



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Spatiotemporal modeling of hydrological return levels: A quantile regression approach

This is the author's manuscript					
Original Citation:					
Availability:					
This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1689444 since 2019-02-04T12:33:38Z					
Published version:					
DOI:10.1002/env.2522					
Terms of use:					
Open Access					
Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.					

(Article begins on next page)

Spatio-temporal modelling of hydrological return levels. A quantile regression approach

1

2

3

4

MARIA FRANCO-VILLORIA¹, MARIAN SCOTT² and TREVOR HOEY³

¹Department of Economics and Statistics "Cognetti de Martiis", University of Torino, Italy

²School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Glasgow, UK

³School of Geographical and Earth Sciences, University of Glasgow, UK

5	Abstract
6	Extreme river flows can lead to inundation of floodplains, with consequent impacts for
7	society, the environment and the economy. Extreme flows are inherently difficult to model,
8	being infrequent, irregularly spaced and affected by non-stationary climatic controls. To
9	identify patterns in extreme flows a quantile regression approach can be used. This paper
10	introduces a new framework for spatio-temporal quantile regression modelling, where the
11	regression model is built as an additive model that includes smooth functions of time
12	and space, as well as space-time interaction effects. The model exploits the flexibility
13	that P-splines offer and can be easily extended to incorporate potential covariates. We
14	propose to estimate model parameters using a penalized least squares regression approach
15	as an alternative to linear programming methods, classically used in quantile parameter
16	estimation. The model is illustrated on a data set of flows in 98 rivers across Scotland.
17	Keywords: P-splines; PIRLS; hydrometric time series; extreme values

 $\label{eq:corresponding} \ensuremath{^*\mathrm{Corresponding}}\xspace{\textrm{author}}\xspace{\textrm{black}}\xspace{\textrm{author}}\xspace{\textrm{autho$

18 1 Introduction

The occurrence of extreme events in the environment, i.e. those that deviate considerably from 19 expected average levels, for example extreme temperatures, river flows, wave heights, or pollutant 20 concentrations, has received increasing interest over the last decade. The last report by the 21 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) recognizes the effect that climate change 22 may have on the "frequency, intensity, spatial extent, duration, and timing of extreme events" 23 (IPCC, 2014). Understanding the spatial and temporal structure of extremes is essential for 24 planning purposes. An example of the severe consequences of extreme events, including loss of 25 life, are the floods that hit the UK, especially Northern England and Scotland, in December 26 2015. These events prompted the National Flood Resilience Review (HM Government, 2016), a 27 "review of how we assess flood risk, reduce the likelihood of flooding, and make the country as 28 resilient as possible to flooding" and the latest in a series of reviews following damaging events 29 in the UK and internationally (Evans et al., 2004; Pitt, 2008; Georgi et al., 2016). 30

It is now recognized that statistical methods specifically developed to analyze extreme values 31 (over time and/or space) are needed. One common approach for dealing with spatial data is the 32 use of geostatistical models (Diggle and Ribeiro Jr., 2007) that assume the data to be a realization 33 of an underlying spatial Gaussian random field; these kinds of models have also been extended to 34 the spatio-temporal case (see, e.g. Cressie and Wikle (2011)). However, the Gaussian assumption 35 is not realistic when modelling extreme values, whose distribution is known to be skewed (Coles, 36 2004). The increasing interest in extreme values, especially in environmental applications, has 37 led to the development of new statistical models specifically designed for spatial (and spatio-38 temporal) extremes. These include latent variables (Davison et al., 2012; Cooley et al., 2007), 39 copula models (Fuentes et al., 2012) and the more recent max-stable processes (Davison et al., 40 2012; Davison and Gholamrezaee, 2012). A max-stable process can be thought of as the limiting 41 distribution of the pointwise maxima of independent copies of a process. Max-stable processes 42 are best characterized via their spectral representation, for which several models have been 43

proposed. In particular, the Smith (Smith, 1990), Schlather (Schlather, 2002) and Brown-Resnick 44 (Brown and Resnick, 1977) models are widely used, where a composite marginal likelihood, built 45 using the pairwise marginal distributions, is used for parameter estimation (Davison et al., 2012; 46 Davison and Gholamrezaee, 2012; Wadsworth and Tawn, 2012). Good reviews of these models 47 can be found in Davison et al. (2012) and Davison and Gholamrezaee (2012). More recently, 48 several authors have considered the extension of max-stable processes to model extremes over 49 space and time (Davis et al., 2013; Embrechts et al., 2016). Max-stable processes are based 50 on asymptotic extreme value theory and hence can be useful when the aim is to estimate very 51 extreme events. When interest lies in less extreme values, a further approach is that of quantile 52 regression (Koenker, 2005). An extreme event in this case is characterized as a value falling 53 in the upper (or lower, e.g. to model drought conditions) tail of the distribution. Quantile 54 regression allows estimation of the relationship between response and explanatory variables at 55 any percentile of the distribution of the response (conditioned on the explanatory variables). As 56 a result, rates of change in the response variable can be estimated for the whole distribution 57 and not only at the mean. The regression coefficients are estimated minimizing an objective 58 function that is defined in terms of the sum of weighted absolute residuals. Quantile regression 59 has been mostly developed in the case of independent observations, but a number of approaches 60 for spatial and spatio-temporal quantile regression can be found in the literature. The paper 61 by He et al. (1998) is, to our knowledge, the first one to extend the 1-dimensional quantile 62 regression problem into a 2-dimensional context; the objective function can be re-written in 63 terms of bivariate smoothing splines (Koenker, 2005; He et al., 1998) and then minimized using 64 linear programming methods. Penalization and fitting of splines coefficients becomes considerably 65 more complex. This initial paper on bivariate quantile regression was followed by the work of 66 Hallin et al. (2009), who propose using a local linear regression approach in which the regression 67 coefficients are allowed to vary spatially. Quantile regression has also been considered from a 68 Bayesian perspective; Lee and Neocleous (2010) and Neelon et al. (2015) develop a quantile 69 regression model for spatial and spatio-temporal count data respectively, incorporating a spatial 70

autoregressive term in the predictor to deal with spatial correlation. Reich et al. (2011) and Reich 71 (2012) propose, respectively, spatial and spatio-temporal quantile regression models, in which 72 the spatial structure is introduced via the covariance function of the Gaussian spatial processes 73 associated with the parameters of the model; regarding the temporal structure, the quantile 74 function at each spatial location is defined as a linear function of time within a hierarchical 75 model. Any residual spatial correlation is then modelled via a spatial copula. More recently, Sun 76 et al. (2016) introduce temporal and spatial dependence using a fused adaptive Lasso penalty. 77

