

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

**Coping strategies and perceived social support in fibromyalgia syndrome: Relationship with alexithymia**

**This is the author's manuscript**

*Original Citation:*

*Availability:*

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1655010> since 2018-09-18T16:55:03Z

*Published version:*

DOI:10.1111/sjop.12405

*Terms of use:*

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

1       **Coping strategies and perceived social support in fibromyalgia**  
2                               **syndrome: relationship with alexithymia**

3

4    Marialaura Di Tella<sup>a</sup>, Valentina Tesio<sup>a\*</sup>, Ada Ghiggia<sup>a</sup>, Annunziata  
5    Romeo<sup>a</sup>, Fabrizio Colonna<sup>c</sup>, Enrico Fusaro<sup>c</sup>, Giuliano Carlo  
6    Geminiani<sup>a,c</sup>, Maria Bruzzone<sup>c</sup>, Riccardo Torta<sup>b,c</sup> and Lorys Castelli<sup>a</sup>

7

8    <sup>a</sup> Department of Psychology, University of Turin,  
9    Via Po 14, 10123 Turin, Italy

10   <sup>b</sup> Department of Neuroscience, University of Turin,  
11   Via Cherasco 15, 10126 Turin, Italy

12   <sup>c</sup> A.O.U. ‘*Città della Salute e della Scienza*’ Hospital,  
13   Corso Bramante 88/90, 10126 Turin, Italy

14

15

16

17   \*Corresponding author:

18   Valentina Tesio, Department of Psychology, University of Turin,  
19   Via Po 14, 10123 Turin, Italy

20   E-mail: [valentina.tesio@unito.it](mailto:valentina.tesio@unito.it)

21 **Acknowledgements**

22 The authors would like to thank S. Cooper for editing and proofreading the article.

23

24 **Disclosure statement**

25 All authors declare no conflict of interest.

26

27 **Funding**

28 Lorys Castelli was supported by University of Turin grants (“Ricerca scientifica

29 finanziata dall’Università” Linea Generale; [www.unito.it](http://www.unito.it)) and the CRT

30 Foundation project “Efficacia della psicoterapia breve nel trattamento di pazienti

31 con Sindrome Fibromialgica”. The funders had no role in the study design, data

32 collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

33 **Abstract**

34 Fibromyalgia (FM) is a chronic pain syndrome characterised by high levels of  
35 psychological distress and alexithymia, a personality disposition affecting  
36 emotional self-awareness. The main aim of the present study was to investigate  
37 for the first time the relationship between alexithymia and coping strategies on  
38 one hand, and alexithymia and perceived social support on the other, in a sample  
39 of FM patients. To reach this aim, 153 FM patients completed a battery of tests  
40 assessing coping strategies, perceived social support, alexithymia, psychological  
41 distress and pain intensity. Four regression analyses were performed to assess  
42 whether alexithymia was still a significant predictor of coping strategies and  
43 perceived social support, after controlling for psychological distress. High levels  
44 of both psychological distress and alexithymia were found in our sample of FM  
45 patients. Regarding coping strategies, FM patients reported higher scores on  
46 problem-focused coping, with respect to the other two coping strategies. The  
47 regression analyses showed that the externally-oriented thinking factor of  
48 alexithymia significantly explained both problem- and emotion-focused coping,  
49 while the difficulty-describing feelings factor of alexithymia proved to be a  
50 significant predictor of perceived social support. Only the variance of  
51 dysfunctional coping ceased to be uniquely explained by alexithymia (difficulty  
52 identifying feelings factor), after controlling for psychological distress,  
53 particularly anxiety. These results highlight a negative relationship between  
54 alexithymia and both the use of effective coping strategies and the levels of  
55 perceived social support in FM patients. An adequate assessment of both  
56 alexithymia and psychological distress should therefore be included in clinical  
57 practice with these patients.

**Keywords:** fibromyalgia; alexithymia; coping strategies; perceived social support; psychological distress.

Number of words: 5123

## 1 **Introduction**

2 Fibromyalgia (FM) is a chronic pain syndrome, characterised by chronic,  
3 widespread musculoskeletal pain (Mease, 2005; Mease et al., 2009). The whole  
4 symptomatology is not restricted to pain, but often includes a series of other  
5 conditions, such as physical and mental fatigue, disrupted or non-restorative sleep,  
6 headache, cognitive impairment and psychological distress (Mease, 2005;  
7 Schmidt-Wilcke & Clauw, 2011).

8 Among the psychological factors, the high prevalence of anxiety (13-64%) and  
9 depression (20-80%) disorders has been well-established (Montoya et al., 2005;  
10 Fietta et al., 2007). More recently, researchers have also started to focus their  
11 attention on alexithymia, a personality trait that makes individuals incapable of  
12 adequately recognising their own emotions. Alexithymia is characterised by  
13 difficulty in identifying and describing subjective feelings, difficulty in  
14 distinguishing between feelings and bodily sensations of emotional arousal,  
15 restricted imagination processes, and an externally oriented cognitive style  
16 (Sifneos, 1972; Taylor et al., 1997). Most of the studies have reported high levels  
17 of alexithymia in FM patients, suggesting the presence of a deficit in emotional  
18 self-awareness (Castelli et al., 2012; Di Tella et al., 2015; Di Tella et al., 2017;  
19 Sayar et al., 2004; Steinweg et al., 2011).

20 The presence of alexithymic traits has been found to be positively related with  
21 maladaptive behaviours in different disorders (Fonagy et al., 2002; Kooiman et  
22 al., 2004; Montebanocci et al., 2004; Waldstein et al., 2002), suggesting that  
23 alexithymia may negatively affect the ability of an individual to adequately cope  
24 with his/her condition. Generally, coping strategies are an attempt made by a  
25 person to face an unpleasant situation and have been defined as “*cognitive and*

26 *behavioural efforts to master, reduce, or tolerate the internal and/or external*  
27 *demands that are created by the stressful transaction”* (Lazarus & Folkman, 1984,  
28 p. 843). Many authors have tried to classify different types of responses to stress.  
29 In particular, Lazarus & Folkman (1984) identified two main coping strategies:  
30 (1) problem-focused coping, aimed at controlling or solving the current problem  
31 by acting on the factors of the stressful event; (2) emotion-focused coping, aimed  
32 at regulating the emotional experience arising from the stressful event. Problem-  
33 focused coping appears to be more effective in situations where individuals  
34 believe that they may be able to have some control over the situation; whilst  
35 emotion-focused coping seems to be more effective in situations which  
36 individuals perceive as overwhelming and beyond their control (Folkman &  
37 Lazarus, 1980; Lazarus & Folkman, 1984).

38 In addition to problem- and emotion-focused coping, another type of coping has  
39 been identified: dysfunctional coping, which is based on not accepting the  
40 problem or refusing to think about it, and includes a series of ineffective  
41 behaviours, such as giving up with the problem or denying that the stressful event  
42 ever happened (Carver et al., 1989).

43 The use of effective coping strategies can be influenced by different factors, such  
44 as the subjective appraisal of the situation (i.e., as a threat and/or a challenge), the  
45 employment of previous models, and the presence of certain personality  
46 characteristics, all of which can condition the evaluation of a situation as being  
47 more or less stressful (Berjot & Gillet, 2011; Besharat, 2010). Since alexithymic  
48 individuals typically have difficulties in identifying and describing their own  
49 feelings (Bagby & Taylor, 1997; Sifneos, 2000), they are less likely to go to  
50 others for support and to regulate feelings of distress via imaginative mental

51 activities (Besharat, 2010). Alexithymic individuals might consequently find it  
52 more difficult to cope with stressful events and might feel less supported by  
53 significant others. Indeed, together with effective coping strategies, adequate  
54 perceived social support is an important resource for an individual to be able to  
55 count on in a difficult situation, such as the diagnosis of a chronic disease.  
56 The available evidence supports the idea of a relationship between alexithymia  
57 and maladapted patterns of coping, both in clinical and healthy populations  
58 (Besharat, 2010; Parker et al., 2005; Parker et al., 1998; Tominaga et al., 2014;  
59 Vingerhoets et al., 1995). However, to the best of our knowledge, no study has so  
60 far examined the association between alexithymia and coping strategies or  
61 alexithymia and perceived social support in a sample of FM patients.  
62 The present study therefore addressed two main objectives. The first, to evaluate  
63 the types of coping strategies used, the levels of perceived social support and the  
64 prevalence of alexithymia and psychological distress (depression and anxiety) in a  
65 group of FM patients. The second, to investigate the relationship between  
66 alexithymia and coping strategies on one hand, and alexithymia and perceived  
67 social support on the other, controlling for potentially competing factors, such as  
68 anxiety and depression. In particular, we hypothesised that high levels of  
69 alexithymia will be negatively related to the ability of FM patients to cope  
70 adequately with stressful events, with a consequent lower use of adaptive coping  
71 strategies, or to seek social support.

