

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

## Proinflammatory Effects of Pyrogenic and Precipitated Amorphous Silica Nanoparticles in Innate Immunity Cells

### This is the author's manuscript

*Original Citation:*

*Availability:*

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1623189> since 2018-10-31T14:38:31Z

*Published version:*

DOI:10.1093/toxsci/kfv258

*Terms of use:*

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

**Pro-inflammatory effects of pyrogenic and precipitated amorphous silica nanoparticles in innate immunity cells**

Journal:	<i>Toxicological Sciences</i>
Manuscript ID	TOXSCI-15-0531.R1
Manuscript Type:	Research Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	<p>Di Cristo, Luisana; University of Parma, Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine          Movia, Dania; Trinity College Dublin, School of Medicine; Trinity College Dublin, Amber Centre (CRANN Institute)          Bianchi, Massimiliano; University of Parma, Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine          Allegri, Manfredi; University of Parma, Department of Biomedical, Biotechnological and Translational Sciences          Mohamed, Bashir; Trinity College Dublin, School of Medicine          Bell, Alan; Trinity College Dublin, Advanced Microscopy Laboratory          Moore, Caroline; Trinity College Dublin, School of Medicine          Pinelli, Silvana; University of Parma, Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine          Rasmussen, Kirsten; Joint Research Centre, Institute for Health and Consumer Protection          Riego-Sintes, Juan; Joint Research Centre, Institute for Health and Consumer Protection          Prina-Mello, Adriele; Trinity College Dublin, School of Medicine; Trinity College Dublin, Amber Centre (CRANN Institute)          Bussolati, Ovidio; University of Parma, Department of Biomedical, Biotechnological and Translational Sciences          Bergamaschi, Enrico; University of Parma, Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine</p>
Key Words:	nanoparticles < Agents, macrophage < Immunotoxicology, inflammation < Immunotoxicology
Society of Toxicology Specialty Section Subject Area:	Nanotoxicology [121]

1  
2  
3 **Pro-inflammatory effects of pyrogenic and precipitated amorphous silica**  
4  
5 **nanoparticles in innate immunity cells**  
6  
7

8 Luisana Di Cristo,<sup>\*\*</sup> Dania Movia,<sup>‡¶</sup> Massimiliano G. Bianchi,<sup>\*</sup> Manfredi Allegri,<sup>†</sup> Bashir M.  
9 Mohamed<sup>‡</sup>, Alan P. Bell,<sup>§</sup> Caroline Moore,<sup>‡</sup> Silvana Pinelli,<sup>\*</sup> Kirsten Rasmussen,<sup>&</sup> Juan Riego-  
10 Sintes,<sup>&</sup> Adriele Prina-Mello,<sup>‡¶</sup> Ovidio Bussolati,<sup>†,1</sup> Enrico Bergamaschi,<sup>\*,2</sup>  
11

12  
13 *<sup>\*</sup>Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, University of Parma, Italy; <sup>†</sup>Department of Biomedical,  
14 Biotechnological and Translational Sciences, University of Parma, Italy; <sup>‡</sup>School of Medicine and <sup>¶</sup>AMBER centre  
15 (CRANN Institute), Trinity College Dublin, Ireland; <sup>§</sup>Advanced Microscopy Laboratory, Trinity College Dublin,  
16 Ireland; <sup>&</sup>Joint Research Centre, Institute for Health and Consumer Protection, Ispra, Italy*  
17

18 <sup>1</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed at Department of Biomedical, Biotechnological and Translational Sciences,  
19 University of Parma,  
20 Via Volturmo 39, Parma, 43125, Italy.  
21 Fax: +39 0521033742. E-mail: ovidio.bussolati@unipr.it

22 <sup>2</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed at Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, University of Parma,  
23 Via A. Gramsci n° 14, Parma, 43126, Italy.  
24 Fax: +39 0521033099. E-mail: enrico.bergamaschi@unipr.it  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**ABSTRACT**

Amorphous Silica NanoParticles (ASNP) can be synthesized via several processes, two of which are the thermal route (to yield pyrogenic silica) and the wet route from a solution containing silicate salts (to obtain precipitated, colloidal, mesoporous silica or silica gel). Both methods of synthesis lead to ASNP that are applied as food additive (E551). Current food regulation does not require that production methods of additives are indicated on the product label, and, thus, the ASNP are listed without mentioning the production method. Recent results indicate, however, that pyrogenic ASNP are more cytotoxic than ASNP synthesized through the wet route. The present study was aimed at clarifying if two representative preparations of ASNP, NM-203 (pyrogenic) and NM-200 (precipitated), of comparable size, specific surface area, surface charge and hydrodynamic radius in complete growth medium, had different effects on two murine macrophage cell lines (MH-S and RAW264.7 cells). Our results show that, when incubated in protein-rich fluids, NM-203 adsorbed on their surface more proteins than NM-200 and, once incubated with macrophages, elicited a greater oxidative stress, assessed from *Hmox1* induction and ROS production. Flow cytometry and helium ion microscopy indicated that pyrogenic NM-203 interacted with macrophages more strongly than the precipitated NM-200 and triggered a more evident inflammatory response, evaluated with *Nos2* induction, NO production, and the secretion of TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-6 and IL-1 $\beta$ . Moreover, both ASNP synergized macrophage activation by bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS), with a higher effect observed for NM-203. In conclusion, the results presented here demonstrate that, compared to precipitated, pyrogenic ASNP exhibit enhanced interaction with serum proteins and cell membrane, and cause a larger oxidative stress and stronger pro-inflammatory effects in macrophages. Therefore, these two nanomaterials should not be considered biologically equivalent.

**Keywords:** amorphous silica nanoparticles; food additive; inflammation; macrophages; oxidative stress; protein corona

## INTRODUCTION

Amorphous Silica NanoParticles (ASNP) are integrated in a wide variety of commercial products for human use, such as pharmaceutical products, paints, cosmetics and food. ASNP can be synthesized through two main methods, the high-temperature thermal route, to yield *pyrogenic silica*, or low-temperature wet routes to form *precipitated, colloidal, mesoporous silica* or *silica gel* (Napierska *et al.*, 2010). ASNP are produced in tonnage quantities and are, therefore, among the most abundant synthetic nanoparticles currently available on the market. In the last decades, ASNP produced by either thermal or wet methods are used in food, for example as an anti-caking agent for food products in powder form, to remove yeast and protein from beer, as an anti-foaming agent for wine and as a viscosity control for pastes (e.g. ketchup) and other food. ASNP are indicated on the label of the food as the food additive E551 without specification of the production process of the nanomaterial.

The potential toxicity of ASNP has been extensively investigated in several studies (please refer to (Napierska *et al.*, 2010) for a comprehensive review), and experimental evidence of a dose-dependent oxidative stress, cytotoxicity and inflammatory effects has been reported (Athinarayanan *et al.*, 2014; Lin *et al.*, 2006; Morishige *et al.*, 2010; Park and Park 2009). Given that the production process strongly influences surface reactivity (Napierska *et al.*, 2010), several studies take into account its possible implications for the toxicological behaviour of ASNP. For instance, Zhang *et al.* (Zhang *et al.*, 2012) found that the lung toxicity of pyrogenic ASNP was comparable to or even exceeding that of crystalline silica nanoparticles, known since many years to be highly toxic (Fubini and Hubbard 2003). Moreover, relatively high doses of pyrogenic ASNP resulted in rat liver fibrosis after 84 days of exposure (van der Zande *et al.*, 2014). However, also ASNP produced with wet methods have been found to be endowed with some toxicity (Kaewamatawong *et al.*, 2005; Morishige *et al.*, 2010; Nishimori *et al.*, 2009). As far as precipitated ASNP are concerned, they have been found to produce only transient and reversible neutrophilic lung inflammatory responses at 24 h (Sayes *et al.*, 2007). In addition, precipitated ASNP seem nearly inert when assayed for hemolytic activity (Pavan *et al.*, 2013) and failed to induce significant increases in the frequency of micronucleated binucleate cells (MNBCs) in human lymphocyte populations (Tavares *et al.*, 2014). However, only a few studies directly compare the toxicity of pyrogenic and precipitated ASNP. An inhalation toxicity study in Wistar rats (Arts *et al.*, 2007) demonstrated that pyrogenic silica induced a more pronounced increase in the expression of lung inflammation markers and, although equally cleared from the tissue, produced more severe histopathological changes than the precipitated form. The structural determinants for this different biological reactivity have been recently investigated using an *in vitro* model (Guichard *et al.*, 2015). The results presented in that study suggested that

1  
2  
3 the cytotoxicity and genotoxic properties of ASNP are related more to the primary particles size or  
4 to the agglomeration than to the production process. On the contrary, other studies attributed the  
5 increased cytotoxicity and pro-inflammatory activating effects of pyrogenic ASNP to their higher  
6 surface reactivity (Gazzano *et al.*, 2012; Sandberg *et al.*, 2012) or fused chainlike morphology  
7 (Zhang *et al.*, 2012). Since pyrogenic and precipitated ASNP of different size and specific surface  
8 area were used in these contributions, the question is open if these physico-chemical characteristics  
9 contributed to the dissimilar toxicological behavior of the ASNP tested. For instance, due to these  
10 different physico-chemical characteristics, the expression of the biological activities of pyrogenic or  
11 precipitated ASNP per mass unit or per surface unit led either to consistent (Gazzano *et al.*, 2012)  
12 or contrasting conclusions on their relative toxicity ranking (Sandberg *et al.*, 2012).

13  
14 To address this issue, here we compare the ability of two representative manufactured  
15 nanomaterials of comparable size and specific surface area to exert toxic effects and to induce cell  
16 activation in two murine macrophage cell lines.  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Reagents.** FBS and culture media were purchased from Euro-Clone SpA, Pero, Milan, Italy. CM-H<sub>2</sub>DCF-DA was purchased from Molecular Probes, Invitrogen, (Milan, Italy). Sigma-Aldrich (Milan, Italy) was the source of LPS (from *E. coli*, O55:B5 serotype) and of all the other chemicals, whenever not specified otherwise.

**Amorphous Silica NanoParticles (ASNP).** ASNP samples (NM-200 and NM-203) were obtained from the JRC Nanomaterials Repository hosting representative industrial nanomaterials (Ispra, Varese, Italy). These materials are classified as representative test materials (RTM) and include a (random) sample from one industrial production batch. They are used within the scope of the EU FP7 project “Managing risks of nanomaterials (MARINA)”.

