

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Is the protective effect of egg yolk against osmotic and cryogenic damage on dog spermatozoa dose-dependent?

This is a pre print version of the following article:

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1726631> since 2022-08-03T10:02:55Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1016/j.anireprosci.2019.106259

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

1 **Is the protective effect of egg yolk against osmotic and cryogenic damage on canine**
2 **spermatozoa dose-dependent?**

3
4 Alessia Gloria^a, Daniele Zambelli^b, Augusto Carluccio^a, Marco Cunto^b, Patrizia Ponzio^c, Alberto
5 Contri^{d*}

6
7 ^a*Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Teramo, Loc. Piano d'Accio, 64100 Teramo, Italy*

8 ^b*Department of Veterinary Medical Sciences, Alma Mater Studiorum - University of Bologna, via*
9 *Tolara di Sopra 50, 40064 Ozzano dell'Emilia, Bologna, Italy*

10 ^c*Department of Veterinary Sciences, University of Turin, largo Braccini 2, 10095 Grugliasco,*
11 *Turin, Italy*

12 ^d*Faculty of Biosciences and Technologies for Agriculture Food and Environment, University of*
13 *Teramo, via Balzarini 1, 64100 Teramo, Italy*

14
15 *Corresponding author: Alberto Contri, Faculty of Biosciences and Technologies for Agriculture
16 Food and Environment, University of Teramo, via Balzarini 1, 64100 Teramo, Italy. Phone/fax: +39
17 0861 266995.

18 E-mail address: acontri@unite.it

19
20

21 **ABSTRACT**

22 Egg yolk (EY) is conventionally used to reduce sperm cryodamage, but a dose-dependent effect has
23 not been previously tested. To improve the knowledge about the protective effect of EY during
24 cryopreservation of canine semen, a specific study has been designed to evaluate the dose-dependent
25 protection of the EY against osmotic and cryogenic damage in sperm collected from 21 healthy dogs.
26 In the first experiment, extended sperm at increasing EY concentrations (0%, 5%, 10%, and 20%)
27 were diluted with hypo- or hyperosmotic solutions (final osmolality of 75 mOsm/kg, 150 mOsm/kg,
28 300 mOsm/kg, 500 mOsm/kg, 1000 mOsm/kg). Sperm kinetics, membrane integrity (MI),
29 mitochondrial activity, and normal morphology showed that osmotic stress impacts especially on the
30 kinetic ability of spermatozoa but without direct effects on mitochondrial activity. In both hypo- and
31 hyperosmotic conditions, EY was found to exert a protective effect regardless of its concentration. In
32 the second experiment, semen samples were diluted in extenders at increasing EY concentrations
33 (0%, 5%, 10%, and 20%) and cryopreserved. Sperm kinetics, membrane and acrosome integrity and
34 mitochondrial membrane potential showed better results when EY concentration was 5% and 10%,
35 and lower when it was 20%. These results suggest, for the first time, that EY reduces osmotic and
36 cryogenic damage when used at 5% or 10%, and that these concentrations protect canine spermatozoa
37 more effectively than the conventional used (20%).

38

39

40 **Keywords:** Canine; Egg yolk; Cryopreservation; Sperm kinetics

41

42 **1. Introduction**

43 Semen cryopreservation allows long-term storage of viable and function spermatozoa (Leroy
44 et al., 2011). This technology shows several advantages along the decades since semen can be stored
45 for an undefined time, moved at great distances, or can also be used when the female is in oestrous,
46 avoiding the contextual presence of the male (Thomassen and Farstad, 2009).

47 On the other hand, cryopreservation exerts a relevant detrimental effect on mammalian sperm
48 viability and fertilizing ability. A reduction in progressive motility (Jones and Stewart, 1979),
49 alterations of membrane permeability and stability (Holt and North, 1986; Watson, 2000), and an
50 increase in the radical species of oxygen (ROS) generation (Alvarez and Storey, 1992; Chatterjee and
51 Gagnon, 2001) have been reported in cryopreserved mammalian spermatozoa. To reduce the impact
52 of extremely low temperatures on spermatozoa, extenders with specific composition were developed.
53 Among the different components of the freezing extender, egg yolk (EY) appears to be an
54 unavoidable ingredient. Previous studies showed that the use of EY in the freezing medium reduces
55 cellular damage (De Leeuw et al., 1993; Pace and Graham, 1974; Phillips and Lardy, 1940). Although
56 the protective role of the EY was demonstrated, the underline mechanism of action appeared
57 evanescent. Most studies suggested that the protective ability of EY is related to the content of low
58 density lipoproteins (LDL) (Bencharif et al., 2010; Moussa et al., 2002; Pace and Graham, 1974). It
59 was proposed that these components adhere to and interact with the sperm membrane (Bergeron et
60 al., 2004; Foulkes, 1977; Graham and Foote, 1987; Manjunath et al., 2002). On the other hand, some
61 studies evidenced that respiration of spermatozoa can be inhibited by some EY components, and this
62 could affect sperm motility (Pace and Graham, 1974; Wall and Foote, 1999).

63 Traditionally, while EY is added at the dog freezing extender at 20% (Anderson, 1972; Peña
64 et al., 1998; Silva et al., 2002), few studies have addressed whether the cryoprotective effect of this
65 compound is dose-dependent. In other species, such as the stallion, EY is effectively used for semen
66 cryopreservation at 2%, without a reduction of semen quality and fertility (Pillet et al., 2008).

67 The damage induced by cryopreservation on spermatozoa is multimodal since several papers
68 evidenced a combination of cold shock (Amann and Pickett, 1987), peroxidation (Slaweta et al.,
69 1988), and osmotic stress (Watson, 2000). As the temperature reduces subzero, water forms ice
70 crystals first in the extracellular compartment, with an increase of the solute concentration in the free
71 uncrystallised water outside the cell, inducing hyperosmotic stress (Sieme et al., 2016). On the
72 contrary, during thawing, the ice crystals melt in the free water that enters the plasma membrane, thus
73 sperm experience hypo-osmotic stress.