72

## 73 **Materials and methods**

### 74 *Participants and procedure*

75 One hundred and eighty female participants with FM were consecutively recruited

76 from the Fibromyalgia Integrated Outpatient Unit (FIOU), a multidisciplinary unit  
77 based on the collaboration between rheumatologists, psychologists and  
78 psychiatrists at the “XXX” hospital of Turin. All patients had a main diagnosis of  
79 FM, made by an expert rheumatologist. The inclusion criteria were as follows:  
80 over 18 years old, a sufficient educational level (>5 years) or knowledge of the  
81 Italian language, and no presence or history of a neurological or severe psychiatric  
82 disorder, according to an expert psychiatrist examination. One hundred and fifty-  
83 three FM patients met the inclusion criteria and made up the final sample of  
84 patients enrolled in the study.

85 The study was approved by the “XXX” hospital ethics committee and was  
86 conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. All the participants  
87 gave their written informed consent to the study.

88

89

## 90 *Measures*

### 91 *Coping strategies*

92 The coping strategies were assessed using the Italian version of the short form of  
93 the Coping Orientations to Problems Experienced scale (Brief COPE) (Carver,  
94 1997; Conti, 2000).

95 The Brief COPE is a self-report measure which consists of 28 items. The  
96 participants are instructed to report what they usually do under stress on a 4-point  
97 scale ranging from 1 (never do) to 4 (always do). The items can be classified into  
98 14 coping strategies (subscales), each with two items, which can be grouped into  
99 three main types of coping, according to the classification by Coolidge et al.  
100 (2000). This is based on the original by Carver (1997), but includes “denial” in

101 dysfunctional coping.

102 The three types of coping are: *problem-focused coping*, which comprises three  
103 coping strategies (active coping, instrumental support and planning); *emotion-*  
104 *focused coping*, which includes five (acceptance, emotional support, humour,  
105 positive reframing and religion); and *dysfunctional coping*, which comprises six  
106 (behavioural disengagement, denial, self-distraction, self-blame, substance use  
107 and venting).

108 The Brief COPE has shown good psychometric properties regarding the internal  
109 consistency (Cronbach  $\alpha$  scores: 0.72-0.84), test-retest reliability (Pearson's  $r$ :  
110 0.51-0.71) and construct validity (Cooper et al., 2008).

111

#### 112 *Social support*

113 As an index of social support, the total score of the Italian version of the  
114 Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support (MSPSS) (Zimet et al., 1988;  
115 Prezza & Principato, 2002) was used. This is a validated self-report measure of  
116 subjectively assessed social support. The MSPSS consists of 12 items, each  
117 scored on a seven-point Likert-type scale. This scale has shown good internal  
118 consistency (Cronbach  $\alpha$  scores: 0.87-0.94) and test-retest reliability (Osman et  
119 al., 2014).

120 The total score was calculated by averaging the results for all items.

121

#### 122 *Alexithymia*

123 Alexithymia was assessed using the Italian version of the Toronto Alexithymia  
124 Scale (TAS-20) (Bagby et al., 1994; Taylor et al., 2003; Bressi et al., 1996). The  
125 subjects were asked to indicate the extent to which they agreed or disagreed with

126 each statement on a five-point Likert scale. The results provide a TAS-20 total  
127 score, and three subscale scores that measure different aspects of alexithymia:  
128 difficulty identifying feelings (DIF), which measures the inability to distinguish  
129 specific emotions and to tell emotions from the bodily sensations of emotional  
130 arousal; difficulty describing feelings (DDF), which assesses the inability to  
131 verbalise one's emotions to other people; and externally-oriented thinking (EOT),  
132 which evaluates the tendency of individuals to focus their attention externally and  
133 not on the inner emotional experience (Lumley et al., 2007; Taylor et al., 2003).  
134 The TAS-20 cut-off scores are as follows:  $\leq 51$  no alexithymia, 52–60 borderline  
135 alexithymia,  $\geq 61$  alexithymia. This scale has shown good internal consistency and  
136 test-retest reliability, as well as convergent, discriminant and concurrent validity  
137 (Taylor & Bagby, 2004), and is currently one of the most utilised instruments in  
138 the study of alexithymia.

139

#### 140 *Psychological distress*

141 The presence of depressive and anxiety symptoms was assessed using the Italian  
142 version of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) (Zigmond &  
143 Snaith, 1983; Costantini et al., 1999). This consists of 14 items on a range of 0 to 3  
144 and is divided into two subscales, one for depression (HADS-D) and one for  
145 anxiety (HADS-A). Each subscale score ranges from 0 to 21, with a score of 8 or  
146 more suggesting a clinically relevant level of depression/anxiety symptoms  
147 (Zigmond & Snaith, 1983). The HADS has shown good concurrent validity, test-  
148 retest reliability and internal consistency (Cronbach  $\alpha$  scores: = 0.82-0.90)  
149 (Bjelland et al., 2002; Smarr & Keefer, 2011).

150 Throughout the paper, the term “psychological distress” will be used with

151 reference to depression and anxiety subscales considered together.

152

### 153 *Pain evaluation*

154 As an index of pain intensity, a Visual Analogue Scale (VAS), ranging to 0 (No  
155 pain) to 10 (Extreme pain), was used to assess the average intensity of pain in the  
156 previous week (McCormack et al., 1988). The VAS has shown good test–retest  
157 reliability and construct validity for the evaluation of pain in patients with  
158 different rheumatic diseases (Hawker et al., 2011).

159

### 160 *Statistical analyses*

161 All the statistical analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics, version  
162 22.0.

163 Indices of asymmetry and kurtosis were used to test for normality of the data. The  
164 values for asymmetry and kurtosis between – 1 and + 1 were considered  
165 acceptable in order to prove normal univariate distribution. On the basis of these  
166 values, all of the variables resulted normally distributed.

167 Since the three types of coping of the Brief COPE (i.e., problem-focused coping,  
168 emotion-focused coping and dysfunctional coping) range differently, we  
169 calculated them on the same scale of dysfunctional coping (12-48) in order to  
170 make them comparable to each other. From then on, only the adjusted scores were  
171 reported.

172 One-sample t-tests were used to compare the mean scores of our FM patients on  
173 the MSPSS and TAS-20 and those of the Italian population (Prezza & Principato,  
174 2002, for the Italian normative data of the MSPSS; Bressi et al., 1996, for the  
175 Italian normative data of the TAS-20).

176 Pearson correlations were then computed to evaluate the possible relationships  
177 between alexithymia and coping strategies, perceived social support,  
178 psychological distress (depression and anxiety), and demographical/clinical  
179 variables (age, educational level, duration of illness and pain intensity).  
180 Finally, hierarchical multiple regression analyses were run to assess whether  
181 alexithymia was still a significant predictor of coping strategies and perceived  
182 social support when competing predictors (depression and anxiety) were  
183 controlled for. Coping strategies and perceived social support were used as  
184 outcome variables. The predictor groups were entered into the regression model  
185 according to the following schema: potentially confounding variables (age,  
186 educational level, duration of illness and pain) in the first block, alexithymia in the  
187 second, and competing predictors (depression and anxiety) in the third one.  
188 To avoid unnecessary reductions in statistical power, confounding and competing  
189 predictors variables were included in the regression models only when they were  
190 significantly correlated with the outcome variables ( $p < 0.05$ ). Collinearity was  
191 assessed through the statistical factor of tolerance and Variance Inflation Factor  
192 (VIF).

