



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis

This is a pre print version of the following article:	
Original Citation:	
Availability:	
This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1673185	since 2018-08-11T18:14:26Z
Published version:	
DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(17)31287-4	
Terms of use:	
Open Access	
Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or protection by the applicable law.	terms and conditions of said license. Use

(Article begins on next page)

Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis

Michael A. van Es¹, Orla Hardiman^{2,3}, Adriano Chio⁴⁻⁶, Ammar Al-Chalabi⁷, R. Jeroen Pasterkamp⁸, Jan H. Veldink¹, Leonard H. van den Berg¹

Correspondence to:

Prof. dr. Leonard H. van den Berg

Department of Neurology, Brain Center Rudolf Magnus, University Medical Center Utrecht, Heidelberglaan 100, 3584 CX Utrecht, The Netherlands.

Email: l.h.vandenberg@umcutrecht.nl

Abstract

Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) is a neurodegenerative disorder characterized by the progressive loss of motor neurons in the brain and spinal cord. ALS shares pathobiological features with frontotemporal dementia (FTD) and indeed many patients show features of both diseases. It is now clear that many different genes and pathophysiological processes contribute to the disease, and that it will be necessary to understand this heterogeneity to find effective treatments. In this seminar we discuss current clinical and diagnostic approaches as well as scientific advances in the fields of genetics, disease modeling, biomarkers and therapeutic strategies.

Introduction

Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) has traditionally been considered within the neuromuscular domain, despite the presence of selective degeneration of both upper and lower motor neurons. However, over the past decade, compelling clinical, imaging and neuropathologic data have emerged to indicate more extensive involvement of the neuro-axis than previously recognized. Detailed population-based phenotyping has now demonstrated that up to 50% of ALS patients develop cognitive and behavioral impairment, and that about 13% present with concomitant behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia (bv-FTD).^{1,2} Pathology studies have demonstrated protein aggregation of TDP-43 in both ALS and FTD.³

¹Department of Neurology, Brain Center Rudolf Magnus, University Medical Center Utrecht, the Netherlands.

²Academic Unit of Neurology, Trinity Biomedical Sciences Institute, Trinity College, Dublin, Ireland.

³Department of Neurology, Beaumont Hospital, Beaumont, Ireland.

⁴Rita Levi Montalcini Department of Neuroscience, University of Turin, Turin, Italy.

⁵Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria Citta`della Salute e della Scienza di Torino, Turin, Italy.

⁶Neuroscience Institute of Turin (NIT), Turin, Italy.

⁷Maurice Wohl Clinical Neuroscience Institute, King's College London and NIHR Dementia Biomedical Research Unit, London SE5 9RX, UK.

⁸Department of Translational Neuroscience, Brain Center Rudolf Magnus, University Medical Center Utrecht, 3584 CG Utrecht, the Netherlands.

Moreover, the discovery of hexanucleotide repeat expansions in the *Chromosome 9 open reading frame 72* gene (*C9orf72*) as the major genetic cause of ALS and FTD^{4,5} proves beyond doubt that ALS and FTD, in at least some cases, constitute the phenotypic extremes of a spectrum of the same disorder (**Figure 1**), ⁶⁻¹⁰ placing ALS among neurodegenerative rather than neuromuscular diseases.

ALS has been traditionally divided into familial and sporadic forms. Over 30 different genes have been discovered to date in familial ALS, ¹¹ leading to a redefinition of ALS as a clinically and genetically heterogeneous, multi-domain neurodegenerative syndrome of motor and extramotor systems with multiple underlying pathophysiological mechanisms and different clinical sub-phenotypes. ⁹ This re-orientation will require the combined approaches of deepphenotyping, neuroimaging, genomics and neuropathological evaluation if we are to further understand and eventually effectively treat this disease.

Epidemiology

The established incidence rate of ALS in populations of European extraction is 2.6-3.0/100,000, ¹²⁻¹⁵ with an overall lifetime risk of 1:350 for males and 1:400 for females. ^{16,17} Few true population-based studies are available from outside of Europe, but several studies support differences in the prevalence of ALS across African American, American First Nation, Hispanic, and non-Hispanic Caucasian groups. ¹⁸⁻²³ There is also emerging evidence of lower incident and prevalent rates in populations of mixed ancestral origin, with differences in age of onset in admixed populations. 15,24-26 In populations of European ancestry, the median age of onset for sporadic ALS (SALS) is 65, whereas it is approximately 10 years earlier in mixed populations. 13,14,26-28 Although careful evaluation of population-based registers over time has not indicated substantial changes in the adjusted age-specific incidence, it is likely that the increased recognition of the ALS-FTD continuum has led to subtle shifts in the types of patients that are included on registers. This may partly explain the observed upward shift in the incidence of ALS, particularly in later life. 19,29,30 In most population-based studies, ALS is more common in males than females by a ratio of 1.2–1.5 to 1. 12-15 In contrast to Alzheimer's disease, the risk of developing ALS peaks between the ages of 50 and 75, and declines thereafter. Survival is highly variable, but on average patients die from respiratory failure 3-4 years after disease onset. 12-15

Clinical presentations and diagnosis

ALS is characterized by progressive motor deficits that develop over the course of weeks to months. It may affect any voluntary muscle, which means the presentation is heterogeneous ranging from dysarthria to a foot drop (**Table 1**). The motor neurons in the oculomotor nuclei, and Onuf's nucleus however appear to be relatively less vulnerable and therefore eye movement and sphincter control remain unaffected. On neurological examination both upper (UMN) and lower motor neuron (LMN) signs are present (**Figure 1**). The onset of the disease is focal in most patients and over time other regions of the body become affected. The pattern of disease progression (or spread) appears to be both local (within the same region, e.g. from hand to upper arm) as well as to neuro-anatomically linked regions (contra-lateral or rostral-caudal). See the contral-caudal of the caudal of the caudal

The heterogeneous presentation and varying rates of progression render the diagnosis of ALS challenging. There is currently no diagnostic test that definitively demonstrates ALS, and the different differential diagnoses and investigations must therefore be tailored to each individual patient. The El Escorial or Awaji diagnostic criteria (**Table 3a**)^{32,33}, which are primarily used for research purposes, require a history of progressive weakness spreading within a region or to other regions (bulbar, cervical, thoracic or lumbar) with evidence of LMN (clinical or electrophysiological) and UMN (clinical) involvement and the exclusion of other disease processes that may otherwise explain the presentation. ³²⁻³⁵

Patients are also often classified by site/pattern of onset or by degree of UMN/LMN involvement, which may have prognostic value (**Table 2**), but also helps to structure the differential diagnosis (DDx) and the diagnostic work-up (**Table 1**). In **Figure 2** we provide a practical approach to a patient suspected of having ALS and an overview of the most useful ancillary investigations.

ALS variants

When UMN and LMN signs are clearly present in multiple regions, diagnosing ALS is relatively straightforward following exclusion of other possible diagnoses by imaging and neurophysiology. However, at disease onset UMN signs may be predominant and LMN involvement may only become evident at a later stage or vice versa. In these cases the differential diagnosis is more extensive and includes ALS variants, treatable ALS mimics and disorders with a more benign prognosis.³⁷ Recognizing these mimics and variants is therefore critical (**Figure 2**). A detailed discussion on the most common mimics is provided in the **supplementary material**.

The latest revision of the El Escorial diagnostic criteria contains restricted forms of ALS; progressive spinal muscular atrophy (PMA, exclusive LMN degeneration) and primary lateral sclerosis (PLS, exclusive UMN degeneration).³⁵ Whether these are indeed separate diseases or forms of ALS is a longstanding topic of debate, in particular for PMA. Autopsies of PMA patients have shown corticospinal tract involvement,³⁸ PMA patients may carry mutations in ALS-genes,³⁹ may have cognitive involvement⁴⁰ and patients in ALS-pedigrees have pure LMN phenotypes.⁹

Similarly, UMN degeneration, as occurs in PLS leads to progressive and disabling spasticity, but is rarely associated with respiratory failure. Therefore the prognosis of PLS is generally more benign (>10 years to normal lifespan) and important to diagnose. The main challenge is to distinguish between UMN-predominant ALS, which usually progresses to a more generalized form of ALS within 4 years. Pure forms of hereditary spastic paraplegia (HSP) are an important diagnostic alternative to PLS. HSP is usually familial with young onset and is symmetrical, with limited or no involvement of the arms. Progression is usually slower in comparison to PLS and bulbar involvement is rare in HSP. Genetic testing for HSP-genes should be performed and in some cases the correct diagnosis only becomes evident through follow-up. 41-43

Cognitive & behavioral changes in ALS

Cognitive change and behavioral change form an intrinsic component of some forms of ALS. The current approach is to first make a definitive diagnosis of ALS, and to subsequently screen for cognitive and behavioral changes. Studies show that 5-15% of ALS patients also have FTD

and in up to 50% cognitive or behavioral changes within the spectrum of FTD are present. Similarly, 12.5% of bv-FTD patients develop ALS and mild motor neuron involvement is seen in approximately 40% of FTD patients. The diagnostic criteria for FTD apply to ALS patients as they would to any other patient (**Table 3c**). Patients with cognitive or behavioral changes that do not fulfill formal diagnostic criteria can be grouped into 3 categories; ALS with behavioral impairment, ALS with executive impairment and ALS non-executive impairment (**Table 3b**). 49

Many conventional neuropsychological tests require patients to be able to speak and write and therefore may not be suitable for use in ALS. A number of screening tools specifically designed for ALS are now available and include the ALS-Brief Cognitive Assessment (ALS-BCA)⁵⁰, ALS-Cognitive Behavioral Screen (ALS-CBS)⁵¹, ALS-FTD-Q⁵² and Edinburgh Cognitive and Behavioral ALS Screen (ECAS).⁵³ Patients who have abnormal scores on these screening tools should be referred for full neuropsychological assessment.

