



UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Impact of effluents from wastewater treatments reused for irrigation: Strawberry as case study

This is the author's manuscript					
Original Citation:					
Availability:					
This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1729228	since 2020-02-20T14:48:13Z				
Terms of use:					
Open Access					
Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.					

(Article begins on next page)

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

IMPACT OF EFFLUENTS FROM WASTEWATER TREATMENTS REUSED FOR IRRIGATION: STRAWBERRY AS CASE STUDY

Luca Rivoira^{1*}, Michele Castiglioni¹, Ahmed Kettab², Naaila Ouazzani³, Emad Al-Karablieh⁴, Nesrine Boujelben⁵, Donatella Fibbi⁶, Ester Coppini⁶, Edgardo Giordani⁷, Massimo Del Bubba⁸, Maria Concetta Bruzzoniti^{1*}

8	¹ Department of Chemistry, University of Torino, Torino, Italy
9	² Ecole Nationale Polytechnique d'Alger, Algiers, Algeria
10	³ National Center for Research and Studies on Water and Energy, Cadi Ayyad University,
11	Marrakech, Morocco
12	⁴ Department of Agricultural Economics and Agribusiness, The University of Jordan,
13	Amman, Jordan
14	⁵ Control and Energy Management Laboratory, University of Sfax, Tunisia
15	⁶ G.I.D.A. S.p.A., Prato, Italy
16	⁷ Department of Plant, Soil and Environmental Science, University of Florence, Sesto
17	Fiorentino, Florence, Italy
18	⁸ Department of Chemistry, University of Florence, Sesto Fiorentino, Florence, Italy

19

20 Abstract

This research is intended to study the possible transfer of the residual chemical contamination from treated wastewaters reused for irrigation purposes of Fragaria x ananassa strawberry (cv. *Camarosa*). Different sewages from urban, and mixed urban-textile origins treated according to different treatment trainswere used for the irrigation of strawberry in pots. Organic and inorganic chemical contamination indicators, i.e.: PCBs, including dioxin-like congeners, PAHs, and Cr(VI), were monitored along the whole agricultural production chain (wastewater treatment effluents, soil and crop).

Robust analytical procedures were specifically developed for the determination of contaminants in the above-mentioned matrices with quantitation limits (MQLs) ranging from 1.3 (Phe) to 11.0 ng/L (PCB169) for wastewaters; from 3 (PCB180) to 10 μ g/Kg (BbFl) for soils; from 1.0 (Phe) to 10.9 μ g/Kg (PCB169) for strawberries. For Cr(VI), limits were 0.15 μ g/L (waters) and 0.018mg/kg (soils). These performances fully satisfy limits fixed by Italian or European regulations

^{*} Authors to whom all correspondence should be addressed: E-mail: <u>mariaconcetta.bruzzoniti@unito.it;</u> <u>luca.rivoira@unito.it.</u> Phone: +39 011 6705277/5245; Fax: +39 0112365277

on maximum admitted concentration of pollutants in treated water intended for irrigation, in soils and
 crops.

Even if selected PAHs and PCBs were detected in wastewaters (highest concentrations observed for phenanthrene, 429 μ g/L, and PCB52, 110 μ g/L) their presence was not observed in soils and in strawberries above the MQLs. On the contrary, chromium content in strawberries and soils irrigated with TWs suggested a possible transfer of the metal during irrigation, which however does not represent a hazardous situation for consumers since calculated daily intake does not exceed the Tolerable Daily Intake of 300 μ g/kg b.w.

41

42 *Keywords*: food chain; reuse impact; strawberry; treated wastewater

43

44 **1. Introduction**

Agriculture is characterized by a high-water demand; one third of the water use in Europe is addressed to the agricultural sector, most of it for crop irrigation (European Environment Agency, 2012). Italy is one of the Countries with the highest water footprint in Europe, 25% above the European Union average, and, at a global level, 66% above the world average (Sartori et al., 2014). It is worth to be mentioned that agriculture in Italy accounts for about 85-89% of the water footprint of consumption.

51 The availability of fresh water (FW) is a problem of increasing concern in the world and 52 climate change will likely introduce significant variations in water availability in the Mediterranean 53 region, where aridity or droughts periods could originate conflicts among water uses. Water reuse is 54 a possible solution to face water scarcity in view of preserving high-quality water that can be used 55 for human consumption. EU is defining minimum requirements for water reuse, with the general 56 objective to alleviate water scarcity across the EU, in the context of adaptation to climate change, 57 notably by increasing the uptake of water reuse (European Commission, 2018), in particular for 58 agricultural irrigation. In this context, treated wastewater (TW) might provide a reliable supply. Area 59 under wastewater irrigation has increased in arid developing countries, with Tunisia having the largest 60 experience in wastewater reuse in agriculture dated back to the early '60 (Haddaoui et al., 2016).

61 The reuse of municipal or mixed municipal/industrial TWs for irrigation could be an efficient 62 tool of reducing water shortage, but can negatively affect plant growth and productivity, so that a 63 number of concerns regarding environmental and health aspects should be taken into account 64 (Norton-Brandão et al., 2013).

65 Among chemicals of high environmental concern, still potentially present in TWs, Cr(VI) and 66 organic micropollutants arouse a great attention. Cr(VI) is a hazardous compound for human health, 67 frequently used for chrome plating, dyes and pigments, leather tanning, and wood preserving. Cr(VI) 68 is mobile in the environment and can easily penetrate the cell wall, exerting its noxious influence in 69 the cell itself, being also a source of various cancer diseases [IARC Group 1] (Straif et al., 2009). 70 Also polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) are a frequently detected class of water pollutants 71 (Bruzzoniti et al., 2010); they are mainly formed by the incomplete/inefficient combustion of organic 72 material (anthropogenic source), by diagenesis and biosynthesis. (Boehm, 1964). It is well known 73 that PAHs are recalcitrant and that they are mutagenic/carcinogenic pollutants. Hence there is serious 74 concern about their presence in the environment, especially for their tendency for bioaccumulation in 75 food chains (Yan et al., 2004), particularly due to their lipophilicity (Balducci, 2008). Similar 76 behaviour can be pointed out for polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). PCBs were manufactured and 77 used in industry as heat transfer fluids, hydraulic lubricants, dielectric fluids for transformers and 78 capacitors, plasticizers, pesticide extenders and were detected in the environment since 1966 (Jensen, 79 1972). This class of pollutants is divided into dioxin-like (polychlorinated non-ortho and mono-ortho 80 biphenyls) and non-dioxin-like PCBs. The higher toxicity of dioxin-like PCB compounds is ascribed 81 to their role in the activation of the Ah receptor (AhR), responsible for gene expression (Giesy and 82 Kannan, 1998).

