



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Characterization of Lactococcus strains isolated from artisanal Oaxaca cheese

This is the author's manuscript
Original Citation:
Availability:
This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1734855 since 2020-04-02T11:18:35Z
Published version:
DOI:10.1016/j.lwt.2020.109041
Terms of use:
Open Access
Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

Elsevier Editorial System(tm) for LWT - Food

Science and Technology

Manuscript Draft

Manuscript Number: LWT-D-19-04782R1

Title: Characterization of Lactococcus Strains Isolated from Artisanal Oaxaca Cheese

Article Type: Research paper

Keywords: Oaxaca cheese; Lactococcus; acidifying capacity; antibiotic resistance.

Corresponding Author: Dr. Emiliano J Quinto, Ph.D.

Corresponding Author's Institution: Universidad de Valladolid

First Author: Irma Caro

Order of Authors: Irma Caro; Emiliano J Quinto, Ph.D.; Lucía Fuentes; Valentina Alessandria; Luca Cocolin; María P Redondo-Del-Río; Baltasar Mayo; Ana Flórez; Javier Mateo

Abstract: The aim of this work was to identify and characterize lactococci strains from Mexican Oaxaca cheese. A total of 120 autochthonous isolates were obtained from Oaxaca cheese along its production. Cheese samples were collected from three industries in the Tulancingo Valley of Hidalgo State. Twenty lactococci strains were identified and characterized by molecular and phenotypic methods. Isolates were screened, among others, for their acidifying capacity, antibiotic resistance and production of volatile compounds. High phenotypic diversity was observed among the Lactococcus lactis spp. isolates and confirmed by rep-PCR fingerprints. Nine of the 20 strains reached a pH below 5.0 in milk and they were considered as fast fermenting strains. Fifty percent of the strains were resistant to streptomycin and thirty-five were resistant to erythromycin. 3methylbutanol, 3 methylbutanal and butane 2,3-dione were the predominant volatile compounds produced by L. lactis. Some strains isolated in this work have good technological properties to be used as starters for the industrial production of Oaxaca cheese.

Highlights

- Lactococci strains from Mexican Oaxaca cheese were identified and characterized.
- Lactococcus lactis spp. isolates showed high phenotypic and molecular diversity.
- Nine fast-fermenting strains (pH < 5.0 in milk) were found.
- Strains have technological properties as starters for industrial cheese production.

- 1 Characterization of Lactococcus Strains Isolated from Artisanal Oaxaca Cheese
- 2 I. Caro*[†], E. J. Quinto*¹, L. Fuentes[‡], V. Alessandria[§], L. S. Cocolin[§], M. P. Redondo-
- 3 del-Río * , B. Mayo $^{\&}$, A. B. Flórez $^{\&}$, J. Mateo †
- 4
- 5 * Department of Nutrition and Food Science, Faculty of Medicine, University of
- 6 Valladolid, 47005 Valladolid, Spain
- ⁷ [†] Department of Food Hygiene and Technology, University of León, Campus de
- 8 Vegazana s/n, 24071 León, Spain
- ⁹ [‡]Instituto Tecnológico Superior del Oriente del Estado de Hidalgo, 43900 Apan, México
- 10 [§] Department of Agricultural, Forest and Food Science, University of Torino, 10095
- 11 Grugliasco, Italy
- 12 [&]Department of Microbiology and Biochemistry, Instituto de Productos Lácteos de
- 13 Asturias (IPLA-CSIC), Paseo Río Linares s/n, 33300-Villaviciosa, Asturias, Spain
- 14
- ¹ Corresponding author: E. J. Quinto, Department of Nutrition and Food Science,
- 16 Faculty of Medicine, University of Valladolid, 47005, Valladolid, Spain, Phone: +34-
- 17 983-184943 (<u>equinto@ped.uva.es</u>)
- 18
- 19 I. Caro (icarc@unileon.es), E. J. Quinto (equinto@ped.uva.es), L. Fuentes
- 20 (<u>lfuentesjimenez@yahoo.com.mx</u>), V. Alessandria (<u>valentina.alessandria@unito.it</u>), L.
- 21 S. Cocolin (lucasimone.cocolin@unito.it), M. P. Redondo-del-Río (pazr@ped.uva.es),
- 22 B. Mayo (<u>baltasar.mayo@ipla.csic.es</u>), A. B. Flórez (<u>abflorez@ipla.csic.es</u>), J. Mateo
- 23 (jmato@unileon.es)
- 24 Declarations of interest: None.
- 25

ABSTRACT

Lactococci strains obtained from raw-milk Oaxaca cheese through its production process
in two factories from the Tulancingo Valley, Mexico, were isolated and characterized. A
total of 120 colonies were selected from the growth in M17 and MRS agars. Twenty
were identified as lactococci strains, all Lactococcus lactis, and were characterized by
molecular and phenotypic methods including carbohydrate use, enzymatic profile,
acidifying capacity, and antibiotic and phage resistance. High phenotypic diversity was
observed and confirmed among the Lactococcus lactis strains by rep-PCR fingerprints.
Fifty percent of the strains were resistant to streptomycin and 35% to erythromycin.
Nine isolates were considered as fast acidifying strains. The predominant volatile
compounds produced were 3-methylbutanol, 3-methylbutanal and butane-2,3-dione. A
selection of strains isolated in this study has shown satisfactory characteristics to be used
as potential starters for the industrial production of Oaxaca cheese.
Key Words: Oaxaca cheese; Lactococcus; acidifying capacity; antibiotic resistance.

44 1. Introduction

45

Oaxaca cheese is one of the most popular Mexican cheeses with a production of about 46 47 14,700 tons (SIAP, 2016); it has becoming increasingly produced in the United States and other countries as well. It is considered a soft pasta filata cheese (Caro et al., 2014) 48 49 and its making process involves curd acidification (until pH of 5.3) kneading in hot 50 water (72°C) and stretching, forming long and thin strips of curd, which are cooled in chilled water, salted, cut into 0.2-2 kg segments and moulded with a ball shape (Caro et 51 52 al., 2011; De Oca-Flores, Castelán-Ortega, Estrada-Flores, & Espinoza-Ortega, 2009). 53 These authors described the main quality attributes of this cheese, such as a fibrous 54 structure, acidic taste, mild flavour, high creaminess, and good meltability. Two types 55 of Oaxaca cheeses are recognized: Those produced on medium or large factories using 56 pasteurized milk acidified either with starters -not specifically designed for this cheeseor organic acids (Colín-Cruz, Dublán-García, Espinoza-Ostega, & Domínguez Lópéz, 57 58 2012), and those manufactured in small factories with naturally fermented raw milk (Caro et al., 2011). 59

60 Soft cheeses should be produced with pasteurized milk for health reasons. In order to 61 maintain the sensorial properties of artisanal cheese, a suggested approach in pasteurized milk cheeses is to select indigenous microorganisms for the design of specific starter 62 cultures (Cogan et al., 1997). Lactococcus strains have been widely used as starters; 63 64 selected indigenous strains intended to be used as starters should produce acid quickly and specific flavour and texture (Leroy & De Vuyst, 2004). Moreover, they should not 65 66 carry virulence factors or other risk factors such as antibiotic resistance, high amino acid-decarboxylase activity, etc. Furthermore, they must be identified and characterized 67 for their technological properties (Randazzo, Caggia, & Neviani, 2009). 68

69 The aim of this study was to identify and characterize *Lactococcus* spp. strains from 70 artisanal raw-milk Oaxaca cheeses in order to select potential candidate strains for the 71 design of a suitable starter culture to be used in pasteurized-milk Oaxaca cheese

-

73

72

74 2. Material and methods

production.