193

## 194 **Results**

### 195 *Descriptive data*

196 The data on the demographic and clinical characteristics of the FM sample are  
197 presented in **Table 1**.

198 Regarding coping strategies, FM patients reported higher scores on problem-  
199 focused coping, with respect to the other two coping strategies (i.e., emotion-  
200 focused coping and dysfunctional coping). Concerning, instead, the MSPSS, the

201 FM group reported a mean score which was not significantly different from that  
202 of the Italian population (Prezza & Principato, 2002) (FM group vs. Italian  
203 population, mean  $\pm$  SD:  $5.1 \pm 1.3$  vs.  $5.3 \pm 1.1$ ;  $t(109) = -1.19$ ,  $p = 0.182$ ).  
204 As far as psychological distress was concerned, the FM patients presented high  
205 levels of depressive and anxiety symptoms, with 56.5% of the patients exceeding  
206 the cut-off point for the anxiety subscale (HADS-A  $\geq 8$ ), while 63.6% exceeding  
207 the cut-off point for depression (HADS-D  $\geq 8$ ).  
208 Finally, on the TAS-20, FM patients reported significantly higher scores on the  
209 total score compared to Italian normative data (Bressi et al., 1996) (FM group vs.  
210 Italian population, mean  $\pm$  SD:  $51.6 \pm 13.5$  vs.  $44.7 \pm 11.3$ ;  $t(152) = 6.31$ ,  $p <$   
211  $0.001$ ), DIF factor ( $20.1 \pm 7.3$  vs.  $14.6 \pm 6.0$ ;  $t(152) = 9.26$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), and EOT  
212 factor ( $18.1 \pm 5.0$  vs.  $17.1 \pm 4.9$ ;  $t(152) = 2.34$ ,  $p = 0.021$ ). No significant  
213 difference was found on the DDF factor (FM group vs. Italian population, mean  $\pm$   
214 SD:  $13.4 \pm 4.9$  vs.  $13.1 \pm 4.8$ ;  $t(152) = 0.83$ ,  $p = 0.410$ ).

215 -----

216 **Table 1 about here**

217 -----

218

### 219 *Correlation Analyses*

220 The results of the bivariate correlations are presented in **Table 2**. Significant  
221 negative correlations were found between alexithymia (total and EOT) and  
222 problem-focused coping, and between alexithymia (total, DDF and EOT) and  
223 emotion-focused coping; while significant positive correlations were found  
224 between alexithymia (total and DIF) and dysfunctional coping, and between all  
225 the alexithymia scores (total, DIF, DDF and EOT) and psychological distress

226 (both anxiety and depression). Finally, lower scores on perceived social support  
227 (MSPSS) were significantly correlated with higher scores on alexithymia (total,  
228 DIF and DDF).

229 -----

230 **Table 2 about here**

231 -----

232

233 *Multiple regressions*

234 Hierarchical multiple regression analyses were performed in order to investigate  
235 whether alexithymia was a significant predictor of coping strategies and perceived  
236 social support, after controlling for potentially competing predictors (depression  
237 and anxiety). Since the variables of age, educational level, duration of illness, and  
238 pain intensity did not correlate with the outcome variables, they were no longer  
239 included in the regression analyses.

240 Regarding problem-focused coping, no significant correlation emerged between  
241 this variable and psychological distress, so the regression analysis was performed  
242 with only alexithymia scores as predictor variables. The full model of the  
243 alexithymia total and the EOT factor scores to predict problem-focused coping  
244 was statistically significant,  $R^2 = .11$ ,  $F(2, 150) = 9.51$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ; adjusted  $R^2 =$   
245  $.10$  (Table 3). Only the alexithymia EOT factor ( $\beta = -0.30$ ,  $p = 0.003$ ) was a  
246 significant predictor of the model, while the TAS-20 total score was not  
247 significant ( $\beta = -0.05$ ,  $p = 0.609$ ).

248 As far as emotion-focused coping was concerned, the full model of alexithymia,  
249 anxiety and depression to predict emotion-focused coping (Model 2) was  
250 statistically significant,  $R^2 = .13$ ,  $F(3, 149) = 7.09$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ; adjusted  $R^2 = .11$ ;

251  $\Delta R^2 = .07$ ,  $\Delta F(2, 149) = 6.25$ ,  $p = 0.002$  (**Table 3**). In this case, both the  
252 alexithymia EOT factor ( $\beta = -0.18$ ,  $p = 0.028$ ) and depression ( $\beta = -0.33$ ,  $p =$   
253  $0.004$ ) were significant predictors in the final model, while the TAS-20 total score  
254 ( $\beta = 0.05$ ,  $p = 0.713$ ), the DDF factor ( $\beta = -0.03$ ,  $p = 0.768$ ), and the HADS-A ( $\beta$   
255  $= 0.08$ ,  $p = 0.470$ ), were not found to be significant predictors.

256 With regard to dysfunctional coping, however, the alexithymia DIF factor ceased  
257 to uniquely predict dysfunctional coping with the introduction to the model of  
258 psychological variables, specifically anxiety (**Table 3**). The full model of  
259 alexithymia, anxiety and depression to predict dysfunctional coping (Model 2) was  
260 statistically significant,  $R^2 = .01$ ,  $F(4, 148) = 3.94$ ,  $p = 0.005$ ; adjusted  $R^2 = .07$ ;  
261  $\Delta R^2 = .03$ ,  $\Delta F(2, 148) = 2.66$ ,  $p = 0.074$  (**Table 3**). Anxiety ( $\beta = 0.28$ ,  $p = 0.027$ )  
262 was the unique contributor of the final model, while the TAS-20 total score ( $\beta = -$   
263  $0.14$ ,  $p = 0.372$ ), the DIF factor ( $\beta = 0.25$ ,  $p = 0.110$ ), and the HADS-D ( $\beta = -$   
264  $0.11$ ,  $p = 0.381$ ), were not significant predictors.

265 Finally, with regard to MSPSS, the full model of alexithymia, anxiety and  
266 depression to predict perceived social support (Model 2) was statistically  
267 significant,  $R^2 = .21$ ,  $F(5, 103) = 5.61$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ; adjusted  $R^2 = .18$ ;  $\Delta R^2 = .12$ ,  
268  $\Delta F(2, 103) = 7.63$ ,  $p = 0.001$  (**Table 4**). In this case, both the alexithymia DDF  
269 factor ( $\beta = -0.41$ ,  $p = 0.018$ ) and depression ( $\beta = -0.32$ ,  $p = 0.028$ ) were significant  
270 predictors of the final model, while the TAS-20 total score ( $\beta = 0.50$ ,  $p = 0.070$ ),  
271 the DIF factor ( $\beta = -0.09$ ,  $p = 0.639$ ), and the HADS-A ( $\beta = -0.18$ ,  $p = 0.227$ ),  
272 were not significant predictors. In all the regression analyses, the statistical factor  
273 of tolerance and VIF showed that there was no multicollinearity between the  
274 variables.

275 -----

276 **Tables 3 and 4 about here**

277 -----

278

279 **Discussion**

280 The present study aimed to investigate, for the first time, the relationship between  
281 alexithymia and coping strategies, and alexithymia and perceived social support in a  
282 sample of FM patients. To reach this goal, the following two objectives were  
283 addressed. First, we evaluated the prevalence of alexithymia, psychological  
284 distress, the types of coping strategies used and the levels of perceived social  
285 support in a group of FM patients. Second, we investigated the relationship  
286 between alexithymia and coping strategies on one hand, and alexithymia and  
287 perceived social support on the other, controlling for psychological distress. Our  
288 analyses showed three main results: (1) a significant predictor role of the  
289 alexithymia EOT factor in explaining both problem-focused coping and emotion-  
290 focused coping, (2) a significant predictor role of the alexithymia DIF factor in  
291 explaining dysfunctional coping, which is no longer present after controlling for  
292 psychological distress, in particular anxiety, and (3) a significant predictor role of  
293 the alexithymia DDF factor in explaining perceived social support, which is still  
294 present after controlling for psychological distress.