Apathy and loss of sympathy are the most common behavioral symptoms affecting approximately 10% of all patients.⁵³ Fluency, language, social cognition and executive function are the most commonly affected cognitive domains. Memory impairment may also be found, but rarely exists in isolation.⁵⁴ To date, only a limited number of longitudinal studies have been performed on cognition in ALS. Data suggests that patients without deficits at diagnosis remain unaffected and that cognitive decline in patients with non-executive impairment is slow or perhaps even stable. Executive dysfunction is associated with a more rapid disease progression.⁵⁵

Recognizing cognitive and behavioral impairment is important as it is associated with mutations in specific genes (e.g. *C9orf72*, *TBK1*), more aggressive disease, non-compliance with treatment recommendations and increased care-giver burden. Moreover, as impairment in capacity affects medico-legal decision-making, power of attorney should be discussed early in the disease in those with evidence of cognitive or behavioral changes. ⁵⁶

Pathophysiology

The mechanisms underlying neurodegeneration in ALS are still incompletely understood. A long list of cellular and molecular processes has been implicated and includes mitochondrial dysfunction, axonal transport, toxic protein aggregation, impaired protein degradation (proteasome and/or autophagy), prion-like spreading, excitotoxicity, lack of neurotrophic support from non-neuronal cells, dysfunction of non-neuronal cells, oxidative stress, hypermetabolism, inflammation, defects in RNA metabolism, RNA toxicity and others. Extensive literature providing convincing evidence for each of these mechanisms exists and has been reviewed elsewhere. ^{8,44} It is however possible that defects in some of these pathways are a secondary phenomenon and therefore genetics seems a logical starting point to disentangle this issue.

In 5-15% of patients ALS or FTD runs in the family (FALS)^{9,57,58} and in these cases a single genetic defect is thought to cause disease. Functionally the majority of the 30 genes associated with FALS¹¹ can be grouped into 3 main pathophysiologic processes, namely RNA biology, protein turnover, and axonal transport suggesting that deficits in these pathways are causal.⁸ However, most patients have a negative family history, in which case the disease is (within the

caveats explained below) thought to be sporadic and to be caused by a combination of environmental and genetic risk factors.¹⁷ In recent years multiple genetic risk factors for SALS have been identified. The search for environmental risk factors has however been less fruitful. Many case-control studies of exposure risks have been confounded by methodological errors and low power. High incidences of ALS have been recorded in Guam and the Kii Peninsula (Japan) and associations with cyanobacterial neurotoxins (BMAA) have been proposed, but never confirmed.⁵⁹⁻⁶¹

Clustering of ALS has also been reported among Italian soccer players and American football players ^{62,63}, and a number of detailed population-based, case-control studies have sought an association between intensive physical exercise and ALS, but with conflicting results. ^{64,65} It is possible the factors that determine an athletic disposition confer risk, rather than the actual exercise itself ("born to run" rather than "running to death"). Other environmental factors that have been associated with ALS include smoking, exposure to pesticides and organic toxins, and electromagnetic radiation. ¹⁷ With the exception of smoking ⁶⁶, definitive evidence of risk remains to be established and will require large unbiased population-based case-control studies for confirmation.

The high degree of variability in phenotype and family history as well as the large number of genes, pathways and environmental risk factors that have been implicated seem to imply different mechanisms underlie neurodegeneration in different patients.

In fact, data from a recent study suggests that deficits in multiple pathways are required to develop ALS.⁶⁷ Interrogation of population-based registers demonstrated a log-linear relationship between incidence and age of onset, which similar to cancer, is consistent with a multistep model of disease. The number of steps required to cause disease can be estimated from the model as 6 steps. In this model each step represents a distinct pathophysiological process of which the last is the disease trigger. These findings emphasize the need to study genetic, environmental and lifestyle risk factors.⁶⁷ Although the multi-step model is still only a hypothesis, it is consistent with many features of ALS including, phenotypic variability, lateonset, non-penetrance, genetic pleiotropy and why the disease process cascades across the motor system rapidly after onset.

Although multiple mechanisms appear to be at play, abnormal aggregation of TDP-43 is the key pathological feature seen in nearly all ALS patients (with the exception of most *SOD1* and *FUS* cases), which suggests that altered function of this protein plays a crucial role in the disease. Several hypotheses surround this topic. TDP-43 normally localizes to the nucleus where it has a function in transcription. In ALS TDP-43 is misfolded and aggregates in the cytosol and is thus mislocalized. Therefore nuclear loss-of-function resulting in transcription deficits has been suggested. TDP-43 aggregates may also acquire toxic properties through increased hydrophobicity and sequestration of essential cellular components, generation of oxidative species and proteasome inhibition.

Interestingly, there is mounting evidence that these aggregates might spread through a self-perpetuating or prion-like mechanism. Misfolded TDP-43, SOD1 and FUS are capable of forcing native protein into the misfolded configuration, which is perhaps aggravated under certain conditions (cell stress). These newly misfolded proteins (seeds) are in turn capable of misfolding

their native counterparts hereby initiating a cascade. ⁶⁹⁻⁷¹ For SOD1 it has been shown that these seeds can spread to neighboring cells and within neuroanatomical pathways, which could be reflective of the clinically observed spread of disease. ⁷¹ Recently, cell-to-cell transmission via exosomes of dipeptide repeat proteins (DPRs) linked to *C9orf72* has also been reported. ⁷²

Recently another mechanism for disease spread was proposed. Several viral infections can cause motor neuron dysfunction (HIV, polio), but there is no evidence that ALS is due to viral infections. However, a substantial part of the human genome (8%) is comprised of viral sequence, which are remnants of infections that occurred in our distant ancestors and incorporated into the germline. The vast majority of this viral sequence has been rendered defective through the accumulation of non-sense mutations. Initial studies showed reverse transcriptase activity in the serum of ALS patients, and this was shown to be likely from activated endogenous retrovirus rather than acquired infection. ⁷³⁻⁷⁵

A candidate virus was recently identified in a study demonstrating expression of the human endogenous retrovirus K (HERV-K) in the cortical and spinal neurons in a subpopulation of ALS patients, but not in healthy controls. The HERV-K genome encodes 3 genes, including one that encodes an envelope protein (*env*) which is selectively toxic to motor neurons in mouse models. Strikingly, expression of HERV-K genes is regulated by TDP-43. This raises the possibility that changes in TDP-43 may lead to the reactivation of inherited retroviral sequences resulting in the expression of HERV-K env and subsequent neurodegeneration.⁷⁶ Based on these data a clinical trial with HERV-K suppression has been initiated in the US (NCT02437110), and in Australia (NCT02868580).

Both the prion hypothesis and the viral reactivation theory pose interesting explanations for the manner in which the disease spreads after onset and could be the final step in the multistep model.

Genetics of ALS

In approximately 60-80% of FALS patients a gene mutation of large effect (presumably pathogenic) can be identified, of which *C9orf72* (40%), *SOD1* (20%), *FUS* 1-5% and *TARBDP* (1-5%) are the most common.¹¹

The genetics of SALS are less well understood. Twin studies have shown that the genetic contribution to SALS is considerable (61% (38%-78%)). The latest genome-wide association study in ALS analyzed the genetic architecture of the disease by partitioning the explained heritability by allele frequency, and demonstrated that the remaining genetic risk factors are likely disproportionately to be rare variants (0.1–5%) with intermediate to large effects. This implies that ALS is an oligogenic disease, which is distinct from many common disorders and neuropsychiatric conditions such as schizophrenia, which are highly polygenic (due to the additive effect of many common genetic polymorphisms with small effects). An oligogenic model is consistent with the observation of incomplete penetrance in many ALS pedigrees, the reduced rate of ALS in admixed populations, and the presence of multiple ALS associated genes co-segregating with disease in some kindreds. Heritability can also be obscured in small pedigrees (death resulting from other causes before the onset of ALS, loss of contact, etc.) causing familial cases to present as "apparently" sporadic. This is reinforced by the finding

that approximately 10% of sporadic ALS cases have mutations in known FALS-genes and that first-degree relatives of sporadic patients are at an 8-fold higher risk of developing disease. Rigid dichotomizing ALS into familial and sporadic disease can now be considered an over-simplification, as all of the evidence points towards similarities in genetic architectures between familial and sporadic disease.

