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The association between personality and eating psychopathology in inpatients with anorexia nervosa

Summary

Objectives
Anorexia nervosa is a severe mental illness with modest treatment outcomes, and hospitalizations are frequently required. AN is robustly associated with a constellation of personality traits, including perfectionism, harm avoidance and anxiety. Psychopathological and personality aspects can influence treatment response and outcome in the hospital setting potentially favoring a greater individualization of treatments. This study aims to analyze inpatients with AN to ascertain as to whether personality traits can be associated with the improvement of eating psychopathology. We expected that more adaptive personality traits upon admission could correlate with the improvement of eating psychopathology upon hospital discharge.

Methods
One-hundred and thirteen inpatients with AN were consecutively enrolled and asked to complete the following assessment instruments: Temperament and Character Inventory (TCI), State Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI), Beck Depression Inventory (BDI), Eating disorders inventory-2 (EDI-2), and the Eating Disorders Examination Questionnaire (EDE-Q). Clinical parameters including Body Mass Index (BMI) were assessed at admission as well.

Results
When compared between admission and discharge, patients significantly improved in BMI, state anxiety and depression. As regards eating psychopathology, patients did not significantly improve on the EDI-2 core subscales (i.e., drive for thinness, bulimia, body dissatisfaction), with the exception of the bulimia subscale; in contrast, the EDE-Q total score showed a significant improvement upon discharge. According to their improvement (Improved Drive For Thinness, I-DT) versus worsening (Worsened Drive for Thinness, W-DT) of the DT subscale upon hospital discharge, 46 patients were classified as W-DT while 67 patients as I-DT. Only cooperativeness on the TCI was found to significantly differ between groups.

Conclusions
Increasingly effective and individualized treatments are needed for AN sufferers. We confirmed that hospitalizations are overall effective in improving eating symptoms; furthermore, higher cooperativeness upon admission, a character dimension of personality, resulted as associated with the improvement of drive for thinness upon discharge. A deeper psychopathological characterization of patients with AN could be helpful in planning treatments for AN patients.
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Introduction
Anorexia nervosa (AN) is a severe psychiatric disorder¹ for which the precise etiology remains elusive. Moreover, AN features severe medical sequelae², and demonstrates the highest rates of mortality among any psychiatric illness³, and high psychiatric comorbidity with other psychiatric conditions⁴. Importantly, AN is robustly associated with a particular
constellation of personality traits, which may provide clues to the elusive neurobiology of AN, since these traits are neurally encoded. Personality has been strongly linked to the development and maintenance of AN, and personality traits ought to be taken into account as potentially impacting on outcome in AN. For example, neuroticism and perfectionism have been acknowledged as risk factors for eating disorders. With more detail, temperament in AN is typically characterized by heightened anxiety, marked cognitive inflexibility, high harm avoidance, mirroring the alterations of the neural circuit functions found in AN. Moreover, such characteristics tend to persist after recovery and need to receive close attention when planning treatments. Treatment models focused on temperament and personality have been proposed, and personality traits also predict outcome in outpatient settings. Also, cognitive-behavioral and psychodynamic treatments positively modulate personality traits as well. However, it remains unclear as to whether changes in personality traits favor the improvement of symptoms or vice versa. Still, personality traits can impact on treatment compliance, possibly influencing those feelings of refusal and anger typical of a subgroup of patients with eating disorders.

The thorough explication of personality traits among patients with AN offers much promise, in both developing precision treatments, and discerning who may benefit from specific treatments. However, a key endeavor in expanding this body of evidence relates to the delineation of state- versus trait-level risk or maintaining factors in AN, as it relates to personality traits. Indeed, state-related neurocognitive effects of starvation are profound, portending both morphological and functional brain perturbations. As such, the careful delineation of state versus trait related personality variables in AN is of critical importance, since some evidence suggests a change in personality trait expression in those with AN upon recovery. While most studies of personality structure in those with AN have been conducted in outpatient settings, or those recovered from the illness, an important gap currently relates to the personality structure of AN patients in acute settings. Urgent hospitalizations are often required in the treatment of AN, given the propensity for rapid medical complications. Broadly speaking, these admissions can be effective over the short-term, and particularly in those patients whose life-threatening condition requires involuntary treatment. However, hospitalization admissions typically impact mostly on weight restoration and regularization of clinical parameters (i.e., blood tests), rather than on the cognitive symptoms of AN. In fact, it is well-known that about one-third of inpatients with AN significantly improve their weight but not their overall eating symptomatology, as measured by EDI-2 upon discharge. Therefore, it would be of clinical importance to identify early predictors of treatment response with respect to patients’ eating psychopathology, independently of patients’ clinical improvement. This would allow to sustain the improvement of both weight and clinical parameters over time.

