

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Forsterite Surfaces as Models of Interstellar Core Dust Grains: Computational Study of Carbon Monoxide Adsorption

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1656218> since 2018-01-11T18:56:23Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1021/acsearthspacechem.7b00041

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

Forsterite surfaces as models of interstellar core dust grains: computational study of carbon monoxide adsorption

Lorenzo Zamirri, Marta Corno, Albert Rimola, and Piero Ugliengo

ACS Earth Space Chem., **Just Accepted Manuscript** • DOI: 10.1021/
acsearthspacechem.7b00041 • Publication Date (Web): 14 Jul 2017

Downloaded from <http://pubs.acs.org> on July 22, 2017

Just Accepted

“Just Accepted” manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides “Just Accepted” as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. “Just Accepted” manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. “Just Accepted” manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). “Just Accepted” is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the “Just Accepted” Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the “Just Accepted” Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these “Just Accepted” manuscripts.



1
2
3
4
5 **Forsterite surfaces as models of interstellar core dust grains:**
6 **computational study of carbon monoxide adsorption**
7
8

9
10 *Lorenzo Zamirri¹, Marta Corno^{1#*}, Albert Rimola² and Piero Ugliengo^{1*}*
11

12
13
14 ¹Dipartimento di Chimica and NIS – Nanostructured Interfaces and Surfaces – Centre, Università
15 degli Studi di Torino, via P. Giuria 7, 10125, Torino, Italy
16

17
18 ²Departament de Química, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193 Bellaterra, Spain
19

20
21
22 *Corresponding authors; piero.ugliengo@unito.it, telephone:+390116704596.
23

24 marta.corno@uniupo.it, telephone: +390131360418
25
26
27

28
29 #Present address: Dipartimento di Scienze e Innovazione Tecnologica, Università del Piemonte
30 Orientale, Viale Teresa Michel 11, 15121 Alessandria, Italy
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Abstract

Carbon monoxide (CO) is the second most abundant gas-phase molecule after molecular hydrogen (H_2) of the Interstellar Medium (ISM). In Molecular Clouds (MCs), an important component of the ISM, it adsorbs at the surface of core grains, usually made of Mg/Fe silicates, and originates Complex Organic Molecules (COMs) through the catalytic power of active sites at the grain surfaces. To understand the atomistic, energetic and spectroscopic details of the CO adsorption on core grains, we resorted to Density Functional Theory based on the hybrid B3LYP-D* functional inclusive of dispersion contribution. We modeled the complexity of interstellar silicate grains by studying adsorption events on a large set of infinite extended surfaces cut out from the bulk Mg_2SiO_4 forsterite, the Mg end-member of olivines ($\text{Mg}_{2x}\text{Fe}_{2-2x}\text{SiO}_4$), also a very common mineral on Earth crust. Energetic and structural features indicate that CO get exclusively physisorbed, with binding energy values in the 23-68 kJ mol^{-1} range. Detailed analysis of data revealed that dispersive interactions are relevant together with the important electrostatic contribution due to the quadrupolar nature of the CO molecule. We performed a full thermodynamic treatment of the CO adsorption at the very low temperature typical of the ISM as well as a full spectroscopic characterization of the CO stretching frequency, which we prove to be extremely sensitive to the local nature of the surface-active site of adsorption.

We also performed a detailed kinetic analysis of CO desorption from the surface models at different temperatures characterizing the colder regions of the ISM. Our computed data could be incorporated in the various astrochemical models of interstellar grains developed so far and thus contribute to improve the description of the complex chemical network occurring at their surfaces.

Key words

Astrochemistry, Molecular Clouds, dust core grains, forsterite surfaces, CO adsorption, B3LYP-D*

1. Introduction

The observation of the Interstellar Medium (ISM) by means of rotational spectroscopic techniques was performed since the first half of the XX century, and has revealed, according to the Cologne database,¹ the presence of more than 200 molecular species in the Molecular Clouds (MCs).^{2,3} These observations suggested the occurrence of different chemical processes with prebiotic implications, which are ultimately connected with the emergence of life on Earth (and maybe on other exogenous planets) since MCs are the cradle where star-forming processes occur. Moreover, the Solar System not only includes the presence of comets, asteroids and Kuiper belt-objects, but also suffers from a dust flux coming from the remote ISM. This dust is continuously falling on Earth with a density of about 10^{-6} m^{-3} .⁴ Specifically, one hypothesis is that amino acids precursors, or even amino acids themselves, can form in MCs under suitable conditions. As an example, aminoacetonitrile $\text{H}_2\text{NCH}_2\text{CN}$, the precursor of glycine, was identified in Sgr B2, a giant MC localized at the center of the Milky Way.⁵

The IR observations of MCs have also suggested the presence of a small fraction of solid, dust-like material whose exact composition is still a current matter of debate.

IR telescope observations support the presence of solid materials in MCs. The $9.7 \mu\text{m}$ and $18 \mu\text{m}$ absorption bands are particularly important as they are associated with the Si-O stretching and O-Si-O bending modes, suggesting the presence of silicates, mainly olivines $\text{Mg}_{2x}\text{Fe}_{2-2x}\text{SiO}_4$.⁶ The broadness of these two features leads to hypothesize that the structure of such grains is dominated by an amorphous phase, although it is also speculated that $\sim 5\%$ of the grain population is crystalline, and in other environments (*e.g.*, circumstellar and protostellar disks, comets and interplanetary medium) even greater.^{7,8} Moreover, crystalline silicates are expected to be larger in size than amorphous ones and could have formed through coagulation of smaller particles.⁸ In MCs, these two class of refractory materials are covered in ice mantles which are built up by the freeze-out of gas-phase species, and also by the *in-situ* formation of molecules, on the cold dust grain surfaces. The composition of the ices can be elucidated by their infrared spectroscopic features.⁹ In

1
2
3 general, H₂O is the most abundant constituent of the mantles, which is clearly featured by its 3.0
4 μm and 6.00 μm bands associated with the O-H stretching and H-O-H bending modes. Nonetheless,
5
6 μm and 6.00 μm bands associated with the O-H stretching and H-O-H bending modes. Nonetheless,
7
8 mantles also contain other species, and hence that they are also usually referred to as “dirty ices”;
9
10 *i.e.*, CO (4.67 μm), CO₂ (4.27 and 15.2 μm), CH₃OH (3.54 and 9.75 μm), NH₃ (2.97 μm) CH₄ (7.68
11
12 μm), H₂CO (5.81 μm).¹⁰

13
14 Since H is the most abundant species in the ISM, the actual composition of a particular ice mantle
15
16 depends on the H/H₂ ratio where it is formed. In regions where this ratio is greater than 1, reactive
17
18 species such as O, N and C are readily hydrogenated thus forming hydride-rich ices (*i.e.*, H₂O, NH₃
19
20 and CH₄). In contrast, if the H/H₂ is significantly less than 1, the reactive species are free to interact
21
22 with other species forming molecules such as CO, CO₂ or N₂. Thus, two qualitatively different types
23
24 of ice matter can be produced: with high or with low dipole moment species, usually referred to as
25
26 polar or apolar ices, respectively.¹¹ It is worth mentioning that even in the apolar ices, H₂O is a
27
28 prominent species at least in the very first layers covering the silicate core, which are expected to be
29
30 composed by hydrogenated species.^{9,12} For these apolar ices, CO is usually the second most
31
32 abundant solid phase species,¹¹ even if it has been reported that around some sources, solid CO
33
34 becomes the most abundant species (up to 85% with respect to solid H₂O).⁹ The CO IR features in
35
36 ices with high percentages of CO are perturbed by interaction with other apolar species (e.g., CO₂)
37
38 and not by H₂O thus suggesting the formation of apolar islands within the ice mantle.⁹ In our
39
40 opinion, such great abundances (with respect to H₂O) together with the fact that CO is the second
41
42 most abundant gas-phase species could justify a theoretical investigation of the interaction between
43
44 CO molecules and olivines surfaces.

45
46 Grain surfaces have also a role of paramount importance in the chemical evolution of space: they
47
48 can act as catalysts in the formation of both simple and more evolved molecular species, including
49
50 the Complex Organic Molecules (COMs). For example, there is general consensus that H₂, the most
51
52 abundant species in the Universe, can form at these surfaces and not via symmetry-prohibited,
53
54 direct gas-phase collision reactions.¹³ Formation of COMs, such as carbonyl species, alcohols or
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 even amino acids precursors would occur by involving other simple but reactive molecules such as
4
5 carbon monoxide (CO), the second most abundant gas-phase species in the ISM.² Hence, a detailed
6
7 study of the mechanisms underlying the interaction of (covered) silicate grains and CO may
8
9 improve our knowledge about the chemical processes occurring in MCs.
10

11 Laboratory experiments¹⁴⁻²³ can hardly reproduce the MCs physical features (very low temperatures
12
13 and atomic densities), thus Quantum-Mechanical (QM) methods represent a powerful alternative to
14
15 simulate chemical processes occurring in MCs. A comprehensive knowledge of olivine surface
16
17 energetics and morphology at the atomic level is of extreme importance to understand the true
18
19 nature of these processes and, more generally, all processes involving the olivine interface. Several
20
21 studies at different computational level have been conducted in order to investigate possible
22
23 formation, adsorption and desorption of small organic and inorganic molecules such as ammonia,²⁴
24
25 glycine,²⁴ carbon dioxide,²⁵ hydrogen,^{13,26-31} water.^{24,25,32-40} Only a few of them have dealt with the
26
27 problem of olivine's morphology⁴¹⁻⁴⁶ together with a complete QM level of treatment.^{13,43-46}
28
29 Furthermore, to the best of our knowledge, no theoretical works dealing with the adsorption of CO
30
31 on olivine surfaces can be found in the literature.
32
33
34
35

36 For these reasons, in this work, we have modeled the adsorption of CO on the end-member of
37
38 olivine, *i.e.* crystalline forsterite (Mg₂SiO₄) surfaces by means of the Density Functional Theory
39
40 (DFT), aiming to contribute to the understanding of interstellar gas-grain phenomena by providing a
41
42 reliable theoretical background. We assume a crystalline nature of the interstellar grain core, well
43
44 aware that the dominant phase is amorphous. Obviously, when compared to the unknown nature of
45
46 amorphous silicate grain structure, crystalline models ensure a well-defined structure derived from
47
48 the bulk silicate. We hope that this work can be useful to elucidate processes occurring at silicate
49
50 surfaces in the very harsh condition characterizing the various regions of the ISM. Important
51
52 progress in the modeling of amorphous grains, albeit of very small size, is the work leaded by S.
53
54 Bromley.⁴⁷
55
56
57
58
59
60

2. Computational Details

We performed all calculations with a developmental version of the periodic computational code CRYSTAL14⁴⁸ and we only report the most relevant details leaving the more specific ones in the Supporting Information (SI). We used the hybrid B3LYP Hamiltonian,⁴⁹⁻⁵¹ already shown to provide accurate results for the structural and dynamical properties of olivine end-members.⁵²⁻⁵⁵ To account for dispersion forces, in this work we used the D* version, which is a modified Grimme's D2 version,⁵⁶⁻⁵⁸ optimized for the B3LYP functional in molecular crystals by Civalleri et al.⁵⁹ CRYSTAL adopts Gaussian based functions and we used the same Gaussian-type basis set applied by Bruno et al.⁴⁵ for forsterite bulk and slabs, while CO was dressed by the Alhrichs-TZV polarized basis sets (details in SI).⁶⁰

We adopted default values for the energetic thresholds controlling the accuracy in the evaluation of the Coulomb and exchange integrals. We used an extra-large grid to ensure a satisfactory accuracy in the numerically integrated electron charge density, as previously stated by Bruno et al⁴⁵ and enough k sampling points to sample the Brillouin zone to ensure a good energy convergence (see SI).

Geometry optimizations of bulk and slabs adducts (forsterite surfaces + CO molecules) were performed following the Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno (BFGS) algorithm⁶¹⁻⁶⁴ and allowing only the atomic positions to relax (cells parameters were kept fixed to bulk values reported by Bruno).⁴⁵ Symmetry was enforced during the bulk optimization only.