NM-200 are precipitated silica produced by wet route, in which a solution of alkali metal silicate is acidified to produce a gelatinous precipitate that is washed and then dehydrated to produce colourless microporous silica particles (Rasmussen *et al.*, 2013). NM-203 are pyrogenic silica produced via the thermal route, that is burning SiCl<sub>4</sub> in an oxygen-rich hydrocarbon flame to produce a fume of SiO<sub>2</sub> (Rasmussen *et al.*, 2013).

**Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM).** ASNP were dispersed into 0.05 wt% BSA in water, at the concentration of 2.56 mg/mL. The TEM specimens of suspended ASNP were prepared on 300-mesh Cu lacey carbon grids by drop-casting and were visualized under a Jeol 2100 Transmission Electron Microscope (Jeol Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) operating at 200 kV with a Lanthanum Hexaborise emission source.

**Nanoparticle Tracking Analysis (NTA).** The average hydrodynamic radius of NM-200 and NM-203 in complex dispersion media was characterised using Nanoparticle Tracking Analysis (NTA) developed by Malvern Instruments Limited (Wiltshire, UK). This technique utilises the properties of light scattering and Brownian motion to obtain particle size distributions of samples in liquid suspension (Hole *et al.*, 2013). A NS500 instrument, equipped with a 405 nm laser in conjunction with software version NTA 3.1, was used for the purpose of this study. NM-200 and NM-203 were dispersed in 0.05% BSA-water and sonicated for 15 min with a Branson 5510 sonication bath prior to incubation in the various media, i.e. water, non-supplemented RPMI1640 medium (Gibco, Life Technologies, cat no. 61870) and RPMI medium supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS) at three different concentrations (16, 32 and 64 µg/ml). Hydrodynamic radius was measured

1  
2  
3 after incubation at 37 °C for 0 and 24 h. A nanoparticles concentration that records a minimum of  
4 200 tracks per video was undertaken to obtain statistical significance. Five by 60 s videos were  
5 recorded for each sample. Results are reported as average mode  $\pm$  standard deviation.  
6  
7

8  
9  
10 **Protein adsorption to ASNP.** ASNP were incubated for 1 h at 37 °C in RPMI culture medium with  
11 10% FBS. At the end of the incubation, the suspension was centrifuged for 15 min at 13,000g, and  
12 the pellets were washed three times in 18 mΩ water. Proteins adsorbed to the ASNP were then  
13 quantified with a modified micro Lowry protein assay (Farinha *et al.*, 2004) or separated on a 12%  
14 (w/v) SDS-PAGE gel. For PAGE, pellets were suspended in Laemmli buffer 1x (250 mM Tris-  
15 HCl, pH 6.8, 8% SDS, 40% glycerol, and 0.4M DTT), warmed at 95 °C for 10 min, and aliquots of  
16 25 µl were loaded on gel. The gel was then washed three times in 18 mΩ water and stained through  
17 silver staining (Cosmo Bio Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan, Cat. No. 423413) according to manufacturer's  
18 instructions. The intensity of the protein bands was determined with a Personal Densitometer SI  
19 Molecular Dynamics (GE Healthcare Europe GmbH, Milano, Italy) after further staining with Bio-  
20 Safe™ Coomassie G-250 Stain (Cat. 161-0786, Bio-Rad Laboratories S.r.l., Milan, Italy) to increase  
21 band intensity.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

30  
31 **Cell culture.** Murine alveolar macrophages (MH-S), a gift of Prof. Dario Ghigo, University of  
32 Torino (Italy), were originally provided by the Cell Bank of the Istituto Zooprofilattico  
33 Sperimentale della Lombardia ed Emilia-Romagna (Brescia, Italy). RAW264.7 murine peritoneal  
34 macrophages were obtained from the Cell Bank of the Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale della  
35 Lombardia ed Emilia-Romagna (Brescia, Italy). Cells were routinely cultured in a humidified  
36 atmosphere of 5% CO<sub>2</sub> in air in Falcon 10-cm diameter dishes (BD, Bioscience, USA) or in T75  
37 cell culture flasks (Nunc, Fisher Scientific, Ireland) in RPMI1640 medium supplemented with 10%  
38 FBS, streptomycin (100 µg/ml) - penicillin (100 U/ml), L-glutamine (2 mM) and (for MH-S cells  
39 only) β-mercaptoethanol (0.05 mM). For experiments, cells were seeded in complete growth  
40 medium in Falcon 24-well plates (BD Bioscience, San Jose, CA, USA) or Millicell EZ 4-well glass  
41 slides (Millipore, Ireland) at a density of 20 x 10<sup>4</sup> cells/well.  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

50  
51 **Incubation with ASNP and dosimetry.** Before the experiments, ASNP were heated at 230 °C for 4  
52 h to eliminate possible contamination from lipopolysaccharide (LPS). After cooling at room  
53 temperature, nanomaterials were dispersed in a stock solution at a concentration of 2.5 mg/mL by  
54 pre-wetting powder in 0.5% ethanol (96% purity) followed by dispersion in 0.05 wt% Bovine  
55 Serum Albumin (BSA, A9418, Sigma Aldrich) in water and 16 min of bath sonication (from  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 Nanogenotox protocol, with modifications). The ASNP suspensions thus obtained were diluted in  
4 complete growth medium to reach the desired dose.

5  
6 Taking into account the volume/surface ratio of the various culture systems adopted and the use of  
7 sub-confluent (for cytotoxicity experiments) or confluent monolayers (for other studies), we have  
8 expressed the ASNP nominal doses as  $\mu\text{g}$  of materials per  $\text{cm}^2$  of monolayer. The volume/culture  
9 surface ratio has been kept constant in all the experiments. The dosimetry of nanomaterials,  
10 expressed as  $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$  or  $\text{m}^2/\text{ml}$ , is summarized in Table 1.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15

16 ***High content screening and analysis (HCSA) – ASNP cytotoxicity.*** MH-S and RAW264.7 cells  
17 were exposed to increasing doses (2.5, 5, 10, 20, 40, 80  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ ) of ASNP for 24, 48 and 72 h. Data  
18 are reported as average standard deviation ( $n_{\text{replicates}} = 3$ ;  $n_{\text{tests}} = 3$ ). Positive (cells exposed to  
19 cisplatin) and negative (untreated cells) controls were also included into each experiment in order to  
20 quantify the extent of toxicity response induced by each particle type. After, respectively, 24, 48  
21 and 72 h of incubation, cells were washed in phosphate-buffered saline solution (PBS) at pH 7.4  
22 and fixed in 3% paraformaldehyde (PFA), as previously described (Mohamed *et al.*, 2011; Movia *et*  
23 *al.*, 2011; Movia *et al.*, 2010; Prina-Mello *et al.*, 2013; Williams *et al.*, 2008). Multiparametric  
24 analysis of the ASNP cytotoxicity response using HCSA was performed using the Cellomics® HCS  
25 reagents Cytotoxicity1 kit and Apoptosis1 kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Ireland) according to  
26 manufacturer's instructions. The kits allow detecting and quantifying changes in i) cell count,  
27 lysosomal mass/pH, cell membrane permeability (as parameters recorded with the Cytotoxicity1  
28 kit) and, ii) mitochondrial activity, cytoskeletal actin reorganisation, nuclear intensity and nuclear  
29 size (as parameters recorded with the Apoptosis1 kit), which are phenomena associated to a  
30 toxicological response. Plates were analysed by IN Cell Analyzer 1000 automated microscope (GE  
31 Healthcare, Buckinghamshire, UK). Cytotoxicity and apoptosis responses were quantified using the  
32 analysis module of the IN Cell Investigator software (GE Healthcare, Buckinghamshire, UK). The  
33 module allows simultaneous quantification of subcellular inclusions that are marked by different  
34 fluorescent probes and measures fluorescence intensity associated with predefined nuclear and  
35 cytoplasmic compartments, as previously described (Prina-Mello *et al.*, 2014).  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

51 ***Cellular internalization of ASNP.*** RAW264.7 cells were plated in 4-well Millicell™ EZ Slide  
52 (Merck SpA, Vimodrone, MI, Italy) at a concentration of  $20 \times 10^4$  cells/well. Cells were incubated  
53 for 24 h at  $37^\circ\text{C}$  (5%  $\text{CO}_2$ ) to allow cell attachment to the glass substrate. For internalization, cells  
54 were incubated with ASNP at a concentration of  $5 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ . After 2 h, cells were transferred for 20  
55 min into serum-free medium supplemented with CellTracker™ Red CMPTX (8  $\mu\text{M}$ , Molecular  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Probes, Invitrogen) to label the cytoplasm; in the last 10 min 1,5-bis[2-(di-  
4 methylamino)ethyl]amino-4, 8-dihydroxyanthracene-9,10-dione (DRAQ5®, 20 µM, Alexis  
5 Biochemicals, San Diego, CA, USA) was also added to the incubation medium to counterstain the  
6 nucleus. At the end of the incubation, cell monolayers were rinsed in PBS and fixed with 3.7% PFA  
7 at room temperature for 15 min. Specimens were then mounted on glass slides with fluorescence  
8 mounting medium (Dako Italia SpA, Milan, Italy) and imaged by confocal microscopy. Confocal  
9 analysis was carried out with a LSM 510 Meta scan head integrated with an inverted microscope  
10 (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany). Samples were observed through a 40× (1.4 NA) oil objective. Image  
11 acquisition was carried out in multitrack mode, i.e. through consecutive and independent optical  
12 pathways. Excitation at 488 nm and reflectance were used to visualize ASNP (shown in green as  
13 pseudo-colour); excitation at 543 nm and emission recorded through a 580–630 nm band pass  
14 barrier filter were used to visualize the cytoplasm (red, pseudo-colour); excitation at 633 nm and  
15 emission through a 670 nm long pass filter were recorded to visualize the nucleus (blue, pseudo-  
16 colour).  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

28 **Cytofluorimetric assay of ASNP uptake.** The light scattered at a 90° angle to the axis of the laser  
29 beam is measured as side scatter (SSC) and is related to intracellular density, a parameter associated  
30 with ASNP uptake (Zhao and Ibuki 2015). For these experiments, RAW264.7 cells were seeded in  
31 6-well plates at the density of  $1 \times 10^6$  cells/well. After 30 min or 2 h of incubation with NM-200 or  
32 NM-203 ( $5 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ ), cells were washed with PBS, detached with a cell scraper and analysed by a  
33 FC500™ flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, USA), as previously described (Alinovi *et*  
34 *al.*, 2015) The cytograms and the histogram were obtained using FlowJo software (Ashland, OR,  
35 USA).  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

43 **He-Ion Microscopy (HIM).** Cells were fixed at room temperature in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M  
44 Sørensen's phosphate buffer (pH 7.3) and rinsed with Sørensen's phosphate buffer. Samples were  
45 dehydrated in increasing concentrations of EtOH (from 70% up to 100%). The final wash was  
46 carried out in pure EtOH for 20 min. The samples were air dried and imaged by a Zeiss Orion Plus  
47 He-ion microscope (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) using an accelerating voltage of 30 kV.  
48 Samples were transferred into the chamber, which had undergone plasma clean overnight prior to  
49 loading samples, using a load lock. The working distance was 8 mm and a 10 µm beam limiting  
50 aperture was used. The probe current was between 0.5 and 1.5 pA. Images were acquired by  
51 collecting the secondary electrons emitted by the interaction between the He-ion beam and the  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

specimen with an Everhart-Thornley detector (part of the He-ion microscope system). The image signal was acquired in a 32- or 64-line integration to each contributing line of the image.

