

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

**Rapid detection of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lactucae* on soil, lettuce seeds and plants using loop-mediated isothermal amplification**

**This is the author's manuscript**

*Original Citation:*

*Availability:*

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1680286> since 2018-11-02T13:28:03Z

*Published version:*

DOI:10.1111/ppa.12855

*Terms of use:*

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

1 **Rapid detection of *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *lactucae* on soil, lettuce seeds and plants using loop-**  
2 **mediated isothermal amplification**

3  
4 **Authors**

5 S. Franco Ortega <sup>1,2</sup>, J. Tomlinson <sup>3</sup>, G. Gilardi <sup>1</sup>, D. Spadaro <sup>1,2\*</sup>, M.L. Gullino <sup>1,2</sup>, A. Garibaldi <sup>1</sup>, N.  
6 Boonham<sup>3,4</sup>

7 <sup>1</sup> Centre of Competence for the Innovation in the Agro-environmental Sector - AGROINNOVA,  
8 University of Turin, via Paolo Braccini 2, I-10095 Grugliasco, TO, Italy

9 <sup>2</sup> Department of Agricultural, Forestry and Food Sciences (DiSAFA), University of Torino, via Paolo  
10 Braccini 2,I-10095 Grugliasco, TO, Italy

11 <sup>3</sup> FERA, Sand Hutton, York, UK

12 <sup>4</sup> Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom, NE1 7RU

13

14 \*Corresponding author: [davide.spadaro@unito.it](mailto:davide.spadaro@unito.it)

15

16 **Running head:** LAMP assay for *FO* f.sp. *lactucae*

17

18 **Keywords:** LAMP, *forma specialis*, *Fusarium* wilt, *Lactuca sativa*, seedborne pathogen, soilborne  
19 pathogen.

20

21 **ABSTRACT**

22 *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *lactucae* (FOL) is a soil and seed borne pathogen and the causal agent of  
23 Fusarium wilt on lettuce. Four races have been identified within FOL, with different worldwide  
24 distribution. Several molecular techniques have been used to detect and identify this pathogen, however,  
25 not all of them have the optimal characteristics in terms of sensitivity to perform FOL detection in plant  
26 and seed material. A loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) assay was developed based on the  
27 sequence characterized amplified region (SCAR) obtained in a previous Rapid Amplification of  
28 Polymorphic DNA (RAPD) study. The LAMP assay has been validated according to the EPPO standard  
29 PM7/98. The LAMP assay was tested with lettuce seeds, soil and plant material, and can be used to  
30 successfully amplify DNA from each of these matrices. In seed lots artificially inoculated with FOL, the  
31 detection limit of the LAMP test was 0.004% infected seed.

32 **INTRODUCTION**

33 *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *lactucae* (FOL) is a soil and seed borne pathogen (Garibaldi *et al.* 2004) and  
34 the causal agent of Fusarium wilt on lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.). The pathogen was initially described in  
35 Japan by Motohashi *et al.* (1960) and later identified as *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *lactucae* (FOL) by  
36 Matuo & Motohashi (1967). In 1993, Hubbard & Gerik identified the causal agent of a lettuce disease in  
37 California (USA) as *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *lactucum* which confirmed to be the same agent of the  
38 root rot in Japan. Fusarium wilt is a widespread lettuce disease (Fujinaga *et al.* 2001; Garibaldi *et al.*  
39 2002; Malbrán *et al.* 2014), which can cause the total destruction of the crop in severe outbreaks  
40 (Garibaldi *et al.* 2002). In Italy, FOL causes important losses especially in Lombardy (north-western  
41 Italy) due to the intensive cultivation of lettuce in the same area, year after year (Garibaldi *et al.* 2002).  
42 Four races have been identified within this *forma specialis*. Race 1, pathogenic on ‘Patriot’ and ‘Banchu  
43 red fire’ lettuce cultivars, was described in Japan in 1967 (Matuo & Motohashi, 1967) and it is the most  
44 widespread race, reported in the USA, Iran, Taiwan, Brazil, Portugal, Argentina, and Italy (Hubbard and  
45 Gerik, 1993; Huang & Lo, 1998; Millani *et al.* 1999; Garibaldi *et al.* 2002; Marques Ramalhete *et al.*  
46 2006; Ventura & Costa, 2008; Malbrán *et al.* 2014). Race 2, pathogenic on ‘Patriot’ and ‘Costa Rica  
47 No.4’ but not on ‘Banchu red fire’ lettuce cultivar, has been reported only in Japan (Fujinaga *et al.* 2001,  
48 Fujinaga *et al.* 2005). Race 3, pathogenic on ‘Patriot’, ‘Costa Rica No.4’ and ‘Banchu red fire’ lettuce  
49 cultivars, is present in Japan and Taiwan (Fujinaga *et al.* 2003; Lin *et al.* 2014), while Race 4 has been  
50 recently identified in the Netherlands by using pathogenicity tests and molecular analysis (Gilardi *et al.*  
51 2016). Vegetative compatibility groups (VCG) have been used to determine the relationship among races  
52 and *formae speciales* of *Fusarium oxysporum* (Pasquali *et al.* 2005; Pintore *et al.* 2017). Four VCGs  
53 were reported within FOL corresponding to the four races respectively (Fujinaga *et al.* 2005; Pasquali *et*  
54 *al.* 2005; Pintore *et al.* 2017).

55 The easy trade of plant material between countries provides an inadvertent source of pathogen  
56 dissemination. The detection of seed borne pathogens implicated in crop yield losses is a critical point in  
57 the management of plant diseases. Garibaldi *et al.* (2004) confirmed the rapid spread of Fusarium wilt  
58 on lettuce around the world due to FOL contaminated seeds.

59 Seed dressing with fungicides is often performed to reduce the inoculum of seedborne pathogens and to  
60 possibly obtain certified pathogen-free seed. Despite the fact that fungicides produce the most effective  
61 control against this lettuce pathogen, the current limitations in the use of chemical treatments, favoured  
62 at the European level by the adoption of the Directive 2009/128/EC, is boosting the use of prevention or  
63 alternative strategies including the use of healthy seeds.