To assess the relative stability of the seven surface models, the surface energies E_S were computed as

$$E_S = \frac{E_{slab} - 4E_{bulk}}{2A} \quad \text{Eq. 1}$$

where E_{slab} and E_{bulk} are the DFT unit cell total energies. For a more detailed discussion on how to compute E_S , the interested reader can refer to a previous work on surface modelling of bio-ceramics.⁶⁵ The factor 4 accounts for the stoichiometric 4:1 ratio between slab and bulk.

For the optimized adduct structures, we computed the binding energies per adsorbed CO (BE), including dispersion and corrected for the Basis Set Superposition Error (BSSE)^{66,67} through the “Counterpoise” method (CP),⁶⁸ as detailed in the SI.

On the optimized adduct structures, we restrict the calculation of the harmonic IR spectrum in Γ point^{69,70} to the CO molecule considered as a fragment (the surface/CO intermolecular modes are included) by diagonalizing the mass-weighted Hessian matrix.⁶⁹ This strategy has been validated by our research group in the past and showed to be satisfactory.^{71,72} The IR intensity of each normal mode of vibration was computed using the Berry phase approach.⁷³

We scaled all frequencies values by the following scaling factor s_{fac} , derived by comparing the B3LYP harmonic frequency value for the isolated CO with the experimental one for CO in gas phase:

$$s_{fac} = \frac{\bar{\nu}_{exp} (free\ CO)}{\bar{\nu}_{theo} (free\ CO)} = \frac{2143\text{ cm}^{-1}}{2201\text{ cm}^{-1}} = 0.9736 \quad \text{Eq. 2}$$

This partially recovers the systematic errors associated with B3LYP method and corrects for anharmonicity, improving the comparison with experiment. For each optimized structure i , we computed the IR spectrum $S_i(\bar{\nu})$ in the CO stretching region as a linear combination of Gaussian functions $G(\bar{\nu} - \bar{\nu}_j)$, each one centered at the j -th CO B3LYP scaled frequency value $\bar{\nu}_j$. We arbitrarily chose a value of 15 cm^{-1} as Full Width at Half-Maximum (FWHM). Finally, we weighted each band j by the corresponding IR intensity (I_j):

$$S_i(\bar{\nu}) = \sum_j I_j G(\bar{\nu} - \bar{\nu}_j) \quad \text{Eq. 3}$$

The frequencies computed for the CO fragment allowed computing the desorption enthalpies variations at 0 K, through the standard statistical thermodynamics relation:

$$\Delta_{des}H(0) = -\Delta_{ads}H(0) = BE - \Delta ZPE \quad \text{Eq. 4}$$

where ΔZPE is the Zero-Point Energy correction. We neglect the PV and the thermal factor terms,¹³ which, at the typical pressure characterizing MCs, are completely negligible.⁷⁴ Therefore, Gibbs free energies coincide with Helmholtz free energies $\Delta_{ads}A$

$$\Delta_{ads}A(T) = -BE + \Delta ZPE + \Delta E(T) - T\Delta S(T) \quad \text{Eq. 5}$$

We outline that ΔZPE , $\Delta E(T)$ and $\Delta S(T)$ terms are evaluated only for the adsorbed CO molecules (see *Surface free energies and adsorption free energies (Eq. 7 and 8)* section of the SI for a complete justification).

We used the computed binding energies to evaluate desorption times (t_{des}) using Eyring equation.

Thus, desorption times resulted as:

$$t_{des} = \frac{1}{k_D} = \frac{h}{k_B T} e^{-\Delta_{ads}A/RT} \quad \text{Eq. 6}$$

To evaluate the stability of the surfaces in presence of adsorbed carbon monoxide, we computed the surface free energies G_S according to the following expression:

$$G_S(T, P, \sigma) = E_S + \Delta \bar{G}(T, P^\theta, \sigma)_{CO} - \sigma \left[BE + RT \ln \left(\frac{P}{P^\theta} \right) \right] \quad \text{Eq. 7}$$

which is derived from first principle thermodynamics.⁷⁵⁻⁸⁵ E_S is the surface energy computed according to Eq. 1, BE is the dispersion-BSE-corrected binding energy per adsorbed molecule. T, P and P^θ are the absolute temperature, the partial pressure of CO and the standard pressure (= 101 325 Pa). σ is the surface density, *i.e.* the number $n^\dagger = n/2$ of adsorbed CO molecules per unit cell divided by the unit area A of the slab (n total number of adsorbed CO molecules on both ends of the slab model). In $\Delta \bar{G}(T, P^\theta, \sigma)_{CO} = \Delta G(T, P^\theta, n)_{CO}/2A$, $\Delta G(T, P^\theta, n)_{CO}$ is the Gibbs's free energy correction for gaseous CO computed from statistical thermodynamics relations.

From Eq. 7, Gibbs's free energy of CO adsorption becomes:

$$\Delta_{ads}G(T, P, n) = \Delta G(T, P^\theta, n)_{CO} - n \left[BE + RT \ln \left(\frac{P}{P^\theta} \right) \right] \quad \text{Eq. 8}$$

Both Eq. 7 and 8 are based on the assumption of thermodynamic equilibrium among phases.⁷⁹⁻⁸²

For a detailed discussion, see the SI.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Forsterite surface models characterization

To start with, we borrowed both the forsterite bulk and surface models from Bruno et al.⁴⁵ previous work at B3LYP (without Grimme's correction for dispersive interactions) level of theory of the most natural occurring forsterite surfaces, *i.e.* (010), (120), (101), (001), (111), (021) and (110). We re-optimized at B3LYP-D* level only the atomic positions keeping the cell parameters computed by Bruno et al. Results are summarized in Table 1, together with the experimental data⁸⁶ and computed ones obtained by Escamilla-Roa at PBE level.²⁴

Table 1. Experimental and computed lattice parameters (Å) for forsterite bulk. The present work adopts the same cell parameters of Bruno et al. work.⁴⁵ Percentage variations with respect to experimental values in parentheses.

Parameter	Experimental [#]	Escamilla-Roa [§]	Bruno [§] /This work (%Δ)
<i>a</i>	4.7490	4.81(1.3%)	4.7892 (0.8%)
<i>b</i>	10.1985	10.35(1.5%)	10.2539 (0.5%)
<i>c</i>	5.9792	6.06(1.4%)	6.0092 (0.5%)

[#]: Bostom.⁸⁶

[§]: Escamilla-Roa et al.²⁴

[§]: Bruno et al.⁴⁵

The models representing the seven surfaces (slab models) have resulted by properly cutting the optimized bulk structure, following the slab model approach, *i.e.* without generating a perpendicular dipolar moment,⁸⁷ or breaking the unity of the SiO₄ moiety, in agreement with previous computational works showing forsterite as an ionic material where the SiO₄ groups act as single anion entity.^{24,32–36,39,88–90} For a detailed discussion of how slabs models have been generated, see the original Bruno's work.⁴⁵ With local Gaussian basis set, there is no need to replicate the slab along the *c* axis, as mandatory when adopting plane waves basis set. In this work we followed the same computational scheme by Bruno and coworkers, by further adding the Grimme's corrections for dispersive interactions,^{56–58} as detailed in the Computational section. In practice, from the optimized slab structures, we computed the surface energies, the morphological relevance index (MRI, *i.e.* the ratio, expressed as percentage, between the unit area of a single surface and the total

1
2
3 area of the crystal) and, through the Wulff theorem,⁹¹ the equilibrium shape (ES) of the crystal a 0
4
5 K (Table 2 and Figure 2).
6

7 Figure 1 shows the structural features of forsterite bulk and slabs. Forsterite (Mg_2SiO_4), the Mg
8
9 end-member of the olivines isomorphous solid solution ($\text{Mg,Fe})_2\text{SiO}_4$, belongs to *Pbnm* space group
10
11 (orthorhombic, $Z=4$, $a \neq b \neq c$, $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90.0^\circ$). Its bulk structure is composed of a distorted
12
13 hexagonally close-packed array of oxygen with 1/8 of the tetrahedral sites occupied by Si^{4+} (SiO_4
14
15 units) and 1/2 of the octahedral sites occupied by divalent cations (Mg^{2+}) usually denoted as M1 and
16
17 M2 (MgO_6 units). Of the two octahedral sites, the M1 site, which is more distorted and compact
18
19 than M2 one, forms edge-sharing chains parallel to the *c* axis. The adsorption surface sites for all
20
21 the seven slab models are either Mg^{2+} cations or oxygen atoms belonging to external SiO_4 groups.
22
23 We labeled each site in alphabetic order following its *z*-coordinate value, from the most (highest *z*-
24
25 coordinate) to the least (lowest *z*-coordinated) exposed (see Figure 1), in which the *z*-coordinate
26
27 refers to the Cartesian axis perpendicular to the cut plane.
28
29
30

31 The seven surfaces are characterized by very different structural features (Table 2) and
32
33 morphologies: some surfaces, such as the (010) and the (001) ones, show very compact structures
34
35 with only a few exposed sites and very little surface area values, while others such as the (120), the
36
37 (101), and the (111) ones present irregular morphologies, thus exposing several adsorption sites.
38
39 Finally, the last two surfaces, (021) and (110), are characterized by very low-coordinated sites (Mg
40
41 A for the first one and Mg A and Mg B for the second one) explaining their high surface energies
42
43 (Table 2). The considered slab models did not exhibit defects, such as steps, corners, and vacancies.
44
45 Nevertheless, as reported by King et al.³⁶ for the water case, the energetics adsorption process is not
46
47 influenced by such defects, but, rather, by the presence of exposed and low-coordinated Mg cations.
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 1. Forsterite bulk (top-left corner, unit cell borders in blue) and side views of the seven slab models. M1 and M2 indicate the Mg octahedral sites. The figure only shows few atomic layers, for sake of clarity. Mg cations available for adsorption are labeled in alphabetic order according to their z -coordinated. For the (120) and (110) surfaces, Mg D/E and Mg A/B are indicated as “D,E” and “A,B”, respectively, since they are eclipsed in this representation.

The surface energy values E_S (at 0 K) according to Eq. 1 (Table 2) allow sorting the seven surfaces by their relative stability: the higher the surface energy, the less stable is the surface. Table 2 highlights the role of dispersion interaction on the E_S values by comparing the B3LYP-D* data with the ones computed at B3LYP//B3LYP-D* (in parentheses), *i.e.* by single point energy evaluation at B3LYP on the B3LYP-D* optimized geometries. This comparison gives a measure of the role of dispersion on the final E_S values. The stability order, at B3LYP//B3LYP-D*, is:

$$(010) < (120) < (101) < (001) < (111) < (021) < (110)$$

with the (010) being the most stable one. Data at B3LYP-D* follow the same order of stability, which also coincides with that computed by Bruno et al. (Table 2). To compute the MRI values and


the resulting equilibrium crystal morphology at 0 K (Figure 2) we used the E_S values from B3LYP-D*.

Table 2. Structural and energetic features for the seven slab models. Cell parameters, a , b and γ (\AA , degrees), A (\AA^2) surface unit cell area, E_S (J m^{-2}) surface energy from Eq. 1 (values at B3LYP//B3LYP-D* in parentheses) and Morphological Relevance Index (MRI, see text for details). $\% \Delta$ is the percentage variations between Bruno's and the present B3LYP//B3LYP-D* values. The MRI for the present work is for E_S at B3LYP-D*

(hkl)	Cell parameters				E_S			MRI	
	a	b	γ	A	This work	Bruno [§]	$\% \Delta$	This work	Bruno [§]
(010)	4.795	6.043	90.0	28.98	1.44 (1.23)	1.22	0.8	23.8	21.7
(120)	6.009	13.932	90.4	83.71	1.68 (1.37)	1.36	0.7	29.7	37.0
(101)	7.745	10.253	90.1	79.41	1.96 (1.62)	1.78	-9.0	20.7	12.2
(001)	4.806	10.149	91.0	48.76	1.98 (1.72)	1.78	-3.4	19.8	15.8
(111)	7.684	11.147	105.1	82.70	2.19 (1.83)	1.84	-0.5	2.5	8.2
(021)	4.828	15.650	89.7	75.55	2.24 (1.91)	1.90	0.5	3.5	5.1
(110)	6.105	11.062	90.0	67.53	2.46 (2.17)	2.18	-0.5	0.0	0.0

[§]: Ref.⁴⁵

The B3LYP//B3LYP-D* E_S percentage variations from the present work compared to that by Bruno et al.⁴⁵ are relatively small for all cases but for (101) and (001) surfaces, probably due to large structural rearrangements occurring during the optimization when dispersion is included. When dispersion is fully accounted for at B3LYP-D* level the corresponding MRI values are significantly different from that by Bruno et al.⁴⁵ Nevertheless, the final equilibrium shape morphologies only show relevant change in surface extension for the (101) and (111) facets, the ones whose E_S is affected most by the inclusion of dispersion (Figure 2).