A core feature of AN is encapsulated in the drive for thinness (DT), which refers to the ubiquitous and relentless pursuit of the thin ideal. An elevated drive for thinness is typical of those affected by both AN and bulimia nervosa (BN); in fact, sufferers report marked fear of weight gain with the strong tendency to restrictive eating. This core dimension of AN appears resistant to improvement during a brief and acute hospitalization, where a period of rapid weight gain is common. Earlier research showed that DT in individuals with AN or BN directly correlates with the degree of eating disorder-related psychopathology, suggesting that DT is a potential predictor of relapse. Additionally, DT is robustly associated with disordered eating and intentional weight loss as well. Interestingly, DT also significantly correlated with those structural brain changes (neuro-anatomical signatures) that are early associated with AN in a machine learning approach model.

Psychopathological and personality aspects are considered factors influencing treatment response and outcome in programmed hospitalizations; notwithstanding, data on emergency hospitalizations are scarce. A better understanding of these variables could allow a greater individualization of treatments and therefore a more positive response to emergency admissions, mostly in regard to this core psychopathological element of the disorder.

The aim of this study is to analyze a group of inpatients with AN whose clinical severity required an emergency admission to an Eating Disorders Unit in order to ascertain as to whether personality traits can be associated with the improvement of eating psychopathology as measured by the DT subscale of the EDI-2. We expected that more adaptive personality traits upon admission could correlate with the improvement of eating psychopathology modulating patients’ fear of weight gain.

Materials and methods

Participants

We consecutively enrolled 113 adult and female inpatients diagnosed with AN both subtypes (79 with restricting AN [R-AN] and 34 with binge-purging AN [BP-AN]) according to DSM-5 criteria between March 2014 and November 2017 at the ward for Eating Disorders of the “Città della Salute e della Scienza” Hospital of the Uni-
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Participants were all Caucasian. All participants completed the assessments within the first week of hospitalization to minimize confounders due to treatment interventions. All participants provided written informed consent.

The hospitalization intervention

All patients were hospitalized because of emergency reasons; therefore, the aims of this intervention were to achieve medical stabilization and re-feeding and to provide psychosocial interventions in order to motivating patients to the following treatment steps (i.e., partial hospitalization or outpatient services). Therefore, during hospitalization, patients were provided with individualized treatment plans improving patients' overall motivation to treatment. The clinical team included psychiatrists, clinical psychologists, nurses, a registered dietitian and an internal medicine physician. Weight restoration (including parenteral and enteral re-feeding when needed) is intended as a first-step intervention in order to minimize the life-threatening risks due to severe malnutrition. Weight restoration is strictly monitored in order to avoid the refeeding syndrome. Five structured meals are provided (breakfast, half-morning snack, lunch, mid-afternoon snack and dinner) and more snacks can be administered according to individualized treatment plans. Blood tests and ECG were frequently performed per clinical evaluation. Psychiatric visits are intended to assess the presence of psychiatric comorbidities and to investigate the medical issues related to psychopharmacology. Moreover, patients are provided with daily individual motivational sessions, daily individual psychotherapy and weekly psycho-educational and cognitive-behavioral groups in order to improve their compliance, motivation, therapeutic alliance and mobilize as much as possible patients' resources. Support to parents or significant others is offered to all patients.

Before discharge, all patients receive detailed clinical information about potential strategies to put in place at home in order to avoid relapses.

Measures

A trained nurse measured patients' height and weight upon admission (T0) and discharge (T1) to calculate Body Mass Index (BMI). Participants were asked to complete the following self-report assessments:

1. the Temperament and Character Inventory (TCI). The TCI is a 240-item self-administered questionnaire divided into 7 dimensions. Four of these dimensions assess temperament: novelty seeking (NS), harm avoidance (HA), reward dependence (RD), and persistence (P). The other three dimensions assess character: self-directedness (SD), cooperativeness (C), and self-transcendence (ST). The TCI showed sound psychometric properties.

2. State Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI). The STAI is a 20-item self-report instrument for the state and trait anxiety. All items are rated on a 4-point scale. The STAI measures two types of anxiety: state anxiety, a temporary condition experienced in specific situations, and trait anxiety, a general tendency to perceive situations as threatening. Total scores for state and trait sections separately range from 20 to 80, with higher scores indicating higher levels of anxiety.

3. Beck Depression Inventory (BDI). The BDI is a 13-item self-report questionnaire evaluating depressive symptoms. Scores from 0 to 4 represent minimal depressive symptoms, scores of 5-7 indicate mild depression, scores of 8-15 indicate moderate depression and scores of 16-39 indicate severe depression.