- 75
- 76 2.1. Sampling and LAB isolation

Samples of fresh milk (FM) at arrival to the cheese factory, acidified milk (AM) before 77 78 renneting, acidified curd (AC) before kneading, and fresh cheese (CH) after salting were collected from two artisanal raw-milk cheese factories (Tulancingo Valley, Mexico) on 79 three working days. FM and AM (250 ml), AC (500 g), and CH (500 g) samples were 80 81 transported into sterile screw-capped flasks or sterile containers at 4°C to the laboratory 82 and analyzed within 4 h after sampling. Representative portions (10 ml: FM or AM; 10 83 g: AC or CH) were homogenized with 90 ml of buffered peptone water (peptone 0.1%, NaCl 0.85%) using a Stomacher blender (Seward). Decimal dilutions were prepared and 84 pour plated using the two-layer method in M17 agar (Oxoid), and in Man, Rogosa, and 85 Sharpe agar (MRS; Oxoid) previously acidified (pH 5.5) with lactic acid (Panreac); the 86 plates were incubated at 30°C for 48-72 h. Four colonies were randomly selected from 87 FM, AM and AC, and three colonies from CH, reaching a total of 180 isolates (90 per 88 medium). Isolates were recovered in Tryptone Soy Broth (TSB; Bacto) with 0.5% (w/v) 89 90 of yeast extract (YE; Difco) (TSB-YE) at 37°C for 24 h. Aliquots (1 ml) were centrifuged (12,000 rpm, 3 min) in Eppendorf tubes; the supernatants were discarded 91 92 and the pellets were suspended in 1 ml of MRS broth with 50% (v/v) of glycerol (Acofarma) and stored at -40 °C. 93

95 2.2. LAB identification and PCR typing

characterization of the isolates was performed to select the presumptive LAB using
Gram reaction, morphology, catalase and cytochrome-oxidase activities (Cowan & Steel,
1999; Harrigan, 1998).
A single presumptive-LAB isolate was collected from the recovery MRS agar and
incubated in TSB-YE (30°C, 24 h) for DNA isolation, PCR reaction, sequencing,
species identification, and phylogenetic analysis as described by Caro et al. (2015).
The RAPD and Rep-PCR analyses were performed from total genomic purified DNA
from overnight cultures using a GenElute bacterial genomic DNA kit (Sigma-Aldrich).
Isolates were typed according to their RAPD and rep-PCR fingerprinting profiles using
the primers OPA18 (5'-AGGTGACCGT-3'; Matto et al., 2004), M13 (5'-
GAGGGTGGCGGTTCT-3'; Rossetti & Giraffa, 2005), and BoxA2R (5'-
ACGTGGTTTGAAGAGATTTTCG-3'; Koeuth et al., 1995). RAPD and rep-PCR
amplifications were independently performed in 25 μ l volume reactions containing 12.5
μl MasterMix (Ampliqon), 5 μl of either primer (10 μM), 3 μl of purified DNA, and
molecular grade water (Sigma-Aldrich). The DNA amplification involved one cycle at
95°C for 7 min, followed by 40 denaturation cycles at 90°C for 30 s, primer annealing at
42°C (M13), 40°C (BoxA2R) or 32°C (OPA18) for 1 min, a first extension at 72°C for 4
min, and then a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. Typing reaction products were
subjected to electrophoresis and recorded. GeneTools software v.4.03 (SynGene) was
used to compare the profiles.

119 The strains' acidification capacity was tested after 0, 6, 12, and 24 h at 30 °C (IDF,

120 1995) and were classified into three categories (Roushdy, 1999). The strains were tested

121 for phage sensitivity against a laboratory phage bank composed by 12 purified industrial

- 122 phages and 25 infective whey samples following Estepar, Sánchez, Alonso, & Mayo
- 123 (1999).
- 124 Lactococcus strains were biochemically characterized using API-CH50 and API-ZYM

125 (bioMérieux) galleries; haemolytic (Smith, Gordon, & Clark, 1952) and proteolytic

126 (Facklam & Wilkinson, 1981) activities were studied at 37 °C for 48 h under

anaerobiosis. *Staphylococcus aureus* CECT 5192 and *Enterococcus fecalis* ATCC 29212

128 were respectively used as positive controls.

129 The antibiotic susceptibility against the antibiotics recommended by EFSA (2012) were

130 tested using the Etest assay (AB BioDisk) in order to determine the minimum inhibitory

131 concentrations (MIC) (Table 1). A 10^8 CFU/ml (100 µl) suspension was inoculated onto

132 LSM agar plates (ISO, 2010). Afterwards (up to 15 min), two strips of the Etest were

placed on the border of the plates and incubated for 24-48 h at 30°C. *E. faecalis* ATCC

134 29212 was used as control. The breakpoints considered were those suggested by

135 international organizations or research studies (Table 1).

136 The production of volatile compounds from the fastest acidifying strains was tested

137 using solid-phase micro extraction (SPME) and gas chromatography coupled with mass

138 spectrometry (GC/MS). Cells suspensions (100 µl) from the MRS broth-glycerol cryo-

139 conservation media were grown in 5 ml of TSB-YE at 30°C for 24 h, inoculated in UHT

140 milk in duplicate adding cyclohexanone as internal standard (0.4 mg/ml), and incubated

141 at 30°C for 2 days in leak-tight screw-cap vials (Fernández, Alegría, Delgado, Martín, &

142 Mayo, 2011). Two vials containing milk plus cyclohexanone were used as controls. The

143 SPME extraction was carried out using 2 g of the fermented milk as described by Soto et

144	al. (2015), and the chromatographic separation and identification of volatile compounds
145	according to Carballo et al. (2018). Results were calculated as μg of cyclohexanone
146	equivalent/g of milk.
147	
148	2.4. Statistical analyses
149	M17 and MRS counts were statically analysed using general lineal model analysis of
150	variance with the production stage as a fixed factor followed by the post hoc Tukey's
151	test (SPSS Statistics software, version 23, IBM).
152	Typing reaction patterns from the RAPD and Rep-PCR analyses were clustered using
153	the unweighted pair group with arithmetic mean (UPGMA) method, and pattern
154	similarity expressed via the simple matching (SM) coefficient.
155	
156	3. Results and discussion
157	
158	3.1. LAB population
159	M17 and MRS agar LAB counts are shown in Table 2. FM presented relatively high
160	counts, which could be attributed to temperature abuse of milk before processing. De
161	Oca-Flores et al. (2009) have reported temperature and acidity of milk at arrival to
162	artisanal Oaxaca dairy factories of 18-28°C and 17-22°D. The highest LAB counts
163	(p < 0.05) were found in both AM and AC for both M17 and MRS media. CH showed
164	lower LAB mean counts than AM, although differences were found only for MRS
165	counts ($p < 0.05$). This decrease could be attributed to the kneading of curd in hot water.

- 166 CH's MRS counts were similar to those found in previous studies (Caro et al., 2009).
- 167 Among the 180 isolates obtained from M17 and MRS plates, 121 isolates proved to be
- 168 presumptive LAB (Table 3). Enterococcus spp., mainly E. faecalis, were the most

abundant LAB in all production stages followed by *Lactobacillus* spp. (mainly

170 *Lactobacillus plantarum*) and *Lactococcus* spp. (all *L. lactis* subsp. *lactis*). The number

171 of *Enterococcus* spp. isolates was similar for both media. The prevalence of enterococci

in dairy products has been associated with poor hygienic conditions during production

- and processing of milk (Giraffa, 2003). Survival of *Enterococcus* spp. in Oaxaca cheese
- 174 could be explained by their high thermal resistance and acid tolerance.