1
2
3 **Figure 2.** Comparison between the Equilibrium Shape (ES) at 0 K of crystalline forsterite from the
4 Wulff's construction,⁹¹ by using surface energy values from the present work (inclusive of
5 dispersion, left) and from Bruno's work⁴⁵ (right, Table 2). Surfaces RGB color scale from the most
6 (red) to the least (blue) stable. Picture created with VESTA software.⁹²
7
8

9
10 To characterize the forsterite surfaces with respect to CO interaction, we also computed the
11 electrostatic potential maps (ESPMs) superimposed to the ground state electron density (Figure 3).
12
13 For transition metals, the CO/surface interaction is dominated by chemical effects (π -back-
14 donation),⁹³⁻⁹⁵ while for ionic systems, like the present case, where chemical effects are very small,
15 electrostatic complementarity between CO and the adsorbing surface docks CO at the different
16 sites.^{96,97} Figure 3 clearly shows the quadrupolar nature of CO, which is dominant over its small
17 dipole moment, with a deeper negative value around C atom compared to oxygen, in agreement
18 with previous findings.⁹⁸ Within B3LYP-D* approach, the CO dipole has the right alignment
19 ($C(\delta^-) \rightarrow O(\delta^+)$) with magnitude of 0.094 D, slightly smaller than the experimental value of 0.122
20 D.⁹⁹ Figure 3 also displays deep positive and negative regions over the Mg and the SiO₄ ions, for
21 two representative forsterite surfaces. Clearly, the complexity of the surface ESPMs, the
22 quadrupolar nature of the CO, together with the role played by the dispersion interactions - not
23 accounted for by the ESPMs - hinder an easy prediction of the final CO/surface configuration
24 without actually running the full calculation. Nevertheless, guided by the principle of electrostatic
25 complementarity, we started with up to 37 different CO/surface cases for all seven forsterite
26 surfaces. For each case, full geometry optimization at B3LYP-D* reveal the subtle structural and
27 energetic details of this kind of interaction.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 3. B3LYP-D* electrostatic potential maps (ESPMs) superimposed to the ground state electron densities. The ISO-density value for the electron density is equal to 10^{-6} a.u. Color code for the ESP: blue = +0.02 a.u., green = 0.0 a.u. and red = -0.02 a.u. I. CO dipole (with arrow) superimposed on the CO ESPM. II. Unit cell top views of the (010) surface superimposed on the ESPM. III. Unit cell top views of the (111) surface superimposed on the ESP map: Mg sites are labeled in alphabetic order from the most (A) to the least exposed (E).

3.2 CO adsorption on forsterite surfaces

We started by adsorbing CO molecules at about 2.2 Å from the exposed Mg ions at both the upper/lower surfaces. For all the surfaces, we first considered the lowest CO coverage, by adsorbing a single CO molecule on each Mg available ion, to probe them separately. We then considered the highest CO coverage by adsorbing the CO molecule on all available exposed Mg ions at the same time. For the (120), (101), (001) and (111) surfaces we also tested intermediate coverages. We label all simulated structures according to the Mg ions where CO molecules have been initially located.

For the optimized structures, we computed the average binding energies, BE, *i.e.* the binding energy *per* adsorbed CO molecule and the enthalpies of desorption at 0 K, $\Delta_{des}H(0)$. The computed BE values include deformation, lateral interactions between adsorbed CO molecules¹⁰⁰ and also the Counterpoise correction⁶⁸ to cure the basis set superposition error.^{66,67} Table 3 resumes the binding energy and enthalpy variation values (Eq. 4) for all the considered cases.

Figure 4 shows, as an example, four significant cases corresponding to the optimum structures of

mono-, intermediate- and maximum CO coverage (see SI for the whole set of cases). In all four cases, the Mg-C distances (from 2.1 to 2.8 Å) and the energetic features (some tens of kJ mol^{-1}) revealed a weak CO/forsterite interaction, entirely dominated by non-chemical interactions.

Figure 4.I shows that CO molecules do not lie perpendicular to the forsterite surfaces, as in a classical cation/dipole interaction. For the “(111) 1A” case, CO molecules lie almost flat to the surface due to the role played by the complex CO quadrupolar/surface ESP interaction and dispersion interaction.




Figure 4. Optimized structures for the CO adsorption on three selected forsterite surfaces corresponding to mono (I), intermediate (II) and maximum (III and IV) CO coverages. IV. Maximum coverage for the (111) surface: one of the two molecules initially placed close to Mg A lies parallel to the surface (no Mg-C interactions). Left part: side views, right part: top views. Mg-C distances in Å, BE and $\Delta_{des}H(0)$ in kJ mol^{-1} . In top views, CO molecules as van der Waals spheres.

For the “(101) 1A, 1B, 1C” case (intermediate coverage), the CO molecules adsorbed on Mg B and C lie almost perpendicular to the surfaces, suggesting that in such cases the dipole-charge interactions dominate. This complex structural scenario will affect the CO stretching frequency in a rather subtle way (see next section).

Along the route of increasing the CO coverage, we adsorbed two CO molecules on the most exposed site of the (120), (101), (001) and (111) surface. In all cases, the two CO molecules repelled themselves. Nevertheless, for the (120), (101) and (001) surfaces, the interaction with the cation overcomes the repulsion, resulting in structures exhibiting two adsorbed CO molecules *per* Mg cation (example: Figure 4.III). For the (120) and the (110) surfaces, the closeness of the two Mg sites (Mg D and E and Mg A and B - respectively - Figure 1 and 4.III but also Figures S3, S8, S11, S16 of the SI) gives one CO molecule to be bi-coordinated.

Table 3. Structural and energetic features of CO adsorbed on forsterite surfaces. Binding energies – corrected for the BSSE – inclusive (BE disp) and non-inclusive (BE no-disp) of Grimme’s correction, desorption enthalpy variations $\Delta_{des}H(0)$, lateral interaction energies E_L among CO molecules, BSSE and dispersion (disp) contributions to BEs disp values in kJ mol^{-1} ; average Mg-C distances $\langle d_{\text{Mg-C}} \rangle$ in Å. All energy quantities (3^{rd} – 8^{th} columns) are *per* adsorbed CO molecule. Averages, standard deviations (Std. dev.), minimum and maximum values for each quantity are also reported.

(hkl)	Case	BE disp	BE no-disp	$\Delta_{des}H(0)$	–BSSE	–disp	E_L	$\langle d_{\text{Mg-C}} \rangle$
(010)	1A	44.1	24.3	39.6	28.5	19.8	-0.1	2.365
	1A	65.0	46.5	60.2	24.3	18.5	-0.5	2.332
	1B	24.5	10.8	20.5	22.7	13.7	-0.1	2.455
	1C	35.5	11.1	31.0	27.2	24.4	-0.2	2.465
	1A 1B	45.8	29.7	41.4	22.4	16.1	-0.3	2.380
	1A 1C	50.4	28.8	45.7	25.8	21.5	-0.3	2.397
	2A 1B	40.1	22.5	35.6	20.1	17.6	-0.7	2.409
	1A 1B 1(D,E) 2A 1B 1(D,E)	43.2 39.9	23.4 20.1	38.9 35.5	25.0 22.4	19.7 19.8	0.0 -0.2	2.553 2.529
(101)	1A	68.1	49.8	63.2	21.2	18.3	-0.3	2.350
	1B	43.5	24.8	38.1	26.2	18.7	-0.1	2.284
	1C	32.5	18.9	28.1	21.2	13.6	-0.1	2.394
	1A 1B 1C	54.0	36.3	49.0	24.1	17.7	-0.3	2.340
	2A 1B 1C	45.3	27.2	40.7	22.1	18.1	-0.6	2.406
	1A 1B 1C 1D 1E	42.5	20.7	37.7	21.7	21.8	-0.3	2.447
(001)	1A	52.7	30.4	48.1	29.8	22.3	-0.2	2.334
	1B	43.5	26.3	38.9	28.2	17.2	-0.3	2.409
	1A 1B	47.8	24.1	43.0	28.1	23.8	-0.2	2.557
	2A 1B	49.6	26.9	44.6	24.0	22.6	0.3	2.589
	2A 2B	23.3	0.6	18.3	19.6	22.7	-4.7	2.354
(111)	1A	45.5	17.0	40.6	39.8	28.5	-0.1	2.176
	1B	55.5	29.7	50.6	37.7	25.8	0.0	2.376
	1C	33.9	3.8	30.4	36.9	30.1	-0.2	2.234
	1D	60.2	39.6	55.2	29.3	20.7	-0.3	2.318
	1E	55.5	29.7	50.6	37.7	25.8	0.0	2.376
	1A 1B 1C 1D 1E	38.4	14.4	34.2	25.5	24.0	-0.5	2.363
	2A 1B 1C 1D 1E	34.4	11.8	30.5	21.9	22.6	0.2	2.843*

	1A	67.3	43.3	62.4	32.8	24.0	-0.5	2.343
(021)	1B	45.4	21.2	40.5	28.1	24.2	-0.1	2.394
	1C	34.4	16.5	30.3	23.4	17.9	0.0	2.392
	1A 1B	56.5	33.5	51.7	29.6	23.1	-0.3	2.378
	1A	30.0	13.8	25.4	29.1	16.2	-0.1	2.370
	1B	30.0	13.7	25.4	29.2	16.3	-0.1	2.369
(110)	1C	26.4	4.4	20.9	27.5	22.0	-0.1	2.330
	1D	45.8	29.2	40.7	24.4	16.6	-0.1	2.313
	1(A,B)	29.8	13.6	25.2	29.2	16.2	-0.1	2.370
	1A 1C 1D	33.3	15.6	28.4	24.9	17.7	0.4	2.366
Average		44	23	39	27	21	-0.3	2.4
Std. dev.		12	11	12	5	4	0.8	0.1
Minimum		23.3	0.6	18.1	19.6	13.6	-4.7	2.176
Maximum		68.1	49.8	63.0	39.8	30.1	0.4	2.843

*: average only for molecules effectively adsorbed on Mg sites, see Figure 4.IV.

Data resumed in Table 3 confirmed the weak nature of CO adsorption on forsterite surfaces. Mg-C bond distance ranges from 2.176 (“(111) 1A” case) to 2.843 (“(111) 2A, 1B, 1C, 1D, 1E” case) Å, with 2.4 ± 0.1 Å resulting by averaging all values of the last column. The only outlier is for the “(111) 2A, 1B, 1C, 1D, 1E” case in which $\langle d_{\text{Mg-C}} \rangle = 2.843$ Å: it is worth noting that this is not due to the contribution of the extra CO molecule initially adsorbed on Mg A and lying flat on previously adsorbed CO molecules (Figure 4.IV).

By comparing related cases in Table 3, it is easy to compute the contribution to the binding energy for a specific single adsorption process. For example, the net BE of the “lying flat” CO molecule of the “(111) 2A, 1B, 1C, 1D, 1E” case can be computed as $6 \cdot \text{BE} [(111) 2A, 1B, 1C, 1D, 1E] - 5 \cdot \text{BE} [(111) 1A, 1B, 1C, 1D, 1E]$ giving a value of 14.4 kJ mol^{-1} . This value may be representative to understand the formation of a CO ice.