In this paper, we propose a spatio-temporal quantile regression model for river flow data; by 78 re-expressing the quantile model as a weighted linear regression, parameter estimates can be ob-79 tained using penalized iterative re-weighted least squares (PIRLS). The paper introduces a new 80 framework for spatio-temporal quantile regression modelling, where the regression model is built 81 as an additive model that includes smooth functions of time and space, as well as space-time 82 interaction effects. While inclusion of bivariate smooth functions in a quantile regression setting 83 has been considered before (He et al., 1998), the case of higher dimensional smooth functions, 84 needed, for example, for the space-time interaction term, has not been addressed in the literature. 85 The model exploits the flexibility that P-splines offer and can be easily extended to incorporate 86 potential covariates. We propose to estimate model parameters using a penalized least squares 87 regression approach as an alternative to linear programming methods classically used in quantile 88 parameter estimation. The fitting procedure is simple and computationally efficient and allows 89 modelling strategies already available for mean regression (e.g. varying coefficient models) to 90 be adapted to the case of quantile regression. This presents a clear advantage over linear pro-91 gramming methods given the increasing complexity and availability of data and the interest in 92 extreme events. By considering a fully spatio-temporal model rather than modelling one river 93 time, information is borrowed across rivers; this means a more efficient use of the available а 94 data, fundamental when dealing with short records and/or aiming to estimate very high (low) 95 quantiles. 96

97

In particular, quantile regression can be a useful modelling strategy for extreme river flow

values, given the direct link between quantile estimates and return levels, which, in turn, are used 98 in risk assessment. In the extreme value theory (Coles, 2004) the quantile z_p of a generalized 99 extreme value distribution G is defined as the return level with return period 1/(1-p); the latter, 100 also known as recurrence interval, is defined as the amount of time (on average) until the value 101 z_p is likely to be equalled or exceeded; i.e. the long term average of the time intervals between 102 successive exceedances of a peak of magnitude z_p (Coles, 2004). The flexible spatio-temporal 103 regression model introduced in Section 3 can be seen as a tool for modelling return levels under 104 non-stationary conditions. Such a tool is valuable as environmental variables often exhibit non-105 stationarity; for example, ongoing climate change has been shown to affect both the means and 106 extreme values of climatic and river flow time series (Arnell and Gosling, 2013, 2016). By fixing 10 the quantile to be estimated, we are fixing the probability of the estimated value being exceeded 108 and hence the return period, to then estimate the associated return level, that is allowed to vary 109 both in time and space. The proposed model is illustrated on a large set of Scottish rivers. 110

In Scotland, the Scottish Flood Risk Management Act (The Scottish Government, 2010) 111 was introduced in 2010 following the requirements of the European Union Directive on Flood 112 Risk Management (2007/60/EC). This new piece of legislation was partly motivated by changes 113 in Scotland's river flow and rainfall regimes. Evidence from a number of published studies 114 (Black, 1996; Black and Burns, 2002; Werritty, 2002) reports increased variability and statistically 115 significant changes in annual peak-over-threshold magnitude and frequency of events and in 116 annual maxima trends in Scotland during 1956-1995. In particular, dry (1960s-1970s) and wet 117 periods (late 1980s-early 1990s) have been identified. However, no general trend seems to hold 118 across the country as observed changes are not homogeneous, neither in frequency, with estimates 119 of changes in return periods changing with location, nor in time, with seasonal changes in extreme 120 rainfall being more pronounced than annual ones, especially in autumn (Fowler and Wilby, 121 2010). Climate model predictions (Fowler and Kilsby, 2003; Fowler and Wilby, 2010; Fowler 122 and Ekström, 2009) suggest that these differences are likely to continue and/or increase in the 123 near future, with fairly reliable estimates over the winter months but greater uncertainty over 124

the summer. Despite these concerns, there seems to be a research gap in the recent literature 125 on Scottish hydrology; the most complete paper on Scottish rivers dates back to 1997 (Black 126 and Werritty, 1997), while most UK based studies are limited to England and Wales (Hannaford 127 and Buys, 2012) and are focused on individual catchments. The work done by Prosdocimi 128 et al. (2013) includes a relatively large number of Scottish gauging stations, but analysis is 129 limited to one gauging station at a time and autumn and spring are disregarded, even though 130 significant rainfall increases in western Scotland have been identified in those seasons (Jenkins 131 et al., 2009; Werritty, 2002). The study by Hannaford and Buys (2010) includes twenty Scottish 132 gauging stations, but the analysis is performed on the UK as a whole. Results from some of 133 the previously mentioned studies suggest regional variation in hydrological trends across the 134 UK as a whole and also within Scotland. Although the focus of this paper is development of a 135 spatio-temporal modelling methodology, the results presented here also update the literature and 136 extend understanding of extreme river flows in Scotland. Rather than working on one gauging 137 station at a time, we follow a spatio-temporal modelling approach that takes into account possible 138 dependencies among stations. Further, important changes in river flow may not be detected at 139 the annual scale (Hannaford and Buys, 2012); we use daily data, without restricting the series to 140 annual maxima or peak-over-threshold data, the usual practice in extreme value analysis. The 141 dataset considered is very rich, with nearly 100 gauging stations, and the results show how the 142 spatio-temporal trend in extreme flows has changed in recent years (1996-2013), helping to fill 143 in the current research gap. Identifying these changes is important to understand the effect of 144 climate change (Prosdocimi et al., 2013) and to investigate the validity of model projections or 145 for historical model run validation (Hannaford and Buys, 2012). 146

The paper is organized as follows. The dataset is introduced in Section 2. Section 3 describes the proposed methodology for fitting a spatio-temporal quantile regression model. The performance of the model is illustrated in Section 4 on a set of Scottish rivers, for which the 95% quantile of river flow is estimated. A simulation study is presented in Section 5. Finally, the main results and discussion are summarized in Section 6.



Figure 1: Location of the 98 selected gauging stations. In red, location of rivers Inver (In), Clyde (Cl), Carron (Ca) and Deveron (De).