64 The identification of the *formae speciales* within the species *Fusarium oxysporum* has been traditionally  
65 carried out according to pathogenicity tests and morphological criteria such as size and shape of the  
66 macroconidia, the presence of microconidia or chlamydospores, and colony characteristics, such as  
67 colour or conidiophore. Though these methods do not always permit accurate identification. Molecular  
68 techniques enhance the identification of *Fusarium oxysporum formae speciales*, and methods such as  
69 random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD), restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLP),  
70 amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP), sequence specific amplified polymorphisms (SSAP)  
71 (Baayen *et al.* 2000, Pasquali *et al.* 2008, Gilardi *et al.* 2016), end-point or TaqMan real-Time PCR (Suga  
72 *et al.* 2013) based on sequence-characterized amplified region (SCAR) have all been used. Mbofung &  
73 Pryor in (2010) developed specific primers based on the intergenic spacer region to detect infected lettuce  
74 seed samples. These molecular techniques are widely used to identify a high number of *formae speciales*  
75 and races. However, these methods do not always show optimal characteristics in terms of specificity  
76 and sensitivity for seed testing. The FOL aggressiveness combined with the rapid spread amongst lettuce  
77 cultivation areas makes highly desirable a quick, easy and accurate test to detect the pathogen in seed.

78 Loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) developed by Notomi *et al.* (2000) consists of  
79 isothermal amplification of the target nucleic acid. The reaction is promoted by the high strain  
80 displacement activity of the enzyme. This technique can be used to detect different pathogens including  
81 bacteria, fungi and viruses (Tomlinson *et al.* 2010a; Bühlmann *et al.* 2013). Fluorescence monitoring  
82 with portable and rechargeable battery machines such as the Genie II® or Genie III® instruments  
83 (OptiGene Ltd, Horsham, UK) allows on-site testing, which is particularly useful for reducing the time  
84 taken to make rapid decisions. The high sensitivity and specificity of the LAMP assay makes it a strategic  
85 technology to perform seed testing (Franco Ortega *et al.* 2018).

86 The aim of this work was to develop, test and validate a LAMP assay specific for FOL which will be  
87 used to perform quick seed and plant testing and in order to evaluate its possible use in soil infested with  
88 FOL.

89

## 90 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

91

### 92 **DNA extraction from the fungal cultures**

93 Each single-spore culture of the isolates of *Fusarium oxysporum* listed in Table 1 was grown in Potato  
94 Dextrose Broth (PDB; Sigma Aldrich, Germany) on a rotatory shaker (120 rpm) for 10 days at room  
95 temperature. Mycelium was extracted by means of filtration through Whatman No.1 filter paper. The  
96 total genomic DNA was extracted using E.Z.N.A. Fungal DNA mini kit (OMEGA Bio-Tek, Norcross,  
97 GA, USA), according to the manufacturer's instructions and was stored at -20°C. The DNA concentration  
98 of each sample was measured using NanoDrop 2000 (Thermo Fisher, Delaware, USA) and was then  
99 adjusted to 1-50 ng/ µl.

100 A crude extraction method was used in this study to extract plant material, including seeds, as faster as  
101 possible due to its especially utility during the field practises. It was based on the protocol of Tomlinson

102 *et al.* (2010a) using 1 ml of PEG alkaline buffer (50g L<sup>-1</sup> of PEG average Mn 4,600; 20 mM KOH; pH:  
103 13.5) as described by Chomczynski & Rymaszewski (2006). This method includes a vigorous manual  
104 shaking of 1 ball bearing (7/16” stainless steel 316 GD Spheric Trafalgar Ltd) in a 5 ml tube during one  
105 minute using individual lettuce seeds and plant material. The same crude extraction was performed with  
106 manual shaking (3 min) using 400 lettuce seeds as a sample.

107 The reliability of the crude extraction method was evaluated against a commercial DNA extraction kit to  
108 validate it. The DNA were extracted from the seed samples (‘Dorée de Printemps’ and ‘Romabella’  
109 cultivars) using E.Z.N.A. Plant DNA kit (OMEGA Bio-Tek) according to the manufacturer's instructions  
110 after grinding with liquid nitrogen as a protocol variation.

111

### 112 **LAMP primer design**

113 Six LAMP primers comprising two external primers (F3 and B3), two internal primers (FIP and BIP)  
114 and two loop primers (F-loop and B-loop) were designed for both targets according to Notomi *et al.*  
115 (2000). The primers FLA0001/FLA0001R (Shimazu *et al.* 2005) were used to perform a PCR of some  
116 FOL isolates (ATCC 76616, FOL Mya3040, FOL race 2 F9501, FOL race 3 MAFF744085, FOL race 4  
117 04750888) and other *formae speciales* listed in Table 1 and Figure 1. The 20 µl reaction with 50 ng of  
118 the genomic DNA was performed according to the protocol of Shimazu *et al.* (2005) in a 2720 Thermal  
119 Cycler (Applied Biosystems). The amplification products were checked by means of electrophoresis in  
120 1% agarose gel (Eppendorf). The positive PCR products were purified using a QIAquick PCR  
121 Purification Kit and sequenced in both directions using the sequencing service of BMR Genomics  
122 (Padova, Italy).

123 The sequences were merged into contigs using DNA Baser (Heracle BioSoft SRL, Romania) and an  
124 alignment was carried out using the MEGA 6.0.6. software (Tamura *et al.* 2013). Single-nucleotide  
125 polymorphisms (SNP) were targeted in the design of the LAMP primers. Potential secondary structures

126 and hairpins were checked using OligoCalc (<http://biotools.nubic.northwestern.edu/OligoCalc.html>),  
127 while the possible interaction between primers was checked using Multiple Primer Analyzer (Thermo  
128 Scientific) ([https://www.thermofisher.com/uk/en/home/brands/thermo-scientific/molecular-](https://www.thermofisher.com/uk/en/home/brands/thermo-scientific/molecular-biology/molecular-biology-learning-center/molecular-biology-resource-library/thermo-scientific-web-tools/multiple-primer-analyzer.html)  
129 [biology/molecular-biology-learning-center/molecular-biology-resource-library/thermo-scientific-web-](https://www.thermofisher.com/uk/en/home/brands/thermo-scientific/molecular-biology/molecular-biology-learning-center/molecular-biology-resource-library/thermo-scientific-web-tools/multiple-primer-analyzer.html)  
130 [tools/multiple-primer-analyzer.html](https://www.thermofisher.com/uk/en/home/brands/thermo-scientific/molecular-biology/molecular-biology-learning-center/molecular-biology-resource-library/thermo-scientific-web-tools/multiple-primer-analyzer.html)). The specificity of the primers was checked by means of BLASTn  
131 analysis at the NCBI web portal (<http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi>). HPLC purified primers were  
132 synthesized by Eurofins (The United Kingdom) and are available in kit format from OptiGene Ltd  
133 (Horsham, UK: <http://www.optigene.co.uk>).