74 Although Foulkes (Foulkes, 1977) suggested that the protective effect of the egg yolk during
75 cryopreservation could contribute to the colloid pressure maintenance of the external medium, few
76 studies focused the attention on the role of EY as a protective compound against the osmotic stress.
77 Despite the crucial role and wide use of egg yolk for sperm cryopreservation in dogs, surprisingly
78 few trials have been conducted to clarify the dose-dependent protective effect of this component.
79 Furthermore, a relevant part of the damage during cryopreservation could be attributed to the osmotic
80 stress, but few studies focused on the protective role of the egg yolk against osmotic stress in canine
81 spermatozoa. Thus, to increase the knowledge of the biology and manipulation of reproduction in
82 dogs, this study was designed to verify, for the first time, the protective effect of egg yolk at different
83 concentrations (0%, 5%, 10%, and 20%) on canine spermatozoa in different anisosmotic conditions.
84 Moreover, the aim of the present study was the evaluation of the cryoprotective effect of egg yolk,
85 added at the same concentrations (0%, 5%, 10%, and 20%) to the freezing medium, for canine sperm
86 cryopreservation.

87

88 **2. Materials and Methods**

89 *2.1. Animals and semen collection*

90 The study involved 21 healthy dogs of known fertility aged between 2 and 6 years. The breed
91 represented were: Newfoundland ($n = 6$), Pitbull ($n = 5$), American Staffordshire ($n = 5$), and Labrador
92 retriever ($n = 5$). All dogs were presented for routine reproductive examination at the Hospital of the

93 University of Veterinary Medicine of Teramo, Italy. For all the dogs included in the study, the consent
94 was obtained by the owner for the use of part of the semen sample of their dogs. Animals were
95 managed in agreement with the Italian legislation concerning animal care (DL n.116, 27/01/1992).

96 Semen collection was performed by the digital manipulation from the same person to avoid
97 any effects on sperm quality. Only the sperm-rich fraction was evaluated and used for experiments.
98 From each animal two ejaculates were collected, for a total of 42 samples. Only ejaculates with
99 motility > 70% and concentration > 200 x 10⁶ sperm/mL were included in the trials.

100

101 *2.2. Experimental designs*

102 *2.2.1. Experiment 1. Protective effect of egg yolk on canine sperm during osmotic stress*

103 In this study a hyperosmotic solution based on TRIS formula (hyper-TRIS) was prepared
104 using 119.8 g/L TRIS, 67.32 g/L citric acid and 12.375 g/L glucose (pH 6.9; mOsm/kg 1218). This
105 solution was diluted with bi-distilled sterile water to achieve isosmotic TRIS (iTRIS, pH, 6.8, Osm
106 302 mOsm/kg). Semen samples were split in four aliquots and diluted at 240 x 10⁶ sperm/mL in
107 iTRIS, then each sample was diluted 1:1 with 40% EY (final concentration 20% EY - EY20), 20%
108 EY (final concentration 10% - EY10), 10% EY (final concentration 5% EY - EY5), and 0% EY (EY0
109 – as control). The 40% EY, 20% EY, and 10% EY extenders showed an osmolality of 1864 mOsm/kg,
110 1839 mOsm/kg, and 1821 mOsm/kg, respectively. Each treatment (EY20, EY10, EY5, and EY0) was
111 in turn divided into five aliquots, in duplicate. To evaluate the protective effect of different EY
112 concentrations, each treatment was then diluted with a combination of hyper-TRIS and bi-distilled
113 water to a final osmolality of 75 mOsm/kg, 150 mOsm/kg, 300 mOsm/kg, 500 mOsm/kg, 1000
114 mOsm/kg. The final sperm concentration was 40 x 10⁶ sperm/mL. Part of the first series, immediately
115 after dilution, was used for objective motility evaluation by CASA system as described below. The
116 evaluation was performed at T0, after 20 min of dilution (T20) and after 45 min of dilution (T45),
117 following the procedure described below for the kinetic evaluation. The remaining sample was used
118 for morphology assessment by phase contrast microscopy, as described below.

119 The second series was split into two aliquots and used for flow cytometry evaluation of MI
120 (first aliquot) and mitochondrial potential (second aliquot), as described below. The evaluation was
121 performed after 20 min (T20) and after 45 min (T45) after staining, described as below.

122

123 *2.2.2. Experiment 2. Protective effect of egg yolk during cryopreservation*

124 Semen samples were diluted 1:1 (v:v) with iTRIS (pH, 6.7, Osm 304 mOsm/kg), centrifuged
125 for 10 min at 700 X g and resuspended in iTRIS at the concentration of 300×10^6 sperm/mL. The
126 semen was then diluted 1:1 (v:v) with iTRIS supplemented with 8% glycerol (final concentration 4%)
127 (Peña et al., 1998) and 40% EY (final concentration 20% EY - EY20), 20% EY (final concentration
128 10% - EY10), 10% EY (final concentration 5% EY - EY5), and 0% EY (EY0 – as control). The
129 samples were then cooled and equilibrated at 4°C for 2h in a passive refrigerator, packaged in 0.25
130 straws (IMV Technologies, L'Aigle, France) and sealed mechanically. Straws were suspended 4 cm
131 above the liquid nitrogen surface for 10 min, plugged into liquid nitrogen (Anderson, 1972), and
132 stored for at least 5 days. Samples were evaluated for sperm objective motility, MI, acrosome
133 integrity, and mitochondrial potential at the end of equilibration (EQ) and after thawing (FT). To this,
134 straws were plunged into the water bath at 37°C for 30 seconds (Bencharif et al., 2008), the sample
135 was then transferred to a 2-ml plastic tube and additionally incubated at 37°C to reach 5 minutes of
136 total incubation.

137

138 *2.3. Semen evaluation*

139 *2.3.1. Semen concentration*

140 Fresh semen was evaluated within 10 min after collection. Sperm concentration was
141 determined using a Bürker counting chamber (Merck, Leuven, Belgium) after dilution 1:1000 with a
142 formol-saline solution.