175 No literature was found on LAB species in raw-milk Oaxaca cheese. Saxer,

176 Schwenninger, & Lacroix (2013) studied the LAB population in pasteurized-milk

177 Oaxaca cheese; in contrast with our results, low presence of *Lactococcus* spp. (4% of

total LAB isolates) and the predominance of *Lactobacillus* spp. (41%) and

179 *Streptococcus thermophilus* (20%) were found, suggesting the relevance of designing

180 specific starters for this cheese.

181

182 *3.2.* Lactococcus *identification and typing*

183 Table 4 shows that *Lactococcus* spp. isolates were assigned to *L. lactis* subsp. *lactis*

184 with an identity percentage \geq 99% using BLAST, with the exception of the strains 1004

(97%) and 1003 (98%). The suggested criterion for the species level is the range 97-

186 99% of similarity (Stackebrandt & Goebel, 1994; Tindall, Rosselló-Móra, Busse,

Ludwig, & Kämpfer, 2010) although some authors consider <0.5% of divergence

188 (Janda & Abbott, 2007). With regard to RDP-II identity scores, 7 isolates showed an

189 S_ab score ≥ 0.99 , and the remaining presented scores between 0.964 and 0.989.

190 The phylogenetic tree of partial 16S rRNA sequences using UPMGA algorithm was

- built with *L. lactis* subsp. *lactis* isolates and a variety of selected reference strains (Fig.
- 192 1). All *L. lactis* spp. were divided in three distant groups showing long branches: (i)
- including the reference strains belonging to other *Lactococcus* species than *L. lactis*, (ii)

with the 1004 isolate showing the lowest BLAST identity (Table 4), and (iii) including 194 195 the rest of the *L. lactis*-identified isolates. Into the last group, *L. lactis* subsp. tructae and L. lactis subsp. cremoris reference strains were assigned in a separate subgroup, 196 197 while the other subgroup was composed by all the L. lactis subsp. lactis isolates and the L. lactis subsp. hordniae reference strain. In partial agreement with these results, Kim 198 199 (2014) found members of *Lactococcus* spp. forming two distant and separate groups: 200 The first formed by L. raffinolactis, L. plantarum, Lactococcus chungangensis and 201 Lactococcus piscium with 95.5% and 98.1% sequence similarities; and the second formed by L. garvieae, L. lactis and Lactococcus fujiensis with 93.1% and 94.6% 202 203 sequence similarities. 204 The Oaxaca cheese isolates were grouped in seven clusters (Fig. 1). The major cluster 205 (cluster III) contains 60% of the isolates and the reference strain NCDO 604; the other 206 clusters contain only a maximum of two isolates each. When comparing the alignments 207 of 16s rRNA sequences of all the L. lactis isolates and the sequence of the L. lactis 208 subsp. lactis NCDO604 reference strain (Accession number AB100803), the 1004 strain 209 showed the major difference. According to Janda & Abbott, (2007), gene sequence data from an individual strain with a nearest neighbour exhibiting a similarity score <97% 210 211 could represent a new species. 212 RAPD and rep-PCR fingerprinting profiles are shown in Fig. 2. Fifteen clusters were formed with a coefficient of similarity >94%, suggesting a low homology of the isolated 213 214 L. lactis subsp. lactis. Dal Bello et al. (2010) also found a high biodiversity of

215 *Lactococcus lactis* in raw-milk cheeses. The low homology in the present study might

be explained, at least partially, because the milk used in the factories was collected from

217 different regions. The use of rep-PCR plus RAPD and several primers was capable of

218 grouping most of the strains according to factory (Fig. 2), i.e. only strains 2002 (factory

A) and 2016 (factory B) were placed in the same cluster (cluster I).

220

221 3.3. Phenotypic characterization of Lactococcus strains

- According to their acidification activity, the *L. lactis* subsp. *lactis* were grouped as fast,
- 223 medium or slow acidifiers (Table 5). A 45% of the strains were considered as fast

acidifiers reducing the pH of milk from 6.6 to 5.3 in less than 6 h at 30 °C (Cogan et al.,

1997). One of the key issues in the Oaxaca cheese making process is to achieve a short

length of milk acidification period (Caro et al., 2014).

High percentage of industrial phage resistance was found: 45% of the strains showed

resistance to more than 60% of the phage tested. Strains 520a and 2002 were low

resistant (to less than 30% of the phages). Among the fast acidifying strains, 1002 and

230 1003 showed the highest resistance (to 67 and 60% of the phage, respectively). More

231 importantly, strains showed different resistance profiles to the phage collection, which

allow designing complementary starter mixes or the use of strains in an alternation

strategy.

The isolates showed variability in their ability to use some carbohydrates (Table 2S).

235 Most of them could ferment D-galactose, D-sorbitol, amygdalin, aesculin, D-melizitose,

amylum (starch) and D-tagatose. Seven of the 20 isolates fermented glycerol and

237 potassium gluconate, and only 4 were capable of using L-arabinose, L-sorbose, D-

238 melibiose, D-raffinose and D-turanose. These results were similar to those found by

239 Delgado and Mayo (2004) in wild lactococci isolates. It is possible that the

- 240 carbohydrate profiles are related to the habitat (Kelly et al., 2010); wild *L. lactis* strains
- tend to ferment sugars that are present in plants and vegetables (Díaz-Ruiz et al., 2003;
- 242 Fernández et al., 2011). In our study, the strains isolated were able to ferment starch,

sucrose and mannitol at ratios of 70, 60, and 45%, respectively, probably related to thegeographical region and the cattle feeding.

Enzyme activity of the *L. lactis* subsp. *lactis* strains is shown in Table 3S (medium and low acidifying activity) and Table 6 (fast acidifying activity), showing no activity for trypsin, alkaline phosphatase, α -galactosidase and α -fucosidase, β -glucuronidase, and α -mannosidase (data no shown). Results were similar to those found by Nomura et al. (2006).

250 The β -galactosidase activity is important from the technological point of view. Thirteen

L. lactis strains showed this activity with 1.7 (8.5 nmol) and 0.8 (4 nmol) scale points in

the fast and medium acidifying group, respectively. Only 4 out of 9 fast acidifying

strains showed an activity of 10 nmol. The β galactosidase activity of *L. lactis* strains

isolated from Oaxaca cheese was lower than that found by Fernández et al. (2011) in

raw-milk cheeses: 3 scale points (20 nmol). L. lactis isolates showed lower α - and β -

256 glucosidase activities (3 and 2 nmol on average, respectively) as compared to those

studied by Nomura et al. (2006) and Fernández et al. (2011).

Aminopeptidase activity was also moderate. It was especially high for leucine

arylamidase with mean values higher than 3 (20 nmol) for all isolates, followed by the

260 α -chymotrypsin activity showed by 15 out of 19 strains with mean values of 1.7 and 1.3

261 (8.5 nmol and 6.5 nmol) for fast and medium acidifying groups, respectively.

262 The main differences in enzymatic activities between the fast and medium acidifying

263 groups were a higher activity (from 4.5 to 3 nmoles) for β -galactosidase and α -

glucosidase, respectively. The presence of β -galactosidase in *L. lactis* strains is

265 important for their use as dairy cultures for both the acidification of milk and probiotic

use (Monteagudo-Mera et al., 2011). However, the strains 520a, 1003, and 2002 show

low activity (≤ 10 nmol).