152 **2** Data

Data, in the form of daily river flow (m^3/s) , were provided by the Scottish Environment Pro-153 tection Agency (SEPA) and the National River Flow Archive (NRFA). Most of the records are 154 available online on the NRFA webpage. Our data set consists of 98 gauging stations, selected 155 on the basis of geographical location, quality and length of the records, covering the period 1^{st} 156 January 1996- 31^{st} December 2013. The spatial locations can be seen in Figure 1. Forty-three 157 series contained missing values. However, the missing proportions (< 0.1%) were small enough 158 not to be a concern, and missing values were imputed using linear interpolation. The interpo-159 lation was done separately for each month to better reproduce the variability of the series; i.e. 160 missing values in January were imputed using only recorded values in January, and so on. Since 161 the distribution of river flow is very skewed, a log transformation was used. 162

In particular, four rivers have been chosen for illustrative purposes and are discussed in more detail in Section 4: the river Inver (North West), Clyde (South West), Carron (South East) and Deveron (North East). The gauging stations are marked in red in Figure 1 while data are

shown in Figure 2. The main characteristics of these rivers (catchment area, maximum elevation, 166 mean flow, 95th (Q95) and 99th (Q99) quantiles of river flow and mean flow/catchment area) 167 are summarized in Table 1. Rivers Clyde and Deveron have higher flow values on average, as 168 they are the largest rivers out of the four rivers considered, with a catchment area of 1903.1 km^2 169 and 954.9 km² respectively. Rivers Inver and Carron, on the other hand, have a catchment area 170 of 137.5 km² and 122.3 km² respectively. All four rivers exhibit a clear strong seasonal pattern, 171 with greater variability in rivers Clyde and Carron, which are located on the southern part of 172 the country. While there is no apparent long term trend, Figure 2 shows extreme flows in all 173 four rivers, but these are not all coincident. This suggests that a simple statistical model with 174 only a time trend and a spatial trend will probably not be enough to capture the complexity of 175 the data, but inclusion of a time-space interaction may be required. Spatial differences can be 176 partly explained by the predominant rainfall pattern in Scotland, wetter in the West and dryer 177 in the East, as seen in Figure 3. 178

Prior to model fitting, the mean flow was removed at each individual location as a way of standardizing the data. This was done to account for differences in flow values due to catchment size.

$_{182}$ 3 Methodology

We introduce a new approach that builds upon the idea of approximating the absolute residuals 183 with the squared residuals, as suggested in Reiss and Huang (2012). This approximation, mo-184 tivated by the fact that the check function in Equation (1) is not differentiable at zero, ensures 185 differentiability everywhere. This way, instead of using linear programming methods to estimate 186 the model parameters, a weighted least squares approach is preferred, exploiting the fact that 187 the objective function in Equation (1) is a weighted sum of absolute residuals. In their paper, 188 Reiss and Huang (2012) consider a very simple model where the response depends on a single 189 covariate, while we introduce a flexible spatio-temporal model in a generalized additive model 190



Figure 2: Time series of flow (m^3/s) ordered by flow magnitude for the rivers Inver, Carron, Deveron and Clyde.



Figure 3: Average annual rainfall in 2013 (*Source:* modified from *https://www.metoffice.gov.uk/climate/uk/summaries*). In red, location of rivers Inver (In), Clyde (Cl), Carron (Ca) and Deveron (De).

191 framework.

The classic simple linear regression model of y over x aims to estimate $E[Y|X = x] = \alpha + \beta x$. 192 Instead, the aim now is to estimate a quantile of the distribution of Y|X = x rather than the 193 mean. The conditional quantile of a random variable Y with cumulative distribution function F_Y 194 can be expressed as $Q_Y(\tau | \boldsymbol{X} = \boldsymbol{x}) = F_Y^{-1}(\tau | \boldsymbol{X} = \boldsymbol{x})$, where $\tau \in (0,1)$, and $\boldsymbol{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_p)$ 195 is a vector of explanatory variables (Koenker, 2005; Cade and Noon, 2003). As in classic linear 196 regression, the errors are assumed to be independent, but here no assumptions are made regarding 197 their distribution. The general objective function in a quantile linear regression model is defined 198 as: 199

$$R(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho_{\tau} \left(y_i - (x_{1,i}\beta_1 + \ldots + x_{p,i}\beta_p) \right),$$
(1)

where $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p)^T$, $\rho_{\tau}(u) = u(\tau - I(u < 0))$ is the check function and I is an indicator function (Koenker, 2005; Koenker and Hallock, 2001; Koenker and Bassett, 1978). Estimating the parameters $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ in Equation (1) involves minimizing a sum of weighted absolute deviations, where the weights are asymmetric functions of τ . The function $R(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ is piecewise linear and continuous, being differentiable at every point except at those whose residuals are zero. Until now, linear programming methods, like the simplex method or the interior point method (Koenker, 2005; Koenker and Hallock, 2001) are used to estimate $\boldsymbol{\beta}$.

²⁰⁷ 3.1 A spatio-temporal quantile regression model

A simple spatio-temporal additive model (main effects model) for river flow can be expressed as:

$$Q_{\log(flow)_i}(\tau|t_i, d_i, z_i) = s_1(t_i) + s_2(d_i) + s_3(z_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$
(2)

where $Q_{log(flow)}(\tau|t, d, z)$ is the τ^{th} quantile of the (conditional) distribution of log(flow), $s_1(t)$, $s_2(d)$ are smooth functions of time and day of the year and $s_3(z)$ is a bivariate smooth function of easting and northing coordinates. These three terms represent the temporal, seasonal and spatial trends in river flow respectively. In our particular application, the trend $(s_1(t))$, seasonal $(s_2(d))$ and spatial $(s_3(z))$ terms were built as smooth functions of t=time (1996 to 2013), d=day within the year (1 to 365) and z=(easting, northing), respectively. The model assumes the seasonal component $s_2(d)$ to be constant over the years and the temporal trend to be the same at all spatial locations (or similarly, the spatial trend is assumed to be constant over time). A preliminary exploratory analysis of the data revealed a seasonal cycle that changes from year to year, suggesting that this might be too simple a model for the Scottish river flow dataset. We introduce an interaction term $s_4(t, d)$ to adjust for yearly changes in the seasonal pattern:

$$Q_{\log(flow)_i}(\tau|t_i, d_i, z_i) = s_1(t_i) + s_2(d_i) + s_3(z_i) + s_4(t_i, d_i).$$
(3)

Similarly, Model (3) can be further extended to include space-time $s_5(t, z)$ and space-season $s_6(d, z)$ interactions so that the full model becomes:

$$Q_{\log(flow)_i}(\tau|t_i, d_i, z_i) = s_1(t_i) + s_2(d_i) + s_3(z_i) + s_4(t_i, d_i) + s_5(t_i, z_i) + s_6(d_i, z_i).$$
(4)

Each of the univariate smooth functions can be rewritten as a linear combination of k cubic B-spline basis functions $B_1(t), \ldots, B_k(t)$ (Eilers and Marx, 2009, 2010), so that $s_1(t) = B_1\theta_1$ and $s_2(d) = B_2\theta_2$, where θ_1 , θ_2 are $k_1 \times 1$, $k_2 \times 1$ vectors of coefficients respectively, B_1 , B_2 are the matrices of basis functions (dim $(B_1) = n \times k_1$, dim $(B_2) = n \times k_2$), k_1 , k_2 are the number of basis functions used in each case and n is the total number of observations.