Moreover, it is also increasingly recognized that ALS genes may be pleiotropic, meaning that they are involved in multiple phenotypes. The most established example of pleiotropy is *C9orf72*, which is clearly linked to ALS and FTD, but also to PLS, PMA, Parkinsonism, Huntington phenocopies, Alzheimer's disease, corticobasal degeneration, schizophrenia, psychosis and bipolar disorder. Other examples of pleiotropy are repeat expansions in *ATXN2* gene (in which pure-CAG expansions cause spinocerebellar ataxia type 2, but intermediate-length interrupted repeats are risk factors for ALS and parkinsonism). Sinilarly, rare genetic variation in *ANG* is a risk factor for ALS and parkinsonism and mutations in *hnRNPA1*, *hnRNPA2b1*, *SQSTM1* and *VCP* have been reported in pedigrees with a heterogeneous phentoype (also known as multisystem proteinopathy) that includes ALS, FTD, IBM and Paget's disease of the bone. Other genes, including *Matr3*, *CHCHD10* and *SQSTM1* have also been implicated in myopathies.

Considering the genetic architecture of ALS, it is likely that whole genome sequencing of large numbers of patients and controls will be required to fully understand the genetics of this disease. An international whole genome-sequencing project was initiated in 2012 with the goal of sequencing the complete genomes of 15,000 ALS cases and 7,500 controls (www.ProjectMine.com) and is estimated to be completed by the end of 2017.

Notwithstanding the advances in our understanding of ALS from a genomic perspective, substantial dilemmas remain from a clinical perspective. While some ALS mutations are directly pathogenic, this has not been demonstrated for many reported variants. For instance, over 150 mutations have been reported in *SOD1*, but irrefutable evidence for direct pathogenicity is only available for a few mutations (e.g. p.A5V, homozygous p.D91A). Similarly, initial studies suggested that *C9orf72* is fully penetrant by the age of 80, but there is now a growing number of reports of asymptomatic *C9orf72* expansion carriers of advanced age, and penetrance estimation using statistical methods suggests this mutation has only moderate penetrance (http://alsod.iop.kcl.ac.uk/misc/penetrance.aspx).

Non-penetrance and genetic pleiotropy in ALS is incompletely understood and *C9orf72* perhaps best illustrates the complexity of this topic. Disease severity and phenotype appear to be dependent on the size of the repeat expansion (which may vary between cell types within an individual (mosaicism)), methylation status of the promotor and the expansion itself as well as the presence of genetic variation in other genes (e.g. *TMEM106b*, *ATXN2* and others). ^{10,98-102} Providing genetic counseling to ALS patients and their relatives is becoming increasingly challenging. There is a growing realization among patients in the Internet era that their disease may be genetic and the "right to know" is a basic principle of human clinical genetics recognized by most international regulatory statements and legislation. ^{103,104} However, given the complexity of the subject, opinions regarding genetic testing differ. ¹⁰⁵⁻¹⁰⁷ Recently a group of neurologists and clinical geneticists proposed guidelines for genetic testing in ALS, in which they suggest that genetic testing should be offered to all patients with a first or second degree relative with ALS or FTD and the option of genetic testing should be discussed

with all other patients.¹⁰⁵ Counseling should be provided by individuals with an up-to-date understanding of ALS genetics, who are willing to take responsibility for the interpretation of the results. It would seem advisable to limit testing to those genes for which there is strong evidence for causality; *C9orf72*, *TARBDP*, *FUS* and *SOD1*, and the local geographic distribution of known causative mutations should be taken into account.¹⁰⁸

From genes to biology

For a long time SOD1 was the only known gene for ALS and transgenic SOD1-mice were the only available ALS disease model. 109 Although this model recapitulates several aspects of ALS, it is probably not representative for most forms of ALS because pathological TDP-43 accumulation is not present (Table 4). This may be a possible explanation why translation of therapeutic approaches developed in this model to human patients has been difficult. 110 Recent genetic discoveries and advances in molecular biology have facilitated the generation of multiple novel ALS models for different genes (e.g. TARDBP, FUS, C9orf72, VAPB, VCP) in different species (*C. elegans, Drosophila*, zebrafish, mouse, rat). 109,111-115 Similar to *SOD1*-mice these new models often do not display all features characteristic for the ALS patients carrying corresponding mutations, but they have proven to be extremely valuable for understanding the effects of gene mutations at the molecular, cellular and systems levels. With ongoing discovery of ALS genes and the development of powerful genome editing such as CRISPR/CAS many more ALS models are expected to be generated in the coming years. In addition, to animal models, stem cell-based cellular models have become increasingly important in ALS research. The ability to convert somatic cells from humans, e.g. skin fibroblasts, into induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) has revolutionized research into human disease. 116 Several studies have already used iPSC technology to generate patient-derived motor neurons and employed these cultures to detect cellular defects such as impaired neurotransmission, cell death and altered neuronal morphology. 117 Given the fact that the (epi-)genetic makeup of patients is highly preserved in iPSC-generated human motor neurons these cultures are viewed as promising models for future screening of therapeutic compounds. 117

Using these models and novel techniques considerable advances have been made in the understanding of mechanisms underpinning *C9orf72* pathophysiology. Three different, but not mutually exclusive, mechanisms have now been proposed. The first proposed mechanism is haplo-insufficiency, which is supported by decreased C9ORF72 mRNA and protein expression in brain tissue of patients. Secondly, as in other repeat expansion disorders, C9ORF72 RNA may accumulate in so-called RNA foci, which traps other RNA molecules or RNA binding proteins and thereby affects RNA biology. Thirdly, ATG-independent RAN translation has been shown. Based on the frame and the direction in which the repeat is read, it codes for several short dipeptide repeat proteins (DPRs), which appear to have toxic properties. Interestingly, DPRs can be measured in CSF and may be a useful biomarker either diagnostically or as an outcome measure in clinical trials.

Current and future treatments

Presently, Riluzole is the only widely available drug that has been shown to prolong survival in ALS. The most recent Cochrane review showed that there is a 9% gain in the probability of

surviving one year for patients on Riluzole compared to the placebo-group, corresponding to an increase in median survival from 11.8 to 14.8 months. 120,121

Recently, Edaravone (a free radical scavenger) was approved for the treatment of ALS in Japan, but has not been approved elsewhere. The results from the trial (NCT01492686) demonstrating efficacy however remain to be published. Preliminary reports suggest that Edaravone significantly slows functional decline over a 24-week period compared to placebo in a subcohort of patients characterized by recent disease onset and relative preservation of respiratory function.

Nuedexta has been shown to be effective for treating pseudobulbar affect (uncontrollable laughing or crying) in ALS and there are anecdotal reports of improvement in speech and swallowing. ¹²² It is not available outside of the USA, although initially marketing authorization for Europe was granted. It was however redrawn at the request of the marketing authorization holder, apparently based on commercial considerations.

Differences in drug availability and inconsistencies in decisions from regulatory agencies are very frustrating to ALS patients, because they feel they are being denied potentially effective treatments. Harmonization of criteria for approval of treatments for lethal diseases, such as ALS, between regulatory agencies would therefore be highly desirable.

Precision Medicine

We now recognize ALS as a syndrome rather than a single disease entity and that therefore different pathophysiological mechanisms may be at play in different subtypes. While these mechanisms may converge on shared final common pathways resulting in recognizable clinical sub-phenotypes, it is likely that different subtypes of ALS will respond to different disease modifying therapies. The greatest challenge in ALS will be to unravel the heterogeneity and recategorize patients according to (genetic) subgroup or most relevant pathophysiological feature (**Figure 3**), which will facilitate the development of targeted treatments and move the field towards precision medicine.

This would dramatically alter the way trials are conducted. Inclusion criteria would be based on genetics or other biomarkers. This will require large-scale international harmonization of subtype classification to permit the enrolment of sufficient numbers of patients for such trials. The first steps towards precision medicine in ALS have already been taken, as a successful phase 1 study with *SOD1* antisense oligonucleotides has been performed and a new phase 1 trial with a potentially more effective oligo is under way. Many research groups are working on gene-silencing therapies for *C9orf72* through antisense oligonucleotides, viral delivery or si-RNA and small molecules. Initially C9ORF72 knockout models did not demonstrate any phenotype, suggesting that this would be a safe strategy. However, recent studies have demonstrated that the complete knockdown of C9ORF72 has profound consequences and leads to severe immune system dysfunction and neoplastic events. Therefore, it seems critical that selective knock-down of the expanded allele is achieved.