268 Among the fast acidifying strains only one (number 520a) showed a relatively high 269 activity for β -glucosidase and N-acetyl- β -glucosaminidase (Table 6). These enzymatic activities are not desirable for a starter as they might be associated with adverse effects 270 271 in the human intestinal tract by releasing aglycones from glycosides plants especially dietary flavonoids (Bujnakova, Strakova, & Kmet, 2014; Parodi, 1999), although this 272 273 effect remains controversial due to reports of potential anti-carcinogenic and anti-274 mutagenic effects, especially those derived from flavone C glycosides (Heavey & Rowland, 2004; Xiao, 2017). 275 The distribution of L. lactis subsp. lactis isolates according to their MICs is shown in 276 277 Table 4S. None of the isolates was resistant to ampicillin, benzylpenicillin, vancomycin, chloramphenicol, tetracycline and gentamicin. Resistance was found for streptomycin 278 (60%, 12 isolates), erythromycin (35%, 7), clindamycin (15%, 3), kanamycin (15%, 3) 279 280 and ciprofloxacin (5%, 1). High resistance of L. lactis to streptomycin has been reported in several studies (Fernández et al., 2011; Katla, Kruse, Johnsen, & Herikstad, 2001; 281 282 Klare et al., 2007). In this study, 60% of the strains were resistant to streptomycin with two of them showing a MIC higher than 512 μ g/ml. This level appeared to be 283 intermediate in the studies by Katla et al. (2001) and Salem et al. (2018), who found a 284 285 resistance to streptomycin higher than 256 µg/ml in 90% of L. lactis strains. Only 15% 286 of the isolates were found resistant to erythromycin in this study. The results were higher than those reported by Florez et al. (2005) and lower than those found by 287 288 Franciosi et al. (2009), who found that 1.5% and 57% of L. lactis subsp. lactis strains 289 were resistant, respectively. The distribution of MICs allows the estimation of the isolated strains' resistance 290 291 breakpoints. The discrepancy between the experimental resistance and that obtained

from the literature (Table 4S) is for streptomycin only, with an experimental breakpoint

of $\geq 64 \ \mu g/ml$ instead of $\geq 32 \ \mu g/ml$ (EFSA, 2012). The resistant population for

streptomycin was 5 strains, 8 for erythromycin, 3 for clindamycin and 3 for kanamycin.

The MIC showed by the fast acidifying strains is shown in Table 7. Almost all of the

isolates proved to be susceptible to the tested antimicrobial agents except for strain 1004

297 –which showed resistance to clindamycin, erythromycin, kanamycin and streptomycin–

and strain 1003 –resistant to erythromycin.

A total of 14 volatile compounds were identified in the head space of acidified milk by

300 the fast acidifying *L. lactis* strains (Table 8). The six major compounds were 3-

301 methybutanol, 3-methybutanal, butane-2,3-dione, 3-hydroxy-2-butanone, 5-hydroxy-

302 2,7-dimethyl-4-octanone and butanoic acid. Profiles from 1002 strain deviated from all

303 others by producing twice or more than the mean value of 3-methylbutanal, 3-

methylbutanol, and 5-hydroxy-2,7-dimethyl-4-octadione. The high production of acetic

acid by 1004 strain is also outstanding. All the compounds detected, except for 4-

306 methyl-2-oxopentanoic acid, have been previously reported in milk cultures of

307 *Lactococcus* spp. strains. The 2- and 3-methyl-aldehydes, alcohols and acids are

308 considered to be derived from the breakdown of branched amino acids (Marilley &

309 Casey, 2004) by the transaminase pathway which is highly active in the *Lactococcus*

310 species (Smit, Smit, & Engels, 2005). Those volatiles are formed via oxoacids (α -keto

acids), such as 4-methyl-2-oxopentanoic acid which originated from leucine and was

detected in this study. The 2- and 3-methylaldehydes have a low odour threshold and

seem to play a key role in the flavour of cheeses, being responsible of positive overall

flavour in balance with other volatile compounds (Morales, Fernández-García, Gaya, &

Nuñez, 2003). The importance of controlling the decarboxylating activities of selected

strains due to their flavour potential has been remarked (Smit et al., 2005). In this

317 context, the use of the strain 1002 might have an advantage over the other strains

318 because it would give cheeses with relatively high amount of 2-methylpropanal and 3-319 methylbutanal and thus high flavour intensity; the sensory acceptability of such a highly-flavoured cheese requires further study and it is far from the aim of this work. 320 321 On the other hand, butane-2,3-dione, 3-hydroxy-2-butanone, ethanol, and acetic acid are products derived from pyruvate metabolism. The two former are typically produced via 322 323 citrate metabolism and contribute to buttery and creamy flavours in dairy products 324 (Marilley & Casey, 2004; Smit et al., 2005). In a previous study (Sandoval-Copado, Orozco-Villafuerte, Pedrero-Fuehrer, & Colín-325

Cruz, 2016), the volatiles in the headspace of three Oaxaca cheeses -two made from 326 327 pasteurized milk and one made from naturally acidified milk- were identified although not quantified. The authors reported a total of 14 volatiles from which 11 were present 328 329 in the three cheeses. Four out of the 11 compounds were coincident with those of our 330 study: 3-methylbutanal, butane-2,3-dione, 3-hydroxy-2-butanone, acetic acid, and 2propanone. Discrepancies regarding the volatile profile among studies could be 331 332 attributed to differences in the microbial species involved in fermentation, substrate (cheese vs acidified milk), and in the fibre type used in the SPME method. 333

334

335 **4. Conclusions**

336

Lactococcus lactis subsp. *lactis* is the predominant species in raw-milk Oaxaca cheese.
Significant genotypic and phenotypic differences among the studied *L. lactis* strains
suggest high interspecies variability. Six strains are proposed as potential starter culture
for pasteurized milk Oaxaca cheese mainly due to their high acidifying activity and
antibiotic susceptibility. Among them, 1002 strain, due to its higher production of 2methylpropanal and 3-methylbutanal, would be recommended to improve flavour.

343	Further studies are needed to evaluate the performance of the strains on Oaxaca cheeses
344	making process.
345	
346	Acknowledgements
347	
348	Lucía Fuentes thanks the Secretaría de Educación Pública, México, for the fellowship
349	granted. The authors thank Mr. Julio Armando Claro for his technical assistance.
350	
351	References
352	
353	Bujnakova, D., Strakova, E., & Kmet, V. (2014). Invitro evaluation of the safety and
354	probiotic properties of Lactobacilli isolated from chicken and calves. Anaerobe,
355	29, 118–127. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anaerobe.2013.10.009
356	Carballo, D. E., Caro, I., Andrés, S., Giráldez, F. J., & Mateo, J. (2018). Assessment of
357	the antioxidant effect of astaxanthin in fresh, frozen and cooked lamb patties. Food
358	Research International, 111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2018.05.054
359	Caro, I., Soto, S., Franco, M. J., Meza-Nieto, M., Alfaro-Rodríguez, R. H., & Mateo, J.
360	(2011). Composition, yield, and functionality of reduced-fat Oaxaca cheese:
361	Effects of using skim milk or a dry milk protein concentrate. Journal of Dairy
362	Science, 94(2). https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2010-3102
363	Caro, I, Soto, S., Contreras, I. L., & Mateo, J. (2009). Thermal inactivation of
364	Escherichia coli and coliform in Oaxaca cheese curd during a simulated kneading
365	process. In Current Research Topics in Applied Microbiology and Microbial
366	Biotechnology (pp. 412–415). WORLD SCIENTIFIC.
367	https://doi.org/10.1142/9789812837554_0086