134

### 135 **LAMP assay reaction**

136 The 25 µl LAMP reaction included 200 nmol/l of each external primer (F3 and B3), 2 µmol/l of each  
137 internal primers (FIP and BIP), 1 µmol/l of each loop primer, 1x Isothermal Mastermix ISO-004  
138 (OptiGene Ltd) with one microliter DNA or crude extractions. The LAMP protocol was carried out with  
139 a Genie II® instrument (OptiGene Ltd) and a StepOne (Applied Biosystem, California, USA) as follows:  
140 45 min at 65°C, followed by the measure of annealing temperature using a reduction from 95°C to 70°C  
141 at 0.05°C/s. Seed testing was performed on the following cultivars and seed companies: ‘Dorée de  
142 Printemps’ (Vilmorin), ‘Romabella’ (Blumen), ‘Costa Rica No. 4’ (Rijk Zwaan), ‘Ordino’ (Nunhems),  
143 ‘Juanita’ (Syngenta), ‘Boeing’ (Maraldi Sementi), ‘Volare’ (Rijk Zwaan), and ‘Ricetto’ (Franchi  
144 Sementi). The test was carried out using a StepOnePlus Real Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems),  
145 setup as follows to perform the LAMP assay: quantification experiment with Standard Curve and  
146 TaqMan reagents (FAM as reporter and NFQ-MGB as quencher), by doing 40 cycles at 65°C with  
147 fluorescence measured each minute and a melting curve with the following steps: 95°C for 15 s, 70°C  
148 for 1 min and an increase of the temperature to 95°C at 0.3°C/s to record the fluorescence. A negative  
149 control and a positive control were included in each run.



150 A cytochrome oxidase gene (COX) LAMP assay developed by Tomlinson *et al.* (2010b) was used as  
151 plant DNA control to confirm negative results.

152

### 153 **Sensitivity of LAMP in seed test**

154 In order to check the sensitivity of the LAMP assay, a lettuce seed sample ('Dorée de Printemps')  
155 naturally free from FOL, checked by the agar method (Mathur & Kongsdal, 2003), was used. The seeds  
156 were prepared for inoculation with the four races of FOL after being treated with sodium hypochlorite  
157 (2%) for 2 minutes, washed with distilled water and air-dried.

158 The isolates SB1-1, F9501, FLK1001, MAFF744086, corresponding to the four races of FOL, were  
159 grown in PDB at room temperature for 1 week. The colony forming units (CFU) were counted by means  
160 of a haemocytometer, obtaining a concentration of  $8.2 \times 10^5$  CFU/ml for SB1-1,  $1.0 \times 10^4$  CFU/ml for  
161 F9501,  $1.3 \times 10^5$  CFU/ml for FLK1001, and  $8.0 \times 10^5$  CFU/ml for MAFF744086. Each sample was ten-  
162 fold serial diluted obtaining inoculum suspensions ranging from  $10^5$  to  $10^4$  CFU/ml to be used for lettuce  
163 seed infection.

164 Twenty-five 'Dorée de Printemps' lettuce seeds were inoculated using 5 ml of a culture of each 10-fold  
165 serial dilution suspension for each race. Inoculum was prepared in individual tubes and incubated on a  
166 rotatory shaker at 90 rpm for 45 min. All the seeds were later washed with distilled water and dried  
167 overnight before the LAMP testing.

168 Batches of lettuce seeds 'Dorée de Printemps' (25 seeds each one) were used to determine the limit of  
169 detection of the infection by using the LAMP tests. Batches with 4% infection rate were prepared by  
170 adding 1 infected seed to 24 healthy seeds, while batches at 8% infection rate were prepared by adding  
171 2 infected seeds to 23 healthy seeds. Three batches at 4% and 8% infection rate were prepared for the  
172 four races and for each inoculum concentration. The DNA was extracted using both methods described  
173 above. All the LAMP assays were performed in triplicate.

174

175 **Commercial seed testing**

176 The final step of the validation using seeds, included two lettuce seed samples belonging to cultivars  
177 ‘Dorée de Printemps’ and ‘Romabella’ collected from commercial farms were used to evaluate the  
178 reliability of the LAMP assay. All the seed samples were confirmed as free from FOL with an agar test  
179 (Mathur & Kongsdal, 2003). In order to assess the sensitivity of the LAMP assay using commercial seed  
180 samples, both lettuce seed samples were artificially inoculated using FOL race 1 Mya 3040 isolate by 1)  
181 spraying a conidial suspension at  $10^6$  conidia/ml on 40% of 200 g ‘Dorée de Printemps’ seeds to obtain  
182 a level of contamination corresponding to 40 infected seeds in 100 total seeds; 2) mixing the talc powder  
183 of the isolate over 50% of 200 g seeds to obtain a level of contamination corresponding to 50 infected  
184 seeds in 100 total ‘Romabella’ seeds. The conidial suspension was prepared in PDB as previously  
185 described, while the talc formulation for chlamydospore production of FOL Mya 3040 was carried out  
186 following the protocol suggested by Locke & Colhoun (1974).

187 The DNA from sixteen subsamples of 25 seeds/samples obtaining a total of 400 seeds from each original  
188 ‘Dorée de Printemps’ and ‘Romabella’ lettuce samples artificially infected was extracted by both methods  
189 as described above.

190 In a second experiment, the two artificially infected lettuce seed samples were 10-fold diluted, by using  
191 ‘Dorée de Printemps’ lettuce seeds free from FOL to obtain an infection rate of 0.004% (4 infected seed  
192 out of 100,000) and 0.005% (5 infected seed out of 100,000). The DNA of 400 hundred seeds in duplicate  
193 was extracted as described above by the two methods.