**Gene expression analysis.** The expression of *Nos2*, *Hmox1* and *Tnf* was assessed with real Time PCR. 1 µg of total RNA, isolated with GenElute Mammalian Total RNA Miniprep Kit (Sigma-Aldrich) was reverse transcribed. For real-time qPCR, cDNA was amplified with Go Taq PCR Master Mix (Promega, Italia, Milan, Italy), along with the forward and reverse primers indicated in Table 2 (5 pmol each). The expression of the gene of interest under each experimental condition was normalized to that of *Gapdh* and shown relative to its expression level in control, untreated cells.

**Cell lysis and Western blotting.** Cells were lysed in a buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1% Triton, 2.5 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 1 mM β-glycerophosphate, 1 mM Na<sub>3</sub>VO<sub>4</sub>, 1 mM NaF, 2 mM imidazole and a cocktail of protease inhibitors (Complete, Mini, EDTA-free, Roche, Milan, Italy). Lysates were sonicated for 15 s and centrifuged at 12,000g for 20 min at 4 °C. After quantification with the Bio-Rad protein assay, aliquots of 40 µg of proteins were mixed with Laemmli buffer 4× (250 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 8% SDS, 40% glycerol, and 0.4M DTT), warmed at 95 °C for 10 min and loaded on a 8% gel for SDS-PAGE. After electrophoresis, proteins were transferred to PVDF membranes (Immobilon-P, Millipore, Millipore Corporation, MA, USA). Non-specific binding sites were blocked with an incubation of 1 h at room temperature in 5% BSA in TBS-Tween. The blots were then exposed at 4 °C overnight to anti-Nos2 (rabbit polyclonal, 1:400, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) or anti-actin (rabbit polyclonal, 1:30,000, Cell Signaling Technology diluted in the same solution). After washing, the blots were exposed for 1 h at room temperature to HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit antibody (Cell Signaling Technology), diluted 1:20,000 in blocking solution. Immunoreactivity was visualized with Immobilon Western Chemiluminescent HRP Substrate (Millipore, Milan, Italy).

**Determination of NO production.** Nitrite concentration in the culture media, as an indicator of NO production, was determined through a fluorometric approach, as previously described (Sala *et al.*, 2002). The method is based on the production of the fluorescent molecule 1H-naphthotriazole from 2,3-diaminonaphthalene (DAN) in acid environment. For nitrite determination, 100 µl of medium were put in wells of a black 96-well plate with a clear bottom (Corning, Cambridge, MA, USA). DAN (20 µl of a solution of 0.025 mg/ml in 0.31 M HCl) was then added and, after 10 min at room temperature, the reaction was stopped with 20 µl of 0.7 N NaOH. Standards were performed in the

1  
2  
3 same medium from a solution of 1 mM sodium nitrite. Fluorescence was determined with an  
4 EnSpire plate reader (Perkin Elmer). Nitrite production was expressed in nmoles per ml of  
5 extracellular medium ( $\mu\text{M}$ ).  
6  
7

8  
9  
10 **Cytokine secretion.** After the selected incubation periods in presence of ASNP, the presence of  
11 Tumor Necrosis Factor-alpha (TNF- $\alpha$ ), Interleukin-6 (IL-6) and IL-1 $\beta$  in the culture media of the  
12 MH-S and RAW264.7 was determined with ELISA RayBio® kits (Ray Biotech, Norcross, GA,  
13 USA). 100  $\mu\text{l}$  of medium were transferred into 96-well plates functionalized with anti-cytokine  
14 antibodies and incubated overnight at 4 °C. Then, 100  $\mu\text{l}$  of biotinylated antiIgG-antibody were  
15 added in each well and, after 1 h of incubation at RT, 100  $\mu\text{l}$  of streptavidin solution were added.  
16 After 45 min, samples were incubated with 100  $\mu\text{l}$  of the TMB One Step Reagent, contained in the  
17 kit solution; after 30 min, reaction was stopped and absorbance was immediately read at 450 nm  
18 with a plate reader. Standards were performed in the assay buffer from a solution of 50 ng/ml of the  
19 recombinant cytokine, as for manufacturer's protocol.  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

27  
28 **Intracellular reactive oxygen species production.** The production of ROS was measured using 5-  
29 (and-6)-chloromethyl-2',7' dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate, acetyl ester (CM-H<sub>2</sub>DCF-DA,  
30 Cat. No. C6827, Molecular Probes, Invitrogen). After the experimental treatments, cells were  
31 incubated with the probe (5  $\mu\text{M}$ ) for 2 h at 37 °C. Cells were then washed twice with PBS and the  
32 fluorescence was determined (485 nm  $\lambda_{\text{ex}}$  and 520 nm  $\lambda_{\text{em}}$ ) with a multiplate reader. Hydrogen  
33 peroxide (6 mM, one hour before adding the probe) was used as a positive control. Cell  
34 fluorescence was visualized using a fluorescence microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan).  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

41 **Statistics and data presentation.** Statistic evaluation of effects has been performed with two-tail t  
42 test for unpaired data to compare positive (LPS in Figs. 4, 5 and 6C-D; H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in Fig. 6A-B) and  
43 negative controls. One-way ANOVA with Tukey test has been used in all the other cases. Statistical  
44 evaluations have been performed using GraphPad Prism™ software version 4.00 (GraphPad  
45 Software Inc., San Diego, CA). Differences have been considered significant for values of  $p < 0.05$ .  
46 In order to screen and normalise the results of High Content Screening, KNIME in combination  
47 with a screening module HiTS (<http://code.google.com/p/hits>, 0.3.0) were implemented as  
48 previously described (Kozak *et al.*, 2010; Williams *et al.*, 2008). All measured parameters were  
49 normalized using the percent of the positive controls. Z score was used for scoring the normalized  
50 values. These scores were summarized using the mean function as follows  $Z \text{ score} = (x - \text{mean}) / \text{StDev}$ , as from previous work (Birmingham *et al.*, 2009; Movia *et al.*, 2011; Movia *et al.*,  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 2010; Prina-Mello *et al.*, 2013; Williams *et al.*, 2008). Heatmap graphical illustration in a  
4 colorimetric gradient table format was adopted as the most suitable schematic representation to  
5 report on any statistical significance and variation from normalized controls based on their Z score  
6 value. Heatmap tables illustrate the range of variation of each quantified parameter from the  
7 minimum (green) through the mean (yellow) to the maximum (red) accordingly to the parameter  
8 under analysis.  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## RESULTS

### *Physico-chemical properties of ASPN*

A detailed physico-chemical characterization of the ASPN, provided in the report on the synthetic amorphous silicon dioxide nanomaterials of the JRC Repository (Rasmussen *et al.*, 2013), is summarized in Table 3.

The TEM images of NM-200 and NM-203 (Fig. S1) indicated that both nanomaterials mainly consist of small aggregates of some elementary particles that tend to clump in larger agglomerates, while primary particles are rarely detected.

Table 4 reports the average hydrodynamic radius of each sample, at the maximal dose used in the biological experiments, in water, non-supplemented medium and protein-supplemented medium, as recorded by NTA. A high standard deviation in the measurements of NM-200 and NM-203 samples dispersed in water and non-supplemented culture medium was associated with a broad range in distribution of nanoparticles sizes and with agglomeration phenomena. ASPN dispersed in medium supplemented with 10% FBS showed a more mono-dispersed population. NM-203 showed a decreasing trend in hydrodynamic radius after 24 h incubation, indicating the breakdown of particle agglomerates. Interestingly, minor changes in the hydrodynamic radius of the two samples dispersed in supplemented medium were recorded over time, suggesting the stabilisation of the nanoparticle dispersion or formation of protein corona by FBS. Under conditions resembling those adopted for the biological experiments (*i.e.*  $t = 24$  h, RPMI supplemented with FBS) the hydrodynamic radius of NM-200 and NM-203 was comparable.

### *Binding of serum proteins to ASPN*

In order to evaluate the binding of serum proteins with ASPN, NM-200 and NM-203 were incubated with culture medium supplemented with 10% FBS. Proteins adsorbed to ASPN were then quantified with a colorimetric method or detected with silver staining after polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (Fig. 1). Quantification of adsorbed proteins (Fig. 1A) evidenced that ASPN bound serum proteins in a dose-dependent trend, with significantly higher amounts for NM-203 than for NM-200. The increased adsorption capability of NM-203 was confirmed with silver staining (Fig. 1B-C), for either FBS or pure BSA. The adsorbed protein pattern was different for the two ASPN types, with a few bands clearly more abundant in NM-200 than in NM-203 eluate.

