



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Rising rural body-mass index is the main driver of the global obesity epidemic in adults

This is a pre print version of the following article:					
Original Citation:					
Availability:					
This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1703388 since 2021-09-26T19:16:36Z					
Published version:					
DOI:10.1038/s41586-019-1171-x					
Terms of use:					
Open Access Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.					

(Article begins on next page)

- 1 Title: Rising rural body-mass index is the main driver of the global obesity epidemic
- 2 Authors: NCD Risk Factor Collaboration (NCD-RisC)

Body-mass index (BMI) has increased steadily in most countries parallel to the rise in the 3 share of the population who live in cities.^{1,2} This has led to a widely reported view that 4 urbanisation is one of the most important drivers of the global rise in obesity.³⁻⁶ Here, we use 5 2,009 population-based studies, with measurement of height and weight in over 112 million 6 adults, to report national, regional and global trends in BMI by rural and urban place of 7 8 residence from 1985 to 2017. We show that, contrary to the dominant paradigm, more than 55% of the global rise in mean BMI from 1985 to 2017, and up to 90% in some low- and 9 middle-income regions, was due to increases in BMI in rural areas. This large contribution 10 stems from the fact that, with the exception of women in sub-Saharan Africa, BMI is rising 11 at the same rate or faster in rural areas than in cities. These trends have in turn resulted in 12 a closing, and in some countries reversal, of the urban-rural BMI gap in low- and middle-13 income countries, especially for women. In high-income and industrialised countries, we 14 noted a persistent rural excess BMI. There is an urgent need for an integrated approach to 15 rural nutrition that enhances financial and physical access to healthy foods, to avoid 16 replacing the rural undernutrition disadvantage in poor countries with a more general 17 malnutrition disadvantage that entails excessive consumption of low-quality calories. 18

19

Being underweight as well as overweight can lead to adverse health outcomes. Body-mass index (BMI), a measure of underweight or overweight, is rising in most countries.² It is commonly stated that urbanisation is one of the most important drivers of the worldwide rise in BMI because diet and lifestyle in cities lead to adiposity.³⁻⁶ Yet, such statements are typically based on crosssectional comparisons in one or a small number of countries. Only a few studies have analysed how BMI is changing over time in rural and urban areas. The majority have been in one country, over short durations, and/or in one sex and narrow age groups. The few studies that covered more
than one country⁷⁻¹² used at most a few dozen data sources and hence could not systematically
estimate trends, and focused primarily on women of child-bearing age.

29

Data on how BMI in rural and urban populations is changing are needed to plan interventions that 30 address underweight and obesity. Here, we report on mean BMI in rural and urban areas of 200 31 countries and territories from 1985 to 2017. We used 2,009 population-based studies of human 32 anthropometry conducted in 190 countries (Extended Data Fig.1), with measurement of height and 33 weight in over 112 million adults aged 18 years and older. We excluded data based on self-reported 34 height and weight because they are subject to bias. We used a Bayesian hierarchical model to 35 estimate mean BMI by year, country and rural and urban place of residence. As described in 36 Methods, the estimated trends in population BMI represent a combination of (i) change in the 37 health of individuals due to change in their economic status and environment, and (ii) change in 38 the composition of individuals that make up the population (and their economic status and 39 environment). 40

41

From 1985 to 2017, the share of the world's population in urban areas increased from 41% to 55%.¹ Over the same period, global age-standardised mean BMI increased from 22.6 kg/m² (95% credible interval 22.4-22.9) to 24.7 kg/m² (24.5-24.9) in women, and from 22.2 kg/m² (22.0-22.4) to 24.4 kg/m² (24.2-24.5) in men. The increase in mean BMI was 2.09 kg/m² (1.73-2.44) and 2.10 kg/m² (1.79-2.41) among rural women and men, respectively, compared to 1.35 kg/m² (1.05-1.65) and 1.59 kg/m² (1.33-1.84) in urban women and men. Nationally, change in mean BMI ranged from small decreases among women in eleven countries in Europe and Asia Pacific, to a rise of >5 kg/m² among women in Egypt and Honduras. The lowest observed sex-specific mean BMI over
these 33 years was that of rural women in Bangladesh at 17.7 kg/m² (16.3-19.2) and rural men in
Ethiopia at 18.4 kg/m² (17.0-19.9), both in 1985; the highest were 35.4 kg/m² (33.7-37.1) for urban
women and 34.6 kg/m² (33.1-35.9) for rural men in American Samoa in 2017 (Extended Data Fig.
2 and Extended Data Fig. 3), representing a two-fold difference.

54

In 1985, urban men and women in every country in east, south and southeast Asia, Oceania, Latin 55 America and the Caribbean, and a region covering central Asia, Middle East and north Africa had 56 higher mean BMI than their rural counterparts (Figs. 1 and 2). The urban-rural gap was as large as 57 3.25 kg/m^2 (2.57-3.96) in women and 3.05 kg/m^2 (2.44-3.68) in men in India. Over time, the BMI 58 gap between rural and urban women shrank in all these regions at least 40%, as BMI rose faster in 59 rural areas than in cities (Fig. 3). In fourteen countries in these regions, including Armenia, Chile, 60 Jamaica, Jordan, Malaysia, Taiwan and Turkey, the ordering of rural and urban female BMI 61 reversed over time, and rural women had higher BMI than their urban peers in 2017 (Fig. 1.1 and 62 Extended Data Fig. 4). 63

64

Mean BMI of rural men also increased more than that of urban men in south Asia and Oceania, shrinking the BMI urban-rural gap by more than half. In east and southeast Asia, Latin America and the Caribbean, and central Asia, Middle East and north Africa, rural and urban men experienced a similar BMI increase, and hence the urban excess BMI did not change much over time.

71 In contrast to emerging economies, excess BMI among urban women became larger in sub-Saharan Africa (Fig. 3): from 2.59 kg/m² (2.21-2.98) in 1985 compared to 3.17 kg/m² (2.93-3.42) 72 in 2017 (posterior probability of the observed increase being a true increase = 0.99). This occurred 73 because female BMI rose faster in cities than in rural areas in sub-Saharan Africa. Therefore, 74 women in sub-Saharan African countries, especially those in west Africa, had the largest urban 75 excess BMI of any country in 2017, e.g. more than 3.35 kg/m² in Niger, Burkina Faso, Togo and 76 Ghana (Fig. 1.1 and Extended Data Fig. 4). BMI increased at a similar rate in rural and urban men 77 in sub-Saharan Africa, with the difference in 2017 (1.66 kg/m²; 1.37-1.94) being similar to 1985 78 $(1.60 \text{ kg/m}^2; 1.13-2.07)$ (Fig. 2 and Extended Data Fig. 4). 79

80

BMI was previously lower in rural areas of low- and middle-income countries than in cities, both 81 because rural residents had higher energy expenditure in their daily work, especially agriculture, 82 and domestic activities such as fuelwood and water collections,^{13,14} and because lower incomes in 83 rural areas restricted food consumption.¹⁵ In middle-income countries, agriculture is increasingly 84 mechanised, cars are used for rural transport as income increases and road infrastructure improves, 85 service and administrative jobs have become more common in rural areas, and some household 86 tasks are no longer needed, for example because homes have water connection and use commercial 87 fuels.¹⁶ Further, higher incomes as a result of economic growth allow more spending on food and 88 hence higher caloric intake, disproportionately more in rural areas, where a significant share of 89 90 income was previously spent on food. Further, the consumption of processed carbohydrates may have increased disproportionately in rural areas where such foods became more readily available 91 through national and transnational companies.^{9,17-21} These changes, referred to as "urbanisation of 92 rural life" by some researchers,⁶ would contribute to faster-rising rural BMI.^{22,23} 93

