Risk factors for head and neck cancer in young adults: A pooled analysis in the INHANCE consortium

RISK FACTORS FOR HEAD AND NECK CANCER IN YOUNG ADULTS: A POOLED ANALYSIS IN THE INHANCE CONSORTIUM

Tatiana Natasha Toporcov,[1,*] Ariana Znaor,[2] Zuo-Feng Zhang,[3] Guo-Pei Yu,[4] Deborah M Winn,[5] Qingyi Wei,[6] Marta Vilensky,[7] Thomas Vaughan,[8] Peter Thomson,[9] Renato Talamini,[10] Neonila Szeszenia-Dabrowska,[11] Erich M Sturgis,[6] Elaine Smith,[12] Oxana Shangina,[13] Stephen M Schwartz,[8] Stimson Schantz,[14] Peter Rudnai,[15] Lorenzo Richiardi,[16] Heribert Ramroth,[17] Mark P Purdue,[5] Andrew F Olshan,[18] José Eluf-Neto,[19] Joshua Muscat,[20] Raquel Ajub Moyses,[21] Hal Morgenstern,[22] Ana Menezes,[23]Michael McClean,[24] Keitaro Matsuo,[25] Dana Mates,[26] Tatiana V Macfarlane,[27] Jolanta Lissowska,[28] Fabio Levi,[29] Philip Lazarus,[30] Carlo La Vecchia,[31] Pagona Lagiou,[32]Sergio Koifman,[33] Kristina Kjaerheim,[34] Karl Kelsey,[35] Ivana Holcatova,[36] Rolando Herrero,[37] Claire Healy,[38] Richard B Hayes,[39] Silvia Franceschi,[40] Leticia Fernandez,[41] Eleonora Fabianova,[42] Alexander W Daudt,[43] Otávio Alberto Curioni,[44]Luigino Dal Maso,[10] Maria Paula Curado,[45] David I Conway,[46] Chu Chen,[47] Xavier Castellsague,[48] Cristina Canova,[49] Gabriella Cadoni,[50] Paul Brennan,[43] Stefania Boccia,[51] José Leopoldo Ferreira Antunes,[1] Wolfgang Ahrens,[52] Antonio Agudo,[53]Paolo Boffetta,[54] Mia Hashibe,[55] Yuan-Chin Amy Lee,[56] and Victor Wünsch Filho[1]

[1]Faculdade de Saúde Pública, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil,[2]Croatian National Cancer Registry, Zagreb, Croatia, [3]UCLA School of Public Health, Los Angeles, CA, USA, [4]Medical Informatics Center, Peking University, Beijing, China, and New York Medical College, Valhalla, NY, USA, [5]National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD, USA, [6]UT-MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA, [7]Institute of Oncology Angel H. Roffo, University of Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina,[8]Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA, USA, [9]School of Dental Sciences, University of Newcastle, Newcastle, UK, [10]Aviano Cancer Centre, Aviano, Italy, [11]Institute of Occupational Medicine, Lodz, Poland, [12]College of Public Health, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA, [13]Cancer Research Centre, Moscow, Russia,[8]Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA, USA, [14]New York Eye and Ear Infirmary, New York, NY, USA, [15]National Institute of Environmental Health, Budapest, Hungary, [16]Department of Medical Science, Cancer Epidemiology Unit, University of Turin, Turin, Italy, [17]Institute of Public Health, University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany, [18]University of North Carolina School of Public Health, Chapel Hill, NC, USA, [19]Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil, [20]Penn State College of Medicine, Hershey, PA, USA, [21]Cirurgia de Cabeça e Pescoço (LIM 28), Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil, [22]Departments of Epidemiology and Environmental Health Sciences, School of Public Health, and Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of Michigan Ann Arbor, Ann Arbor, MI, USA, [23]Universidade Federal de Pelotas, Pelotas, Brazil, [24]Boston University School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA, [25]Aichi Cancer Center Research Institute, Nagoya, Japan, [26]Institute of Public Health, Bucharest, Romania, [27]University of Aberdeen Dental School, Aberdeen, UK, [28]The M Skasodowska-Curie Memorial Cancer Center and Institute of Oncology, Department of Cancer Epidemiology and Prevention, Warsaw, Poland, [29]Institut Universitaire de Medecine Sociale et Preventive (IUMSP), Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Vaudois and University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland, [30]Washington State University College of Pharmacy, Spokane, WA, USA, [31]IRCCS, Istituto di Ricerche Farmacologiche Mario Negri and Department of Clinical Sciences and Community Health, University of Milan, Milan, Italy,[32]University of Athens School of Medicine, Athens, Greece, [33]Escola Nacional de Saude Publica, Fundacao Oswaldo Cruz, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, [34]Cancer Registry of Norway, Oslo, Norway, [35]Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Brown University, Providence, RI, USA, [36]First Faculty of Medicine, Charles University in Prague, Prague, Czech Republic, [37]Prevention and Implementation Group, International Agency for Research on Cancer, World Health Organization, Lyon, France, [38]Trinity College School of Dental Science, Dublin, Ireland, [39]Division of Epidemiology, New York University School Of Medicine, New York, NY, USA,[40]International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, France, [41]Institute of Oncology and Radiobiology, Havana, Cuba, [42]Regional Authority of Public Health in Banska Bystrica, Banska Bystrica, Slovakia, [43]Hospital de Clinicas de Porto Alegre, Porto Alegre, Brazil, [44]Hospital Heliópolis, Secretaria de Estado da Saúde de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil, [45]International Prevention Research Institute, Lyon, France, [46]Dental School, College of Medical, Veterinary and Life Sciences, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK, [48]Institut Catala d'Oncologia (ICO), IDIBELL, CIBER-ESP, L'Hospitalet de Llobregat, Barcelona, Spain, [49]University of Padua, Padua, Italy, [50]Institute of Otorhinolaryngology, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Rome, Italy, [51]Institute of Public Health, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Rome, Italy, [52]Leibniz Institute for Prevention Research and Epidemiology, BIPS, Bremen, Germany; University of Bremen, Institute of Statistics, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Germany, [53]Institut Català d'Oncologia (ICO), IDIBELL. L'Hospitalet de Llobrgat, Barcelona, Spain, [54]Tisch Cancer Institute, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, NY, USA, [55]Huntsman Cancer Institute, University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, UT, USA, [56]Division of Public Health, Department of Family & Preventive Medicine, University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, UT, USA

