

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

The single nucleotide polymorphism g.133A>C in the stearoyl CoA desaturase gene (SCD) promoter affects gene expression and quali-quantitative properties of river buffalo milk

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1685028> since 2018-12-21T14:28:14Z

Published version:

DOI:10.3168/jds.2018-15059

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)



The SNP g.133 A>C in SCD promoter affects gene expression and quali-quantitative properties of river buffalo milk

Journal:	<i>Journal of Dairy Science</i>
Manuscript ID	JDS-18-15059.R2
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	16-Aug-2018
Complete List of Authors:	Gu, Meichao; Universita degli Studi di Torino Scuola di Agraria e Medicina Veterinaria, DISAFA; Beijing University of Agriculture, College of Animal Science and Technology Cosenza, Gianfranco; Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, Dipartimento di Scienze Zootecniche e Ispezione degli Alimenti Iannaccone, Marco; University of Naples Federico II, Department of Agricultural Sciences Macciotta, Nicolo; Università di Sassari, Dipartimento di Agraria Guo, Yong; Beijing University of Agriculture, College of Animal Science and Technology Di Stasio, Liliana; Universita degli Studi di Torino Scuola di Agraria e Medicina Veterinaria, DISAFA Pauciullo, Alfredo; Universita degli Studi di Torino Scuola di Agraria e Medicina Veterinaria, DISAFA
Key Words:	River buffalo, Stearoyl-CoA, Gene expression, Sp1 transcription factor

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1 A variant in the *SCD* gene promoter affects buffalo milk

2 Gu

3 The *SCD* plays an essential role in the biosynthesis of monounsaturated fatty acids (FAs). It has
4 been extensively investigated in farm animals for its influence on milk fat quality. Among
5 ruminants, the buffaloes produce milk with a higher fat content; therefore, the improvement of this
6 trait is one of the main goals for the progress of the species. We have elucidated the biological role
7 of a variant found in the *SCD* promoter combining mRNA profile analysis, electromobility shift
8 assay and q-PCR. Our data revealed no influence of the SNP on gene transcripts; however, this
9 variant affects the binding of a Sp1 transcription factor, the gene expression and milk FAs content.

10

1 **The SNP g.133 A>C in *SCD* promoter affects gene expression and quali-quantitative**
2 **properties of river buffalo milk**

3
4 **M. Gu,^{*,†} G. Cosenza,[‡] M. Iannaccone,[‡] N.P.P. Macciotta,[§] Y. Guo,[†] L. Di Stasio,^{*} A.**
5 **Pauciullo^{*}**

6
7 **Department of Agricultural, Forest and Food Science, University of Torino, Grugliasco (TO), Italy*

8 *†College of Animal Science and Technology, Beijing University of Agriculture, Beijing, China*

9 *‡Department of Agriculture, University of Napoli Federico II, Portici (NA), Italy*

10 *§Department of Agricultural Sciences, University of Sassari, Sassari, Italy*

11
12 **A VARIANT IN THE *SCD* GENE PROMOTER AFFECTS BUFFALO MILK**

13
14
15
16 Corresponding author

17 Gianfranco Cosenza

18 Department of Agricultural, University of Napoli Federico II

19 Via Università, 100

20 80055 – Portici (NA), Italy

21 Phone: +390812539272

22 Email: giacosen@unina.it

23

24

ABSTRACT

1
2 The stearoyl-CoA desaturase (*SCD*) gene has been deeply investigated in ruminants because of
3 its effect on milk fat composition. In river buffalo, the SNP g.133A>C at the gene promoter has
4 been associated with milk quality and yield. However, the biological reason for such effects
5 remained unexplored. In this study, we have combined mRNA profile analysis, electromobility shift
6 assay and q-PCR to elucidate the role of this SNP on gene transcription and its effects on milk fat
7 traits. A preliminary genotyping of the SNP g.133A>C was carried out on a group of 303 river
8 buffaloes to choose the individuals for the downstream applications. Allele frequencies showed an
9 increase of the minor allele C (0.25) compared with previous findings (0.16). Six animals (two for
10 each genotype) were chosen for cloning and a total of 216 positive cDNA recombinant clones for
11 *SCD* (72 per genotype) were analyzed by PCR. All clones showed the same length on agarose gel,
12 therefore random clones were chosen for the sequencing. No qualitative differences were found and
13 all gene transcripts resulted correctly assembled. Electrophoretic mobility shift assay was
14 performed to evaluate the binding of the transcription factor Sp1 to DNA sequences including the
15 SNP g.133A>C. The genotype CC showed a higher binding affinity than the genotype AA in two
16 different conditions (EB: 201.77 ± 4.06 vs 141.65 ± 3.77 and PB: 95.90 ± 1.15 vs 67.30 ± 2.14).
17 The following q-PCR confirmed the up-regulation of the CC compared with AA and AC genotypes.
18 The association study with milk fat traits revealed a favourable effect of the allele C. The
19 heterozygous genotype had the highest values for MUFAs, oleic acid (C18:1 c9), PUFAs and odd
20 branched-chain fatty acids (OBCFAs), and the lowest values for SFAs, atherogenic and
21 thrombogenic indices, and significantly differed from the AA genotype. The AC genotype had been
22 previously associated with higher milk yield. Therefore, the SNP g.133A>C is a marker with a dual
23 impact and it is an interesting candidate for assisted selection programs in river buffalo. These data
24 clarified the biological role of the SNP g.133A>C in the *SCD* promoter and how it affects the gene
25 function, providing important knowledge on the genetic background of lipid metabolism, including
26 the future possibility of selecting alleles with quantitative or qualitative favourable effects.

1

2 Keywords: River buffalo, Stearoyl-CoA, Transcripts, Gene expression, Sp1 transcription factor

For Peer Review

INTRODUCTION

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

In the last decades the riverine buffalo population (*Bubalus bubalis*) in Italy (Mediterranean river buffalo breed) has highly increased, reaching more than 385,000 heads in 2016 (FAO, 2016), with over 59,000 buffaloes in 272 farms (ANASB, 2017) officially recorded for production. This positive trend facilitated the development of the whole buffalo dairy industry, which currently involves 102 cheese factories officially registered for Buffalo Mozzarella PDO (EC Reg. nos. 1107/1996 and 510/2006), producers association (<https://www.mozzarelladop.it/consortium/dop-in-numbers>) and more than 20,000 operators, with a consolidated turnover estimated in about 720 million of euros (ISMEA, 2016).

Considering the high market demand for buffalo dairy products, the main breeding goal of the Italian National Association of Buffalo Breeders (ANASB) is the improvement of milk yield. However, the quality of milk and dairy products are fundamental prerequisites to satisfy the requirements of national and international consumers. In this context, fatty acid (FA) composition has become a crucial aspect for the assessment of milk nutritional value. Animal feeding is considered the main source of variation of milk FA composition. However, several studies have demonstrated that genetic variants found in key genes involved in FAs metabolism may be responsible for changes in milk FAs profile (Bionaz and Loor, 2008, Thering et al., 2009).