295 With regard to the first aim of this study, the results showed significantly higher  
296 scores on alexithymia (i.e., TAS-20 total, DIF and EOT factors scores) in our  
297 group of FM patients compared to the Italian normative data (Bressi et al., 1996).

298 These results confirm previous studies evaluating the prevalence of alexithymia in  
299 FM patients, highlighting impairments in the recognition of their own emotions  
300 (Di Tella & Castelli, 2016; Sayar et al., 2004; Steinweg et al., 2011).

301 In the same way, the FM patients presented high levels of depressive (64%) and  
302 anxiety (57%) symptoms, corroborating once again the high prevalence of  
303 psychological distress reported in most studies on FM syndrome (Montoya et al.,  
304 2005; Fietta et al., 2007; Castelli et al., 2012).

305 However, as far as the three types of coping investigated are concerned, i.e.  
306 problem-focused coping, emotion-focused coping and dysfunctional coping, our  
307 group of FM patients reported higher scores on problem-focused coping, with  
308 respect to the other two coping strategies.

309 To the best of our knowledge, only few previous studies analysed coping  
310 strategies in FM patients (Boehm et al., 2011; Alok et al., 2014). In their study,  
311 Boehm et al. (2011) found significantly higher scores on problem-focused coping  
312 compared with emotion-focused coping, in a sample of FM sufferers. These  
313 results, together with ours, might suggest that FM patients mainly use coping  
314 strategies directed at seeking and providing resources to control or solve the  
315 source of the problem (i.e., active coping, instrumental support and planning).

316 Although problem-focused coping appears to be generally more effective in  
317 handling distress (Assumpção et al., 2009; Ransom et al., 2005), it does not seem  
318 to work in those situations where it is beyond the individual's control to remove  
319 the source of distress (Wartella et al. 2009). FM is a syndrome that has only  
320 recently been recognised and whose causes are yet poorly understood (Abeles et  
321 al., 2007). Consequently, FM patients may wait a long time for a diagnosis and  
322 appropriate treatment, and thus perceive their condition as uncontrollable or  
323 unpredictable. The use of problem-focused strategies, which tend to be more  
324 effective in high-control situations, might thus be counterproductive in handling a  
325 low-control condition, as FM can be. This could also explain the lack of a

326 significant association between problem-focused coping and both anxiety and  
327 depression, suggesting that an emotion-focused approach could be more effective  
328 in handling psychological distress in FM patients.

329 Finally, with regard to perceived social support, our group of FM patients  
330 reported a mean score in line with that of the Italian population (Prezza &  
331 Principato, 2002). However, previous studies showed a lack of social support in  
332 FM patients (Arnold et al., 2008; Bernard et al., 2000). Arnold et al. (2008)  
333 revealed, through a series of focus groups, that FM patients were unable to plan  
334 events or take part in regular social activities, due to the unpredictability of FM  
335 symptoms. In addition, they reported not being able to care for their own family,  
336 with consequences on childcare and marital life. In the same way, Bernard et al.  
337 (2000) reported that only 1.5% of the FM patients felt that others were  
338 sympathetic with regard to their condition, while 85.6% felt that people thought  
339 that they exaggerated their symptoms. Moreover, the evaluation of perceived  
340 social support in FM patients should take in account not only the amount of  
341 support reported by the patients, but also the type of support they received.

342 Indeed, the impact of social support on pain-related disability might depend on the  
343 extent to which it fosters functional autonomy (i.e., the ability to perform  
344 activities of daily living without assistance; Pinsonnault et al., 2003) or functional  
345 dependence (i.e., the need for assistance to carry out activities of daily living;  
346 Katz et al., 1963). A recent study of Matos et al. (2016) have, in fact, shown that  
347 in a chronic pain condition, pain-related social support might be adaptive or  
348 maladaptive, depending on the extent to which it promotes functional autonomy  
349 or dependence.

350 Future studies are thus needed to clarify both these aspects, i.e., the levels and the

351 type of perceived social support in FM patients, in order to improve their  
352 interpersonal communication skills and social networks.

353 As far as the second goal of this study is concerned, we investigated whether  
354 alexithymia was a contributing factor in explaining the coping strategies used and  
355 perceived social support, beyond the effect of psychological distress.

356 While few studies have analysed coping strategies as well as social support, this is  
357 the first to investigate the possible impact of alexithymia on coping strategies and  
358 perceived social support in a sample of FM patients.

359 As far as problem-focused coping is concerned, negative correlations emerged  
360 between this variable and the alexithymia total and EOT scores, while no  
361 correlation was found with psychological distress. A multiple regression analysis  
362 was thus run with only alexithymia scores as predictor variables. The results  
363 showed that the EOT alexithymia factor was the variable that best explained the  
364 variance of problem-focused coping. In the same way, the EOT alexithymia  
365 factor, together with depression, proved to be a significant predictor of emotional-  
366 focused coping variance. The EOT factor reflects an individual's difficulty in  
367 recognising his/her own emotional reaction associated to an experience, because  
368 of a tendency to focus thinking on the factual aspects of the events themselves and  
369 not on their emotional impact. Individuals with high EOT scores are thus less able  
370 to cope with the stress of a difficult situation by acting directly on the source of  
371 the event. The fact that problem-focused coping strategies are used less may  
372 therefore be partly due to difficulties in identifying the source of the stress and  
373 working toward an appropriate solution for the problem (Tominaga et al., 2014).

374 Similarly, individuals with high EOT scores tend to use emotion-focused coping  
375 strategies less, as they cannot focus their attention on the psychological/emotional

376 experience related to the stressful situation.

377 A different pattern of results was found, however, with dysfunctional coping. In  
378 this case, alexithymia, in particular the DIF factor, ceased to be a significant  
379 predictor when psychological variables, specifically anxiety, were introduced to  
380 the model. These results might suggest a possible effect of anxiety in mediating  
381 the relationship between alexithymia DIF factor and dysfunctional coping  
382 strategies. The DIF alexithymia factor represents an individual's difficulty in  
383 correctly distinguishing emotions from physical sensations. As a consequence, the  
384 individual might misinterpret his/her emotional arousal as a sign of disease, and  
385 with FM patients this could lead to a further worsening of their painful condition  
386 (Lumley et al., 1996; Tuzer et al., 2011). In addition, alexithymia might interfere  
387 with adequate emotion regulation processes, resulting in increased negative  
388 affects such as anxiety, which in turn may lead FM individuals to use more  
389 dysfunctional coping strategies to deal with stressful situations.

390 The association between anxiety, alexithymia and dysfunctional coping strategies  
391 has also been found in previous studies carried out on healthy samples (Grant et  
392 al., 2004; Stewart et al., 2002; Tómasson & Vaglum, 1995). In particular, Stewart  
393 et al. (2002) showed in a healthy sample of students that high levels of anxiety  
394 sensitivity, alexithymic coping (i.e., an individual tendency to suppress emotions),  
395 and experiential avoidance (i.e., an individual propensity to avoid certain  
396 unpleasant private events) were positively related to an increased likelihood of  
397 drinking for internal or external reasons (i.e., coping or conformity).

398 This evidence suggests thus the importance of an adequate assessment and  
399 treatment of both alexithymia and anxiety disorders in order to reduce the  
400 tendency of FM patients to employ dysfunctional coping behaviours (Castelnuovo

401 et al., 2016).

402 Finally, with regard to perceived social support, the alexithymia DDF factor, was  
403 found to be a significant predictor in explaining the variance of the MSPSS, even  
404 after controlling for psychological distress. To the best of our knowledge, no  
405 previous study has shown this kind of association in FM patients. This said,  
406 former studies on other syndromes found a negative association between  
407 alexithymia scores and social support (Fukunishi & Rahe, 1995; Tominaga et al.,  
408 2014).