*Corresponding author. Departamento de Epidemiologia, Faculdade de Saúde Pública, Universidade de São Paulo, Av. Dr Arnaldo, 715- São Paulo-SP, 01246-904, Brazil. E-mail: rb.moc.oohay@vocropot_itat

Abstract
Background: Increasing incidence of head and neck cancer (HNC) in young adults has been reported. We aimed to compare the role of major risk factors and family history of cancer in HNC in young adults and older patients.
Methods: We pooled data from 25 case-control studies and conducted separate analyses for adults ≤45 years old ('young adults', 2010 cases and 4042 controls) and >45 years old ('older adults', 17 700 cases and 22 704 controls). Using logistic regression with studies treated as random effects, we estimated adjusted odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs).
Results: The young group of cases had a higher proportion of oral tongue cancer (16.0% in women; 11.0% in men) and unspecified oral cavity / oropharynx cancer (16.2%; 11.1%) and a lower proportion of larynx cancer (12.1%; 16.6%) than older adult cases. The proportions of never smokers or never drinkers among female cases were higher than among male cases in both age groups. Positive associations with HNC and duration or pack-years of smoking and drinking were similar across age groups. However, the attributable fractions (AFs) for smoking and drinking were lower in young when compared with older adults (AFs for smoking in young women, older women, young men and older men, respectively, = 19.9% (95% CI = 9.8%, 27.9%), 48.9% (46.6%, 50.8%), 46.2% (38.5%, 52.5%), 64.3% (62.2%, 66.4%); AFs for drinking = 5.3% (−11.2%, 18.0%), 20.0% (14.5%, 25.0%), 21.5% (5.0%, 34.9%) and 50.4% (46.1%, 54.3%). A family history of early-onset cancer was associated with HNC risk in the young [OR = 2.27 (95% CI = 1.26, 4.10)], but not in the older adults [OR = 1.10 (0.91, 1.31)]. The attributable fraction for family history of early-onset cancer was 23.2% (8.60% to 31.4%) in young compared with 2.20% (−2.41%, 5.80%) in older adults.
Conclusions: Differences in HNC aetiology according to age group may exist. The lower AF of cigarette smoking and alcohol drinking in young adults may be due to the reduced length of exposure due to the lower age. Other characteristics, such as those that are inherited, may play a more important role in HNC in young adults compared with older adults.
Keywords: Head and neck neoplasms, adult, smoking, alcohol drinking, diet

Introduction

Approximately 550 000 new cases of head and neck cancer (HNC) are diagnosed worldwide annually.1 Furthermore, an increasing incidence of head and neck neoplasms among young adults (YA) has been reported;2 in particular, reports indicate an increase in tumours affecting the tongue and oropharynx among YA in India,3 Europe,4 the USA5 and China.6

The aetiology of HNC in YA is still unclear. Some authors proposed that HNC in YA might be a distinct subset more related to genetic predisposition, or HPV infection, than HNC in older adults7 because younger adults would have a reduced length of exposure to major carcinogenic factors,8 mainly tobacco and alcohol consumption and a poor diet. Conversely, association studies specifically assessing YA have found non-negligible associations between these risk factors and HNC.9,10As these studies generally did not assess associations for older adults, it has not been possible to know whether the risks of HNC associated with its major risk factors are consistent across age groups.

Because YA represent a minority of HNC, studies that examined risk factors in this group comprised limited samples, which leads to imprecise results and does not allow for stratification by cancer subsites or sex. In addition, studies on HNC defined YA according to different age-group criteria, i.e. arbitrary age cut-off points ranging from 3011 to 50 years,10thus leading to limited comparability of results.

The use of pooled data from a large number of case-control studies would provide increased statistical power for the analysis of lifestyle characteristics and family history of cancer associated with HNC in YA, thus allowing a rigorous assessment of the hypothesis that HNC in YA constitutes an aetiologically distinct subset. The International Head and Neck Cancer Epidemiology (INHANCE) Consortium [http://inhance.iarc.fr/] database provides a unique opportunity to investigate the aetiology of HNC in YA.

Methods

Study population characteristics

The INHANCE consortium was established in 2004 to elucidate the aetiology of HNC by providing opportunities for pooled analyses of risk factors on a large number of participants. This consortium pools epidemiological studies, mainly of case-control design, from many countries and regions (Europe, North and South America, Asia and Africa)—including studies from high-, medium- and low-income countries.12Version 1.3 of the INHANCE Consortium pooled dataset comprised 26 case-control studies from Europe, America and

Asia.13-38 The Germany-Saarland study from Europe did not include any cases aged 45 years or younger and was thus excluded. Subjects with missing data on age, sex and cancer subsite were excluded (40 cases and 3 controls aged 45 years or younger; and 156 cases and 23 controls older than 45 years). The YA group comprised 1910 cases and 4042 controls aged 45 or younger. Results for cases (n = 16 694) and controls (n = 22 772) older than 45 years of age (>45 years) were used for comparison.

Details of the 25 studies included in the analyses are shown inSupplementary Table 1 (available as Supplementary data at *IJE* online). Most of these were hospital-based case-control studies, and in the majority of these studies, the control subjects were matched to cases with regard to age, sex and additional characteristics (such as study centre, hospital and race/ethnicity).