Unlike other regions, urbanisation in sub-Saharan Africa preceded significant economic growth.²⁴ 95 Subsistence farming remains common in Africa, and agriculture remains mostly manual; 96 fuelwood, commonly collected by women, is still the dominant fuel in rural Africa; and the use of 97 cars for transportation is limited by poor infrastructure and poverty. In African cities, many people 98 have service and office jobs and mobility has become less energy-intensive due to shorter travel 99 distances and the use of cars and buses. Further, urban markets where fresh produce is sold are 100 increasingly replaced by commercially prepared and processed foods from transnational and local 101 industries and street vendors.²⁵⁻²⁷ These effects are exacerbated by limited time and space for 102 cooking healthy meals and possibly perceptions of large weight as a sign of affluence.^{28,29} 103

104

In contrast to low- and middle-income regions, urban women in high-income western and Asia 105 Pacific regions, and in central and eastern Europe, had lower mean BMI than their rural 106 counterparts in 2017 (Fig. 3). The rural excess female BMI in these regions changed little from 107 1985 to 2017. Nationally, rural women's excess BMI was largest in central and eastern European 108 countries (e.g., ~1 kg/m² or more in Belarus, Czech Republic and Latvia) (Fig. 1.1 and Extended 109 Data Fig. 4). Rural men in high-income western countries also had an excess BMI compared to 110 urban men throughout the analysis period. The rural excess BMI in 2017 was largest in Sweden, 111 Czech Republic, Ireland, Australia, Austria and the USA, all >0.35 kg/m². In high-income Asia 112 113 Pacific region and in central and eastern Europe, rural and urban men had virtually identical BMI throughout these three decades (Fig. 2 and Extended Data Fig. 4). 114

115

The lower urban BMI in high-income and industrialised countries reflects a growing rural economic and social disadvantages, including lower education and income, lower availability and higher price of healthy and fresh foods,^{30,31} less access to and use of public transport and walking than in cities,^{32,33} and limited availability of facilities for sports and recreational activity,³⁴ which account for a significant share of overall physical activity in high-income and industrialised countries.

122

We also estimated how much of the overall rise in mean BMI since 1985 has been due to increases 123 124 in BMI of rural and urban populations versus due to urbanisation (defined as an increase in the share of population who live in urban areas), in each region and in the world as a whole. At the 125 global level, 60% (56-64) of the rise in mean BMI from 1985 to 2017 in women and 57% (53-60) 126 in men was due to increases in the BMI of rural populations; 28% (24-31) in women and 30% (27-127 32) in men due to BMI rise in urban populations; and 13% (11-15) and 14% (12-16) due to 128 urbanisation (Table 1). The contribution of the rise in rural BMI ranged between ~60% and 90% 129 in the mostly rural regions of sub-Saharan Africa, east, south and southeast Asia and Oceania. The 130 contribution of urbanisation was small in all regions, with maximum values of 19% (15-25) among 131 132 women and 14% (10-21) among men in sub-Saharan Africa.

133

Our results show that, contrary to the prevailing view,³⁻⁶ BMI is rising at the same rate or faster in rural areas compared to cities, except among women in sub-Saharan Africa. These trends have resulted in a rural-urban convergence in BMI in most low- and middle-income countries, especially for women. The rising rural BMI is the largest contributor to the BMI rise in low- and middle-income regions and in the world as a whole over the last 33 years, which challenges the

current paradigm of urban living and urbanisation as the key driver of the global epidemic ofobesity.

141

In poor societies, urban areas historically had lower levels of undernutrition,^{35,36} possibly because 142 infrastructure such as roads and electricity facilitate food trade, transport and storage in cities, 143 which can in turn reduce the impacts of agricultural shocks and seasonality. As economic growth 144 and rural nutrition programmes reduce rural caloric deficiency, the rural undernutrition 145 disadvantage may be replaced with a more general and complex malnutrition that entails excessive 146 consumption of low-quality calories. To avoid such an unhealthy transition, the fragmented 147 national and international responses to undernutrition and obesity should be integrated, and the 148 narrow focus of international aid on undernutrition should be broadened, to enhance access to 149 healthier foods in poor rural and urban communities. 150

152 Methods

Our aim was to estimate trends in mean BMI from 1985 to 2017 by rural and urban place of 153 residence for 200 countries and territories (Supplementary Table 2). To achieve this aim, we 154 pooled cross-sectional population-based data on height and weight in adults aged 18 years and 155 older. Therefore, by design, our results measure total change in BMI in each country's rural and 156 157 urban populations, which consists of (i) change in the health of individuals due to change in their economic status and environment, and (ii) change in the composition of individuals that make up 158 the population (and their economic status and environment). Change in population composition 159 160 occurs naturally due to fertility and mortality, as well as due to migration. Therefore, our results should not be interpreted as solely a change in the BMI of individuals. Both components of change 161 are relevant for policy formulation because policies should address the environment and nutrition 162 of the contemporary population. 163

164

We used mean BMI as the primary outcome, rather than prevalence of overweight or obesity, 165 because the relationship between BMI and disease risk is continuous with each unit lower BMI 166 being associated with a constant proportional reduction in disease risk from a BMI of around 21-167 23 kg/m² which is below the cut-offs used to define overweight and obesity.³⁷⁻³⁹ Therefore, the 168 largest health benefits of weight management are achieved by lowering the population distribution 169 of BMI. Mean BMI is the simplest summary statistic of the population distribution. Nonetheless, 170 171 mean BMI and prevalence of overweight and obesity are closely associated (Extended Data Fig. 5). 172

173

174 **Data sources**

We used a database on cardiometabolic risk factors collated by the Non-Communicable Disease 175 Risk Factor Collaboration (NCD-RisC). NCD-RisC is a worldwide network of health researchers 176 and practitioners whose aim is to document systematically the worldwide trends and variations in 177 NCD risk factors. The database was collated through multiple routes for identifying and accessing 178 data. We accessed publicly available population-based measurement surveys (e.g., Demographic 179 180 and Health Surveys (DHS), Global School-based Student Health Surveys (GSHS), the European Health Interview and Health Examination Surveys (EHIS and EHES) and those available via the 181 Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research (ICPSR). We requested, via the 182 183 World Health Organization (WHO) and its regional and country offices, help with identification and access to population-based surveys from ministries of health and other national health and 184 statistical agencies. Requests were also sent via the World Heart Federation to its national partners. 185 We made similar requests to the co-authors of an earlier pooled analysis of cardiometabolic risk 186 factors,⁴⁰⁻⁴³ and invited them to reanalyse data from their studies and join NCD-RisC. Finally, to 187 identify major sources not accessed through the above routes, we searched and reviewed published 188 studies as detailed previously,⁴⁴ and invited all eligible studies to join NCD-RisC. 189