409 In particular, Tominaga et al. (2014) investigated the relationship between  
410 alexithymia and coping in a group of patients with somatoform disorder, finding a  
411 specific association between DDF scores and social support coping strategies.  
412 Patients who display difficulties in describing feelings may experience trouble  
413 with social interactions and a lack of interpersonal communication, which may  
414 lead, in turn, to less social functioning and to social support being sought less.

415 A previous study of Lumley et al. (1996) has also shown that in healthy  
416 individuals the DDF factor of alexithymia was related only to new relationships  
417 (i.e., the presence of a steady relationship or close friends), but not to those that  
418 are predetermined and independent of one's personality (i.e., family bonds),  
419 suggesting that alexithymia might be negatively associated only to relationships  
420 that require interpersonal skill and emotional awareness to develop and maintain.

421 Moreover, alexithymia has been associated with reduced empathy and  
422 impairments in the recognition of others' facial emotions both in FM patients and  
423 in other clinical or healthy populations (Di Tella et al., 2015; Grynberg et al.,  
424 2012). In addition to the difficulties in accurately describing their own feelings,  
425 such impairments may lead FM patients to substantial difficulties in interpersonal

426 contacts (e.g., interaction problems with family and friends, or social isolation).  
427 Furthermore, poor psychosocial functioning and unsatisfactory relationships  
428 might contribute to the genesis and continuation of chronic pain.  
429 Taken together, as far as the first aim of this study is concerned, the results, apart  
430 from showing high levels of both psychological distress and alexithymia,  
431 highlight a great use of problem-focused coping strategies in our sample of FM  
432 patients. As for the second aim, i.e., to explore the relationship between  
433 alexithymia and coping strategies, and alexithymia and perceived social support,  
434 we found that the externally-oriented thinking factor plays a key role in explaining  
435 both problem-focused coping and emotion-focused coping, while the difficulty  
436 describing feelings factor proves to be a significant predictor of perceived social  
437 support, beyond the effect of psychological distress. Whereas with the  
438 introduction of anxiety to the model, the difficulty identifying feelings factor  
439 ceases to uniquely explain the variance of dysfunctional coping. In our sample of  
440 FM patients, alexithymia thus seems to be negatively related to both the use of  
441 effective coping strategies and the levels of perceived social support. The present  
442 study has some limitations that should be considered. First, cross-sectional  
443 designs do not allow certain conclusions about causal direction to be drawn.  
444 Longitudinal studies are needed to better clarify the mutual influence of  
445 alexithymia and psychological distress on both coping strategies and perceived  
446 social support. Second, the use of explicit self-reported instruments paradoxically  
447 requires the respondents to be aware of their reduced ability to identify and  
448 describe feelings (Parling et al., 2010). Performance-based instruments or  
449 structured interviews, less dependent on the patient's awareness, should be  
450 employed in addition to traditional self-reported measures. Finally, no control

451 group was enrolled, so future studies should compare FM patients with other  
452 clinical or healthy samples, in order to determine whether the observed  
453 associations between alexithymia, coping strategies and perceived social support  
454 are specific to FM syndrome.

455 In spite of these limitations, the findings reported in the present study represent, to  
456 the best of our knowledge, the first contribution towards understanding the  
457 relationship between alexithymia and both coping strategies and perceived social  
458 support in a sample of FM patients.

459 Globally considered, these results highlight a negative relationship between  
460 alexithymia, psychological distress, and both the use of effective coping strategies  
461 and seeking social support.

462 An adequate assessment of both alexithymic traits and psychological distress in FM  
463 patients is thus needed in order to allow clinicians to plan better-tailored treatments  
464 aimed at improving coping strategies and social bonds, both of which are essential to  
465 adequately deal with FM symptomology.

466 In particular, psychological interventions should take into account the specific  
467 dimensions of alexithymia which appear to be more compromised in each FM  
468 patient. The results of the present study showed a specific relationship between the  
469 different alexithymia factors and the coping strategies or the perceived social support.

470 Therefore, patients with high scores on DIF factor may benefit from treatments aimed  
471 at educating the individual about his/her own emotional dimension (e.g., learning to  
472 distinguish a feeling from a somatic sensation and labelling the emotions), in order to  
473 reduce negative affects (in particular anxiety levels) and consequently the use of  
474 dysfunctional coping strategies. On the other side, interventions which attempt to  
475 improve communication skills through assertion training, and seek the support of

476 others in stressful situations, may be appropriate for FM patients with high scores on  
477 DDF dimension.

478 Finally, for patients with high scores on EOT factor, useful therapeutic approaches  
479 may include techniques that support the understanding that physical symptoms can be  
480 associated to a stressful situation, and aid in identifying the source of the problem, in  
481 order to improve coping strategies (Tominaga et al., 2014).

482 A psychological intervention focusing on the specific alexithymic features should  
483 thus be considered a key clinical aspect in the treatment of FM, in order to  
484 improve both their coping strategies and the levels of social support.

485 **References**

- 486 Abeles, A. M., Pillinger, M. H., Solitar, B. M., & Abeles, M. (2007). Narrative  
487 review: the pathophysiology of fibromyalgia. *Annals of Internal Medicine*,  
488 *146*, 726–34. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-146-10-200705150-00006
- 489 Alok, R., Das, S. K., Agarwal, G. G., Tiwari, S. C., Salwahan, L., & Srivastava,  
490 R. (2014). Problem-focused coping and self-efficacy as correlates of  
491 quality of life and severity of fibromyalgia in primary fibromyalgia  
492 patients. *JCR: Journal of Clinical Rheumatology*, *20*, 314-316. doi:  
493 10.1097/RHU.0000000000000130
- 494 Arnold, L. M., Crofford, L. J., Mease, P. J., Burgess, S. M., Palmer, S. C., Abetz,  
495 L., & Martin, S. A. (2008). Patient perspectives on the impact of  
496 fibromyalgia. *Patient Education and Counseling*, *73*, 114–120. doi:  
497 10.1016/j.pec.2008.06.005
- 498 Assumpção, A., Cavalcante, A. B., Capela, C. E., Sauer, J. F., Chalot, S. D.,  
499 Pereira, C. A., & Marques, A. P. (2009). Prevalence of fibromyalgia in a  
500 low socioeconomic status population. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders*,  
501 *10*, 64. doi: 10.1186/1471-2474-10-64
- 502 Bagby, R. M., & Taylor, G. J. (1997). Affect dysregulation and alexithymia. In G.  
503 J. Taylor, R. M. Bagby, & J. D. A. Parker (Eds.), *Disorders of affect*  
504 *regulation: alexithymia in medical and psychiatric illness* (pp. 26–45).  
505 Cambridge: University Press.
- 506 Bagby, R. M., Parker, J. D. A., & Taylor, G. J. (1994). The Twenty-Item Toronto  
507 Alexithymia Scale-I. Item selection and cross-validation of the factor  
508 structure. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, *38*, 23–32.
- 509 Berjot, S., & Gillet, N. (2011). Stress and coping with discrimination and

510 stigmatization. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 2, 33. doi:  
511 10.3389/fpsyg.2011.00033

512 Bernard, A. L., Prince, A., & Edsall, P. (2000). Quality of life issues for  
513 fibromyalgia patients. *Arthritis Care & Research*, 13, 42–50. doi:  
514 10.1002/1529-0131(200002)13:1<42::AID-ART7>3.0.CO;2-R

515 Besharat, M. A. (2010). Relationship of alexithymia with coping styles and  
516 interpersonal problems. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 5,  
517 614–618. doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2010.07.152

518 Bjelland, I., Dahl, A. A., Haug, T. T., & Neckelmann, D. (2002). The validity of  
519 the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. An updated literature review.  
520 *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 52, 69–77. doi: 10.1016/S0022-  
521 3999(01)00296-3