In this respect, one of the most investigated genes in ruminants belongs to the stearoyl-CoA desaturase (*SCD*) gene family, which in cattle is composed of two different isoforms, *SCD1* and *SCD5* (Lengi and Corl, 2007). The *SCD1* gene, mapped on bovine chromosome 26, codes for the stearoyl-CoA desaturase, an endoplasmic reticulum enzyme which plays an essential role in cellular biosynthesis of monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFAs). The stearoyl-CoA desaturase is responsible for catalysing the insertion of a double bond between the carbons 9 and 10 of the FAs, activity carried out also in the mammary gland (Bionaz and Loor, 2008). In addition, the enzyme is involved in the endogenous production of the cis-9, trans-11 isomers of conjugated linolenic acid (CLA),

1 which are generally found in ruminant milk and considered healthy in the human diet (Bhattacharya
2 et al., 2006).

3 **The** *SCD1* gene has been intensively studied in cattle, where a total of 8 SNPs forming two
4 haplotypes (A and B) have been found (Medrano et al., 1999). Furthermore, associations between a
5 missense polymorphism at the exon 5 (GenBank AY241932: g.10329C^{Ala}>T^{Val}) and the
6 concentration of some individual FA and FA unsaturation indices in the milk fat have been reported
7 in several cattle breeds (Mele et al., 2007, Moioli et al., 2007, Schennink et al., 2008).

8 In a previous study, we reported a great genetic diversity **also within the river buffalo *SCD***
9 **gene**. In particular, a total of 15 SNPs spread from the gene promoter to the 3' UTR have been
10 found (Pauciullo et al., 2010). Among them, the transversion FM876222:g.133 A>C in the
11 promoter has been considered of particular interest because it falls between two Sp1 binding sites.
12 The bioinformatic analysis showed that the allelic form C creates a novel consensus sequence for
13 the transcription factor Sp1, and at the same time, it generates a putative clusterization of three
14 consecutive Sp1 binding sites (Pauciullo et al., 2010).

15 A preliminary analysis on the association with the total desaturation index (DI) of milk fat (on
16 22 samples) showed the highest value for the homozygous CC buffaloes (Pauciullo et al., 2010).
17 The same marker has been associated also with daily milk yield, with the genotype AC showing an
18 over-dominance effect (approximately 1.2 kg/d) constant across lactation stages (Pauciullo et al.,
19 2012).

20 The variability found in the buffalo *SCD* Sp1 cluster was suggested as responsible for the quali-
21 quantitative variation of the gene transcripts, and consequently of *SCD* activity. However, despite
22 the great impact of this SNP on both milk quality and yield, the biological reason for such effects
23 has remained so far unexplored.

24 Therefore, in present study we have combined the ***SCD*** transcript analysis, electromobility shift
25 assay and q-PCR to assess the role of the SNP g.133A>C on Sp1 clusterization and gene
26 expression. In addition, we investigated the possible associations of the genotypes g.133A>C with

1 milk fat traits for their potential application to the quali-quantitative improvement of the productive
2 efficiency of the breed.

3

4

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Sample collection and nucleic acid isolation

6 Fresh milk and blood samples were collected from 303 unrelated lactating Mediterranean river
7 buffaloes reared in 14 farms in southern Italy. Samples were collected in collaboration with
8 ANASB (Caserta, Italy).

9 Milk samples were used for fatty acid analysis as described in Cosenza et al. (2017), whereas
10 blood samples were treated for genomic DNA isolation according to Sambrook and Russell, (2001).
11 All DNA samples were diluted with 100µl TE buffer pH 7.6 (10mM Tris, 1mM EDTA).

12 Further individual milk samples were collected from 6 river buffaloes after the g.133A>C
13 genotyping (2 for each genotype). The animals belonged to the same farm located in province of
14 Salerno (Italy), were comparable for age, feeding system, number of lactation (third), lactation stage
15 (4th month) and were free of clinical mastitis. These samples were used to perform the quali-
16 quantitative transcript analysis. For this purpose, the total RNA was isolated from milk somatic
17 cells using Trizol (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) according to manufacturer's
18 instruction. Traces of contaminating DNA were removed using DNase I treatment (Thermo- Fisher
19 Scientific).

20 DNA and RNA concentrations were measured with the Nanodrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer
21 (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

22

PCR conditions and genotyping by TaqI PCR-RFLP

24 The entire panel of 303 animals was genotyped for the SNP g.133A>C according to the
25 protocol of Pauciullo et al. (2012) with a slightly modification for the annealing (65.4°C) and the
26 extension time (20 s). In fact, new primers reported in table 1 were used to shorten the amplified

1 fragment to 201 bp. Product specificity was confirmed by ethidium-bromide-stained 2% agarose gel
2 electrophoresis.

3 Digestion of 5µl of each amplicon was accomplished with 1µl of FastDigest *TaqI* endonuclease
4 (T↓CGA) in 1X buffer for 10 min at 65°C as suggested by the firm (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The
5 digestion products were analysed by electrophoresis in 2% agarose gel in 0.5X TBE buffer and
6 stained with ethidium bromide.

7

8 ***RT-PCR, cloning and sequencing***

9 The reverse transcription of total RNA for the 6 Mediterranean river buffaloes with different
10 genotype for the SNP g.133A>C was conducted using an oligo dT₁₈. The mix was set up in a final
11 volume of 20µl using ImProm-II™ Reverse Transcriptase (Promega, Madison, WI, USA)
12 according to the standard protocol recommended by the firm. The PCR reaction was performed by
13 using the primers in table 1.

14 The PCR reaction mix (50 µl) comprised: 50 ng of total cDNA, 1x PCR Buffer (Promega), 2.5
15 mM MgCl₂, 5 pmol of each primer, dNTPs each at 200µM, 1 U of *Taq* DNA Polymerase
16 (Promega). PCR was performed under the following thermal conditions: 95°C for 4 min, 35 cycles
17 at 95°C for 45 s, 62°C for 45 s, 72°C for 90 s, and the final extension at 72°C for 5 min.

18 The amplified products were first analysed by electrophoresis on 2 % agarose gel in 0.5X TBE
19 buffer and then cloned into pGEM®-T Easy Vector (Promega). The ligation products were
20 transformed into JM109 High-Efficiency Competent Cells (Promega) following the manufacturers'
21 guidelines. White recombinant clones were randomly chosen and screened by PCR according to
22 Pauciullo and Erhardt (2015) using the following combination of primers: M13 For 5'-
23 GTAAAACGACGGCCAGT-3' and M13 Rev 5'-AACAGCTATGACCATG-3'.

1 Ten amplicons were chosen from each genotype, purified using NucleoSpin® Gel and PCR
2 Clean-up kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany) and sequenced in both directions in out-sourcing
3 (Microsynth AG, Switzerland) using Sanger DNA sequencing technologies.

4

5 ***Agarose Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay (EMSA)***

6 According to the genotype, 45-mer single stranded DNA sequences (table 1) corresponding to
7 the nucleotides -488 to -444 of the *SCD* promoter were co-denatured at 95°C for 5 min in 0.2X SSC
8 buffer and annealed at room temperature for 2 hours with their complementary sequence to obtain
9 double strand probes (homozygous AA and CC). Concentrations and OD ratios were measured with
10 the Nanodrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer as reported previously and dilutions were prepared to a
11 final concentration of 80ng/µl.