190

Anonymised individual record data from sources included in NCD-RisC were reanalysed by the Pooled Analysis and Writing Group or by data holders according to a common protocol. Within each survey, we included participants aged 18 years and older who were not pregnant. We dropped participants with implausible BMI levels (defined as $BMI < 10 \text{ kg/m}^2$ or $BMI > 80 \text{ kg/m}^2$) or with implausible height or weight values (defined as height <100 cm, height >250 cm, weight <12 kg or weight >300 kg) (<0.2% of all subjects). We also dropped participants whose urban-rural status was unknown in surveys that had recorded place of residence (0.05% of all participants). We

calculated mean BMI and the associated standard error by sex, age group (18 years, 19 years, 10-198 year age groups from 20-29 years to 70-79 years and 80+ years) and place of residence (rural and 199 urban). All analyses incorporated appropriate sample weights and complex survey design, when 200 applicable, in calculating summary statistics. Countries typically use the rural and urban 201 classification of communities by their statistical offices at any given time both for survey design 202 203 and for reporting of population to the United Nations Population Division. The classification can change, for example as previously-rural areas grow and industrialise and hence become, and are 204 (re)designated as, de novo cities. To the extent that the re-classifications keep up with changes in 205 the real status of each community, survey and population data reflect the status of each community 206 at the time of measurement. For surveys without information on place or residence, we calculated 207 age- and sex-stratified summary statistics for the entire sample, which represented the population-208 weighted sum of rural and urban means. 209

210

To ensure summaries were prepared according to the study protocol, the Pooled Analysis and Writing Group provided computer code to NCD-RisC members who requested assistance. All submitted data were checked by at least two independent members of the Pooled Analysis and Writing Group. Questions and clarifications were discussed with NCD-RisC members and resolved before data were incorporated in the database.

216

Finally, we incorporated all nationally representative data from sources that were identified but not accessed via the above routes, by extracting summary statistics from published reports. Data were also extracted for nine STEPS surveys, one Countrywide Integrated Non-communicable Diseases Intervention (CINDI) survey, and five sites of the WHO Multinational MONItoring of

trends and determinants in CArdiovascular disease (MONICA) project that were not deposited in the MONICA Data Centre. Data were extracted from published reports only when reported by sex and in age groups no wider than 20 years. We also used data from a previous global-data pooling study⁴³ when such data had not been accessed through the routes described.

225

All NCD-RisC members are asked periodically to review the list of sources from their country, to suggest additional sources not in the database, and to verify that the included data meet the inclusion criteria listed below and are not duplicates. The NCD-RisC database is continuously updated through this contact with NCD-RisC members and all the above routes. For this paper, we used data from the NCD-RisC database for years 1985 to 2017 and ages 18 years and above. A list of the data sources we used in this analysis and their characteristics is provided in Supplementary Table 1.

233

234 Data inclusion and exclusion

235 Data sources were included in NCD-RisC database if:

- measured data on height, weight, waist circumference, or hip circumference were
 available;
- study participants were five years of age and older;
- data were collected using a probabilistic sampling method with a defined sampling frame;
- data were from population samples at the national, sub-national (i.e., covering one or more
 sub-national regions, more than three urban communities or more than five rural
 communities), or community level;
- data were from the countries and territories listed in Supplementary Table 2.

245	We excluded all data sources that were solely based on self-reported weight and height without a					
246	measurement component because these data are subject to biases that vary by geography, time					
247	age, sex and socioeconomic characteristics. ⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷ Due to these variations, approaches to correcting					
248	self-reported data leave residual bias. We also excluded data sources on population subgroup					
249	whose anthropometric status may differ systematically from the general population, including:					
250	• studies that had included or excluded people based on their health status or cardiovascular					
251	risk;					
252	• studies whose participants were only ethnic minorities;					
253	• specific educational, occupational, or socioeconomic subgroups, with the exception noted					
254	below;					
255	• those recruited through health facilities, with the exception noted below; and					
256	• women aged 15-19 years in surveys which sampled only ever-married women or measured					
257	height and weight only among mothers.					
258						
259	We used school-based data in countries, and in age-sex groups, with school enrolment of 70% or					
260	higher. We used data whose sampling frame was health insurance schemes in countries where at					
261	least 80% of the population were insured. Finally, we used data collected through general practice					
262	and primary care systems in high-income and central European countries with universal insurance,					
263	because contact with the primary care systems tends to be as good as or better than response rates					
264	for population-based surveys.					
265						
266	Conversion of BMI prevalence metrics to mean BMI					

In 2% of our data points, mostly extracted from published reports or from the a previous pooling 267 analysis,⁴³ mean BMI was not reported, but data were available for the prevalence of one or more 268 BMI categories, e.g., BMI \geq 30 kg/m². In order to use these data, we used previously-validated 269 conversion regressions² to estimate the missing primary outcome from the available BMI 270 prevalence metric(s). All sources of uncertainty in the conversion – including the sampling 271 uncertainty of the original data, the uncertainty of the regression coefficients and random effects, 272 and the regression residuals - were carried forward by using repeated draws from their joint 273 posterior distribution, accounting for the correlations among the uncertainties of regression 274 coefficients and random effects. 275

276

277 Statistical analysis of BMI trends by rural and urban place of residence

We used a Bayesian hierarchical model to estimate mean BMI by country, year, sex, age and place 278 of residence. The statistical model is described in detail in a statistical paper and related substantive 279 papers,^{2,35,40-44,48-51} and in Supplementary Information. In summary, we organised countries into 280 21 regions (Supplementary Table 2), mostly based on geography and national income. The 281 exception was high-income English-speaking countries (Australia, Canada, Ireland, New Zealand, 282 283 the UK, and the USA), grouped together in one region because BMI and other cardiometabolic risk factors have similar trends in these countries, which can be distinct from other countries in 284 their geographical regions.^{2,49,50,52} Regions were in turn organised into nine super-regions. 285

286

The model had a hierarchical structure in which estimates for each country and year were informed by its own data, if available, and by data from other years in the same country and from other countries, especially those in the same region with data for similar time periods. The extent to

which estimates for each country-year were influenced by data from other years and other countries 290 depended on whether the country had data, the sample size of the data, whether they were national, 291 and the within-country and within-region variability of the available data. The model incorporated 292 non-linear time trends comprising linear terms and a second-order random walk, all modelled 293 hierarchically. The age association of BMI was modelled using a cubic spline to allow non-linear 294 295 age patterns, which could vary across countries. The model accounted for the possibility that BMI in sub-national and community samples might differ systematically from nationally representative 296 ones and have larger variation than in national studies. These features were implemented by 297 including data-driven fixed-effect and random-effect terms for sub-national and community data. 298 The fixed effects adjusted for systematic differences between sub-national or community studies 299 and national studies. The random effects allowed national data to have larger influence on the 300 estimates than sub-national or community data with similar sample sizes. All analyses were done 301 separately by sex because geographical and temporal patterns of BMI differ between men and 302 women.² 303

304

Here, we extended the model to make estimates for rural and urban populations following the approach of Paciorek *et al.*^{35,51} This model includes a parameter representing the urban-rural BMI difference, which is empirically estimated and allowed to vary by country and year. The model uses all the data – those stratified by rural and urban place of residence as well as those reported for the entire population. If data for a country-year were not stratified by place of residence, the estimated BMI difference was informed by stratified data from other years and countries, especially those in the same region with data from similar time periods.

We fitted the statistical model with the Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithm and 313 obtained 5,000 post-burn in samples (or draws) from the posterior distribution of model 314 parameters, which were in turn used to obtain the posterior distributions of our primary outcomes 315 - mean urban BMI, mean rural BMI and mean urban-rural BMI difference. Posterior estimates 316 were made in 1-year age groups for ages 18 and 19 and 5-year age groups for those aged 20 years 317 and older. We generated age-standardised estimates by taking weighted means of age-specific 318 estimates, using age weights from the WHO standard population. Regional and global rural and 319 urban mean BMI estimates were calculated as population-weighted averages of rural and urban 320 321 mean for the constituent country estimates by age-group and sex. National mean BMI was calculated as population-weighted averages of the rural and urban means. 322

323

The reported credible intervals represent the 2.5th and the 97.5th percentiles of the posterior distributions. We also report the posterior probability that the estimated urban-rural BMI difference is a true difference in the same direction as the point estimate. We also report the posterior probability that the estimated change in the rural-urban BMI difference over time represents a true increase or decrease.