194

195 **Soil and plant testing**

196 The selectivity of the assay was also evaluated using soil and plant material. Two FOL isolates (FOL1/17  
197 (*F. oxysporum* f. sp. *lactucae* race 1) and FOL 2/17 (*F. oxysporum* f. sp. *lactucae* race 1)) were grown in

198 PDB for ten days at room temperature on a rotatory shaker (120 rpm). The suspensions were filtered  
199 through a sterile cheese cloth and centrifuged for 15 min at 10,000 g. The conidia pellet was suspended  
200 in distilled water and counted by means of a haemocytometer to obtain a  $10^6$  CFU/ml suspension. The  
201 artificial inoculation of 21-25 day old lettuce seedling was performed by root immersion into the conidial  
202 suspension. Seven lettuce cultivars ('Romabella', 'Costa Rica', 'Ordino', 'Juanita', 'Boeing', 'Volare',  
203 'Ricetto') were used to test the LAMP assay. The experiments were carried out in the greenhouse at a  
204 temperature ranging from 28°C to 30°C. Experiment 1 corresponds to the pathogenicity test using  
205 FOL1/17 (*F. oxysporum* f. sp. *lactucae* race 1), while experiment 2 corresponds to the pathogenicity test  
206 using FOL 2/17 (*F. oxysporum* f. sp. *lactucae* race 1). The number of FOL cells of both soils was  
207 determined by qPCR.

208 Plants were checked for typical Fusarium wilt symptoms every 10 days. Disease severity was measured  
209 30 days after inoculation with a disease index from 0 to 4: 0= healthy plants; 1= first symptoms of leaf  
210 chlorosis, slight reduction in the development of the plant; 2= severe leaf chlorosis, evident reduction in  
211 development, sometimes asymmetric development, evident vascular browning; 3= severe leaf chlorosis,  
212 severe reduction in development and strong deformation of the plant, severe vascular browning; 4= plant  
213 totally wilted.

214 Crude DNA extraction using plant material was carried out as described above. After 35 days, 1 g of  
215 inoculated soil from both experiments was extracted in triplicate by using E.Z.N.A. Soil DNA kit  
216 according to manufacturer's instructions. The LAMP tests were carried out as described above using 1  
217 µl of each sample in triplicate on a StepOne Plus Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems).

218

### 219 **qPCR and nested PCR**

220 The primers developed by Shimazu *et al.* (2005) were used to quantify the DNA using SYBR  
221 Green, according to the protocol of A. Cucu, Agroinnova, Turin, Italy, personal communication. The DNA

222 quantity of soil from test 1 and test 2, and DNA from 400 seeds was measured recorded. The reaction  
223 was carried out using 1x SYBR® Green PCR Master Mix, 120 nM of each primers in 25 µl and 1 µl of  
224 soil or seed DNA. The amplification was carried out using the following protocol: 95°C for 10 minutes,  
225 40 cycles of 15 s at 95°C, 1 min at 60°C, and 45 s at 72°C using a OneStep Plus Real Time PCR system  
226 (Applied Biosystems). A standard curve was carried out using FOL 76616 DNA ranging from 9.4 ng/µl  
227 to 9.4 fg/µ and negative controls with water were included in the assay. The average of three technical  
228 replicates was used to calculate the DNA quantity. The Ct values generated by qPCR were compared  
229 with the standard curve to obtain the ng of DNA for the positives results. The approximate number of  
230 cells present in the 400 seeds samples was achieved by dividing the DNA quantity by the weight of the  
231 genome of *F. oxysporum* (0.0000548 ng).

232 For the specificity test, specific PCR using FLA001F/FLA0001R SCAR primers for FOL designed on a  
233 RAPD by Shimazu *et al.* (2005) were used using DNA from a pure culture. A nested PCR using the  
234 primers GYCF1 and GYCR4C in the first amplification and R943 as the reverse primer for the second  
235 amplification, designed by Mbofung & Pryor (2010) was carried out according to the protocol: initial  
236 denaturation at 94°C for 1 min, and 25 cycles at 94°C for 30 s, 65°C for 2 min 24 s, and 72°C for 1 min.  
237 The second run of the nested PCR was carried out with the following protocol: initial denaturation at  
238 94°C for 1 min; 25 cycles at 94°C for 30 s, 63°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 1 min; and a final extension at  
239 72°C for 10 min. This assay was performed using 1 µl of the 25-seed batches extracted with a kit to  
240 verify the reliability of the primers with seeds.

241

## 242 **RESULTS**

243

### 244 **LAMP primer design and validation**

245 The primers designed by Shimazu *et al.* (2005) specific for FOL were used to design the six LAMP  
246 primers. Because, this PCR produced amplification in other non-target *formae speciales*, such as *F.*  
247 *oxysporum* f.sp. *conglutinans* 58385, *F. oxysporum*, f.sp. *tulipae*, *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *conglutinans* 52557  
248 (Figure 1), single nucleotide polymorphisms between the PCR products of target and non-target isolates  
249 were used during the design of the six LAMP primers.

250 The validation of the LAMP was carried out according to EPPO standard PM7/98. The specificity was  
251 checked with an inclusivity and exclusivity panel of samples including other *formae speciales* of  
252 *Fusarium oxysporum* and other common pathogens of lettuce. Non-target amplifications were not found  
253 compared with the end-point PCR developed by Shimazu *et al.* (2005), and the average time to positive  
254 (Tp) or the time at which the fluorescence overcomes the threshold level, which is a parameter analogous  
255 to the threshold cycling time in qPCR (Tomlinson *et al.* 2013), was calculated. Tp ranged from 11 to 22  
256 min whilst the average annealing temperature ranged from 84.93 to 85.19°C (Table 1). The sensitivity  
257 using 10-fold serial dilutions of the DNA presented differences among the different races. The lowest  
258 quantity of DNA detected corresponds to an amount of DNA ranging from 10-99 pg for FOL race 1 to  
259 100-999 pg for FOL race 2, race 3 and race 4 (Figure 2).

260 The sensitivity of the assay was also evaluated with individually infected lettuce seeds and 25-seed  
261 batches at 4% (1 infected seed out of 25) and 8% (2 infected seed out of 25) infection rate using the crude  
262 extraction method. Average Tp of the five FOL infected seed replicates for each race are listed in Figure  
263 3.