12 Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay reactions were set up according to Ream et al., (2016)
13 using agarose instead of polyacrilamide gel. Trial tests were arranged to assess the performance of
14 two binding buffers and to evaluate the best concentration of Sp1 transcription factor (Enzo Life
15 Science Inc., Farmingdale, NY, USA). Binding reactions were set-up by incubating 0.5 BFU of Sp1
16 transcription factor in 1X Enzo buffer (EB) with 40 ng DNA probe. The same Sp1 and DNA
17 concentration was used also with 1X binding buffer (PB) suggest by Poletto et al., (2016). After
18 incubation at 37°C for 20 min, the samples were loaded in 0.7% TB agarose gel and run at 30V for
19 100 min. Staining was performed in 1X SYBR Green.

20

21 ***Quantitative real-time PCR analysis (qPCR)***

22 Comparative *SCD* gene expression was examined in the 6 Mediterranean river buffaloes
23 divided into three groups (2 AA, 2 AC and 2 CC) according to the genotype of SNP g. 133A>C.
24 Quantitative PCR was performed using 2-fold diluted cDNA products with PowerUp™ SYBR®
25 Green Master (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Analysis was carried out with a StepONE cycler (Applied
26 Biosystems, Foster City CA, USA) for 40 cycles at 95°C for 20 s and amplification at 60°C for 1

1 min. Amplification specificity was checked using melting curve following the manufacturer's
 2 instructions. Primers used for *SCD*, β -actin and 18S rRNA expression are listed in table1. Each
 3 sample was analysed in triplicate (technical replicates) and relative gene expression was determined
 4 using β -actin and 18S as endogenous controls. Results are expressed as fold-change relative to the
 5 mean.

6

7 ***Bioinformatic and statistical analysis***

8 The allele frequency and Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (χ^2 test) were calculated for the sample
 9 of 303 buffaloes. Homology searches, comparison among sequences, and multiple alignments were
 10 accomplished using DNAsis-Pro (Hitachi Software Engineering Co., Ltd., Yokohama, Japan). Sp1
 11 binding was measured by band intensity values (mean \pm SEM) using Image J software (National
 12 Institute of Health; Bethesda, MD, USA). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to examine Sp1
 13 binding intensity and gene expression, followed by a Student-Newman-Keuls test using SPSS
 14 software. A *P*-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

15 Associations between *SCD* genotype, fat percentage, single fatty acid percentage and fatty acid
 16 classes (supplementary table 1) were tested using the following mixed linear model:

17

$$18 \quad y_{ijklmn} = \mu + AGE_i + DIM_j + Month_k + SCD_l + HERD_m + C_n(SCD) + e_{ijklmn}$$

19 where:

20 y_{ijklmn} = dependent variable* (supplementary table 1);

21 μ = overall mean;

22 AGE_i = fixed effect of the *i*th class of animals age at calving expressed in years (6
 23 levels: 1= <4yrs., 2=4 yrs., 3=5 yrs., 4=6 yrs., 5=5 yrs., 6 = >7 yrs.);

24 DIM_j = fixed effect of the *j*th stage of lactation (10 levels of 30 days each);

25 $Month_k$ = fixed effect of the *k*th month of calving (12 levels);

26 SCD_l = fixed effect of the *l*th *SCD* genotype;

- 1 $HERD_m$ = Random effect of the m th herd
 2 C_n = Random effect of individual buffalo cow nested within SCD genotype
 3 e_{ijklmn} = Random residual
 4

5 Pairwise comparisons among different levels of fixed effects included in model were
 6 performed using a Bonferroni adjusted test.
 7

8 RESULTS

9 In order to assess the effect of the g.133A>C mutation on the transcription of SCD gene, and in
 10 order to further validate the preliminary association with FAs, we genotyped by PCR-RFLP a
 11 population of 303 Mediterranean river buffaloes as shown in table 2. The minor allele frequency
 12 (g.133C) was 0.25 and the population resulted in disequilibrium for Hardy-Weinberg ($\chi^2=7.96$).

13 For the qualitative transcript analysis of the 6 samples with different genotype (2 AA, 2 AC and
 14 2 CC), a total of 216 positive cDNA recombinant clones (72 clones for each genotype group) were
 15 screened by PCR and agarose gel electrophoresis. All the analyzed cDNA clones showed the same
 16 length. Ten clones per genotype were chosen for the sequencing and no qualitative transcript
 17 variability was found among the cDNAs of the three investigated genotypes. In fact, the
 18 comparative sequence analysis indicated only completed assembled cDNAs from exon 1 to exon 6
 19 for all the clones.

20 Electrophoretic mobility shift assay was performed to assess the binding of Sp1 transcription
 21 factor to DNA sequences including the SNP g.133A>C. Specific probes corresponding to
 22 homozygous genotypes were tested with two different binding buffers. The two genotypes revealed
 23 positive binding reactions in both experimental conditions, however the complex genotype CC-Sp1
 24 showed a stronger intensity than the genotype AA for both EB (201.77 ± 4.06 vs 141.65 ± 3.77 –
 25 $P < 0.000$) and PB (95.90 ± 1.15 vs 67.30 ± 2.14 – $P < 0.000$) (figure 1).

1 Furthermore, a Real-time PCR experiment was performed to confirm the putative effect of the
2 g.133A>C genotype on the amount of transcribed mRNA. Two housekeeping genes were used (β -
3 actin and 18S rRNA) and gave the same normalization results. Relative *SCD* expression from the
4 same group of samples showed the highest values for the genotype CC, while the heterozygote AC
5 showed the lowest expression. The genotype CC was significantly up-regulated compared with both
6 AA and AC genotypes ($P<0.01$), and the genotype AA showed upregulation compared to AC
7 genotype ($P<0.05$) as shown in figure 2 (β -actin normalization).

8 The analysis of the relationship between the *SCD* genotype and the FA profile showed
9 significant association with different groups of FAs (table 3). In particular, heterozygous buffalo
10 cows had the highest value for MUFAs ($P=0.010$), oleic acid (C18:1 c9) ($P=0.005$), PUFAs
11 ($P=0.030$) and odd branched-chain fatty acids (OBCFAs) ($P=0.047$), as well as the lowest content
12 for the saturated FAs ($P=0.007$), and lowest atherogenic (AI) ($P=0.012$) and thrombogenic (TI)
13 ($P=0.003$) indices compared to the AA genotype (table 3).

14 15 DISCUSSION

16 Genetic variability of *SCD* in Italian Mediterranean buffaloes has been investigated in our
17 previous studies and the SNP g. 133A>C has been identified and associated with milk yield
18 (Pauciullo et al., 2012) and, in a preliminary way, with the total desaturation level of milk fat
19 (Pauciullo et al., 2010). These associations were supposed to be a consequence of a novel Sp1
20 binding site discovered *in silico*, which generates a clusterization of three Sp1 consensus sequences
21 in the gene promoter. In the present work, a comparative quali-quantitative approach has been
22 applied to establish the role of this SNP on *SCD* gene transcription. Furthermore, an association
23 study with milk FAs has been carried out to elucidate the effect of the genotype on this trait in river
24 buffaloes.