329

330 Validation of statistical model

We calculated the difference between the posterior estimates from the model and data from national studies. Median errors were very close to zero $(0.03 \text{ kg/m}^2 \text{ for women and } -0.02 \text{ kg/m}^2$ men) and median absolute errors were 0.32 kg/m^2 for women and 0.26 kg/m^2 for men, indicating that the estimates were unbiased and had small deviations relative to national studies. The differences were indistinguishable from zero at 5% statistical significance level.

We also tested how well our statistical model predicts missing data, known as external predictive 337 validity, in two different tests. In Test 1, we held out all data from 10% of countries with data (i.e., 338 created the appearance of countries with no data where we actually had data). The countries whose 339 data were withheld were randomly selected from the following three groups: data-rich (8 or more 340 341 data sources for women, and 7 or more data sources for men), data-poor (1-3 data sources for women, and 1-2 for men), and average data availability (4-7 data sources for women, and 3-6 for 342 men). All data-rich countries had at least one data source after 2000 and at least one source with 343 data stratified on rural and urban place of residence. We fitted the model to the data from the 344 remaining 90% of countries and made estimates of the held-out observations. In Test 2, we 345 assessed other patterns of missing data by holding out 10% of our data sources, again from a mix 346 of data-rich, data-poor, and average-data countries, as defined above. For a given country, we 347 either held out a random one third of the country's data or all of the country's 2000-2017 data to 348 determine, respectively, how well we filled in the gaps for countries with intermittent data and 349 how well we estimated in countries without recent data. We fitted the model to the remaining 90% 350 of the dataset and made estimates of the held-out observations. We repeated each test five times, 351 352 holding out a different subset of data in each repetition. In both tests, we calculated the differences between the held-out data and the estimates. We also calculated the 95% credible intervals of the 353 estimates; in a model with good external predictive validity, 95% of held-out values would be 354 355 included in the 95% credible intervals.

356

Our statistical model also performed very well in the external validation tests, i.e. in estimating mean BMI when data were missing. The estimates of mean BMI were unbiased, as evidenced with

median errors that were zero or close to zero globally (0.03 and -0.03 kg/m^2 for women and -0.15359 and 0.00 kg/m² for men in the Tests 1 and 2, respectively), and less than ± 0.20 kg/m² in every 360 subset of withheld data except 1985-1999 data in Test 1 for men where median error was -0.24 361 kg/m² (Extended Data Table 2). Most of the median errors were indistinguishable from zero at 5% 362 statistical significance level. The 95% credible intervals of estimated mean BMI covered 94-98% 363 of true data globally; coverage was >93% in all but one subset of withheld data. Median absolute 364 errors ranged from 0.52 to 1.09 kg/m² globally, and were at most 1.29 kg/m² in all subsets of 365 withheld data. Median absolute errors were smaller in Test 2, where a subset of data sources from 366 some countries are withheld, than in Test 1, where all data from some countries are withheld. 367 Given that we had data for 190 out of 200 countries for women and 183 out of 200 countries for 368 men, Test 2 is a better reflection of data availability in our analysis. For comparison, median 369 absolute differences for mean BMI between pairs of nationally representative surveys done in the 370 same country and in the same year was 0.46 kg/m^2 , indicating that our estimates perform almost 371 as well as running two parallel surveys in the same country and year. 372

373

374 Contributions of urbanisation and rural and urban BMI change to changes in population 375 mean BMI

We calculated the contributions of the following components to change in population mean BMI from 1985 to 2017: the contribution of change in BMI in rural areas, the contribution of change in BMI in urban areas, and the contribution of urbanisation (i.e. increase in the proportion of people living in urban areas). The first two parts were calculated by fixing the proportion of people living in rural and urban areas to 1985 levels and allowing BMI to change as it did in the respective population. The contribution of urbanisation was calculated by fixing BMI in rural and urban areas to 2017 levels and allowing the proportion of people living in cities to change as it did. Percentage contributions were calculated using posterior draws, with reported credible intervals representing the 2.5th and the 97.5th percentiles of their posterior distributions.

385

386 Change in mean BMI from 1985 to 2017

387 = contribution of change in rural BMI + contribution of change in urban BMI

388 + contribution of change in the proportion of the population living in urban areas

389 = (Change in $BMI_{rural 1985-2017}$)(percent living in rural areas₁₉₈₅)

390 + (Change in $BMI_{urban 1985-2017}$)(percent living in urban areas₁₉₈₅)

391 + (Change in percent living in urban $areas_{1985-2017}$)($BMI_{urban 2017} - BMI_{rural 2017}$)

392

393 Strengths and limitations

Urbanisation is regarded as one of the most important contributors to global obesity epidemic, but 394 395 this perspective is based on limited data. We have presented the first comparable estimates of mean BMI for rural and urban populations worldwide over three decades using, to our knowledge, the 396 largest and most comprehensive global database of human anthropometry with information on 397 398 urban or rural place of residence. We used population-based measurement data from almost all countries, with information on participants' urban or rural place of residence for the majority of 399 data sources. We maintained a high level of data quality through repeated checks of study 400 401 characteristics against our inclusion and exclusion criteria, which were verified by NCD-RisC 402 members, and did not use any self-reported data to avoid bias in height and weight. Data were analysed according to a common protocol to obtain mean BMI by age, sex and place of residence. 403 We used a statistical model that used all available data, while giving more weight to national data 404 405 than sub-national and community studies and took into account the epidemiological features of BMI by using non-linear time trends and age associations. The model used information on the urban-rural difference in BMI where available and estimated this difference hierarchically and temporally in the absence of stratified data.

409

Despite our large-scale data collation effort, some countries and regions had fewer data, 410 411 particularly the Caribbean and Polynesia and Micronesia. There were also fewer data sources before 2000. This temporal and geographical sparsity of data led to wider uncertainty intervals for 412 these countries, regions and years. Although health surveys commonly use the rural and urban 413 classification of national statistical offices, cities and rural areas in different countries vary in their 414 demographic characteristics (e.g., population size or density), economic activity, administrative 415 structures, infrastructure, and environment. These differences appropriately exist because 416 countries themselves differ in terms of their demography, geography and economy. For example, 417 a country with a smaller population may use a lower threshold to urban designation than one with 418 a larger population, because its cities are naturally smaller even if they serve the same functions. 419 Official rural and urban classifications are used for resource allocation and planning for nutrition 420 and health,53-58 which makes them the appropriate unit for tracking outcomes. Nonetheless, 421 422 understanding the causes of change in rural and urban areas can be enriched with use of more complex and multi-dimensional measures of urbanicity involving size, density, economic and 423 commercial activities and infrastructures.^{59,60} Finally, urbanisation could arise from a variety of 424 425 mechanisms: (1) natural increase due to excess births over deaths in cities compared to rural areas, (2) rural to urban migration (often related to opportunities for work and education) and (3) 426 reclassification of previously-rural areas as they grow and industrialise and hence become, and are 427 (re)designated as, de novo cities. The contributions of these mechanisms to urbanisation vary 428

across countries. The use of time-varying rural versus urban classification of communities ensures
that in any year, the rural and urban strata represent the actual status of each community. However,
each of these mechanisms may have different implications for change in nutrition and physical
activity, and hence BMI.