368	Caro, Irma, Bécares, G., Fuentes, L., Garcia-Armesto, M. R., Rúa, J., Castro, J. M.,
369	Mateo, J. (2015). Evaluation of three PCR primers based on the 16S rRNA gene for
370	the identification of lactic acid bacteria from dairy origin. CyTA - Journal of Food,
371	13(2), 181–187. https://doi.org/10.1080/19476337.2014.934297
372	Caro, Irma, Soto, S., Fuentes, L., Gutiérrez-Méndez, N., García-islas, B., Monroy-
373	gayosso, K. E., & Mateo, J. (2014). Compositional , Functional and Sensory
374	Characteristics of Selected Mexican Cheeses. Food and Nutrition Sciences,
375	5(February), 366–375. https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/fns.2014.54044
376	Cogan, T. M., Barbosa, M., Beuvier, E., Bianchi-Salvadori, B., Cocconcelli, P. S.,
377	Fernandes, I., Rodriguez, E. (1997). Characterization of the lactic acid bacteria
378	in artisanal dairy products. Journal of Dairy Research, 64(3), 409-421.
379	https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022029997002185
380	Colín-Cruz, M. de los Á., Dublán-García, O., Espinoza-Ostega, A., & Domínguez
381	Lópéz, A. (2012). The effect of varying fat content on the microstructure of
382	Oaxaca cheese, a typical pasta filata cheese. International Journal of Dairy
383	Technology, 65(1), 71-80. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-0307.2011.00735.x
384	Cowan, S. T., & Steel, K. L. (1999). Theory and practice of bacterial identification. In
385	G. I. Barrow & R. K. A. Feltham (Eds.), Manual for the identification of medical
386	bacteria (pp. 1-6). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
387	https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511527104.007
388	Dal Bello, B., Rantsiou, K., Bellio, A., Zeppa, G., Ambrosoli, R., Civera, T., & Cocolin,
389	L. (2010). Microbial ecology of artisanal products from North West of Italy and
390	antimicrobial activity of the autochthonous populations. LWT - Food Science and
391	Technology, 43(7), 1151–1159. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2010.03.008
392	De Oca-Flores, E. M., CastelÁn-Ortega, O. A., Estrada-Flores, J. G., & Espinoza-

- 393 Ortega, A. (2009). Oaxaca cheese: Manufacture process and physicochemical
- characteristics. *International Journal of Dairy Technology*, 62(4), 535–540.
- 395 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-0307.2009.00533.x
- 396 Delgado, S., & Mayo, B. (2004). Phenotypic and genetic diversity of Lactococcus lactis
- 397 and Enterococcus spp. strains isolated from Northern Spain starter-free farmhouse
- 398 cheeses. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 90(3), 309–319.
- 399 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1605(03)00323-4
- 400 Díaz-Ruiz, G., Guyot, J. P., Ruiz-Teran, F., Morlon-Guyot, J., & Wacher, C. (2003).
- 401 Microbial and physiological characterization of weakly amylolytic but fast-
- 402 growing lactic acid bacteria: A functional role in supporting microbial diversity in
- 403 pozol, a Mexican fermented maize beverage. *Applied and Environmental*

404 *Microbiology*, 69(8), 4367–4374. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.69.8.4367-

- 405 4374.2003
- 406 Dominguez, A. (2011). Alimentos artesanales y tradicionales: El queso Oaxaca como
- 407 un caso de estudio del centro de Mexico. *Estudios Sociales*, *19*(38), 165–193.
- 408 Retrieved from http://www.ciad.mx/coordinaciones/desarrollo-regional/revista-
- 409 estudios-sociales/numeros-revista-
- 410 electronica.html%5Cnhttp://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=ecn
 411 & &AN=1301765&site=ehost-live&scope=site
- 412 EFSA. (2012). Technical specifications on the harmonised monitoring and reporting of
- 413 antimicrobial resistance in Salmonella, Campylobacter and indicator Escherichia
- 414 coli and Enterococcus spp . bacteria transmitted through food 1. *EFSA Journal*,
- 415 *10*(6), 64. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2742.
- 416 Estepar, J., Del Mar Sánchez, M., Alonso, L., & Mayo, B. (1999). Biochemical and
- 417 microbiological characterization of artisanal "Penamellera" cheese: Analysis of its

418 indigenous lactic acid bacteria. *International Dairy Journal*, 9(10), 737–746.

419 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0958-6946(99)00141-7

- 420 Facklam, R. R., & Wilkinson, H. (1981). The family Streptococaceae (medical aspects).
- 421 In B. and S. Stolp, Trüper (Ed.), *The prokaryotes: A Hanbook on Habitants*.
- 422 *Isolation and Identification of Bacteria* (1st ed., pp. 1572–1597). Verlag, Berlin:
- 423 Springer.
- 424 Fernández, E., Alegría, A., Delgado, S., Martín, M. C., & Mayo, B. (2011).
- 425 Comparative phenotypic and molecular genetic profiling of wild Lactococcus lactis
- 426 subsp. lactis strains of the L. lactis subsp. lactis and L. lactis subsp. cremoris
- 427 genotypes, isolated from starter-free cheeses made of raw milk. *Applied and*
- 428 *Environmental Microbiology*, 77(15), 5324–5335.
- 429 https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02991-10
- 430 Florez, A. B., Delgado, S., & Mayo, B. (2005). Antimicrobial susceptibility of lactic

431 acid bacteria isolated from a cheese environment. *Can.J.Microbiol*.

- 432 Franciosi, E., Settanni, L., Cavazza, A., & Poznanski, E. (2009). Biodiversity and
- 433 technological potential of wild lactic acid bacteria from raw cows' milk.
- 434 International Dairy Journal, 19(1), 3–11.
- 435 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idairyj.2008.07.008
- 436 Giraffa, G. (2003). Functionality of enterococci in dairy products. *International Journal*
- 437 *of Food Microbiology*, 88(2–3), 215–222. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-
- 438 1605(03)00183-1
- Harrigan, W. F. (1998). Laboratory methods in food microbiology. UK: AcademicPress.
- 441 Heavey, P. M., & Rowland, I. R. (2004, April). Gastrointestinal cancer. Best Practice
- 442 *and Research: Clinical Gastroenterology.*

443 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpg.2003.10.003

- 444 IDF (International Dairy Federation). (1995). Milk and milk products—Guidance on
 445 methods of sampling. *Standard 50C, IDF, Brussels, Belgium*.
- 446 International Organization for Standardization. (2010). ISO 10932:2010 Milk and milk
- 447 products Determination of the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of
- 448 antibiotics applicable to bifidobacteria and non-enterococcal lactic acid bacteria
- 449 (LAB). ISO 10932/IDF 233 Standard, 2010(Cmi), 38. Retrieved from
- 450 https://www.iso.org/standard/46434.html
- 451 Janda, J. M., & Abbott, S. L. (2007, September). 16S rRNA gene sequencing for
- 452 bacterial identification in the diagnostic laboratory: Pluses, perils, and pitfalls.
- 453 *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*. American Society for Microbiology (ASM).

454 https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01228-07

- 455 Katla, A.-K. K., Kruse, H., Johnsen, G., & Herikstad, H. (2001). Antimicrobial
- 456 susceptibility of starter culture bacteria used in Norwegian dairy products.
- 457 *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 67(1–2), 147–152.
- 458 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1605(00)00522-5
- 459 Kelly, W. J., Ward, L. J. H., & Leahy, S. C. (2010). Chromosomal diversity in
- 460 Lactococcus lactis and the origin of dairy starter cultures. *Genome Biology and*

461 *Evolution*, 2(1), 729–744. https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evq056

- 462 Kim, W. (2014). The genus Lactococcus. Lactic Acid Bacteria: Biodiversity and
- 463 *Taxonomy*, 429–443. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118655252.ch26
- 464 Klare, I., Konstabel, C., Werner, G., Huys, G., Vankerckhoven, V., Kahlmeter, G., ...
- 465 Goossens, H. (2007). Antimicrobial susceptibilities of Lactobacillus, Pediococcus
- and Lactococcus human isolates and cultures intended for probiotic or nutritional
- 467 use. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, *59*(5), 900–912.