BE-disp values range from 23.3 (“(001) 2A, 2B” case) to 68.1 (“(101) 1A” case) kJ mol^{-1} , showing exclusively physisorption of CO on the forsterite surfaces.

BSSE and dispersion interactions play fundamental and opposite roles. The former affects the BE-disp values by 56% on average, a value close to that resulting from the adsorption of drugs on amorphous silica.¹⁰¹ Dispersion contribution to the BE-disp is also large (50% on average of the BE-disp) and, unlike BSSE, is a physically related quantity. For the “(001) 2A, 2B” or the “(111) 1C” cases, they entirely dominate the interaction between CO and forsterite surfaces.

1
2
3 In the penultimate column of Table 3 we also report the CO lateral interactions E_L simply computed
4 as the energy differences between the B3LYP-D* energy of the corresponding periodic layers of
5 adsorbed CO for each specific case with respect to the same number of isolated CO molecules (see
6 the SI for the details). The sign convention is the same as for the BEs, *i.e.* negative values means
7 repulsive interactions. All values, except the “(001) 2A, 2B” case, are very small and negligible
8 with respect to BEs, showing that the BE for a specific site is not influenced by the CO adsorbed on
9 a nearby site (site-site independence). The relatively high value of E_L (-4.7 kJ mol^{-1}), for “(001) 2A,
10 2B” case is due to the repulsive interaction between the two CO molecules co-adsorbed on the same
11 Mg B site (compare with the “(001) 2A, 1B” (0.3 kJ mol^{-1} case, see Figure S13).

12
13
14 We did not find any significant correlation between the binding energy, or the enthalpy variations,
15 and the Mg-C distance.

16
17
18 We did not consider the adsorption of CO oxygen-down. This is known to occur, for instance, in
19 acidic and cation exchanged zeolites^{102,103} while it has never been observed for CO adsorption on
20 extended ionic surfaces. To further check that this is the case also here, we computed the BE for the
21 O-down adsorption for some cases, for instance, the “(120) 1C case”: a value of 17.5 kJ mol^{-1}
22 resulted, to be contrasted with the C-down value of 35.5 kJ mol^{-1} . This large difference in BE
23 ensure that the O-down population is entirely negligible at the temperature of interest and does not
24 play a relevant role in the features of the forsterite/CO adsorption.

25 26 27 3.3 CO desorption times

28
29 Since the first observations of the ISM in the IR region were carried out, different astrophysical
30 models for dust grains have been proposed.^{7,104–110} Several parameters characterize the models,
31 whose values derive either from direct observations (when possible) or from “terrestrial
32 techniques”, such as laboratory experiments and computer modelling. Examples are the residence
33 times of adsorbed species (molecules, ices but also of layers of carbonaceous material¹¹⁰) on the
34 surface of grains at a given temperature and pressure.

1
2
3 Along that line, the present computed data can be used to predict the desorption kinetics of CO from
4
5 forsterite grains through the Eyring equation for the kinetic desorption constant k_D .

6
7 Since CO adsorbs barrier less, as no chemical bond making/breaking occurs at the forsterite
8
9 surfaces, the Gibbs free activation energy of desorption $\Delta_{des}G^\ddagger$ coincides with the negative of the
10
11 Gibbs free energy of adsorption $\Delta_{des}G = -\Delta_{ads}G \equiv \Delta_{des}G^\ddagger$. However, in our case, $\Delta_{des}G$ values
12
13 coincide in turn with $\Delta_{des}A$ values computed according to Eq. 5 at a given temperature. With these
14
15 assumptions, desorption times t_{des} from Eq. 6 are normalized to 10 Myr, assumed as the typical
16
17 lifetime of MCs.¹¹¹ Figure 5 shows the $\log(t_{des}/10 \text{ Myr})$ vs T^{-1} trend in the 0÷100 K range for the
18
19 mono-most-bound case of each surface. At the low temperatures characterizing cooler clouds,
20
21 desorption times are much greater than the times typically required for MCs evolution (this
22
23 evolution times are represented by the straight lines in the inset box of Figure 5) and becomes
24
25 comparable only at temperature of about 75-100 K. For the complete data set, see Table S1.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 5 CO desorption times (t_{des}) for the mono-most-bound cases of each surface as a function of temperature (T). Times are normalized with respect to 10 Myr – the typical lifetime of a MC – and reported in logarithmic scale for convenience. The values in square brackets represent the different temperatures to which desorption times have been computed according to Eq. 6. Straight lines in the inset box represent time intervals for the MCs evolution: gravitational collapse time (10^5 – 10^6 yr, dashed lines)¹¹¹ and lifetime (10^7 yr, dotted line).^{112–115}

A long residence time increases the probability of molecular encounters at the surfaces, more likely through the Eley-Rideal mechanisms, providing the base for the formation of COMs. At higher T , desorption/re-adsorption processes becomes feasible so that the Langmuir-Hinshelwood mechanism accounts for CO reactions. Clearly, the present data can be profitably used in models assuming the dynamical evolution of clouds.^{109,110}

3.4 Vibrational frequencies of CO molecules

When dealing with adsorbed CO molecules, a key quantity is their stretching frequency shift values with respect to the free CO one. Positive, or hypsochromic, shifts are referred as “blue shift”, while

1
2
3 negative, or bathochromic, ones as “red shift”. Blue shift implies a CO bond shortening with a
4
5 corresponding increase in the bond force constant and vibrational frequency. The reverse happens
6
7 for red-shifted cases.¹¹⁶ The relevance of the CO vibrational shift is to probe the electric field and
8
9 the chemical features of the adsorbing surface sites. In transitional metals with electronic
10
11 configuration different from d^0 , the main contribution to the interaction energy for the metal-CO
12
13 system is due to the π -backdonation from d orbitals of the metal to the antibonding $2\pi^*$ LUMO of
14
15 the CO. Thus, the anti-bonding levels of CO become more populated, weakening the C-O bond. On
16
17 the other hand, the σ -donation from the non-bonding 5σ HOMO produces a reinforcement of the C-
18
19 O bond although of minor entity.^{93-95,116} For non- d/d^0 metals (as the case of Mg^{2+}), backdonation is
20
21 prevented so the only possible interaction is the σ -donation from the CO-HOMO to the metal s/p -
22
23 orbital. Due to the weak anti bonding nature of the 5σ HOMO, the C-O bond is reinforced and
24
25 vibrational blue shifts resulted. However, this “classical” point of view has been questioned since it
26
27 does not produce sufficiently high interactions energies and large blue shifts. Thus, it has been
28
29 suggested that a large part of the interaction between CO and non- d/d^0 metals would then be played
30
31 by pure electrostatic effects.^{96,97,116} More specifically, the electric field originated by the metal
32
33 cation would produce a polarization of both σ and π CO bonding orbitals along the $O \rightarrow C$ direction,
34
35 enhancing the covalent character and the strength of the CO bond.⁹⁸
36
37
38
39

40 For d^{10} metals, back-donation is also expect to occur but in some cases, as in Zn^{2+} zeolites, they
41
42 seem to behave like non- d/d^0 metals.¹¹⁷
43
44

45 For Ca(II), Na(I), Zn(II), Ag(I) and Cu(I) exchanged zeolites, Bolis and coworkers reported the
46
47 existence of a liner correlation between experimental $\Delta\bar{\nu}$ and the values of desorption enthalpies in
48
49 the CO zero coverage limit.^{117,118} They showed that any deviation from the linear behavior reveals
50
51 the incoming effect due to increasing charge transfer and back-donation towards CO. Despite the
52
53 highly ionic nature of the forsterite surfaces, we did not observe a straight linear correlation
54
55 between the computed desorption enthalpies (or, equivalently the BEs) and the CO vibrational
56
57 shifts, but only a broad trend (data not shown). Furthermore, for some cases we computed red-
58
59
60

1
2
3 shifted values. These cases represent a small percentage of the total (7 negative shifts out of a total
4 of 73, see Figure 6.II and Table S2). The missing expected linear correlation between energetic and
5 spectroscopic features reveals a more complex interaction for the present case with respect to the
6 systems considered by Bolis et al,^{117,118} in which the surrounding of the adsorbing cation was much
7 more regular than in forsterite surfaces. The complexity of the surface sites in the present case (*vide*
8 *supra*) and the important role of dispersion interaction deviate from the simpler ion/dipole model
9 for the systems accounted for by the Bolis et al.^{117,118} Nevertheless, we have found a very good
10 linear correlation between $\Delta\bar{\nu}$ shifts and C-O distances (d_{CO}), as predicted by the Blyholder
11 model¹¹⁶ (Figure 6.I). This linear relation is useful for quickly evaluating the CO vibrational shift
12 without performing an expensive frequency calculation, by only using its CO bond length.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 To the best of our knowledge, while bathochromic shifts have been reported for CO adsorbed in
26 cation-exchanged zeolites,^{102,103} no experimental evidence of that has ever been reported for CO
27 adsorbed on extended ionic surfaces in the CO low coverage regime. For the zeolite case, the CO
28 bathochromic shifts were proved to be due to CO adsorbed oxygen-down at the cations, which is in
29 thermodynamic equilibrium with the most stable C-down isomer, even if with a much reduced
30 population (*vide supra*).
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 In our set, the “(111) 2A, 1B, 1C, 1D” case ($\Delta\bar{\nu} = -2 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) may be rationalized because of the high
39 CO coverage, in which the second CO molecule initially adsorbed on Mg A lies in a configuration
40 almost flat without evidence of C-Mg interaction (Figure 4.IV).
41
42
43
44

45 Four out of the six remaining bathochromic shifts belong to cases of multi-loading CO coverage, in
46 which lateral interactions among adsorbed CO molecules may cause negative CO frequency shifts
47 through in space coupling. The remaining two cases, “(101) 1B” and “(110) 1C”, are CO mono-
48 loading ones, in which the dominant quadrupolar nature of CO comes into play to account for the
49 bathochromic shifted values.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 6. I. Linear correlation between CO bond lengths and $\Delta\bar{\nu}$ values. n^{\dagger} , number of CO molecules *per* unit cell. II. Histogram distribution of $\Delta\bar{\nu}$ values. III. Negative $\Delta\bar{\nu}$ vs the distance between the center of mass of CO molecules and the closest O atom of the SiO_4 moiety. IV. CO $\Delta\bar{\nu}$ values vs desorption enthalpy (at 0 K, Eq. 4) for the most bound cases of the four most representative surfaces (see MRI of Table 2). V. Overall simulated infrared spectra in the CO stretching region at 100 K at lowest (min) and highest (max) CO coverage. Light-blue plan: free CO reference frequency (2143 cm^{-1}).

1
2
3
4
5
6 As pointed out by the structures reported in Figures 3.II and 3.III (but also in Figures S2-S8 of the
7
8 SI), forsterite surfaces are really complex from an electrostatic point of view. Therefore, the
9
10 interaction between CO and exposed Mg sites cannot be interpreted through a simple dipole-charge
11
12 model, as interactions involving the negative O atoms of the exposed SiO₄ units with the positive
13
14 belt of the CO quadrupole may play a fundamental role.
15
16

17 18 *3.5 Interplay between electrostatic and dispersive interactions* 19

20 Interplay between electrostatic and dispersion interactions, evaluated by the Grimme's correction,
21
22 may affect the final structures in several ways. To elucidate the role of both aspects, we re-
23
24 optimized at B3LYP level (no dispersion included): i) all the most bound cases for all the seven
25
26 surfaces; ii) the two mono-coverage cases affected by red-shifted frequencies, "(101) 1B" and
27
28 "(110) 1C", respectively. For the sake of brevity, we show results referring only to two
29
30 representative cases, the "(010) 1A" and the "(101) 1B" (Figure 7). All the other tested cases are
31
32 available in the SI.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 7. Optimized structures with (left) and without (right) Grimme's correction for two representative cases. Distances in Å, energies in kJ mol^{-1} and CO frequency shifts in cm^{-1} .