Cases were included in this analysis if the tumours had been classified in the original study as invasive HNC according to the International Classification of Diseases (ICD) Oncology, Version 239 (ICD-O-2), the ICD-940 or the ICD-10.41 ICD-10 codes were used to classify each tumour into anatomical subsite categories: oral cavity (C00.3-C00.9, C02.0-C02.3, C03.0, C03.1, C03.9, C04.0, C04.1, C04.8, C04.9, C05.0, C05.8, C05.9, C06.0-C06.2, C06.8, C06.9), oropharynx (C01.9, C02.4, C05.1, C05.2, C09.0, C09.1, C09.8, C09.9, C10.0-C10.4, C10.8, C10.9), hypopharynx (C12.9, C13.0-C13.2, C13.8 C13.9), oral-oropharynx-hypopharynx not otherwise specified (C02.8, C02.9, C05.8, C05.9, C14.0, C14.2, C14.8) and larynx (C32.0-C32.3, C32.8, C32.9). Cancers of the salivary gland were excluded from our analysis because their aetiological pattern differs from that of other head and neck tumours.42

Our analysis included all histological types included in the ICD codes considered in the study. For the Milan and Aviano Italian multicentre studies and four centres in the International Multicentre study (Bangalore, Madras, Sudan and Trivandrum), no information on case histology was available. Of the 1570 young head and neck cancer cases for whom histological information was available, 88.2% of the female cases and 94.5% of the male cases were squamous cell carcinoma, whereas among the older cases, these proportions were 94.0% and 97.1%, respectively.

Data collection and pooling

For all studies, interviews were conducted face to face by trained interviewers. Written informed consent was obtained from the study subjects, and the investigations were approved by the institutional review boards at each of the institutions involved. Questionnaires were collected from all of the individual studies to assess data comparability and the wording of interview questions. The data from individual studies were received with the personal identifiers removed, and each data item was checked for illogical or missing values. Queries were sent to investigators, and inconsistencies were resolved.

The definition of ever or never cigarette smokers and ever or never drinkers used in this study has been previously described in detail.42 Although questions regarding history of cigarette smoking varied across studies, never users of cigarettes, pipes and cigars did not exceed either 1 year of cigarette smoking or 100 cigarettes in a lifetime or ever smoked 'regularly'. Pack-years of cigarette smoking were calculated by multiplying the number of packs (defined as 20 cigarettes) per day by the number of years of smoking. Subjects were asked about the duration, frequency and type of alcoholic beverages consumed (beer, wine, hard liquors and aperitifs). The definition of never drinkers also varied throughout the studies, from 0 drinks in a lifetime to <4 drinks per month. To address the different volume specifications for each type of alcoholic beverage by study, the number of drinks per day was calculated as the frequency of consumption of each alcoholic beverage type weighted by the relevant duration.

The assessment of diet has been described in detail previously.43 The data were collected using food frequency questionnaires that obtained information about diet before sick (Milan, Aviano, France, IARC multicentre studies), 10 years prior to interview (Seattle study), 5 years prior to interview (Boston study), 2 years prior to interview (Italy multicentre and Switzerland studies), 1 year prior to interview (North Carolina, Rome, US multicentre and Western Europe studies), within the 3 years before the interview (Puerto Rico study), before having cancer (Los Angeles and MSKCC studies), between 1980 and 1990 (Heidelberg study) and the diet in the diagnosis (Central Europe study). Briefly, four major food categories were examined: vegetables, fruits, animal products and others (cereals and grains). Several food items and sub-food categories were identified within each major food category. Centre-specific quartiles were used among the controls for food groups. Of the 25 studies included, 21 collected data on diet. In the Boston and Seattle study, data on diet were available only for a subset of the subjects and those with no data were not included in the analysis.

The definition of 'family member with cancer' was described previously.44Four categories of cancers in the family were considered: (i) head and neck cancers, including only cancers with the previously described topography; (ii) other tobacco-related cancers [i.e. lung (C34), nasopharynx (C11), nasal cavity (C30), paranasal sinuses (C31), oesophagus (C15), stomach (C16), pancreas (C25), liver (C22), kidney (body and pelvis, C64), urinary bladder (C67), uterine cervix (C53) and bone marrow (myeloid leukaemia, C92)]; (iii) any cancer in relatives of any age; (iv) any cancer in young relatives.

Statistical analysis

The associations between cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption, diet, cancer in family members and HNC were assessed by estimating the odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) using mixed effects logistic regression models, with study centres as random intercepts. Respective ORs were calculated for each age group [≤45 years old (yo) and >45 yo] and sex with adjustments for age, study, education, cigarette smoking (pack-years) and alcohol consumption (drinks/day). Multivariate adjusted models were further stratified according to cancer site (oral cavity, oropharynx and larynx) and smoking status (never smokers and ever smokers). The limited number of hypopharynx cancer cases (n = 108) in YA ≤45 yo did not allow stratification for that subsite. Linear trends in frequency, duration and cumulative use of tobacco or alcohol and frequency of food intake were assessed by *p*-values obtained from modelling the continuous forms of these variables.

To test for differences in results according to age group, we fitted models including subjects in both age groups with adjustment for age, study, education, cigarette smoking (pack-years) and alcohol consumption (drinks/day), plus an interaction term between age group and each variable of interest. *p*-Values for the interaction term were calculated using likelihood ratio tests and used as suggestive of differences or similarities in results according to age group

The fraction (AF) of cases with HNC attributable to cigarette smoking, alcohol drinking and family history of cancer was estimated using the formula $AF = p(ec) \times (OR - 1)/OR$, where p(ec) is the proportion exposed among the case subjects.45

An influence analysis was performed by testing for variation in estimates according to the strata defined by data collection period and geographical region of study. We also performed analyses to determine whether any individual study's data unduly influenced the results. Influence analyses were performed using STATA SE11 using xtmelogit command.

We tested for between-study and between–study centre heterogeneity by conducting a likelihood ratio test comparing a multivariate logistic model, not mixedeffects models, including the interaction terms between each study (other than the reference study) with the variable of interest and a model without the product terms, for the risk of HNC.

Results

Among cases, 10.7% were ≤45 yo. Compared with the >45 yo group, YA with HNC had a higher proportion of oral tongue cancer (16.0% in women and 11.0% in men) and unspecified oral cavity/oropharynx cancer (16.2% in women and 11.1% in men) and a lower proportion of larynx cancer (12.1% in women and 16.6% in men). With the exception of young female controls, higher proportions of never smokers and never drinkers were observed in young individuals as compared with the older subjects. A higher proportion of individuals with a higher education (Table 1) was found in YA cases and controls of both sexes when compared with the older group. Furthermore, across all of the age groups, the proportions of cases with oral tongue cancer, never smokers or never drinkers were higher among women than among men.