83 Several recent studies showed that PAHs/PCBs are still found in TWs even after tertiary 84 refinement processes such as filtration by activated carbons (Dimpe and Nomngongo, 2016, Petrie et 85 al., 2015). Cr(VI) can still be detected in wastewater effluents of textile districts. (Fibbi et al., 2012). 86 If PAHs and PCBs are present in waters as a result of natural and anthropogenic processes which 87 produce both point source and diffuse emissions, Cr(VI) is the result of point source emission mainly 88 through textile waters. Based on the aforementioned considerations, the aim of this research was to 89 investigate possible transfer effects along an agricultural production chain under irrigation with 90 municipal and mixed textile TWs. Fragaria x ananassa, Camarosa cultivar was chosen as model 91 plant, since it accounts for about 60% of the world's production and it adapts greatly to wide climate 92 and growth conditions. A comprehensive monitoring approach was followed, considering the residual contamination of PAHs, PCBs, and Cr(VI) in: (i) TWs used for irrigation; (ii) soils where strawberry 93 94 cultivars grown up and (iii) the strawberry crop.

For this purpose, suitable extraction protocols and analytical methods were appositively developed. To the best of our knowledge, the use of industrial or mixed municipal/industrial TWs for crop irrigation or plant nursery is under-investigated (Gori and Caretti, 2008, Hashem et al., 2013, Lin et al., 2000, Sou et al., 2013, Vergine et al., 2017). In fact, in no case, studies about the fate and the accumulation of pollutants in the agricultural and food chain are as comprehensive as the one presented in this manuscript, since only a partial uptake evaluation (i.e. water-soil or water-crop transfer) is presented. Moreover, organic and inorganic pollutants fate was also not considered
simultaneously (Amin et al., 2013, Arora et al., 2008, Khan et al., 2008, Kipopoulou et al., 1999,
Song et al., 2006).

104

105 **2. Materials and methods**

106 2.1 Treated waters and sampling campaign

Five types of TWs were used to irrigate strawberry plants. TW1: mixed urban/industrial wastewater treated by primary settling, biological oxidation, secondary settling, clariflocculation, ozonization. TW2: TW1+ clariflocculation, sand filtration, activated carbon, disinfection with hypochlorite. TW3: TW1+ clariflocculation, sand filtration, dilution with river water, disinfection with hypochlorite. TW4: as TW1 with sewage incoming composed by mixed urban/industrial, aerated septic tank wastewaters and by landfill leachate pre-filtered with a membrane biological reactor. FW: drinking water (control).

114 TWs were provided by the wastewater treatment plant GIDA (Prato) every fifteen days and 115 stored in dark tanks in the irrigation site. Samples were withdrawn at the 1st and 8th day from the 116 filling of the tanks, stored at -10°C until analysis. Physicochemical, chemical and microbiological 117 (data not reported) characteristics of TWs and FW were monitored within the irrigation period (2017) 118 which is labelled as: A, May; B, June; C and D, July; E, August; F, September; G, October. Analyses 119 were performed in triplicate.

120

121 2.2 Experimental cultivation plant

122 The experimental site (Fig.1), implemented at the scientific campus of the University of 123 Florence, consists of five rows, composed by a water tank for each TW studied and seven pots (each 124 one containing 10 strawberry plants).



Fig. 1. The experimental site for strawberry cultivation

Certified plantlets of strawberry (Fragaria x ananassa, cultivar "Camarosa"), purchased from 128 129 Vivai Fratelli Zanzi (Ferrara, Italy) were transferred in 80-liters pots (ten plantlets per pot) filled with 130 commercially available top-soil for fruit and vegetable nursery. A porous, expanded commercial 131 perlite (AGRILIT 3) with a grain size of 2 -6 mm, specifically developed to be used as a growing 132 medium and/or soil improver, was added to each strawberry pot. Plantlets were irrigated with four 133 TWs, and FW as control. Pots were covered with a plastic tunnel in order to protect the plants from 134 animals and to avoid the direct contact with rain water, which interfere with the experimentation. Pots 135 were regularly irrigated from May to October 2017. Contamination of soils was evaluated before (April 2017) and after irrigation (November 2017). The post-irrigation substrate was collected in a 136 137 depth range of 0-15 cm; for each TW and pot, three different substrate cores were sampled. For each 138 TW irrigation line, the collected substrate portions were mixed, homogenized, freeze-dried and stored 139 at -20 °C until analysis.

140

125 126

127

After the harvest, strawberries were washed, dried and frozen at -4°C, until analysis.

- 141
- 142 2.3 Reagents and solutions

The complete list of 13 PAHs and 14 PCBs analysed in this study is reported in Table 1. PAHs standards were from Sigma Aldrich-Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) and contain the priority compounds listed by EPA. PCBs were from LGC Standards (Milan, Italy), and were chosen according to the results of the main environmental monitoring campaigns carried out in Italy. The PCB congeners chosen represent chlorine (from mono to epta) substitution classes and include dioxin-like compounds (marked with an asterisk in Table 1). Labelled isotope compounds from both categories, (Wellington Laboratories, Ontario, Canada), were used as internal standards and surrogates in order to obtain calibration curves and extraction recoveries, respectively. From chromium analysis, Cr(VI) standard solution was prepared from K_2CrO_4 (Alfa Aesar, Haverhill, USA). Reagent grade dichloromethane, 2-propanol, cyclohexane, acetone, Na₃PO₄ were from Sigma Aldrich-Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). High-purity water (18.2 MΩ cm resistivity at 25 °C), produced by an Elix-Milli Q Academic system (Millipore-Merck, Vimodrone, MI, Italy) was used.

- 155
- 156 157

158

 Table 1. Target PAHs and PCBs studied in waters, soils and strawberries, together with labelled compounds used as internal standards (IS) and surrogates

PAH ANALYTE	PCB CONGENER	SURROGATES AND IS
Acenaphthylene (AcPY)	3,3'-dichlorobiphenyl (PCB 11)	13BaA
Fluorene (Flu)	4,4'-dichlorobiphenyl (PCB 15)	13Chr
Phenantrene (Phe)	2,4,4'-trichlorobiphenyl (PCB 28)	13BbFl
Anthracene (Ant)	2,2',5,5'-tetrachlorobiphenyl (PCB 52)	13BkFl
Pyrene (Pyr)	3,4,4',5-tetrachlorobiphenyl (PCB 81*)	13BaP
Benzo[a]anthracene (BaA)	2,2',4,5,5'-pentachlorobiphenyl (PCB 101)	13Ind
Chrysene (Chr)	2,3',4,4',5-pentachlorobiphenyl (PCB 118*)	13DBA
Benzo[b]fluoranthene (BbFL)	2',3,4,4',5-pentachlorobiphenyl (PCB 123*)	13BP
Benzo[k]fluoranthene (BkFL)	2,2',3,4,4',5-hexachlorobiphenyl (PCB 138)	¹³ C PCB 28
Benzo[a]pyrene (BaP)	2,2',4,4',5,5'-hexachlorobiphenyl (PCB 153)	¹³ C PCB 52
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene (Ind)	2,3',4,4',5,5'-hexachlorobiphenyl (PCB 167*)	¹³ C PCB 118
Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene (DBA)	3,3',4,4',5,5'-hexachlorobiphenyl (PCB 169)	¹³ C PCB 153
Benzo[ghi]perylene (BP)	2,2',3,4,4',5,5'-heptachlorobiphenyl (PCB 180)	¹³ C PCB 180
	2,3,3',4,4',5,5'-heptachlorobiphenyl (PCB 189)	