433

434 **Data availability**

Estimates of mean BMI by country, year, sex and place of residence (urban and rural) will be available from www.ncdrisc.org upon publication of the paper. Input data from publicly available sources can also be downloaded from www.ncdrisc.org upon publication of the paper. For other data sources, contact information for data providers can be obtained from www.ncdrisc.org.

440 **Code availability**

The computer code for the Bayesian hierarchical model used in this work will be available at
 www.ncdrisc.org upon publication of the paper.

443 **References**

- Population Division of the Department of Economic and Social Affairs of the United
 Nations. World Urbanization Prospects: the 2014 Revision. (United Nations, New York,
 NY, 2014).
- NCD Risk Factor Collaboration (NCD-RisC). Worldwide trends in body-mass index,
 underweight, overweight, and obesity from 1975 to 2016: a pooled analysis of 2416
 population-based measurement studies in 128.9 million children, adolescents, and adults. *Lancet* 390, 2627-2642 (2017).
- 4513WHO. Global Report on Urban Health: Equitable Healthier Cities for Sustainable452Development. Report No. 9241565276, (World Health Organization, Geneva, 2016).
- 453 4 Yusuf, S., Reddy, S., Ôunpuu, S. & Anand, S. Global burden of cardiovascular diseases: 454 part I: general considerations, the epidemiologic transition, risk factors, and impact of 455 urbanization. *Circulation* **104**, 2746-2753 (2001).
- 456 5 Wagner, K. H. & Brath, H. A global view on the development of non communicable
 457 diseases. *Prev. Med.* 54 Suppl, 38-41 (2012).
- Popkin, B. M. Global nutrition dynamics: the world is shifting rapidly toward a diet linked
 with noncommunicable diseases. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 84, 289-298 (2006).
- Abubakari, A. R. *et al.* Prevalence and time trends in obesity among adult West African
 populations: A meta-analysis. *Obes. Rev.* 9, 297-311 (2008).
- Filozof, C., Gonzalez, C., Sereday, M., Mazza, C. & Braguinsky, J. Obesity prevalence
 and trends in Latin-American countries. *Obes. Rev.* 2, 99-106 (2001).
- Jaacks, L. M., Slining, M. M. & Popkin, B. P. Recent underweight and overweight trends
 by rural-urban residence among women in low- and middle-income countries. *J. Nutr.* 145, 352-357 (2015).
- Mamun, A. A. & Finlay, J. E. Shifting of undernutrition to overnutrition and its determinants among women of reproductive ages in the 36 low to medium income countries. *Obes. Res. Clin. Pract.* 9, 75-86 (2015).
- 11 Neuman, M., Kawachi, I., Gortmaker, S. & Subramanian, S. V. Urban-rural differences in
 BMI in low- and middle-income countries: the role of socioeconomic status. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 97, 428-436 (2013).
- Popkin, B. M., Adair, L. S. & Ng, S. W. Global nutrition transition and the pandemic of obesity in developing countries. *Nutr. Rev.* 70, 3-21 (2012).
- Assah, F. K., Ekelund, U., Brage, S., Mbanya, J. C. & Wareham, N. J. Urbanization,
 physical activity, and metabolic health in sub-Saharan Africa. *Diabetes Care* 34, 491-496
 (2011).
- 478 14 Levine, J. A. *et al.* The work burden of women. *Science* **294**, 812-812 (2001).
- 479 15 Subramanian, S. & Deaton, A. The demand for food and calories. J. Political Econ. 104, 133-162 (1996).
- 16 Ng, S. W. & Popkin, B. M. Time use and physical activity: a shift away from movement across the globe. *Obes. Rev.* 13, 659-680 (2012).
- Popkin, B. M. Nutrition, agriculture and the global food system in low and middle income countries. *Food Policy* 47, 91-96 (2014).
- 18 Reardon, T., Timmer, P. C. & Minten, B. Supermarket revolution in Asia and emerging
 development strategies to include small farmers. *PNAS* 109, 12332-12337 (2012).

- 487 19 Mahajan, V. How Unilever reaches rural consumers in emerging markets. *Harv. Bus. Rev.* 488 (2016).
- 489 20 Jacobs, A. & Richtel, M. How big business got Brazil hooked on junk food. *The New York* 490 *Times* (2017).
- 491 21 Reardon, T., Timmer, C., Barrett, C. & Berdegué, J. The rise of supermarkets in Africa,
 492 Asia and Latin America. *Am. J. Agric. Econ.* 85, 1140-1146 (2003).
- 493 22 Ng, S. W., Norton, E. C. & Popkin, B. M. Why have physical activity levels declined
 494 among Chinese adults? Findings from the 1991-2006 China Health and Nutrition Surveys.
 495 Soc. Sci. Med. 68, 1305-14 (2009).
- 496 23 Monda, K. L., Adair, L. S., Zhai, F. & Popkin, B. M. Longitudinal relationships between
 497 occupational and domestic physical activity patterns and body weight in China. *Eur. J.*498 *Clin. Nutr.* 62, 1318-25 (2008).
- Fay, M. & Opal, C. Urbanization without Growth : A Not-So-Uncommon Phenomenon.
 Policy Research Working Paper No. 241. (World Bank, Washington, DC, 2000).
- 501 25 FAO. Street Food in Urban Ghana. (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United 502 Nations, Accra, 2016).
- Chilanga, E., Riley, L., Ngwira, J., Chalinda, C. & Masitala, L. Food Insecurity in Informal
 Settlements in Lilongwe, Malawi. (African Food Security Network, Cape Town, 2017).
- Tschirley, D., Reardon, T., Dolislager, M. & Snyder, J. The rise of a middle class in east and southern Africa: implications for food system transformation. *J. Int. Dev.* 27, 628-646 (2015).
- 50828Holdsworth, M., Gartner, A., Landais, E., Maire, B. & Delpeuch, F. Perceptions of healthy509and desirable body size in urban Senegalese women. Int. J. Obes. 28, 1561-1568 (2004).
- Ettarh, R., Van de Vijver, S., Oti, S. & Kyobutungi, C. Overweight, obesity, and perception
 of body image among slum residents in Nairobi, Kenya, 2008–2009. *Prev. Chronic Dis.*10, e212 (2013) DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.5888/pcd10.130198</u>.
- Liese, A. D., Weis, K. E., Pluto, D., Smith, E. & Lawson, A. Food store types, availability,
 and cost of foods in a rural environment. *J. Am. Diet Assoc.* 107, 1916-1923 (2007).
- 515 31 Lenardson, J. D., Hansen, A. Y. & Hartley, D. Rural and remote food environments and obesity. *Curr. Obes. Rep.* **4**, 46-53 (2015).
- 517 32 Ihara, M. *et al.* A cross-sectional study of the association between city scale and daily steps
 518 in Japan: data from the National Health and Nutrition Survey Japan (NHNS-J) 2006-2010.
 519 *Japanese J. Public Health* 63, 549-559 (2016).
- Scheiner, J. A century of motorisation in urban and rural contexts: paths of motorisation in
 German cities. *Erdkunde*, 313-328 (2012).
- Seguin, R., Connor, L., Nelson, M., LaCroix, A. & Eldridge, G. Understanding barriers
 and facilitators to healthy eating and active living in rural communities. *J. Nutr. Metab.* **2014**, 1-8 (2014).
- Paciorek, C. J., Stevens, G. A., Finucane, M. M. & Ezzati, M. Children's height and weight
 in rural and urban populations in low-income and middle-income countries: a systematic
 analysis of population-representative data. *Lancet Glob. Health* 1, e300-e309 (2013) DOI:
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(13)70109-8.
- Krumdiek, C. L. The rural-to-urban malnutrition gradient: a key factor in the pathogenesis
 of urban slums. *JAMA* 215, 1652-1654 (1971).