A recent study in Alzheimer's disease showed that the monoclonal antibody, Aducanumab, selective targets aggregated A β , lowers soluble and insoluble A β in a dose-dependent manner and that monthly intravenous infusions slow memory decline in patients with prodromal or mild AD. ¹²⁷ Based on this approach, one could contemplate targeting TDP-43 in a similar

fashion. TDP-43 levels are however tightly regulated and overexpression and knock-down could be detrimental and therefore not as straightforward as may seem.

Pioneering work is also being undertaken with the transplantation of neural stem cells in the spinal cord of ALS patients and can be done safely. Results from efficacy trials are eagerly awaited. 128,129

Symptomatic Therapies

In the absence of effective pharmacological treatments, symptomatic interventions and supportive care remain the cornerstone of ALS-management. Several of these symptomatic therapies are associated with a clear survival benefit, whereas others provide symptom relief and therefore positively influence quality of life.

Symptomatic therapies with survival benefit:

- Studies have shown that care is most effective and positively impacts survival when delivered by a multi-disciplinary team, including physiotherapists, occupational therapists, speech therapists, respiratory physicians, dieticians, gastroenterologists, social workers, family physicians, neurologists and rehabilitation physicians. 133,134
- Weight loss is commonly seen in ALS as the disease progresses and is multifactorial in nature (loss of muscle tissue, hypermetabolism, difficulties eating (swallowing or shortness of breath) or decreased appetite). Multiple studies have shown that prevention of malnutrition improves survival and quality of life. Guidelines recommend patients to undergo gastrostomy to enable enteral feeding and hereby sustain nutrition and medication intake when 10% of body weight has been lost. However, a recent study showed that the majority of patients who had lost more than 10% of their premorbid body weight failed to regain weight after following gastrostomy and even continued to lose weight. The authors therefore suggest that placement of a gastrostomy tube is most effective an earlier stage (5% weight loss).(REF: Stavroulakis, T. et al. The impact of gastrostomy in motor neurone disease: challenges and benefits from a patient and carer perspective. BMJ Support. Palliat. Care 6, 52–59 (2016))
- Non-invasive ventilation (NIV) prolongs survival with an effect size greater than riluzole. ¹³⁶ The use NIV at night (and during daytime if required) is associated an increase in median survival of 7 months and also improves quality of life. ¹³⁶ However, NIV-use requires significant effort from patients, carers and respiratory physicians and is therefore not be feasible for all patients, particularly those with cognitive impairment or severe bulbar problems. Results from a cohort study including 929 patients suggest NIV also benefits survival in bulbar-onset patients and that a trial of NIV should be offered to all patients, even when likely to be poorly tolerated. ¹³⁷ Considering the challenges associated with NIV, alternative strategies for maintaining/supporting respiration are desirable. Diaphragm pacing or phrenic stimulation was approved as treatment for respiratory failure based on two studies showing that implantation appears safe and better survival in implanted patients with NIV compared to historical controls on NIV only (37.5 versus 21.4 months respectively). ^{138,139} However, two recent randomized-controlled trials contradict this finding. In fact, both studies observed a significant excess of mortality in the implanted patients with NIV compared to those on NIV-only. These findings caused both trials to be stopped prematurely. Although the mechanism underlying a potentially

harmful effect of diaphragm pacing is not clear, the use of diaphragmatic pacing **is NOT (?)** recommended as a routine treatment for patients with ALS in respiratory failure. ^{140,141}

Treatments with symptomatic benefit:

Over the course of the disease many signs and symptom may develop such as excess salivation, emotional lability, dropped head, frozen shoulder, pain, cramps, spasticity and others. Expert consensus guidelines for the management of these aspects of ALS are available and have been reviewed elsewhere.

The importance of biomarkers

The identification of reliable biomarkers is a high priority in ALS. ¹⁴⁵ Diagnostic biomarkers could reduce diagnostic delay (presently 9-12 months) and would facilitate early initiation of treatment, which is likely when it is most effective in a neurodegenerative disease.

- Current measures of disease progression: The primary outcome measure in ALS trials is survival and/or rate of decline on the ALS Functional Rating Scale—revised (ALS-FRS-R). 146,147 Although robust, a considerable amount of time needs to pass before these outcome measures become informative, resulting in lengthy and expensive trials. Early and reliable biomarkers could potentially accelerate trials and therefore the quest for an effective treatment for ALS. Decline in muscle strength and respiratory function have extensively been studied as markers of disease progression. There are several ways to measure muscle strength 148-150, of which hand held dynamometry is probably the preferred method in the field presently as it can be performed rapidly, is cheap, quantitative, reliable and a reproducible measure of decline in ALS. Similarly different measures exist for respiratory function, including vital capacity, sniff nasal inspiratory pressure and maximal inspiratory pressure. Differences of opinion exist on which is the best measure and all are commonly used.

Although muscle strength and respiratory function are informative markers, they do not represent early changes in ALS. Clinical weakness only becomes apparent after a substantial number of motor neurons are lost and is initially compensated for by reinnervation. Respiratory dysfunction develops late in the disease in most patients. Therefore more accurate biomarkers of disease progression are urgently needed. Moreover, considering ALS affects lower and upper motor neurons, but also other brain areas (e.g. frontal and temporal lobes), different biomarkers might be required for different aspects of the disease. 152

- LMN biomarkers: LMN loss prior to the development of clinical weakness can be assessed using different electrodiagnostic methods. ¹⁵³ Nerve conduction studies show that the compound muscle action potential (CMAP) amplitude declines over time and is sensitive to disease progression. The CMAP amplitude is however also influenced by reinnervation and therefore does not allow quantification of LMN loss. Motor unit estimation (MUNE) ¹⁵⁴ and Motor Unit Index (MUNIX) ¹⁵⁵ are neurophysiological methods that aim to estimate the number of remaining motor units innervating a muscle by dividing the maximal CMAP by the average surface single motor unit action potential or from the inference pattern on surface EMG and maximal CMAP at different grades of voluntary muscle contraction. The advantage of MUNE and MUNIX is that they provide an estimation of the number of motor units, although it must be noted that these results are highly correlated with the CMAP. Other potential biomarkers for LMN loss under investigation include nerve excitability, electrical impedance myography and

muscle ultra-sound. 156-158 All techniques have their own pros and cons with regards to reproducibility, availability and complexity. Currently there is no single preferred method. - UMN biomarkers: Transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) is a non-imaging based technique that can be used to measure UMN dysfunction. A magnetic coil is used to excite neurons in the underlying motor cortex and subsequently motor evoked potentials are recorded over a contralateral hand muscle. TMS improves the sensitivity of ALS diagnosis, but has the disadvantage that it is technically challenging in patients with severe hand muscle atrophy. 165 - Imaging biomarkers: UMN loss may be difficult to detect clinically as it may be masked by LMN loss and validated clinical UMN scores are lacking. Other measures are therefore desirable. Different imaging techniques have been widely applied to study UMN loss. MRI is able to distinguish ALS cases from mimics and healthy controls at group level, and some studies suggest that cortical thinning of the primary motor cortex is a sensitive diagnostic marker at individual patient level. 159,160 A recent meta-analysis on DT MRI diagnostic accuracy in ALS reported a pooled sensitivity of 65% and specificity of 67%. ¹⁶¹Also resting state fMRI studies in ALS seem to have a good sensitivity and specificity when assessed by machine learning methodologies. 162

A role of 18 F-FDG-PET as a diagnostic biomarker was suggested by two recent large studies that showed motor and extra-motor hypometabolism as well as of hypermetabolism in brainstem and medial temporal cortex with an overall accuracy in discriminating ALS patients from controls of 93%. 163,164

- Wet biomarkers: Blood or CSF biomarkers would be equally attractive and at present the most interesting candidates are neurofilaments, which are major structural proteins in neurons that are released following neuronal damage. CSF neurofilament light chain (NfL) and phosphorylated heavy chain levels have a good sensitivity (77% and 83%) and specificity (85% and 77%) in differentiating ALS from mimics and show moderate correlation with progression. Serum NfL have >90% sensitivity and specificity in separating ALS patients from healthy controls, but data on comparison with ALS mimics are not available. Moreover, the immunoreactivity to plasma neurofilament light chain changes are related to ALS clinical staging, indicating that this biomarker may be also sensitive to disease progression.
- Biomarkers of disease progression: Longitudinal measurements of cognition and behaviour could potentially detect changes over time and therefore serve as a marker for spread of the disease to other brain areas (frontal and temporal lobes). Considering aggregation of TDP-43 is the pathological hallmark of ALS, it stands to the reason that being able to image this in-vivo, as is possible with amyloid and Tau, could be a powerful biomarker for all aspects of the disease. At present this is not possible, but efforts are underway.

Although all of the techniques mentioned show promise, they all require equipment, time, expertise and/or substantial resources. The ideal biomarker should be possible to measure simply and reliably. A potential approach to this end is to assess disease progression through staging, which would allow the use of time from one stage to another instead of time to death as an outcome measure. Several staging systems exist and indeed correlate with existing measures such as the ALS-FRS-R. ^{167,168}

Conclusions

We now recognize that ALS is a heterogeneous syndrome that shares pathobiological features with FTD. The rapid pace of gene discovery has facilitated the study of the molecular biology of ALS. There are now many different genetic models of ALS and studying these has uncovered many new potential therapeutic targets. There is a sense of optimism in the field that this progress will lead to the so urgently needed treatment for ALS.