143

144 *2.3.2. Sperm kinetic*

145 The kinetic evaluation was performed using the computer-assisted sperm analyzer (CASA)
146 system IVOS 12.3 (Hamilton Thorne Biosciences, Beverly, MA, USA) for objective evaluation of
147 motility following the guidelines for CASA use (Iguer-ouada and Verstegen, 2001; Rijsselaere et al.,
148 2003). The correct identification of spermatozoa was obtained using the playback function and
149 adjusting the detection gates. Samples from the experiment 1 were analysed without further dilution,
150 while frozen/thawed samples were diluted with relevant extender at 40×10^6 sperm/mL. An aliquot of
151 each sample was rewarmed at 37°C for 5 min and a 5- μ L drop was loaded onto a Makler chamber
152 (Sefi Medical Instruments, Haifa, Israel). Motility parameters were collected and recorded by the
153 analysis of 12 nonconsecutive fields. The anti-collision algorithm was activated. Motility parameters
154 considered included total motility (TM; %), progressive motility (PM; %), average path velocity
155 (VAP; μ m/s), straight line velocity (VSL; μ m/s), curvilinear velocity (VCL; μ m/s), amplitude of
156 lateral head displacement (ALH; μ m), beat cross frequency (BCF; Hz), straightness (STR, as
157 VSL/VAP; %), and linearity (LIN, as VSL/VCL; %). Spermatozoa with VAP ≥ 80 μ m/s and STR
158 $\geq 75\%$ were considered to be progressive cells.

159

160 2.3.3. Sperm membrane and acrosome integrity

161 In Experiment 1, MI in the different osmotic conditions was evaluated by the use of Propidium
162 Iodide (PI) exclusion test, as previously validated (Ball and Vo, 2001) with some modifications.
163 Semen was diluted at 10×10^6 sperm/mL with the relevant extender, and aliquots (500 μ L) were
164 incubated with PI at the final concentration of 12 μ M for 5 min at 22°C and then were analysed using
165 the flow cytometer EPICS XL (Beckman Coulter, San Jose, CA, USA). Acquisitions were conducted
166 using the System II software (Beckman Coulter, USA). The sperm population was selected on the
167 basis of the forward-scatter and side-scatter, and a gate was created on these parameters. Samples
168 were excited with a 20-mW argon ion 488-nm laser, and PI fluorescence was obtained using the FL3
169 sensor through a 660/20 nm long pass filter. Forward and side-scatter values were recorded on a linear
170 scale and fluorescence values on a logarithmic scale. Flow cytometric analysis was performed at a

171 flow rate of 6 to 24 $\mu\text{L}/\text{min}$, and acquisitions were stopped at 30,000 events. Events with red
172 fluorescence were considered sperm with membrane damage, those without fluorescence were
173 considered spermatozoa with MI.

174 In Experiment 2, sperm MI and acrosome integrity were evaluated simultaneously by flow
175 cytometry, as previously described (Gloria et al., 2018). Briefly, samples at 10×10^6 sperm/mL (1
176 mL) were stained with 2.4 μM of PI and 5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ of FITC-conjugated agglutinin derived from *Pisum*
177 *sativum* (FITC-PSA). After 10 min of dark incubation at 22°C , each sample was analysed using a
178 flow cytometer (EPICS XL). FITC-PSA fluorescence was collected through FL1 sensor using a
179 530/28 nm band-pass, while PI fluorescence was obtained using the FL3 sensor through a 660/20 nm
180 long pass filter. Compensation was used between the fluorescent channels. The sperm population was
181 selected on the basis of the forward-scatter and side-scatter, and a gate was created on these
182 parameters. Forward and side-scatter values were recorded on a linear scale and fluorescence values
183 on a logarithmic scale. Flow cytometric analysis was performed at a flow rate of 6 to 24 $\mu\text{L}/\text{min}$, and
184 acquisitions were stopped at 30,000 events. Combination of these two fluorochromes resulted in four
185 different subpopulations: sperm with MI and acrosome integrity showing no fluorescence (PI-/PSA-
186); sperm with MI and an acrosome reaction (PI-/PSA+); sperm with a damaged membrane and
187 acrosome integrity (PI+/PSA-); and sperm with a damaged membrane and a reacted acrosome
188 (PI+/PSA+).

189

190 2.3.4. Mitochondrial membrane potential assay

191 Mitochondrial membrane potential (MMP) of spermatozoa was evaluated using the
192 fluorescent stain 5,5,6,6-tetrachloro-1,1,3,3-tetraethyl-benzimidazole carbocyanine chloride (JC-1)
193 as reported by Gloria et al. (Gloria et al., 2018). The sperm suspension was adjusted to a concentration
194 of 5×10^6 sperm/mL and incubated for 45 min at 37°C in the dark with JC-1 (final stain concentration
195 8 μM). At the end of the incubation period, cells were washed in the same medium without stain and
196 evaluated using the flow cytometer EPICS XL (Beckman Coulter) equipped with the System II

197 software (Beckman Coulter) as previously reported (Garner and Thomas, 1999). The sperm
198 population was selected on the basis of the forward-scatter and side-scatter, and a gate was created
199 on these parameters. The green fluorescent emissions of the monomeric form of JC-1 (mitochondria
200 with low potential - LMMP) were collected by a 530 ± 15 - nm filter (FL 1), and the orange emission
201 of the polymeric form of JC-1 (mitochondria with high membrane potential - HMMP) by a 585 ± 21
202 - nm filter (FL 2). The flow cytometric analysis was performed at a flow rate of 8 to 30 $\mu\text{L}/\text{min}$, and
203 the acquisitions were stopped at 30,000 events. No compensation was used between the fluorescent
204 channels.

205

206 *2.3.5. Sperm morphology*

207 Sperm morphology was evaluated using a phase contrast microscope (BX-51 - Olympus Italia,
208 Milan, Italy) at 1000 X magnification. Spermatozoa at different osmolalities were immobilized by
209 the addition of 3% glutaraldehyde (Hancock, 1957), a drop (6 μl) was dispensed on a slide a covered
210 by a 22 x 22 mm coverslip. Spermatozoa were then classified as normal sperm, sperm with abnormal
211 head, sperm with abnormal midpiece, and sperm with abnormal tail, that were in turn subdivided in
212 sperm with complete coiling (more than the 50% of the tail length was involved in the
213 twisting/coiling), sperm with partial coiling (the twisting/coiling involved the distal part of the tail),
214 and sperm with other tail abnormalities. Sperm abnormalities were computed on at least 400
215 spermatozoa.

216

217 *2.4. Statistical analysis*

218 Data are presented as mean \pm standard error of the mean (SEM). The data were evaluated with
219 Shapiro-Wilk test (normal distribution) and Levene test (homogeneity of variances). When data were
220 not normally distributed, a log transformation was performed before data analysis.