The association with ever-smoking in YA was lower than in older subjects (Table 2, Supplementary Table 2 available as Supplementary data at *IJE*online). This difference remained in the analysis stratified by cancer sub site (Table 3), which also revealed substantially higher associations for larynx cancer in all age groups when compared with estimates for other subsites. The attributable fraction for cigarette smoking on the risk of HNC was 19.9% (95% CI = 9.8%, 27.9%) in young women, 48.9% (46.6%, 50.8%) in older women, 46.2% (38.5%, 52.5%) in young men and 64.3% (62.2%, 66.4%) in older men. In all age groups and sexes, the risk of HNC was directly associated with increasing duration, frequency or cumulative exposure to cigarette smoking, with a dose-response effect observed in cumulative cigarette consumption. ORs for both duration and cumulative strata of smoking were similar across age groups among men. Among women, lower ORs were found for smoking frequency in YA compared with the older group. Across all age groups, higher Ors were found for men than for women (Table 2, Supplementary Table 2 available asSupplementary data at *IJE* online).

The association with ever drinking in YA was weaker than in the older group. However, risks according to strata of frequency, duration and cumulative consumption were similar across age groups, with the exception of the highest category of frequency of intake (≥5 drinks/day), which showed stronger associations in older than in young individuals (Table 4,Supplementary Table 3 available as Supplementary data at *IJE* online). Associations with alcohol intake remained in the specific assessment of ever smokers, whereas in never smokers associations were observed only among older men (Supplementary Table 4, Supplementary Table 5, available as Supplementary data at *IJE* online). With respect to the duration of alcohol intake, differences by sex were observed: duration was not associated with HNC risk in young women, whereas direct associations were observed among young men, older women and older men (Table 4). The attributable fraction for alcohol drinking on the risk of HNC was 5.3% (95% CI = −11.2%, 18.0%) in

young women, 20.0% (95%CI = 14.5%, 25.0%) in older women, 21.5% (5.0%, 34.9%) in young men and 50.4% (46.1%, 54.3%) in older men.

The analysis that included all HNC cases and controls indicated that the frequency of drinking, rather than the duration, played a more important role in HNC development. Considering this finding, we used stratified analyses to assess the role of drinking status (ever/never drinker) and the frequency of alcohol intake by cancer subsite (Table 5). Positive associations were comparable for alcohol consumption across age groups in all cancer subsites. In addition, Ors for alcohol consumption were higher for oropharynx than for cancers of other subsites.

The frequency of fruit and vegetable intake was inversely associated with HNC risk in both age groups (Table 6, Supplementary Table 6 available asSupplementary data at *IJE* online). This inverse association remained in the stratified analysis by sex, cancer subsite and smoking status (Supplementary Table 7, Supplementary Table 8, available asSupplementary data at *IJE* online). No association was observed between meat and cereal consumption and HNC risk in YA (Table 6). Conversely, positive associations were found for the highest quartile of intake of meat products in older men and the highest quartile of intake of cereals in women.

Family history of any cancer was directly associated with HNC only among the older group (Table 5, Supplementary Table 9 available asSupplementary data at *IJE* online). Borderline associations were found for family history of smoking-related cancers in the >45 age group, in which a family history of HNC was also positively associated with HNC risk. A family history of early-onset cancer was associated with HNC risk only in YA (Table 7). Among YA never smokers, a family history of any cancer was inversely associated with HNC whereas in YA ever smokers, a positive association was found. The attributable fraction for family history of early-onset cancer on the risk of HNC was 23.2% (95% CI = 8.6%, 31.4%) in young and 2.2% (−2.41%, 5.8%) in older adults.

Between-study heterogeneity was detected. ORs for tobacco, alcohol, diet and family history of cancer were similar in sensitivity analysis when excluding one study at time (results not shown) or according to the recruitment period of study (studies conducted before 2000 vs studies conducted after 2000). In addition, when cases with missing information on histology were excluded, the results did not significantly change (results not shown). As an exception, estimates for cigarette smoking (ever vs never smokers) in older women and men were higher in earlier studies when compared with later studies (results not shown). The geographical region of study also partially explained the effects of cigarette smoking and alcohol drinking. The risks for ever vs never cigarette smokers, as well as for ever vs never alcohol drinkers, were higher in Europe and Latin America in comparison with North America and Asia in both age groups and sexes (results not shown). Estimates for family history of cancer on HNC risk were higher in Latin America in comparison with North America and Europe. Conversely, inverse associations for family history of cancer and HNC risk were found among the young in Asia (results not shown).

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the largest study evaluating the role of the major risk factors for HNC in YA (persons aged 45 years or less) as well as to compare risks in YA and older patients. The large sample size allowed us to elucidate any differences in the role of risk factors in HNC in YA according to age group, sex and cancer subsites. Our results supported the differences in the characteristics of cases aged 45 years or younger compared with those aged >45 years: YA comprised a lower proportion of drinkers and/or smokers and were more likely to have been diagnosed with oral and/or oropharynx cancer, as previously reported. Moreover, a higher proportion of oral tongue cancer was observed in YA compared with the older cases, as well as in women compared with men in all age groups. In addition, we found evidence that the importance of cigarette smoking in relation to HNC in YA may be limited by the lesser duration of exposure due to young age. We also found evidence that alcohol consumption is a risk factor for HNC in YA; however, a more intense association with heavy drinking was observed for the older group. Our results also indicate that the inverse association with fruit and vegetable intake is similar among young and older populations. Furthermore, aggregation of early malignancy diagnosis in the family was associated with HNC risk only among YA.