4. Eating disorders inventory-2 (EDI-2). The EDI-2 is a psychometrically sound self-report evaluation of disordered eating patterns, behaviors and personality traits shared by individuals affected by an eating disorder (ED). Ninety-one items and eleven subscales assess both symptoms and psychological correlates of EDs. Each item can be rated on a 6-point response scale; the higher the score, the more elevated eating psychopathology. Drive for thinness (seven items), bulimia (seven items) and body dissatisfaction (nine items) represent the 'symptom index'. Participants were divided in two groups according to the improvement (I-DT) versus worsening (W-DT) of the DT subscale upon hospital discharge.

5. the Eating Disorders Examination Questionnaire (EDE-Q) is a 28-item self-report questionnaire with high internal consistency that provides a measure of characteristics and severity of eating disorder features. Four subscales are available: Restraint, Eating Concern, Shape Concern, and Weight Concern but only the total score has been included in this study.

Statistical analysis

The SPSS 24.0 statistical software package (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 24.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp) has been used for data analysis. Paired sample t-test has been used to verify any significant changes occurred between hospital admission and discharge. A repeated measures ANOVA has been conducted to assess DT changes between I-DT and W-DT groups.
Independent samples t-test have been applied to continuous variables (i.e., clinical data and questionnaires). Fisher’s exact test has been used for categorical variables to maximize reliability independently of cell counts. Statistical significance has been set at 0.05.

Results

Socio-demographic and clinical characteristics of the sample
Twelve patients had to be excluded since males, 5 patients were discarded given their psychotic comorbidity and 9 patients failed to successfully complete the self-report battery of assessment. Therefore, the total sample was finally composed by 113 women affected by AN both subtypes: 79 with R-AN and 34 with BP-AN. Mean BMI was 14.27 ± 1.8, mean age was 24.5 ± 9.4 years, mean duration of illness was 6.8 ± 8.6 years, and mean duration of hospitalization 36.3 ± 17.1 days.

AN subtypes significantly differed only on duration of illness (R-AN: 5.43 ± 7.72 versus BP-AN 9.64 ± 9.72, t = -2.45, p = 0.016) but not with respect to age, BMI, duration of hospitalization and caloric intake upon admission (data not shown). With respect to general and eating psychopathology, R-AN and BP-AN groups differed in TCI self-directedness, trait-anxiety, and EDE-Q total score (data not shown).

Clinical outcomes for hospitalized patients with AN
Patients significantly improved in BMI, state anxiety and depression at discharge. Trait anxiety did not change in a statistically significant way (Tab. I).

As regards eating psychopathology, patients did not significantly improve on the EDI-2 core subscales (i.e., drive for thinness, bulimia, body dissatisfaction), with the exception of the bulimia subscale (Tab. I); in contrast, the EDE-Q total score showed a significant improvement upon discharge.

Relationship between personality and hospitalization outcome
According to the improvement versus worsening of the DT subscale upon hospital discharge, 46 patients were classified as W-DT (T0 11.89 ± 7.32, T1 14.87 ± 7.23, t = -6.77, p < 0.001) while 67 patients as I-DT (T0 12.95 ± 8.18, T1 10.02 ± 8.1, t = -5.39, p < 0.001). W-DT and I-DT groups had a significant impact on changes in DT (groups x time F 59.801; p < 0.001; see Figure 1).

Only the cooperativeness personality trait was found to significantly differ between W-DT and I-DT groups (see Table II). No other significant differences could be found either on clinical variables, diagnostic subtypes (Fisher’s exact test p = 1), or on the TCI and other questionnaires used (Tab. II).

Discussion
A psychopathological characterization of patients with AN is needed in order to provide increasingly effective and individualized treatments for those who suffer from such a severe disorder and tend to be hospitalized after an emergency admission. This study showed that hospitalizations are overall effective in improving eating symptoms; moreover, higher cooperativeness, a char-