The bivariate smooth function $s_3(z) = s_3(easting, northing)$ can be expressed in terms of the tensor product of the marginal B-splines basis on the individual variables *easting* and *northing* (Wood, 2006; Eilers and Marx, 2003, 2010; He et al., 1998) so that $s_3(z) = B_3\theta_3$ where θ_3 is a $(k_{east} \times k_{north}) \times 1$ vector of coefficients and B_3 is a $n \times (k_{east} \times k_{north})$ matrix. The interaction terms $s_4(t, d)$, $s_5(t, z)$ and $s_6(d, z)$ can be built in a similar way in terms of the tensor product of the corresponding marginal basis matrices as detailed above.

$$y = B\theta + \epsilon$$

where the design matrix $B = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & B_2 & B_3 & B_4 & B_5 & B_6 \end{bmatrix}$ is the matrix that results from 234 combining the individual matrices column-wise and $\boldsymbol{\theta} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\theta}_1 & \boldsymbol{\theta}_2 & \boldsymbol{\theta}_3 & \boldsymbol{\theta}_4 & \boldsymbol{\theta}_5 & \boldsymbol{\theta}_6 \end{bmatrix}^T$ is the vec-235 tor of coefficients. By expressing the model as a linear model, the parameters can be estimated 236 easily using efficient matrix-vector operations. A penalty term can be added to control for the 237 amount of smoothness, which can be tuned individually for each term in the model. This means 238 that a vector of smoothing parameters $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \lambda_5, \lambda_6)$ needs to be specified. In this 239 case, a second order different penalty on the spline coefficients is used following Eilers and Marx 240 (2009); further, a periodicity constraint can be imposed on the seasonal term $s_2(d)$ to ensure 241 continuity of the seasonal cycle over the years. This can be implemented, for cubic B-splines, 242 by forcing the first and last three spline coefficients to be the same. The penalty term can be 243 expressed as $\theta^T P \theta$ where **P** is a block diagonal penalty matrix, each block corresponding to 24 the penalization of the individual smooth terms included in the model. For the univariate terms 245 $s_1(t), s_2(d)$ the corresponding penalty matrix can be easily built as $D_{do}^T D_{do}$ following Eilers and 246 Marx (2009), where D_{do} is a difference matrix of order do (do = 2 for a second order penalty) 247 of dimension $(k-2) \times k$ with k the number of basis functions. 248

For the spatial term $s_3(east, north)$, the penalty is constructed by penalizing individually the rows and columns of matrix B_3 (Wood, 2006; Eilers and Marx, 2003, 2010; He et al., 1998). Penalty terms for $s_4(t, d)$, $s_5(t, z)$ and $s_6(d, z)$ can be built similarly.

The vector of model parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ is estimated using the penalized iterative weighted regression approach described below. Assuming the vector of smoothing parameters $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$, to be fixed,

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in R^k}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \rho_\tau (y_i - \boldsymbol{B}_i \boldsymbol{\theta}) + \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\theta}^T \boldsymbol{P} \boldsymbol{\theta} \right]$$
(5)

where k is the total number of coefficients and B_i represents the i^{th} row of matrix B. We

propose translating the minimization problem in Equation (5) into a penalized least squares problem that can be solved using penalized iterative reweighted least squares (PIRLS, Wood (2006)). The (approximate) objective function expressed in matrix form becomes:

$$||W(y - B\theta)||^2 + \lambda \theta^T P\theta \tag{6}$$

where W is a diagonal matrix of weights calculated iteratively following Equation (7):

$$w_i^{(j)} = \frac{\tau - I\left[(y_i - \boldsymbol{B}_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(j)}) < 0\right]}{2(y_i - \boldsymbol{B}_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{(j)})}$$
(7)

for i = 1, ..., n. A large upper bound is set for the weights to avoid residuals close to zero, which would result in the check function not being differentiable. Truncating all weight values above the given upper bound does not have a large effect on the fitting process as we are just forcing very small residuals, whose contribution to the objective function is negligible, to be even smaller. The estimated vector of parameters $\hat{\theta}$ at iteration (j) can be computed as:

$$\hat{\theta}^{(j)} = (B^T W^{(j-1)} B + \lambda P)^{-1} B^T W^{(j-1)} y$$
(8)

²⁶⁴ Convergence of the algorithm is defined based on the objective function $R(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ defined in Equa-²⁶⁵ tion (1); the algorithm stops when the difference between $R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j-1)})$ and $R(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(j)})$ is smaller than ²⁶⁶ some predefined small tolerance. Results from a simulation study suggest no differences in the ²⁶⁷ fitted model for tolerance values of 10^{-2} and above. Identifiability of the single components of ²⁶⁸ the model is ensured by including a ridge penalty (Eilers and Marx, 2002).

The smoothing parameters are chosen to minimize a modified version of the Schwarz information criterion (SIC, Koenker et al. (1994)):

$$SIC(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \log\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\rho_{\tau}(y_i - \hat{y}_i)\right] + \frac{1}{2n}df_{\lambda}\log n$$
(9)

where the approximated degrees of freedom df_{λ} can be calculated as the trace of the smoothing matrix $S = B(B^TWB + \lambda P)^{-1}B^TW$ (Hastie and Tibshirani, 1990). As previously stated, Model (4) is fitted assuming independent observations. In this case, standard errors for the fitted values \hat{y} can be obtained as:

$$se(\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}) = \sqrt{diag(\boldsymbol{SS^T})\hat{\sigma}^2}$$

where S is the smoothing matrix at the last iteration and $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is an estimate of the residual variance that can be obtained as $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{RSS}{df_{error}}$, with $RSS = Y^T (I - S) W (I - S) Y$ and $df_{error} = n - df_{\lambda}$. However, if some spatio-temporal dependence structure is left in the residual term, standard error calculation needs to be adjusted accordingly. Let V be the correlation matrix. Adjusted standard errors can be estimated as:

$$se(\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}) = \sqrt{diag(\boldsymbol{SVS^T})\hat{\sigma}^2}.$$
 (10)