221 In Experiment 1, the effect of the concentration of EY on the different sperm parameters
222 (kinetic parameters, MI, mitochondrial membrane potential, morphological subclasses) was tested

223 using a general linear model (GLM) based on Univariate ANOVA. The dog was included as a random
224 factor. Post-hoc evaluation was performed using the Scheffé's test.

225 In Experiment 2, the cryoprotective effects of the different concentrations of EY, in terms of
226 kinetic parameters, membrane and acrosome integrity, and mitochondrial membrane potential were
227 tested using a GLM based on Univariate ANOVA, with Scheffé's test for the post-hoc evaluation.
228 The dog was included as a random factor.

229 In Experiment 1, correlations between total and progressive motility, MI, and mitochondrial
230 membrane potential were performed using Pearson's correlation coefficient.

231 For both the experiments, differences were considered significant when $P < 0.05$. Statistical
232 analyses were performed using SPSS 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

233

234 **3. Results**

235 *3.1. Experiment 1*

236 In this study, the protective effect of EY against anisosmotic stress was tested in canine
237 spermatozoa. Data showed that EY exerted a protective effect, irrespective of the concentration used.

238 In samples diluted in iTRIS without EY at T0, the kinetic parameters were similar to those
239 recorded using EY, with the exception of ALH, which was significantly lower in samples with EY,
240 irrespective the concentration, compared with those without EY ($P < 0.05$) (Table 1). However, in
241 these samples, kinetic parameters dropped in both hypo-osmotic and hyperosmotic conditions. At 75
242 mOsm/kg and at 1000 mOsm/kg no spermatozoa moved, while at 150 mOsm/kg and at 500 mOsm/kg
243 the proportion of sperm with total motility was below 10% in all the cases. In samples diluted with
244 5% EY, kinetic parameters recorded at 150 mOsm/kg were significantly lower than those observed
245 at 300 mOsm/kg ($P < 0.01$). Values at 500 mOsm/kg were similar to those recorded in the control for
246 total motility (Table 1). However, mild hyperosmotic condition seemed to reduce kinetic parameters,
247 since spermatozoa that move showed lower velocities (VAP, VSL, and VCL) ($P < 0.05$) and
248 progressiveness (STR, LIN) ($P < 0.05$), with an increase in the amplitude of the movement (ALH) (P

249 < 0.05), resulting in a reduced proportion of sperm with progressive motility ($P < 0.05$). Kinetic
250 parameters were related to the presence of EY, but were not affected by the concentration of this
251 component, since the values recorded with 5%, 10%, and 20% of EY were similar (Table 1). The
252 time seemed to exert a negligible effect on sperm kinetic. Values recorded after 20 min (data not
253 shown) and 45 min (Table 2) were similar to those recorded soon after dilution, with a relevant impact
254 of anisotonic conditions on samples without EY and on the sample in hypo-tonic media.

255 Membrane integrity and mitochondrial membrane potential were evaluated at 20 and 45 min
256 after dilution, due to the time required for the incubation of the stain. At T20, MI in EY 0% samples
257 was higher at 300 mOsm/kg, while it was significantly lower at 75 mOsm/kg (Table 3). Similarly, as
258 osmolality increased, MI decreased ($P < 0.05$) (Table 3). Differently, in the presence of EY, MI was
259 similar at all osmolarities, regardless of EY concentration (Table 3). A similar trend was found for
260 HMMP in samples without EY compared with those with 5%, 10% and 20% EY (Table 3). In general,
261 LMMP showed lower values in samples with a high percentage of sperm with HMMP, and higher
262 values in samples with a low percentage of sperm with HMMP. Samples diluted in the extender
263 without EY at 1000 mOsm/kg made an exception since the percentage of sperm with HMMP and
264 with LMMP was in both the cases low (Table 3). Values for MI, HMMP, and LMMP at 45 min of
265 incubation showed similar values compared with those recorded at the correspondent EY
266 concentration and osmolality. Acrosome integrity showed similar values during the experiment and
267 appeared less affected by both EY concentration and osmolality (data not shown).

268 In samples with 0% EY, significant correlations were found between sperm TM, and MI ($r =$
269 $0.896, P < 0.01$), TM and HMMP ($r = 0.824, P < 0.01$), and MI and HMMP ($r = 0.792, P < 0.01$) at
270 300 mOsm/kg, while in anisotonic conditions MI was correlated with HMMP ($r = 0.786, P < 0.01$),
271 but not with TM ($r = 0.318, P > 0.05$). In samples containing 5%, 10%, and 20% EY, significant
272 correlations were found at 300 mOsm/kg between TM and MI ($r = 0.916, P < 0.01$; $r = 0.934, P <$
273 0.01 ; $r = 0.928, P < 0.01$, respectively), TM and HMMP ($r = 0.874, P < 0.01$; $r = 0.836, P < 0.01$; r
274 $= 0.792, P < 0.01$, respectively), and MI and HMMP ($r = 0.816, P < 0.01$; $r = 0.842, P < 0.01$; $r =$

275 0.758, $P < 0.01$). Similarly, in all the samples with EY significant correlations were found at 500
276 mOsm/kg TM and MI ($r = 0.826$, $P < 0.01$ for 5% EY; $r = 0.842$, $P < 0.01$ for 10% EY; $r = 0.816$, P
277 < 0.01 for 20% EY), TM and HMMP ($r = 0.682$, $P < 0.01$ for 5% EY; $r = 0.648$, $P < 0.01$ for 10%
278 EY; $r = 0.586$, $P < 0.05$ for 20% EY), and MI and HMMP ($r = 0.798$, $P < 0.01$ for 5% EY; $r = 0.816$,
279 $P < 0.01$ for 10% EY; $r = 0.786$, $P < 0.01$ for 20% EY). On the other hand, at 75 mOsm/kg, 150
280 mOsm/kg, and 1000 mOsm/kg MI was significantly correlated with HMMP ($r = 0.638$, $P < 0.05$; $r =$
281 0.682 , $P < 0.05$; $r = 0.568$, $P < 0.05$, respectively in 5% EY samples; $r = 0.672$, $P < 0.05$; $r = 0.684$,
282 $P < 0.05$; $r = 0.548$, $P < 0.05$, respectively in 10% EY samples; and $r = 0.626$, $P < 0.05$; $r = 0.584$, P
283 < 0.05 ; $r = 0.526$, $P < 0.05$, respectively in 20% EY samples) but not with TM.