### *Interaction of ASPN with macrophages*

1  
2  
3 MH-S and RAW264.7 cells were imaged by He-Ion Microscopy (HIM) after 24 h incubation with  
4 NM-200 and NM-203 (Fig. 2). Untreated cells (negative control) cultured for 24 h were also  
5 imaged for comparison (Fig. 2A-B, G-H). Many ASNP agglomerates could be found in close  
6 proximity of the exposed cells and, in some cases, cells surface was partially or completely covered  
7 by an ASNP layer. In particular, NM-203 (see the representative fields shown in Fig. 2E-F and 2M-  
8 N) formed agglomerates onto the cell surface more readily than NM-200 (Fig. 2C-D and 2I-L).  
9 Moreover, MH-S cells interacted with NM-200 agglomerates more closely than RAW264.7 cells.  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15

#### 16 *Cell internalization of ASNP*

17  
18 The internalization of ASNP was assessed in RAW264.7 cells after 2 h of incubation with confocal  
19 microscopy and cytofluorimetry. Images evidenced that both ASNP (imaged in reflectance mode)  
20 were effectively internalized into the macrophages (Fig. S2). In addition, the cytogram distribution,  
21 recorded by cytofluorimetry, and the corresponding histograms (Fig. 3), showed an increase in SSC  
22 intensity after treatment with both ASNP for 30 min, thus suggesting that ASNP were rapidly taken  
23 up by the macrophages. However, the mean SSC ratio was higher for NM-203 than for NM-200 at  
24 both 30 min (17%) and 2 h (56%) of treatment, indicating a more efficient uptake of the pyrogenic  
25 ASNP.  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

#### 33 *Cytotoxicity of ASNP on murine macrophages*

34  
35 Recent data from our and other laboratories, obtained with several independent methods on a wide  
36 range of NM-200 and NM-203 doses at various incubation times (Farcal *et al.*, 2015), indicated that  
37 NM-203 cause a larger decrease in cell viability than NM-200 in both MH-S and RAW264.7 cell  
38 models.  
39

40  
41 Changes in cell count recorded and quantified by HCSA confirmed that NM-203 were more  
42 cytotoxic than NM-200 (Fig. S3-S6). Increased apoptotic response was also higher for NM-203  
43 than NM-200-treated cells. Several other parameters also changed with different patterns suggesting  
44 that the interaction of the two nanomaterials with macrophages triggered various cytotoxicity and  
45 apoptotic pathways, as reported in the supplemental information section.  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

#### 51 *Macrophage activation by pyrogenic and precipitated ASNP*

52  
53 Fig. 4A reports *Nos2* mRNA expression after 24 h incubation of MH-S macrophages to non-  
54 cytotoxic doses (5 or 10  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ ) of NM-200 or NM-203. *Nos2* was significantly induced by  
55 incubation with NM-200 (at 10  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ ) or NM-203 (at both 5 and 10  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ ). This effect was  
56 confirmed at protein level (Fig. 4C) and corresponded to a clear cut increase in NO production (Fig.  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 4E). However, in RAW264.7 cells *Nos2* messenger was not significantly induced compared with  
4 control under the same experimental conditions (Fig. 4B), although, only in cells treated with NM-  
5 203, *Nos2* protein appeared barely detectable and, consistently, increased accumulation of nitrites in  
6 the medium was observed (Fig. 4D-F).  
7

8  
9  
10 Recently, a synergistic effect on macrophage activation has been described for TiO<sub>2</sub> NP and LPS  
11 (Bianchi *et al.*, 2015). To assess if also ASNP synergize LPS effects on macrophages, RAW264.7  
12 cells were simultaneously treated with LPS and either ASNP (Fig. 4G). The combined treatment  
13 caused a further significant stimulation of NO production, compared with that observed after  
14 incubation with LPS alone. The enhancing effect was much higher for NM203-treated (+52%)  
15 compared to NM200-treated cells (+18%).  
16  
17  
18  
19

#### 20 21 *Secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines in MH-S and RAW264.7 cells exposed to ASNP*

22 The secretion of the pro-inflammatory cytokines TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-6 and IL-1 $\beta$  was quantified in the  
23 culture medium after the treatment of MH-S and RAW264.7 cells with NM-200 and NM-203 (5 or  
24 10  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ ). Under control conditions, the levels of the three cytokines in the culture medium were  
25 very low or below threshold. However, both cell lines produced a significant increase of medium  
26 TNF- $\alpha$  (assessed at 6 h of treatment), IL-6 (at 12 h) and IL-1 $\beta$  (after 48 h of treatment), although  
27 MH-S cells consistently secreted more cytokines than RAW264.7 cells. At the higher dose used (10  
28  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ ), and with both cell models, pyrogenic ASNP elicited a higher secretion of the three  
29 cytokines than precipitated ASNP.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37

#### 38 *Oxidative stress in ASNP-exposed murine macrophages*

39 ROS production was measured on MH-S and RAW264.7 macrophage lines upon 24 h of incubation  
40 with NM-200 and NM-203 (Fig. 6). A significant dose-dependent increase of ROS production was  
41 detectable, by fluorescence signal recording, in NM-203-treated MH-S cells (Fig. 6A) but not in  
42 NM-203-treated RAW264.7 cells (Fig. 6B). Conversely, NM-200, did not promote ROS production  
43 either in MH-S cells or in RAW264.7 cells. The increase in fluorescence in MH-S cells treated with  
44 NM-203 was also evident by fluorescence microscopy (Fig. 6).  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

50 *Hmox-1* (hemeoxygenase-1) is known to play a major role in the cell response to oxidative stress-  
51 mediated injuries (Bhaskaran *et al.*, 2012). *Hmox1* expression was assessed after a 6h-incubation  
52 with NM-200 and NM-203. For both macrophage lines, a dose-dependent increase in expression of  
53 *Hmox1* messenger was evident for NM-203-treated cells, although in MH-S the increase of the  
54 messenger was much higher than in RAW264.7 cells (10- and 12-fold for 5 and 10  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$   
55 respectively for MH-S, and 6- and 9-fold induction for 5 and 10  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  respectively for  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 RAW264.7) (Fig. 6C-D). In contrast, for both MH-S and RAW264.7 cells, NM-200 induced an  
4 increase in expression of the *Hmox1* messenger only at a concentration of 10  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ , with a much  
5 lower effect for RAW264.7 cells.  
6

7  
8 The expression of *Hmox1* and *Tnf* was assessed after 8 h in RAW264.7 cells exposed to 10  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$   
9 of ASNP in the absence or in the presence of the antioxidant N-acetyl-cysteine (NAC, 1 mM).  
10 NAC significantly blunted the induction of *Tnf* in macrophages incubated with NM-203, but not  
11 with NM-200, although lowered *Hmox1* induction by both materials (from 4-fold to 2-fold for  
12 NM-200 and from 11-fold to 5-fold for NM-203, Fig. 6E-F).  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## DISCUSSION

The results presented in this study indicate that pyrogenic NM-203 ASNP are more biologically reactive than precipitated NM-200 ASNP. In particular, NM-203 resulted more cytotoxic and exhibit larger effects on macrophage activation than NM-200, suggesting that the thermally produced synthetic amorphous silica is correlated to the presence of crucial determinants of biological responses.

The identification of structural determinants of nanomaterial toxicity is greatly aided by the comparison of biological effects of different preparations of the same nanoparticles. However, to derive clear cut conclusions, the comparison should be ideally performed between nanomaterials differing by a single property only. In the present study, this principle has been applied to the evaluation of the biological effects of precipitated and pyrogenic ASNP, differing only in the method of synthesis. The characterization of NM-200 and NM-203, obtained from the JRC Nanomaterials Repository, had indicated comparable values of specific surface area, size of primary particles and agglomerates, and zeta potential (Rasmussen *et al.*, 2013). We have integrated those data, showing that in FBS-supplemented media, *i.e.* under the conditions adopted for biological experiments, NTA measurements did not show significant differences in the hydrodynamic radius between NM-200 and NM-203. Moreover, no significant further agglomeration was detected in this complex dispersing medium over a 24 h incubation. These data suggest that differences detected in the biological responses following cell incubation with NM-200 and NM-203 are not attributable to the different agglomeration of the two materials.

The different production processes lead to different surface chemistries with oxidative reactivity for NM-203 and null or reductive reactivity for NM-200 (Rasmussen *et al.*, 2013). In a protein-rich medium, different surface reactivity could modify the formation of protein corona, which is known to be a powerful determinant of the biological interactions of the nanomaterials (Ge *et al.*, 2015). The adsorption of proteins to ASNP has been previously investigated (Turci *et al.*, 2010) but no comparison was made in that study between pyrogenic and precipitated silica. The direct comparison between the two ASNP performed here (Fig. 1) not only indicated that pyrogenic ASNP bound a larger quantity of serum proteins than precipitated silica but also showed that the pattern of adsorbed proteins was not the same in the two cases. As Turci *et al.* (Turci *et al.*, 2010) reported, the adsorption of a protein on a surface is a very complex process that results from a contribution of energetic (hydrogen bonding, electrostatic forces, and hydrophobic interactions) and entropic factors (structural changes in the protein and dehydration of the protein and surface). In our case, the zeta potential values of the two ASNP were very similar; therefore we can exclude surface

1  
2  
3 charge as the main reason of different uptake and specificity towards single serum protein. Zhang et  
4 al. (Zhang *et al.*, 2012) have argued that, although a wide range of ASNP are endowed with similar  
5 coverages of surface silanols, this does not exclude distinct toxicity profiles. The same authors  
6 demonstrate that a major determinant of the different toxicity of ASNP produced by thermal or wet  
7 routes derives from differences in the siloxane framework architecture, attributable to the synthesis  
8 conditions. In that study, the Stober wet method was used for the production of colloidal ASNP. If  
9 the hypothesis proposed by Zhang *et al.* would be applicable also for the pyrogenic and precipitated  
10 ASNP tested in the present study, we could speculate that specific domains of serum proteins may  
11 have a structure that better fits the surface characteristics (i.e. the siloxane framework) of the two  
12 ASNP preparations.

13  
14 Nanoparticle surface may also adsorb organic molecules other than proteins, which may affect the  
15 biological interactions of the nanomaterials. For instance, we recently demonstrated that the  
16 interaction between TiO<sub>2</sub> NP and LPS strongly potentiates macrophage activation, suggesting that  
17 the presence of environmental contaminants may enhance the pro-inflammatory activity of  
18 nanomaterials (Bianchi *et al.*, 2015). As far as ASNP are concerned, Shi et al (Shi *et al.*, 2010)  
19 reported that LPS and ASNP have synergistic cytotoxic and oxidative effects on A549 lung  
20 epithelial cells. Our results demonstrate that ASNP enhanced LPS effects also on macrophage  
21 activation, with a much higher effect observed for the pyrogenic NM-203. Several mechanisms may  
22 underlie this synergy; the amount of LPS adsorbed may be larger for pyrogenic than for precipitated  
23 ASNP or LPS-induced activation may be indirectly favoured by NM-203 effects on macrophages,  
24 for instance, through the promotion of a stronger oxidative stress.