280 4 Results

Model (4) was fitted to the data set described in Section 2, comprising 98 rivers with 6570 281 daily observations per river spanning 18 years, from 1^{st} January 1996 to 31^{st} December 2013 282 (n = 643860 observations in total). The 29th of February was removed from the dataset after 283 ensuring that no relevant information (i.e. no extreme values) was lost. Since we are mostly 284 interested in extreme values, a value of $\tau=0.95$ was chosen to fit a model for the 95^{th} quantile 285 of logged river flow. The trend $(s_1(t))$, seasonal $(s_2(d))$ and spatial $(s_3(z))$ terms in Model (4) 286 were built as smooth functions of t=time (1996 to 2013), d=day within the year (1 to 365) 287 and z=(easting, northing), respectively. When using penalized splines, the usual practice is to 288 choose an arbitrary large number of basis functions and then control the amount of smoothness 289 by penalizing the spline coefficients. The definition of *large*, however, depends on the application 290 at hand. We fitted the model using an increasing number of basis functions until the percentage 291 change in SIC was smaller than 1%. In this case, the number of basis functions was chosen to 292



Figure 4: Estimated trend (a), seasonal (b) and spatial (c) components along with partial residuals.

be $k_1 = 12$ for the trend component, $k_2 = 6$ for the seasonal component and $k_3 = 12^2$ for the 293 space component, while $k_4 = 12 \times 6$, $k_5 = 12^3$ and $k_6 = 6 \times 12^2$ were used for the interaction 294 terms $s_4(t,d)$, $s_5(t,z)$ and $s_6(d,z)$ respectively. A second order penalty was imposed on the 295 spline coefficients. This is a commonly used smoothness penalty that corresponds to penalizing 296 the roughness of a curve, measured as the integral square of the second derivative of the curve 297 (Eilers and Marx, 1996). Smoothing parameters were chosen based on the Schwarz Information 298 Criteria (Equation (9)), using a restricted grid of values for λ . The trend, seasonal and spatial 299 main effects are shown in Figure 4. 300

Overall, the estimated trend (Figure 4 (a)) appears to be fairly flat. There is a seasonal effect (Figure 4 (b)), as expected, with lower values during the summer (reaching a minimum at the beginning of July) and higher values during the winter months. The estimated spatial pattern (Figure 4 (c)) suggests a slight East-West gradient, with greater values on the Western side. Figure 5(a) shows the seasonal adjustment that needs to be made to the overall seasonal pattern ($s_4(t, d)$) in four different years (1998, 2000, 2003 and 2012); it can be seen that there is



Figure 5: (a) Season-year interaction for 4 different years (1998 (orange), 2000 (pink), 2003 (grey) and 2012 (dark blue), (b) season-space interaction and (c) trend-space interaction for rivers Inver (black), Clyde (red), Carron (blue) and Deveron (green).

variation from year to year and, in particular, that the seasonal pattern in 2000 (pink curve) is
very different from the rest.

Following Model (4), we can identify not only long term trends over the whole period/spatial 309 region but also assess how the spatial distribution of extreme flows has changed over time by 310 visual inspection of the interaction term $s_5(t, z)$, that can also be interpreted as the adjustment 311 that needs to be made to the temporal trend $s_1(t)$ shown in Figure 4(a) at each location; these 312 adjustments can be seen in Figure 5(c) for the four rivers described in Section 2, while the 313 corresponding seasonal adjustment (interaction term $s_6(d, z)$) can be seen in Figure 5(b). While 314 the trend appears to be very homogeneous over space, with little adjustment needed with respect 315 to the overall trend shown in Figure 4(a), seasonality varies considerably among the different 316 rivers (Figure 5(b)), supporting the idea that no unique seasonal pattern is valid over the whole 317 country. 318

One can graphically show the fitted values from a spatial or a temporal point of view. The 319 estimated 95th quantile of river flow over Scotland is shown in Figure 6 for four different time 320 points, namely 1^{st} of January, April, July and October, over years 1996 to 2000. These dates 321 were chosen to illustrate possible differences between seasons. We can see how the contrast 322 between East and West is more pronounced in some periods than others, and that there is a 323 clear difference in the summer (1^{st} of July) and winter $(1^{st} \text{ of January})$ values between 1996 and 324 the remaining years. A model without a time-space interaction term would not have been able 325 to identify a spatial pattern changing over time. 326

Even though the data were log transformed initially, it is possible to show the fitted model at each gauging station in the original scale as quantile regression is invariant to monotonic transformations. An example for the fitted model (with and without interaction) can be seen in Figure 7 for four different rivers located in various parts of the country (see Figure 1 for locations). While for River Carron the fitted models with and without interaction are very close, for the River Inver there are clear differences between the two models, especially at the beginning and end of the record.

Model (4) was estimated assuming independence; residual correlation was investigated by 334 means of empirical variograms and autocorrelation plots. Once the spatial trend is taken into 335 account, there is no spatial structure left in the residuals, as suggested by the flat empirical 336 variograms (not included here). This is expected given the spatial flexibility that we have allowed 337 for in the model by incorporating the interaction terms space-time and space-season. On the other 338 hand, the residual autocorrelation plots indicated the presence of temporal correlation. Hence, an 339 AR(1) process was assumed on the residuals, a common choice for environmental processes. The 340 standard errors of the fitted model were adjusted for residual correlation following Equation (10) 341 and are illustrated in Figure 7. As expected, the pointwise confidence bands become wider once 342 correlation is taken into account. 343

The full model includes 2826 parameters. With these specifications, running the model took ≈ 10 hours on a CPU with 2.0Ghz (128Gb RAM). The PIRLS algorithm converged after 43

17



Figure 6: Estimated 95^{th} quantile of (logged) river flow at four different dates (columns) and five different years (rows)



Figure 7: Fitted model (blue), 95% approximated confidence interval under independence (red) and dependence (pink) and fitted model without the interaction terms (green) for rivers Inver (North West), Carron (South East), Deveron (North East) and Clyde (South West).

iterations, with a tolerance value set to 10^{-2} . The approximation of the check-function as a weighted sum of squared residuals was also investigated. At the last iteration, the weighted residuals (i.e. using the approximation) were similar to those obtained using the check function. As a way of informally assessing whether the model was appropriate or not, the proportion of residuals above and below the fitted surface was calculated; these were equal to 5.2% and 94.8% respectively, not far from the theoretical expected values of 5% and 95%.