25 A preliminary genotyping on 303 milking buffaloes was necessary to identify their genotype at
26 the locus g.133A>C and to select the groups for the quali-quantitative analysis. Genotype

1 distribution indicated that the variant C is less frequent (0.25) in the population. This value is
2 slightly higher than frequencies estimated in our previous studies (0.16) (Pauciullo et al., 2010,
3 Pauciullo et al., 2012). This might be due to several reasons including an indirect selection for other
4 traits that favoured the C allele, a non-random matings, or simply the higher number of farms
5 involved in the present study.

6 After the genotyping, six buffalo cows (2 per each genotype) were chosen for investigating the
7 effect of the SNP g.133A>C on the RNA through a comparative quali-quantitative analysis.

8 The qualitative analysis of cDNA populations did not evidence differences in the primary
9 transcripts. In fact, only correctly assembled cDNAs (from exon 1 to exon 6) were found.

10 It is known that the first element affecting the intricate mechanism of the RNA maturation,
11 which reflects the occurrence of splicing events, is the extremely split architecture of the genes
12 (Heyn et al., 2015). In this respect, our result is not surprising. In fact, differently from other genes
13 expressed in the mammary gland and well-studied from a transcript point of view (Ramunno et al.,
14 2005, Gu et al., 2017), the *SCD* gene consists of 6 exons only (Pauciullo et al., 2010). However, the
15 lack of splicing events is in conflict with our previous findings. In fact, Pauciullo et al. (2007)
16 reported at least 5 different cDNA populations, among which the most represented transcript
17 (~52%) was the correctly assembled, followed by minor transcripts. In particular, a very short
18 cDNA (only 565 bp long) was observed, deleted of great part of the exons as a consequence of an
19 alternative splicing. Such a difference with the present results might be attributable to the diverse
20 sampling criteria, achieved by the selection of milk samples with extreme fat content (Pauciullo et
21 al. 2007).

22 The lack of qualitative differences among the *SCD* transcripts led to investigate the interaction
23 of the polymorphism g.133A>C with the Sp1 transcription factor and then to assess its effect on the
24 quantity of transcripts.

25 An electrophoretic mobility shift assay experiment was set up to address the first point. EMSA
26 is a powerful method used to test the ability of proteins to bind selectively specific double stranded

1 DNA sequences. The technique compares the electrophoretic mobility of a free DNA sequence with
2 the mobility of the same DNA sequence incubated with a protein or a mixture of proteins. Specific
3 protein-DNA interaction results in reduced migration rate of this complex compared to the free
4 DNA (Garner and Revzin, 1981). In this respect, two specific DNA constructs (homozygous AA
5 and CC) corresponding to the promoter region of *SCD* were generated and each treated with a
6 recombinant Sp1 transcription factor in two different binding buffers. The Sp1 functionally
7 interacted with the promoter of both DNA constructs. This confirms, *de facto*, the bioinformatics
8 results reported in the previous studies, where at least two Sp1 binding sites were presumed to
9 characterize the region -473/-448 of the *SCD* promoter (Pauciullo et al., 2010, Pauciullo et al.,
10 2012). In addition, the genotype CC showed a higher binding affinity to Sp1 irrespective of the
11 buffer used (figure 1). The sequence analysis suggests the formation of a further consensus site
12 falling between two existing Sp1 binding sites. However, the coexistence of three active sites in
13 such short DNA region seems functionally difficult for steric bulk. In fact, DNA has one helical
14 turn every 10.5 bp and the binding of another Sp1 transcription factor would completely straighten
15 the molecule; furthermore Sp1 transcription factors have a footprint that extends beyond their
16 binding sites (Pascal and Tjian, 1991). Therefore, a higher band intensity of the genotype CC and
17 lack of a “super shift” in the EMSA assay suggests only a higher affinity of the allele C for Sp1
18 binding sites (figure 3).

19 The Sp1 motifs are well-known enhancer elements for the basal expression of many genes,
20 including *SCD*, where they cover conserved promoter regions, fundamental for the gene expression
21 (Keating et al., 2006, Pauciullo et al., 2012). Furthermore, these motifs often occur in clusters
22 generated by variable number tandem repeats (VNTR) (Fürbass et al., 2006, Wang et al., 2008).
23 Their variability might regulate the transcription rate of the gene, as demonstrated for instance in
24 other key genes involved in milk triacylglycerol (TAG) synthesis or related to lipid droplet
25 formation and secretion. For instance, the *DGATI* VNTR polymorphism in cattle results in a
26 different number of a potential binding motif for the transcription factor Sp1 with potential

1 functional effects (Fürbass et al., 2006). Besides, the alteration of Sp1 expression in goat mammary
2 epithelial cells changes the expression of other lipogenic genes as *DGAT1*, *DGAT2*, *TIP47* and
3 *ADFP* (Zhu et al., 2016) and affects the expression level of peroxisome proliferator-activated
4 receptor- γ (*PPAR* γ) and liver X receptor α (*LXR* α), both crucial in regulating fatty acid metabolism
5 together with SRBP1 (Zhu et al., 2015).

6 The highest affinity of Sp1 transcription factor for the C allele led to investigate also the effect
7 of the SNP on the *SCD* transcription level. The q-PCR showed a significant up-regulation of the
8 genotype CC compared with both AA and AC genotypes ($P < 0.01$), whereas the heterozygote
9 showed the lowest expression.

10 In a previous study, the genotype AC showed an over-dominance effect when associated with
11 milk yield and buffaloes with this genotype showed higher milk yield vs AA and CC (Pauciullo et
12 al., 2012). It is well known that fat and milk yield are negatively correlated traits in buffalo (Kholif,
13 1997, Yadav et al., 2013) as in other dairy species, which might be consistent with the lowest AC
14 expression.

15 The highest expression of the genotype CC is in agreement with the results of the EMSA
16 experiments. In particular, the C allele induced a higher Sp1 binding affinity, which consequently
17 affected the gene expression. In addition, the association study with different groups of FAs
18 indicated the AC and CC as genotypes showing the highest values for the unsaturated classes of
19 FAs (MUFAs, mainly due to the oleic acid C18:1 c9, PUFAs and OBCFAs). This profile is
20 confirmed by a lower content of saturated FAs, which consequently led to lower atherogenic (AI)
21 and thrombogenic (TI) indices (table 3). The latter indices are considered an important way of
22 classifying milk FAs because they measure the benefits of omega-3 FAs in the prevention of heart
23 disease (Ulbricht and Southgate, 1991). On average, our data agree with the AI and TI values
24 recently reported by Santillo et al. (2016) on buffalo milk. Furthermore, to our knowledge, this
25 study represents one of the first indications of genetic association with these traits for the river
26 buffalo.