25  
26 The different surface reactivity stemming from different production processes may also influence  
27 the different interaction of the two ASNP with the cell surface. Indeed, Pavan et al. reported that the  
28 different interaction between various types of amorphous silica (pyrogenic, precipitated, vitreous)  
29 and red blood cells (RBC) is mainly determined by the surface arrangement of silanols and  
30 siloxanes that are able to match with epitopes present in the RBC membrane (Pavan *et al.*, 2013).  
31 The resulting hemolysis, evident for pyrogenic and vitreous but not for precipitated silica, could be  
32 correlated with the pathogenic responses to amorphous silica nanoparticles (Pavan *et al.*, 2013) and,  
33 at least for vitreous silica, with inflammasome activation (Pavan *et al.*, 2014). In our study, helium  
34 ion microscopy (Fig. 2) has been used to investigate the interaction of ASNP with the cell  
35 membrane. NM-203, but not NM-200, clearly formed aggregated layers onto the plasma membrane  
36 of both macrophage lines, so that the cell surface was completely or partially covered by ASNP.  
37 Close interaction with cell membrane of pyrogenic silica and changes in membrane morphology  
38 were previously reported by electron microscopy (Zhang *et al.*, 2012). However, no obvious  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 differences between pyrogenic and precipitated ASNP internalization were found in macrophages  
4 with the same technique (Gazzano *et al.*, 2012). In contrast with those results, our cytofluorimetric  
5 analysis indicates that, at least at a short time of incubation, NM-203 enter cells more efficiently  
6 than NM-200 ASNP (Fig. 3).  
7  
8

9  
10 All the inflammatory parameters tested (*Nos2* induction at both protein and gene level, NO  
11 production, TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-6 and IL-1 $\beta$  secretion) were more evident in NM-203- than in NM-200-  
12 treated macrophages. Importantly, although the effects were overall more evident in MH-S cells  
13 than in RAW 264.7 cells, the ranking of biological effects was consistently NM-203 > NM-200 in  
14 the two macrophage cell lines. Our results are consistent with recent studies that have reported  
15 higher cytotoxicity and inflammatory activity of pyrogenic, as compared to precipitated or colloidal  
16 ASNP, towards macrophages (Gazzano *et al.*, 2012; Sandberg *et al.*, 2012; Zhang *et al.*, 2012).  
17 Although we do not specifically investigate the activation modality elicited by ASNP, all the  
18 parameters taken into consideration are consistent with M1 or “classical” macrophage activation, a  
19 coordinated response at transcriptional level that plays a major role in promoting acute  
20 inflammation. It is known that M1 activation of inflammatory cells is associated with oxidative  
21 stress (Park and Park 2009). Thus, the higher cytotoxicity and the greater pro-inflammatory  
22 responses induced by NM-203 in both macrophage cell lines may be due to the higher oxidative  
23 stress withstood by cells exposed to this material. In support of our hypothesis, we have  
24 demonstrated that pyrogenic ASNP are more powerful inducers of *Hmox1* than precipitated ASNP.  
25 Given that *Hmox1* induction is one of the most sensitive and reliable indicators of the cell response  
26 to oxidative stress and a parameter linked to inflammation triggering (Naito *et al.*, 2014; Poss and  
27 Tonegawa 1997), these results indicate that NM-203-treated cells undergo a higher level of  
28 oxidative stress than NM-200-treated cells, a conclusion also supported by the results of the CM-  
29 H<sub>2</sub>DCF fluorescence test (Fig. 6). The role of oxidative stress in the response to ASNP is also  
30 supported by the effects of the antioxidant NAC, which partially hinders, along with *Hmox1*  
31 expression, also *Tnf* induction in NM203-treated cells. However, this partial inhibition, along with  
32 the NAC insensitivity of the NM-200-dependent stimulation of *Tnf* induction, suggests that ASNP  
33 promote cytokine production through a complex mechanism only in part attributable to oxidative  
34 stress.  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

51 A significant dose-dependent increase in IL-1 $\beta$  secretion was detected in macrophages exposed to  
52 ASNP. Such response was particularly evident in NM-203-treated macrophages, and pointed to the  
53 activation of the inflammasome, as already reported in previous studies from other research groups.  
54 However, those studies were performed on THP-1 cells activated with phorbol esters (Zhang *et al.*,  
55 2012) or on RAW264.7 cells primed by LPS (Sandberg *et al.*, 2012) and exposed to high doses of  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 pyrogenic ASNP (50-200  $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ). Conversely, in our study we demonstrated the activation of  
4 inflammasome in non-primed macrophages exposed to relatively low, barely toxic doses of  
5 pyrogenic ASNP. Moreover, for the first time, our results also show the activation of this pathway  
6 by precipitated ASNP, although with a smaller potency compared with pyrogenic silica. Since  
7 maturation of IL-1 $\beta$  is tightly regulated by the NLRP3 inflammasome, our results suggest that even  
8 low doses of pyrogenic and precipitated nanoparticles are able to stimulate both *III* expression and  
9 proIL-1 $\beta$  processing.  
10

11  
12 In conclusion, the data presented in this study demonstrate that pyrogenic NM-203 are more  
13 cytotoxic and pro-inflammatory than precipitated NM-200 of comparable size and surface area.  
14 Taking into account also the literature data as outlined above, the greater biological reactivity of  
15 pyrogenic ASNP does not seem to depend on a different agglomeration behaviour when dispersed  
16 in biological media but may, instead, derive from their higher surface reactivity associated with a  
17 higher capability to: i) adsorb proteins and, possibly, other bioactive organic molecules, ii) interact  
18 with cell membranes and iii) induce oxidative stress in exposed cells. With this in mind, lowering  
19 the particle surface reactivity should be considered as part of the “safety by design” approaches to  
20 reduce biological hazard derived from exposure to pyrogenic ASNP. Moreover, as substantiated  
21 above, the biological reactivity of pyrogenic and precipitated ASNP should be presumed to be  
22 different and, in our opinion, there is thus a need for a labelling that would report the method of  
23 synthesis when ASNP are present in food and, possibly, in other products.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**SUPPLEMENTARY DATA**

TEM micrographs of ASNP, confocal images of internalized ASNP and multiparametric analysis of the ASNP cytotoxicity response using HCS.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Supported by NANoREG (Grant FP7-NMP2012 310584), MaRiNa (Grant FP7-NMP4-LA-2011-26321) and QNANO TCD-TA136 (Grant INFRA-2010-1.1.31-262163) for financial contribution to this manuscript. The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## REFERENCES

Alinovi, R., Goldoni, M., Pinelli, S., Campanini, M., Aliatis, I., Bersani, D., Lottici, P. P., Iavicoli, S., Petyx, M., Mozzoni, P., and Mutti, A. (2015). Oxidative and pro-inflammatory effects of cobalt and titanium oxide nanoparticles on aortic and venous endothelial cells. *Toxicol In Vitro* **29**, 426-437.

Arts, J. H., Muijser, H., Duistermaat, E., Junker, K., and Kuper, C. F. (2007). Five-day inhalation toxicity study of three types of synthetic amorphous silicas in Wistar rats and post-exposure evaluations for up to 3 months. *Food Chem Toxicol* **45**, 1856-1867.

Athinarayanan, J., Periasamy, V. S., Alsaif, M. A., Al-Warthan, A. A., and Alshatwi, A. A. (2014). Presence of nanosilica (E551) in commercial food products: TNF-mediated oxidative stress and altered cell cycle progression in human lung fibroblast cells. *Cell Biol Toxicol* **30**, 89-100.

Bhaskaran, N., Shukla, S., Kanwal, R., Srivastava, J. K., and Gupta, S. (2012). Induction of heme oxygenase-1 by chamomile protects murine macrophages against oxidative stress. *Life. Sci.* **90**, 1027-1033.

Bianchi, M. G., Allegri, M., Costa, A. L., Blosi, M., Gardini, D., Del Pivo, C., Prina-Mello, A., Di Cristo, L., Bussolati, O., and Bergamaschi, E. (2015). Titanium dioxide nanoparticles enhance macrophage activation by LPS through a TLR4-dependent intracellular pathway. *Toxicol Res* **4**, 385-398.

Birmingham, A., Selfors, L. M., Forster, T., Wrobel, D., Kennedy, C. J., Shanks, E., Santoyo-Lopez, J., Dunican, D. J., Long, A., Kelleher, D., Smith, Q., Beijersbergen, R. L., Ghazal, P., and Shamu, C. E. (2009). Statistical methods for analysis of high-throughput RNA interference screens. *Nat Methods* **6**, 569-575.

Farcas, L., Torres Andon, F., Di Cristo, L., Rotoli, B. M., Bussolati, O., Bergamaschi, E., Mech, A., Hartmann, N. B., Rasmussen, K., Riego-Sintes, J., Ponti, J., Kinsner-Ovaskainen, A., Rossi, F., Oomen, A., Bos, P., Chen, R., Bai, R., Chen, C., Rocks, L., Fulton, N., Ross, B., Hutchison, G., Tran, L., Mues, S., Ossig, R., Schnekenburger, J., Campagnolo, L., Vecchione, L., Pietroiusti, A., and Fadeel, B. (2015). Comprehensive In Vitro Toxicity Testing of a Panel of Representative Oxide Nanomaterials: First Steps towards an Intelligent Testing Strategy. *PLoS One* **10**, e0127174.

Farinha, C. M., Mendes, F., Roxo-Rosa, M., Penque, D., and Amaral, M. D. (2004). A comparison of 14 antibodies for the biochemical detection of the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator protein. *Mol Cell Probes* **18**, 235-242.

Fubini, B., and Hubbard, A. (2003). Reactive oxygen species (ROS) and reactive nitrogen species (RNS) generation by silica in inflammation and fibrosis. *Free Radic Biol Med* **34**, 1507-1516.

Gazzano, E., Ghiazza, M., Polimeni, M., Bolis, V., Fenoglio, I., Attanasio, A., Mazzucco, G., Fubini, B., and Ghigo, D. (2012). Physicochemical determinants in the cellular responses to nanostructured amorphous silicas. *Toxicol. Sci.* **128**, 158-170.

Ge, C., Tian, J., Zhao, Y., Chen, C., Zhou, R., and Chai, Z. (2015). Towards understanding of nanoparticle-protein corona. *Arch Toxicol* **89**, 519-539.

1  
2  
3 Guichard, Y., Fontana, C., Chavinier, E., Terzetti, F., Gate, L., Binet, S., and Darne, C. (2015).  
4 Cytotoxic and genotoxic evaluation of different synthetic amorphous silica nanomaterials in the  
5 V79 cell line. *Toxicol Ind Health*.