5 Simulation Study

A simulation study was run in order to evaluate the performance of the model proposed in Section 3.1 and compare it to that of the R package quantreg (Koenker, 2018) for the 95th quantile. We simulated daily data under 4 different scenarios with varying sample size in time and space. For the time component, we consider 5 and 10 years of data, while for the space component we consider 20 and 50 locations on a spatial irregular grid on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$. Data were generated as the sum of a smooth time trend s(t), a seasonal cycle s(d) and a spatial component s(z) as follows:

$$s(t) = 1 + 0.3t + 0.7t^{2},$$

$$s(d) = \sin(2\pi d/365 + \pi/2),$$

$$s(z) = s(z_{1}, z_{2}) = 0.2z_{1} + 0.25z_{2} + 3z_{1}z_{2}.$$

Random noise was simulated from an asymmetric Laplace distribution $ALD(\mu, \sigma, q)$, a commonly used distribution in quantile regression, with $\mu = 0$ and q = 0.95. Regarding σ , two different levels of noise were considered in each scenario, corresponding to a signal to noise ratio (SNR) of two and four. Table 7 summarizes the different scenarios considered while and example of simulated data is illustrated in Figure 8.

Model (2) was fitted for the 95th quantile to 100 simulated data sets under each scenario using the method described in Section 3.1 with k = 5 for all terms. The **rqss** function from the **quantreg R** package was also used to estimate the same model. Smoothing parameters



Figure 8: (a) Simulated signal under scenario A, (b) noisy signal simulated at one spatial location under scenario A4.



Figure 9: Boxplots of MSE under the different simulation scenarios when the 95^{th} quantile model is estimated using the method proposed in this paper (a) and the quantreg package (b).



Figure 10: Boxplots of $R_n(\beta)$ under the different simulation scenarios when the 95th quantile model is estimated using the method proposed in this paper (lighter colours) and the quantreg package (darker colours) with SNR=4 (a) and SNR= 2 (b).

in both cases were chosen based on SIC. Boxplots of the mean square error (MSE) between 368 the simulated and estimated signal are shown in Figure 9, where it can be clearly seen that 369 the MSE is considerably lower for the proposed method (panel (a)) in all simulation scenarios. 370 In both panels (a) and (b) the MSE is smaller when the signal to noise ratio is greater and 371 decreases as the sample size increases (both in time and space), as one would expect. From 372 this simulation study, it can be concluded that for nonparametric spatio-temporal modelling our 373 method performs better than classical quantile regression estimation. The poorer performance 374 obtained using quantreg might be partly explained by the fact that is not possible to constrain 375 the seasonal component to be cyclic. 376

To assess how good the approximation that the proposed method makes is (Equation (6)), we compared the following quantity for both approaches:

$$R_n(\beta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \rho_\tau(y_i - \hat{y}_i)$$

where ρ_{τ} is the check function in Equation (1), y_i are the (noisy) simulated values, \hat{y}_i are the

fitted values and n is the total number of observations. As can be seen in Figure 10, there are no differences in $R_n(\beta)$ between the two approaches, suggesting very good agreement between the original check function and its approximated version.

For the more complex model including the interaction terms, it is not possible to compare the performance of the proposed method with quantreg as the latter does not allow inclusion of smooth functions of dimension greater than two.

386 6 Discussion

Environmental processes are highly variable in both space and time and, as such, investigation 387 of trends in their means may not be sufficiently informative, especially when the interest is 388 in extreme values. On the other hand, river flow records tend to be relatively short, usually 389 spanning about 20-30 years of data; modelling extremes, such as the 1 in 100 year design event, 390 from such short records using the classical extreme value theory approach can be problematic, as 39 the number of observations is dramatically reduced when considering the annual maxima series 392 or only the peaks above a certain threshold. This paper proposes a spatio-temporal quantile 393 regression approach for modelling extreme river flow values. Although the work was motivated 394 by a case study on river flow in Scotland, the proposed model is applicable to other areas where 395 quantiles might be of interest, e.g. air pollution. The model is built in a generalized additive 396 model framework that allows inclusion of three-variate smooth functions to account for space-397 time interaction effects. The number of observations is crucial for reliable estimation in a quantile 398 regression setting, especially when building models for very high (or very low) quantiles. Rather 399 than modelling one river at a time, we use a single model for the whole dataset; this way we 400 borrow information across locations, particularly important when dealing with short records. 401

Despite quantile regression being increasingly popular in environmental applications (see e.g. Weerts et al. (2011); Reich (2012); Planque and Buffaz (2008)), applications on spatiotemporal data are still very limited. We propose a flexible spatio-temporal model that takes into

account potential space-time interactions with the novelty that model parameters are estimated 405 via penalized iterative re-weighted least squares. We believe this has two main advantages with 406 respect to using linear programming methods; first, it is a more intuitive way of thinking about 407 parameter estimation for those familiar with classic regression and least squares estimation. 408 Second, this regression-like framework can be easily extended to incorporate covariates or to 409 build more complex models, e.g. varying coefficient models. Further, estimating such a complex 410 model is not possible using the classical quantile regression approach as currently implemented in 411 the R package quantreg, where neither smooth functions depending on more than two covariates 412 nor periodicity constraints can be included in the model. For a simpler spatio-temporal model 413 with no interaction terms, results from a simulation study showed that our proposed method 414 outperforms the standard quantile regression approach. 415

The focus of this work is on extreme values and hence we have only considered modelling 416 single quantiles high up in the tail of the distribution (e.g. 90^{th} , 95^{th} quantile) separately. Even 417 though the modelling approach used here does not allow fitting various quantiles simultaneously, 418 it is easy to implement and therefore can be fitted for a range of quantiles independently to 419 investigate, for instance, how the spatial distribution of extreme values changes with respect to 420 the mean. Nevertheless, the issue of quantile crossing was not investigated and is left for further 421 research. Schnabel and Eilers (2013) proposed the so-called "quantile sheets" to estimate a 422 range of quantiles simultaneously by means of a bivariate smooth function of a covariate and the 423 probability τ using P-splines; non-crossing of quantile curves is ensured by forcing monotonicity 424 in the τ direction in the penalty. Finally, assessing goodness of fit for quantile models remains 425 an area of open research; usual indices of performance such as the root mean square error or the 426 correlation coefficient between observed and predicted values are of no use here. In this paper, 427 goodness of fit was informally assessed based on the expected and observed proportion of positive 428 and negative residuals. For linear quantile regression models, an equivalent to the coefficient of 429 determination R^2 was proposed by Koenker and Machado (1999). 430