As expected, at B3LYP level, all the distances increase with respect to B3LYP-D* case, due to the missing attractive term. For the “(010) 1A” case (and similarly related ones, see SI), due to the symmetry constraints forcing CO to stay aligned on top of Mg ion (Figure 7, top), no difference in the CO frequency shifts resulted, despite the general shrinking of the intermolecular distances. When moving to the “(101) 1B” case, the differences between the two geometries are more subtle: dispersion is dramatically enlarging the value of the surface $\text{Mg}\cdots\text{O}\cdots\text{Si}$ angle which, in turn, affects the way in which CO becomes adsorbed. Comparison of the optimized B3LYP with the corresponding B3LYP-D* structure (see structures at the bottom of Figure 7) shows how dispersion brings CO closer to the negatively charged oxygen atom belonging to the most exposed SiO_4 tetrahedron. This interaction is attractive, when considering the quadrupolar electrostatic nature of the CO molecule (see Figure 3.I). Nevertheless, the mechanism behind the charge flux rearrangement of CO for this case is such that a bathochromic shift of the CO stretching frequency occurs. Interestingly, Figure 6.III shows a trend between the negative shift $\Delta\bar{\nu}$ values and the distances between the centers of mass of CO molecules and the closest oxygen atom of a SiO_4 unit.

1
2
3 This relation hold true for – “(101) 1B”, “(101) 1A, 1B, 1C”, “(101) 1A, 1B, 1C, 1D, 1E” and
4
5 “(110) 1C” – cases, in which a single bathochromic shift occurs for a single CO molecule (see
6
7 Table S2 of the SI). For the “(001) 2A, 2B” cases, where three CO molecules are involved in two
8
9 modes characterized by bathochromic shifts, the distance between the CO center of mass and the
10
11 closest oxygen atom of the SiO₄ moiety cannot be worked out. As the number of cases is very
12
13 limited, we do not claim a statistical robustness for the graph in Figure 6.III. Nevertheless, we
14
15 believe it contains a physically sensible message, *i.e.* “the shorter the distance between the centers
16
17 of mass of CO to the nearby surface oxygen, the larger is the bathochromic shift suffered by CO”.

18
19
20 This complex behavior is probably the reason why the whole set of energetic data and vibrational
21
22 ones do not rest on a linear correlation, as found through experiments by Bolis *et al.*¹¹⁷ for CO
23
24 adsorbed in cation-exchanged zeolites. Nevertheless, Figure 6.IV, shows that, for the most bound
25
26 cases of the most representative surfaces, a good correlation holds true.

27
28
29 Figure 6.V summarizes the overall simulated IR spectra in the CO stretching region corresponding
30
31 to the lowest/highest CO coverage. Experimentally, the most interesting case is for the zero-limit
32
33 CO coverage,¹¹⁷ which correspond to the “min” spectrum. Each spectrum results by a linear
34
35 weighted sum of the CO stretching frequency value for all considered cases within the “min/max”
36
37 coverage regimes. The weights of the sum are the Boltzmann’s factor $\exp(-\Delta(\text{BE})/RT)$ computed
38
39 at $T = 100$ K using the difference $\Delta(\text{BE})$ in CO relative binding energy. MRI values of Table 2 also
40
41 multiply each weight, to account for the spatial extension of each surface. The adopted temperature
42
43 is close to that of liquid nitrogen to mimic most experimental conditions. The spectrum
44
45 corresponding to the “min” coverage only shows components higher than 2143 cm⁻¹ (free CO), in
46
47 line with the expected hypsochromic shift observed from the vast majority of experiments dealing
48
49 with CO on extended oxide surfaces. The main contribution to this spectrum derives from the CO
50
51 mono-most-bound cases for each surface. At maximum coverage (“max”), the spectrum suffers a
52
53 shift toward lower wave numbers, due to the bathochromic contributions to the CO frequency
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 deriving from multi-loading cases (*vide supra*) for which both BE and $\Delta\bar{v}$ values are lower with
4
5 respect the mono loading cases.
6
7

8 3.6 Thermodynamic analysis 9

10 For each of the 37 considered cases, we computed the surface free energies $G_S(T, P, \sigma)$ and the
11 related adsorption free energies $\Delta_{ads}G(T, P, n)$ according to Eq. 7 and 8, at the pressure value of
12 10^{-16} Pa which is indicative of the physical conditions characterizing MCS.⁷⁴ The stability diagrams
13 (G_S vs T trend) are shown in the top-left part of Figure 8 for all CO adsorption cases of the (120)
14 surface. The diagrams show the thermodynamically favored structures for which $G_S < E_S$ at a given
15 temperature. Since the adsorption is a true physisorption (*vide supra*), the most stable structures (at
16 a given T) are the ones expected to exist; therefore these diagrams can be interpreted also as phase
17 diagrams. For the sake of brevity, we do not show data referring to all the other surfaces as their
18 calculation is straightforward.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 As you can see from the left of Figure 8, the case of highest CO coverage, “(120) 2A 1B 1(D,E)”, is
31 the most stable up to 50 K, since it has the lowest values of G_S . Beyond this point, there is a
32 crossing (green-dashed line) for the adsorption of two CO per unit cell, “(120) 1A 1C”, which is the
33 most stable one only up to about 60 K. Over 60 K, the mono CO covered “(120) 1A” case, the most
34 bound one at 0 K and 0 Pa (see Table 3), becomes the most stable one.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60






Figure 8. Top-left: stability diagrams for all CO adsorbed cases of the (120) surface. Pressure is equal to 10^{-16} Pa. Horizontal black line corresponds to the $G_S = E_S$ condition. Different CO coverages as lines: solid ($n^\dagger = 1$), dashed ($n^\dagger = 2$), dot-dashed ($n^\dagger = 3$), short-dotted ($n^\dagger = 4$). Top-right: variations of adsorption Gibbs free energy for some single-adsorption processes occurring at the (120) surface (see text) as a function of temperature. Pressure is equal to 10^{-16} Pa. Different processes as lines: (120) + CO → “(120) 1A” (solid, MONO), CO + “(120) 1A” → “(120) 1A 1B” (dashed, BI), CO + “(120) 1A 1B” → “(120) 1A 1B 1(D,E)” (dot-dashed, TRI), CO + “(120) 1A 1B 1(D,E)” → “(120) 2A 1B 1(D,E)” (short-dotted, TETRA). Bottom: schematic representation of all Gibbs free energy variations involved in the formation of the most-covered case for the (120) surface, the “(120) 2A, 1B, 1C” one (at 10^{-16} Pa and 10 K) as a sequence of single-adsorption processes. Numbers beside vertical lines represent the variations of adsorption Gibbs free energy for each step in kJ mol^{-1} . For all the involved structures, we show a detail of the side views (see also Figure S10 and S11).

Exploiting Eq. 8 we also computed the adsorption Gibbs free energies $\Delta_{ads}G(T, P, n)$ for each of the 37 simulated cases at 10^{-16} Pa. However, again we show only data referring to the (120) surface. Top-right corner of Figure 8 reports the variations in adsorption Gibbs free energies for some single CO molecule adsorption processes, *i.e.* $\Delta(\Delta_{ads}G) = \Delta_{ads}G(T, P, n + 1) - \Delta_{ads}G(T, P, n)$ as a function of temperature. For the sake of clarity, we report only those processes involved in the formation of the most covered case, the “(120) 2A, 1B, 1(D,E)” one (for a more complete picture, see Figure S26). For the whole $G_S(T, P, \sigma)$ and $\Delta_{ads}G(T, P, n)$ data set, see Table S3). Specifically, the considered processes are:



Selecting one temperature, the total Gibbs free energy of adsorption for the step-by-step formation of the “(120) 2A, 1B, 1(D,E)” case can be easily computed. For example, in the bottom part of Figure 8, we report all the energetics steps at 10 K. At this low T (and P) all formation steps are thermodynamic favored. At temperatures higher than 65 K only the MONO process (formation of the mono-covered case) is thermodynamic favored.

However, we would like to stress that thermodynamic arguments are based on the assumption of equilibrium between gas and solid phase^{79,80} (see SI - Computational details - *Surface free energies and adsorption free energies (Eq. 7 and 8)*) which may not be easily fulfilled in the harsh physical conditions of the ISM, despite the very long lifetimes of MCs.

Conclusions

We investigated at a B3LYP-D*^{49-51,59} level of theory (inclusive of dispersion contribution to the interaction energy) the adsorption of carbon monoxide (CO) on seven different surfaces of forsterite (Mg_2SiO_4), the Mg end-member of olivines, one of the main component of the interstellar core dust

1
2
3 grains in Molecular Clouds (MCs).^{2,119–121} CO is the second most abundant gas-phase molecular
4
5 species discovered in MCs, after H₂.² For these reasons, elucidating the details of the CO interaction
6
7 may be important from an astrochemical point of view. We focused on the (001), (010), (101),
8
9 (110), (111), (120) and (021) surfaces within the slab approach, following the work of Bruno *et al.*
10
11 adopting the same extended Gaussian basis set.^{45,46} For the seven surfaces we provide: i) the
12
13 optimized structures; ii) the surface energies; iii) the Wulff's polyhedron; iv) the electrostatic
14
15 potential maps. The most relevant conclusion from structural and electrostatic features is that
16
17 forsterite surfaces have complex morphologies, which do not allow to adopt the simple charge-
18
19 dipole model to elucidate the CO/surface interactions.^{116,117} That simplified model was commonly
20
21 used in the literature, to interpret the experiments and simulation for CO adsorbed on regular oxide
22
23 surfaces (NaCl, MgO, etc.) or in cation-exchanged zeolites. We revealed the role of the large CO
24
25 quadrupole moment coupled with the subtle geometrical changes in the surface structure induced by
26
27 the dispersion components of the energy to rationalize both energetic of adsorption and CO
28
29 stretching frequency variations with respect to free CO. We found that CO only physisorbs (no
30
31 bond making/breaking with the forsterite surface atoms) on exposed Mg cations of surface
32
33 forsterite, with desorption enthalpy variations at 0 K per CO molecule in the 18-63 kJ mol⁻¹ range,
34
35 depending on the surface and CO coverage. We revealed that dispersion interaction plays a
36
37 fundamental role, accounting, as average, for the 50% of the total energy of interaction. Lateral
38
39 interaction between adsorbed CO is also negligible. For all the optimized structures, we have
40
41 computed the CO stretching frequencies and the related IR spectra. We did not find a robust linear
42
43 correlation between CO interaction energy and the corresponding CO frequency shift with respect
44
45 to the gas phase, as usually reported for CO adsorbed in simpler oxides or cation-exchanged
46
47 zeolites, in the zero-coverage limit.¹¹⁷ We discovered that dispersion interactions have a key role in
48
49 deforming the surface local environment on which the adsorbed CO molecule resides for some of
50
51 the considered surfaces. This, in turn, has a dramatic effect on the CO frequency shift with respect
52
53 to the gas-phase molecule, due to subtle interaction between the CO quadrupole moment and the
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 negative oxygen belonging to the nearest surface SiO₄ moiety. For these reasons, we computed 7
4
5 different adsorption cases, up to a total of 73, in which bathochromic CO frequency shifts occur. Of
6
7 these seven negative shifts, five refer to multi-loading cases (four to maximum coverage cases),
8
9 thus lateral repulsion between adsorbed molecules may explain these negative shifts, and only two
10
11 to mono-covered cases. Although we did not observe a correlation between adsorption energy and
12
13 CO frequency shifts for the above reasons, we found a good linear relation between the CO bond
14
15 lengths and the corresponding frequency shifts: this may serve to guess the CO frequency from its
16
17 geometry only, without resorting to the expensive frequency calculations. We simulated the overall
18
19 IR spectrum of the adsorbed CO as a function of CO coverage, which may be useful to interpret
20
21 experimental data coming from both the laboratory and from deep space observations. The
22
23 thermodynamic treatment of CO adsorption, which assumes equilibrium between the gas and solid
24
25 phases, gives information about the most stable adsorbed phase as a function of T at a given P and
26
27 the considered surface, allowing to disentangle the component of the heat of adsorption in
28
29 temperature programmed desorption and microcalorimetric experiments. However, our
30
31 thermodynamic treatment is based on the assumption that an equilibrium between gas and solid
32
33 phase is always established even in the harsh conditions characterizing MCs, an assumption that
34
35 may not be operative. The present work is also relevant in for astrochemical modelling purposes, in
36
37 which kinetics of CO desorption may be computed using the accurate values provided by the
38
39 Quantum-Mechanical calculations. Thus, we simulate desorption times exploiting our computed
40
41 energetic features. They can be incorporated on such models accounting for dynamical evolution of
42
43 interstellar objects.^{109,110} One limitation of this work is the adoption of perfect periodic forsterite
44
45 surfaces to model the core dust grains. We outline again that, although silicates in interstellar clouds
46
47 are expected to be highly amorphous (5% of crystallinity), other regions such as protostellar and
48
49 circumstellar disks and the interplanetary medium should be characterized by higher percentages of
50
51 crystalline silicates.^{7,8} Thus, our perfectly crystalline models could well represent what happens in
52
53 these regions which dynamically derive from the evolution of proper clouds. In our laboratory, we
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 are already simulating nano-sized forsterite grains, therefore closer to the real grain sizes. It will be
4
5 challenging to compare CO adsorption feature of the extended surfaces with that resulting on the
6
7 nano-clusters in which the amorphous nature is more pronounced than the crystalline surfaces.
8
9