522 Boehm, A., Eisenberg, E., & Lampel, S. (2011). The contribution of social capital  
523 and coping strategies to functioning and quality of life of patients with  
524 fibromyalgia. *The Clinical Journal of Pain*, 27, 233–239. doi:  
525 10.1097/AJP.0b013e3181fdabcf

526 Bressi, C., Taylor, G., Parker, J., Bressi, S., Brambilla, V., Aguglia, E., ... &  
527 Todarello, O. (1996). Cross validation of the factor structure of the 20-  
528 item Toronto Alexithymia Scale: an Italian multicenter study. *Journal of*  
529 *psychosomatic research*, 41, 551-559. doi: 10.1016/S0022-  
530 3999(96)00228-0

531 Carver, C. S. (1997). You want to measure coping but your protocol's too long:  
532 consider the brief COPE. *International Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 4,  
533 92–100. doi: 10.1207/s15327558ijbm0401\_6

534 Carver, C. S., Scheier, M. F., & Weintraub, J. K. (1989) Assessing coping

535 strategies: a theoretically based approach. *Journal of Personality and*  
536 *Social Psychology*, 56, 267–283. doi: 10.1037/0022-3514.56.2.267

537 Castelli, L., Tesio, V., Colonna, F., Molinaro, S., Leombruni, P., Bruzzone, M.,  
538 ... & Torta, R. (2012). Alexithymia and psychological distress in  
539 fibromyalgia: prevalence and relation with quality of life. *Clinical and*  
540 *Experimental Rheumatology*, 30, 70-77.

541 Castelnuovo, G., Giusti, E. M., Manzoni, G. M., Saviola, D., Gatti, A., Gabrielli,  
542 S., Lacerenza, M., ... & Tamburin, S. (2016). Psychological Treatments  
543 and Psychotherapies in the Neurorehabilitation of Pain: Evidences and  
544 Recommendations from the Italian Consensus Conference on Pain in  
545 Neurorehabilitation. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 7, 115. doi:  
546 10.3389/fpsyg.2016.00115

547 Conti, L. (2000). *Repertorio delle scale di valutazione in psichiatria* (pp. 1581-  
548 1590). Firenze, Italy: SEE.

549 Coolidge, F. L., Segal, D. L., Hook, J. N., & Stewart, S. (2000). Personality  
550 disorders and coping among anxious older adults. *Journal of Anxiety*  
551 *Disorders*, 14, 157–172. doi: 10.1016/S0887-6185(99)00046-8

552 Cooper, C., Katona, C., & Livingston, G. (2008). Validity and reliability of the  
553 brief COPE in carers of people with dementia: the LASER-AD Study. *The*  
554 *Journal of nervous and mental disease*, 196, 838-843. doi:  
555 10.1097/NMD.0b013e31818b504c

556 Costantini, M., Musso, M., Viterbori, P., Bonci, F., Del Mastro, L., Garrone, O.,  
557 ... & Morasso, G. (1999). Detecting psychological distress in cancer  
558 patients: validity of the Italian version of the Hospital Anxiety and

559 Depression Scale. *Supportive Care in Cancer*, 7, 121–127. doi:  
560 10.1007/s005200050241

561 Di Tella, M., & Castelli, L. (2016). Alexithymia in chronic pain disorders.  
562 *Current rheumatology reports*, 18, 41. doi: 10.1007/s11926-016-0592-x

563 Di Tella, M., Castelli, L., Colonna, F., Fusaro, E., Torta, R., Ardito, R.B., &  
564 Adenzato, M. (2015). Theory of mind and emotional functioning in  
565 fibromyalgia syndrome: an investigation of the relationship between social  
566 cognition and executive function. *PLoS One*, 10, e0116542. doi:  
567 10.1371/journal.pone.0116542

568 Di Tella, M., Ghiggia, A., Tesio, V., Romeo, A., Colonna, F., Fusaro, E., Torta,  
569 R., & Castelli, L. (2017). Pain experience in Fibromyalgia Syndrome: The  
570 role of alexithymia and psychological distress. *Journal of affective*  
571 *disorders*, 208, 87–93. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2016.08.080>

572 Fietta, P., Fietta, P., & Manganelli, P. (2007). Fibromyalgia and psychiatric  
573 disorders. *Acta Biomedica*, 78, 88–95.

574 Folkman, S., & Lazarus, R. S. (1980). An analysis of coping in a middle- aged  
575 community sample. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 21, 219–239.

576 Fonagy, P., Gergely, G., Jurist, E., & Target, M. (2002). *Affect regulation,*  
577 *metallization, and the development of the self*. New York, NY: Other  
578 Press.

579 Fukunishi, I., & Rahe, R. H. (1995). Alexithymia and coping with stress in  
580 healthy persons: alexithymia as a personality trait is associated with low  
581 social support and poor responses to stress. *Psychological Reports*, 76,  
582 1299–1304. doi: 10.2466/pr0.1995.76.3c.1299

583 Grant, B. F., Stinson, F. S., Dawson, D. A., Chou, S. P., Dufour, M. C., Compton,

584 W., ... & Kaplan, K. (2004). Prevalence and co-occurrence of substance  
585 use disorders and independent mood and anxiety disorders: results from  
586 the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions.  
587 *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *61*, 807-816. doi:  
588 10.1001/archpsyc.61.8.807

589 Grynberg, D., Chang, B., Corneille, O., Maurage, P., Vermeulen, N., Berthoz, S.,  
590 & Luminet, O. (2012). Alexithymia and the processing of emotional facial  
591 expressions (EFEs): systematic review, unanswered questions and further  
592 perspectives. *PLoS One*, *7*, e42429. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0042429.

593 Hawker, G. A., Mian, S., Kendzerska, T., & French, M. (2011). Measures of adult  
594 pain: Visual analog scale for pain (vas pain), numeric rating scale for pain  
595 (nrs pain), mcgill pain questionnaire (mpq), short-form mcgill pain  
596 questionnaire (sf-mpq), chronic pain grade scale (cpgs), short form-36  
597 bodily pain scale (sf-36 bps), and measure of intermittent and constant  
598 osteoarthritis pain (icoap). *Arthritis care & research*, *63(S11)*. doi:  
599 10.1002/acr.20543

600 Katz, S., Ford, A. B., Moskowitz, R. W., Jackson, B. A., & Jaffe, M. W. (1963).  
601 Studies of illness in the aged: the index of ADL: a standardized measure of  
602 biological and psychosocial function. *Jama*, *185*, 914-919.  
603 doi:10.1001/jama.1963.03060120024016

604 Kooiman, C. G., Vellinga, S., Spinhoven, P. H., Draijer, N., Trijsburg, R. W., &  
605 Rooijmans, H. G. M. (2004). Childhood adversities as risk factors for  
606 alexithymia and other aspects of affect dysregulation in adulthood.  
607 *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, *73*, 107-116. doi: 10.1159/000075542

608 Lazarus, R. S., & Folkman, S. (1984). *Stress, Appraisal and Coping*. New York,

609 NY: Springer.

610 Lumley, M. A., Neely, L. C., & Burger, A. J. (2007). The assessment of  
611 alexithymia in medical settings: implications for understanding and  
612 treating health problems. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, *89*, 230–246.  
613 doi: 10.1080/00223890701629698

614 Lumley, M. A., Ovies, T., Stettner, L., Wehmer, F., & Lakey, B. (1996).  
615 Alexithymia, social support and health problems. *Journal of*  
616 *Psychosomatic Research*, *41*, 519-530. doi:  
617 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3999\(96\)00227-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3999(96)00227-9)

618 Lumley, M. A., Stettner, L., & Wehmer, F. (1996). How are alexithymia and  
619 physical illness linked? A review and critique of pathways. *Journal of*  
620 *Psychosomatic Research*, *41*, 505–518. doi: 10.1016/S0022-  
621 3999(96)00222-X

622 Matos, M., Bernardes, S. F., & Goubert, L. (2016). The relationship between  
623 perceived promotion of autonomy/dependence and pain-related disability  
624 in older adults with chronic pain: the mediating role of self-reported  
625 physical functioning. *Journal of behavioral medicine*, *39*, 704-715. doi:  
626 10.1007/s10865-016-9726-x

627 McCormack, H. M., David, J. D. L., & Sheather, S. (1988). Clinical applications  
628 of visual analogue scales: a critical review. *Psychological medicine*, *18*,  
629 1007-1019. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291700009934>

630 Mease, P. (2005). Fibromyalgia syndrome: review of clinical presentation,  
631 pathogenesis, outcome measures, and treatment. *The Journal of*  
632 *Rheumatology*, *75*, 6–21.