1 The lack of correlation between *SCD* mRNA expression and desaturase indices (i.e. apparent
2 *SCD*/ Δ 9-desaturase activity assessed by comparing product to precursor fatty acid ratios) was
3 unexpected. However, in this respect, the literature is often contradictory. For instance, in dairy
4 cows the pattern of *SCD* mRNA was not significantly correlated with any of the Δ 9 desaturase
5 indices, and was nearly opposite to the overall Δ 9 desaturase index when considering the lactation
6 cycle (Bionaz and Loor, 2008). Conversely, a positive correlation of *SCD* mRNA with oleic acid
7 (Barber et al., 2000) and desaturase indices (Bernard et al., 2005) was observed in lactating sheep
8 and goat, respectively. These conflicting data evidence more intricate desaturation mechanisms at
9 level of mammary gland, which even under the same feeding condition, likely depend also on other
10 factors including mobilization of TAG from adipose tissue, selective uptake of stearic acid from
11 blood VLDL (Very Low Density Lipoprotein), plasma level of oleic acid, etc. (for a review Barber
12 et al., 1997).

13 Therefore, in the present study, the allele C revealed a better desaturation profile, and in a
14 previous work, the genotype AC showed a greater milk yield (Pauciullo et al., 2012), showing a
15 putative positive correlation under the control of the same genotype. In this respect, our data
16 confirm the positive correlation of unsaturated C18 FA with milk yield found by Stoop et al.,
17 (2008) in dairy cows. Despite the greater desaturase activity was hypothesized to correspond to
18 fewer nutrients directed toward milk yield (Macciotta et al., 2008), our findings agree with previous
19 reports, demonstrating that prolonged selection for increased milk yield did not alter the content of
20 the majority of individual milk fatty acids (Kay et al., 2005).

21 The association of the allele C with the OBCFAs ($P=0.047$) also deserves attention. Recently,
22 other fundamental genes for milk traits have been positively associated to OBCFAs in river buffalo.
23 For instance, Cosenza et al., (2017) found higher contents of these FAs associated to the SNP
24 g.129C>T ($P<0.0006$) at the oxytocin receptor gene (*OXTR*), whereas the marker g.11188A>G at
25 the prolactin receptor gene (*PRLR*) tended to have a significant effect ($P<0.06$) on the same traits
26 (Cosenza et al., 2018). This class of FAs in milk fat are mostly derived from rumen bacteria,

1 although linear OCFAs might be synthesized *de novo* in the mammary gland (Vlaeminck et al.,
2 2006). In ruminants, OBCFAs are mainly used as biomarkers of rumen functionality (Craninx et al.,
3 2008, Stefanov et al., 2010), whereas in human, OBCFAs showed anti-carcinogenic effects
4 (Wongtangtintharn et al., 2004) and therefore are considered as an emerging class of bioactive FAs,
5 comparable to that of conjugated linoleic acid (Bainbridge et al., 2016).

6 In this context, the *SCD* g.133A>C is a good candidate for increasing the milk FAs
7 desaturation level and, together with SNPs in other genes, may contribute to the improvement of
8 river buffalo milk quality.

10 CONCLUSIONS

11 The quality of buffalo milk is essential condition to satisfy the requests of an increasing market
12 demand of the related dairy products. Therefore, genes involved in fat metabolisms are important
13 targets of study to achieve this goal. In the present study, we elucidated the role of the *SCD*
14 g.133A>C on gene transcription and we investigated the occurrence of possible associations with
15 milk fat traits. No alternative splicing events were found in the 3 investigated genotypes, therefore
16 the SNP had no influence of transcript quality. However, EMSA demonstrated that the substitution
17 of the allele A to C generated a higher binding affinity of the Sp1 transcription factor to the gene
18 promoter. The stronger Sp1 binding produced a quantitative difference in the gene expression,
19 although not directly linked to desaturation activity of *SCD* in mammary gland. The allele C **was**
20 **associated with a different fatty acid profile than the A allele.** Therefore, the SNP g.133A>C is a
21 marker with a dual impact on milk yield and FAs desaturation level, thus becoming an interesting
22 candidate for assisted selection programs of the species.

24 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

25 This research was financially supported by the project ProBufaLat (PAUA_RILO_17_01),
26 Department of Agricultural, Forest and Food Sciences, University of Torino, Grugliasco (Italy) and,

1 partially, by the project GenoBu (PON01_00486), Italian Ministry of Research. We are very
2 grateful to buffalo breeders for providing the samples for this research.

3

4

REFERENCES

5 ANASB. 2017. Associazione Nazionale Allevatori Specie Bufalina - Statistiche dati nazionali.

6 URL: <http://www.anasb.it/home.htm>.

7

8 Bainbridge, M. L., L. M. Cersosimo, A.-D. G. Wright, and J. Kraft. 2016. Content and composition
9 of branched-chain fatty acids in bovine milk are affected by lactation stage and breed of dairy cow.

10 PloS one 11(3):e0150386.

11

12 Barber, M., R. Ward, S. Richards, A. Salter, P. Buttery, R. Vernon, and M. Travers. 2000. Ovine
13 adipose tissue monounsaturated fat content is correlated to depot-specific expression of the
14 stearoyl-CoA desaturase gene. *J. Anim. Sci.* 78(1):62-68.

15

16 Barber, M. C., R. A. Clegg, M. T. Travers, and R. G. Vernon. 1997. Lipid metabolism in the
17 lactating mammary gland. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta (BBA)-Lipids and Lipid Metabolism* 1347(2-
18 3):101-126.

19

20 Bernard, L., J. Rouel, C. Leroux, A. Ferlay, Y. Faulconnier, P. Legrand, and Y. Chilliard. 2005.
21 Mammary lipid metabolism and milk fatty acid secretion in alpine goats fed vegetable lipids. *J.*
22 *Dairy Sci.* 88(4):1478-1489.

23

24 Bhattacharya, A., J. Banu, M. Rahman, J. Causey, and G. Fernandes. 2006. Biological effects of
25 conjugated linoleic acids in health and disease. *J. Nutr. Biochem.* 17(12):789-810.

26

- 1 Bionaz, M. and J. J. Loo. 2008. Gene networks driving bovine milk fat synthesis during the
2 lactation cycle. *BMC genomics* 9(1):366.
3
- 4 Cosenza, G., M. Iannaccone, B. Auzino, N. Macciotta, A. Kovitvadhi, I. Nicolae, and A. Pauciuolo.
5 2018. Remarkable genetic diversity detected at river buffalo prolactin receptor (*PRLR*) gene and
6 association studies with milk fatty acid composition. *Anim. Genet.* 49(3):159-168.
7
- 8 Cosenza, G., N. P. Macciotta, A. Nudda, A. Coletta, L. Ramunno, and A. Pauciuolo. 2017. A novel
9 polymorphism in the oxytocin receptor encoding gene (*OXTR*) affects milk fatty acid composition
10 in Italian Mediterranean river buffalo. *J. Dairy Res.* 84(2):170-180.
11
- 12 Craninx, M., A. Steen, H. Van Laar, T. Van Nespen, J. Martin-Tereso, B. De Baets, and V. Fievez.
13 2008. Effect of lactation stage on the odd-and branched-chain milk fatty acids of dairy cattle under
14 grazing and indoor conditions. *J. Dairy Sci.* 91(7):2662-2677.
15
- 16 FAO. 2016. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Statistics of live animals.
17 URL: <http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QA>.
18
- 19 Fürbass, R., A. Winter, R. Fries, and C. Kuhn. 2006. Alleles of the bovine *DGATI* variable number
20 of tandem repeat associated with a milk fat QTL at chromosome 14 can stimulate gene expression.
21 *Physiol. genomics* 25(1):116-120.
22
- 23 Garner, M. M. and A. Revzin. 1981. A gel electrophoresis method for quantifying the binding of
24 proteins to specific DNA regions: application to components of the Escherichia coli lactose operon
25 regulatory system. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 9(13):3047-3060.
26