10 **Acknowledgments**

11
12 This work was financially supported by the Italian MIUR (Ministero dell'Istruzione, dell'Università
13 della Ricerca) and from Scuola Normale Superiore (project PRIN 2015, STARS in the CAOS -
14 Simulation Tools for Astrochemical Reactivity and Spectroscopy in the Cyberinfrastructure for
15 Astrochemical Organic Species, cod. 2015F59J3R), and from MINECO (project CTQ2014-60119-
16 P). A.R. is indebted to Programa Banco de Santander for a UAB distinguished postdoctoral research
17 contract.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 **Supporting Information**

28
29 Computational details: basis set, DFT grid, threshold parameters, optimizations and frequency
30 calculations details, derivations of binding energies, desorption enthalpies and (surface) free
31 energies, bulk and slab features (Figures S1-S8), energetic features of CO adsorption on slabs
32 (Figures S9-S16), kinetic analysis for CO desorption (Table S1), IR vibrational analysis for
33 adsorbed CO (Table S2), role of dispersive interaction in some cases (Figures S17-S25),
34 thermodynamic analysis (Table S3, Figure S26).
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 **Authors Information**

44
45 Corresponding author

46
47 Email: piero.ugliengo@unito.it

48
49 ORCID

50
51 Lorenzo Zamirri: 0000-0003-0219-6150

52
53 Marta Corno: 0000-0001-7248-2705

54
55 Albert Rimola: 0000-0002-9637-4554

56
57
58 Piero Ugliengo: 0000-0001-8886-9832
59
60

References

- (1) Endres, C. P.; Schlemmer, S.; Schilke, P.; Stutzki, J.; Müller, H. S. P. The Cologne Database for Molecular Spectroscopy, CDMS, in the Virtual Atomic and Molecular Data Centre, VAMDC. *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **2016**, *327*, 95–104.
- (2) Ehrenfreund, P.; Charnley, S. B. Organic Molecules in the Interstellar Medium, Comets, and Meteorites: A Voyage from Dark Clouds to the Early Earth. *Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **2000**, *38*, 427–483.
- (3) Herbst, E.; van Dishoeck, E. F. Complex Organic Interstellar Molecules. *Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **2009**, *47*, 427–480.
- (4) Zook, H. A. Spacecraft Measurements of the Cosmic Dust Flux. In *Accretion of Extraterrestrial Matter Throughout Earth's History*; Peucker-Ehrenbrink, B., Schmitz, B., Eds.; Springer US: New York, 2001; pp 75–92.
- (5) Belloche, A.; Menten, K. M.; Comito, C.; Müller, H. S. P.; Schilke, P.; Ott, J.; Thorwirth, S.; Hieret, C. Detection of Amino Acetonitrile in Sgr B2(N). *Astron. Astrophys.* **2008**, *492*, 769–773.
- (6) Henning, T. Cosmic Silicates. *Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **2010**, *48*, 21–46.
- (7) Li, A.; Draine, B. T. On Ultrasmall Silicate Grains in the Diffuse Interstellar Medium. *Astrophys. J.* **2001**, *550*, L213–L217.
- (8) Molster, F. J.; Yamamura, I.; Waters, L. B. F. M.; Tielens, A. G. G. M.; de Graauw, T.; de Jong, T.; de Koter, A.; Malfait, K.; van den Ancker, M. E.; van Winckel, H.; et al. Low-Temperature Crystallization of Silicate Dust in Circumstellar Disks. *Nature* **1999**, *401*, 563–565.
- (9) Boogert, A.; Gerakines, P.; Whittet, D. Observations of the Icy Universe. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2015**, *53*, 541–581.
- (10) Ehrenfreund, P.; Schutte, W. A. Infrared Observations of Interstellar Ices. In *Astrochemistry: from Molecular Clouds to Planetary Systems*; Minh, Y. C., van Dishoeck, E. F., Eds.; IAU

- 1
2
3 Symp. 197, ASP, 2000; pp 135–146.
4
5 (11) Allamandola, L. J.; Bernstein, M. A. X. P.; Sandford, S. a; Walker, R. L. Evolution of
6
7
8
9
10 (12) Vasyunin, A. I.; Caselli, P.; Dulieu, F.; Jiménez-Serra, I. Formation of Complex Molecules
11
12 in Prestellar Cores: A Multilayer Approach. *Astrophys. J.* **2017**, *842*, 33.
13
14 (13) Navarro-Ruiz, J.; Sodupe, M.; Ugliengo, P.; Rimola, A. Interstellar H Adsorption and H₂
15
16
17
18
19 Formation on the Crystalline (010) Forsterite Surface: A B3LYP-D2* Periodic Study. *Phys.*
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27 (14) Collings, M. P.; Frankland, V. L.; Lasne, J.; Marchione, D.; Rosu-Finsen, A.; McCoustra, M.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- (15) He, J.; Jing, D.; Vidali, G. Atomic Oxygen Diffusion on and Desorption from Amorphous
Silicate Surfaces. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2014**, *16*, 3493–3500.
- (16) Collings, M. P.; Dever, J. W.; McCoustra, M. R. S. The Interaction of Carbon Monoxide
with Model Astrophysical Surfaces. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2014**, *16*, 3479–3492.
- (17) Collings, M. P.; Anderson, M. a.; Chen, R.; Dever, J. W.; Viti, S.; Williams, D. A.;
McCoustra, M. R. S. A Laboratory Survey of the Thermal Desorption of Astrophysically
Relevant Molecules. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **2004**, *354*, 1133–1140.
- (18) Bertin, M.; Fayolle, E. C.; Romanzin, C.; Öberg, K. I.; Michaut, X.; Moudens, A.; Philippe,
L.; Jeseck, P.; Linnartz, H.; Fillion, J.-H. UV Photodesorption of Interstellar CO Ice
Analogues: From Subsurface Excitation to Surface Desorption. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*
2012, *14*, 9929–9935.
- (19) Scott Smith, R.; Li, Z.; Dohna, Z.; Kay, B. D. Adsorption, Desorption, and Displacement
Kinetics of H₂O and CO₂ on Forsterite, Mg₂SiO₄ (011). *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2014**, *118*, 29091–
29100.
- (20) Moore, M. H.; Ferrante, R. F.; Hudson, R. L.; Stone, J. N. Ammonia–Water Ice Laboratory

- 1
2
3 Studies Relevant to Outer Solar System Surfaces. *Icarus* **2007**, *190*, 260–273.
- 4
5 (21) Noble, J. A.; Congiu, E.; Dulieu, F.; Fraser, H. J. Thermal Desorption Characteristics of CO,
6 O₂ and CO₂ on Non-Porous Water, Crystalline Water and Silicate Surfaces at Submonolayer
7 and Multilayer Coverages. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **2012**, *421*, 768–779.
- 8
9
10
11 (22) Thrower, J. D.; Collings, M. P.; Ruppen, F. J. M.; McCoustra, M. R. S. Laboratory
12 Investigations of the Interaction between Benzene and Bare Silicate Grain Surfaces. *Mon.*
13 *Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **2009**, *394*, 1510–1518.
- 14
15
16
17 (23) Vidali, G.; Roser, J. E.; Manicò, G.; Pirronello, V. Experimental Study of the Formation of
18 Molecular Hydrogen and Carbon Dioxide on Dust Grain Analogues. *Adv. Space Res.* **2004**,
19 *33*, 6–13.
- 20
21
22
23 (24) Escamilla-Roa, E.; Sainz-Díaz, C. I. Amorphous Ammonia-Water Ice Deposited onto
24 Silicate Grain: Effect on Growth of Mantles Ice on Interstellar and Interplanetary Dust. *J.*
25 *Phys. Chem. C* **2014**, *118*, 3554–3563.
- 26
27
28
29 (25) Kerisit, S.; Bylaska, E. J.; Felmy, A. R. Water and Carbon Dioxide Adsorption at Olivine
30 Surfaces. *Chem. Geol.* **2013**, *359*, 81–89.
- 31
32
33
34 (26) Goumans, T. P. M.; Richard, C.; Catlow, C. R. A.; Brown, W. A. Formation of H₂ on an
35 Olivine Surface: A Computational Study. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **2009**, *393*, 1403–1407.
- 36
37
38
39 (27) Goumans, T. P. M.; Bromley, S. T. Hydrogen and Oxygen Adsorption on a Nanosilicate - A
40 Quantum Chemical Study. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **2011**, *414*, 1285–1291.
- 41
42
43
44 (28) Garcia-Gil, S.; Teillet-Billy, D.; Rougeau, N.; Sidis, V. H Atom Adsorption on a Silicate
45 Surface: The (010) Surface of Forsterite. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2013**, *117*, 12612–12621.
- 46
47
48
49 (29) Kerkeni, B.; Bromley, S. T. Competing mechanisms of Catalytic H₂ Formation and
50 Dissociation on Ultrasmall Silicate Nanocluster Dust Grains. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **2013**,
51 *435*, 1486–1492.
- 52
53
54
55 (30) Downing, C. A.; Ahmady, B.; Catlow, C. R. A.; de Leeuw, N. H. The Interaction of
56 Hydrogen with the {010} Surfaces of Mg and Fe Olivine as Models for Interstellar Dust
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Grains: A Density Functional Theory Study. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc., A* **2013**, *371*, 20110592.
- 4
5 (31) Navarro-Ruiz, J.; Ugliengo, P.; Sodupe, M.; Rimola, A. Does Fe²⁺ in Olivine-Based
6
7 Interstellar Grains Play Any Role in the Formation of H₂? Atomistic Insights from DFT
8
9 Periodic Simulations. *Chem. Commun.* **2016**, *52* (42), 6873–6876.
- 10
11 (32) de Leeuw, N. H.; Parker, S. C.; Catlow, C. R. A. Modelling the Effect of Water on the
12
13 Surface Structure and Stability of Forsterite. *Phys. Chem. Miner.* **2000**, *27*, 332–341.
- 14
15 (33) de Leeuw, N. H. Density Functional Theory Calculations of Hydrogen-Containing Defects in
16
17 Forsterite, Periclase, and α -Quartz. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2001**, *105*, 9747–9754.
- 18
19 (34) Stimpfl, M.; Walker, A. M.; Drake, M. J.; de Leeuw, N. H.; Deymier, P. A. An Ångström-
20
21 Sized Window on the Origin of Water in the Inner Solar System: Atomistic Simulation of
22
23 Adsorption of Water on Olivine. *J. Cryst. Growth* **2006**, *294*, 83–95.
- 24
25 (35) Muralidharan, K.; Deymier, P. A.; Stimpfl, M.; de Leeuw, N. H.; Drake, M. J. Origin of
26
27 Water in the inner Solar System: A kinetic Monte Carlo Study of Water Adsorption on
28
29 Forsterite. *Icarus* **2008**, *198*, 400–407.
- 30
31 (36) King, H. E.; Stimpfl, M.; Deymier, P. A.; Drake, M. J.; Catlow, C. R. A.; Putnis, A.; de
32
33 Leeuw, N. H. Computer Simulations of Water Interactions with Low-Coordinated Forsterite
34
35 Surface Sites: Implications for the Origin of Water in the Inner Solar System. *Earth Planet.*
36
37 *Sci. Lett.* **2010**, *300*, 11–18.
- 38
39 (37) de Leeuw, N. H.; Catlow, C. R. A.; King, H. E.; Putnis, A.; Muralidharan, K.; Deymier, P.
40
41 A.; Drake, M. J. Where on Earth has our Water Come From? *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, *46*,
42
43 8923–8925.
- 44
45 (38) Prigobbe, V.; Negreira, A. S.; Wilcox, J. Interaction between Olivine and Water Based on
46
47 Density Functional Theory Calculations. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2013**, *117*, 21203–21216.
- 48
49 (39) Asaduzzaman, A.; Laref, S.; Deymier, P. A.; Runge, K.; Cheng, H.-P.; Muralidharan, K.;
50
51 Drake, M. J.; Deymier, P. A. A First-Principles Characterization of Water Adsorption on
52
53 Forsterite Grains. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc., A* **2013**, *371*, 20110582–20110582.
- 54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 (40) Goumans, T. P. M.; Catlow, C. R. A.; Brown, W. A.; Kastnerzb, J.; Sherwoodb, P. An
4 Embedded Cluster Study of the Formation of Water on Interstellar Dust Grains. *Phys. Chem.*
5 *Chem. Phys.* **2009**, *11*, 5431–5436.
6
7
8
9
10 (41) Watson, G. W.; Kelsey, E. T.; de Leeuw, N. H.; Harris, D. J.; Parker, S. C. Atomistic
11 Simulation of Dislocations, Surfaces and Interfaces in MgO. *J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans.*
12 **1996**, *92*, 433–438.
13
14
15
16 (42) Walker, A. M.; Woodley, S. M.; Slater, B.; Wright, K. A Computational Study of
17 Magnesium Point Defects and Diffusion in Forsterite. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* **2009**, *172*,
18 20–27.
19
20
21
22
23 (43) Ottonello, G.; Civalleri, B.; Ganguly, J.; Vetuschi Zuccolini, M.; Noël, Y. Thermophysical
24 Properties of the α - β - γ Polymorphs of Mg₂SiO₄: A Computational Study. *Phys. Chem.*
25 *Miner.* **2009**, *36*, 87–106.
26
27
28
29
30 (44) Navarro-Ruiz, J.; Ugliengo, P.; Rimola, A.; Sodupe, M. B3LYP Periodic Study of the
31 Physicochemical Properties of the Nonpolar (010) Mg-Pure and Fe-Containing Olivine
32 Surfaces. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2014**, *118*, 5866–5875.
33
34
35
36 (45) Bruno, M.; Massaro, F. R.; Prencipe, M.; Demichelis, R.; De La Pierre, M.; Nestola, F. Ab
37 Initio Calculations of the Main Crystal Surfaces of Forsterite (Mg₂SiO₄): A Preliminary
38 Study to Understand the Nature of Geochemical Processes at the Olivine Interface. *J. Phys.*
39 *Chem. C* **2014**, *118*, 2498–2506.
40
41
42
43
44 (46) Demichelis, R.; Bruno, M.; Massaro, F. R.; Prencipe, M.; De La Pierre, M.; Nestola, F. First-
45 Principle Modelling of Forsterite Surface Properties: Accuracy of Methods and Basis Sets. *J.*
46 *Comput. Chem.* **2015**, *36*, 1439–1445.
47
48
49
50
51 (47) Goumans, T. P. M.; Bromley, S. T. Efficient Nucleation of Stardust Silicates via
52 Heteromolecular Homogeneous Condensation. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **2012**, *420*, 3344–
53 3349.
54
55
56
57
58 (48) Dovesi, R.; Saunders, V. R.; Roetti, C.; Orlando, R.; Pascale, F.; Civalleri, B.; Doll, K.;