- 468 https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkm035
- 469 Koeuth, T., Versalovic, J., & Lupski, J. R. (1995). Differential subsequence
- 470 conservation of interspersed repetitive Streptococcus pneumoniae BOX elements471 in diverse bacteria. *Genome Research*.
- 472 Leroy, F., & De Vuyst, L. (2004, February 1). Lactic acid bacteria as functional starter
- 473 cultures for the food fermentation industry. *Trends in Food Science and*

474 *Technology*. Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2003.09.004

- 475 Marilley, L., & Casey, M. G. (2004). Flavours of cheese products: Metabolic pathways,
- analytical tools and identification of producing strains. *International Journal of*
- 477 *Food Microbiology*, *90*(2), 139–159. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-
- 478 1605(03)00304-0
- 479 Mättö, J., Malinen, E., Suihko, M. L., Alander, P., Palva, A., & Saarela, M. (2004).
- 480 Genetic heterogeneity and functional properties of intestinal bifidobacteria.
- 481 *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 97(3), 459–470. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-
- 482 2672.2004.02340.x
- 483 Monteagudo-Mera, A., Caro, I., Rodríguez-Aparacio, L. ., Rúa, J., Ferrerp, M. A., &
- 484 García-Armesto, M. R. (2011). Characterization of Certain Bacterial Strains for
- 485 Potential Use as Starter or Probiotic Cultures in Dairy Products. *Journal of Food*
- 486 *Protection*, 74(8), 1379–1386. https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-10-392
- 487 Morales, P., Fernández-García, E., Gaya, P., & Nuñez, M. (2003). Formation of volatile
- 488 compounds by wild Lactococcus lactis strains isolated from raw ewes' milk
- 489 cheese. International Dairy Journal. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0958-
- 490 6946(02)00151-6
- 491 Nomura, M., Kobayashi, M., Narita, T., Kimoto-Nira, H., & Okamoto, T. (2006).
- 492 Phenotypic and molecular characterization of Lactococcus lactis from milk and

- 493 plants. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, *101*(2), 396–405.
- 494 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2006.02949.x
- 495 Parodi, P. W. (1999). The role of intestinal bacteria in the causation and prevention of
- 496 cancer: modulation by diet and probiotics. *Australian Journal of Dairy*
- 497 *Technology*, *54*(2), 103–121.
- 498 Randazzo, C. L., Caggia, C., & Neviani, E. (2009). Application of molecular
- 499 approaches to study lactic acid bacteria in artisanal cheeses. *Journal of*
- 500 *Microbiological Methods*, 78(1), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2009.04.001
- 501 Rossetti, L., & Giraffa, G. (2005). Rapid identification of dairy lactic acid bacteria by
- 502 M13-generated, RAPD-PCR fingerprint databases. *Journal of Microbiological*
- 503 *Methods*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2005.03.001
- Roushdy, I. (1999). Caracterización molecular y fenotípica de bacterias de ácido láctico
 aisladas de raban de laban. *Annals of Agricultural Science*, 44, 617–630.
- 506 Salem, A. Z. M., Khusro, A., Elghandour, M. M. Y., Olivares-Pérez, J., Rojas-
- 507 Hernandez, S., & Jiménez-Guillén, R. (2018). Susceptibility of ruminal bacteria
- isolated from large and small ruminant to multiple conventional antibiotics.
- 509 *Microbial Pathogenesis*, *121*(April), 93–99.
- 510 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2018.05.013
- 511 Sandoval-Copado, J., Orozco-Villafuerte, J., Pedrero-Fuehrer, D., & Colín-Cruz, M. A.
- 512 (2016). Sensory profile development of Oaxaca cheese and relationship with
- 513 physicochemical parameters. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 99(9), 7075–7084.
- 514 https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2015-10833
- 515 Saxer, S., Schwenninger, S. M., & Lacroix, C. (2013). Characterization of the
- 516 microflora of industrial Mexican cheeses produced without added chemical
- 517 preservatives. *LWT Food Science and Technology*, *53*(1), 314–320.

518 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2013.01.016

519 SIAP. (2016). Panorama de la Lechería en México. Retrieved from

- 520 http://infosiap.siap.gob.mx/opt/boletlech/Brochure leche_Septiembre2016.pdf
- 521 Smit, G., Smit, B. A., & Engels, W. J. M. (2005). Flavour formation by lactic acid
- 522 bacteria and biochemical flavour profiling of cheese products. *FEMS Microbiology*
- 523 *Reviews*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.femsre.2005.04.002
- 524 Smith, R, N., Gordon, E, R., & Clark, F, G. (1952). *Aerobic sporeforming bacteria*.
- 525 Washington, D.C: U.S Dept. of Agriculture. Retrieved from
- 526 https://archive.org/stream/aerobicsporeform16smit/aerobicsporeform16smit_djvu.t
 527 xt
- 528 Soto, S., Serrano, E., Humada, M. J., Fernández-Diez, A., Caro, I., Castro, A., & Mateo,
- 529 J. (2015). Volatile compounds in the perirenal fat from calves finished on
- semiextensive or intensive systems with special emphasis on terpenoids. *Grasas y*

531 *Aceites*, *66*(4). https://doi.org/10.3989/gya.0244151

- 532 Stackebrandt, E., & Goebel, B. M. (1994). Taxonomic Note: A Place for DNA-DNA
- 533 Reassociation and 16S rRNA Sequence Analysis in the Present Species Definition
- 534 in Bacteriology. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary

535 *Microbiology*. https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-44-4-846

- 536 Tindall, B. J., Rosselló-Móra, R., Busse, H. J., Ludwig, W., & Kämpfer, P. (2010).
- 537 Notes on the characterization of prokaryote strains for taxonomic purposes.
- 538 International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology.
- 539 https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.016949-0
- 540 Xiao, J. (2017, July 15). Dietary flavonoid aglycones and their glycosides: Which show
- 541 better biological significance? *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*.
- 542 https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2015.1032400

1 Table 1. Concentration ranges of the antibiotic tested by the E-test method and break

Antibiotic	Category	Concentration range (µg/mL)	Breaking point
Ampicillin	Beta lactam	0.016-256	2^{1}
Benzylpenicillin	Beta lactam	0.002-32	4^{2}
Chloramphenicol	Chloramphenicol	0.016-256	8^1
Ciprofloxacin	Fluoroquinolone	0.002-32	4^2
Clindamycin	Lincosamide	0.016-256	1^1
Erythromycin	Macrolide	0.016-256	1^1
Gentamicin	Aminoglycoside	0.016-256	32^{1}
Kanamycin	Aminoglycoside	0.016-256	64^{1}
Streptomycin	Aminoglycoside	0.064-1024	32^{1}
Tetracycline	Tetracycline	0.016-256	4^1
Vancomycin	Glycopeptide	0.016-256	4 ¹

2 points considered for resistance in *L. lactis*

¹EFSA, 2012

4 2 Katla et al. (2001)

5

7 Table 2. Counts (log CFU/g; mean \pm SD) of the viable lactic acid bacteria (LAB) in two

Production stage	M17 (n=3)	MRS (n=3)
Fresh milk	6.46 ± 0.45^{b}	$6.58 \pm 0.43^{\circ}$
Acidified milk	7.96 ± 0.23^{a}	8.70 ± 0.83^{a}
Acidified curd	$8.23\pm0.29^{\rm a}$	7.62 ± 0.31^{ab}
Fresh cheese	7.64 ± 0.60^{ab}	$6.63 \pm 0.65^{\rm bc}$

- 8 media from samples collected from different Oaxaca cheese production stages
- 9 ^{a, b, c}Mean values in columns with different number indicate significant difference
- 10 (p < 0.05; Tukey's test).

