



Biomarkers for the prediction of oncologic outcomes in non-muscle invasive bladder cancer: state of affairs and new frontiers

Francesco Soria^{1,2}, Shahrokh F. Shariat^{1,3,4,5}

¹Department of Urology and Comprehensive Cancer Center, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria; ²Division of Urology, Department of Surgical Sciences, San Giovanni Battista Hospital, University of Studies of Torino, Turin, Italy; ³Karl Landsteiner Institute of Urology and Andrology, Vienna, Austria; ⁴Department of Urology, Weill Cornell Medical College, New York Presbyterian Hospital, New York, NY, USA; ⁵Department of Urology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, USA

Correspondence to: Shahrokh F. Shariat. Department of Urology and Comprehensive Cancer Center, Vienna General Hospital, Medical University of Vienna, Währinger Gürtel 18-20, A-1090 Vienna, Austria. Email: shahrokh.shariat@meduniwien.ac.at.

Provenance: This is an Invited Editorial commissioned by Section Editor Xiao Li (Department of Urology, Jiangsu Cancer Hospital & Jiangsu Institute of Cancer Research & Nanjing Medical University Affiliated Cancer Hospital, Nanjing, China).

Comment on: van Kessel KEM, van der Keur KA, Dyrskjøt L, *et al.* Molecular Markers Increase Precision of the European Association of Urology Non-Muscle-Invasive Bladder Cancer Progression Risk Groups. *Clin Cancer Res* 2018;24:1586-93.

Submitted Aug 03, 2018. Accepted for publication Aug 07, 2018.

doi: 10.21037/tau.2018.08.10

View this article at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tau.2018.08.10>

Introduction

Non-muscle invasive bladder cancer (NMIBC) represents approximately 75% of newly diagnosed bladder cancers (BCa) in western countries (1). Patients with NMIBC have a relatively favorable prognosis, with ten-years cancer-specific survival (CSS) rates varying between 75% and 100%, depending on tumor grade (2). Nevertheless, despite adequate therapy, patients with NMIBC have a life-long risk of disease recurrence and, more importantly, of progression to muscle-invasive bladder cancer (MIBC) (3). While cancer recurrence mainly impacts our patients' quality of life and the economical burden of the disease, progression to MIBC represents a dramatic event, significantly lowering survival probability and calling for intensified therapy such as radical cystectomy (4). Indeed, patients harboring a NMIBC that eventually progress to MIBC have a worse survival probability compared to a patient who presents with a primary MIBC (5). Because of these reasons, predicting both disease recurrence and progression is of fundamental importance to accurately stratify patients into personalized risk groups for selection of the appropriate treatment strategy, which can range from variable follow up scheduling, from adjuvant intravesical therapy schemes to radical surgery. A personalized assessment of the biologic potential and clinical behavior of NMIBC in every specific

patient could allow for an improvement of oncologic outcomes and smart allocation of resources.

Status quo in the prediction of outcomes in NMIBC

Currently, risk-stratification of patients with NMIBC is based on patients' characteristics and tumor-related features. Based on tumor stage, grade, presence of carcinoma *in situ* (CIS), tumor size, tumor number and previous recurrence rate, the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) risk tables stratify patients into low, intermediate and high risk for each disease recurrence and progression (6). Since these risk tables were built using clinical trial data of patients treated in previous decades before the wide spread use of BCG immunotherapy and re-TUR, their predictive accuracy is limited in contemporary patients. The Spanish Urological Club for Oncological Treatment (CUETO) group tried to overcome these limitations by including only patients treated with BCG and by adding additional features to the model such as age and gender (7). However, the discrimination of even this nomogram remains unsatisfactory when tested in external validation cohorts (8). Both tools exhibited poor discrimination for both disease recurrence and progression

(0.60 and 0.66, and 0.52 and 0.62, for the EORTC and CUETO models, respectively), underlying the need for better tools incorporating more powerful predictors of oncologic behavior in order to improve NMIBC risk-stratification and therapy.