6  
7 Hole, P., Sillence, K., Hannell, C., Maguire, C. M., Roesslein, M., Suarez, G., Capracotta, S.,  
8 Magdolenova, Z., Horev-Azaria, L., Dybowska, A., Cooke, L., Haase, A., Contal, S., Mano, S.,  
9 Vennemann, A., Sauvain, J. J., Staunton, K. C., Anguissola, S., Luch, A., Dusinska, M., Korenstein,  
10 R., Gutleb, A. C., Wiemann, M., Prina-Mello, A., Riediker, M., and Wick, P. (2013).  
11 Interlaboratory comparison of size measurements on nanoparticles using nanoparticle tracking  
12 analysis (NTA). *J Nanopart Res* **15**, 2101.

13  
14  
15 Kaewamatawong, T., Kawamura, N., Okajima, M., Sawada, M., Morita, T., and Shimada, A.  
16 (2005). Acute pulmonary toxicity caused by exposure to colloidal silica: particle size dependent  
17 pathological changes in mice. *Toxicol Pathol* **33**, 743-749.

18  
19  
20 Kozak, K., Bakos, G., Hoff, A., Bennett, E., Dunican, D., Davies, A., Kelleher, D., Long, A., and  
21 Csucs, G. (2010). Workflow-based software environment for large-scale biological experiments. *J*  
22 *Biomol Screen* **15**, 892-899.

23  
24 Lin, W., Huang, Y. W., Zhou, X. D., and Ma, Y. (2006). In vitro toxicity of silica nanoparticles in  
25 human lung cancer cells. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* **217**, 252-259.

26  
27  
28 Mohamed, B. M., Verma, N. K., Prina-Mello, A., Williams, Y., Davies, A. M., Bakos, G., Tormey,  
29 L., Edwards, C., Hanrahan, J., Salvati, A., Lynch, I., Dawson, K., Kelleher, D., and Volkov, Y.  
30 (2011). Activation of stress-related signalling pathway in human cells upon SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles  
31 exposure as an early indicator of cytotoxicity. *Journal of nanobiotechnology* **9**, 29.

32  
33 Morishige, T., Yoshioka, Y., Inakura, H., Tanabe, A., Yao, X., Narimatsu, S., Monobe, Y.,  
34 Imazawa, T., Tsunoda, S., Tsutsumi, Y., Mukai, Y., Okada, N., and Nakagawa, S. (2010). The  
35 effect of surface modification of amorphous silica particles on NLRP3 inflammasome mediated IL-  
36 1beta production, ROS production and endosomal rupture. *Biomaterials* **31**, 6833-6842.

37  
38  
39 Morishige, T., Yoshioka, Y., Inakura, H., Tanabe, A., Yao, X., Tsunoda, S., Tsutsumi, Y., Mukai,  
40 Y., Okada, N., and Nakagawa, S. (2010). Cytotoxicity of amorphous silica particles against  
41 macrophage-like THP-1 cells depends on particle-size and surface properties. *Pharmazie* **65**, 596-  
42 599.

43  
44 Movia, D., Prina-Mello, A., Bazou, D., Volkov, Y., and Giordani, S. (2011). Screening the  
45 cytotoxicity of single-walled carbon nanotubes using novel 3D tissue-mimetic models. *ACS nano* **5**,  
46 9278-9290.

47  
48  
49 Movia, D., Prina-Mello, A., Volkov, Y., and Giordani, S. (2010). Determination of spiropyran  
50 cytotoxicity by high content screening and analysis for safe application in bionanosensing. *Chem*  
51 *Res Toxicol* **23**, 1459-1466.

52  
53 Naito, Y., Takagi, T., and Higashimura, Y. (2014). Heme oxygenase-1 and anti-inflammatory M2  
54 macrophages. *Arch Biochem Biophys* **564**, 83-88.

55  
56  
57 Napierska, D., Thomassen, L. C., Lison, D., Martens, J. A., and Hoet, P. H. (2010). The nanosilica  
58 hazard: another variable entity. *Part Fibre Toxicol* **7**, 39.



1  
2  
3 Nishimori, H., Kondoh, M., Isoda, K., Tsunoda, S., Tsutsumi, Y., and Yagi, K. (2009). Silica  
4 nanoparticles as hepatotoxicants. *Eur J Pharm Biopharm* **72**, 496-501.

5  
6 Park, E. J., and Park, K. (2009). Oxidative stress and pro-inflammatory responses induced by silica  
7 nanoparticles in vivo and in vitro. *Toxicol Lett* **184**, 18-25.

8  
9 Pavan, C., Rabolli, V., Tomatis, M., Fubini, B., and Lison, D. (2014). Why does the hemolytic  
10 activity of silica predict its pro-inflammatory activity? *Part Fibre Toxicol* **11**, 76.

11  
12 Pavan, C., Tomatis, M., Ghiazza, M., Rabolli, V., Bolis, V., Lison, D., and Fubini, B. (2013). In  
13 search of the chemical basis of the hemolytic potential of silicas. *Chemical research in toxicology*  
14 **26**, 1188-1198.

15  
16 Poss, K. D., and Tonegawa, S. (1997). Reduced stress defense in heme oxygenase 1-deficient cells.  
17 *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **94**, 10925-10930.

18  
19 Prina-Mello, A., Crosbie-Staunton, K., Salas, G., Morales, M. D., and Volkov, Y. (2013).  
20 Multiparametric Toxicity Evaluation of SPIONs by High Content Screening Technique:  
21 Identification of Biocompatible Multifunctional Nanoparticles for Nanomedicine. *Ieee Transactions*  
22 *on Magnetics* **49**, 377-382.

23  
24 Prina-Mello, A., Mohamed, B. M., Verma, N. K., Jain, N., and Volkov, Y. (2014). Advanced  
25 methodologies and techniques for assessing nanomaterial toxicity: from manufacturing to  
26 nanomedicine screening. In *Nanotoxicology: Progress toward Nanomedicine*. (N. A. Monteiro-  
27 Riviere and L. C. Tran Eds.), 2nd ed. , pp. 155-176. CRC Press. Boca Raton, FL.

28  
29 Rasmussen, K., Mech, A., Mast, J., and De Temmerman P-J, W. N., Van Steen F, Pizzolon JC, De  
30 Temmerman L, Van Doren E, Jensen KA et al (2013). Synthetic Amorphous Silicon Dioxide (NM-  
31 200, NM-201, NM-202, NM-203, NM-204): Characterisation and Physico-Chemical Properties.  
32 JRC Repository: NM-series of Representative Manufactured Nanomaterials. *JRC Scientific and*  
33 *Policy Reports*.

34  
35 Sala, R., Rotoli, B. M., Colla, E., Visigalli, R., Parolari, A., Bussolati, O., Gazzola, G. C., and  
36 Dall'Asta, V. (2002). Two-way arginine transport in human endothelial cells: TNF-alpha  
37 stimulation is restricted to system y(+). *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* **282**, C134-143.

38  
39 Sandberg, W. J., Lag, M., Holme, J. A., Friede, B., Gualtieri, M., Kruszewski, M., Schwarze, P. E.,  
40 Skuland, T., and Refsnes, M. (2012). Comparison of non-crystalline silica nanoparticles in IL-1beta  
41 release from macrophages. *Part Fibre Toxicol* **9**, 32.

42  
43 Sayes, C. M., Reed, K. L., and Warheit, D. B. (2007). Assessing toxicity of fine and nanoparticles:  
44 comparing in vitro measurements to in vivo pulmonary toxicity profiles. *Toxicol Sci* **97**, 163-180.

45  
46 Shi, Y., Yadav, S., Wang, F., and Wang, H. (2010). Endotoxin promotes adverse effects of  
47 amorphous silica nanoparticles on lung epithelial cells in vitro. *J Toxicol Environ Health A* **73**, 748-  
48 756.

49  
50 Tavares, A. M., Louro, H., Antunes, S., Quarre, S., Simar, S., De Temmerman, P. J., Verleysen, E.,  
51 Mast, J., Jensen, K. A., Norppa, H., Nessler, F., and Silva, M. J. (2014). Genotoxicity evaluation  
52 of nanosized titanium dioxide, synthetic amorphous silica and multi-walled carbon nanotubes in  
53 human lymphocytes. *Toxicol In Vitro* **28**, 60-69.

1  
2  
3 Turci, F., Ghibaudi, E., Colonna, M., Boscolo, B., Fenoglio, I., and Fubini, B. (2010). An integrated  
4 approach to the study of the interaction between proteins and nanoparticles. *Langmuir* **26**, 8336-  
5 8346.

6  
7 van der Zande, M., Vandebriel, R. J., Groot, M. J., Kramer, E., Herrera Rivera, Z. E., Rasmussen,  
8 K., Ossenkoppele, J. S., Tromp, P., Gremmer, E. R., Peters, R. J., Hendriksen, P. J., Marvin, H. J.,  
9 Hoogenboom, R. L., Peijnenburg, A. A., and Bouwmeester, H. (2014). Sub-chronic toxicity study  
10 in rats orally exposed to nanostructured silica. *Part Fibre Toxicol* **11**, 8.

11  
12 Williams, Y., Byrne, S., Bashir, M., Davies, A., Whelan, A., Gun'ko, Y., Kelleher, D., and Volkov,  
13 Y. (2008). Comparison of three cell fixation methods for high content analysis assays utilizing  
14 quantum dots. *J Microsc* **232**, 91-98.

15  
16 Zhang, H., Dunphy, D. R., Jiang, X., Meng, H., Sun, B., Tarn, D., Xue, M., Wang, X., Lin, S., Ji,  
17 Z., Li, R., Garcia, F. L., Yang, J., Kirk, M. L., Xia, T., Zink, J. I., Nel, A., and Brinker, C. J. (2012).  
18 Processing pathway dependence of amorphous silica nanoparticle toxicity: colloidal vs pyrolytic. *J*  
19 *Am Chem Soc* **134**, 15790-15804.