The characteristics of YA with HNC in terms of exposure to risk factors and cancer subsites are consistent with those described in previous studies performed in the USA,[46,47] the UK,[48] Italy,[9] Sri Lanka,[49] Brazil[50,51] and India.[52] All of these studies reported a higher percentage of women, never smokers, never drinkers and oral cavity cancer among YA with HNC compared with studies that included patients of all age groups. We also found a higher proportion of oral cavity cancer (especially oral tongue cancer) among the young cases and this proportion was higher among women. This finding agrees with

those of previous studies which reported increasing rates for oral cavity tumours (especially oral tongue cancer) in individuals younger than 40 years of age in India,3 Europe,4 the USA5,53and China.6
Association studies on HNC risk factors among YA have been performed in several countries9,10,49,50,54-68. Most of the studies only included young patients with oral49-51,57-61,63,64 and pharyngeal cancers,9,65whereas some other studies included only laryngeal cancer patients68 and some included all HNC subsites.10,54–56,62,66,67,69 The age cut-off for the 'young adult' group varied across the studies, including 30 years,56,5735 years,58 40 years,49–51,54,55,59,62,63,67–69 45 years9,60,61,64–66and 50 years.10 Most analyses of studies with an age cut-off of 45 or 50 yearsfound associations with diet, tobacco and alcohol.9,10,61,64–66Regarding studies with an age cut-off of 35 or 40 years, some of these studies reported that HNC in young cases was less strongly associated with drinking and smoking,49–51,57,58,62,67,69 whereas others reported stronger associations.59,69 The largest case group in studies that included persons only aged 45 years or younger comprised137 patients.9
The risk of HNC associated with the cumulative consumption of tobacco in YA found in the present study is in agreement with previous studies conducted in Europe.2,9,10 No differences by age were observed with the strata of duration and cumulative consumption of cigarettes, thus supporting the hypothesis that the carcinogenic effect of cigarette smoking does not depend on age if the level of exposure is the same among young and older individuals. In addition, the weaker associations for ever smoking observed in YA as compared with the older group support the hypothesis that the relationship between cigarette smoking and head and neck carcinogenesis in YA may be limited by a reduced length of exposure due to young age.8,64 Thus, this observation would indicate a more important role of other, unknown risk factors for HNC in YA.8,57 Consistent with this hypothesis are also the lower attributable fractions found for tobacco in YA in comparison with the older group.
Frequent alcohol consumption was associated with HNC in young subjects and this finding has been reported by other investigators.9,10,64Kmietowicz70 suggested that the increasing incidence of mouth cancer among young British subjects may be linked to a modified alcohol consumption pattern of higher frequency of alcohol consumption at very young ages. Although our data did not allow the assessment of 'binge' drinking, which is an alcohol intake pattern that has been associated with other lifestyle-associated cancers,71 our findings regarding the frequency of alcohol intake suggest the impact of factors other than alcohol consumption on early head and neck carcinogenesis, as the associations with ever drinking were lower in YA than in the older group. Furthermore, drinking status in ever smokers presented a higher HNC risk in every age stratum, which supports the hypothesis that alcohol intake increases the carcinogenic effect of cigarette smoking in all age groups.72
Consistent with other studies, our results suggest that a high frequency of fruit and vegetable consumption is associated with a reduced risk of HNC in YA. Previous studies also found associations between a diet rich in fruits and vegetables and a reduced risk of HNC in all ages,43 particularly in YA.9,10,64,73 In contrast to the weaker relationship between tobacco or alcohol and HNC risk at young ages than older ages, the inverse association with fruit and vegetable consumption did not seem to be influenced by the length of exposure; the same observation was reported by Llewellyn *et al.*64
In our study, 20% of young cases had at least one family member with a history of any cancer. Although this proportion is similar to that observed in a previous study in Canada, which included only patients under 41 years of age,62 other studies found higher proportions, such as 66% for cases under 46 years of age in England48 and 55% for cases under 40 years of age53 in the USA. Cancer aggregation seemed to play different roles in HNC carcinogenesis in YA compared with older adults in our study. Similarly to previous findings by Negri *et al.*44 in a study including all age groups (that also used data from the INHANCE Consortium to assess the role of family history of cancer), family history of any cancer at any age was associated with HNC in individuals aged >45 years. However, no association was observed among individuals aged ≤45 years, which contrasts with the results of other studies that specifically assessed young patients.50,54 Caution is needed when interpreting this result, as the probability of having a family member with cancer may be higher for older than for young people. Older people are more likely to have older relatives, and since cancer risk generally increases with age, the chances of having a relative with cancer would be higher for older persons. In contrast, direct associations with family history of cancer in YA were observed in ever smokers, whereas the association was inverse in never smokers. Further studies are needed to explain whether these results may be driven by a possible familial aggregation of risk factors or by some gene-environment interaction.
A novel finding of our study is the association between the aggregation of early-onset family history of cancer and HNC risk in YA. Similar results have been reported for lung cancer,74,75 but the biological mechanisms that explain this association are still unclear. In addition, caution is needed in interpreting this result because only four studies were included and a very low percentage of patients (10%) from these