- 53137Prospective Studies Collaboration. Body-mass index and cause-specific mortality in532900 000 adults: collaborative analyses of 57 prospective studies. Lancet **373**, 1083-1096533(2009).
- The Global BMI Mortality Collaboration. Body-mass index and all-cause mortality:
 individual-participant-data meta-analysis of 239 prospective studies in four continents.
 Lancet 388, 776-786 (2016).
- Singh, G. M. *et al.* The age-specific quantitative effects of metabolic risk factors on cardiovascular diseases and diabetes: a pooled analysis. *PLoS ONE* 8, e65174 (2013) DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0065174.
- 540 40 Danaei, G. *et al.* National, regional, and global trends in systolic blood pressure since 1980:
 541 systematic analysis of health examination surveys and epidemiological studies with 786
 542 country-years and 5·4 million participants. *Lancet* **377**, 568-577 (2011).
- 543 41 Danaei, G. *et al.* National, regional, and global trends in fasting plasma glucose and
 544 diabetes prevalence since 1980: systematic analysis of health examination surveys and
 545 epidemiological studies with 370 country-years and 2.7 million participants. *Lancet* 378,
 546 31-40 (2011).
- Farzadfar, F. *et al.* National, regional, and global trends in serum total cholesterol since
 1980: systematic analysis of health examination surveys and epidemiological studies with
 321 country-years and 3.0 million participants. *Lancet* **377**, 578-586 (2011).
- Finucane, M. M. *et al.* National, regional, and global trends in body-mass index since 1980:
 systematic analysis of health examination surveys and epidemiological studies with 960
 country-years and 9.1 million participants. *Lancet* 377, 557-567 (2011).
- NCD Risk Factor Collaboration (NCD-RisC). Trends in adult body-mass index in 200 countries from 1975 to 2014: a pooled analysis of 1698 population-based measurement studies with 19.2 million participants. *Lancet* 387, 1377-1396 (2016).
- Hayes, A. J., Clarke, P. M. & Lung, T. W. C. Change in bias in self-reported body mass
 index in Australia between 1995 and 2008 and the evaluation of correction equations. *Popul. Health Metr.* 9, 53 (2011).
- Gorber, S. C., Tremblay, M., Moher, D. & Gorber, B. A comparison of direct vs. selfreport measures for assessing height, weight and body mass index: a systematic review. *Obes. Rev.* 8, 307-326 (2007).
- Ezzati, M., Martin, H., Skjold, S., Hoorn, S. V. & Murray, C. J. L. Trends in national and
 state-level obesity in the USA after correction for self-report bias: analysis of health
 surveys. J. Royal Soc. Med. 99, 250-257 (2006).
- Finucane, M. M., Paciorek, C. J., Danaei, G. & Ezzati, M. Bayesian estimation of population-level trends in measures of health status. *Stat. Sci.* **29**, 18-25 (2014).
- 56749NCD Risk Factor Collaboration (NCD-RisC). A century of trends in adult human height.568*eLife* **5**, e13410 (2016) DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.13410.
- 50 NCD Risk Factor Collaboration (NCD-RisC). Worldwide trends in blood pressure from
 1975 to 2015: a pooled analysis of 1479 population-based measurement studies with 19.1
 million participants. *Lancet* 389, 37-55 (2017).
- 572 51 Finucane, M. M., Paciorek, C. J., Stevens, G. A. & Ezzati, M. Semiparametric Bayesian 573 density estimation with disparate data sources: a meta-analysis of global childhood 574 undernutrition. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* **110**, 889-901 (2015).

- 575 52 NCD Risk Factor Collaboration (NCD-RisC). Worldwide trends in diabetes since 1980: a
 576 pooled analysis of 751 population-based studies with 4.4 million participants. *Lancet* 387, 1513-1530 (2016).
- 578 53 The World Bank. An Overview of Links Between Obesity and Food Systems: Implications
 579 for the Food and Agriculture Global Practice Agenda. (World Bank, Washington, D.C.,
 580 2017).
- 54 FAO. Ending Poverty and Hunger by Investing in Agriculture and Rural Areas. (Food and
 582 Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, 2017).
- 55 WHO & Global Health Workforce Alliance. Global Experience of Community Health
 Workers for Delivery of Health Related Millenium Development Goals: a Systematic
 Review, Country Case Studies, and Recommendations for Integration into National Health
 Systems. (World Health Organization, Geneva, 2010).
- 587 56 Bhutta, Z. A. *et al.* Rethinking community based strategies to tackle health inequities in 588 South Asia. *BMJ* **363**, k4884 (2018).
- 589 57 IFAD. Rural Development Report 2016: Fostering Inclusive Rural Transformation.
 590 (International Fund for Agricultural Development, Rome, 2016).
- 58 FAO, IFAD & WFP. The State of Food Insecurity in the World 2015. Meeting the 2015
 592 International Hunger Targets: Taking Stock of Uneven Progress. (Food and Agriculture
 593 Organization of the United Nations, Rome, 2015).
- 594 59 Dahly, D. L. & Adair, L. S. Quantifying the urban environment: A scale measure of 595 urbanicity outperforms the urban–rural dichotomy. *Soc. Sci. Med.* **64**, 1407-1419 (2007).
- 596 60 Jones-Smith, J. C. & Popkin, B. M. Understanding community context and adult health 597 changes in China: development of an urbanicity scale. *Soc. Sci. Med.* **71**, 1436-46 (2010).

599 Supplementary information

This file contains details of the statistical model used to estimate BMI trends by rural and urban place of residence, Supplementary Table 1, Supplementary Table 2 and Supplementary Table 3.

603 Acknowledgments

This study was funded by the Wellcome Trust. Honor Bixby was supported by a Medical Research Council Doctoral Training Partnership Studentship, James Bentham by a Royal Society Research Grant, and Mariachiara Di Cesare by an Academy of Medical Sciences Springboard Award. We thank Lindsay Jaacks, Barry Popkin, Shelly Sundberg and Walter Willett for recommendations of relevant citations.

609

610 Author contributions

ME designed the study and oversaw research. HB led the data collection, statistical analysis and prepared results. Pooled Analysis and Writing Group contributed to study design, collated data, checked all data sources in consultation with the Country and Regional Data Group, analysed pooled data, and prepared results. Country and Regional Data Group collected and reanalysed data and checked pooled data. ME and HB wrote the first draft of the report. Other authors commented on the draft report.

617

618 Author information

NCD Risk Factor Collaboration (NCD-RisC) members are listed at the end of the paper. ME
reports a charitable grant from the AstraZeneca Young Health Programme, and personal fees from
Prudential, Scor, and Third Bridge, outside the submitted work. Other authors declare no

- competing interests. The authors alone are responsible for the views expressed in this Article and
 they do not necessarily represent the views, decisions, or policies of the institutions with which
 they are affiliated.
- 625

626 Corresponding author

627 Correspondence to Majid Ezzati (majid.ezzati@imperial.ac.uk)

Table 1. Contributions of rise in mean BMI in rural and urban populations and of

629 urbanisation (defined as an increase in the share of population who live in urban areas) to

rise in mean BMI from 1985 to 2017, by region.