284 Sperm abnormal head and midpiece were not affected by the osmolality of the medium, or by
285 EY concentration (Table 4, $P > 0.05$). A significant effect was found for the dog in the model ($P <$
286 0.05).

287 As expected, hyperosmotic conditions marginally affected sperm tail morphology. Soon after
288 dilution (T0), morphological subclasses recorded at 500 mOsm/kg and 1000 mOsm/kg in samples
289 were similar to those recorded at 300 mOsm/kg ($P > 0.05$). Moreover, spermatozoa in the
290 hypoosmotic condition showed a typical twisting/coiling of the tail. The percentage of spermatozoa
291 with a tail response was similar at both 75 and 150 mOsm/kg, however, the percentage of sperm with
292 complete tail coiling was significantly higher at 75 mOsm/kg compared with 150 mOsm/kg ($P <$
293 0.05) that was, in turn, higher than the iso-osmotic samples ($P < 0.01$). On the other hand, the
294 percentage of spermatozoa with partial coiling higher at 150 mOsm/kg compared with 75 mOsm/kg
295 ($P < 0.05$) (Figure 1). The presence of EY seemed to exert a partial protective effect against the hypo-
296 osmotic stress since the percentage of complete coiling was significantly lower in samples extended
297 with 5%, 10%, and 20% EY compared with samples without EY at both 75 mOsm/kg and 150
298 mOsm/kg ($P < 0.05$). However, no differences ($P > 0.05$) in the proportion of the morphological tail
299 subclasses were found in samples diluted with EY5, EY10, and EY20 (data not shown).

300 The effect of the time on the sperm morphological subclasses was not found, since the
301 subclasses were similar soon after the dilution (T0), at T20, and T45 (data not shown).

302

303 3.2. Experiment 2

304 In Experiment 2, the dose-dependent cryoprotective nature of EY was tested. In the samples,
305 soon after dilution, EY seemed to exert an effect on the velocity of canine sperm, since progressive
306 motility, VAP, VSL, VCL, STR, and LIN were all higher in samples diluted with 5% EY and 10%
307 EY compared with samples without EY ($P < 0.05$). On the other hand, samples diluted with 20% EY
308 showed values similar to those reported for 0% EY, and lower ($P < 0.05$) compared with 5% EY and
309 10% EY (Table 5). Total motility, ALH, and BCF seemed slightly affected by EY concentration,
310 since values were similar for all treatments ($P > 0.05$). Membrane and acrosome integrity, such as
311 sperm with HMMP, were similar in samples without EY and 5%, 10%, and 20% EY (Table 5).

312 During equilibration, the values recorded for semen samples diluted with different EY
313 concentration showed similar values compared to those recorded at the corresponding samples soon
314 after dilution ($P > 0.05$). Cryopreservation reduced all sperm parameters but the impact on
315 spermatozoa seemed to be related to EY concentration. As shown in Table 6, samples in extender
316 without EY reduced significantly the kinetic parameters, MI, and HMMP compared with samples in
317 extender with EY 5% and EY 10% ($P < 0.05$). Unexpectedly, in samples diluted with EY 20%, total
318 and progressive motilities were lower compared with the values of EY 5% and 10% ($P < 0.05$), even
319 if the values were significantly higher compared with EY 0% ($P < 0.05$). The other kinetic parameters
320 recorded in 20% EY samples seemed similar to those of EY 5% and EY 10%. Although PI+/PSA-
321 and PI+/PSA+ subpopulations were not significantly different between samples at EY 20% compared
322 with EY 5% and EY 10% ($P > 0.05$) (Table 6) the total amount of sperm with membrane damage
323 (PI+/PSA- plus PI+/PSA+) was significantly higher in samples at EY 20% compared with EY 5%
324 and EY 10% ($P < 0.05$).

325

326 **4. Discussion**

327 The data reported in the present study suggested that EY acts preserving spermatozoa against
328 osmotic stress. Spermatozoa are able to adapt to the solute concentration in the medium through the
329 passage of water across the plasma membrane and the modification of the cytoskeleton (Correa et al.,
330 2007). In a simple salt solution, spermatozoa respond to anisosmotic conditions as linear osmometers,
331 since a correlation was found between bovine sperm volume and medium osmolality (Guthrie et al.,
332 2002). Several studies reported the osmotic tolerance limit in spermatozoa of different domestic
333 animals, including bull (Guthrie et al., 2002; Liu and Foote, 1998), horse (Ball and Vo, 2001; Glazar
334 et al., 2009), boar (Gilmore et al., 1998), ram (Curry and Watson, 1994). Although specific studies
335 on the osmotic tolerance limit in canine spermatozoa lack in literature, canine spermatozoa were
336 likely to have similar behaviour. The definition of the osmotic tolerance limit was not the primary
337 aim of this study; however, our data in medium without EY corroborated this hypothesis.

338 On the other hand, all the previous studies on the osmotic stress response of spermatozoa did
339 not verify the response of sperm in a complex medium supplemented with colloidal components, that
340 could modulate the cellular adaptation. In dogs, the addition of EY seemed to protect cellular
341 structures involved in the regulation of sperm motility at 500 mOsm/kg, even if a general reduction
342 in the progressiveness was present. Similarly, the protective role of EY was more evident on sperm
343 MI. In samples diluted with EY 5%, EY 10%, and EY 20%, percentages of sperm MI at 150
344 mOsm/kg, and at 500 and 1000 mOsm/kg were similar compared to the isosmotic condition.
345 Although the protective role of EY in anisosmotic conditions seemed to be clear, our data suggested
346 that this action was neither dose-dependent, nor time-dependent, as demonstrated by the similar
347 values recorded for all the seminal parameters with EY 5%, EY 10%, and EY 20% at all incubation
348 times.

349 The wide discrepancy between sperm motility and MI, as underlined by the lack of
350 correlations between these parameters, in anisosmotic conditions suggests that the sperm function
351 could be lost before the integrity of the plasmalemma. Our data confirmed previous studies in the

352 bull, in which the proportion of total motile spermatozoa at 100 and 150 mOsm/kg was lower
353 compared to the MI found in the same conditions, but both parameters were lower than those
354 measured in near-isosmotic conditions. In hyperosmotic conditions, sperm motility was significantly
355 low, whereas sperm MI was similar to the values recorded from 300 mOsm/kg to 936 mOsm/kg (Liu
356 and Foote, 1998). A similar trend was also reported in other studies on human and ram spermatozoa
357 (Curry and Watson, 1994; Gao et al., 1995).