Search strategy and selection criteria

We searched Pubmed and Google Scholar (1966, to April 2016) and the Cochrane Library using the search terms "amyotrophic lateral sclerosis" or "motor neuron disease" or "frontotemporal dementia" in combination with "diagnosis", "epidemiology", "frontotemporal dementia", "imaging", "neurophysiology", "management", "genetics", "biomarkers", "treatment", "C9orf72", and "neuroprotection". Further articles were included from reference lists and review articles. Abstracts and reports from relevant meetings were also included. The final reference list was generated on the basis of originality and relevance to the topics covered in this Seminar. Emphasis was placed on publications from the past 5 years, but did not exclude commonly referenced and highly regarded older publications.

Contributors

MAvE & LHvdB did the literature review, coordinated authors' writing, revision, and editing, wrote the first draft, prepared figures, and finalized the manuscript. OH did the literature search and contributed to sections on epidemiology, cognition and was involved in drafting the revision and editing of the final version of the manuscript. AC did the literature search and contributed to sections on epidemiology, cognition and biomarkers. AAC did the literature search and contributed to the sections on viruses, genetics and biomarkers. RJP and JHV did the literature search and contributed to sections on genetics, from genes to biology and the *C9orf72* panel. All authors were involved in critical revision of the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

MAvE serves on the Motor Neurone Disease Association biomedical research advisory panel, has consulted for Biogen and has received travel grants from Baxalta and funding sources include the Netherlands Organization for Health Research and Development (Veni scheme), The Thierry Latran Foundation, the ALS Foundation Netherlands LHvdB received travel grants and consultancy fees from Baxalta, and serves on the advisory board for Biogen and Cytokinetics. AAC serves on the MND Association genetics and epidemiology data access committee, and has consulted for Biogen, OrionPharma, Cytokinetics and Mitsubishi-Tanabe. AC serves on the advisory board for Biogen, Cytokinetics and Mitsubishi Tanabe.

Research leading to these results has received funding from the European Community's Health Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013). This study was supported by ZonMW under the frame of E-Rare-2, the ERA Net for Research on Rare Diseases (PYRAMID). This is an EU Joint Programme—Neurodegenerative Disease Research (JPND) project (STRENGTH, SOPHIA). The project is supported through the following funding organizations under the aegis of JPND: UK, Medical Research Council and Economic and Social Research Council; Ireland, Health Research Board; Netherlands, ZonMw; Italy, Ministry of Health and Ministry of Education, University and Research; France, L'Agence nationale pour la recherché, ALSA, Research Motor Neurone,

Science Foundation Ireland. This work was in part supported by the Italian Ministry of Health (Ricerca Sanitaria Finalizzata 2010, grant RF-2010-2309849), the Joint Programme - Neurodegenerative Disease Research (Italian Ministry of Education and University) (Sophia, Strength and ALS-Care Projects), the Fondazione Vialli e Mauro per la SLA Onlus, and the Associazione Piemontese per l'Assistenza alla SLA (APASLA), Torino, Italy. AAC receives salary support from the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Dementia Biomedical Research Unit at South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and King's College Hospital, London.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Ruben Schmidt and Lisanne Scholtens for their help designing the illustrations.

References

- Phukan J, Elamin M, Bede P, et al. The syndrome of cognitive impairment in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a population-based study. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatr* 2012; **83**: 102–8.
- 2 Elamin M, Bede P, Byrne S, *et al.* Cognitive changes predict functional decline in ALS: a population-based longitudinal study. *Neurology* 2013; **80**: 1590–7.
- Neumann M, Sampathu DM, Kwong LK, *et al.* Ubiquitinated TDP-43 in frontotemporal lobar degeneration and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Science* 2006; **314**: 130–3.
- DeJesus-Hernandez M, Mackenzie IR, Boeve BF, et al. Expanded GGGGCC hexanucleotide repeat in noncoding region of C9ORF72 causes chromosome 9p-linked FTD and ALS. *Neuron* 2011; **72**: 245–56.
- Renton AE, Majounie E, Waite A, et al. A hexanucleotide repeat expansion in C9ORF72 is the cause of chromosome 9p21-linked ALS-FTD. *Neuron* 2011; **72**: 257–68.
- Burrell JR, Halliday GM, Kril JJ, et al. The frontotemporal dementia-motor neuron disease continuum. *Lancet* 2016; published online March 14. DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(16)00737-6.
- 7 Andersen PM. ALS and FTD: two sides of the same coin? *Lancet Neurol* 2013; **12**: 937–8.
- 8 Robberecht W, Philips T. The changing scene of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2013; **14**: 248–64.
- 9 Swinnen B, Robberecht W. The phenotypic variability of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2014; **10**: 661–70.
- 10 Cooper-Knock J, Shaw PJ, Kirby J. The widening spectrum of C9ORF72-related disease; genotype/phenotype correlations and potential modifiers of clinical phenotype. *Acta Neuropathol* 2014; **127**: 333–45.
- 11 Renton AE, Chio A, Traynor BJ. State of play in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis genetics. *Nat*

- Neurosci 2014; **17**: 17–23.
- Huisman MHB, de Jong SW, van Doormaal PTC, et al. Population based epidemiology of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis using capture-recapture methodology. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatr* 2011; **82**: 1165–70.
- Logroscino G, Traynor BJ, Hardiman O, et al. Incidence of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis in Europe. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatr 2010; **81**: 385–90.
- O'Toole O, Traynor BJ, Brennan P, et al. Epidemiology and clinical features of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis in Ireland between 1995 and 2004. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatr* 2008; **79**: 30–2.
- 15 Wittie M, Nelson LM, Usher S, Ward K, Benatar M. Utility of capture-recapture methodology to assess completeness of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis case ascertainment. *Neuroepidemiology* 2013; **40**: 133–41.
- Johnston CA, Stanton BR, Turner MR, et al. Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis in an urban setting: a population based study of inner city London. *J Neurol* 2006; **253**: 1642–3.
- 17 Al-Chalabi A, Hardiman O. The epidemiology of ALS: a conspiracy of genes, environment and time. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2013; **9**: 617–28.
- Gordon PH, Mehal JM, Holman RC, Rowland LP, Rowland AS, Cheek JE. Incidence of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis among American Indians and Alaska natives. *JAMA Neurol* 2013; **70**: 476–80.
- Noonan CW, White MC, Thurman D, Wong L-Y. Temporal and geographic variation in United States motor neuron disease mortality, 1969-1998. *Neurology* 2005; **64**: 1215–21.
- Rechtman L, Jordan H, Wagner L, Horton DK, Kaye W. Racial and ethnic differences among amyotrophic lateral sclerosis cases in the United States. *Amyotroph Lateral Scler Frontotemporal Degener* 2015; **16**: 65–71.
- 21 Mehal JM, Holman RC, Schonberger LB, Sejvar JJ. Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis/motor neuron disease deaths in the United States, 1999-2009. *Amyotroph Lateral Scler Frontotemporal Degener* 2013; **14**: 346–52.
- Roberts AL, Johnson NJ, Chen JT, Cudkowicz ME, Weisskopf MG. Race/ethnicity, socioeconomic status, and ALS mortality in the United States. *Neurology* 2016; published online Oct 14. DOI:10.1212/WNL.000000000003298.
- Zaldivar T, Gutierrez J, Lara G, Carbonara M, Logroscino G, Hardiman O. Reduced frequency of ALS in an ethnically mixed population: a population-based mortality study. *Neurology* 2009; **72**: 1640–5.