159

(*): dioxin like PCBs

160 2.4. Instrumentation

161 For PAHs and PCBs analysis, a gas chromatographic-mass spectrometric (GC-MS) method was optimized, moving from both EPA 8275A procedure (Environmental Protection Agency, 1996) 162 163 and Zhang et al. results (Zhang et al., 2007). A (5%-Phenyl)-methylpolysiloxane column (HP 5ms, 30 m x 0.25 mm x 25 µm, Agilent) was used. Analysis was performed in Single Ion Monitoring (SIM) 164 165 mode, selecting for each analyte its proper m/z ratio (m/z ratio available upon request). $2 \mu L$ of each 166 sample were injected using the Pulsed Splitless mode (pressure at 40 psi for 2.5 minutes). The oven 167 ramp was set as follows: starting temperature: 40°C, hold for 2 min; ramp to 176 °C, 12 °C/min rate; 168 ramp to 196°C, 5 °C/min rate, hold for 3 mins; ramp to 224°C, 12 °C/min rate; ramp to 244 °C, 169 5°C/min rate, hold for 3 min; ramp to 270 °C, 7°C/min rate, hold for 3 min; final ramp to 300 °C,

- 5°C/min, hold for 10 min to completely clean and restore the GC column. The total run time for the
 complete separation of PAHs and PCBs is 52 min.
- 172 The determination of Cr(VI) was performed by ion chromatography (IC) with post-column 173 derivatization and spectrophotometric detection, as developed by our research group (Bruzzoniti et 174 al., 2017).
- 175

176 2.5. Extraction Procedures

177 Due to the comprehensive aim of the presented study, several matrices were analysed (water, 178 soil, strawberries). For each matrix, extraction procedures were appositively optimized, as 179 summarized hereafter.

Water samples. PAHs and PCBs were extracted from TWs and FW by solid-phase extraction
(SPE) on a polymeric reversed-phase cartridge (STRATA XL, Phenomenex, Torrance, USA), as
schematized in Fig. 2, and injected for GC-MS analysis.

183

Conditioning of cartridge	 Pre-conditioning solvent: 5 mL CH₂Cl₂ (flow 5 mL/min) Polar solvent: 5 mL 2-propanol (flow 5 mL/min) Equilibrium solvent: 5 mL water (flow 5 mL/min) 		
Loading of sample	 1 L sample + 10% v/v 2-propanol (flow 25 mL/min) 100 mL water-2-propanol solution, 85:15 (bottle washing solution) 		
Washing of cartridge	•5 mL water (flow 5 mL/min) •5 mL water-2-propanol solution, 85:15 (flow 5 mL/min) •Cartridge drying for 10 min		
Elution	$\cdot 2 \times 1 \text{ mL CH}_2\text{Cl}_2 (\text{flow } 1 \text{ mL}/\text{min})$		

184 185

Fig. 2 - Protocol for the SPE extraction of PAHs and PCBs

186

187 Cr(VI) was analysed by direct injection IC of filtered samples (nylon filters, 0.45 μm).

Soil samples. PAHs and PCBs were extracted by microwave assisted extraction (MAE). The procedure, developed for PCB extraction (Bruzzoniti et al., 2012), was here tested for the extraction of PAHs as well. Briefly, 0.4 g of soil, previously sieved at 2 mm, were put in a disposable Pyrex vessel with 5 ml of a 3:2 acetone-cyclohexane solution. After a temperature ramp (0-10 min up to 130°C, 10-15 min T=130°C, 15-25 min decrease to 60°C) in microwave oven, the vessel was centrifuged at 3850 rpm for 5 min, acetone was evaporated by heating at 60°C and the solution made up at 5 mL with cyclohexane. Finally, 2 mL of H₂SO₄ were added, as a clean-up step, and 1 mL of
 supernatant was withdrawn and injected for GC/MS analysis.

- 196 Cr(VI) was extracted using a Na₃PO₄ solution (Bruzzoniti et al., 2017). In detail, two aliquots 197 of the same soil were extracted at the same time. The first aliquot (0.5 g) was extracted with 50 mL 198 Na₃PO₄ (10 minutes, 100°C), filtered with a 0.45-µm nylon syringe filter and injected in IC system. 199 To evaluate the extraction yield, the second aliquot (0.5g) was spiked with Cr(VI) to obtain 1 µg/L 190 in the final extract and then extracted as previously described.
- Strawberry samples. PAHs and PCBs were extracted using the QuEChERS approach (Bruzzoniti et al., 2014, De Carlo et al., 2015). Briefly, 5 g of strawberries were put in a vial containing 10 mL dichloromethane, 400 mg MgSO₄ and 1 g NaCl. The tube was vigorously shaken and centrifuged at 1507 xg for 5 minutes. The supernatant was then transferred for clean-up in a new vial containing 50 mg Primary and Secondary Amine (PSA) sorbent and 150 mg MgSO₄. Again, the tube was shaken and centrifuged (7871 xg, 10 minutes). 1 mL of the supernatant was directly analysed by GC-MS.
- 208 On the opposite, the determination of Cr(VI) on strawberry was not successfully achieved by 209 transferring the soil extraction procedure to crops, due to a residual colour interference of the matrix. 210 Hence, the determination was performed measuring total Cr by means of an acid MAE digestion 211 (HNO₃/H₂O₂ mixture) followed by ICP-MS detection.
- 212

213 **3. Results and discussion**

214 *3.1 Performances of analytical methods*

- To verify that the methods developed fulfil limits imposed by regulations (where present), extraction yields, methods detection (MDL) and quantitation limits (MQL) were tested.
- For PAHs and PCBs extraction recoveries for each matrix were in the following ranges, waters: 60% (13BP)- 99% (13PCB52); soils: 45% (13BP) – 95% (13PCB52); strawberries: 62% (13Chr) – 102% (13PCB118). For Cr(VI), recoveries were 85% (waters) and 30% (soils).
- For PAHs and PCBs, MQLs for each matrix were in the following ranges, waters: 1.3 (Phe)-11.0 ng/L (PCB169); soils: 3 (PCB180) - 10 μ g/Kg (BbFl); strawberries: 1.0 (Phe) - 10.9 μ g/Kg (PCB169). For Cr(VI), MQLs were 0.15 μ g/L (waters) and 0.018 mg/kg (soils). These performances fully satisfy limits fixed by Italian or European regulations on maximum admitted concentration of pollutants in i) treated waters to be reused for irrigation (D. Lgs 185/2003); ii) private and commercial soils (D.Lgs 152/2006), since limits are not present for soils intended for agricultural aims; iii) fruit crops (CE Regulation 1881/2006). These limits will be fully discussed in the subsequent sections.
- 227

228 3.2 Chemical characterization of TWs

229

PAHs and PCBs. Data for PAHs found in TWs and FWs are summarized in Table 3.