- 1
2
3 Harrison, N. M.; Bush, I. J.; D'Arco, P.; et al. Crystal14. User's Manual. Università di
4
5 Torino, Torino 2014.
6
7 (49) Becke, A. D. Density-Functional Exchange-Energy Approximation with Correct Asymptotic
8
9 Behavior. *Phys. Rev. A* **1988**, *38*, 3098–3100.
10
11 (50) Becke, A. D. A New Mixing of Hartree-Fock and Local-Density-Functional Theories. *J.*
12
13 *Chem. Phys.* **1993**, *98*, 1372–1377.
14
15 (51) Lee, C.; Yang, W.; Parr, R. G. Development of the Colle-Salvetti Correlation-Energy
16
17 Formula into a Functional of the Electron Density. *Phys. Rev. B* **1988**, *37*, 785–789.
18
19 (52) Noël, Y.; De La Pierre, M.; Maschio, L.; Rérat, M.; Zicovich-Wilson, C. M.; Dovesi, R.
20
21 Electronic Structure, Dielectric Properties and Infrared Vibrational Spectrum of Fayalite: An
22
23 Ab Initio Simulation with an All-Electron Gaussian Basis Set and the B3LYP Functional. *Int.*
24
25 *J. Quantum Chem.* **2012**, *112*, 2098–2108.
26
27 (53) De La Pierre, M.; Orlando, R.; Maschio, L.; Doll, K.; Ugliengo, P.; Dovesi, R. Performance
28
29 of Six Functionals (LDA, PBE, PBESOL, B3LYP, PBE0, and WC1LYP) in the Simulation
30
31 of Vibrational and Dielectric Properties of Crystalline Compounds. The Case of Forsterite
32
33 Mg_2SiO_4 . *J. Comput. Chem.* **2011**, *32*, 1775–1784.
34
35 (54) Demichelis, R.; Civalleri, B.; Ferrabone, M.; Dovesi, R. On the Performance of Eleven DFT
36
37 Functionals in the Description of the Vibrational Properties of Aluminosilicates. *Int. J.*
38
39 *Quantum Chem.* **2010**, *110*, 406–415.
40
41 (55) Noël, Y.; Catti, M.; D'Arco, P.; Dovesi, R. The Vibrational Frequencies of Forsterite
42
43 Mg_2SiO_4 : An All-Electron Ab Initio Study with the CRYSTAL Code. *Phys. Chem. Miner.*
44
45 **2006**, *33*, 383–393.
46
47 (56) Grimme, S. Accurate Description of van der Waals Complexes by Density Functional Theory
48
49 Including Empirical Corrections. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2004**, *25*, 1463–1473.
50
51 (57) Grimme, S. Semiempirical GGA-Type Density Functional Constructed with a Long-Range
52
53 Dispersion Correction. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2006**, *27*, 1787–1799.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 (58) Grimme, S.; Antony, J.; Ehrlich, S.; Krieg, H. A Consistent and Accurate Ab Initio
4
5 Parametrization of Density Functional Dispersion Correction (DFT-D) for the 94 Elements
6
7 H-Pu. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2010**, *132*, 154104 (1-18).
8
- 9 (59) Civalleri, B.; Zicovich-Wilson, C. M.; Valenzano, L.; Ugliengo, P. B3LYP Augmented with
10
11 an Empirical Dispersion Term (B3LYP-D*) as Applied to Molecular Crystals.
12
13 *CrystEngComm* **2008**, *10*, 405–410.
14
15
- 16 (60) Schäfer, A.; Horn, H.; Ahlrichs, R. Fully Optimized Contracted Gaussian-Basis Sets for
17
18 Atoms Li to Kr. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1992**, *97*, 2571–2577.
19
- 20 (61) Broyden, C. G. The Convergence of a Class of Double-Rank Minimization Algorithms. *J.*
21
22 *Inst. Math. Its Appl.* **1970**, *6*, 76–90.
23
24
- 25 (62) Fletcher, R. A New Approach to Variable Metric Algorithms. *Comput. J.* **1970**, *13*, 317–322.
26
- 27 (63) Goldfarb, D. A Family of Variable Metric Updates Derived by Variational Means. *Math.*
28
29 *Comput.* **1970**, *24*, 23–26.
30
- 31 (64) Shanno, D. F. Conditioning of Quasi-Newton Methods for Function Minimization. *Math.*
32
33 *Comput.* **1970**, *24*, 647–656.
34
35
- 36 (65) Corno, M.; Ugliengo, P. Surface Modeling of Ceramic Biomaterials. In *Encycl.*
37
38 *Nanotechnol.*; Bushan, B., Ed.; Springer Netherlands: Dordrecht, 2015; pp 1–13.
39
- 40 (66) Jansen, H. B.; Ros, P. Non-Empirical Molecular Orbital Calculations on the Protonation of
41
42 Carbon Monoxide. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1969**, *3*, 140–143.
43
44
- 45 (67) Liu, B.; Mclean, A. D. Accurate Calculation of the Attractive Interaction of Two Ground
46
47 State Helium Atoms. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1973**, *59*, 4557–4558.
48
- 49 (68) Boys, S. F.; Bernardi, F. The Calculation of Small Molecular Interactions by the Differences
50
51 of separate total energies. Some procedures with Reduced Errors. *Mol. Phys.* **1970**, *19*, 553–
52
53 566.
54
55
- 56 (69) Pascale, F.; Zicovich-Wilson, C. M.; Lopez Gejo, F.; Civalleri, B.; Orlando, R.; Dovesi, R.
57
58 The Calculation of the Vibrational Frequencies of crystalline Compounds and its
59
60