20  
21 Zhao, X., and Ibuki, Y. (2015). Evaluating the toxicity of silver nanoparticles by detecting  
22 phosphorylation of histone H3 in combination with flow cytometry side-scattered light. *Environ Sci*  
23 *Technol* **49**, 5003-5012.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**LEGENDS TO FIGURES**

**FIG. 1.** Protein adsorption to ASNP. Nanoparticles, dispersed in 0.05 wt% BSA, were incubated at the concentration of 16-32-64  $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$  for 1 h in culture medium with or without 10% FBS. At the end of the incubation, the suspensions were centrifuged, and adsorbed proteins quantified (A) or separated and stained (B-C), as described in Methods. Panel (C) shows the densitometric quantification of the lanes after silver staining. For (A), data are means  $\pm$  S.D. of 3 independent determinations.  $**p<0.01$  and  $***p<0.001$ . The lines shown in (A) are linear regression best fits. For NM-200:  $y = 0.180 x + 0.5$  ( $r^2 = 0.9930$ ); for NM-203:  $y = 0.198 x + 3.11$  ( $r^2 = 0.9894$ ). Slopes are statistically different ( $p < 0.001$ ). For (B) and (C) a representative experiment, performed twice with comparable results, is shown. Abbreviations: ASNP, amorphous silica nanoparticles; BSA, bovine serum albumin; NM, nanomaterials.

**FIG. 2.** He-Ion Microscopy (HIM) images of MH-S and RAW264.7. Cells, grown for 24 h in complete growth medium, were treated for 24 h with the indicated NM at 10  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  and imaged with He-ion microscopy (see Methods). (A-B) Untreated MH-S, (C-D) NM-200-treated MH-S, (E-F) NM-203-treated MH-S cells, (G-H) untreated RAW264.7, (I-L) NM-200-treated RAW264.7 and (M-N) NM-203-treated RAW264.7. (C-F-I-N) Large ASNP agglomerates were visible, as well as partial or complete coverage of cell surface (as indicated by arrows). Images (B), (D), (F), (H), (L) and (N) are magnifications of images (A), (C), (E), (G), (I) and (M) respectively. Abbreviations: ASNP, amorphous silica nanoparticles; NM, nanomaterials.

**FIG. 3.** Internalization of ASNP into RAW264.7 cells. Cells, grown for 24 h in complete growth medium, were treated with 5  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  of NM-200 (A-C) and NM-203 (B-D). After 30 min or 2 h of treatment, SSC was analyzed using FC500™ flow cytometer (see Materials and Methods). Histograms of cells treated for 30 min (red) or 2 h (blue), A, B. Representative cytograms at 2 h (C, D). Black dots, control, untreated cells. Abbreviations: SSC, side scatter.

**FIG. 4.** Effects of NM-200 and NM-203 on *Nos2* expression and NO production in MH-S and RAW264.7 cells. For (A), (B), (C), (D), (E) and (F), cells, MH-S and RAW264.7 cells, grown for 24h in complete growth medium, were treated with 5 or 10  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  of NM-200 and NM-203, or with LPS (100 ng/ml), used as a positive control. (A-B) After 24 h of treatment, mRNA was extracted and the expression of *Nos2* evaluated as described in Materials and Methods. (C-D) The expression of the protein *Nos2* was assessed through Western Blot in cultures treated in parallel and

1  
2  
3 extracted after 48 h of treatment. A representative blot is shown, with actin used for loading control  
4 (upper panel). In the lower panel the densitometric analysis of the same blot is shown. The  
5 experiment was performed twice with comparable results. (E-F) Nitrite concentration was  
6 determined in the culture medium of the cells used for the experiment shown in (C-D). For (G),  
7 RAW264.7 were treated with 10  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  of NM-200 or NM-203 in the absence or in the presence of  
8 LPS (10 ng/ml). After 48 h of treatment, nitrite concentration was determined in the culture  
9 medium. For (A) and (B), data are means  $\pm$  S.D. of 2 independent determinations, each performed  
10 twice. For (E) and (F), data are means of four independent determinations  $\pm$  S.D. For (G), data are  
11 means  $\pm$  S.D. of 3 independent determinations. \* $p < 0.05$ , \*\* $p < 0.01$  and \*\*\* $p < 0.001$  vs. untreated,  
12 control cells. # $p < 0.05$  and ## $p < 0.01$  vs. 5  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  of NM-200. \$\$ $p < 0.01$  vs. 10  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  of NM-200.  
13 \$\$\$ $p < 0.01$  vs. 5  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  of NM-203. ££ $p < 0.01$  and £££ $p < 0.001$  vs. LPS. Abbreviations: ASNP,  
14 amorphous silica nanoparticles; A.U., arbitrary units; *Gapdh*, Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate  
15 dehydrogenase (gene); LPS, lipopolysaccharide; NM, nanomaterials; Nos2, inducible nitric oxide  
16 synthetase; S.D., standard deviation.  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

28 **FIG. 5.** TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-6 and IL-1 $\beta$  secretion in MH-S and RAW264.7 cells. Cells, grown for 24 h in  
29 complete growth medium, were treated with 5 or 10  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  of NM-200 or NM-203 or with LPS  
30 (100 ng/ml), as a positive control. After 6, 12 or 48 h of treatment, for TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-6 or IL-1 $\beta$   
31 respectively, the indicated cytokines were measured in the extracellular medium, as described under  
32 Materials and Methods. (A), (C) and (E): MH-S; (B), (D), and (F): RAW264.7. Data are means of 3  
33 independent determinations  $\pm$  S.D. \*\* $p < 0.01$  and \*\*\* $p < 0.001$  vs. untreated, control cells; ## $p < 0.01$   
34 and ### $p < 0.001$  vs. 5  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  of NM-200. \$ $p < 0.05$ , \$\$ $p < 0.01$  and \$\$\$ $p < 0.001$  vs. 10  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  of  
35 NM-200. \$\$\$ $p < 0.01$  and \$\$\$ $p < 0.001$  vs. 5  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  of NM-203. Abbreviations: IL-1 $\beta$ , interleukin-  
36 1beta; IL-6, interleukin-6; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; NM, nanomaterials; S.D., standard deviation;  
37 TNF- $\alpha$ , tumor necrosis factor alpha.  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46

47 **FIG. 6.** ROS production, *Hmox1* and *Tnf* induction in macrophages. For (A), (B), (C), (D), cells,  
48 grown in complete growth medium, were treated with 5 or 10  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  of NM-200 or NM-203 or  
49 with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (6 mM), as a positive control. (A) and (B). After 24 h of treatment, MH-S (A) or  
50 RAW264.7 cells (B) were incubated for 2 h with CM-H<sub>2</sub>DCF-DA (5  $\mu\text{M}$ ). Fluorescence was  
51 determined as described under Materials and Methods. Data are means  $\pm$  S.D. of 3 independent  
52 determinations, each performed twice, \* $p < 0.05$ , \*\* $p < 0.01$  and \*\*\* $p < 0.001$  vs. untreated, control  
53 cells; \$ $p < 0.05$  vs. 10  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  of NM-200. Before the determination of cell CM-H<sub>2</sub>DCF-DA, images  
54 of representative fields were taken in phase contrast or with fluorescence microscope. x100. (C) and  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 (D). After 6 h of treatment with ASNP or LPS (100 ng/ml, positive control), mRNA was extracted  
4 and the expression of *Hmox1* evaluated as described in Materials and Methods. (C), MH-S; (D),  
5 RAW264.7. Data are means  $\pm$  S.D. of 2 independent determinations, each performed twice.  
6 \* $p < 0.05$ , \*\* $p < 0.01$  and \*\*\* $p < 0.001$  vs. untreated, control cells; # $p < 0.05$  and ### $p < 0.001$  vs. 5  
7  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  of NM-200; \$\$ $p < 0.01$  and \$\$\$ $p < 0.001$  vs. 10  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  of NM-200. (E) and (F), RAW264.7  
8 cells were treated for 8 h with 10  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  of NM-200 or NM-203. As indicated, NAC (1 mM) was  
9 added 1 h before the incubation with ASNP and maintained throughout the experiment. At the end  
10 of the incubation, mRNA was extracted, and the expression of *Tnf* (E) and *Hmox1* (F) was  
11 evaluated as described in Materials and Methods. Data are means  $\pm$  S.D. of 3 independent  
12 determinations. \*\* $p < 0.01$  and \*\*\* $p < 0.001$  vs. untreated, control cells; ## $p < 0.01$  and ### $p < 0.001$   
13 vs. NM-203+NAC; § $p < 0.05$  vs. NM-200+NAC. Abbreviations: ASNP, amorphous silica  
14 nanoparticles; A.U., arbitrary units; CM-H<sub>2</sub>DCF-DA, 5-(and-6)-chloromethyl-2',7'  
15 dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate, acetyl ester; *Hmox1*, Hemeoxygenase 1 (gene); H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, oxygen  
16 peroxyde; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; NAC, N-acetyl-cysteine; NM, nanomaterials; S.D., standard  
17 deviation; *Tnf*, tumor necrosis factor-alpha (gene).  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**Table 1.**  
**Dosimetry of ASNP\***

Doses ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ )	Doses ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$ )	Doses ( $\text{m}^2/\text{ml}$ )	
		NM-200	NM-203
5	10	0.00189	0.00204
10	20	0.00378	0.00407

\*Conversion of the doses used (5 or 10  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ ). The  $\text{m}^2/\text{ml}$  doses are calculated using the values of specific surface area of ASNP shown in Table 3.

**Table 2.**  
**Primers and temperatures of annealing adopted for RT-PCR experiments**

Gene	Protein	Forward primer	Reverse primer	T (°C)	Amplicon size (bp)
Inducible Nitric oxide synthetase ( <i>Nos2</i> )	Inducible Nitric oxide synthetase (Nos2)	5'-GTT CTC AGC CCA ACA ATA CAA GA-3'	5'-GTG GAC GGG TCG ATG TCA C-3'	57	127
Hemeoxygenase 1 ( <i>Hmox1</i> )	Hemeoxygenase 1 (HO-1)	5'-AGG TAC ACA TCC AAG CCG AGA-3'	5'-CAT CAC CAG CTT AAA GCC TTC T-3'	57	86
Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase ( <i>Gapdh</i> )	Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (Gapdh)	5'-TGT TCC TAC CCC CAA TGT GT- 3'	5'-GGT CCT CAG TGT AGC CCA AG- 3'	57	137
Tumor Necrosis Factor ( <i>Tnf</i> )	Tumor Necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- $\alpha$ )	5'-CCC TCA CAC TCA GAT CAT CTT C-3'	5'-GCT ACG ACG TGG GCT ACA G-3'	55	61

**Table 3.**  
**Physico-chemical properties of the ASNP tested\***

Nanomaterial	Indicative content of SiO <sub>2</sub> (%wt) <sup>a</sup>	Crystallinity	Primary Particle size (nm)	Specific surface area (m <sup>2</sup> /g)	Zeta potential (surface charge)	Redox Potential
NM-200	96 (EDS)	amorphous	14 ± 7 (TEM)	189.16 (BET)	-47.5 (mV) (in milliQ water, at pH 7)	Inactive or reductive (Oxo Dish fluorescence, sensor plate for O <sub>2</sub> detection)
NM-203	99 (EDS)	amorphous	13 ± 6 (TEM)	203.92 (BET)	-46.1 (mV) (in milliQ water at pH 6.6)	Oxidative Reactivity (Oxo Dish fluorescence, sensor plate for O <sub>2</sub> detection)

\*Data are taken from (Rasmussen *et al.*, 2013)

<sup>a</sup> The content of Si is 44.77 and 46.32 (%wt) for NM-200 and NM-203, respectively.