431 The proposed model was applied to a set of Scottish rivers spanning 18 years of data. In

Scotland, studies assessing changes in extreme river flows have been carried out mostly in the time 432 domain. Spatially, differences in frequency and magnitude of river flow extreme events have been 433 found between the East and the West (Black and Burns, 2002; Black, 1996), as well as in trends 434 in annual maxima series (Black, 1996). In particular, two 'micro-climates' have been identified 435 over 1980-2000, wetter in the North-West, with significant spring and autumn increases in the 436 West and winter increases in the North, and drier in the South-East, especially in the summer 437 months (Jenkins et al., 2009; Werritty, 2002). Even though we only have data for years 1996-2000 438 within the time frame 1980-2000, our results (partially shown in Figure 6) also support a clear 439 East/West gradient in the 95th quantile. Downscaled projections from global circulation models 440 for the 2050s predict that observed trends are to continue in the near future (Fowler and Wilby, 441 2010; Werritty, 2002); however, while estimates over the winter months are fairly reliable there is 442 great uncertainty over the summer. Regional climate models predict increases in winter extreme 443 rainfall (Fowler and Kilsby, 2003) and an increase of annual runoff of 5-15% across the country 444 for the 2050s but that could locally exceed 25% (Werritty, 2002). Given the uncertainty of the 445 predictions and the heterogeneity of the observed changes over time and space, it is important to 446 gain a better understanding of the spatio-temporal pattern of extreme river flows and we believe 447 that the model proposed in this paper could prove useful in doing so. Given the observed and 448 projected seasonal differences in river flow, it is common practice to divide the year into seasons 449 to then model each season independently, see e.g. Hannaford and Buys (2012), where seasonal 450 quantiles were calculated to investigate the presence of trends in the quantiles. Our approach 451 avoids this division of the years into seasons, for which there is no general agreement (and hence 452 a different division of the year may yield different results) and which may be changing due to 453 climate change, and directly models the quantile of interest across time so that the temporal 454 evolution of the spatial trend in extremes can be assessed. 455

Since estimates of flood risk derived from river flow data are usually based on relatively short records, the current procedure recommends adding a safety margin of 20% of the expected flow level to ensure that design infrastructure (e.g. flood barriers) can cope with an unexpected extreme flow (HM Government, 2016). On the other side, after the 2007 UK floods (Pitt, 2008), action was taken and the plan was to lower the threshold at which alarms were issued. The results from the Scottish data presented in this paper suggest that neither of these adjustments may be sufficient to provide accurate flood warning as trends for high quantiles have not changed in a homogeneous way spatially. These spatial differences have potentially important implications for decision making, where optimizing the balance between expenditure and risk reduction is a critical part of the decision process.

Additional information and supporting material for this article is available online at the journal's website.

468 7 Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Alistair Cargill from SEPA, the NRFA for providing the data and
the Kelvin-Smith postgraduate studentship scheme from the University of Glasgow for partially
funding this research.

472 **References**

- Arnell, N. and S. Gosling (2013). The impacts of climate change on river flow regimes at the global scale. *Journal of Hydrology* 486, 351–364.
- Arnell, N. and S. Gosling (2016). The impacts of climate change on river flood risk at the global
 scale. *Climate Change 134*, 387–401.
- Black, A. (1996). Major flooding and increased flood frequency in Scotland since 1988. *Physics*and Chemistry of the Earth 20(5-6), 463–468.
- Black, A. and C. Burns (2002). Re-assessing the flood risk in Scotland. The Science of the Total *Environment 294*, 169–184.

- Black, A. and A. Werritty (1997). Seasonality of flooding: a case study of North Britain. Journal
 of Hydrology 195, 1–25.
- Brown, B. and S. Resnick (1977). Extreme values of independent stochastic processes. Journal
 of Applied Probability 14, 732–739.
- Cade, B. and B. Noon (2003). A gentle introduction to quantile regression for ecologists. Frontiers *in Ecology and the Environment 1*(8), 412–420.
- ⁴⁸⁷ Coles, E. (2004). An Introduction to Statistical Modelling of Extreme Values. Springer Series in
 ⁴⁸⁸ Statistics.
- Cooley, D., D. Nychka, and P. Naveau (2007). Bayesian spatial modeling of extreme precipitation
 return levels. *Journal of the American Statistical Association 102* (479), 824–840.
- ⁴⁹¹ Cressie, N. and C. Wikle (2011). *Statistics for Spatio-temporal Data*. New York: Wiley.

⁴⁹² Davis, R., C. Klüppelberg, and C. Steinkohl (2013). Statistical inference for max-stable processes
⁴⁹³ in space and time. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B 75(5), 791–819.

- ⁴⁹⁴ Davison, A. and M. Gholamrezaee (2012). Geostatistics of extremes. Proceedings of the Royal
 ⁴⁹⁵ Society A 468, 581–608.
- ⁴⁹⁶ Davison, A., S. Padoan, and M. Ribatet (2012). Statistical modelling of spatial extremes. Sta ⁴⁹⁷ tistical Science.
- ⁴⁹⁸ Diggle, P. and P. Ribeiro Jr. (2007). *Model-based Geostatistics*. Springer Series in Statistics.
- Eilers, P. and B. Marx (1996). Flexible smoothing with B-splines and penalties. *Statistical Science 11*, 89–102.
- Eilers, P. and B. Marx (2002). Generalized linear additive smooth structures. Journal of Com putational and Graphical Statistics 11, 758–783.

- Eilers, P. and B. Marx (2003). Multivariate calibration with temperature interaction using two dimensional penalized signal regression. *Chemometrics and Intelligent Laboratory Systems 66*,
 159–174.
- ⁵⁰⁶ Eilers, P. and B. Marx (2009). The craft of smoothing. Course hand-out (Dublin, October 2009).
- Eilers, P. and B. Marx (2010). Splines, knots, and penalties. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews:
 Computational Statistics 2(6), 637–653.
- Embrechts, P., E. Koch, and C. Robert (2016). Space-time max-stable models with spectral
 separability. Advances of Applied Probability 48(A), 77–97.
- ⁵¹¹ Evans, E., R. Ashley, J. Hall, E. Penning-Rowsell, P. Sayers, C. Thorne, and A. Watkinson ⁵¹² (2004). Foresight future flooding. Technical report, Office of Science and Technology, London.
- Fowler, H. and M. Ekström (2009). Multi-model ensemble estimates of climate change impacts
 on UK seasonal precipitation extremes. *International Journal of Climatology 29*, 385–416.
- Fowler, H. and C. Kilsby (2003). Implications of changes in seasonal and annual extreme rainfall.
 Geophysical Research Letters 30(13), 1720. DOI:10.1029/2003GL017327.
- Fowler, H. and R. Wilby (2010). Detecting changes in seasonal precipitation extremes using re gional climate model projections: Implications for managing fluvial flood risk. *Water Resources Research 46*, W03525.
- Fuentes, M., J. Henry, and B. Reich (2012). Nonparametric spatial models for extremes: application to extreme temperature data. *Extremes*, 1–27. 10.1007/s10687-012-0154-1.
- Georgi, B., S. Isoard, M. Asquith, C. Garzillo, R. Swart, and J. Timmerman (2016). Urban
 adaptation to climate change in Europe 2016 transforming cities in a changing climate.
 Technical report, European Environmental Agency.
- Hallin, M., Z. Lu, and K. Yu (2009). Local linear spatial quantile regression. *Bernoulli* 15,
 658–686.