230

231

232

Table 3. Concentration (ng/L) and standard deviations in brackets of PAHs in TWs and FW,

for each sampling period (see Materials and Methods section). Average data for the whole

233

campaign is also shown.

	AcPy	Flu	Phe	Ant	Pyr	Chr	BaA
FWA	1.42 (0.01)	12.54 (4.6)	66.23 (25)	10.73 (2.7)	2.91 (0.96)	1.30 (0.14)	0.79 (0.04)
FWB	3.47 (0.02)	50.68 (35)	33.9 (0.08)	6.53 (1.8)	2.00 (2.0)	25.01 (28)	10.8 (8.4)
FWC	16.34 (2.5)	38.90 (16)	44.3 (20.6)	19.99 (4.8)	12.87 (4.3)	6.49 (2.4)	9.2 (2.6)
FWD	24.49 (3.8)	98.08 (30)	633.7 (180)	45.03 (7.6)	9.31 (1.2)	1.00 (0.12)	0.86 (0.32)
FWE	11.3 (0.04)	38.21 (3)	201.3 (29)	24.18 (4)	11.26 (2.4)	2.54 (0.3)	2.65 (0.3)
FWF	0.49 (0.09)	7.21 (0.30)	4.39 (0.32)	nd	0.50 (0.15)	nd	nd
FWG	6.18 (0.15)	8.0 (1.2)	31.3 (0.89)	nd	1.63 (0.02)	nd	nd
AVERAGE	20.35 (1.45)	36.23 (7.02)	145.02 (26.45)	15.20 (1.48)	5.78 (0.79)	5.19 (4.71)	3.47 (2.62)
TW1A	57.79 (5.2)	157.18 (4.9)	858.93 (37)	79.72 (2.6)	39.82 (1.8)	1.75 (0.44)	17.6 (1.8)
TW1B	17.70 (0.5)	131.56 (39)	477.76 (142)	37.57 (3.4)	21.21 (3.4)	3.97 (0.4)	3.45 (0.52)
TW1C	10.79 (5.0)	101.13 (38)	391.89 (180)	17.86 (6.8)	15.20 (6.9)	1.39 (0.4)	1.23 (0.8)
TW1D	21.6 (7.4)	177 (28)	1160 (168)	83.2 (12.8)	15.70 (3.0)	1.29 (0.06)	nd
TW1E	7.12 (0.6)	24.19 (1.6)	103.06 (3.2)	8.48 (0.4)	2.57 (0.4)	nd	nd
TW1F	2.52 (0.19)	13.92 (0.56)	7.19 (1.13)	nd	1.80 (0.5)	nd	nd
TW1G	1.01 (0.03)	15.41 (2.35)	5.73 (0.32)	nd	nd	nd	nd
AVERAGE	16.93 (1.48)	88.53 (8.78)	428.85 (40.91)	32.17 (2.14)	13.48 (1.19)	1.2 (0.10)	3.18 (2.08)
TW2A	20.60	76.54	340.64	54.95	32.24	0.74	1.78
TW2B	1.18	4.97	22.45	7.39	5.0	1.83	4.1
TW2C	37.94 (4.1)	173.26 (14.8)	739.01 (94)	46.10 (6.7)	40.58 (3.0)	1.71 (0.3)	1.36 (1.08)
TW2D	31.99 (4.6)	130.85 (24)	477.80 (165)	65.17 (14.2)	15.01 (3.2)	2.34 (0.8)	1.13 (0.26)
TW2E	9.83 (0.5)	27.27 (1)	145.64 (5.6)	17.54 (2.4)	6.09 (1.0)	1.51 (0.3)	1.80 (0.56)
TW2F	1.03 (0.28)	5.04 (0.13)	2.40 (0.92)	nd	nd	nd	nd
TW2G	nd	2.16 (0.46)	1.44 (0.15)	nd	nd	nd	nd
AVERAGE	13.38 (0.82)	59.69 (5.85)	246.69 (27.95)	27.19 (2.48)	14.33 (0.76)	1.10 (0.13)	1.45 (0.5)
TW3A	0.76 (0.02)	2.90 (0.24)	13.73 (1.4)	2.10 (0.02)	0.55 (0.1)	nd	nd
TW3B	3.24	20.66	51.38	2.19	0.45	nd	nd
TW3C	24.07 (2.8)	67.11 (8.8)	328.11 (43)	33.62 (1.8)	9.55 (0.3)	nd	nd
TW3D	10.2 (0.48)	34.65 (2.1)	181.27 (21)	13.46 (1.8)	3.36 (0.6)	nd	nd
TW3E	12.95 (2.4)	39.15 (9.8)	201.92 (54)	16.15 (4.6)	4.06 (1.0)	nd	nd
TW3F	1.53 (1.05)	3.89 (2.24)	13.3 (1.23)	nd	1.44 (0.09)	nd	nd
TW3G	nd	3.04 (0.32)	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
AVERAGE	7.54 (0.63)	24.74 (1.99)	112.61 (10.48)	9.65 (0.75)	2.77 (0.17)	nd	nd
TW4A	65.64 (17)	169.36 (40)	926.91 (224)	93.07 (17.7)	45.24	1.29 (0.2)	24.2 (5.2)
TW4B	24.4 (0.24)	92.83 (7.8)	468.21 (24)	35.24 (0.92)	13.50	1.14 (0.1)	nd
TW4C	34.85 (0.6)	106.35 (2.0)	594.65 (7.4)	50.38 (2.1)	18.94	1.41 (0.16)	0.68 (0.14)
TW4D	6.76 (3.6)	23.93 (10.2)	112.84 (51)	69.13 (4.6)	1.40	nd	nd
TW4E	9.83 (0.34)	39.75 (10.2)	251.75 (8.4)	19.19 (0.7)	10.00	0.50 (0.06)	0.22 (0.04)
TW4F	1.05 (0.22)	9.19 (0.07)	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
TW4G	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd
AVERAGE	20.36 (2.48)	63.92 (6.27)	335.86 (35.08)	38.14(2.63)	12.73	0.45 (0.03)	3.58 (0.74)

235 It is interesting to observe how PAHs with higher aromatic ring number (BbFL, BkFl, BaP, 236 DBA, BP and Ind) were never detected in TWs; moreover, a variability of PAH concentrations among 237 the different months is also present for all the analytes. The absence of several PAHs in TWs 238 demonstrated the efficacy of the WWTPs in the removal of PAHs from wastewaters. In fact, previous 239 studies demonstrated that high molecular weight compounds are better removed during the treatment, and probably transferred to sludges (Yan et al., 2016), in respect to low molecular weight ones, which 240 241 still remain in the final effluent. Results are also in good agreement with previously obtained studies 242 on TWs (Mezzanotte et al., 2016, Yan et al., 2016), even if concentrations of Flu and Chr here 243 detected are higher than data collected in the above-mentioned works.