- 1
2
3 Implementation in the CRYSTAL Code. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2004**, *25*, 888–897.
- 4
5 (70) Zicovich-Wilson, C. M.; Pascale, F.; Roetti, C.; Saunders, V. R.; Orlando, R.; Dovesi, R. The
6
7 Calculation of the Vibration Frequencies of α -Quartz: The Effect of Hamiltonian and Basis
8
9 Set. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2004**, *25*, 1873–1881.
- 10
11 (71) Tosoni, S.; Pascale, F.; Ugliengo, P.; Orlando, R.; Saunders, V. R.; Dovesi, R. Quantum
12
13 Mechanical Calculation of the OH Vibrational Frequency in Crystalline Solids. *Mol. Phys.*
14
15 **2005**, *103*, 2549–2558.
- 16
17 (72) Rimola, A.; Civalleri, B.; Ugliengo, P. Neutral vs Zwitterionic Glycine Forms at the
18
19 Water/Silica Interface: Structure, Energies, and Vibrational Features from B3LYP Periodic
20
21 Simulations. *Langmuir* **2008**, *24*, 14027–14034.
- 22
23 (73) Noël, Y.; Zicovich-Wilson, C. M.; Civalleri, B.; D’Arco, P.; Dovesi, R. Polarization
24
25 Properties of ZnO and BeO: An Ab Initio Study through the Berry Phase and Wannier
26
27 Functions Approaches. *Phys. Rev. B* **2001**, *65*, 0141(11-20).
- 28
29 (74) Williams, D. A. The Interstellar Medium: An Overview. In *Solid State Astrochemistry*;
30
31 Pirronello, V., Krelowski, J., Manicò, G., Eds.; NATO Science Series, 2000; pp 1–20.
- 32
33 (75) Reuter, K.; Scheffler, M. Composition, Structure, And Stability of RuO₂ (110) as a function
34
35 of oxygen pressure. *Phys. Rev. B* **2001**, *65*, 035406(1-11).
- 36
37 (76) Reuter, K.; Scheffler, M. Composition and Structure of the RuO₂ (110) Surface in an O₂ and
38
39 CO Environment: Implications for the Catalytic Formation of CO₂. *Phys. Rev. B* **2003**, *68*,
40
41 045407(1-11).
- 42
43 (77) Digne, M.; Sautet, P.; Raybaud, P.; Euzen, P.; Toulhoat, H. Hydroxyl Groups on γ -Alumina
44
45 Surfaces: A DFT Study. *J. Catal.* **2002**, *211*, 1–5.
- 46
47 (78) Digne, M.; Sautet, P.; Raybaud, P.; Euzen, P.; Toulhoat, H. Use of DFT to Achieve a
48
49 Rational Understanding of Acid-Basic Properties of α -Alumina Surfaces. *J. Catal.* **2004**, *226*,
50
51 54–68.
- 52
53 (79) Bailey, C. L.; Wander, A.; Mukhopadhyay, S.; Searle, B. G. *Ab Initio Surface*
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 *Thermodynamics in Multi Component Environments*; 2007.
- 4
5 (80) Bailey, C. L.; Mukhopadhyay, S.; Wander, A.; Searle, B. G.; Harrison, N. M. First principles
6
7 Characterisation of Aluminium Trifluoride Catalysts. *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **2008**, *117*,
8
9 012004(1-8).
- 10
11 (81) Bailey, C. L.; Mukhopadhyay, S.; Wander, A.; Searle, B. G.; Harrison, N. M. Structure and
12
13 Stability of α -AlF₃ Surfaces. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2009**, *113*, 4976–4983.
- 14
15 (82) Mukhopadhyay, S.; Bailey, C. L.; Wander, A.; Searle, B. G.; Muryn, C. A.; Schroeder, S. L.
16
17 M.; Lindsay, R.; Weiher, N.; Harrison, N. M. Stability of the AlF₃ (0 1 -1 2) surface in H₂O
18
19 and HF Environments: An Investigation Using Hybrid Density Functional Theory and
20
21 Atomistic Thermodynamics. *Surf. Sci.* **2007**, *601*, 4433–4437.
- 22
23 (83) Wander, A.; Bailey, C. L.; Mukhopadhyay, S.; Searle, B. G.; Harrison, N. M. Steps,
24
25 Microfacets and Crystal Morphology: An Ab Initio Study of β -AlF₃ Surfaces. *J. Phys. Chem.*
26
27 **2008**, *112*, 6515–6519.
- 28
29 (84) Rozanska, X.; Delbecq, F.; Sautet, P. Reconstruction and Stability of β -Cristobalite 001, 101,
30
31 and 111 surfaces During Dehydroxylation. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2010**, *12*, 14930–
32
33 14940.
- 34
35 (85) Gierada, M.; Petit, I.; Tielens, F. Hydration in Silica Based Mesoporous Materials: A DFT
36
37 Model. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2016**, *18*, 32962–32972.
- 38
39 (86) Bostrom, D. Single-Crystal X-ray Diffraction Studies of Synthetic Ni–Mg Olivine Solid
40
41 Solution. *Am. Mineral.* **1987**, *72*, 965–972.
- 42
43 (87) Tasker, P. W. The Stability of Ionic Crystal Surfaces. *J. Phys. C Solid State Phys.* **1979**, *12*,
44
45 4977–4984.
- 46
47 (88) Watson, G. W.; Oliver, P. M. Computer Simulation of the Structure and Stability of
48
49 Forsterite Surfaces. *Phys. Chem. Miner.* **1997**, *25*, 70–78.
- 50
51 (89) Escamilla-Roa, E.; Moreno, F. Adsorption of Glycine by Cometary Dust : Astrobiological
52
53 Implications. *Planet. Space Sci.* **2012**, *70*, 1–9.
- 54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 (90) Escamilla-Roa, E.; Moreno, F. Adsorption of Glycine on Cometary Dust Grains : II - Effect
4 of Amorphous Water Ice. *Planet. Space Sci.* **2013**, *75*, 1–10.
5
6
7 (91) Wulff, G. Zur Frage der Geschwindigkeit des Wachstums und der Auflösung der
8 Krystallflächen. *Zeitschrift für Kryst. und Mineral.* **1901**, *34*, 449–530.
9
10 (92) Momma, K.; Izumi, F. VESTA 3 for three-Dimensional Visualization of Crystal, Volumetric
11 and Morphology Data. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **2001**, *44*, 1272–1276.
12
13 (93) Pilme, J.; Silvi, B.; Alikhani, M. E. Structure and Stability of M-CO, M = first-Transition-
14 Row Metal: An application of Density Functional Theory and Topological Approaches. *J.*
15 *Phys. Chem. A* **2003**, *107*, 4506–4514.
16
17 (94) Tiana, D.; Francisco, E.; Blanco, M. A.; Macchi, P.; Sironi, A.; Martín Pendás, A. Bonding
18 in Classical and Nonclassical Transition Metal Carbonyls: The Interacting Quantum Atoms
19 Perspective. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2010**, *6*, 1064–1074.
20
21 (95) Bistoni, G.; Rampino, S.; Scafuri, N.; Ciancaleoni, G.; Zuccaccia, D.; Belpassi, L.;
22 Tarantelli, F. How π Back-Donation Quantitatively Controls the CO Stretching Response in
23 Classical and Non-Classical Metal Carbonyl Complexes. *Chem. Sci.* **2016**, *7*, 1174–1184.
24
25 (96) Goldman, A. S.; Krogh-Jespersen, K. Why Do Cationic Carbon Monoxide Complexes Have
26 High C-O Stretching Force Constants and Short C-O Bonds? Electrostatic Effects, not σ -
27 Bonding. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 12159–12166.
28
29 (97) Lupinetti, A. J.; Fau, S.; Frenking, G.; Strauss, S. H. Theoretical Analysis of the Bonding
30 between CO and Positively Charged Atoms. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **1997**, *101*, 9551–9559.
31
32 (98) Ferrari, A. M.; Ugliengo, P.; Garrone, E. Ab Initio Study of the Adducts of Carbon
33 Monoxide with Alkaline Cations. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1996**, *105*, 4129–4139.
34
35 (99) Scuseria, G. E.; Miller, M. D.; Jensen, F.; Geertsen, J. The Dipole Moment of Carbon
36 Monoxide. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1991**, *94*, 6660–6663 DOI: 10.1063/1.460293.
37
38 (100) Corno, M.; Busco, C.; Bolis, V.; Tosoni, S.; Ugliengo, P. Water Adsorption on the
39 Stoichiometric (001) and (010) Surfaces of Hydroxyapatite: A Periodic B3LYP Study.
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 *Langmuir* **2009**, *25*, 2188–2198.
- 4
5 (101) Delle Piane, M.; Corno, M.; Ugliengo, P. Does Dispersion Dominate over H-Bonds in Drug-
6
7 Surface Interactions? The Case of Silica-Based Materials as Excipients and Drug-Delivery
8
9 Agents. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2013**, *9*, 2404–2415.
- 10
11 (102) Ugliengo, P.; Garrone, E.; Ferrari, A. M.; Zecchina, A.; Otero Areán, C. Quantum Chemical
12
13 Calculations and Experimental Evidence for O-Bonding of Carbon Monoxide to Alkali Metal
14
15 Cations in Zeolites. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **1999**, *103*, 4839–4846.
- 16
17 (103) Bolis, V.; Fubini, B.; Garrone, E.; Giamello, E.; Morterra, C. A Comparison between the
18
19 Lewis Acidity of non-D Metal Cations in Y-Zeolites and on Ionic Surfaces. *Stud. Surf. Sci.*
20
21 *Catal.* **1989**, *48*, 159–166.
- 22
23 (104) Stecher, T. P.; Donn, B. On Graphite and Interstellar Extinction. *Astrophys. J.* **1965**, *142*,
24
25 1681–1683.
- 26
27 (105) Mathis, J. S.; Ruml, W.; Nordsieck, K. H. The Size Distribution of Interstellar Grains.
28
29 *Astrophys. J.* **1977**, *217*, 425–433.
- 30
31 (106) Draine, B. T.; Li, A. Infrared Emission from Interstellar Dust. I. Stochastic Heating of Small
32
33 Grains. *Astrophys. J.* **2001**, *551*, 807–824.
- 34
35 (107) Li, A.; Draine, B. T. Infrared Emission from Interstellar Dust. II. The Diffuse Interstellar
36
37 Medium. *Astrophys. J.* **2001**, *554*, 778–802.
- 38
39 (108) Draine, B. T. Interstellar Dust Grains. *Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **2003**, *41*, 241–289.
- 40
41 (109) Cecchi-Pestellini, C.; Cacciola, A.; Iatì, M. a.; Saija, R.; Borghese, F.; Denti, P.; Giusto, A.;
42
43 Williams, D. a. Stratified Dust Grains in the Interstellar Medium - II. Time-Dependent
44
45 Interstellar Extinction. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **2010**, *408*, 535–541.
- 46
47 (110) Jones, A. P.; Fanciullo, L.; Köhler, M.; Verstraete, L.; Guillet, V.; Bocchio, M.; Ysard, N.
48
49 The Evolution of Amorphous Hydrocarbons in the ISM: Dust Modelling from a New
50
51 Vantage Point. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2013**, *558*, A62–A83.
- 52
53 (111) Larson, R. B. The Evolution of Molecular Clouds. In *The Structure and Content of*
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 *Molecular Clouds. 25 Years of Molecular Radioastronomy. Lecture Notes in Physics*; T.L.,
4 W., K.J., J., Eds.; Springer: Berlin, Heidelberg, 1994; Vol. 439, pp 13–28.
- 5
6
7 (112) Williams, J. P.; Blitz, L.; McKee, C. F. The Structure and Evolution of Molecular Clouds:
8 from Clumps to Cores to the IMF. In *Protostars and Planets IV*; University of Arizona Press:
9 Tucson, 1999.
- 10
11
12 (113) Jin, K.; Salim, D. M.; Federrath, C.; Tasker, E. J.; Habe, A.; Kainulainen, J. T. On the
13 Effective Turbulence Driving Mode of Molecular Clouds Formed in Disc Galaxies. *Mon.*
14 *Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **2017**, *469*, 383–393.
- 15
16
17 (114) Baba, J.; Morokuma-Matsui, K.; Saitoh, T. R. Eventful Evolution of Giant Molecular Clouds
18 in Dynamically Evolving Spiral Arms. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **2017**, *464*, 246–263 DOI:
19 10.1093/mnras/stw2378.
- 20
21
22 (115) Corbelli, E.; Brain, J.; Bandiera, R.; Brouillet, N.; Combes, F.; Druard, C.; Gratier, P.; Mata,
23 J.; Schuster, K.; Xilouris, M.; et al. From Molecules to Young Stellar Clusters: the Star
24 Formation Cycle Across the M33 Disk. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2017**, *601*, A146–A163.
- 25
26
27 (116) Blyholder, G. Molecular Orbital View of Chemisorbed Carbon Monoxide. *J. Phys. Chem. A*
28 **1964**, *68*, 2772–2777.
- 29
30
31 (117) Bolis, V.; Barbaglia, A.; Bordiga, S.; Lamberti, C.; Zecchina, A. Heterogeneous Nonclassical
32 Carbonyls Stabilized in Cu (I) - and Ag (I) - ZSM-5 Zeolites: Thermodynamic and
33 Spectroscopic Features. *J. Chem. Phys. B* **2004**, *108*, 9970–9983.
- 34
35
36 (118) Bolis, V.; Magnacca, G.; Morterra, C. Surface Properties of Catalytic Aluminas Modified by
37 Alkaline-Earth Metal Cations: A Microcalorimetric and IR-Spectroscopic Study. *Res. Chem.*
38 *Intermed.* **1999**, *25*, 25–56.
- 39
40
41 (119) Whittet, D. C. B.; Schutte, W. A.; Tielens, A. G. G. M.; Boogert, A. C. A.; de Graauw, T.;
42 Ehrenfreund, P.; Gerakines, P. A.; Helmich, F. P.; Prusti, T.; van Dishoeck, E. F. An ISO
43 SWS View of Interstellar Ices: First Results. *Astron. Astrophys.* **1996**, *315*, L357–L360.
- 44
45
46 (120) Mathis, J. S. Dust Models with Tight Abundance Constraints. *Astrophys. J.* **1996**, *472*, 643–
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 655.
4

5 (121) Mathis, J. S. The Near-Infrared Interstellar Silicate Bands and Grain Theories. *Astrophys. J.*
6

7 **1998**, 497, 824–832.
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For TOC only