| TABLE I. Clinical changes between hospital admission and discharge of patients with anorexia nervosa. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|
| AN patients (n = 113)                                         | T0            | T1            | Test statistics | p             |
| Weight                                                        | 37.23(5.69)   | 39.20(5.04)   | -8.473          | 0.001         |
| BMI                                                           | 14.27(1.84)   | 15.05(1.59)   | -7.606          | 0.001         |
| Caloric intake                                                | 660.63(338.79)| 1570.83(361.41)| -19.723         | 0.001         |
| EDI-2                                                         |               |               |                 |               |
| DT                                                            | 12.54(7.83)   | 11.92(8.07)   | 1.307           | 0.194         |
| B                                                             | 3.04(4.45)    | 1.58(2.86)    | 4.195           | 0.001         |
| BD                                                            | 14.73(7.23)   | 14.41(7.37)   | 0.697           | 0.487         |
| STAI-State                                                    | 55.65(14.31)  | 51.81(15.96)  | 3.087           | 0.003         |
| STAI-Trait                                                    | 57.59(12.94)  | 55.43(15.68)  | 1.842           | 0.069         |
| BDI                                                           | 15.78(8.04)   | 11.59(8.26)   | 6.024           | 0.001         |
| EDE-Q-TOT                                                     | 3.48(1.68)    | 2.76(1.68)    | 6.656           | 0.001         |

AN: anorexia nervosa; BMI: body mass index; EDI-2: Eating disorders inventory-2; DT: drive for thinness; B: bulimia; BD: body dissatisfaction; STAI: State Trait Anxiety Inventory; BDI: Beck Depression Inventory; EDE-Q-TOT: Eating Disorders Examination Questionnaire total score.
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Inpatients with anorexia nervosa (AN) are frequently required for both psychiatric and medical acute stabilization due to their marked mortality, with a standardized mortality ratio as high as 6 and 20% of deaths caused by suicide. Therefore, hospitalizations are frequently required for both psychiatric and medical acute stabilization. A main goal of hospitalization is weight restoration, since starvation-related medical complications are a leading cause of mortality in AN, and moreover, body weight is a well-known predictor of readmission and relapse. Urgent hospitalization is common in clinical practice and entails treating patients with different degrees of compliance and motivation. Clinical trials usually include patients who seek treatment in order to restore

TABLE II. Differences in baseline characteristics between patients with anorexia nervosa who improved versus worsened their scores of drive for thinness upon hospital discharge.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>I-DT (n = 67)</th>
<th>W-DT (n = 46)</th>
<th>Test statistics</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Days of hospitalization</td>
<td>35.13(12.06)</td>
<td>38.29(20.80)</td>
<td>-0.847</td>
<td>0.399</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>13.86(1.8)</td>
<td>14.38(1.77)</td>
<td>0.839</td>
<td>0.404</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>23.97(9.1)</td>
<td>25.13(9.75)</td>
<td>0.599</td>
<td>0.551</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of illness, years</td>
<td>6.7(7.51)</td>
<td>7.53(10.52)</td>
<td>0.450</td>
<td>0.654</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caloric intake</td>
<td>662.50(345.01)</td>
<td>667.12(348.86)</td>
<td>-0.059</td>
<td>0.953</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>16.69(6.38)</td>
<td>15.61(6.62)</td>
<td>-0.809</td>
<td>0.421</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HA</td>
<td>20.94(7.69)</td>
<td>20.76(10.54)</td>
<td>-0.100</td>
<td>0.920</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RD</td>
<td>13.27(3.98)</td>
<td>11.84(5.01)</td>
<td>-1.556</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>4.84(2)</td>
<td>4.47(2.69)</td>
<td>-0.781</td>
<td>0.437</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>23.28(9.24)</td>
<td>21.45(9.55)</td>
<td>-0.945</td>
<td>0.347</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>29.74(9.27)</td>
<td>25.11(12.42)</td>
<td>-2.103</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST</td>
<td>11.15(6.62)</td>
<td>9.13(7.32)</td>
<td>-1.407</td>
<td>0.163</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDI-2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT</td>
<td>11.89(7.32)</td>
<td>12.95(8.18)</td>
<td>-0.645</td>
<td>0.520</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>2.95(4.23)</td>
<td>3.10(4.63)</td>
<td>-0.166</td>
<td>0.869</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BD</td>
<td>15.24(6.39)</td>
<td>14.41(7.75)</td>
<td>0.550</td>
<td>0.584</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAI-State</td>
<td>53.89(14.05)</td>
<td>56.26(14.76)</td>
<td>-0.782</td>
<td>0.436</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAI-Trait</td>
<td>58.42(11.54)</td>
<td>56.97(13.78)</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td>0.591</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDI</td>
<td>14.95(7.33)</td>
<td>16.49(8.42)</td>
<td>-0.921</td>
<td>0.359</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDE-Q-TOT</td>
<td>3.52(1.70)</td>
<td>3.49(1.69)</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.942</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I-DT: improved drive for thinness; W-DT: worsened drive for thinness; BMI: body mass index; TCI: Temperament and Character Inventory; NS: novelty seeking; HA: harm avoidance; RD: reward dependence; P: persistence; SD: self-directedness; C: cooperativeness; ST: self-transcendence; EDI-2: Eating disorders inventory-2; DT: drive for thinness; B: bulimia; BD: body dissatisfaction; STAI: State Trait Anxiety Inventory; BDI: Beck Depression Inventory; EDE-Q-TOT: Eating Disorders Examination Questionnaire total score.
their weight and often motivational interviewing is delivered before hospitalization. Therefore, real-world studies (i.e., including patients who are poorly motivated to seek treatment, suddenly hospitalized) are scarce and needed. In this perspective, our study shows that an urgent hospital intervention lasting around 5 weeks is effective in improving weight, bulimic symptoms, caloric intake and eating symptomatology (i.e., EDE-Q total score). Nevertheless, some psychopathological core aspects, i.e., DT, do not improve in all patients. This finding is in line with previous literature showing similar results in both adults 23 and adolescents 26.