Absolute					
(kg/m ²)	Percentage contribution	Absolute contribution (kg/m²)	Percentage contribution	Absolute contribution (kg/m²)	Percentage contribution
1.30 (0.96–1.64	4) 48 (41–54)	1.33 (1.02–1.65)	49 (44–54)	0.09 (0.06–0.12)	3 (2–5)
nen 1.96 (1.57–2.33	3) 59 (54–64)	1.31 (0.95–1.69)	39 (34–44)	0.06 (0.03-0.09)	2 (1-3)
1.99 (1.62-2.37	7) 67 (63–71)	0.66 (0.53-0.80)	22 (20-24)	0.33 (0.26–0.39)	11 (9–14)
nen 1.81 (1.36–2.26	5) 73 (67–80)	0.47 (0.32-0.64)	19 (16–22)	0.18 (0.10-0.26)	7 (4–11)
0.86 (0.63-1.09	9) 31 (26–37)	1.73 (1.31–2.16)	63 (58–67)	0.17 (0.13-0.20)	6 (5–8)
nen 1.29 (1.07–1.51) 38 (34–43)	2.01 (1.56-2.49)	60 (55–63)	0.06 (0.03-0.10)	2 (1-3)
2.24 (1.12-3.37	7) 90 (80–102)	0.24 (-0.03-0.51)	10 (-2-20)	0.00 (0.00-0.00)	0 (0–0)
nen 2.41 (0.89–3.98	3) 81 (69–90)	0.53 (0.18-0.89)	19 (10–31)	0.00 (0.00- 0.00)	0 (0–0)
1.99 (1.42-2.54	4) 86 (79–94)	0.20 (0.00-0.40)	8 (0–15)	0.12 (0.09–0.15)	5 (3-8)
nen 2.18 (1.46–2.87	7) 80 (73–87)	0.36 (0.13-0.60)	13 (6–19)	0.19 (0.16-0.23)	7 (5–11)
1.14 (0.64–1.63	3) 64 (53–73)	0.39 (0.22-0.55)	22 (15–28)	0.23 (0.19-0.27)	14 (10–21)
nen 1.37 (0.90–1.83	3) 57 (49–63)	0.58 (0.42-0.74)	24 (21–28)	0.45 (0.42-0.49)	19 (15–25)
lustrialised regions					
0.59 (0.35-0.82	2) 35 (26–44)	1.10 (0.70–1.50)	65 (57–73)	0.00 (-0.01-0.01)	0 (-1-1)
nen 0.14 (-0.19–0.4	5) *	0.13 (-0.45-0.69)	*	-0.02 (-0.03-0.00)	*
0.48 (0.37-0.59	9) 31 (25–37)	1.15 (0.84–1.46)	72 (68–75)	-0.04 (-0.08–0.00)	-2 (-6–0)
nen 0.12 (-0.01–0.2	7) *	-0.02 (-0.38-0.36)	*	-0.10 (-0.150.06)	*
0.58 (0.47-0.69	9) 24 (22–27)	1.80 (1.53–2.07)	76 (74–78)	-0.01 (-0.02–0.00)	0 (-1–0)
nen 0.39 (0.24–0.54	4) 21 (15–26)	1.44 (1.09–1.79)	79 (74–84)	0.00 (-0.02-0.01)	0 (-1–1)
1.24 (1.06–1.43	3) 57 (53–60)	0.65 (0.54-0.75)	30 (27–32)	0.30 (0.28–0.32)	14 (12–16)
nen 1.22 (1.01–1.43	3) 60 (56–64)	0.56 (0.44-0.69)	28 (24–31)	0.25 (0.23-0.27)	13 (11–15)
	(kg/m^2) 1.30 (0.96–1.64 nen 1.96 (1.57–2.33 1.99 (1.62–2.37 nen 1.81 (1.36–2.26 0.86 (0.63–1.09 nen 1.29 (1.07–1.51 2.24 (1.12–3.37 nen 2.41 (0.89–3.98 1.99 (1.42–2.54 nen 2.18 (1.46–2.87 1.14 (0.64–1.63 nen 1.37 (0.90–1.83 dustrialised regions 1.059 (0.35–0.82 nen 0.14 (-0.19–0.4 0.58 (0.47–0.69 nen 0.39 (0.24–0.54 1.24 (1.06–1.43 nen 1.22 (1.01–1.43)	(kg/m²) contribution a 1.30 (0.96–1.64) 48 (41–54) men 1.96 (1.57–2.33) 59 (54–64) a 1.99 (1.62–2.37) 67 (63–71) men 1.81 (1.36–2.26) 73 (67–80) a 0.86 (0.63–1.09) 31 (26–37) men 1.29 (1.07–1.51) 38 (34–43) a 2.24 (1.12–3.37) 90 (80–102) men 2.24 (1.12–3.37) 90 (80–102) men 2.41 (0.89–3.98) 81 (69–90) a 2.24 (1.42–2.54) 86 (79–94) men 2.18 (1.46–2.87) 80 (73–87) men 2.18 (1.46–2.87) 80 (73–87) men 1.37 (0.90–1.83) 57 (49–63) dustrialised regions 4 0.59 (0.35–0.82) 35 (26–44) men 0.14 (-0.19–0.45) * 4 0.48 (0.37–0.59) 31 (25–37) 1 men 0.12 (-0.01–0.27) * 4 1.24 (1.06–1.43) 57 (53–60) 1 men 0.39 (0.24–0.54) 21 (15–26)<	(kg/m²)contribution(kg/m²)1.30 (0.96-1.64)48 (41-54)1.33 (1.02-1.65)nen1.96 (1.57-2.33)59 (54-64)1.31 (0.95-1.69)1.99 (1.62-2.37)67 (63-71)0.66 (0.53-0.80)nen1.81 (1.36-2.26)73 (67-80)0.47 (0.32-0.64)1.086 (0.63-1.09)31 (26-37)1.73 (1.31-2.16)nen1.29 (1.07-1.51)38 (34-43)2.01 (1.56-2.49)1.224 (1.12-3.37)90 (80-102)0.24 (-0.03-0.51)nen2.41 (0.89-3.98)81 (69-90)0.53 (0.18-0.89)1.99 (1.42-2.54)86 (79-94)0.20 (0.00-0.40)nen2.18 (1.46-2.87)80 (73-87)0.36 (0.13-0.60)nen1.14 (0.64-1.63)64 (53-73)0.39 (0.22-0.55)nen1.37 (0.90-1.83)57 (49-63)0.58 (0.42-0.74)Hustrialised regions $-0.59 (0.35-0.82)$ 35 (26-44)1.10 (0.70-1.50)nen0.14 (-0.19-0.45)*0.13 (-0.45-0.69)1.048 (0.37-0.59)31 (25-37)1.15 (0.84-1.46)nen0.12 (-0.01-0.27)*-0.02 (-0.38-0.36)1.058 (0.47-0.69)24 (22-27)1.80 (1.53-2.07)nen0.39 (0.24-0.54)21 (15-26)1.44 (1.09-1.79)1.124 (1.06-1.43)57 (53-60)0.65 (0.54-0.75)nen1.22 (1.01-1.43)60 (56-64)0.56 (0.44-0.69)	(kg/m²)contribution(kg/m²)contribution(kg/m²)(kg/m²)contribution1.30 (0.96-1.64)48 (41-54)1.33 (1.02-1.65)49 (44-54)nen1.96 (1.57-2.33)59 (54-64)1.31 (0.95-1.69)39 (34-44)1.99 (1.62-2.37)67 (63-71)0.66 (0.53-0.80)22 (20-24)nen1.81 (1.36-2.26)73 (67-80)0.47 (0.32-0.64)19 (16-22)1.086 (0.63-1.09)31 (26-37)1.73 (1.31-2.16)63 (58-67)nen1.29 (1.07-1.51)38 (34-43)2.01 (1.56-2.49)60 (55-63)1.22 (1.07-1.51)38 (34-43)2.01 (1.56-2.49)60 (55-63)1.22 (1.02-3.37)90 (80-102)0.24 (-0.03-0.51)10 (-2-20)nen2.41 (0.89-3.98)81 (69-90)0.53 (0.18-0.89)19 (10-31)1.19 (1.42-2.54)86 (79-94)0.20 (0.00-0.40)8 (0-15)nen2.18 (1.46-2.87)80 (73-87)0.36 (0.13-0.60)13 (6-19)1.114 (0.64-1.63)64 (53-73)0.39 (0.22-0.55)22 (15-28)nen1.37 (0.90-1.83)57 (49-63)0.58 (0.42-0.74)24 (21-28)Hustrialised regions1.10 (0.70-1.50)65 (57-73)nen0.14 (-0.19-0.45)*0.13 (-0.45-0.69)*1.048 (0.37-0.59)31 (25-37)1.15 (0.84-1.46)72 (68-75)nen0.12 (-0.01-0.27)*-0.02 (-0.38-0.36)*1.058 (0.47-0.69)24 (22-27)1.80 (1.53-2.07)76 (74-78)nen0.39 (0.24-0.54)21 (15-26)1.44 (1.09-1.79)79 ((kg/m²)contribution(kg/m²)contribution(kg/m²)11.30 (0.96-1.64)48 (41-54)1.33 (1.02-1.65)49 (44-54)0.09 (0.06-0.12)nen1.96 (1.57-2.33)59 (54-64)1.31 (0.95-1.69)39 (34-44)0.06 (0.03-0.09)11.99 (1.62-2.37)67 (63-71)0.66 (0.53-0.80)22 (20-24)0.33 (0.26-0.39)nen1.81 (1.36-2.26)73 (67-80)0.47 (0.32-0.64)19 (16-22)0.18 (0.10-0.26)10.86 (0.63-1.09)31 (26-37)1.73 (1.31-2.16)63 (58-67)0.17 (0.13-0.20)nen1.29 (1.07-1.51)38 (34-43)2.01 (1.56-2.49)60 (55-63)0.06 (0.03-0.10)12.24 (1.12-3.37)90 (80-102)0.24 (-0.03-0.51)10 (-2-20)0.00 (0.00-0.00)nen2.41 (0.89-3.98)81 (69-90)0.53 (0.18-0.89)19 (10-31)0.00 (0.00-0.00)nen2.41 (0.89-3.98)81 (69-94)0.20 (0.00-0.40)8 (0-15)0.12 (0.09-0.15)nen2.18 (1.46-2.87)80 (73-87)0.36 (0.13-0.60)13 (6-19)0.19 (0.16-0.23)nen1.37 (0.90-1.83)57 (49-63)0.58 (0.42-0.74)24 (21-28)0.45 (0.42-0.49)Hustrialised regions10.03 (0.05-0.02)*-0.02 (-0.03-0.00)nen0.12 (-0.01-0.27)*-0.02 (-0.38-0.36)*-0.01 (-0.15-0.06)nen0.12 (-0.01-0.27)*-0.02 (-0.38-0.36)*-0.01 (-0.15-0.06)nen0.39 (0.22-0.54)21 (15-26)1.44 (1.09-1.79)79 (74-84)0.00 (-0.02-0