One hope is to fill the “missing information” by integrating biomarkers that reflect the biological behavior of the cells and its host thereby increasing the capture of the tumors personality. To date, several urinary, blood and tissue markers have been developed and tested with the aim to improving prediction of outcomes and helping with selection, thereby moving a step forward towards the era of personalized medicine. However, due to their suboptimal performances, their role remains, as of today, still limited and none of them is currently recommended by expert guidelines for daily clinical practice (9).

Urinary biomarkers have been used to predict short to intermediate term oncological outcomes as well as response to BCG. A positive fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) assay, for example, performed at different time points during BCG therapy, was associated with either disease persistence or recurrence (10,11). Kamat *et al.* found that a positive FISH both at 6 and 12 weeks resection on BCG therapy can identify patients at higher risk of disease recurrence and progression (12). While promising, validations of these findings are still pending.

Blood-based biomarkers measuring systemic inflammatory response such as the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and the C-reactive protein (CRP) have also been evaluated as predictors of oncological outcomes in NMIBC. Their integration into a model for the prediction of disease recurrence and progression led to an increase in the discrimination of the model (13). These biomarkers are interesting as they may be able to help patients most likely to benefit from systemic immunotherapy such as check-point inhibitors.

A growing body of literature shows that several genes and proteins related to different pathways are not only involved in bladder carcinogenesis but also in its clinical behavior. Consequently, several tissue biomarkers have been tested in a multiphased systematic approach (14). Even if multiple biomarkers, such as cell-cycle markers as well as Ki-67, FGFR3, cadherins, surviving as well as immune and inflammation-related biomarkers have shown to predict NMIBC outcomes, their prognostic value remains suboptimal with only few of them having prospective validation study phases (15-20). Recently, van Kessel *et al.* prospectively tested a panel of tissue biomarkers

comparing their performance to current clinicopathological characteristics for risk-stratification (21). Fresh frozen tumor samples from 1,239 patients with primary or recurrent NMIBC were analyzed for *GATA2*, *TBX2*, *TBX3* and *ZIC4* methylation and *FGFR3*, *TERT*, *PIK3CA* and *RAS* mutation status. Overall, wild type *FGFR3* and methylation of *GATA2* and *TBX3* were significantly associated with disease progression; the addition of these selected markers to the EORTC risk stratification model increased its accuracy and was able to identify a subset of patients at very high risk for tumor progression. This is probably clinically the most significant finding of this study, as one of the major controversies in NMIBC management is to identify the patients who are most likely to benefit from intensified therapy such as combination systemic therapy or early radical cystectomy.

Conclusions

The search for an ideal biomarker in NMIBC is still ongoing. Given the variable and rich mutation landscape, branched evolution and intratumor heterogeneity of the disease, it is unlikely that a single biomarker is able to address the diverse needs of clinicians. Conversely, biomarkers panels integrating multiple complementary pathways involved in the process of interest (diagnosis, staging, prognosis, and/or prediction) could represent a breakthrough for patients' risk stratification and treatment selection. Several new biomarkers, probably linked to novel therapies such as PD-L1 expression, will soon enter in clinical practice helping drive a precision medicine approach to BCa. We are slowly but steadily moving towards the era of personalized medicine with biomarkers being the traffic light and/or the target of the personalized medicine voyage in NMIBC.

Acknowledgements

None.