264 Individually infected seeds extracted with crude extraction method of the FOL races 1 and 2 produced  
265 the lowest Tp (14 min 24 s and 17 min for the two inoculum concentration of race 1, and 16 min 47 s for  
266 race 2 at concentration  $1 \times 10^4$  CFU/ml) in comparison with the other FOL races infected seeds in both  
267 dilutions (Tp ranging from 17 min 22 s to 28 min 59 s) (Figure 3). The best results for individually  
268 infected seeds were obtained with the seeds inoculated with  $8.2 \times 10^5$  CFU/ml (FOL race 1),  $1 \times 10^4$

269 CFU/ml (FOL race 2),  $1.3 \times 10^5$  CFU/ml (FOL race 3), and  $8 \times 10^5$  CFU/ml (FOL race 4), respectively.

270 The time to positive of race 4 infected seeds was higher compared with the results of race 1 and race 2  
271 infected seeds, reaching 28 min and 59 s and 21 min 40 s for each dilution. The LAMP assay did not  
272 produce positive amplification for all the replicates of FOL race 3 and race 4 seeds. Only some of the  
273 replicates produced a positive amplification using the COX LAMP however the purpose of this assay it  
274 is only to interpretate negative results of the pathogen LAMP (Table S1).

275 The crude extraction DNA of the 25 seed batches was not diluted to increase the sensitivity of the  
276 technique. The 8% infection rate seed batch (2 infected out of 25 seeds) produced the most reliable and  
277 repeatable result, for all the races and concentrations The best results were obtained using the FOL race  
278 1 infected seeds at 8% infection rate and at the highest concentration ( $8.2 \times 10^5$  CFU/ml) followed by  
279 race 3, race 4 and race 2 at the highest concentration:  $1.3 \times 10^5$  CFU/ml for FOL race 3,  $8 \times 10^5$  CFU/ml  
280 for FOL race 4, and  $1 \times 10^4$  CFU/ml for FOL race 2. The reliability of a crude DNA extraction was  
281 compared with DNA extracted using a commercial kit on 25-seeds batches extracted with both  
282 methods. In all cases, the  $T_p$  and the annealing temperature were slightly lower with the DNA prepared  
283 using the crude DNA extraction method compared with the DNA extraction kit (Figure 4 and Table S2).

284 The same extractions were simultaneously tested using the nested-PCR developed by Mbofung & Pryor  
285 (2010) and no amplification was detected in any of the samples.

286 The LAMP assay was validated according to EPPO standard PM7/98. The specificity was validated using  
287 three technical replicates of the fungi listed in Table 1, using different *formae speciales* of *F. oxysporum*,  
288 and different lettuce pathogens. The sensitivity was validated in triplicate using 10-fold serial dilutions  
289 of the 4 races within FOL. FOL infected seed samples tested individually and in batches, as explained  
290 above were used to check the LAMP sensitivity. Seed testing was performed using individual and batches  
291 seeds (three biological replicates in each test) and the LAMP assay was performed in triplicate. The  
292 reproducibility was verified in different machines, used by different researchers in different days. The

293 selectivity of the LAMP assay was checked using three matrices: artificially infested soil from a  
294 pathogenicity test, two batches of lettuce seeds ('Dorée de Printemps' and 'Romabella'), and plant  
295 material of seven lettuce cultivars ('Romabella', 'Costa Rica', 'Ordino', 'Juanita', 'Boeing', 'Volare',  
296 'Ricetto'). Commercial lettuce seeds were used to evaluate the reliability of the assay, by testing 400  
297 seed samples with different infection rates.

298

### 299 **Commercial lettuce seeds**

300 Two commercial lettuce seed batches ('Dorée de Printemps' and 'Romabella') were used to check the  
301 reliability of the LAMP assay. The two seed samples were extracted using commercial DNA extraction  
302 kit and crude extraction using four hundred seeds in a single reaction and dividing the same number of  
303 seeds into sixteen 25-seed subsamples. The 'Dorée de Printemps' and 'Romabella' lettuce seed samples  
304 produced reliable and repetitive results using both types of DNA extraction with the 25-seeds  
305 subsamples, obtaining a Tp with the commercial DNA extraction kit of 22 min 57 s and 14 min 57 s for  
306 'Dorée de Printemps' and 'Romabella' samples respectively (Table S3). The Tp using a crude extraction  
307 method was 17 min 01 s and 16 min 48 s on average for the 'Dorée de Printemps' and 'Romabella' seed  
308 samples, respectively. The annealing temperature ranged from 85.37 to 85.47°C for the 'Dorée de  
309 Printemps' sample and from 85.49 to 85.14 °C for 'Romabella' seed sample. Despite the small  
310 differences in the Tp and annealing temperatures, the DNA extracted with the commercial kit produced  
311 a higher number of positive results than the DNA extracted using the crude method which gave 33/48  
312 and 48/48 positives for 'Dorée de Printemps' and 'Romabella' respectively in comparison with 1/48 and  
313 2/48 positives using the crude extraction methods for each cultivar (Figure 5).

314 All the replicates of 400-seeds of 'Dorée de Printemps' and 'Romabella' produced positive amplification  
315 with the commercial DNA extraction kit at infection rates of 40% and 50%. The 'Dorée de Printemps'  
316 seed samples at 40% of infection rate also gave positive results using the crude extraction method. Using

317 the LAMP assay for testing 400 seeds at 10-fold dilution produced positive amplification until infection  
318 rates were as low as 0.004% (corresponding to 4 seeds out of 100,000) of the seeds infected with conidia  
319 and 0.05% (5 infected seeds out of 10,000) of the seeds infected with chlamydospores (Table 2, Table  
320 S4). Not all the biological replicates, such as the 0.4% one, produced positive amplification. Cell number  
321 in both samples was measured using qPCR, however, when testing the seed of ‘Dorée de Printemps’ not  
322 all the replicates gave a positive result. None of the 10-fold diluted batches (from 4-5% to 0.004-0.005%)  
323 was detected by qPCR. Only the cells of the 40% conidia infected lettuce seeds sample (1.1 cells/  $\mu$ l) and  
324 the 50% chlamydospores-infected lettuce seeds (sample 5.05-10.42 cells/ $\mu$ l) were quantified by qPCR.  
325 The 400-seed batches of ‘Dorée de Printemps’ lettuce infected at 40% gave positive results using crude  
326 extraction. On the contrary, the PCR carried out with the primers of Mbofung & Pryor (2010) did not  
327 produce any amplification using the DNA extracted from the 25-seed batches (data not shown).