Furthermore, this study illustrates the presence of two groups of patients: I-DT and W-DT, namely those patients whose drive for thinness improves or worsens during hospitalization as their weight stabilizes. These groups are largely comparable to one another on character traits measures, with the exception of cooperativeness, which is greater in those patients whose DT improves at discharge. Within Cloninger’s model of personality 36, cooperativeness is a character dimension that can be divided into five sub-dimensions: social acceptance vs intolerance (C1); empathy vs social disinterest (C2); helpfulness vs unhelpfulness (C3); compassion vs revengefulness (C4); principles vs self-advantage (C5). Therefore, cooperativeness is a multifaceted and complex construct with multiple clinical implications. For example, it is relevant in the context of therapeutic alliance, which is centrally embedded in all therapeutic modalities in the clinical management of AN and bears much prognostic salience 42. Also, it can be crucial in intensive and urgent hospitalizations. In fact, patients with AN tend to refuse treatments and to be hardly engaged in the therapeutic relationship.

Cooperativeness has been linked to dropout as well, which is characteristically elevated in patients with AN, alongside its closely correlated treatment-resistance 12. Earlier research showed that patients who dropout from psychotherapy report lower self-directedness and cooperativeness compared to patients who complete treatment 43. Further, findings from our group showed that patients with poor cognitive flexibility report also lower scores on cooperativeness and reward dependence than healthy controls 44. Finally, cooperativeness is linked to impulsive behaviors, including binge eating, with the mediation of anger in bulimia nervosa 16. Since AN is characterized by high treatment dropout, and poor outcomes 45, future research should investigate whether cooperativeness is correlated with more positive early life experiences; a crucial factor in determining both the extent to which patients trust their treatment 46 and long-term outcome 45.

Our findings are only in part in line with previous research on severe inpatients with AN. For example, Bennett and collaborators 47 found that patients affected by restrictive type AN (R-AN) reported significantly higher cooperativeness than those with binge/purge type AN (BP-AN). The authors suggested that the R-AN group could be more prone than those with BP-AN to accept treatment in the very acute phase of AN 47. Although the authors focused on patients with BMI lower than 13, personality traits have been suggested to be independent of BMI scores 15; therefore, different sample sizes could be responsible for this contrasting finding but further research is needed relating to the personality and prognosis of those patients with AN requiring an emergency hospitalization.

Data on the stability of personality traits are mixed. On one hand, personality traits have been found to be stable after recovery 15 19, while other studies suggest a more state-related fluidity of personality 48. More specifically, cooperativeness is typically elevated in individuals who recovered from AN, as well as harm avoidance and self-directedness 19. Although longitudinal research is required to shed light on this issue, our findings provide support to this latter hypothesis, given the association found between higher cooperativeness scores and improvement in core eating psychopathology after hospitalization.

Drive for thinness is a core dimension of AN and a relevant maintaining factor; as a consequence, it would be of clinical interest to find eventual predictor of DT improvement. Recent prospective research found that fear of food could predict DT after an intensive ED treatment 49. In keeping with data on adult 23 and adolescent inpatients 46, no significant improvement could be found over the course of hospitalization although the vast majority of other clinical parameters did improve. From a psychopathological standpoint, this (non) datum further highlights DT as a key-element of AN.

In closing, some limitations can be acknowledged: participants’ severity (i.e., BMI and duration of illness) could jeopardize the generalizability of the results, data are limited to treatment seeking individuals, and no follow-up data are available. Nevertheless, these findings could have clinical implications. In fact, given the association between baseline personality and DT after hospitalization, cooperativeness could help patients being more prone to be engaged in treatment, helping patients overcome those eating-related anxiety and fears that substantially hinder recovery from AN 50. Also, in keeping with earlier literature 6 13, our findings confirm that personality should be taken carefully into account in defining treatment plans.
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