- Bucheli M, Andino A, Montalvo M, *et al.* Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: analysis of ALS cases in a predominantly admixed population of Ecuador. *Amyotroph Lateral Scler Frontotemporal Degener* 2014; **15**: 106–13.
- 25 Cronin S, Hardiman O, Traynor BJ. Ethnic variation in the incidence of ALS: a systematic review. *Neurology* 2007; **68**: 1002–7.
- 26 Chio A, Logroscino G, Traynor BJ, et al. Global epidemiology of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a systematic review of the published literature. *Neuroepidemiology* 2013; **41**: 118–30.
- Gil J, Vazquez MC, Ketzoian C, et al. Prognosis of ALS: comparing data from the Limousin referral centre, France, and a Uruguayan population. *Amyotroph Lateral Scler* 2009; **10**: 355–60.
- Vázquez MC, Ketzoián C, Legnani C, et al. Incidence and prevalence of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis in Uruguay: a population-based study. *Neuroepidemiology* 2008; **30**: 105–11.
- Georgoulopoulou E, Vinceti M, Bonvicini F, et al. Changing incidence and subtypes of ALS in Modena, Italy: A 10-years prospective study. Amyotroph Lateral Scler 2011; 12: 451–7.
- Doi Y, Yokoyama T, Tango T, Takahashi K, Fujimoto K, Nakano I. Temporal trends and geographic clusters of mortality from amyotrophic lateral sclerosis in Japan, 1995-2004. *J Neurol Sci* 2010; **298**: 78–84.
- Ravits JM, La Spada AR. ALS motor phenotype heterogeneity, focality, and spread: deconstructing motor neuron degeneration. *Neurology* 2009; **73**: 805–11.
- Brooks BR, Miller RG, Swash M, Munsat TL, World Federation of Neurology Research Group on Motor Neuron Diseases. El Escorial revisited: revised criteria for the diagnosis of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. 2000: 293–9.
- Geevasinga N, Menon P, Scherman DB, *et al.* Diagnostic criteria in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: A multicenter prospective study. *Neurology* 2016; **87**: 684–90.
- Agosta F, Al-Chalabi A, Filippi M, et al. The El Escorial criteria: strengths and weaknesses. Amyotroph Lateral Scler Frontotemporal Degener 2015; **16**: 1–7.
- Ludolph A, Drory V, Hardiman O, et al. A revision of the El Escorial criteria 2015. Amyotroph Lateral Scler Frontotemporal Degener 2015; **16**: 291–2.
- Al-Chalabi A, Hardiman O, Kiernan MC, Chio A, Rix-Brooks B, van den Berg LH. Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: moving towards a new classification system. *Lancet Neurol* 2016; **15**: 1182–94.

- 37 Kiernan MC, Vucic S, Cheah BC, *et al.* Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Lancet* 2011; **377**: 942–55.
- Ince PG, Evans J, Knopp M, *et al.* Corticospinal tract degeneration in the progressive muscular atrophy variant of ALS. *Neurology* 2003; **60**: 1252–8.
- van Blitterswijk M, Vlam L, van Es MA, et al. Genetic overlap between apparently sporadic motor neuron diseases. *PLoS ONE* 2012; **7**: e48983.
- 40 Raaphorst J, de Visser M, van Tol M-J, et al. Cognitive dysfunction in lower motor neuron disease: executive and memory deficits in progressive muscular atrophy. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatr* 2011; **82**: 170–5.
- Statland JM, Barohn RJ, Dimachkie MM, Floeter MK, Mitsumoto H. Primary Lateral Sclerosis. *Neurol Clin* 2015; **33**: 749–60.
- Pringle CE, Hudson AJ, Munoz DG, Kiernan JA, Brown WF, Ebers GC. Primary lateral sclerosis. Clinical features, neuropathology and diagnostic criteria. *Brain* 1992; **115** (**Pt 2**): 495–520.
- 43 Singer MA, Statland JM, Wolfe GI, Barohn RJ. Primary lateral sclerosis. *Muscle Nerve* 2007; **35**: 291–302.
- Turner MR, Hardiman O, Benatar M, et al. Controversies and priorities in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Lancet Neurol* 2013; **12**: 310–22.
- Burrell JR, Kiernan MC, Vucic S, Hodges JR. Motor neuron dysfunction in frontotemporal dementia. *Brain* 2011; **134**: 2582–94.
- 46 Bang J, Spina S, Miller BL. Frontotemporal dementia. *Lancet* 2015; **386**: 1672–82.
- 47 Rascovsky K, Hodges JR, Knopman D, *et al.* Sensitivity of revised diagnostic criteria for the behavioural variant of frontotemporal dementia. *Brain* 2011; **134**: 2456–77.
- 48 Gorno-Tempini ML, Hillis AE, Weintraub S, et al. Classification of primary progressive aphasia and its variants. 2011: 1006–14.
- 49 Strong MJ, Grace GM, Freedman M, *et al.* Consensus criteria for the diagnosis of frontotemporal cognitive and behavioural syndromes in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Amyotroph Lateral Scler* 2009; **10**: 131–46.
- Hu WT, Shelnutt M, Wilson A, et al. Behavior matters--cognitive predictors of survival in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *PLoS ONE* 2013; **8**: e57584.
- Woolley SC, York MK, Moore DH, et al. Detecting frontotemporal dysfunction in ALS: utility of the ALS Cognitive Behavioral Screen (ALS-CBS). Amyotroph Lateral Scler 2010;

- **11**: 303–11.
- Raaphorst J, Beeldman E, Schmand B, et al. The ALS-FTD-Q: a new screening tool for behavioral disturbances in ALS. *Neurology* 2012; **79**: 1377–83.
- Abrahams S, Newton J, Niven E, Foley J, Bak TH. Screening for cognition and behaviour changes in ALS. *Amyotroph Lateral Scler Frontotemporal Degener* 2014; **15**: 9–14.
- Beeldman E, Raaphorst J, Klein Twennaar M, de Visser M, Schmand BA, de Haan RJ. The cognitive profile of ALS: a systematic review and meta-analysis update. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatr* 2016; **87**: 611–9.
- Elamin M, Phukan J, Bede P, et al. Executive dysfunction is a negative prognostic indicator in patients with ALS without dementia. *Neurology* 2011; **76**: 1263–9.
- Khin Khin E, Minor D, Holloway A, Pelleg A. Decisional Capacity in Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis. *J Am Acad Psychiatry Law* 2015; **43**: 210–7.
- Byrne S, Walsh C, Lynch C, et al. Rate of familial amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatr* 2011; **82**: 623–7.
- Wingo TS, Cutler DJ, Yarab N, Kelly CM, Glass JD. The heritability of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis in a clinically ascertained United States research registry. *PLoS ONE* 2011; **6**: e27985.
- Arnold A, Edgren DC, Palladino VS. Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis; fifty cases observed on Guam. *J Nerv Ment Dis* 1953; **117**: 135–9.
- 60 Koerner DR. Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis on Guam. *Ann Intern Med* 1952; **37**: 1204–20.
- Mulder DW, Kurland LT, Iriarte LL. Neurologic diseases on the island of Guam. *U S Armed Forces Med J* 1954; **5**: 1724–39.
- Lehman EJ, Hein MJ, Baron SL, Gersic CM. Neurodegenerative causes of death among retired National Football League players. *Neurology* 2012; **79**: 1970–4.
- Chio A, Benzi G, Dossena M, Mutani R, Mora G. Severely increased risk of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis among Italian professional football players. *Brain* 2005; **128**: 472–6.
- 64 Harwood CA, Westgate K, Gunstone S, et al. Long-term physical activity: an exogenous risk factor for sporadic amyotrophic lateral sclerosis? *Amyotroph Lateral Scler Frontotemporal Degener* 2016; : 1–8.
- Lacorte E, Ferrigno L, Leoncini E, Corbo M, Boccia S, Vanacore N. Physical activity, and physical activity related to sports, leisure and occupational activity as risk factors for ALS: A systematic review. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2016; **66**: 61–79.

- Armon C. Smoking may be considered an established risk factor for sporadic ALS. *Neurology* 2009; **73**: 1693–8.
- Al-Chalabi A, Calvo A, Chio A, *et al.* Analysis of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis as a multistep process: a population-based modelling study. *Lancet Neurol* 2014; **13**: 1108–13.
- Blokhuis AM, Groen EJN, Koppers M, van den Berg LH, Pasterkamp RJ. Protein aggregation in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Acta Neuropathol* 2013; **125**: 777–94.
- Polymenidou M, Cleveland DW. The seeds of neurodegeneration: prion-like spreading in ALS. *Cell* 2011; **147**: 498–508.
- Pokrishevsky E, Grad LI, Cashman NR. TDP-43 or FUS-induced misfolded human wild-type SOD1 can propagate intercellularly in a prion-like fashion. *Sci Rep* 2016; **6**: 22155.
- Grad LI, Yerbury JJ, Turner BJ, et al. Intercellular propagated misfolding of wild-type Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase occurs via exosome-dependent and -independent mechanisms. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2014; **111**: 3620–5.
- Westergard T, Jensen BK, Wen X, et al. Cell-to-Cell Transmission of Dipeptide Repeat Proteins Linked to C9orf72-ALS/FTD. Cell Rep 2016; **17**: 645–52.
- Andrews WD, Tuke PW, Al-Chalabi A, et al. Detection of reverse transcriptase activity in the serum of patients with motor neurone disease. *J Med Virol* 2000; **61**: 527–32.
- 74 Steele AJ, Al-Chalabi A, Ferrante K, Cudkowicz ME, Brown RH, Garson JA. Detection of serum reverse transcriptase activity in patients with ALS and unaffected blood relatives. *Neurology* 2005; **64**: 454–8.
- McCormick AL, Brown RH, Cudkowicz ME, Al-Chalabi A, Garson JA. Quantification of reverse transcriptase in ALS and elimination of a novel retroviral candidate. *Neurology* 2008; **70**: 278–83.
- Li W, Lee M-H, Henderson L, et al. Human endogenous retrovirus-K contributes to motor neuron disease. *Sci Transl Med* 2015; **7**: 307ra153.
- Graham AJ, Macdonald AM, Hawkes CH. British motor neuron disease twin study. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatr* 1997; **62**: 562–9.
- Al-Chalabi A, Fang F, Hanby MF, et al. An estimate of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis heritability using twin data. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatr* 2010; **81**: 1324–6.
- van Rheenen W, Shatunov A, Dekker AM, et al. Genome-wide association analyses identify new risk variants and the genetic architecture of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Nat Genet* 2016; **48**: 1043–8.