328

### 329 **Soil and plant testing**

330 Plant samples of seven lettuce cultivars (‘Romabella’, ‘Costa Rica’, ‘Ordino’, ‘Juanita’, ‘Boeing’,  
331 ‘Volare’ and ‘Ricetto’) with Fusarium wilt symptoms produced positive amplification using the LAMP  
332 assay after a crude DNA extraction in both experiments (Figure 6). The infested soil from both  
333 pathogenicity tests produced positive amplification after DNA was extracted using a commercial DNA  
334 extraction kit (E.Z.N.A Soil DNA Kit). The results of the qPCR with SYBR Green showed that the  
335 number of cells was 12.7 and 14.37 cells/ $\mu$ l respectively (Table 3).

336

### 337 **DISCUSSION**

338 Different molecular markers, such as the intergenic spacer region, elongation factor 1-alpha,  
339 mitochondrial small subunit, or polygalacturonase genes (Hirano & Arie, 2009, Mbofung *et al.* 2007),  
340 have been used to distinguish *formae speciales* of *F. oxysporum*. The difficulty to find differences among



341 *formae speciales* with molecular markers was overcome by the high level of polymorphisms (Lievens *et*  
342 *al.* 2008) found in the genome using random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) markers (Shimazu *et*  
343 *al.* 2005). Markers previously identified using RAPD were used in this work to design a LAMP assay  
344 with optimal characteristics able to detect FOL in three different matrices including plant material, soil  
345 and seeds.

346 Crop protection against FOL on lettuce requires an effective, reliable and economical acceptable  
347 detection technique to help growers and breeders in the lettuce growing areas. The LAMP assay  
348 developed in this study has been validated according to the international EPPO standard 7/98 to detect  
349 FOL in plant material, soil and seeds. Although there is no specific phytosanitary legislation within the  
350 EU for the detection of FOL in lettuce seeds in trade or lettuce plant material, the increased spread of the  
351 pathogen and the recent identification of a new race within FOL (Gilardi *et al.* 2016, 2017) makes  
352 essential the development and availability of new techniques for the diagnosis of FOL.

353 Garibaldi *et al.* (2004) demonstrated the presence of FOL in lettuce seeds assessing 27 samples of  
354 different cultivars of commercial lettuce seeds from Lombardy (north Italy) and reported infection rates  
355 as low as 0.15% in some of the samples tested, suggesting the necessity of using healthy seeds and plant  
356 material to avoid the pathogen spread in trade. However, until now, no assay is able to detect the pathogen  
357 in seed samples contaminated at low levels. A quick and easy test to identify the presence of FOL is an  
358 essential requirement in routine seed diagnostic laboratory practices to avoid a long turnaround time  
359 between the reception of the samples and the results. The use of the LAMP assay to identify contaminated  
360 batches of seeds and plant material can reduce the reaction time from days to hours to discard an infected  
361 seed batch and avoid subsequent yield losses.

362 The international standard rules for seed testing (ISTA Rules) are based on time-consuming procedures  
363 including incubation times of one week and later identification by morphological features of the fungi.  
364 These type of practices require screening seed under a stereoscopic microscope and identification of the

365 conidia of the pathogens by trained diagnosticians. Detection by isolation of the pathogen in culture is  
366 labor intensive and requires specialized mycological skills, whereas the use of molecular methods can  
367 mitigate the latter problem and can, therefore, be utilized more widely for efficient pathogen detection.  
368 A nested PCR was previously developed to detect the presence of FOL by Mbofung & Pryor (2010)  
369 however, it includes long incubation times and it does not present optimal characteristics in terms of  
370 sensitivity with a detection limit of 0.1% infection rate for FOL. The LAMP assay developed in this  
371 study can overcome these disadvantages due to an easy interpretation of the results by the end-users and  
372 an improved sensitivity in comparison with the nested PCR. The sensitivity of the LAMP assay was  
373 evaluated using DNA, individual seeds and batches inoculated with the four races before testing  
374 commercial seeds batches. The results were repeatable, reproducible and reliable to detect the presence  
375 of the four races in lettuce seeds with Tp inferior to 30 min for all *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *lactucae* races. In  
376 comparison with the negative results using DNA from 25-seeds batches at an infection rate of 4% (1  
377 infected seed out of 25) and 8% (2 infected seed out of 25) for the four races obtained using the nested  
378 PCR developed by Mbofung & Pryor (2010), the LAMP assay was able to detect the pathogen even using  
379 a crude extraction method. On the other hand, the crude extracted DNA from the 400 commercial seeds  
380 samples was not detected using the LAMP assay. However, the sensitivity of the LAMP assay was  
381 improved by incorporating a commercial DNA extraction kit in the protocol, ensuring the detection of  
382 the pathogen to an infection rate of 0.004% conidia FOL artificially inoculated lettuce seeds (4 infected  
383 seed out of 100,000 lettuce seeds) and at 0.05% with FOL chlamyospores (5 infected seed out of 10,000  
384 lettuce seeds), which is significantly more sensitive than the test developed by Mbofung & Pryor (2010).  
385 Furthermore, the LAMP assay was demonstrated to be specific using a panel of target and non target  
386 species, which is a significant advantage over the traditional methods where discriminating the *formae*  
387 *speciales* of *Fusarium oxysporum* is difficult.

388 The LAMP assay was also reliable for the detection of FOL on the plant material from the different  
389 cultivars tested, becoming a potential tool for the diagnosis of FOL in plants to avoid the pathogen spread.  
390 The detection of soilborne pathogens such as *Fusarium* also has potential for breeders who grow the  
391 same crop in the same soil each year. Routine testing using the LAMP assays in DNA extracted from  
392 soils can provide advanced warning about the need of effective crop protection strategies, due to the  
393 presence of chlamydospores of FOL which can survive between subsequent cropping seasons.  
394 In the present study, the application of a new LAMP assay was demonstrated to detect FOL in soil, plant  
395 material and infected seeds at rates as low as 0.004%. The seed and plant tests with LAMP may avoid  
396 the diffusion of FOL to lettuce growing areas in other countries, avoiding new outbreaks of lettuce  
397 *Fusarium* wilt, while soil testing may help growers to take actions to control the disease spread in the  
398 field and to disinfest contaminated soils.