studies had available relevant information. Thus, the possibility of information bias resulting from the higher proportion of missing information should be considered.
Our study has some limitations. Recall bias is a potential limitation that is difficult to overcome in case-control studies. Another limitation is that we were unable to examine HPV infection as a risk factor or adjust for it to determine whether the association between cigarette smoking and alcohol consumption in oropharyngeal cancer according to sex could be related to HPV infection status.
The major strength of our study was the large sample size of young HNC patients and controls, which allowed us to explore heterogeneity in risk by sex and cancer subsite in more detail than previously performed. We also used data from older patients as a basis for comparison and found evidence for differences in HNC aetiology according to major risk factors. Our results support the public health efforts to decrease the exposure to major risk factors for HNC in the population regardless of age. However, investigations of the role of other risk factors, such as HPV and inherited characteristics, in HNC in this age group are warranted.
Funding
This work was supported by the US National Institutes of Health, the National Cancer Institute [R03CA113157] and the National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Health [R03DE016611]. The individual studies were funded by the following grants: Milan study: Italian Association for Research on Cancer (AIRC); Aviano study: Italian Association for Research on Cancer (AIRC), Italian League Against Cancer and Italian Ministry of Research; France study: Swiss League against Cancer [KFS1069-09-2000], Fribourg League against Cancer [FOR381.88], Swiss Cancer Research [AKT 617] and Gustave-Roussy Institute [88D28]; Italy multicentre study: Italian Association for Research on Cancer (AIRC), Italian League against Cancer and Italian Ministry of Research; Swiss study: Swiss League against Cancer and the Swiss Research against Cancer/Oncosuisse [KFS-700;, OCS-1633]; Central Europe study: World Cancer Research Fund and the European Commission INCO-COPERNICUS Program [Contract No. IC15- CT98-0332]; New York study: National Institutes of Health (NIH) US [P01CA068384 K07CA104231]; Seattle study: NIH [R01CA048996;, R01DE012609]; Iowa study: NIH [NIDCR R01DE11979;, NIDCR R01DE13110;, NIH FIRCA TW01500] and Veterans Affairs Merit Review Funds; North Carolina study: NIH [R01CA61188] and in part by a grant from the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences [P30ES010126]; Tampa study: NIH [P01CA068384;, K07CA104231;, R01DE13158]; Los Angeles study: NIH [P50CA90388;, R01DA11386;, R03CA77954;, T32CA09142;,U01CA96134;, R21ES011667] and the Alper Research Program for Environmental Genomics of the UCLA Jonsson Comprehensive Cancer Center; Houston study: NIH [R01ES11740;, R01CA100264]; Puerto Rico study: jointly funded by National Institutes of Health (NCI) US and NIDCR intramural programmes; Latin America study: Fondo para la Investigacion Cientifica y Tecnologica (FONCYT) Argentina, IMIM (Barcelona), Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa no Estado de Sao Paulo (FAPESP) [No 01/01768-2] and European Commission [IC18-CT97-0222]; IARC multicentre study: Fondo de Investigaciones Sanitarias (FIS) of the Spanish Government [FIS 97/ 0024;, FIS 97/0662;, BAE 01/5013], International Union Against Cancer (UICC) and Yamagiwa-Yoshida Memorial International Cancer Study Grant; Boston study: National Institutes of Health (NIH) US [R01CA078609;, R01CA100679]; Rome study: AIRC (Italian Agency for Research on Cancer) [10068]; US multicentre study: the Intramural Program of the NCI, NIH, United States; São Paulo study: Fundação de Amparo a Pesquisa no Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP) [04/12054-9;, 10/51168-0]; MSKCC study: NIH [R01CA51845]; Seattle study: NIH [R01CA30022]; European study: European Community (5th Framework Programme) [QLK1-CT-2001- 00182]; Germany-Heidelberg study: German Ministry of Education and Research [01GB9702/3]; Japan study: Scientific Research grant from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports, Culture and Technology of Japan [17015052] and a grant for the Third-Term Comprehensive 10-Year Strategy for Cancer Control from the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare of Japan [H20-002].
Conflict of interest: None declared

References
1. Ferlay J, Shin HR, Bray F, Forman D, Mathers C, Parkin DM.GLOBOCAN 2008 v1.2, Cancer Incidence and Mortality Worldwide. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer, 2010. [Google Scholar]
2. Llewellyn CD, Johnson NW, Warnakulasuriya KA. Risk factors for squamous cell carcinoma of the oral cavity in young people - a comprehensive literature review. Oral Oncol 2001;37:401–18. [PubMed][Google Scholar]
3. Gupta PC. Mouth cancer in India: a new epidemic?. J Indian Med Assoc1999;97:370–3. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
4. Annertz K, Anderson H, Biörklund A, et al. Incidence and survival of quamous cell carcinoma of the tongue in Scandinavia, with special reference to young adults. Int J Cancer 2002;101:95–9. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

5. Shiboski CH, Schmidt BL, Jordan RC. Tongue and tonsil carcinoma: increasing trends in the U.S. population ages 20-44 years. Cancer2005;103:1843–9. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
6. Chen K, Song F, He M, et al. Trends in head and neck cancer incidence in Tianjin, China, between 1981 and 2002. Head Neck 2009;31:175–82. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
7. Patel SC, Carpenter WR, Tyree S, et al. Increasing incidence of oral tongue squamous cell carcinoma in young white women, age 18 to 44 years. J Clin Oncol 2011;29:1488–94. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
8. Robinson KL, Macfarlane GJ. Oropharygeal cancer incidence and mortality in Scotland: are rates still increasing? Oral Oncol 2003;39:31–36. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
9. Rodriguez T, Altieri A, Chatenoud L, et al. Risk factors for oral and pharyngeal cancer in young adults. Oral Oncol 2004;40:207–13. [PubMed][Google Scholar]
10. Macfarlane TV, Macfarlane GJ, Oliver RJ, et al. The aetiology of upper aerodigestive tract cancers among young adults in Europe: the ARCAGE study. Cancer Causes Control 2010;21:2213–21. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
11. Iype EM, Pandey M, Mathew A, Thomas G, Sebastian P, Nair MK. Oral cancer among patients under the age of 35 years. J Postgrad Med;47:171–6. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
12. Conway DI, Hashibe M, Boffetta P, et al. Enhancing epidemiologic research on head and neck cancer: INHANCE - The international head and neck cancer epidemiology consortium. Oral Oncol 2009;45:743–6. [PubMed][Google Scholar]
13. Franceschi S, Talamini R, Barra S, et al. Smoking and drinking in relation to cancers of the oral cavity, pharynx, larynx, and esophagus in northern Italy. Cancer Res 1990;50:6502–7. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
14. Negri E, La Vecchia C, Franceschi S, Tavani A. Attributable risk for oral cancer in northern Italy. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 1993;2:189–93. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
15. Benhamou S, Tuimala J, Bouchardy C, Dayer P, Sarasin A, Hirvonen A.DNA repair gene XRCC2 and XRCC3 polymorphisms and susceptibility to cancers of the upper aerodigestive tract. Int J Cancer 2004;112:901–4. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
16. Bosetti C, Gallus S, Trichopoulou A, et al. Influence of the Mediterranean diet on the risk of cancers of the upper aerodigestive tract. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2003;12:1091–4. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
17. Levi F, Pasche C, La Vecchia C, et al. Food groups and risk of oral and pharyngeal cancer. Int J Cancer 1998;77:705–9. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
18. Hashibe M, Boffetta P, Zaridze D, et al. Evidence for an important role of alcohol- and aldehyde-metabolizing genes in cancers of the upper aerodigestive tract. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2006;15:696–703. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
19. Muscat JE, Richie JP, Jr, Thompson S, Wynder EL. Gender differences in smoking and risk for oral cancer. Cancer Res 1996;56:5192–7. [PubMed][Google Scholar]
20. Rosenblatt KA, Daling JR, Chen C, Sherman KJ, Schwartz SM.Marijuana use and risk of oral squamous cell carcinoma. Cancer Res2004;64:4049–54. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
21. Smith EM, Hoffman HT, Summersgill KS, Kirchner HL, Turek LP, Haugen TH. Human papillomavirus and risk of oral cancer. Laryngoscope1998;108:1098–103. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
22. Olshan AF, Weissler MC, Watson MA, Bell DA. GSTM1, GSTT1, GSTP1, CYP1A1, and NAT1 polymorphisms, tobacco use, and the risk of head and neck cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2000;9:185–91. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
23. Elahi A, Zheng Z, Park J, Eyring K, McCaffrey T, Lazarus P. The human OGG1 DNA repair enzyme and its association with orolaryngeal cancer risk. Carcinogenesis 2002;23:1229–34. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
24. Cui Y, Morgenstern H, Greenland S, et al. Polymorphism of xeroderma pigmentosum group G and the risk of lung cancer and squamous cell carcinomas of the oropharynx, larynx and esophagus. Int J Cancer2006;118:714–20. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
25. Zhang Z, Shi Q, Liu Z, Sturgis EM, Spitz MR, Wei Q. Polymorphisms of methionine synthase and methionine synthase reductase and risk of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck: a case-control analysis. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2005;14:1188–93. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
26. Hayes RB, Bravo-Otero E, Kleinman DV, et al. Tobacco and alcohol use and oral cancer in Puerto Rico. Cancer Causes Control 1999;10:27–33. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
27. Szymazska K, Hung RJ, Wünsch-Filho V, et al. Alcohol and tobacco, and the risk of cancers of the upper aerodigestive tract in Latin America: a case-control study. Cancer Causes Control 2011;22:1037–46. [PubMed][Google Scholar]
28. Herrero R, Castellsagué X, Pawlita M, et al. Human papillomavirus and the risk of human papillomavirus and oral cancer: the International Agency for Research on Cancer multicenter study. J Natl Cancer Inst 2003;95:1772–83. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