358 However, differently to what previously hypothesized (Liu and Foote, 1998), the mechanism
359 of the kinetic loss was not related to the mitochondrial dysfunction since the values seemed to follow
360 MI. This suggested that the reduced kinetic ability of spermatozoa during osmotic stress could be
361 marginally due to the dysfunction of the metabolic activity of mitochondria. Thus, the suppressive
362 effect of the hyperosmotic conditions on kinetic sperm requires a different mechanism, likely at the
363 cytoskeletal level. Correa et al. (Correa et al., 2007) reported a reorganization of the cytoskeletal actin
364 in hyperosmotic condition, responsible for the decreased motility recorded in that conditions.
365 However, specific studies should be designed to verify this second hypothesis.

366 In a previous study, it was suggested that the response of sperm to the anisosmotic condition
367 could not only be related to plasma MI but also to the membrane permeability to ions and to the
368 cytoskeletal integrity (Petrunikina et al., 2004). Our data seemed to corroborate these data since the
369 different degrees of curling/twisting in sperm incubated in hypo-osmotic conditions recorded without
370 EY could suggest a different response of spermatozoa at the structural level. The ability of canine
371 spermatozoa to modify their tail morphology in hypo-osmotic condition was reported by Kumi-Diaka
372 (1993). Unfortunately, in that study the degree of sperm response to the hypo-osmotic stress was not
373 reported; thus, it was not possible to compare the data directly. However, in contrast with findings by
374 Kumi-Diaka (1993), the number of sperm that curled was similar soon after dilution, at 20 min and
375 after 45 min of incubation. Differently to those findings, in our study, the osmotic adaptation onset
376 closely to the induction of osmotic stress and remained at the same level during incubation. The
377 timing of sperm response to the osmotic stress recorded in our study was similar to that previously

378 reported (Pinto and Kozink, 2008), in which no difference in the percentage of sperm with curled tail
379 was found at 1 or 60 min of incubation.

380 Data reported for the protective effect of EY against osmotic stress could suggest a role during
381 cryopreservation since sperm survival after freezing-thawing procedures seemed to be related to the
382 sperm ability to undergo cell volume regulation (Petrunkina et al., 2004).

383 As expected, the addition of EY to the medium resulted in a protective effect during
384 cryopreservation, as reported in most studies in the cryopreservation of dog semen (Silva et al., 2002).
385 Although the usual concentration of EY used in the canine semen extender was 20% (Anderson,
386 1972; Peña et al., 1998; Silva et al., 2002), our study demonstrated that the concentration of EY
387 seemed to be less relevant. In samples without EY, we found lower percentages of motile and
388 membrane intact spermatozoa, similarly to the data reported in a previous study (Silva et al., 2002).
389 However, an improvement in sperm characteristics was found starting from EY 5%.

390 Unexpectedly, the total amount of motile and progressive sperm was slightly lower in samples
391 diluted in extender with EY 20% compared with 5% and 10% EY. This finding suggests that higher
392 EY concentration could be detrimental for canine frozen spermatozoa, as previously proposed in other
393 species (Amirat et al., 2004; Moussa et al., 2002). To the authors' knowledge, no study compared
394 different EY concentrations on the same sample during canine semen cryopreservation, thus the
395 superior protective effect of the EY at 20% was never clearly demonstrated.

396 The data reported in our study demonstrated that canine spermatozoa could be successfully
397 frozen with lower concentrations of EY. This finding was in agreement with other species, in which
398 EY concentration in the extender is 2% (Pillet et al., 2008). The optimal concentration of low density
399 lipoproteins (LDL), which are the active fraction of EY, could be species-specific. In the bull, Moussa
400 et al. (Moussa et al., 2002) found similar post-thaw sperm kinetics by the use of EY 20% and 2.5%
401 LDL, while the values were significantly higher when 5% to 10% LDL was added to the extender.
402 With a high concentration of LDL (15% and 20%), post-thaw sperm motility reduced. In the dog,
403 Bencharif et al. (2008) found higher values in the cryopreserved spermatozoa with the use of LDL

404 compared with conventional EY concentration, but among the different LDL concentrations, higher
405 values were found using 6% LDL. It is possible that the purification of LDL performed in that study
406 could affect the results, explaining the different values we reported. However, both studies showed
407 that the use of EY at high concentration (20%) could reduce canine sperm quality after thawing, and
408 the use of lower concentrations (5%, 10%) or a purified LDL preparation, resulted in better post-thaw
409 canine semen characteristics.

410 The data reported in this study suggest that at least part of the cryoprotective effect of EY in
411 canine cryopreserved spermatozoa is related to the protection against osmotic stress. However, the
412 lack of correlation between total and progressive motility, highly reduced, and MI, less affected by
413 the anisotonic environment, in both hypo- and hyperosmotic conditions, indicates that the damage
414 was directed to the cytoskeleton or to a metabolic pathway not located in the mitochondria. The
415 osmoprotective effect of the EY was not dose-dependent since similar values were found at 5%, 10%,
416 and 20% EY. During sperm cryopreservation, EY at 5% and 10% seemed to be more effective
417 compared with EY at 20% during the canine semen cryopreservation.

418

419 **5. Conclusions**

420 The data reported in this study showed that EY exert a protective role during the osmotic stress in
421 canine spermatozoa. The protective effect seem not to be dose-dependent, since no differences were
422 found in sperm characteristics after dilution with extender at 5%, 10%, or 20% EY. Furthermore,
423 spermatozoa exposed to hyperosmotic conditions lost the kinetic ability more extensively than their
424 membrane integrity, suggesting a primary cytoskeletal damage. Finally, EY did not show a dose-
425 dependent protection effect during cryopreservation in canine spermatozoa. Characteristics of frozen-
426 thawed sperm using 5% and 10% EY were significantly higher compared with 0% EY, but slightly
427 significantly higher than 20% EY, suggesting that canine spermatozoa could be effectively frozen at
428 lower EY concentration.

429

430 **Funding sources**

431 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or
432 not-for-profit sectors.