* Percentage contribution not reported because regional change in mean BMI, which appears in

the denominator of percentage contribution, was small ($<0.5 \text{ kg/m}^2$), leading to unstable estimates.

633 Urbanisation is defined as an increase in the share of population who live in urban areas.

634 Percentage contributions were calculated as detailed in Methods. The reported values are the

means and 95% credible intervals. The three percentages sum to 100%. When one component
causes an increase in BMI in a region and another does the opposite, the components can be
negative or greater than 100%.

- ⁶³⁸ Urban and rural mean body-mass index (BMI) and percentage of the population living in urban
- areas in 1985 and 2017, by region are provided in Extended Data Table 1.

Fig. 1. The difference between rural and urban age-standardised mean body-mass index (BMI) in women in 1985 and in 2017, by country. A positive number shows a higher urban mean BMI and a negative number a higher rural mean BMI. We did not estimate the difference between rural and urban areas for countries and territories where the entire population live in areas classified as urban (Singapore, Hong Kong, Bermuda and Nauru) or rural (Tokelau). See Extended Fig. 2 for mean BMI in national, rural and urban populations in 1985 and 2017. See Extended Fig. 647 6 for comparison of results between women and men.

Fig. 2 The difference between rural and urban age-standardised mean body-mass index (BMI) in men in 1985 and in 2017, by country. A positive number shows a higher urban mean BMI and a negative number a higher rural mean BMI. We did not estimate the difference between rural and urban areas for countries and territories where the entire population live in areas classified as urban (Singapore, Hong Kong, Bermuda and Nauru) or rural (Tokelau). See Extended Fig. 3 for mean BMI in national, rural and urban populations in 1985 and 2017. See Extended Fig. 6 for comparison of results between women and men.

- 656 Fig. 3 Trends in age-standardised mean body-mass index (BMI) of rural and urban place of
- 657 **residence, by region.** The lines show the posterior mean estimates and the shaded areas show the
- 658 95% credible intervals.

Extended Data Table 1. Age-standardised urban and rural mean body-mass index (BMI) and
 percentage of the population living in urban areas in 1985 and 2017, by region.

662 **Extended Data Table 2.** Results of model validation.

663 **Extended Data Fig. 1.** Number of data sources by country.

664 **Extended Data Fig. 2.** Age-standardised national, rural and urban mean body-mass index (BMI)

in women aged 18 years and older in 1985 and 2017, by country. The numerical values areprovided in Supplementary Table 3.

Extended Data Fig. 3. Age-standardised national, rural and urban mean body-mass index (BMI)
in men aged 18 years and older in 1985 and 2017, by country. The numerical values are provided
in Supplementary Table 3.

670 Extended Data Fig. 4. The difference between rural and urban mean age-standardised body-

mass index (BMI) in 1985 versus in 2017. Each point shows one country. A positive number shows a higher urban mean BMI and a negative number a higher rural mean BMI. (A) countries with an urban excess BMI that increased from 1985 to 2017. (B) countries with an urban excess BMI that declined from 1985 to 2017. (C) countries with an urban excess BMI in 1985 that reversed to an excess rural BMI in 2017. (D) countries with a rural excess BMI that increased from 1985 to 2017. (F) countries with a rural excess BMI that increased from 1985 to 2017. (F) countries with a rural excess BMI in 1985 that reversed to an urban excess BMI in 2017.

Extended Data Fig. 5 The relationship between mean BMI and prevalence of overweight (BMI $\geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$). Prevalence is plotted on a probit scale because which changes in an approximately linear manner as mean changes. Each point represents an age-group-and-sex-specific mean, stratified by place of residence as described in Methods and with more than 25 participants, from data sources in the NCD-RisC database. Extended Data Fig. 6. Comparison of the difference between rural and urban age-standardised
 mean body-mass index (BMI) in women and men aged 18 years and older in 1985 and 2017. Each
 point shows one country.