microtiter plates (grown in TSB-YE for 24 h at 25 °C). Isolates were not statically different ($P > 0.05$). *L. innocua* isolates 1 and 2 and *L. monocytogenes* isolates GR and GI are persistent isolates (Table 1). Results from *L. monocytogenes* were previously published by Costa et al. (2016) and are shown here only for comparison. Error bars represent standard deviations. At least three biological replicates were performed, with six technical replicates, each.

391

392

393

Fig. 2 Log reductions (log CFU/cm2) obtained after disinfectant treatment of *L. innocua* (light dots) and *L. monocytogenes* (dark dots) biofilms grown for 48 h at 25 °C on SSC, in clean conditions (1/10 TSB-YE; x-axis) and soiled conditions (TSB-YE; y-axis), using P3 at 0.2% or MS at 0.5% and 1%. The isolates placed in quadrant **III** did not reach the 4-log reduction neither with biofilms formed in soiled conditions nor with biofilms formed in clean conditions and further treatments with extended contact time/increased disinfectant concentration were performed, as needed. The isolates placed in quadrant **I** reached the reduction threshold of 4 logs, with biofilms formed in both conditions and, for this reason, no other treatments were performed. The isolates placed in quadrant **II** and **IV** reached the 4 log reduction threshold, respectively, only in soiled or clean biofilm forming conditions. Further treatments respectively with biofilms grown in clean and soiled conditions, were carried out in order to achieve the 4-log reduction in both conditions. Isolates that underwent treatment only with biofilms produced under clean/soiled condition are marked with an asterisk (*) and placed on x- or y-axis, respectively. Isolates' ID in bold represent persistent isolates. Results from *L. monocytogenes* were previously published by Costa et al. (2016) and are shown here only for comparison. Error bars represent standard deviations. For each treatment, two technical replicates were performed.