433

434 **References**

- 435 Alvarez, J.G., Storey, B.T., 1992. Evidence for increased lipid peroxidative damage and loss of
436 superoxide dismutase activity as a mode of sublethal cryodamage to human sperm during
437 cryopreservation. *J. Androl.* 13, 232–241. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1939-4640.1992.tb00306.x>
- 438 Amann, R.P., Pickett, B.W., 1987. Principles of cryopreservation and a review of cryopreservation
439 of stallion spermatozoa. *J. Equine Vet. Sci.* 7, 145–173. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0737-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0737-0806(87)80025-4)
440 [0806\(87\)80025-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0737-0806(87)80025-4)
- 441 Amirat, L., Tainturier, D., Jeanneau, L., Thorin, C., Gérard, O., Courtens, J.L., Anton, M., 2004.
442 Bull semen in vitro fertility after cryopreservation using egg yolk LDL: A comparison with
443 Optidyl®, a commercial egg yolk extender. *Theriogenology* 61, 895–907.
444 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0093-691X\(03\)00259-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0093-691X(03)00259-0)
- 445 Anderson, K., 1972. Fertility of frozen dog semen. *Acta Vet. Scand.* 13, 128–130.
- 446 Ball, B.A., Vo, A., 2001. Osmotic tolerance of equine spermatozoa and the effects of soluble
447 cryoprotectants on equine sperm motility, viability, and mitochondrial membrane potential. *J.*
448 *Androl.* 22, 1061–1069. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1939-4640.2001.tb03446.x>
- 449 Bencharif, D., Amirat, L., Anton, M., Schmitt, E., Desherces, S., Delhomme, G., Langlois, M.L.,
450 Barrière, P., Larrat, M., Tainturier, D., 2008. The advantages of LDL (Low Density
451 Lipoproteins) in the cryopreservation of canine semen. *Theriogenology* 70, 1478–1488.
452 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2008.06.095>
- 453 Bencharif, D., Amirat, L., Pascal, O., Anton, M., Schmitt, E., Desherces, S., Delhomme, G.,
454 Langlois, M.L., Barrière, P., Larrat, M., Tainturier, D., 2010. The advantages of combining
455 low-density lipoproteins with glutamine for cryopreservation of canine semen. *Reprod.*

456 Domest. Anim. 45, 189–200. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0531.2008.01198.x>

457 Bergeron, A., Crête, M.-H., Brindle, Y., Manjunath, P., 2004. Low-density lipoprotein fraction
458 from hen's egg yolk decreases the binding of the major proteins of bovine seminal plasma to
459 sperm and prevents lipid efflux from the sperm membrane. *Biol. Reprod.* 70, 708–717.
460 <https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.103.022996>

461 Chatterjee, S., Gagnon, C., 2001. Production of reactive oxygen species by spermatozoa undergoing
462 cooling, freezing, and thawing. *Mol. Reprod. Dev.* 59, 451–458.
463 <https://doi.org/10.1002/mrd.1052>

464 Correa, L.M., Thomas, A., Meyers, S.A., 2007. The macaque sperm actin cytoskeleton reorganizes
465 in response to osmotic stress and contributes to morphological defects and decreased motility.
466 *Biol. Reprod.* 77, 942–953. <https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.107.060533>

467 Curry, M.R., Watson, P.F., 1994. Osmotic effects on ram and human sperm membranes in relation
468 to thawing injury. *Cryobiology* 31, 39–46. <https://doi.org/10.1006/cryo.1994.1005>

469 De Leeuw, F.E., De Leeuw, A.M., Den Daas, J.H.G., Colenbrander, B., Verkleij, A.J., 1993. Effects
470 of various cryoprotective agents and membrane-stabilizing compounds on bull sperm
471 membrane integrity after cooling and freezing. *Cryobiology* 30, 32–44.
472 <https://doi.org/10.1006/cryo.1993.1005>

473 Foulkes, J.A., 1977. The separation of lipoproteins from egg yolk and their effect on the motility
474 and integrity of bovine spermatozoa. *Reproduction* 49, 277–284.
475 <https://doi.org/10.1530/jrf.0.0490277>

476 Gao, D.Y., Liu, J., Liu, C., McGann, L.E., Watson, P.F., Kleinhans, F.W., Mazur, P., Critser, E.S.,
477 Critser, J.K., 1995. Prevention of osmotic injury to human spermatozoa during addition and
478 removal of glycerol. *Hum. Reprod.* 10, 1109–1122.
479 <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.humrep.a136103>

480 Garner, D.L., Thomas, C.A., 1999. Organelle-specific probe JC-1 identifies membrane potential
481 differences in the mitochondrial function of bovine sperm. *Mol. Reprod. Dev.* 53, 222–229.

482 [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1098-2795\(199906\)53:2<222::AID-MRD11>3.0.CO;2-L](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1098-2795(199906)53:2<222::AID-MRD11>3.0.CO;2-L)

483 Gilmore, J.A., Liu, J., Peter, A.T., Critser, J.K., 1998. Determination of plasma membrane
484 characteristics of boar spermatozoa and their relevance to cryopreservation. *Biol. Reprod.* 58,
485 28–36. <https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod58.1.28>

486 Glazar, A.I., Mullen, S.F., Liu, J., Benson, J.D., Critser, J.K., Squires, E.L., Graham, J.K., 2009.
487 Osmotic tolerance limits and membrane permeability characteristics of stallion spermatozoa
488 treated with cholesterol. *Cryobiology* 59, 201–206.
489 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cryobiol.2009.07.009>

490 Gloria, A., Wegher, L., Carluccio, A., Valorz, C., Robbe, D., Contri, A., 2018. Factors affecting
491 staining to discriminate between bull sperm with greater and lesser mitochondrial membrane
492 potential. *Anim. Reprod. Sci.* 189, 51–59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anireprosci.2017.12.007>

493 Graham, J.K., Foote, R.H., 1987. Effect of several lipids, fatty acyl chain length, and degree of
494 unsaturation on the motility of bull spermatozoa after cold shock and freezing. *Cryobiology*
495 24, 42–52. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0011-2240\(87\)90005-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0011-2240(87)90005-8)

496 Guthrie, H.D., Liu, J., Critser, J.K., 2002. Osmotic tolerance limits and effects of cryoprotectants on
497 motility of bovine spermatozoa. *Biol. Reprod.* 67, 1811–1816.
498 <https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod67.6.1811>

499 Hancock, J.L., 1957. The morphology of boar spermatozoa. *J. R. Microsc. Soc. (Great Britain)* 76,
500 84–97.

501 Holt, W. V., North, R.D., 1986. Thermotropic phase transitions in the plasma membrane of ram
502 spermatozoa. *Reproduction* 78, 447–457. <https://doi.org/10.1530/jrf.0.0780447>

503 Iguer-ouada, M., Verstegen, J.P., 2001. Evaluation of the “Hamilton Thorn computer-based
504 automated system” for dog semen analysis. *Theriogenology* 55, 733–749.
505 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0093-691X\(01\)00440-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0093-691X(01)00440-X)

506 Jones, R.C., Stewart, D.L., 1979. The effects of cooling to 5 degrees C and freezing and thawing on
507 the ultrastructure of bull spermatozoa. *J. Reprod. Fertil.* 56, 233–238.