Footnote

Conflicts of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

1. Burger M, Catto JW, Dalbagni G, et al. Epidemiology

- and risk factors of urothelial bladder cancer. *Eur Urol* 2013;63:234-41.
2. Gontero P, Sylvester R, Pisano F, et al. Prognostic factors and risk groups in T1G3 non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer patients initially treated with Bacillus Calmette-Guérin: results of a retrospective multicenter study of 2451 patients. *Eur Urol* 2015;67:74-82.
 3. Malmström PU, Sylvester RJ, Crawford DE, et al. An Individual Patient Data Meta-Analysis of the Long-Term Outcome of Randomised Studies Comparing Intravesical Mitomycin C versus Bacillus Calmette-Guérin for Non-Muscle-Invasive Bladder Cancer. *Eur Urol* 2009;56:247-56.
 4. Soria F, Gontero P. How to assess and improve health-related quality of life in bladder cancer patients. *Transl Androl Urol* 2018;7 Suppl 1:S77-S80.
 5. Moschini M, Sharma V, Dell'oglio P, et al. Comparing long-term outcomes of primary and progressive carcinoma invading bladder muscle after radical cystectomy. *BJU Int* 2016;117:604-10.
 6. Sylvester RJ, van der Meijden AP, Oosterlinck W, et al. Predicting recurrence and progression in individual patients with stage Ta T1 bladder cancer using EORTC risk tables: a combined analysis of 2596 patients from seven EORTC trials. *Eur Urol* 2006;49:466-5; discussion 475-7.
 7. Fernandez-Gomez J, Madero R, Solsona E, et al. Predicting nonmuscle invasive bladder cancer recurrence and progression in patients treated with bacillus Calmette-Guerin: the CUETO scoring model. *J Urol* 2009;182:2195-203.
 8. Xylinas E, Kent M, Kluth L, et al. Accuracy of the EORTC risk tables and of the CUETO scoring model to predict outcomes in non-muscle-invasive urothelial carcinoma of the bladder. *Br J Cancer* 2013;109:1460-6.
 9. Babjuk M, Böhle A, Burger M, et al. EAU Guidelines on Non-Muscle-invasive Urothelial Carcinoma of the Bladder: Update 2016. *Eur Urol* 2017;71:447-61.
 10. Liem EI, Baard J, Cauberg EC, et al. Fluorescence in situ hybridization as prognostic predictor of tumor recurrence during treatment with Bacillus Calmette-Guérin therapy for intermediate- and high-risk non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer. *Med Oncol* 2017;34:172.
 11. Savic S, Zlobec I, Thalmann GN, et al. The prognostic value of cytology and fluorescence in situ hybridization in the follow-up of nonmuscle-invasive bladder cancer after intravesical Bacillus Calmette-Guérin therapy. *Int J Cancer* 2009;124:2899-904.
 12. Soria F, Droller MJ, Lotan Y, et al. An up-to-date catalog of available urinary biomarkers for the surveillance of non-muscle invasive bladder cancer. *World J Urol* 2018. [Epub ahead of print].
 13. Mbeutcha A, Shariat SF, Rieken M, et al. Prognostic significance of markers of systemic inflammatory response in patients with non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer. *Urol Oncol* 2016;34:483.e17-24.
 14. Bensalah K, Montorsi F, Shariat SF. Challenges of cancer biomarker profiling. *Eur Urol* 2007;52:1601-9.
 15. D'Andrea D, Hassler MR, Abufaraj M, et al. Progressive tissue biomarker profiling in non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer. *Expert Rev Anticancer Ther* 2018;18:695-703.
 16. Shariat SF, Zlotta AR, Ashfaq R, et al. Cooperative effect of cell-cycle regulators expression on bladder cancer development and biologic aggressiveness. *Mod Pathol* 2007;20:445-59.
 17. Shariat SF, Ashfaq R, Karakiewicz PI, et al. Survivin expression is associated with bladder cancer presence, stage, progression, and mortality. *Cancer* 2007;109:1106-13.
 18. Shariat SF, Kim J, Raptidis G, et al. Association of p53 and p21 expression with clinical outcome in patients with carcinoma in situ of the urinary bladder. *Urology* 2003;61:1140-5.
 19. Shariat SF, Kim JH, Ayala GE, et al. Cyclooxygenase-2 is highly expressed in carcinoma in situ and T1 transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder. *J Urol* 2003;169:938-42.
 20. Byrne RR, Shariat SF, Brown R, et al. E-cadherin immunostaining of bladder transitional cell carcinoma, carcinoma in situ and lymph node metastases with long-term followup. *J Urol* 2001;165:1473-9.
 21. van Kessel KEM, van der Keur KA, Dyrskjöt L, et al. Molecular Markers Increase Precision of the European Association of Urology Non-Muscle-Invasive Bladder Cancer Progression Risk Groups. *Clin Cancer Res* 2018;24:1586-93.

Cite this article as: Soria F, Shariat SF. Biomarkers for the prediction of oncologic outcomes in non-muscle invasive bladder cancer: state of affairs and new frontiers. *Transl Androl Urol* 2018;7(Suppl 6):S753-S755. doi: 10.21037/tau.2018.08.10