29. Peters ES, McClean MD, Liu M, et al. The ADH1C polymorphism modifies the risk of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck associated with alcohol and tobacco use. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev2005;14:476–82. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
30. Gallì P, Cadoni G, Volante M, et al. A case-control study on the combined effects of p53 and p73 polymorphisms on head and neck cancer risk in an Italian population. BMC Cancer 2009;9:137. [PMC free article][PubMed] [Google Scholar]
31. Blot WJ, McLaughlin JK, Winn DM, et al. Smoking and drinking in relation to oral and pharyngeal cancer. Cancer Res 1988;48:3282. [PubMed][Google Scholar]
32. Boing AF, Ferreira Antunes JL, de Carvalho MB, et al. How much do smoking and alcohol consumption explain socioeconomic inequalities in head and neck cancer risk? J Epidemiol Community Health 2010;65:709–14. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
33. Schantz SP, Zhang ZF, Spitz MS, Sun M, Hsu TC. Genetic susceptibility to head and neck cancer: interaction between nutrition and mutagen sensitivity. Laryngoscope 1997;107:765–81. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
34. Rogers MA, Thomas DB, Davis S, Vaughan TL, Nevissi AE. A case-control study of element levels and cancer of the upper aerodigestive tract.Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 1993; 2:305–12. [PubMed][Google Scholar]
35. Lagiou P, Georgila C, Minaki P, et al. Alcohol-related cancers and genetic susceptibility in Europe: the ARCAGE project: study samples and data collection. Eur J Cancer Prev 2009;18:76–84. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
36. Twardella D, Loew M, Rothenbacher D, Stegmaier C, Ziegler H, Brenner H. The diagnosis of a smoking-related disease is a prominent trigger for smoking cessation in a retrospective cohort study . J Clin Epidemiol2006;59:82–9. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
37. Dietz A, Ramroth H, Urban T, Ahrens W, Becher H. Exposure to cement dust, related occupational groups and laryngeal cancer risk: results of a population based case-control study. Int J Cancer 2004;108:907–11. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
38. Suzuki T, Wakai K, Matsuo K, et al. Effect of dietary antioxidants and risk of oral, pharyngeal and laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma according to smoking and drinking habits. Cancer Sci 2006;97:760–7. [PubMed][Google Scholar]
39. Percy CL, Van Holten V, Muir CS. International Classification of Diseases for Oncology = ICD-O. 2nd edn Geneva: World Health Organization, 1990. [Google Scholar]
40. United States Public Health Service, Health Care Financing Administration, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (U.S.), Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (U.S.), National Center for Health Statistics (U.S.). ICD-9-CM International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification. 6th edn Washington, DC: U.S. Dept. of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Health Care Financing Administration, 1995. [Google Scholar]
41. World Health Organization. International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems . *10th Revision* 2nd edn. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2005. [Google Scholar]
42. Hashibe M, Brennan P, Benhamou S, et al. Alcohol drinking in never users of tobacco, cigarette smoking in never drinkers, and the risk of head and neck cancer: pooled analysis in the International Head and Neck Cancer Epidemiology Consortium. J Natl Cancer Inst 2007;99:777–89. [PubMed][Google Scholar]
43. Chuang SC, Jenab M, Heck JE, et al. Diet and the risk of head and neck cancer: a pooled analysis in the INHANCE consortium. Cancer Causes Control 2012;23:69–88. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
44. Negri E, Boffetta P, Berthiller J, et al. Family history of cancer: pooled analysis in the International Head and Neck Cancer Epidemiology Consortium. Int J Cancer 2009;124:394–401. [PMC free article] [PubMed][Google Scholar]
45. Kleinbaum DG, Kupper LL, Morgenstern H. Epidemiologic research: principles and quantitative methods. New York, NY: Van Nostrand Reinhold, 1982. [Google Scholar]
46. Funk GF, Karnell LH, Robinson RA, Zhen WK, Trask DK, Hoffman HT. Presentation, treatment, and outcome of oral cavity cancer: a National Cancer Data Base report. Head Neck 2002;24:165–80. [PubMed][Google Scholar]
47. Byers R. Squamous cell carcinoma of the oral tongue in patients less than 30 years of age. Am J Surg 1975;130:475–8. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
48. Llewellyn CD, Linklater K, Bell J, Johnson NW, Warnakulasuriya KA.Squamous cell carcinoma of the oral cavity in patients aged 45 years and under: a descriptive analysis of 116 cases diagnosed in the South East of England from 1990 to 1997. Oral Oncol 2003;39:106–14. [PubMed][Google Scholar]
49. Siriwardena BS, Tilakaratne A, Amaratunga EA, Tilakaratne WM.Demographic, aetiological and survival differences of oral squamous cell carcinoma in the young and the old in Sri Lanka. Oral Oncol 2006;42:831–6. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