11

13 Table 3. Distribution of LAB isolates from different media and Oaxaca cheese

Spacing	Icolatas	Mee	dium	Chee	Cheese production stage			
Species	isolates	M17	MRS	MRS FM AM AC CH		CH		
Lactobacillus spp.								
Lb. plantarum	20	6	14	7	6	5	2	
Lb. paracasei subsp. paracasei	5	4	1	1	1	2	1	
Lb. rhamnosus	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	
Lactococcus spp.								
L. lactis subsp. lactis	20	13	7	6	4	5	5	
Leuconostoc spp.								
Le. lactis	1	1	-	-	1	-	-	
Enterococcus spp.								
E. faecalis	68	37	31	20	22	20	6	
E. faecium	6	2	4	1	1	1	3	
Total	121	63	58	35	35	33	18	

14 production stages as identified by partial 16S rRNA gene sequencing.

15 FM, milk at arriving to the cheese factory; AM, acidified milk at the moment of

16 renneting; AC, acidified curd at the moment of kneading; CH, cheese just after salting.

17

Strain	Most homologous sequence		Species ¹		Statistics			
	BLAST	Classifier		BLAST RDP-II		P-II		
	(NCBI)	(RDP-II)		Identity (%)	Similarity	Sa_b score		
501	NR_103918.1	DQ011898	L. lactis subsp. lactis	99	1.000	0.991		
502	NR_040955.1	DQ011898	L. lactis subsp. lactis	99	1.000	1.000		
506	NR_040955.1	DQ011898	L. lactis subsp. lactis	99	0.997	0.980		
509	NR_040955.1	DQ011898	L. lactis subsp. lactis	99	1.000	1.000		
511	NR_040955.1	EU091387	L. lactis subsp. lactis	99	0.998	0.953		
518	NR_040955.1	EU872263	L. lactis subsp. lactis	99	0.997	0.974		
519	NR_040955.1	JF297355	L. lactis subsp. lactis	99	1.000	0.990		
520a	NR_040955.1	EU091415	L. lactis subsp. lactis	99	0.996	0.977		
1002	NR_040955.1	DQ011898	L. lactis subsp. lactis	99	0.997	0.969		
1003	NR_040955.1	DQ011898	L. lactis subsp. lactis	98	1.000	1.000		
1004	NR_103918.1	DQ255952	L. lactis subsp. lactis	97	1.000	0.971		
1007	NR_040955.1	DQ173744	L. lactis subsp. lactis	99	0.984	0.976		
1502	NR_040955.1	AF515224	L. lactis subsp. lactis	99	0.998	0.968		
1506	NR_040955.1	DQ011898	L. lactis subsp. lactis	99	1.000	0.963		
1510	NR_103918.1	DQ011898	L. lactis subsp. lactis	99	1.000	0.980		
1520	NR_040955.1	EU872263	L. lactis subsp. lactis	99	1.000	0.964		
2002	NR_040955.1	EU872263	L. lactis subsp. lactis	99	1.000	0.964		
2016	NR_103918.1	DQ011898	L. lactis subsp. lactis	99	0.997	0.989		
2017a	NR_103918.1	DQ011898	L. lactis subsp. lactis	99	1.000	1.000		
2019	NR 103918.1	DO011898	L. lactis subsp. lactis	99	1.000	0.991		

19 Table 4. Taxonomic identification of Oaxaca cheese presumptive Lactococcus isolates based on partial 16S rRNA gene sequencing and

20 comparison of the sequences with two software programs.

21 BLAST: Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (NCBI database). Classifier (RDP-II: The Ribosomal Database Project).

¹ Bacterial species assigned based on the highest percentage of coincidence or similarity obtained with both programs.

23 S_ab scores indicate the degree of match of assembly consensus sequences to each named bacterial species in the RDP-II program.

24 Table 5. Acidifying activity and phage resistance of *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *lactis*

	Phage resistance					
Isolate	Making	6 h	12 h	24 h	Ability to	%
	process ²				acidify ³	
501	FM	5.2	4.8	4.7	М	$74.3(35)^4$
502	FM	5.3	4.8	4.6	Μ	42.8 (35)
506	AC	5.1	4.7	4.6	Μ	74.3 (35)
509	CH	5.5	4.7	4.6	Μ	52.3 (36)
511	AM	5.1	4.7	4.6	Μ	66.6 (36)
518	AC	4.9	4.5	4.4	F	38.9 (36)
519	CH	5.3	4.6	4.6	Μ	80.5 (36)
520a	СН	5.0	4.6	4.4	F	27.0 (37)
1002	FM	4.9	4.5	4.4	F	67.6 (37)
1003	AM	5.0	4.5	4.4	F	60.0 (37)
1004	AM	4.9	4.6	4.5	F	44.4 (37)
1007	СН	5.1	4.7	4.6	Μ	41.6 (36)
1502	FM	4.9	4.4	4.3	F	48.6 (37)
1506	AC	4.5	4.4	4.3	F	32.4 (37)
1510	AM	5.1	4.7	4.6	Μ	83.3 (36)
1520	FM	5.1	4.4	4.2	Μ	57.1 (35)
2002	FM	5.0	4.4	4.3	F	29.7 (37)
2019	CH	4.9	4.5	4.3	F	51.3 (37)
2016	AC	6.3	5.8	5.8	S	72.2 (36)
2017a	AC	5.8	4.6	4.5	S	45.9 (37)

25 isolates cultured on skimmed milk at 30 °C

¹ The initial pH of skimmed milk was 6.6.

² Making process (cheese production stages): FM, milk at arriving to the cheese factory;

AM, acidified milk at the moment of renneting; AC, acidified curd at the moment of

29 kneading; CH, Oaxaca cheese

 3 Groups established according to pH at 6 h of acidification at 30 °C as reported by

Roushdy et al. (1999): F, fast, $pH \le 5.0$; M, medium, pH between 5.0 to 5.5; and S,

slow, pH >5.5.

⁴ Between brackets is the number of phage examined for each strain

34

Isolate	$C4^3$	C8	LI	LA	VA	CA	CH	ACP	РНО	β-Gal	α-Glu	β-Glu	AGS
518	0	0	0	4	1	1	0	4	1	0	0	0	0
520	1	1	0	4	1	1	1	4	1	4	2	3	3
1002	1	0	0	3	1	1	1	4	1	0	0	0	0
1003	2	1	0	3	0	1	2	3	1	1	1	0	0
1004	3	2	0	4	1	2	4	3	2	2	0	0	0
1502	1	1	0	3	0	0	1	4	1	0	0	0	0
1506	1	1	0	2	0	1	1	4	2	1	0	0	0
2002	0	0	0	4	3	2	2	4	1	5	2	1	0
2019	0	1	0	3	1	1	3	3	2	2	0	0	0
Mean	1.0	0.8	0.0	3.3	0.9	1.1	1.7	3.7	1.3	1.7	0.6	0.4	0.3

Table 6. Enzymatic activity showed by the fast acidifying *Lactococcus lactis* subp. *lactis* isolates using the API-ZYM system (values between 0 and 5)²

¹ pH of milk at 6 h of acidification \leq 5,0 (see Table 5).

