



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Feeding habits of Padogobius bonelli (Bonaparte, 1846) (Osteichthyes, Gobiidae): The importance of fish dimensions and hydrological conditions

This is the author's manuscript
Original Citation:
Availability:
This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1728433 since 2020-02-19T09:59:39Z
Published version:
DOI:10.1080/11250001003777168
Terms of use:
Open Access
Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright

(Article begins on next page)

protection by the applicable law.

Author(s): T. BO, M. CAMMARATA, A. CANDIOTTO, S. FENOGLIO, M. J. LÓPEZ-RODRÍGUEZ, J. M. TIERNO DE FIGUEROA, & GIORGIO MALACARNE Article Title: Feeding habits of *Padogobius bonelli* (Bonaparte, 1846) (Osteichthyes, Gobiidae): the importance of fish dimensions and hydrological conditions Article No.: TIZO 478238

Dear Author,

Please address all the numbered queries on this page which are clearly identified on the proof for your convenience.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Ref. no:	Query	Remarks
Q1	what does 'N.T.' mean?	



Feeding habits of *Padogobius bonelli* (Bonaparte, 1846) (Osteichthyes, Gobiidae): the importance of fish dimensions and hydrological conditions

T. BO¹*, M. CAMMARATA¹, A. CANDIOTTO², S. FENOGLIO¹, M. J. LÓPEZ-RODRÍGUEZ¹, J. M. TIERNO DE FIGUEROA³, & GIORGIO MALACARNE¹

¹Dipartimento di Scienze dell'Ambiente e della Vita, Università del Piemonte Orientale, Alessandria, Italy, ²Ufficio Tutela Fauna Ittica, Provincia di Alessandria, Alessandria, Italy, and ³Departamento de Biología Animal, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Granada, Granada, Spain

(Received 2 November 2009; accepted 7 March 2010)

10 Abstract

The feeding habits of *Padogobius bonelli* (Bonaparte, 1846) were studied in two sites in the Orba stream (NW Italy), characterised by natural or altered flow conditions. The species fed mainly on aquatic insects, positively selecting Chironomidae, Simuliidae, Hydroptilidae and negatively Baetidae and other taxa. We hypothesised that size, mobility and handling time were on the basis of the detected feeding preferences, more than prey abundance in the substratum. When studying the var-

15 iation of the diet with size, we detected that trophic spectrum of the species increases with fish dimensions. Comparing the populations of the two sites, we detected some interesting differences: fish from the natural flow site were generally larger and presented a broader trophic spectrum than fish from the altered flow site. Our study supports the hypothesis that fluctuating water levels may have evident impacts at different biotic scales, from biodiversity reductions to diet alterations.

Keywords: Padogobius bonelli, Padanian goby, diet, hydrological alterations

20 Introduction

As stated by Monakov (2003), there is no discipline in hydrobiology that does not require elements coming from the study of the feeding and nutrition of aquatic animals. In fact, understanding feeding

- 25 relationships and characterising trophic positions is fundamental to better understand basic and applied elements of stream ecology. In the last few years, there has been a growing interest in the trophic ecology of aquatic organisms of Italian freshwater ecosys-
- 30 tems, such as insects (e.g. Bo et al. 2007; Fenoglio et al. 2009), macrocrustaceans (e.g. Scalici & Gibertini 2007) and fishes (e.g. Balestrieri et al. 2006; Cammarata et al. 2008; Fochetti et al. 2008).
- 35 The family Gobiidae (Osteichthyes: Perciformes) is represented in the Italian inland waters by five species that show different geographical distribution and ecological habits. *Padogobius bonelli* (Bonaparte,

1846), earlier known under the name Padogobius martensi (Günther, 1861), is distributed in the 40 northern Adriatic basin, from Vomano (Italy) to Krka drainages (Croatia) and in the subalpine lakes in Po drainages (Kottelat & Freyhof 2007). This species has been introduced in most of western and central Italy (Kottelat & Freyhof 2007). This fish 45 inhabits exclusively in freshwater, in a wide variety of stream, river and lake habitats with coarse substrata (Kottelat & Freyhof 2007). This little Gobiidae species reaches a mean length of 6-7 cm (exceptionally 9-10 cm) and has benthic habits during its juve- 50 nile and adult life (Zerunian 2002). The breeding season usually goes from the beginning of May to early July (Gandolfi et al. 1991). This species shows high territorial habits in both sexes: individuals defend little areas in the riverbed, with an evident 55 preference for large cobbles and boulders located in fast flowing waters (Lugli et al. 1992). It is well known