633 Mease, P., Buskila, D., & Sarzi-Puttini, P. (2009). The fibromyalgia conundrum.  
634 *Clinical and Experimental Rheumatology*, 27, S2–S4.

635 Montebanocci, O., Codispoti, M., Baldaro, B., & Rossi, N. (2004). Adult  
636 attachment style and alexithymia. *Personality and Individual Differences*,  
637 36, 499–507. doi: 10.1016/S0191-8869(03)00110-7

638 Montoya, P., Sitges, C., Garcia-Herrera, M., Izquierdo, R., Truyols, M., Blay, N.,  
639 & Collado, D. (2005). Abnormal affective modulation of somatosensory  
640 brain processing among patients with fibromyalgia. *Psychosomatic*  
641 *Medicine*, 67, 957–963. doi: 10.1097/01.psy.0000188401.55394.18

642

643 Osman, A., Lamis, D. A., Freedenthal, S., Gutierrez, P. M., & McNaughton-  
644 Cassill, M. (2014). The multidimensional scale of perceived social  
645 support: analyses of internal reliability, measurement invariance, and  
646 correlates across gender. *Journal of personality assessment*, 96, 103-112.  
647 doi: 10.1080/00223891.2013.838170

648 Parker, J. D. A., Austin, E. J., Hogan, M. J., Wood, L. M., & Bond, B. J. (2005).  
649 Alexithymia and academic success: examining the transition from high  
650 school to university. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 38, 1257–  
651 1267. doi: 10.1016/j.paid.2004.08.008

652 Parker, J. D. A., Taylor, G. J., & Bagby, R. M. (1998). Alexithymia: relationship  
653 with ego defense and coping styles. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 39, 91–98.  
654 doi: 10.1016/S0010-440X(98)90084-0

655 Parling, T., Mortazavi, M., & Ghaderi, A. (2010). Alexithymia and emotional  
656 awareness in anorexia nervosa: time for a shift in the measurement of the  
657 concept? *Eating Behaviors*, 11, 205–210. doi:

658 10.1016/j.eatbeh.2010.04.001

659 Pinsonnault, E., Desrosiers, J., Dubuc, N., Kalfat, H., Colvez, A., & Delli-Colli,  
660 N. (2003). Functional autonomy measurement system: Development of a  
661 social subscale. *Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics*, 37, 223–233. doi:  
662 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-4943\(03\)00049-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-4943(03)00049-9)

663 Prezza, M. & Principato, M. C. (2002). La rete sociale e il sostegno sociale. In M.  
664 Prezza, & M. Santinello (Eds.), *Conoscere la comunità* (pp. 193–233).  
665 Bologna: Il Mulino.

666 Ransom, S., Jacobsen, P. B., Schmidt, J. E., & Andrykowski, M. A. (2005).  
667 Relationship of problem-focused coping strategies to changes in quality of  
668 life following treatment for early stage breast cancer. *Journal of Pain and*  
669 *Symptom Management*, 30, 243–253. doi:  
670 [10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2005.03.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2005.03.013)

671 Sayar, K., Gulec, H., & Topbas, M. (2004). Alexithymia and anger in patients  
672 with fibromyalgia. *Clinical Rheumatology*, 23, 441-448. doi:  
673 [10.1007/s10067-004-0918-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10067-004-0918-3)

674 Schmidt-Wilcke, T., & Clauw, D. J. (2011). Fibromyalgia: from pathophysiology  
675 to therapy. *Nature Reviews Rheumatology*, 7, 518–527. doi:  
676 [10.1038/nrrheum.2011.98](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrrheum.2011.98)

677 Sifneos, P. E. (1972). *Short-term psychotherapy and emotional crisis*. Cambridge,  
678 MA: Harvard University Press.

679 Sifneos, P. E. (2000). Alexithymia, clinical issues, politics and crime.  
680 *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, 69, 113–116. doi:  
681 [10.1159/000012377](https://doi.org/10.1159/000012377)

682 Smarr, K. L., & Keefer, A. L. (2011). Measures of depression and depressive

683 symptoms: Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI-II), Center for  
684 Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D), Geriatric Depression  
685 Scale (GDS), Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS), and Patient  
686 Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9). *Arthritis care & research*, 63(S11). doi:  
687 10.1002/acr.20556

688 Steinweg, D. L., Dallas, A. P., & Rea, W. S. (2011). Fibromyalgia: unspeakable  
689 suffering, a prevalence study of alexithymia. *Psychosomatics*, 52, 255–  
690 262. doi: 10.1016/j.psym.2010.12.022

691 Stewart, S. H., Zvolensky, M. J., & Eifert, G. H. (2002). The relations of anxiety  
692 sensitivity, experiential avoidance, and alexithymic coping to young  
693 adults' motivations for drinking. *Behavior Modification*, 26, 274–296. doi:  
694 10.1177/0145445502026002007

695 Taylor, G. J., & Bagby, R. M. (2004). New trends in alexithymia research.  
696 *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, 73, 68–77. doi: 10.1159/000075537

697 Taylor, G. J., Bagby, R. M., & Parker, J. D. (2003). The 20-Item Toronto  
698 Alexithymia Scale: IV. Reliability and factorial validity in different  
699 languages and cultures. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 55, 277–283.  
700 doi: 10.1016/S0022-3999(02)00601-3

701 Taylor, G. J., Bagby, R. M., & Parker, J. D. A. (1997). *Disorders of affect*  
702 *regulation: Alexithymia in medical and psychiatric illness*. Cambridge:  
703 Cambridge University Press.

704 Tómasson, K., & Vaglum, P. (1995). A nationwide representative sample of  
705 treatment-seeking alcoholics: a study of psychiatric comorbidity. *Acta*  
706 *Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 92, 378–385. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-  
707 0447.1995.tb09600.x

- 708 Tominaga, T., Choi, H., Nagoshi, Y., Wada, Y., & Fukui, K. (2014). Relationship  
709 between alexithymia and coping strategies in patients with somatoform  
710 disorder. *Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment*, *10*, 55–62. doi:  
711 10.2147/NDT.S55956
- 712 Tuzer, V., Bulut, S. D., Bastug, B., Kayalar, G., Goka, E., & Beştepe, E. (2011).  
713 Causal attributions and alexithymia in female patients with fibromyalgia  
714 or chronic low back pain. *Nordic Journal of Psychiatry*, *65*, 138–144. doi:  
715 10.3109/08039488.2010.522596
- 716 Vingerhoets, A. J., Van Heck, G. L., Grim, R., & Bermond, B. (1995).  
717 Alexithymia: a further exploration of its nomological network.  
718 *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, *64*, 32–42. doi: 10.1159/000288988
- 719 Waldstein, S. R., Kauhanen, J., Newmann, S. A., & Katzel, L. I. (2002).  
720 Alexithymia and cardiovascular risk in older adults: psychological,  
721 psychophysiological, and biomedical correlates. *Psychology and Health*,  
722 *17*, 597–610. doi: 10.1080/08870440290025803
- 723 Wartella, J. E., Auerbach, S. M., & Ward, K. R. (2009) Emotional distress, coping  
724 and adjustment in family members of neuroscience intensive care unit  
725 patients. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, *66*, 503–509. doi:  
726 10.1111/j.1442-2018.2005.00213.x
- 727 Zigmond, A.S., & Snaith, R.P. (1983). The Hospital Anxiety and Depression  
728 Scale. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, *67*, 361–370. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-  
729 0447.1983.tb09716.x
- 730 Zimet, G. D., Dahlem, N. W., Zimet, S. G., & Farley, G. K. (1988). The  
731 multidimensional scale of perceived social support. *Journal of Personality*  
732 *Assessment*, *52*, 30–41. doi: 10.1207/s15327752jpa5201\_2