- Loh P-R, Bhatia G, Gusev A, et al. Contrasting genetic architectures of schizophrenia and other complex diseases using fast variance-components analysis. *Nat Genet* 2015; **47**: 1385–92.
- van Blitterswijk M, van Es MA, Hennekam EAM, et al. Evidence for an oligogenic basis of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Hum Mol Genet* 2012; **21**: 3776–84.
- van Blitterswijk M, van Es MA, Koppers M, et al. VAPB and C9orf72 mutations in 1 familial amyotrophic lateral sclerosis patient. *Neurobiol Aging* 2012; **33**: 2950.e1–4.
- Byrne S, Elamin M, Bede P, Hardiman O. Absence of consensus in diagnostic criteria for familial neurodegenerative diseases. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatr* 2012; **83**: 365–7.
- Al-Chalabi A, Lewis CM. Modelling the effects of penetrance and family size on rates of sporadic and familial disease. *Hum Hered* 2011; **71**: 281–8.
- Hanby MF, Scott KM, Scotton W, et al. The risk to relatives of patients with sporadic amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Brain* 2011; **134**: 3454–7.
- 86 Elden AC, Kim H-J, Hart MP, et al. Ataxin-2 intermediate-length polyglutamine expansions are associated with increased risk for ALS. *Nature* 2010; **466**: 1069–75.
- 87 Ross OA, Rutherford NJ, Baker M, et al. Ataxin-2 repeat-length variation and neurodegeneration. Hum Mol Genet 2011; **20**: 3207–12.
- Greenway MJ, Andersen PM, Russ C, et al. ANG mutations segregate with familial and 'sporadic' amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Nat Genet* 2006; **38**: 411–3.
- van Es MA, Schelhaas HJ, van Vught PWJ, et al. Angiogenin variants in Parkinson disease and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Ann Neurol* 2011; **70**: 964–73.
- 90 Kim HJ, Kim NC, Wang Y-D, et al. Mutations in prion-like domains in hnRNPA2B1 and hnRNPA1 cause multisystem proteinopathy and ALS. *Nature* 2013; **495**: 467–73.
- Johnson JO, Mandrioli J, Benatar M, et al. Exome sequencing reveals VCP mutations as a cause of familial ALS. *Neuron* 2010; **68**: 857–64.
- 92 Fecto F, Yan J, Vemula SP, et al. SQSTM1 mutations in familial and sporadic amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Arch Neurol* 2011; **68**: 1440–6.
- Bucelli RC, Arhzaouy K, Pestronk A, et al. SQSTM1 splice site mutation in distal myopathy with rimmed vacuoles. *Neurology* 2015; **85**: 665–74.
- Johnson JO, Glynn SM, Gibbs JR, *et al.* Mutations in the CHCHD10 gene are a common cause of familial amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Brain* 2014; **137**: e311.

- Johnson JO, Pioro EP, Boehringer A, et al. Mutations in the Matrin 3 gene cause familial amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Nat Neurosci* 2014; **17**: 664–6.
- Andersen PM, Nilsson P, Ala-Hurula V, et al. Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis associated with homozygosity for an Asp90Ala mutation in CuZn-superoxide dismutase. *Nat Genet* 1995; **10**: 61–6.
- 97 Cudkowicz ME, McKenna-Yasek D, Sapp PE, et al. Epidemiology of mutations in superoxide dismutase in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Ann Neurol* 1997; **41**: 210–21.
- van Blitterswijk M, Mullen B, Heckman MG, et al. Ataxin-2 as potential disease modifier in C9ORF72 expansion carriers. *Neurobiol Aging* 2014; **35**: 2421.e13–7.
- 99 Dekker AM, Seelen M, van Doormaal PTC, et al. Large-scale screening in sporadic amyotrophic lateral sclerosis identifies genetic modifiers in C9orf72 repeat carriers. *Neurobiol Aging* 2016; **39**: 220.e9–15.
- 100 Belzil VV, Bauer PO, Prudencio M, *et al.* Reduced C9orf72 gene expression in c9FTD/ALS is caused by histone trimethylation, an epigenetic event detectable in blood. *Acta Neuropathol* 2013; **126**: 895–905.
- van Blitterswijk M, DeJesus-Hernandez M, Niemantsverdriet E, et al. Association between repeat sizes and clinical and pathological characteristics in carriers of C9ORF72 repeat expansions (Xpansize-72): a cross-sectional cohort study. *Lancet Neurol* 2013; **12**: 978–88.
- Nicholson AM, Rademakers R. What we know about TMEM106B in neurodegeneration. *Acta Neuropathol* 2016; published online Aug 20. DOI:10.1007/s00401-016-1610-9.
- 103 Rahman B, Meiser B, Sachdev P, et al. To know or not to know: an update of the literature on the psychological and behavioral impact of genetic testing for Alzheimer disease risk. *Genet Test Mol Biomarkers* 2012; **16**: 935–42.
- Nicolás P. Ethical and juridical issues of genetic testing: a review of the international regulation. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol* 2009; **69**: 98–107.
- 105 Chio A, Battistini S, Calvo A, Caponnetto C. Genetic counselling in ALS: facts, uncertainties and clinical suggestions. *J Neurol* 2014.
- Talbot K. Should all patients with ALS have genetic testing? *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatr* 2014; **85**: 475.
- 107 Traynor BJ. A roadmap for genetic testing in ALS. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatr* 2014; **85**: 476.
- 108 Chio A, Battistini S, Calvo A, et al. Genetic counselling in ALS: facts, uncertainties and

- clinical suggestions. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatr 2014; **85**: 478–85.
- 109 Picher-Martel V, Valdmanis PN, Gould PV, Julien J-P, Dupré N. From animal models to human disease: a genetic approach for personalized medicine in ALS. *Acta Neuropathol Commun* 2016; **4**: 70.
- 110 Ittner LM, Halliday GM, Kril JJ, Götz J, Hodges JR, Kiernan MC. FTD and ALS--translating mouse studies into clinical trials. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2015; **11**: 360–6.
- 111 McGoldrick P, Joyce PI, Fisher EMC, Greensmith L. Rodent models of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2013; **1832**: 1421–36.
- Philips T, Rothstein JD. Rodent Models of Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis. *Curr Protoc Pharmacol* 2015; **69**: 5.67.1–21.
- 113 Casci I, Pandey UB. A fruitful endeavor: modeling ALS in the fruit fly. *Brain Res* 2015; **1607**: 47–74.
- 114 Robinson R. A yeast model for understanding ALS: fast, cheap, and easy to control. *PLoS Biol* 2011; **9**: e1001053.
- Babin PJ, Goizet C, Raldúa D. Zebrafish models of human motor neuron diseases: advantages and limitations. *Prog Neurobiol* 2014; **118**: 36–58.
- Dolmetsch R, Geschwind DH. The human brain in a dish: the promise of iPSC-derived neurons. *Cell* 2011; **145**: 831–4.
- Sances S, Bruijn LI, Chandran S, et al. Modeling ALS with motor neurons derived from human induced pluripotent stem cells. *Nat Neurosci* 2016; **16**: 542–53.
- 2u T, Gibbens B, Doty NS, et al. Non-ATG-initiated translation directed by microsatellite expansions. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2011; **108**: 260–5.
- Gendron TF, Bieniek KF, Zhang Y-J, et al. Antisense transcripts of the expanded C9ORF72 hexanucleotide repeat form nuclear RNA foci and undergo repeat-associated non-ATG translation in c9FTD/ALS. Acta Neuropathol 2013; **126**: 829–44.
- Miller RG, Mitchell JD, Moore DH. Riluzole for amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS)/motor neuron disease (MND). *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012; **3**: CD001447.
- 121 Lacomblez L, Bensimon G, Leigh PN, Guillet P, Meininger V. Dose-ranging study of riluzole in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis/Riluzole Study Group II. *Lancet* 1996; 347: 1425–31.
- Brooks BR, Thisted RA, Appel SH, et al. Treatment of pseudobulbar affect in ALS with dextromethorphan/quinidine: a randomized trial. *Neurology* 2004; **63**: 1364–70.