^{*}Correspondence: T. Bo, Dipartimento di Scienze dell'Ambiente e della Vita, Università del Piemonte Orientale, Via T. Michel 11, Alessandria 15121, Italy. Tel: +39 0131 360231. Fax: +39 0131 360243. Email: tbo@unipmn.it

2 *T. Bo et al.*

that erosive environments are the most colonised areas in the riverbed (Downes et al. 1998; Fenoglio & Bo

- 70 2009): in these microhabitats, *P. bonelli* takes advantage of high amounts of invertebrate preys and high levels of dissolved oxygen (Gandolfi & Tongiorgi 1974). Usually the dimensions of the territory are proportional to the size of the specimens and many
- 75 studies underlined the strong aggressive behaviour of this species (Bisazza et al. 1989). Like other Gobiidae, this species also shows acoustic communication mechanisms, which are particularly important in territorial and mating behaviours (Torricelli et al.
- 80 1987, 1990). The studies on feeding habits of the Gobiidae started with estuarine and marine species and only in recent years have freshwater species been investigated (Miller 2003). Generally, Gobiidae are considered to be benthic feeders, with a diet
- 85 dominated by crustaceans and molluscs (Charlebois et al. 1997). It is well known that some Gobiidae species are scarcely selective feeders, ingesting amounts of sediments and associated organisms (Carle & Hastings 1982), while many others show
- 90 evident trophic preferences. For example, Adámek et al. (2007), comparing the diet of four species from South Slovakia, reported that there are some differences among them, and also that Amphipoda, Diptera Chironomidae, Trichoptera *Hydropsyche* sp.
- 95 and two Ephemeroptera species nymphs (*Ephoron* virgo, *Potamanthus luteus*) always represented the most important food items. Amphipoda constituted the most important food item in another Gobiidae, *Neogobius gymnotrachelus*, that also showed a marked
- 100 preference for Chironomidae and, to a lesser extent, for Diptera Ceratopogonidae, Anellida Oligochaeta, adult Diptera and Copepoda (Grabowska & Grabowski 2005).
- Padogobius bonelli is generally considered a benthic 105 predator, feeding on stream invertebrates and fish eggs, but little information is available about its diet. The aim of our study was to analyse the diet of *P. bonelli* in an Apenninic lotic system, investigating the existence of feeding preference patterns and varia-
- 110 tions in relation to the size. We also hypothesised that hydrological conditions may have some influence on the fish diet. In fact, interestingly, the studied population inhabits a river reach affected by a small hydroelectrical plant. It is well known that reduced or altered
- 115 flows, and in general fluctuating water levels, can have strong effects on lotic biota (Allan & Castillo 2007), such as habitat reduction (Dewson et al. 2007), functional ecosystem alterations (Young et al. 2008) and decrease of biological richness and diver-
- 120 sity (Fenoglio et al. 2007), but few data are available about their effects on trophic ecology of freshwater fish.

Material and methods

The study area was a reach of the Orba stream (NW Italy), near Molare (Alessandria district). Samples 125 were realised in two sites:

- Site 1: Marciazza (44°35'13.36" N; 8°36'41.79" E 264 m a.s.l.);
- Site 2: Cerreto (44°35'56.60" N; 8°36'10.81 E 216 m a.s.l.).

130

Only 3020 m separate the two stations, but they show very different hydrologic conditions. Site 1 has a natural flow, depending on natural precipitations, while Site 2 experiences high and unpredictable water level variations, because it receives tailwater from a small hydroelectric plant: in this section, flow 135 can augment or diminish in an unpredictable way, with changes that are in the range of $1-2 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ in a few minutes. Streambed width varies rapidly (with a 30% increase in a few minutes) and water current shows unpredictable variations, ranging from 0.2 to $_{140}$ 0.9 m/s. Some physicochemical parameters were measured in both sites at the end of each sampling period; two ecotoxicological tests were also performed (Table I). The fish community is characterised by the presence of few species, such as Bar- 145 bus plebejus (Bonaparte, 1839), Squalius cephalus (Linnaeus, 1758), Alburnus alburnus alborella (De Filippi, 1844), and in depositional areas Cobitis taenia Linnaeus 1758.