508 Kumi-Diaka, J., 1993. Subjecting canine semen to the hypo-osmotic test. *Theriogenology* 39, 1279–
509 1289. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0093-691X\(93\)90230-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0093-691X(93)90230-3)

510 Leroy, G., Danchin-Burge, C., Verrier, E., 2011. Impact of the use of cryobank samples in a
511 selected cattle breed: A simulation study. *Genet. Sel. Evol.* 43, 36.
512 <https://doi.org/10.1186/1297-9686-43-36>

513 Liu, Z., Foote, R.H., 1998. Osmotic effects on volume and motility of bull sperm exposed to
514 membrane permeable and nonpermeable agents. *Cryobiology* 37, 207–218.
515 <https://doi.org/10.1006/cryo.1998.2116>

516 Manjunath, P., Nauc, V., Bergeron, A., Ménard, M., 2002. Major proteins of bovine seminal plasma
517 bind to the low-density lipoprotein fraction of hen's egg yolk. *Biol. Reprod.* 67, 1250–1258.
518 <https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod67.4.1250>

519 Moussa, M., Martinet, V., Trimeche, A., Tainturier, D., Anton, M., 2002. Low density lipoproteins
520 extracted from hen egg yolk by an easy method: cryoprotective effect on frozen–thawed bull
521 semen. *Theriogenology* 57, 1695–1706. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0093-691X\(02\)00682-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0093-691X(02)00682-9)

522 Pace, M.M., Graham, E.F., 1974. Components in egg yolk which protect bovine spermatozoa
523 during freezing. *J. Anim. Sci.* 39, 1144–1149. <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas1974.3961144x>

524 Peña, A., Barrio, F., Quintela, L., Herradón, P., 1998. Effect of different glycerol treatments on
525 frozen-thawed dog sperm longevity and acrosomal integrity. *Theriogenology* 50, 163–174.
526 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0093-691X\(98\)00122-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0093-691X(98)00122-8)

527 Petrunkina, A.M., Gröppler, B., Günzel-Apel, A.-R., Töpfer-Petersen, E., 2004. Functional
528 significance of the cell volume for detecting sperm membrane changes and predicting
529 freezability in dog semen. *Reproduction* 128, 829–842. <https://doi.org/10.1530/rep.1.00296>

530 Phillips, P.H., Lardy, H.A., 1940. A yolk-buffer pabulum for the preservation of bull semen. *J.*
531 *Dairy Sci.* 23, 399–404. [https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(40\)95541-2](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(40)95541-2)

532 Pillet, E., Batellier, F., Duchamp, G., Furstoss, V., LE Vern, Y., Kerboeuf, D., Vidament, M.,
533 Magistrini, M., 2008. Freezing stallion semen in INRA96®-based extender improves fertility

534 rates in comparison with INRA82. *Dairy Sci. Technol.* 88, 257–265.
535 <https://doi.org/10.1051/dst:2008002>

536 Pinto, C.R.F., Kozink, D.M., 2008. Simplified hypoosmotic swelling testing (HOST) of fresh and
537 frozen-thawed canine spermatozoa. *Anim. Reprod. Sci.* 104, 450–455.
538 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anireprosci.2007.07.005>

539 Rijsselaere, T., Van Soom, A., Maes, D., Kruif, A. de, 2003. Effect of technical settings on canine
540 semen motility parameters measured by the Hamilton-Thorne analyzer. *Theriogenology* 60,
541 1553–1568. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0093-691X\(03\)00171-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0093-691X(03)00171-7)

542 Sieme, H., Oldenhof, H., Wolkers, W.F., 2016. Mode of action of cryoprotectants for sperm
543 preservation. *Anim. Reprod. Sci.* 169, 2–5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anireprosci.2016.02.004>

544 Silva, A.R., de Cássia Soares Cardoso, R., Uchoa, D.C., Machado da Silva, L.D., 2002. Effect of
545 Tris-buffer, egg yolk and glycerol on canine semen freezing. *Vet. J.* 164, 244–246.
546 <https://doi.org/10.1053/tvj.2002.0704>

547 Slaweta, R., Waşowicz, W., Laskowska, T., 1988. Selenium content, glutathione peroxidase
548 activity, and lipid peroxide level in fresh bull semen and its relationship to motility of
549 spermatozoa after freezing-thawing. *J. Vet. Med. Ser. A* 35, 455–460.
550 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0442.1988.tb00058.x>

551 Thomassen, R., Farstad, W., 2009. Artificial insemination in canids: A useful tool in breeding and
552 conservation. *Theriogenology* 71, 190–199.
553 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2008.09.007>

554 Wall, R.J., Foote, R.H., 1999. Fertility of bull sperm frozen and stored in clarified egg yolk-tris-
555 glycerol extender. *J. Dairy Sci.* 82, 817–821. [https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(99\)75301-4](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(99)75301-4)

556

557 Watson, P., 2000. The causes of reduced fertility with cryopreserved semen. *Anim. Reprod. Sci.*
558 60–61, 481–492. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4320\(00\)00099-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4320(00)00099-3)

559

560

561 **Figure legend**

562 Fig. 1. Bar charts of the tail defect proportions at the different osmolarities (75 mOsm/kg, 150
563 mOsm/kg, 300 mOsm/kg, 500 mOsm/kg, and 1000 mOsm/kg) in canine spermatozoa diluted with
564 0% egg yolk (EY 0%) and with 10% egg yolk (EY 10%). Bars with different letters differ significantly
565 ($P < 0.05$).