- Mitsumoto H, Brooks BR, Silani V. Clinical trials in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: why so many negative trials and how can trials be improved? *Lancet Neurol* 2014; **13**: 1127–38.
- Miller TM, Pestronk A, David W, et al. An antisense oligonucleotide against SOD1 delivered intrathecally for patients with SOD1 familial amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a phase 1, randomised, first-in-man study. *Lancet Neurol* 2013; **12**: 435–42.
- Koppers M, Blokhuis AM, Westeneng H-J, et al. C9orf72 ablation in mice does not cause motor neuron degeneration or motor deficits. *Ann Neurol* 2015; **78**: 426–38.
- Sudria-Lopez E, Koppers M, de Wit M, *et al.* Full ablation of C9orf72 in mice causes immune system-related pathology and neoplastic events but no motor neuron defects. *Acta Neuropathol* 2016; published online May 20. DOI:10.1007/s00401-016-1581-x.
- Sevigny J, Chiao P, Bussière T, *et al.* The antibody aducanumab reduces Aβ plaques in Alzheimer's disease. *Nature* 2016; **537**: 50–6.
- Glass JD, Hertzberg VS, Boulis NM, et al. Transplantation of spinal cord-derived neural stem cells for ALS: Analysis of phase 1 and 2 trials. *Neurology* 2016; **87**: 392–400.
- Atassi N, Beghi E, Blanquer M, et al. Intraspinal stem cell transplantation for amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: Ready for efficacy clinical trials? *Cytotherapy* 2016; published online Oct 6. DOI:10.1016/j.jcyt.2016.08.005.
- Miller RG, Jackson CE, Kasarskis EJ, et al. Practice parameter update: the care of the patient with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: multidisciplinary care, symptom management, and cognitive/behavioral impairment (an evidence-based review): report of the Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. Neurology. 2009; 73: 1227–33.
- Andersen PM, Borasio GD, Dengler R, et al. Good practice in the management of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: clinical guidelines. An evidence-based review with good practice points. EALSC Working Group. Amyotroph Lateral Scler. 2007; 8: 195–213.
- Leigh PN, Abrahams S, Al-Chalabi A, et al. The management of motor neurone disease. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatr 2003; **74 Suppl 4**: iv32–iv47.
- 133 Rooney J, Byrne S, Heverin M, et al. A multidisciplinary clinic approach improves survival in ALS: a comparative study of ALS in Ireland and Northern Ireland. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatr* 2015; **86**: 496–501.
- 134 Van den Berg JP, Kalmijn S, Lindeman E, et al. Multidisciplinary ALS care improves quality of life in patients with ALS. *Neurology* 2005; **65**: 1264–7.
- Reich-Slotky R, Andrews J, Cheng B, et al. Body mass index (BMI) as predictor of ALSFRS-R score decline in ALS patients. *Amyotroph Lateral Scler Frontotemporal Degener* 2013; **14**:

212-6.

- Bourke SC, Tomlinson M, Williams TL, Bullock RE, Shaw PJ, Gibson GJ. Effects of non-invasive ventilation on survival and quality of life in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet Neurology* 2006; **5**: 140–7.
- National Clinical Guideline Centre (UK). Motor Neurone Disease: Assessment and Management. 2016; published online Feb.
- Onders RP, Elmo M, Khansarinia S, et al. Complete worldwide operative experience in laparoscopic diaphragm pacing: results and differences in spinal cord injured patients and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis patients. Surg Endosc 2009; 23: 1433–40.
- 139 US Food and Drug Administration. Summary of safety and probable benefit (SSPB). 2011. http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf10/H100006b.pdf
- DiPALS Writing Committee, DiPALS Study Group Collaborators, McDermott CJ, et al. Safety and efficacy of diaphragm pacing in patients with respiratory insufficiency due to amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (DiPALS): a multicentre, open-label, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Neurol* 2015; **14**: 883–92.
- 141 Gonzalez-Bermejo J, Morélot-Panzini C, Tanguy M-L, et al. Early diaphragm pacing in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (RespiStimALS): a randomised controlled triple-blind trial. *Lancet Neurol* 2016; **15**: 1217–27.
- 142 Mitsumoto H. Non-invasive ventilation and diaphragmatic pacing in ALS. *Lancet Neurol* 2015; **14**: 868–9.
- 143 Miller RG, Lewis RA. Diaphragm pacing in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Lancet Neurol* 2016; **15**: 542.
- 144 Wijkstra PJ, Hazenberg A, van der Aa H. Diaphragm pacing in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Lancet Neurol* 2016; **15**: 542–3.
- Bowser R, Turner MR, Shefner J. Biomarkers in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: opportunities and limitations. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2011; **7**: 631–8.
- 146 Kimura F, Fujimura C, Ishida S, et al. Progression rate of ALSFRS-R at time of diagnosis predicts survival time in ALS. *Neurology* 2006; **66**: 265–7.
- 147 Franchignoni F, Mora G, Giordano A, Volanti P, Chio A. Evidence of multidimensionality in the ALSFRS-R Scale: a critical appraisal on its measurement properties using Rasch analysis. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatr* 2013; **84**: 1340–5.
- Andres PL, Skerry LM, Munsat TL, et al. Validation of a new strength measurement device for amyotrophic lateral sclerosis clinical trials. *Muscle Nerve* 2012; **45**: 81–5.

- 149 Great Lakes ALS Study Group. A comparison of muscle strength testing techniques in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Neurology* 2003; **61**: 1503–7.
- 150 Beck M, Giess R, Würffel W, Magnus T, Ochs G, Toyka KV. Comparison of maximal voluntary isometric contraction and Drachman's hand-held dynamometry in evaluating patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Muscle Nerve* 1999; **22**: 1265–70.
- Shefner JM, Liu D, Leitner ML, et al. Quantitative strength testing in ALS clinical trials. Neurology 2016; 87: 617–24.
- 152 Simon NG, Turner MR, Vucic S, et al. Quantifying disease progression in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Ann Neurol* 2014; **76**: 643–57.
- de Carvalho M, Swash M. Lower motor neuron dysfunction in ALS. *Clin Neurophysiol* 2016; **127**: 2670–81.
- Shefner JM, Watson ML, Simionescu L, et al. Multipoint incremental motor unit number estimation as an outcome measure in ALS. *Neurology* 2011; **77**: 235–41.
- Neuwirth C, Nandedkar S, Stålberg E, Weber M. Motor unit number index (MUNIX): a novel neurophysiological technique to follow disease progression in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Muscle Nerve* 2010; **42**: 379–84.
- Rutkove SB, Caress JB, Cartwright MS, et al. Electrical impedance myography correlates with standard measures of ALS severity. *Muscle Nerve* 2014; **49**: 441–3.
- Grimm A, Prell T, Décard BF, *et al*. Muscle ultrasonography as an additional diagnostic tool for the diagnosis of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Clin Neurophysiol* 2015; **126**: 820–7.
- de Carvalho M. Ultrasound in ALS: is it a sound method? *Clin Neurophysiol* 2015; **126**: 651–2.
- 159 Verstraete E, Veldink JH, Hendrikse J, Schelhaas HJ, van den Heuvel MP, van den Berg LH. Structural MRI reveals cortical thinning in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatr* 2012; **83**: 383–8.
- Agosta F, Valsasina P, Riva N, et al. The cortical signature of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. PLoS ONE 2012; **7**: e42816.
- 161 Foerster BR, Dwamena BA, Petrou M, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of diffusion tensor imaging in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a systematic review and individual patient data meta-analysis. Acad Radiol 2013; 20: 1099–106.
- Fekete T, Zach N, Mujica-Parodi LR, Turner MR. Multiple kernel learning captures a systems-level functional connectivity biomarker signature in amyotrophic lateral

- sclerosis. PLoS ONE 2013; 8: e85190.
- Pagani M, Chio A, Valentini MC, et al. Functional pattern of brain FDG-PET in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Neurology* 2014; **83**: 1067–74.
- Van Laere K, Vanhee A, Verschueren J, et al. Value of 18fluorodeoxyglucose-positronemission tomography in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a prospective study. *JAMA Neurol* 2014; **71**: 553–61.
- Vucic S, Ziemann U, Eisen A, Hallett M, Kiernan MC. Transcranial magnetic stimulation and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: pathophysiological insights. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatr* 2013; **84**: 1161–70.
- Brier MR, Gordon B, Friedrichsen K, et al. Tau and Aβ imaging, CSF measures, and cognition in Alzheimer's disease. *Sci Transl Med* 2016; **8**: 338ra66.
- 167 Tramacere I, Dalla Bella E, Chio A, et al. The MITOS system predicts long-term survival in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatr* 2015; **86**: 1180–5.
- Roche JC, Rojas-Garcia R, Scott KM, *et al.* A proposed staging system for amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Brain* 2012; **135**: 847–52.
- Steinacker P, Feneberg E, Weishaupt J, et al. Neurofilaments in the diagnosis of motoneuron diseases: a prospective study on 455 patients. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatr* 2016; **87**: 12–20.
- Gaiottino J, Norgren N, Dobson R, et al. Increased neurofilament light chain blood levels in neurodegenerative neurological diseases. *PLoS ONE* 2013; **8**: e75091.
- Puentes F, Topping J, Kuhle J, et al. Immune reactivity to neurofilament proteins in the clinical staging of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatr* 2014; **85**: 274–8.