Some concentration trends could be highlighted. PAH concentrations in all TWs reached a maximum in the summer months (July and August), for decreasing in September and October. This trend could be explained by summer storms phenomena affecting Mediterranean countries, included Italy, where concentration level of PAHs in wastewater could greatly increase up to 10–100 fold (Blanchard et al., 2001).

Another trend is highlighted for AcPY, Flu, Phe and Ant concentrations which are higher in TW1 and TW4.This behaviour can be correlated with the Total Suspended Solid (TSS) parameter that for TW1 and TW4 was 1.5 order of magnitude higher than the other TWs (21.1 mg/L and 19.8 mg/L against an average of 1.2 mg/L). These PAHs with low aromatic ring number are known to slightly interact with particulates (Li et al., 2010, Rodenburg, 2006): therefore, for high TSS contents in the water, these compounds are expected to be present in higher concentrations (Sangster, 1989).

255 As previously discussed, admitted concentrations of PAHs in treated waters reused for 256 irrigation are included in the D.M. 185/2003, that, however, regulates only the presence of 257 Benzo[a]pyrene, to a fixed limit of 10 ng/L (Repubblica Italiana, 2003). In this regard, it is important 258 to highlight the absence of benzo[a]pyrene in the four TWs and in the control. To follow a 259 precautionary approach, data in Table 3 were also compared to the more strict EU Directive on waters 260 intended for human consumption (98/83/CE), which regulates not only benzo[a]pyrene (10 ng/L), but 261 also benzo(b)fluoranthene, benzo(k)fluoranthene, benzo(ghi)perylene and Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene, 262 whose sum should not exceed 100 ng/L (European Council, 1998). Again, none of the above-263 mentioned compounds was detected in TWs and FW.

PCB concentrations (data not shown but available upon request) for all the samples ranged from below detection limits (1-2 ng/L) to about 200 ng/L (PCB52) with concentrations in FW lower in respect to TWs. PCBs are not included in the D.M. 185/2003 and, therefore, no comparison with maximum admitted concentrations could be discussed. As for PAHs, the removal of PCBs in WWTPs

234

is strongly dependent on their sorptive behaviours, affected by their octanol-water partition 268 269 coefficients. However, contrary to what evidenced for PAHs, no seasonal trend could be observed. It 270 is important to underline that most PCB presence is expressed by PCB11 and PCB52; in particular, 271 the predominance of the PCB11 species (which however is not a dioxin-like PCB) is in good 272 agreement with data on WWTPs effluents, (Balasubramani et al., 2014, Yao et al., 2014). Its presence 273 can be explained with the wastewater treatment processes, as a result of dechlorination of heavier 274 PCBs into lighter PCBs (Balasubramani et al., 2014). Furthermore, the presence of PCB11 can be 275 ascribed also to the peculiarity of the influent (domestic/textile waters). In fact, PCB11 is known to 276 be produced in the manufacture of diarylide yellow pigments, used in textile industries (Grossman, 277 2013). Pigments are a point source of other dioxin-like congeners, such PCB167, thus justifying its 278 detection even if sporadic and at trace levels, in the October sampling.

279 Chromium(VI). Hexavalent chromium concentrations found in irrigating waters are280 summarized in Fig. 3.



- 281
- 282 283

Fig. 3. Concentration of Cr(VI) in TWs and FW, for each sampling period (A-E); average value for the whole campaign is also indicated, together with standard deviation in brackets

285

284

Samples withdrawn in September and October (F and G) are not presented in figure, due to fail of storage conditions during transportation to our laboratory. The colour of some TW samples interfered in Cr(VI) determination by IC at concentrations lower than $1 \mu g/L$, hence for these samples, $1 \mu g/L$ was assigned as a precautionary value.

The presence of Cr(VI) in the TWs effluents is not surprising, since it is well known how traditional removal treatments could be affected by incomplete removal of heavy metals (Barakat, 2011), especially in textile districts. It should be remarked, however, that for all the tested samples, 293 Cr(VI) concentration is under the limits set by Italian decree 185/2003 on the reuse of treated waters 294 for irrigation (5 μ g/L).

TW3 sample exhibits a higher Cr(VI) contamination than TW1, although it derives from a 295 296 further refinement of TW1 (see Material and Methods section). This behaviour could be ascribed to 297 the treatment process that relies on dilution of TW1 with an adjacent river. Indeed, it is not unusual 298 to detect hexavalent chromium in natural waters basins (Hemmatkhah et al., 2009, Vasilatos et al., 299 2008).

300

301 3.3 Soil chemical characterization

302 PAHs and PCBs. The presence of PAHs, PCBs and Cr(VI) was evidenced in TW samples. 303 Therefore, the analysis of soils irrigated with TWs is fully justified to evaluate whether a 304 contamination took place or not from waters. Soils used for the cultivation of strawberry plants were 305 analysed before the irrigation period started (to obtain a "zero level" characterization) and at its end 306 (Fig. 4).







309

310 311 (b) 312 Fig. 4. Concentration $(\mu g/kg)$ of (a) PAHs and (b) PCBs in soils, before and after the 313 irrigation period 314 315 Both for PAHs and for PCBs, concentration levels before and after the irrigation period are 316 very similar. The total amount of PAHs in the pre-irrigated soils is 320 µg/Kg (data range: 6.85 µg/Kg 317 AcPY - 80 µg/Kg Phe). Toxic equivalency (TEQ) value was also evaluated as follows (Eq. 1): 318 $TEQ = \Sigma (TEF_{PAHi} \cdot [PAH_i])$ (1)319 where [PAHi] is the concentration of the i-th PAH congener and TEF_{PAHi} is the toxicity 320 equivalent factor of the i-PAH congener (Jimenez et al., 2014). TEQ value is 54.5 µg/Kg. Based on 321 literature data, the sum of PAHs matches values observed for agricultural soil (Zheng et al., 2014); 322 however, TEQ value suggests that soil used for strawberry cultivation exhibits toxicity properties 323 more similar to urban soils, due to the high contribution of BaP in the TEQ calculation (85%) 324 (Soukarieh et al., 2018). 325 The total amount of PAHs in soils irrigated with TWs ranged from 125 µg/Kg (TW3) to 320 µg/Kg (FW). These concentrations are more than one order of magnitude lower than the limit imposed 326 327 by Italian regulations (D.Lgs 152/2006), which is set at 10 mg/Kg for the sum of specific PAHs (BaA, 328 BaP, BbFl, BkFl, Chr, DBA, together with Benzo(g,h,i,)terylene, Dibenzo(a,e)-, Dibenzo(a,l)-, 329 Dibenzo(a,i)pyrene, not investigated in this work) for soils in public-green, private and residential 330 areas, and at 100 mg/Kg for soils intended for commercial aims.