- ⁵²⁷ Hannaford, J. and G. Buys (2010). Modification of climate-river flow associations by basin
 ⁵²⁸ properties. *Journal of Hydrology 389*, 186–204.
- Hannaford, J. and G. Buys (2012). Trends in seasonal river flow regimes in the UK. Journal of
 Hydrology 475, 158–174.
- ⁵³¹ Hastie, T. and R. Tibshirani (1990). *Generalized Additive Models*. Chapman and Hall.
- He, X., P. Ng, and S. Portnoy (1998). Bivariate quantile smoothing splines. Journal of the Royal
 Statistical Society B 60(3), 537–550.
- HM Government (2016). National flood resilience review. Technical report, Cabinet Office,
 Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs, The Rt Hon Ben Gummer MP, and The
 Rt Hon Andrea Leadsom MP.
- ⁵³⁷ IPCC (2014). Climate change 2014: Synthesis report. Contribution of working groups i, ii and iii
 ⁵³⁸ to the fifth assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Technical
 ⁵³⁹ report, Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Core Writing Team, R.K. Pachauri and
 ⁵⁴⁰ L.A. Meyer (eds.)], Geneva, Switzerland, 151 pp.
- Jenkins, G. J., J. M. Murphy, D. M. H. Sexton, J. A. Lowe, P. Jones, and C. G. Kilsby (2009).
 UK Climate Projections: Briefing report. Technical report, Met Office Hadley Centre, Exeter,
 UK.
- ⁵⁴⁴ Koenker, R. (2005). *Quantile Regression*. Econometric Society Monographs.
- Koenker, R. (2018). quantreg: Quantile Regression. R package version 5.35 https://CRAN.R project.org/package=quantreg
- ⁵⁴⁷ Koenker, R. and G. Bassett (1978). Regression quantiles. *Econometrica* 46(1), 33–50.
- Koenker, R. and K. Hallock (2001). Quantile regression. The Journal of Economic Perspectives 15(4), 43–156.

- Koenker, R. and J.A.F. Machado (2001). Goodness of fit and related inference processes for
 quantile regression. *Journal of the American Statistical Association 94* (448), 1296–1310.
- Koenker, R., P. Ng, and S. Protnoy (1994). Quantile smoothing splines. *Biometrika* 81(4),
 673–680.
- Lee, D. and T. Neocleous (2010). Bayesian quantile regression for count data with application to environmental epidemiology. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series C* 59(5), 905–920.
- ⁵⁵⁶ Neelon, B., F. Li, L. Burgette, and S. Neelon (2015). A spatiotemporal quantile regression model
 ⁵⁵⁷ for emergency department expenditures. *Statistics in Medicine* 34, 2559–2575.
- ⁵⁵⁸ Pitt, M. (2008). The Pitt review: Learning lessons from the 2007 floods. Technical report,
 ⁵⁵⁹ London: Cabinet Office.
- Planque, B. and L. Buffaz (2008). Quantile regression models for fish recruitment environment
 relationships: four case studies. *Marine Ecology Progress Series 357*, 213–223.
- Prosdocimi, I., T. Kjeldsen, and C. Svensson (2013). Non-stationarity in annual and seasonal
 series of peak flow and precipitation in the UK. Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences
 (Discussions) 1, 5499–5544.
- Reich, B. (2012). Spatiotemporal quantile regression for detecting distributional changes in environmental processes. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series C 61(4), 535–553.
- Reich, B., M. Fuentes, and D. Dunson (2011). Bayesian spatial quantile regression. Journal of
 the American Statistical Association 106 (493), 6–20.
- Reiss, P. and L. Huang (2012). Smoothness selection for penalized quantile regression splines.
 The International Journal of Biostatistics. DOI:10.1002/env.1106.
- Schlather, M. (2002). Models for stationary max-stable random fields. Extremes 5(1), 33–44.

- Schnabel, S. and P. Eilers (2013). Simultaneous estimation of quantile curves using quantile
 sheets. Advances in Statistical Analysis 97, 77–87.
- 574 Smith, R. (1990). Max-stable processes and spatial extremes. Unpublished manuscript.
- Sun, Y., H. Wang, and M. Fuentes (2016). Fused adaptive Lasso for spatial and temporal quantile
 function estimation. *Technometrics* 58(1), 127–137.
- ⁵⁷⁷ The Scottish Government (2010). Electronic Resource [Accessed 13/09/2010].
- ⁵⁷⁸ Wadsworth, J. and J. Tawn (2012). Dependence modelling for spatial extremes. ⁵⁷⁹ *Biometrika* 99(2), 253–272.
- Weerts, A., H. Winsemius, and J. Verkade (2011). Estimation of predictive hydrological uncertainty using quantile regression: examples from the national flood forecasting system (England
 and Wales). Hydrology and Earth System Sciences 15, 255–265.
- ⁵⁸³ Werritty, A. (2002). Living with uncertainty: climate change, river flows and water resource ⁵⁸⁴ management in Scotland. *The Science of the Total Environment 294*, 29–40.
- ⁵⁸⁵ Wood, S. (2006). *Generalized Additive Models An Introduction with R.* Champman and ⁵⁸⁶ Hall/CRC.

River	Catchment	Max elevation	Mean flow	$Q95^a$	$Q99^b$	mean flow/
	area (km^2)	(mAOD)	(m^3/s)	(m^3/s)	(m^3/s)	catchment area
Inver	137.5	1108.6	8.182	20.500	28.852	0.060
Clyde	1903.1	745.2	49.362	159.710	263.334	0.026
Carron	122.3	561.9	3.664	13.821	28.569	0.030
Deveron	954.9	754.2	18.605	50.921	107.931	0.019

 a 95th quantile of river flow, b 99th quantile of river flow

Table 1: Main characteristics of rivers Inver, Clyde, Carron and Deveron.

Scenario	Time	Space	n	SNR	
				2	4
А	5 years	20 locations	36500	A2	A4
В	10 years	20 locations	73000	B2	B4
С	5 years	50 locations	91250	C2	C4
D	10 years	50 locations	182500	D2	D4

Table 2: Scenarios considered in the simulation study.