- 1 Gu, M., G. Cosenza, I. Nicolae, A. Bota, Y. Guo, L. Di Stasio, and A. Pauciullo. 2017. Transcript
2 analysis at **DGATI** reveals different mRNA profiles in river buffaloes with extreme phenotypes for
3 milk fat. *J. Dairy Sci.* 100(10):8265-8276.
- 4
- 5 Heyn, P., A. T. Kalinka, P. Tomancak, and K. M. Neugebauer. 2015. Introns and gene expression:
6 cellular constraints, transcriptional regulation, and evolutionary consequences. *Bioessays*
7 37(2):148-154.
- 8
- 9 ISMEA. 2016. Istituto di Servizi per il Mercato Agricolo Alimentare - Produzione e fatturato della
10 Mozzarella di Bufala Campana. URL:
11 <http://www.ismeamercati.it/flex/FixedPages/IT/QualidoScheda.php/L/IT/ID/308/BL/aHR0cDovL3d3dy5pc21lYWllcmNhdGkuaXQvZmxleC9GaXhlZFBhZ2VzL0lUL1F1YWxpZG9WZXRyaW5hLnBocD9wPTcmYz0mY2F0PS0xJnQ9LTEMcmduPS0x>
12
13
- 14
- 15 Kay, J., W. Weber, C. Moore, D. Bauman, L. Hansen, H. Chester-Jones, B. Crooker, and L.
16 Baumgard. 2005. Effects of week of lactation and genetic selection for milk yield on milk fatty acid
17 composition in Holstein cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 88(11):3886-3893.
- 18
- 19 Keating, A. F., J. J. Kennelly, and F.-Q. Zhao. 2006. Characterization and regulation of the bovine
20 stearoyl-CoA desaturase gene promoter. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 344(1):233-240.
- 21
- 22 **Kholif, A. M. 1997. Effect of number and stage of lactation on the yield, composition and**
23 **properties of buffalo's milk. *Egyptian J. Dairy Sci.* 25:25-39.**
- 24
- 25 Lengi, A. J. and B. A. Corl. 2007. Identification and Characterization of a Novel Bovine Stearoyl
26 CoA Desaturase Isoform with Homology to Human **SCD5**. *Lipids* 42(6):499-508.

1

2 Macciotta, N. P. P., M. Mele, G. Conte, A. Serra, M. Cassandro, R. Dal Zotto, A. C. Borlino, G.
3 Pagnacco, and P. Secchiari. 2008. Association between a polymorphism at the stearoyl CoA
4 desaturase locus and milk production traits in Italian Holsteins. *J. Dairy Sci.* 91(8):3184-3189.

5

6 Mele, M., G. Conte, B. Castiglioni, S. Chessa, N. P. P. Macciotta, A. Serra, A. Buccioni, G.
7 Pagnacco, and P. Secchiari. 2007. Stearoyl-coenzyme A desaturase gene polymorphism and milk
8 fatty acid composition in Italian Holsteins. *J. Dairy Sci.* 90(9):4458-4465.

9

10 Moioli, B., G. Contarini, A. Avalli, G. Catillo, L. Orru, G. De Matteis, G. Masoero, and F.
11 Napolitano. 2007. Effect of stearoyl-coenzyme A desaturase polymorphism on fatty acid
12 composition of milk. *J. Dairy Sci.* 90(7):3553-3558.

13

14 Pascal E. and Tjian R. 1991. Different activation domains of Sp1 govern formation of multimers
15 and mediate transcriptional synergism. *Genes Dev.* 5(9):1646-1656.

16

17 Pauciullo, A., G. Cosenza, A. D'Avino, L. Colimoro, C. Iorio, D. Nicodemo, D. Di Bernardino, and
18 L. Ramunno. 2007. Preliminary analysis of Stearoyl Co-A Desaturase gene transcripts in River
19 buffalo. *Ital. J. Anim. Sci.* 6(sup2):283-286.

20

21 Pauciullo, A., G. Cosenza, A. D'Avino, L. Colimoro, D. Nicodemo, A. Coletta, M. Feligini, C.
22 Marchitelli, D. Di Bernardino, and L. Ramunno. 2010. Sequence analysis and genetic variability of
23 stearoyl CoA desaturase (**SCD**) gene in the Italian Mediterranean river buffalo. *Mol. Cell. Probes*
24 24(6):407-410.

25

- 1 Pauciullo, A., G. Cosenza, R. Steri, A. Coletta, A. La Battaglia, D. Di Bernardino, N. P. Macciotta,
2 and L. Ramunno. 2012. A single nucleotide polymorphism in the promoter region of river buffalo
3 stearoyl CoA desaturase gene (*SCD*) is associated with milk yield. *J. Dairy Res.* 79(4):429-435.
4
- 5 Pauciullo, A. and G. Erhardt. 2015. Molecular characterization of the llamas (*Lama glama*) casein
6 cluster genes transcripts (*CSN1S1*, *CSN2*, *CSN1S2*, *CSN3*) and regulatory regions. *PloS one*
7 10(4):e0124963.
8
- 9 Poletto, M., A. J. Legrand, S. C. Fletcher, and G. L. Dianov. 2016. p53 coordinates base excision
10 repair to prevent genomic instability. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44(7):3165-3175.
11
- 12 Ramunno, L., G. Cosenza, A. Rando, A. Pauciullo, R. Illario, D. Gallo, D. Di Bernardino, and P.
13 Masina. 2005. Comparative analysis of gene sequence of goat *CSN1S1* F and N alleles and
14 characterization of *CSN1S1* transcript variants in mammary gland. *Gene* 345(2):289-299.
15
- 16 Ream, J. A., L. K. Lewis, and K. A. Lewis. 2016. Rapid agarose gel electrophoretic mobility shift
17 assay for quantitating protein: RNA interactions. *Anal. Biochem.* 511:36-41.
18
- 19 Sambrook, J. and D. W. Russell. 2001. *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual*. No. v. 1. Cold
20 Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.
21
- 22 Santillo, A., M. Caroprese, R. Marino, A. Sevi, M. Albenzio. 2016. Quality of buffalo as affected
23 by dietary protein level and flaxseed supplementation. *J. Dairy Sci.* 99(10):7725-7732.
24
- 25 Schennink, A., J. M. Heck, H. Bovenhuis, M. H. Visker, H. J. van Valenberg, and J. A. van
26 Arendonk. 2008. Milk fatty acid unsaturation: genetic parameters and effects of stearoyl-CoA