**Table 1.** Demographic and clinical characteristics of the FM patients ( $N = 153$ ).

	<b>Mean (SD)</b>	<b>n (%)</b>	<b>Range</b>
<b>Age</b>	52.4 (10.0)		24-74
<b>Years of education</b>	10.5 (3.3)		5-18
<b>Duration of illness (months)</b>	88.2 (65.9)		0-288
<b>VAS</b>	7.1 (2.4)		0-10
<b><i>Coping types – Brief COPE</i></b>			
<b>Problem-focused</b>	35.2 (7.2)		6-24
<b>Emotion-focused</b>	30.2 (5.6)		10-40
<b>Dysfunctional</b>	24.8 (4.5)		12-48
<b><i>Social Support</i></b>			
<b>MSPSS</b>	5.1 (1.3)		1-7
<b><i>Psychological Distress</i></b>			
<b>HADS-A</b>	9.1 (4.5)		0-21
<b>HADS-A score <math>\geq 8</math></b>		87 (56.5)	
<b>HADS-D</b>	9.3 (4.2)		0-21
<b>HADS-D score <math>\geq 8</math></b>		98 (63.6)	
<b><i>Alexithymia</i></b>			
<b>TAS-20 Total</b>	51.6 (13.5)		0-100
<b>Non-alexithymic</b>		74 (48.1)	
<b>Borderline</b>		39 (25.3)	
<b>Alexithymic</b>		40 (26.0)	

<b>TAS-20 DIF</b>	20.1 (7.3)	0-35
<b>TAS-20 DDF</b>	13.4 (4.9)	0-25
<b>TAS-20 EOT</b>	18.1 (5.0)	0-40

VAS = visual analogue scale; Brief COPE = short for Coping Orientations to Problems Experienced; MSPSS = Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support; HADS-A and HADS-D = Anxiety and Depression subscales of Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; TAS-20 = Twenty-item Toronto Alexithymia Scale; TAS-20 DIF = Difficult Identifying Feelings factor of Toronto Alexithymia Scale; TAS-20 DDF = Difficulty Describing Feelings factor of Toronto Alexithymia Scale; TAS-20 EOT = Externally-Oriented Thinking factor of Toronto Alexithymia Scale.

**Table 2.** Pearson correlations between demographic/clinical variables, types of coping, perceived social support, alexithymia and psychological distress ( $N = 153$ ).

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
<b>1. Age</b>	–												
<b>2. Educational level</b>	-.174*												
<b>3. Duration of illness</b>	.182	-.051											
<b>4. VAS</b>	-0.59	0.26	.041										
<b>5. Problem-focused coping</b>	-.108	.108	-.019	-.022									
<b>6. Emotion-focused coping</b>	-.082	.112	-.141	.008	.501**								
<b>7. Dysfunctional coping</b>	-.052	.034	-.117	.050	.321**	.177*							
<b>8. MSPSS</b>	.100	-.062	-.023	.033	.060	.179	-.130						
<b>9. HADS-A</b>	-.066	.017	.093	.424**	-.052	-.211**	.287**	-.383**					
<b>10. HADS-D</b>	-.024	-.003	.137	.381**	-.098	-.313**	.189*	-.400**	.750**				
<b>11. TAS-20 Total</b>	.039	-.122	.152	.298**	-.245**	-.221**	.192*	-.226*	.602**	.528**			

<b>12. TAS-20 DIF</b>	-.041	.004	.108	.269**	-.142	-.138	.250**	-.231*	.618**	.565**	.835**		
<b>13. TAS-20 DDF</b>	.087	-.063	.210*	.253**	-.120	-.171*	.064	-.276**	.491**	.388**	.816**	.583**	
<b>14. TAS-20 EOT</b>	0.82	-.270**	.040	.160	-.333**	-.227**	.087	-.007	.235**	.215**	.645**	.259**	.366**

VAS = visual analogue scale; MSPSS = Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support; HADS-A and HADS-D = Anxiety and Depression subscales of Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; TAS-20 = Twenty-item Toronto Alexithymia Scale; TAS-20 DIF = Difficult Identifying Feelings factor of Toronto Alexithymia Scale; TAS-20 DDF = Difficulty Describing Feelings factor of Toronto Alexithymia Scale; TAS-20 EOT = Externally-Oriented Thinking factor of Toronto Alexithymia Scale.

\*  $p < .05$ ; \*\*  $p < .01$

**Table 3.** Hierarchical multiple regressions predicting types of coping from alexithymia, anxiety and depression ( $N = 153$ ).

Predictor variables	$\beta$	t	$R^2$	Adj $R^2$	F	$\Delta R^2$	$\Delta F$
<b><i>Problem-focused coping</i></b>							
<b><i>Model</i></b>			0.113	0.101	9.512**		
TAS-20 Total	-0.052	-0.512					
TAS-20 EOT	-0.300	-2.987**					
<b><i>Emotion-focused coping</i></b>							
<b><i>Model 1</i></b>			0.051	0.045	8.195**	0.051	8.195**
TAS-20 Total	-0.128	-1.240					
TAS-20 DDF	0.101	1.193					
TAS-20 EOT	-0.227	-2.863**					
<b><i>Model 2</i></b>			0.125	0.107	7.089**	0.073	6.252**
TAS-20 Total	0.047	0.368					
TAS-20 DDF	-0.027	-0.296					
TAS-20 EOT	-0.175	-2.217*					
HADS-A	0.084	0.725					
HADS-D	-0.333	-2.887**					
<b><i>Dysfunctional coping</i></b>							
<b><i>Model 1</i></b>			0.064	0.051	5.115**	0.064	5.115**
TAS-20 Total	-0.076	-0.505					
TAS-20 DIF	0.315	2.081*					
<b><i>Model 2</i></b>			0.096	0.072	3.942**	0.032	2.656
TAS-20 Total	-0.136	-0.896					

<b>TAS-20 DIF</b>	0.250	1.607
<b>HADS-A</b>	0.283	2.227*
<b>HADS-D</b>	-0.105	-0.878

TAS-20 = Twenty-item Toronto Alexithymia Scale; TAS-20 DIF = Difficult Identifying Feelings factor of Toronto Alexithymia Scale; TAS-20 DDF = Difficulty Describing Feelings factor of Toronto Alexithymia Scale; TAS-20 EOT = Externally-Oriented Thinking factor of Toronto Alexithymia Scale; HADS-A and HADS-D = Anxiety and Depression subscales of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. \*  $p < .05$ ; \*\*  $p < .01$

**Table 4.** Hierarchical multiple regression predicting perceived social support (MSPSS) from alexithymia, anxiety and depression ( $N = 153$ ).

Predictor variables	$\beta$	$t$	$R^2$	Adj $R^2$	F	$\Delta R^2$	$\Delta F$
<b>Model 1</b>			0.098	0.072	3.787*	0.098	3.787*
<b>TAS-20 Total</b>	0.377	1.316					
<b>TAS-20 DIF</b>	-0.315	-1.573					
<b>TAS-20 DDF</b>	-0.399	-2.200*					
<b>Model 2</b>			0.214	0.176	5.611**	0.116	7.630**
<b>TAS-20 Total</b>	0.498	1.832					
<b>TAS-20 DIF</b>	-0.093	-0.470					
<b>TAS-20 DDF</b>	-0.413	-2.400*					
<b>HADS-A</b>	-0.178	-1.215					
<b>HADS-D</b>	-0.320	-2.224*					

TAS-20 = Twenty-item Toronto Alexithymia Scale; TAS-20 DIF = Difficult Identifying Feelings factor of Toronto Alexithymia Scale; TAS-20 DDF = Difficulty Describing Feelings factor of Toronto Alexithymia Scale; HADS-A and HADS-D = Anxiety and Depression subscales of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale.

\*  $p < .05$ ; \*\*  $p < .01$