50. Hirota SK, Braga FP, Penha SS, Sugaya NN, Migliari DA. Risk factors for oral squamous cell carcinoma in young and older Brazilian patients: a comparative analysis. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal 2008;13:E227–31. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
51. Santos-Silva AR, Ribeiro AC, Soubhia AM, et al. High incidences of DNA ploidy abnormalities in tongue squamous cell carcinoma of young patients: an international collaborative study. Histopathology 2011;58:1127–35. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
52. Falaki F, Dalirsani Z, Pakfetrat A, et al. Clinical and histopathological analysis of oral squamous cell carcinoma of young patients in Mashhad, Iran: a retrospective study and review of literature. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal 2011;16:e473–7. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
53. Thomas L, Moore EJ, Olsen KD, Kasperbauer JL. Long-term quality of life in young adults treated for oral cavity squamous cell cancer. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 2012;121:395–401. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
54. Schantz SP, Byers RM, Goepfert H, Shallenberger RC, Beddingfield N.The implication of tobacco use in the young adult with head and neck cancer.Cancer 1988;62:1374–80. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
55. Schantz SP, Hsu TC, Ainslie N, Moser RP. Young adults with head and neck cancer express increased susceptibility to mutagen-induced chromosome damage. JAMA 1989;262:3313–5. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
56. Schantz SP, Liu FJ. An immunologic profile of young adults with head and neck cancer. Cancer 1989;64:1232–7. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
57. Sankaranarayanan R, Mohideen MN, Nair MK, Padmanabhan TK.Aetiology of oral cancer in patients <30 years of age. Br J Cancer1989;59:439–40. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
58. Kuriakose M, Sankaranarayanan M, Nair MK, et al. Comparison of oral squamous cell carcinoma in younger and older patients in India. Eur J Cancer B Oral Oncol 1992;28B:113–20. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
59. Friedlander PL, Schantz SP, Shaha AR, Yu G, Shah JP. Squamous cell carcinoma of the tongue in young patients: a matched-pair analysis. Head and Neck 1998;20:363–8. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
60. Siegelmann-Danieli N, Hanlon A, Ridge JA, Padmore R, Fein DA, Langer CJ. Oral tongue cancer in patients less than 45 years old: institutional experience and comparison with older patients. J Clin Oncol 1998;16:745–53. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
61. Hart AKE, Karakla DW, Pitman KT, Adams JF. Oral and oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma in young adults: a report on 13 cases and review of the literature. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 1999;120:828–33. [PubMed][Google Scholar]
62. Verschuur HP, Irish JC, O'Sullivan B, Goh C, Gullane PJ, Pintilie M. A matched control study of treatment outcome in young patients with squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. Laryngoscope 1999;109:249–58. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
63. Hyam DM, Conway RC, Sathiyaseelan Y, et al. Tongue cancer: do patients younger than 40 do worse? Aust Dent J 2003;48:50–4. [PubMed][Google Scholar]
64. Llewellyn CD, Linklater K, Bell J, Johnson NW, Warnakulasuriya S. An analysis of risk factors for oral cancer in young people: a case-control study.Oral Oncol 2004;40:304–13. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
65. Llewellyn CD, Johnson NW, Warnakulasuriya KA. Risk factors for oral cancer in newly diagnosed patients aged 45 years and younger: a case-control study in Southern England. J Oral Pathol Med 2004;33:525–32. [PubMed][Google Scholar]
66. Gawecki W, Kostrzewska-Poczekaj M, Gajecka M, Milecki P, Szyfter K, Szyfter W. The role of genetic factor in etiopathogenesis of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck in young adults. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol2007;264:1459–65. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
67. Andisheh-Tadbir A, Mehrabani D, Heydari ST. Sociodemographic and etiological differences of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma in young and old patients in southern Iran. J Craniofac Surg 2010;21:126–8. [PubMed][Google Scholar]
68. Luna-Ortiz K, Villavicencio-Valencia V, Pasche P, Lavin-Lozano A, Herrera-Gómez A. Laryngeal cancer in patients younger vs older than 40 years old: a matched-paired analysis. Acta Otorrinolaringol Esp 2011;62:113–18. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
69. Mafi N, Kadivar M, Hosseini N, Ahmadi S, Zare-Mirzaie A. Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma in Iranian patients and risk factors in young adults: a fifteen-year study. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2012;13:3373–8. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
70. Kmietowicz Z. Data show 'alarming' rise in oral cancers among people in their 40s. BMJ 2009;339:b3293. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
71. Chen WY, Rosner B, Hankinson SE, Colditz GA, Willett WC. Moderate alcohol consumption during adult life, drinking patterns, and breast cancer risk. JAMA 2011;306:1884–90. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

72. Purdue MP, Hashibe M, Berthiller J, et al. Type of alcoholic beverage and risk of head and neck cancer - a pooled analysis within the INHANCE Consortium. Am J Epidemiol 2009;169:132–42. [PMC free article] [PubMed][Google Scholar]
73. Mackenzie J, Ah-See K, Thakker N, et al. Increasing incidence of oral cancer amongst young persons: what is the aetiology? Oral Oncol2000;36:387–9. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
74. Brenner DR, Hung RJ, Tsao M, et al. Lung cancer risk in never-smokers: a population-based case-control study of epidemiologic risk factors. BMC Cancer 2010;10:285. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
75. Lissowska J, Foretova L, Dabek J, et al. Family history and lung cancer risk: international multicentre case-control study in Eastern and Central Europe and meta-analyses. Cancer Causes Control 2010;21:1091–104. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]