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 **Table 4.**  
8 **Hydrodynamic radius of NM-200 and NM-203 (at 64 µg/ml) as determined by Nanoparticle**  
9 **Tracking Analysis (NTA)**

<b>Water</b>	<b>Mode t:0 h</b>	<b>Mode t:24 h</b>
NM-200	206.8 ± 25.1nm	274.5 ± 87.2nm
NM-203	349.5 ± 43.9nm	170.6 ± 36.7nm
<b>RPMI medium</b>		
NM-200	355.1 ± 96.2nm	323.0 ± 97.3nm
NM-203	304.3 ± 30.7nm	262.3 ± 25.0nm
<b>RPMI medium + 10% FBS</b>		
NM-200	129.1 ± 6.7nm	137.3 ± 11.5nm
NM-203	173.5 ± 14.0nm	138.3 ± 16.4nm

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60




FIG. 1. Protein adsorption to ASNP. Nanoparticles, dispersed in 0.05 wt% BSA, were incubated at the concentration of 16-32-64 µg/ml for 1 h in culture medium with or without 10% FBS. At the end of the incubation, the suspensions were centrifuged, and adsorbed proteins quantified (A) or separated and stained (B-C), as described in Methods. Panel (C) shows the densitometric quantification of the lanes after silver staining. For (A), data are means ± S.D. of 3 independent determinations. \*\*p<0.01 and \*\*\*p<0.001. The lines shown in (A) are linear regression best fits. For NM-200:  $y = 0.180x + 3.11$  ( $r^2 = 0.9930$ ); for NM-203:  $y = 0.198x + 3.11$  ( $r^2 = 0.9894$ ). Slopes are statistically different ( $p < 0.001$ ). For (B) and (C) a representative experiment, performed twice with comparable results, is shown. Abbreviations: ASNP, amorphous silica nanoparticles; BSA, bovine serum albumin; NM, nanomaterials.

150x275mm (300 x 300 DPI)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60




FIG. 2. He-Ion Microscopy (HIM) images of MH-S and RAW264.7. Cells, grown for 24 h in complete growth medium, were treated for 24 h with the indicated NM at  $10 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  and imaged with He-ion microscopy (see Methods). (A-B) Untreated MH-S, (C-D) NM-200-treated MH-S, (E-F) NM-203-treated MH-S cells, (G-H) untreated RAW264.7, (I-L) NM-200-treated RAW264.7 and (M-N) NM-203-treated RAW264.7. (C-F-I-N) Large ASNP agglomerates were visible, as well as partial or complete coverage of cell surface (as indicated by arrows). Images (B), (D), (F), (H), (L) and (N) are magnifications of images (A), (C), (E), (G), (I) and (M) respectively. Abbreviations: ASNP, amorphous silica nanoparticles; NM, nanomaterials.

150x101mm (300 x 300 DPI)




FIG. 3. Internalization of ASNP into RAW264.7 cells. Cells, grown for 24 h in complete growth medium, were treated with  $5 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  of NM-200 (A-C) and NM-203 (B-D). After 30 min or 2 h of treatment, SSC was analyzed using FC500™ flow cytometer (see Materials and Methods). Histograms of cells treated for 30 min (red) or 2 h (blue), A, B. Representative cytograms at 2 h (C, D). Black dots, control, untreated cells.

Abbreviations: SSC, side scatter.

150x146mm (300 x 300 DPI)




FIG. 4. Effects of NM-200 and NM-203 on Nos2 expression and NO production in MH-S and RAW264.7 cells.

For (A), (B), (C), (D), (E) and (F), cells, MH-S and RAW264.7 cells, grown for 24h in complete growth medium, were treated with 5 or 10  $\mu$ g/cm<sup>2</sup> of NM-200 and NM-203, or with LPS (100 ng/ml), used as a positive control. (A-B) After 24 h of treatment, mRNA was extracted and the expression of *Nos2* evaluated as described in Materials and Methods. (C-D) The expression of the protein *Nos2* was assessed through Western Blot in cultures treated in parallel and extracted after 48 h of treatment. A representative blot is shown, with actin used for loading control (upper panel). In the lower panel the densitometric analysis of the same blot is shown. The experiment was performed twice with comparable results. (E-F) Nitrite concentration was determined in the culture medium of the cells used for the experiment shown in (C-D). For (G), RAW264.7 were treated with 10  $\mu$ g/cm<sup>2</sup> of NM-200 or NM-203 in the absence or in the presence of LPS (10 ng/ml). After 48 h of treatment, nitrite concentration was determined in the culture medium. For (A) and (B), data are means  $\pm$  S.D. of 2 independent determinations, each performed twice. For (E) and (F), data are means of four independent determinations  $\pm$  S.D. For (G), data are means  $\pm$  S.D. of 3 independent

1  
2  
3 determinations. \* $p < 0.05$ , \*\* $p < 0.01$  and \*\*\* $p < 0.001$  vs. untreated, control cells. # $p < 0.05$  and ## $p < 0.01$   
4 vs.  $5 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  of NM-200. \$\$\$ $p < 0.01$  vs.  $10 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  of NM-200. §§ $p < 0.01$  vs.  $5 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  of NM-203. ££ $p < 0.01$   
5 and £££ $p < 0.001$  vs. LPS. Abbreviations: ASNP, amorphous silica nanoparticles; A.U., arbitrary units; *Gapdh*,  
6 Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (gene); LPS, lipopolysaccharide; NM, nanomaterials; Nos2,  
7 inducible nitric oxide synthetase; S.D., standard deviation.  
8 99x146mm (300 x 300 DPI)  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60




FIG. 5. TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-6 and IL-1 $\beta$  secretion in MH-S and RAW264.7 cells. Cells, grown for 24 h in complete growth medium, were treated with 5 or 10  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  of NM-200 or NM-203 or with LPS (100 ng/ml), as a positive control. After 6, 12 or 48 h of treatment, for TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-6 or IL-1 $\beta$  respectively, the indicated cytokines were measured in the extracellular medium, as described under Materials and Methods. (A), (C) and (E): MH-S; (B), (D), and (F): RAW264.7. Data are means of 3 independent determinations  $\pm$  S.D. \*\* $p < 0.01$  and \*\*\* $p < 0.001$  vs. untreated, control cells; ## $p < 0.01$  and ### $p < 0.001$  vs. 5  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  of NM-200. \$ $p < 0.05$ , \$\$ $p < 0.01$  and \$\$\$ $p < 0.001$  vs. 10  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  of NM-200. §§ $p < 0.01$  and §§§ $p < 0.001$  vs. 5  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  of NM-203. Abbreviations: IL-1 $\beta$ , interleukin-1beta; IL-6, interleukin-6; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; NM, nanomaterials; S.D., standard deviation; TNF- $\alpha$ , tumor necrosis factor alpha.

203x275mm (300 x 300 DPI)




FIG. 6. ROS production, *Hmox1* and *Tnf* induction in macrophages. For (A), (B), (C), (D), cells, grown in complete growth medium, were treated with 5 or 10 µg/cm<sup>2</sup> of NM-200 or NM-203 or with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (6 mM), as a positive control. (A) and (B). After 24 h of treatment, MH-S (A) or RAW264.7 cells (B) were incubated for 2 h with CM-H<sub>2</sub>DCF-DA (5 µM). Fluorescence was determined as described under Materials and Methods. Data are means ± S.D. of 3 independent determinations, each performed twice, \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01 and \*\*\*p<0.001 vs. untreated, control cells; #p<0.05 vs. 10 µg/cm<sup>2</sup> of NM-200. Before the determination of cell CM-H<sub>2</sub>DCF-DA, images of representative fields were taken in phase contrast or with fluorescence microscope. x100. (C) and (D). After 6 h of treatment with ASNP or LPS (100 ng/ml, positive control), mRNA was extracted and the expression of *Hmox1* evaluated as described in Materials and Methods. (C), MH-S; (D), RAW264.7. Data are means ± S.D. of 2 independent determinations, each performed twice. \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01 and \*\*\*p<0.001 vs. untreated, control cells; #p<0.05 and ###p<0.001 vs. 5 µg/cm<sup>2</sup> of NM-200; \$p<0.01 and \$\$\$p<0.001 vs. 10 µg/cm<sup>2</sup> of NM-200. (E) and (F), RAW264.7 cells were treated for 8 h with 10 µg/cm<sup>2</sup> of NM-200 or NM-203. As indicated, NAC (1 mM) was added 1 h before the



1  
2  
3 incubation with ASNP and maintained throughout the experiment. At the end of the incubation, mRNA was  
4 extracted, and the expression of *Tnf* (E) and *Hmox1* (F) was evaluated as described in Materials and  
5 Methods. Data are means  $\pm$  S.D. of 3 independent determinations. \*\* $p < 0.01$  and \*\*\* $p < 0.001$  vs.  
6 untreated, control cells; ## $p < 0.01$  and ### $p < 0.001$  vs. NM-203+NAC; § $p < 0.05$  vs. NM-200+NAC.  
7 Abbreviations: ASNP, amorphous silica nanoparticles; A.U., arbitrary units; CM-H<sub>2</sub>DCF-DA, 5-(and-6)-  
8 chloromethyl-2',7' dichlorodihydrofluorescein diacetate, acetyl ester; *Hmox1*, Hemeoxygenase 1 (gene);  
9 H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, oxygen peroxyde; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; NAC, N-acetyl-cysteine; NM, nanomaterials; S.D.,  
10 standard deviation; *Tnf*, tumor necrosis factor-alpha (gene).

11 150x233mm (300 x 300 DPI)  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60