331 According to the data obtained, a slightly higher contamination of soils by PAHs before 332 irrigation was observed in respect to the end of the experimental trial. This behaviour could be 333 explained by the contribution of perlite (present in the soil substrate) to partially retain PAHs, as 334 demonstrated by other authors (Bjorklund and Li, 2015, Turan et al., 2009). Additionally, 335 contribution of microbial PAH degradation in soil should not be excluded (Cardak et al.). It should 336 be remarked that, even if possible retention of PAHs by the strawberry plant could occur, the 337 evaluation of the uptake by different parts of the plant (excluded the fruit) is out of the scope of this 338 work.

Also for PCBs, concentration in soils before and after irrigation indicated the absence of impact from TWs. Their presence in the original soil substrate can derive from atmospheric deposition (Glüge et al., 2016). PCB concentrations reaching about $60 \mu g/kg$ were observed in rural soils (Meggo and Schnoor, 2013), confirming the ubiquitous presence of these compounds. Despite the fact that, to the best of our knowledge, PCBs in soil are not regulated at a EU level, in Italy concentration limits for PCBs are set by the D.Lgs 152/2006, at 0.06 mg/Kg and 5 mg/Kg for soil intended for private or
 commercial aims, respectively.

346 Cr(VI). As done for organic compounds, the presence of hexavalent chromium was monitored 347 both before and after irrigation. Results (Fig. 5), showed that only a marginal increment in Cr(VI) 348 concentration occurred after irrigation for all samples. The highest increment observed for soil 349 irrigated with TW3 is in agreement with the higher Cr(VI) content observed for this treated water 350 (see previous paragraph).

Data obtained fully satisfied the limits declared by the Italian decree D.Lgs 152/2006 (2 mg/Kg) and are in good agreement with previous studies on urban soils (Jankiewicz and Ptaszynski, 2005).



- 354
- 355
- Fig. 5. Concentration of Cr(VI) in soils before and after irrigation by TWs and FW.
- 356
- 357 *3.4 Strawberries*

358 PAHs and PCBs. Strawberry crop grown up under irrigation by TWs represents the final part 359 of the agricultural chain. Several studies demonstrate that PAHs and PCBs (Lovett et al., 1997, Paris 360 et al., 2018), as well as metal ions (Khan et al., 2015) could be detected in fruit and vegetables 361 depending on the contamination of crop area.

To what concern PAHs and PCBs, none of the above-mentioned molecules was detected at a quantifiable level, apart from BaA in strawberries irrigated with TW1. In fact, BaA was observed at 1.14 μ g/Kg (MQL 1.17 μ g/Kg); this value agrees with PAHs found in fruit and vegetable cultivated in rural areas (Camargo and Toledo, 2003). EU regulation on food contamination (CE) N. 1881/2006 (European Council, 2006) does not set a limit for PAHs and PCBs in fruits. BaP, which however was 367 not detected in strawberries, is the only PAH for which a limit ranging from 2 to 10 μ g/kg is set 368 according to the type of food considered (infant food excluded).

For strawberry irrigated with TW1, TEQ is 0.114 μ g/Kg. This value is comparable to those estimated for other Italian food products, such as cheese, bread and eggs (Lodovici et al., 1995). If a precautionary intake of strawberry is fixed to 100 g/day for an adult person, the Bench Mark Dose Lower Confidence Limit of BaP (100 μ g BaP/kg bw/day, concentration producing a predetermined change in the response rate of an adverse effect, established as carcinogenicity in mice orally dosed with a mixture of representative genotoxic and carcinogenic PAH present in food) is fully respected (Food Standards Australia New Zealand, 2005).

376 **Chromium**. The IC analysis of Cr(VI) in strawberries suffered for residual colour 377 interference. In addition, even after the direct spike of Cr(VI) in the fruit at concentrations as high as 378 500 µg/L, the method was not able to quantify Cr(VI), presumably due to the high content of anti-379 oxidant species in strawberries (Doumett et al., 2011) which reduced Cr(VI) to Cr(III). Hence, total 380 chromium determination was performed (Fig. 6).



381

383

382

Fig 6. Total Cr determination in strawberries irrigated with TWs and FW.

Differently from what observed for soils, strawberries irrigated with TWs have a higher Cr content, in respect to the control, thus suggesting the transfer of the metal during irrigation. The highest concentration of Cr in strawberries grown up under irrigation with TW3 are in agreement with the highest concentration of Cr(VI) detected in the TW3 sample.

388 Cr concentrations are from two to five times higher than those detected in other strawberries, 389 as presented in the EFSA Scientific Opinion of 2014 (EFSA Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain 390 (CONTAM), 2014). Nevertheless, assuming the same premises previously presented for PAHs (100 391 g/die consumption for an adult over 50 kg bw), Cr content in crop irrigated with TW3 results in a 392 daily intake of 0.4 μ g/kg bw, which summed with the estimated daily intake expressed as Cr(III) (0.65.9 μg/kg bw) does not exceed the TDI of 300 μg/kg b.w (EFSA Panel on Contaminants in the Food
Chain (CONTAM), 2014).

395

396 4. Conclusions

397 For the first time, the possible chemical contamination by organic (PAHs, PCBs) and 398 inorganic compounds (chromium) in the agricultural chain of strawberries irrigated with different 399 kind of reclaimed waters was assessed. All the treatments considered are capable of guaranteeing the 400 levels set for PAHs and Cr(VI) by Italian legislation for wastewater reuse for irrigation. Although 401 PAHs, PCBs were detected in waters, their presence was not observed in strawberries, except for BaA 402 at amounts comparable with quantitation limit of the method. Irrigation with these TWs does not 403 impact the quality of the soil that exhibits similar PAHs and PCBs content before and after irrigation. 404 On the contrary, chromium content in one of the strawberry crops (which however does not represent 405 a risk for consumer) presumably derives from the original residual contamination of treated water. 406 The results observed within this study seems in agreement with a negligible impact of lipophilic 407 compounds and a possible transfer of inorganic water-soluble compounds (metals) in fruits of high-408 water content such as strawberries.

409

410 Acknowledgements

411This research was supported by the grant 13-069 (IRRIGATIO project) under the ERANET412MED 2014 call, which is gratefully acknowledged. Ministero dell'Istruzione, dell'Università e della

413 Ricerca (MIUR) is also acknowledged. The authors would like to thank Dr. G. Montanaro for

414 fruitful discussion along the research activities and Dr. Roberta Asta for her laboratory assistance.