² Values ranging from 0 to 5 correspond to the nmol of the substrate hydrolyzed: 0, 0 nmol; 1, 5 nmol; 2, 10 nmol; 3, 20 nmol; 4, 30 nmol; 5, \geq 40 nmol. Activities with values of 0 for all the isolates were not shown in the table.

³C4, Esterase; C8, Esterase lipase; LI, Lipase; LA, Leucine arylamidase; VA, Valine arylamidase; CA, Cystine arylamidase; CH, α-Chymotrypsin; ACP, Acid phosphatase; PHO, Naphthol-AS-BI-phosphohydrolase; α-Gal, α-Galactosidase; β-Gal, β-Galactosidase; α-Glu, α-Glucosidase; β-Glu, β-Glucosidase; AGS, N-acetyl- β-glucosaminidase;

⁴ Enzymatic activity of the isolate 2017a could not be determined.

Table 7. Minimum inhibitory concentrations of antimicrobial agents (µg/ml; Etest, AB BioDisk) against the fast acidifying *Lactococcus lactis* subsp *lactis* isolates¹.

Antimicrobial	Strains									
agents	518	520	1002	1003	1004	1502	1506	2002	2019	
Ampicillin	0.38	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.19	0.25	≤0.02	0.50	
Benzylpenicillin	0.25	0.19	0.19	0.25	0.50	0.125	0.125	0.19	0.25	
Vancomycin	0.09	0.19	0.25	0.19	0.75	0.38	0.25	0.38	0.38	
Chloramphenicol	0.75	1.0	0.5	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.5	1.0	
Clindamycin	0.19	0.38	0.38	0.06	12.0^{R}	0.05	0.16	0.05	0.05	
Erythromycin	0.75	0.50	0.75	1.5^{R}	2.4^{R}	0.125	0.032	0.125	0.03	
Tetracycline	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.38	0.03	0.125	0.25	0.125	0.125	
Gentamicin	3.0	3.0	0.75	1.5	16.0	0.75	3.0	0.75	0.75	
Kanamycin	3.0	3.0	3.0	1.5	$\geq 256^{\text{R}}$	2.0	1.5	6.0	2.0	
Streptomycin	12.0	12.0	12.0	8.0	384 ^R	8.0	6.0	24.0	16.0	
Ciprofloxacin	1.5	1.0	2.0	1.5	1.0	2	1.5	2.0	1.5	

^R Resistant according to EFSA (2012) and Katla et al. (2001) (see Table 1 for the breakpoints).

¹ pH of milk at 6 h of acidification \leq 5,0 (see Table 5).

Table 8. Amounts of the volatile compounds produced by the *L. lactis* subsp. *lactis* isolates from Oaxaca cheese in UHT milk at 30°C for 48 h expressed as μ g cyclohexanone equivalent/g milk).

	RRT	Strains										
Volatile compound		518	520	1002	1003	1004	1502	1506	2002	2019	- Mean \pm SD	SEL
Ethanol	<600	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.03	0.14	0.08	0.10	0.07	0.04	0.07 ± 0.03	0.001
Propanone	<600	0.04	0.09	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.07	0.18	0.09	0.04	0.07 ± 0.05	0.007
2-Methylpropanal	<600	0.01	0.05	0.22	-	-	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.05 ± 0.07	0.002
Butane-2,3-dione (diacetyl)	613	1.62	1.70	1.32	1.32	1.52	1.53	1.46	1.96	1.40	1.54 ± 0.20	0.334
2-Methylpropanol	622	-	-	0.07	-	-	-	-	-	0.01	0.01 ± 0.02	0.000
3-Methylbutanal	652	1.77	1.97	3.11	0.62	1.52	2.03	2.04	1.93	1.12	1.79 ± 0.69	0.076
3-Methyl-2-butanone (acetoin)	657	0.01	0.10	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.02	-	-	0.02	0.02 ± 0.03	0.006
Acetic acid	661	0.06	-	-	0.89	0.07	0.01	-	0.01	0.25	0.14 ± 0.29	0.012
3-Hydroxy-2-butanone	722	0.72	0.68	0.28	0.72	1.34	0.61	0.67	0.83	0.83	0.72 ± 0.28	0.147
3-Methylbutanol	743	2.94	2.17	7.69	2.51	1.97	2.22	1.95	1.95	1.53	2.77 ± 1.89	0.326
2,3-Heptanedione	838	0.04	0.10	0.58	0.14	0.15	0.11	0.09	0.17	0.05	0.16 ± 0.16	0.013
Butanoic acid	825	0.65	0.40	0.60	0.39	0.52	0.27	0.21	0.14	0.20	0.37 ± 0.18	0.022
4-Methyl-2-oxopentanoic acid	950	0.21	0.12	0.58	0.19	0.19	0.14	0.12	0.14	0.13	0.20 ± 0.15	0.003
5-Hydroxy-2,7-dimethyl-4-octanone	954	0.68	0.30	1.55	0.61	0.46	0.47	0.38	0.47	0.27	0.58 ± 0.39	0.069

RRT: Relative retention time.

SEL: Standard error of the laboratory: $\sqrt{(\Sigma[y_1 - y_2]^2/N)}$, where y_1 and y_2 are duplicates of a strain and N is the total number of strains.

-: not detected (below the quantification limit, 0.01 µg cyclohexanone eq. per ml of UHT milk).



Figure 1. Phylogenetic tree of *Lactococcus lactis* subp *lactis* isolated from different dairy sources during Oaxaca cheese making process and a number of *Lactococcus* spp. reference strains based on their 16S rRNA sequences obtained, respectively, from 16S RNAr gene sequencing (670 bp) and the Ribosomal Database Project (Cole et al., 2014) . Sequences were aligned using the Clustal W program. The genetic distances (see the scale at the top) were calculated by the UPMGA algorithm.

Source: A and B, factory code; ATCC, American Type Culture Collection; DSMZ, Deutsche Sammlung von Mikroorganismen und Zellkulturen; L 105, Velazquez Collection number.

NCDO, National Collection of Dairy Organisms.

Making process (cheese production stages): FM, milk at arriving to the cheese factory; AM, acidified milk at the moment of renneting; AC, acidified curd at the moment of kneading; CH, cheese just after salting.



Figure 2.- RAPD and rep-PCR fingerprinting profiles obtained with primers OPA18 (Panel A), M13 (Panel B) and BoxA2R (Panel C), for the *Lactococcus lactis* isolates from Oaxaca cheese; strains from factory A: 2016, 2017, 519, 518, 520, 1510, 2019, 511 y 1520 and strains from factory B: 1502, 1506, 1002, 501, 502, 509, 1003, 1004, 1007.M, molecular weight marker; on the left of the panel, the size of the fragments in kbp is indicated. Panel D, dendogram of similarity of the combined typing profiles expressed by the Simple Matching (SM) coefficient. Clustering was performed by the unweighted pair group method using arithmetic averages (UPGMA). The dotted line indicates the repeatability of the combined typing method (94%).

Supplementary Material Click here to download Supplementary Material: SUPPLEMENT MATERIAL final-2.docx

Credit Author Statement

Conceptualization (I.C. and J.M.); methodology (I.C., V.A., L.S.C., B.M. and A.B.F.); investigation (I.C. and L.F.); resources (J.M., V.A., L.S.C., B.M. and A.B.F.); writing – original draft (E.J.Q., M.P.R.-d.-R. and J.M.); writing – review & editing (I.C., E.J.Q. and J.M.); and funding acquisition (I.C. and J.M.).