- 1 desaturase (**SCD1**) and acyl CoA: diacylglycerol acyltransferase 1 (**DGAT1**). *J. Dairy Sci.*
2 91(5):2135-2143.
- 3
- 4 Stefanov, I., V. Baeten, O. Abbas, E. Colman, B. Vlaeminck, B. De Baets, and V. Fievez. 2010.
5 Analysis of milk odd-and branched-chain fatty acids using Fourier transform (FT)-Raman
6 spectroscopy. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 58(20):10804-10811.
- 7
- 8 Stoop, W., J. Van Arendonk, J. Heck, H. Van Valenberg, and H. Bovenhuis. 2008. Genetic
9 parameters for major milk fatty acids and milk production traits of Dutch Holstein-Friesians. *J.*
10 *Dairy Sci.* 91(1):385-394.
- 11
- 12 Thering, B., D. Graugnard, P. Piantoni, and J. Loor. 2009. Adipose tissue lipogenic gene networks
13 due to lipid feeding and milk fat depression in lactating cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 92(9):4290-4300.
- 14
- 15 Ulbricht, T.L.V., D.A.T. Southgate. 1991. Coronary heart disease: seven dietary factors. *Lancet.*
16 338(19):985-992.
- 17
- 18 Vlaeminck, B., V. Fievez, A. Cabrita, A. Fonseca, and R. Dewhurst. 2006. Factors affecting odd-
19 and branched-chain fatty acids in milk: A review. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 131(3-4):389-417.
- 20
- 21 Wang, S., M. Wang, S. Yin, G. Fu, C. Li, R. Chen, A. Li, J. Zhou, Z. Zhang, and Q. Liu. 2008. A
22 novel variable number of tandem repeats (VNTR) polymorphism containing Sp1 binding elements
23 in the promoter of XRCC5 is a risk factor for human bladder cancer. *Mutat. Res.* 638(1):26-36.
- 24

- 1 Wongtangintharn, S., H. Oku, H. Iwasaki, and T. TODA. 2004. Effect of branched-chain fatty
2 acids on fatty acid biosynthesis of human breast cancer cells. *J. Nutr. Sci. Vitaminol.* 50(2):137-
3 143.
- 4
- 5 Yadav, S. P., P. Sikka, D. Kumar, S. Sarkar, A. K. Pandey, P. S. Yadav, and R. K. Sethi. 2013.
6 Variation in milk constituents during different parity and seasons in Murrah buffaloes. *Indian J.*
7 *Anim. Sci.* 83(7):747-751.
- 8
- 9 Zhu, J., J. Luo, H. Xu, H. Wang, and J. Loo. 2016. Altered expression of specificity protein 1
10 impairs milk fat synthesis in goat mammary epithelial cells. *J. Dairy Sci.* 99(6):4893-4898.
- 11
- 12 Zhu, J., Y. Sun, J. Luo, M. Wu, J. Li, and Y. Cao. 2015. Specificity protein 1 regulates gene
13 expression related to fatty acid metabolism in goat mammary epithelial cells. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*
14 16(1):1806-1820.

Table 1. Oligonucleotide primers sequences, positions and applications.

Application	Gene	Region		Primer sequence (5' to 3')	EMBL ID	Size (bp)
Genotyping	<i>SCD</i>	Promoter	Forward	ATTTGCGAATTGCCCGGGG	FM876222	201
			Reverse	GCGCAATCTGCTGTTCCCTCT		
cDNA amplification	<i>SCD</i>	Exon 1 to 6	Forward	CAGCGGAAGGTCCCGA	NM_001290915	1333
			Reverse	TGGGTAAACGTCATCTTTAGCA		
Realtime-PCR	<i>SCD</i>	Exon 1	Forward	CAGCGGAAGGTCCCGA	FN395259	157
			Reverse	CAAGTGGGCCCGGCATC		
	<i>β-actin</i>	Exon 1	Forward	TCCTCCCTGGAGAAGAGCTA	NM_001290932	101
			Reverse	AGGAAGGAAGGCTGGAAGAG		
<i>18S rRNA</i>	Exon 1	Forward	CGTTCTTAGTTGGTGG	NR_036642	76	
		Reverse	GTAAGTAGTTAGCATGC			
			Genotype	Probes (5' to 3')		
EMSA	<i>SCD</i>	promoter	AA	CGCCTCCGAGGCGGCAGGGTGCCCGGTAGAGGCCAGCGGCCGGT	FM876222	45
			CC	CGCCTCCGAGGCGGCAGGGTGCCCGGTAGAGGCCAGCGGCCGGT		

Primers were designed by means of DNAsis software (Hitachi Software Engineering Co., San Bruno, CA).

Table 2. Genotypes, allele frequency and relative frequencies for the SNP g.133A>C at the *SCD* gene in river buffalo.

	Genotype distribution				Allele frequency		Relative frequencies used in the model		
	AA	AC	CC	TOT	A	C	Genotype	No. of cows	No. of farms
Obs.	180	95	28	303	0.75	0.25	AA	59.4	14
Exp.	170.81	113.37	18.81				AC	31.3	
				CC	9.3				
							TOT	100	14

$\chi^2=7.96$; d.f. = 1

For Peer Review

Table 3. Least Squares Means (\pm standard error) for the three different genotypes of the SNP g.133A>C for significant fat related traits.

FAs ¹	P ²	AA	AC	CC
SFAs	0.007	72.00 ^A \pm 0.59	70.69 ^B \pm 0.74	71.90 ^{AB} \pm 0.82
MUFAs	0.010	24.81 ^A \pm 0.52	25.97 ^B \pm 0.56	24.93 ^{AB} \pm 0.74
C18:1 c9	0.005	18.80 ^A \pm 0.46	19.92 ^B \pm 0.49	19.17 ^{AB} \pm 0.65
PUFAs	0.030	3.08 ^a \pm 0.10	3.23 ^b \pm 0.10	3.08 ^{ab} \pm 0.13
OBCFAs	0.047	3.87 ^{ab} \pm 0.11	3.95 ^a \pm 0.11	3.75 ^b \pm 0.13
AI	0.012	3.04 ^A \pm 0.11	2.82 ^B \pm 0.11	3.01 ^{AB} \pm 0.15
TI	0.003	2.35 ^A \pm 0.07	2.20 ^B \pm 0.08	2.31 ^{AB} \pm 0.09

¹ SFAs = saturated fatty acids; MUFAs = monounsaturated fatty acids; PUFAs = polyunsaturated fatty acids; OBCFAs = odd-branched chain fatty acids; C18:1 c9 = oleic acid; AI = atherogenic index [C12:0 + (4 \times C14:0) + C16:0]/[(PUFAs) + (MUFAs)]; TI = thrombogenic index (C14:0 + C16:0)/[(0.5 \times MUFAs) + (0.5 \times n6) + (3 \times n3) + (n3:n6)].

² = significance level of the SNP effect.

^{a,b} = means with different superscripts within row differ ($P < 0.05$).

^{A,B} = means with different superscripts within row differ ($P < 0.01$).




Figure 1. a) Agarose electrophoresis mobility shift (EMSA) assay measuring the Sp1 binding activity to *SCD* promoter constructs (homozygous AA and CC) for two different binding buffer EB and PB. b) Densitometric quantification of the DNA/protein complex is reported at the bottom of the picture. Sp1 binding intensity values are shown as mean \pm standard deviation for each genotype and each buffer. Asterisks (*) indicate significant differences ($P < 0.000$).