415

416 **References**

- Amin N.-U., Hussain A., Alamzeb S. & Begum S. (2013), Accumulation of heavy metals in edible parts of
 vegetables irrigated with waste water and their daily intake to adults and children, District Mardan,
 Pakistan, Food Chemistry, 136, 1515-1523.
- 420 Arora M., Kiran B., Rani S., Rani A., Kaur B. & Mittal N. (2008), Heavy metal accumulation in vegetables
 421 irrigated with water from different sources, *Food chemistry*, **111**, 811-815.
- Balasubramani A., Howell N. L. & Rifai H. S. (2014), Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in industrial and
 municipal effluents: concentrations, congener profiles, and partitioning onto particulates and
 organic carbon, *Science of the Total Environment*, **473**, 702-713.
- 425 Balducci C. (2008), Fattori di inquinamento ambientale.
- Barakat M. (2011), New trends in removing heavy metals from industrial wastewater, *Arabian journal of chemistry*, 4, 361-377.
- Bjorklund K. & Li L. (2015), Evaluation of low-cost materials for sorption of hydrophobic organic pollutants
 in stormwater, *Journal Environmental Management*, **159**, 106-114.

- Blanchard M., Teil M.-J., Ollivon D., Garban B., Chestérikoff C. & Chevreuil M. (2001), Origin and distribution
 of polyaromatic hydrocarbons and polychlorobiphenyls in urban effluents to wastewater treatment
 plants of the Paris area (France), *Water Research*, **35**, 3679-3687.
- Boehm P. D. 1964. 15 Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs). *In:* MORRISON, R. D. & MURPHY, B. L.
 (eds.) *Environmental Forensics.* Burlington: Academic Press.
- Bruzzoniti M. C., Abollino O., Pazzi M., Rivoira L., Giacomino A. & Vincenti M. (2017), Chromium, nickel, and
 cobalt in cosmetic matrices: an integrated bioanalytical characterization through total content,
 bioaccessibility, and Cr (III)/Cr (VI) speciation, *Analytical and bioanalytical chemistry*, **409**, 68316841.
- Bruzzoniti M. C., Checchini L., De Carlo R. M., Orlandini S., Rivoira L. & Del Bubba M. (2014), QuEChERS
 sample preparation for the determination of pesticides and other organic residues in
 environmental matrices: a critical review, *Journal of Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*, 406,
 442 4089-4116.
- Bruzzoniti M. C., Fungi M. & Sarzanini C. (2010), Determination of EPA's priority pollutant polycyclic
 aromatic hydrocarbons in drinking waters by solid phase extraction-HPLC, *Analytical methods*, 2,
 739-745.
- Bruzzoniti M. C., Maina R., Tumiatti V., Sarzanini C., Rivoira L. & De Carlo R. M. (2012), Fast low-pressure
 microwave assisted extraction and gas chromatographic determination of polychlorinated
 biphenyls in soil samples, *Journal of Chromatography A*, **1265**, 31-8.
- Camargo M. C. R. & Toledo M. C. L. F. (2003), Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in Brazilian vegetables and
 fruits, *Journal of Food Control*, **14**, 49-53.
- 451 Cardak M., Altug G., Ciftci P. S. & Gurun S. PAH degradation effects of some bacteria.
- 452 De Carlo R. M., Rivoira L., Ciofi L., Ancillotti C., Checchini L., Del Bubba M. & Bruzzoniti M. C. (2015),
 453 Evaluation of different QuEChERS procedures for the recovery of selected drugs and herbicides
 454 from soil using LC coupled with UV and pulsed amperometry for their detection, *Analytical and* 455 *Bioanalytical Chemistry*, 407, 1217-1229.
- Dimpe K. M. & Nomngongo P. N. (2016), Current sample preparation methodologies for analysis of
 emerging pollutants in different environmental matrices, *Trends in Analytical Chemistry*, 82, 199 207.
- Doumett S., Fibbi D., Cincinelli A., Giordani E., Nin S. & Del Bubba M. (2011), Comparison of nutritional and
 nutraceutical properties in cultivated fruits of Fragaria vesca L. produced in Italy, *Food Research International*, 44, 1209-1216.
- 462 Efsa Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain (Contam) (2014), Scientific Opinion on the risks to public
 463 health related to the presence of chromium in food and drinking water, **12**, 3595.
- 464 Environmental Protection Agency 1996. Method 8275A, Semivolatile organic compounds (PAHs and PCBs)
 465 in soils/sludges and solid wastes using thermal extraction/gas chromatography/mass spectrometry
 466 (te/gc/ms).
- 467 European Commission 2018. (2018/0169 (COD) Proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of
 468 the Council on minimum requirements for water reuse Brussel.
- 469 European Council 1998. Directive 98/83/EC on the quality of water intended for human consumption.
- 470 European Council (2006), Commission Regulation (EC) setting maximum levels for certain contaminants in
 471 foodstuffs.
- 472 European Environment Agency. 2012. On line at: <u>https://www.eea.europa.eu/articles/water-for-agriculture</u>
 473 [Accessed].
- Fibbi D., Doumett S., Lepri L., Checchini L., Gonnelli C., Coppini E. & Del Bubba M. (2012), Distribution and
 mass balance of hexavalent and trivalent chromium in a subsurface, horizontal flow (SF-h)
 constructed wetland operating as post-treatment of textile wastewater for water reuse, *Journal of hazardous materials*, **199**, 209-216.
- Food Standards Australia New Zealand (2005), Survey of Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) in
 australian foods. Dietary exposure assessment and risk characterisation.
- Giesy J. P. & Kannan K. (1998), Dioxin-Like and Non-Dioxin-Like Toxic Effects of Polychlorinated Biphenyls
 (PCBs): Implications For Risk Assessment, *Critical Reviews in Toxicology*, 28, 511-569.