399

#### 400 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

401 Work carried out with a funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation  
402 programme under grant agreement No 634179 "Effective Management of Pests and Harmful Alien  
403 Species - Integrated Solutions" (EMPHASIS). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

404

## REFERENCES

405

Baayen RP, O'Donnell K, Bonants PJM *et al.*, 2000. Gene Genealogies and AFLP Analyses in the *Fusarium oxysporum* complex identify monophyletic and nonmonophyletic *formae speciales* causing wilt and rot disease. *Phytopathology* **90**, 891–900.

408

Bühlmann A, Pothier JF, Rezzonico F *et al.*, 2013. *Erwinia amylovora* loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) assay for rapid pathogen detection and on-site diagnosis of fire blight. *Journal of Microbiological Methods* **92**, 332–9.

411

Chomczynski P, Rymaszewski M, 2006. Alkaline polyethylene glycol-based method for direct PCR from bacteria, eukaryotic tissue samples, and whole blood. *BioTechniques* **40**, 454–8.

413

Franco Ortega S, Tomlinson J, Hodgetts J, Spadaro D, Gullino ML, Boonham N 2018. Loop-mediated isothermal amplification for seedborne pathogen detection: the development of *Fusarium fujikuroi* and *Magnaporthe oryzae* assays in rice seeds. *Plant Disease*, accepted.

416

Fujinaga M, Ogiso H, Tsuchiya N, Sait H, 2001. Physiological specialization of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lactucaae*, a causal organism of Fusarium root rot of crisp head lettuce in Japan. *Journal of General Plant Pathology* **206**, 205–6.

419

Fujinaga M, Ogiso H, Tsuchiya N *et al.*, 2003. Race 3, a new race of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lactucaae* determined by a differential system with commercial cultivars. *Journal of General Plant Pathology* **69**, 23–8.

422

Fujinaga M, Ogiso H, Shinohara H *et al.*, 2005. Phylogenetic relationships between the lettuce root rot pathogen *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lactucaae* races 1, 2, and 3 based on the sequence of the intergenic spacer region of its ribosomal DNA. *Journal of General Plant Pathology* **71**, 402–7.

424

425 Garibaldi A, Gilardi G, Gullino ML, 2002. First Report of *Fusarium oxysporum* on Lettuce in  
426 Europe. *Plant Disease* **86**, 1052.

427 Garibaldi A, Gilardi G, Gullino ML, 2004. Varietal resistance of lettuce to *Fusarium oxysporum* f.  
428 sp. *lactucae*. *Crop Protection* **23**, 845–51.

429 Gilardi G, Franco Ortega S, van Rijswijk PC., Ortu G, Gullino ML, Garibaldi A, 2016. A new race  
430 of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lactucae* of lettuce. *Plant Pathology* **66**, 677–88.

431 Gilardi G, Pons C, Gard B, Franco-Ortega S, Gullino ML, 2017. Presence of Fusarium wilt, incited  
432 by *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *lactucae* , on lettuce in France. *Plant Disease* **101**, 1053.

433 Hirano Y, Arie T, 2009. Variation and Phylogeny of *Fusarium oxysporum* Isolates based on  
434 nucleotide sequences of polygalacturonase genes. *Microbes and Environments* **24**, 113–20.

435 Hubbard JC, Gerik JS, 1993. A new wilt disease of lettuce incited by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp.  
436 *lactucum forma specialis* nov. *Plant Disease* **77**, 750–54.

437 Huang JH, Lo CT, 1998. Wilt of lettuce caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* in Taiwan. *Plant Pathology*  
438 *Bulletin* **7**, 150–3.

439 Lievens B, Rep M, Thomma BP, 2008. Recent developments in the molecular discrimination of  
440 *formae speciales* of *Fusarium oxysporum*. *Pest Management Science* **64**, 781–8.

441 Lin Y-H, Lai P-J, Chang T-H *et al.*, 2014. Genetic diversity and identification of race 3 of  
442 *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lactucae* in Taiwan. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* **140**, 721–33.

443 Locke T, Colhoun J, 1974. Contributions to a method of testing oil palm seedlings for resistance to  
444 *Fusarium oxysporum* Schl. f. sp. *elaeidis* Toovey. *Phytopathologische Zeitschrift Journal of*

445 *Phytopathology* **79**, 77–92.

446 Marques Ramalhete M, Gonçalves Bastos C, Matias Furtado AJ, 2006. *New diseases of lettuce in*  
447 *the region of Entre Douro e Minho*. Ministério da Agricultura, do Desenvolvimento Rural e das  
448 Pescas, O Minho, a Terra e o Homem 61-65. Republica Portuguesa.

449 Malbrán I, Mourellos CA, Mitidieri MS, Ronco BL, Lori GA, 2014. Fusarium wilt of lettuce caused  
450 by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lactucae* in Argentina. *Plant Disease* **98**, 1281.

451 Mathur SB, Kongsdal O, 2003. *Common laboratory seed health testing methods for detecting fungi*.  
452 Bassersdorf, Switzerland. International Seed Testing Association.

453 Matuo, T. and Motohashi S, 1967. On *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lactucae* n. f. causing root rot of  
454 lettuce. *Transactions of the Mycological Society of Japan* **8**, 13–15.

455 Mbofung GY, Hong SG, Pryor BM, 2007. Phylogeny of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lactucae*  
456 inferred from mitochondrial small subunit , elongation factor 1-  $\alpha$  , and nuclear ribosomal  
457 intergenic spacer sequence data. *Phytopathology* **97**, 87–98.

458 Mbofung GCY, Pryor BM, 2010. A PCR-based assay for detection of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp.  
459 *lactucae* in lettuce seed. *Plant Disease* **94**, 860–6.

460 Motohashi S, Abe Z, Ogawa T, 1960. Occurrence of lettuce root rot (abstract in Japanese). *Annals*  
461 *of the Phytopathological Society of Japan* **25**, 47

462 Millani MJ, Etebarian HR, Alizadeh A, 1999. Occurrence of Fusarium wilt of lettuce in Shahre-Ray,  
463 Varamin and Karj areas. *Iranian Journal of Plant Pathology* **35**, 44–5.

464 Notomi T, Okayama H, Masubuchi H *et al.*, 2000. Loop-mediated isothermal amplification of

465 DNA. *Nucleic Acids Research* **28**, E63.

466 Pasquali M, Dematheis F, Gilardi G, Gullino ML, Garibaldi A, 2005. Vegetative Compatibility  
467 Groups of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lactucae* from lettuce. *Plant Disease* **89**, 237–40.