Figure 2. Relative expression values (mean \pm standard deviation) of two subjects for each g.133A>C genotype. Unpaired Student's t-test, * P <0.05; ** P < 0.01

g.133 AA



g.133 CC




Figure 3. Schematic representation of the Sp1 motif cluster and binding. The sequence analysis of the homozygous CC showed an additional Sp1 motif generated by the SNP g.133 A>C in the promoter region of *SCD* (EMBL ID: [FM876222](#)). The SNP likely increases Sp1 binding affinity to the promoter region by adding an additional Sp1 binding-site. This results in higher gene expression of the CC allele in the mammary gland.

Supplementary table 1. *Average fat content (%), fatty acid composition (%) and fatty acid classes (%) of 303 dairy buffaloes milk samples measured in 14 herds located in Southern Italy. These phenotypes were used in the mixed linear model to assess associations with the *SCD* genotypes for the SNP g.133A>C.

Herd	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	Mean	SE
Fat (%)	7.44	7.99	7.94	7.28	7.00	7.80	6.93	8.03	9.34	8.43	8.58	6.24	7.42	7.59	7.72	0.21
Fatty acid (%)																
C4:0	3.47	4.38	3.85	3.90	3.51	4.10	4.35	3.88	3.86	3.65	4.42	3.93	3.80	3.60	3.91	0.08
C6:0	1.61	1.96	2.04	1.86	1.71	1.69	1.83	1.62	1.49	1.52	1.93	1.54	1.64	1.52	1.71	0.05
C8:0	0.8	0.98	1.14	0.95	0.90	0.78	0.86	0.77	0.66	0.73	0.95	0.69	0.79	0.72	0.84	0.04
C10:0	1.73	2.05	2.53	2.03	1.96	1.60	1.77	1.65	1.33	1.47	1.95	1.39	1.65	1.49	1.76	0.09
C12:0	2.38	2.72	3.30	2.63	2.60	2.11	2.34	2.28	1.76	1.96	2.57	1.88	2.22	1.98	2.34	0.11
C14:0	11.07	12.55	12.74	11.65	11.18	10.16	10.81	10.62	9.49	9.26	11.56	9.95	10.50	9.61	10.80	0.29
C14:1	0.73	0.84	0.93	0.59	0.71	0.59	0.85	0.57	0.46	0.50	0.81	0.61	0.56	0.52	0.66	0.04
C15:0	1.26	1.24	1.24	1.23	1.19	1.10	1.28	0.97	0.89	1.00	1.05	1.03	1.09	0.92	1.11	0.04
C16:0	37.68	39.00	33.50	33.93	33.36	33.83	35.65	33.50	34.45	32.17	35.26	33.65	35.21	34.29	34.68	0.48
C16:1	2.31	2.34	2.19	1.57	1.83	1.76	2.52	1.60	1.49	1.55	2.29	1.78	1.60	1.53	1.88	0.10
C17:0	0.55	0.47	0.54	0.57	0.48	0.48	0.56	0.48	0.43	0.50	0.44	0.58	0.48	0.46	0.50	0.01
C17:1	0.23	0.16	0.21	0.18	0.16	0.16	0.24	0.15	0.12	0.13	0.17	0.22	0.15	0.14	0.17	0.01
C18:0	9.07	8.46	9.21	11.54	11.64	11.20	9.72	12.67	14.24	14.22	8.86	12.15	12.14	12.71	11.27	0.52
C18:1 c9	18.60	15.65	17.75	18.55	19.81	20.90	18.58	21.10	20.66	20.63	19.77	21.40	19.15	20.17	19.48	0.42
C18:1 t11 (VA)	0.78	0.67	0.77	0.98	0.84	1.11	0.96	0.94	1.15	1.21	0.68	1.05	1.11	1.71	1.00	0.07
CLA c9,t11 (RA)	0.38	0.33	0.36	0.39	0.38	0.43	0.46	0.36	0.39	0.45	0.35	0.45	0.43	0.67	0.42	0.02
C18:2 n6 (LA)	1.42	1.28	1.56	1.34	1.95	1.51	1.32	1.36	1.62	2.51	1.58	1.31	1.36	1.55	1.55	0.09
C18:3 n3 (ALA)	0.33	0.22	0.22	0.19	0.22	0.26	0.19	0.18	0.21	0.41	0.26	0.16	0.22	0.17	0.23	0.02
C20:4 n6 (ARA)	0.10	0.08	0.13	0.11	0.13	0.09	0.12	0.08	0.07	0.12	0.09	0.12	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.01
C20:5 n3 (EPA)	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.00
C22:5 n3 (DPA)	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.00
C22:6 n6 (DHA)	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
C14 index	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.00
C16 index	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.00
C18 index	0.67	0.65	0.66	0.62	0.63	0.65	0.66	0.62	0.59	0.59	0.69	0.64	0.61	0.61	0.64	0.01
CLA index	0.33	0.33	0.32	0.28	0.31	0.28	0.32	0.28	0.25	0.27	0.34	0.30	0.28	0.28	0.30	0.01
DI	0.27	0.24	0.27	0.27	0.29	0.30	0.28	0.29	0.28	0.29	0.29	0.30	0.27	0.28	0.28	0.00
Fatty acid classes (%)																
SCFA	7.74	9.50	9.72	8.87	8.22	8.26	8.94	7.99	7.39	7.44	9.37	7.61	7.96	7.40	8.32	0.22
MCFA	58.79	61.80	57.64	54.74	54.11	52.54	57.24	52.74	51.42	49.50	56.47	52.28	54.08	51.54	54.64	0.90
LCFA	33.47	28.70	32.64	36.38	37.67	39.21	33.82	39.27	41.19	43.06	34.17	40.10	37.96	41.06	37.05	1.08
SFA	72.09	76.18	72.96	72.61	71.03	69.18	71.97	70.84	70.75	68.80	71.12	69.22	71.59	69.26	71.26	0.52
MUFA	24.69	21.22	23.86	24.15	25.31	27.43	25.13	26.36	26.13	26.54	25.80	27.61	25.27	26.98	25.46	0.45
PUFA	3.14	2.53	3.07	3.04	3.50	3.16	2.82	2.67	3.02	4.47	2.94	3.02	2.92	3.51	3.13	0.13
OBCFA	4.14	4.01	4.45	3.87	3.73	4.14	4.10	4.01	3.70	4.83	3.78	3.33	3.46	4.03	3.97	0.10
PUFA n3	0.42	0.28	0.29	0.28	0.30	0.33	0.26	0.22	0.26	0.49	0.32	0.26	0.28	0.24	0.30	0.02
PUFA n6	1.64	1.44	1.84	1.56	2.23	1.72	1.58	1.55	1.80	2.80	1.78	1.58	1.58	1.79	1.78	0.09
Ratio and index																
n6/n3	4.25	5.31	6.41	5.64	7.47	5.30	6.26	6.98	7.47	5.70	5.55	6.17	5.61	7.46	6.11	0.26
AI	3.15	3.98	3.33	3.09	2.88	2.61	3.07	2.73	2.62	2.30	2.95	2.51	2.86	2.48	2.90	0.11
TI	2.67	2.97	2.33	2.37	2.04	2.15	2.39	2.05	2.00	1.90	2.32	2.05	2.32	1.96	2.25	0.08