- Glüge J., Bogdal C., Scheringer M. & Hungerbühler K. (2016), What determines PCB concentrations in soils
 in rural and urban areas? Insights from a multi-media fate model for Switzerland as a case study, *Science of the Total Environment*, **550**, 1152-1162.
- 485 Gori R. & Caretti C. (2008), Experimental study on municipal and industrial reclaimed wastewater 486 refinement for agricultural reuse, *Water Science Technology*, **58**, 217-223.
- 487 Grossman E. (2013), Nonlegacy PCBs: pigment manufacturing by-products get a second look, *Environmental* 488 *health perspectives*, **121**, a86.
- Haddaoui I., Mahjoub O., Mahjoub B., Boujelben A. & Di Bella G. (2016), Occurrence and distribution of
 PAHs, PCBs, and chlorinated pesticides in Tunisian soil irrigated with treated wastewater,
 Chemosphere, **146**, 195-205.
- Hashem H., Hassanein R., El-Deep M. & Shouman A. (2013), Irrigation with industrial wastewater activates
 antioxidant system and osmoprotectant accumulation in lettuce, turnip and tomato plants,
 Ecotoxicology environmental safety, 95, 144-152.
- Hemmatkhah P., Bidari A., Jafarvand S., Hosseini M. R. M. & Assadi Y. (2009), Speciation of chromium in
 water samples using dispersive liquid–liquid microextraction and flame atomic absorption
 spectrometry, *Microchimica Acta*, **166**, 69-75.
- Jankiewicz B. & Ptaszynski B. (2005), Determination of chromium in soil Lodz gardens, *Polish Journal of Environmental Studies*, 14, 869-875.
- 500 Jensen S. (1972), The PCB story, *Ambio* 1, 123-131.
- Jimenez A., Adisa A., Woodham C. & Saleh M. (2014), Determination of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in
 roasted coffee, *Journal of Environmental Science Health, Part B*, 49, 828-835.
- Khan A., Khan S., Khan M. A., Qamar Z. & Waqas M. (2015), The uptake and bioaccumulation of heavy
 metals by food plants, their effects on plants nutrients, and associated health risk: a review,
 Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 22, 13772-13799.
- Khan S., Aijun L., Zhang S., Hu Q. & Zhu Y.-G. (2008), Accumulation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and
 heavy metals in lettuce grown in the soils contaminated with long-term wastewater irrigation,
 Journal of Hazardous Materials, **152**, 506-515.
- Kipopoulou A., Manoli E. & Samara C. (1999), Bioconcentration of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in
 vegetables grown in an industrial area, *Environmental pollution*, **106**, 369-380.
- Lin S., Chan H. & Leu H. (2000), Treatment of wastewater effluent from an industrial park for agricultural
 irrigation, *Desalination*, **128**, 257-267.
- Lodovici M., Dolara P., Casalini C., Ciappellano S. & Testolin G. (1995), Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon
 contamination in the Italian diet, *Food Additives Contaminants*, **12**, 703-713.
- Lovett A., Foxall C., Creaser C. & Chewe D. (1997), PCB and PCDD/DF congeners in locally grown fruit and
 vegetable samples in Wales and England, *Chemosphere*, **34**, 1421-1436.
- 517Meggo R. E. & Schnoor J. L. (2013), Cleaning polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) contaminated garden soil by
phytoremediation, *Environmental sciences*, **1**, 33.
- Mezzanotte V., Anzano M., Collina E., Marazzi F. A. & Lasagni M. (2016), Distribution and removal of
 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in two Italian municipal wastewater treatment plants in 2011–
 2013, *Polycyclic Aromatic Compounds*, **36**, 213-228.
- Norton-Brandão D., Scherrenberg S. M. & Van Lier J. B. (2013), Reclamation of used urban waters for
 irrigation purposes–a review of treatment technologies, *Journal of environmental management*,
 122, 85-98.
- Paris A., Ledauphin J., Poinot P. & Gaillard J.-L. (2018), Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in fruits and
 vegetables: Origin, analysis, and occurrence, *Environmental Pollution*, 234, 96-106.
- Petrie B., Barden R. & Kasprzyk-Hordern B. (2015), A review on emerging contaminants in wastewaters and
 the environment: current knowledge, understudied areas and recommendations for future
 monitoring, *Water Research*, **72**, 3-27.
- 530Repubblica Italiana 2003. D.M. 185/2003 Regolamento recante norme tecniche per il riutilizzo delle acque531reflue in attuazione dell'articolo 26, comma 2, del D.Lgs. 11 maggio 1999, n. 152. D.M. 185/2003.
- Sartori M., Tavernini S. & Consalvo C. 2014. WWF Water footprint of Italy. *In:* ANTONELLI;, M. & GRECO, F.
 (eds.).

- Song Y., Wilke B.-M., Song X., Gong P., Zhou Q. & Yang G. (2006), Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs),
 polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and heavy metals (HMs) as well as their genotoxicity in soil after
 long-term wastewater irrigation, *Chemosphere*, 65, 1859-1868.
- Sou M. Y., Mermoud A., Yacouba H. & Boivin P. (2013), Impacts of irrigation with industrial treated
 wastewater on soil properties, *Geoderma*, 200, 31-39.
- Soukarieh B., El Hawari K., El Husseini M., Budzinski H. & Jaber F. (2018), Impact of Lebanese practices in
 industry, agriculture and urbanization on soil toxicity. Evaluation of the Polycyclic Aromatic
 Hydrocarbons (PAHs) levels in soil, *Chemosphere*, **210**, 85-92.
- 542 Straif K., Benbrahim-Tallaa L., Baan R., Grosse Y., Secretan B., El Ghissassi F., Bouvard V., Guha N., Freeman
 543 C. & Galichet L. (2009), A review of human carcinogens—part C: metals, arsenic, dusts, and fibres,
 544 The lancet oncology, 10, 453-454.
- Turan N., Akdemir A. & Ergun O. (2009), Removal of volatile organic compounds by natural materials during
 composting of poultry litter, *Bioresource technology*, **100**, 798-803.
- Vasilatos C., Megremi I., Economou-Eliopoulos M. & Mitsis I. (2008), Hexavalent chromium and other toxic
 elements in natural waters in the Thiva- Tanagra- Malakasa Basin, Greece, *Hellenic Journal of Geosciences*, 43, 57-66.
- Vergine P., Salerno C., Libutti A., Beneduce L., Gatta G., Berardi G. & Pollice A. (2017), Closing the water
 cycle in the agro-industrial sector by reusing treated wastewater for irrigation, *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 164, 587-596.
- Yan J., Wang L., Fu P. P. & Yu H. (2004), Photomutagenicity of 16 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from the
 US EPA priority pollutant list, *Mutation Research/Genetic Toxicology Environmental Mutagenesis*,
 555 557, 99-108.
- Yan Z., Zhang H., Wu H., Yang M. & Wang S. (2016), Occurrence and removal of polycyclic aromatic
 hydrocarbons in real textile dyeing wastewater treatment process, *Desalination and Water Treatment*, 57, 22564-22572.
- Yao M., Li Z., Zhang X. & Lei L. (2014), Polychlorinated biphenyls in the centralized wastewater treatment
 plant in a chemical industry zone: source, distribution, and removal, *Journal of Chemistry*, 2014.
- Zhang S., Zhang Q., Darisaw S., Ehie O. & Wang G. J. C. (2007), Simultaneous quantification of polycyclic
 aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), and pharmaceuticals and
 personal care products (PPCPs) in Mississippi river water, in New Orleans, Louisiana, USA, 66, 1057 1069.
- 565 Zheng T., Ran Y. & Chen L. (2014), Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in rural soils of Dongjiang River
 566 Basin: occurrence, source apportionment, and potential human health risk, *Journal of soils* 567 sediments, 14, 110-120.

568