468 Pasquali M, Saravanakumar D, Gullino ML, Garibaldi A, 2008. Sequence-specific amplified  
469 polymorphism (SSAP) technique to analyse *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lactucae* VCG 0300 isolate  
470 from lettuce. *Journal of Plant Pathology* **90**, 527–35.

471 Pintore I, Gilardi G, Gullino ML, Garibaldi A, 2017. Analysis of Vegetative Compatibility Groups  
472 of italian and dutch isolates of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lactucae*. *Journal of Plant Pathology* **99**,  
473 517–21.

474 Shimazu J, Yamauchi N, Hibi T, Satou M, Horiuchi S, Shirakawa T, 2005. Development of  
475 sequence tagged site markers to identify races of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lactucae*. *Journal of*  
476 *General Plant Pathology* **71**, 183–9.

477 Suga H, Hirayama Y, Morishima M, Suzuki T, Kageyama K, Hyakumachi M, 2013. Development  
478 of PCR Primers to Identify *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *fragariae*. *Plant Disease* **97**, 619–25.

479 Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S, 2013. MEGA6: Molecular Evolutionary  
480 Genetics Analysis version 6.0. *Molecular biology and evolution* **30**, 2725–9.

481 Tomlinson JA, Dickinson MJ, Boonham N, 2010a. Rapid Detection of *Phytophthora ramorum* and  
482 *P. kernoviae* by two-minute DNA extraction followed by isothermal amplification and amplicon  
483 detection by generic lateral flow device. *Phytopathology* **100**, 143–9.

484 Tomlinson JA, Dickinson M, Hobden E, Robinson S, Giltrap PM, Boonham N, 2010b. A five-  
485 minute DNA extraction method for expedited detection of *Phytophthora ramorum* following

486 prescreening using *Phytophthora* spp. lateral flow devices. *Journal of Microbiological Methods* **81**,  
487 116–20.

488 Ventura JA, Costa H, 2008. Fusarium Wilt Caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* on Lettuce in Espirito  
489 Santo, Brazil. *Plant Disease* **92**, 976.



490 **FIGURES**

491

492 **Figure 1.** Amplification using the primers FLA0001F/FLA0001R based on sequence tagged site (STS)  
493 markers designed by Shimazu *et al.* 2004, using target isolates of FOL and non-target *formae speciales*.  
494 The size of the products were estimated by comparison to the GelPilot 1-kb DNA plus Ladder (Qiagen).

495 **Figure 2. A.** Sensitivity testing of the FOL LAMP assay reporting the average of four replicates and  
496 standard deviation of 4 isolates corresponding to the four races of FOL. **B.** The table indicates the number  
497 of positive results detected for each range of DNA for each race and the total number for the four races.

498 **Figure 3.** LAMP assay results for sensitivity using individual lettuce seeds extracted using the crude  
499 extraction method at different concentration of inoculum. The four FOL races were used in the test. The  
500 concentration of  $10^5$  CFU/ml of race 2 was not tested.

501 **Figure 4.** Comparison of the LAMP assay using 25 lettuce seeds batches among the FOL races, where  
502 the DNA was extracted using a crude extraction method or a commercial extraction kit. The table shows  
503 the average and standard deviation of the Tp (Time to positive in hour: minutes: seconds), Anneal  
504 (Annealing temperature in °C). **A.** FOL race 1 results. **B.** FOL race 2 results. **C.** FOL race 3 results. **D.**  
505 FOL race 4 results.

506 **Figure 5.** Seed testing using 400 seeds of two different lettuce seed batches ('Dorée de Printemps' seeds  
507 inoculated spraying a conidial suspension at  $10^6$  conidia/ml on 40% of 200 g seeds and 'Romabella' seeds  
508 inoculated mixing the talc powder of the isolate over 50% of 200 g seeds) and testing 16 subsamples of  
509 25 seeds each. The two bar charts show the results comparing the commercial kit and crude DNA  
510 extraction method. **A.** The bar graph represents the number of positives subsamples from the total  
511 subsamples tested, **B.** Time to positive

512 **Figure 6.** Results of the LAMP assay using plant material. The experiment 1 corresponds with the  
513 pathogenicity test performed with the isolate FOL 1/17. While the experiment 2 corresponds with the

514 pathogenicity test performed with the isolates FOL 2/17.**A.**The bar graph represents the Tp of the  
515 different lettuce cultivars **.B.** Representation of the disease severity index in function of the symptoms  
516 **.C.** Results of the LAMP assay after a crude extraction of the plant material. The disease index is reported  
517 in the Table ranging from 0 to 4, being 0 disease absence and 4 total destruction of the plant.  
518

519 **SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL**

520

521 **Table S1.** LAMP assay results of the sensitivity testing using single inoculated lettuce seeds after a crude  
522 DNA extraction at different inoculum concentration. The four FOL races were used in the test. The table  
523 represents the average of three replicates with the standard deviation of the time to positive, and the  
524 annealing temperature. The results of the COX assay were included as plant DNA control.

525 **Table S2.** Comparison of the LAMP tests performed using different DNA extraction methods. The Table  
526 shows the average of three replicates in terms of time to positive and annealing temperature using a crude  
527 extraction method and commercial DNA extraction kit for the four FOL races for both concentration and  
528 infection rates.

529 **Table S3.** LAMP assay results demonstrating the sensitivity using 25-lettuce seeds batches after a crude  
530 DNA extraction with different infection rates and different inoculum concentration. The four FOL races  
531 were used in the test. The table represents the average of three replicates with the standard deviation of  
532 the time to positive, and the annealing temperature. The results of the COX assay were included as a  
533 plant DNA control. An infection rate of 4% corresponds to 1 infected seed in 24 healthy lettuce seeds,  
534 while 8% infected rate corresponds with 2 infected seeds in 23 healthy lettuce seeds.

535 **Table S4.** Results of the LAMP assay using commercial lettuce seeds of 'Dorée de Printemps' inoculated  
536 artificially by conidial spraying or talc power from chlamydospore. The table shows the results of both  
537 DNA extraction of the time to positive and the annealing temperature. The number of cells was calculated  
538 according to the qPCR. The LAMP assay was carried out in triplicate in two different 400 lettuce seeds  
539 samples. The number of positive show the positive amplification taking into account the six replicates.

540