Gobies were caught by using a Scubla IG200/2 150 electro-fishing device. In total, 120 specimens of *Padogobius bonelli* were collected: in two dates (5 October 2007 and 3 July 2008) 30 gobies were captured in each site (30 specimens/station/two dates). Each goby was measured (total length) with an accurse of 1.0 mm. Digestive tracts were removed, stored in 90% ethanol and brought to the laboratory.

Table I. Main chemical and ecotoxicological parameters of the two studied sites.

	Site 1	Site 2
Conductivity (microS/cm)	185 ± 37.47	170±21.85
pH	7.41 ± 0.58	7.50 ± 0.28
B.O.D. ₅ (mg/l)	2.5 ± 0.21	3.0 ± 0.22
C.O.D. (mg/l)	6.3 ± 0.14	7.6 ± 0.18
Phosphorous tot P (mg/l)	< 0.05	< 0.05
Ammonia nitrogen (mg/l)	< 0.05	< 0.05
Escherichia coli (UFC/100 ml)	0	35
Daphnia magna (acute toxicity)	N.T.	N.T.
Vibrio fischeri (acute toxicity)	N.T.	N.T.

Gut contents were analysed with a Nikon SMZ

- 160 1500 light microscope (60–100×) coupled with a JVC TK-C701EG videocamera. Identification of prey was based on sclerotised body parts, particularly head capsules, mouthparts and leg fragments. Organisms in guts were classified generally to genus
- 165 or family level. Stewart and Stark (2002) stated that the count of sclerotised fragments (i.e. head capsules or legs) can give a reasonably accurate count of prey consumed. Gut contents were also compared with the natural composition and abundance of macroin-
- 170 vertebrate communities. In fact, using a Surber net $(20 \times 20 \text{ cm}; \text{ mesh } 255 \text{ } \mu\text{m})$, 145 samples were collected in the same period in the two sites to assess the presence and abundance of the taxa of the natural benthic invertebrate population (n = 67 Surber sam-
- 175 ples in Site 1, 33 in October and 34 in July; and n =78 in Site 2, 38 in October and 40 in July). Samples were preserved in 90% ethanol. In the laboratory, all organisms were counted and identified to genus or species level, except for Oligochaeta and early
- 180 instars of some Trichoptera and Diptera, which were identified to family or sub-family level.

To investigate the existence of feeding preferences, we compared gut contents with natural composition and abundance of macroinvertebrate 185 community in the riverbed using the trophic electivity index of Ivlev (1961):

$$E = (ri - pi)/(ri + pi)$$

where ri = relative abundance of a particular taxon in the diet and pi = relative abundance of the same 190 taxon in the benthic community. The formula considers the number of taxa (*i*) found in the diet. The index ranges from -1 to 1. A value of -1 means total avoidance, 1 indicates preference and 0 indicates indifference.

- 195 For statistical analysis, STATISTICA software (StatSoft, 2005) was employed. Normality of the variables was assessed by means of a Kolmogorov– Smirnov test and, because variables studied were not normally distributed, non-parametric statistics
- 200 were used in all cases. Thus, for assessing if there was a correlation between fish size and the number of prey items, between fish size and the number of taxa ingested, and between fish size and number of individuals of each taxonomic group of prey, a
- 205 Gamma correlation test was used, which is the best choice when data present a high degree of range overlapping (Guisande González et al. 2006). To evaluate whether significant differences existed between sites in fish total body length, number of

prey eaten, and number of taxa eaten, a Mann- 210 Whitney test was employed.

Results

A list of macroinvertebrate taxa totally collected at each site is reported in Table II. All analysed individuals, except one from Site 2, presented some kind 215 of gut content. Padogobius bonelli in the studied sites fed mainly on macroinvertebrates, particularly aquatic insects (Table III). Coarse and fine particulate organic matter, algae, vegetal matter, and sand were found only punctually in the guts, and they 220 were probably ingested incidentally or came from the prey guts. The only non-macroinvertebrate prey widely consumed were Crustacea Daphnia spp., especially by the Site 2 population. The most important macroinvertebrate prey in the guts were 225 larvae of Diptera Chironomidae: they constituted 46.0% of the total ingested items in the population from Site 1 and 30.4% in the Site 2 population, and they were present in almost all guts. Other important prey were, in order of abundance, Trichoptera 230 Hydroptilidae, Trichoptera Hydropsychidae, and Ephemeroptera Baetidae (particularly Baetis sp.) in Site 1 and Trichoptera Hydroptilidae in Site 2. Unusual components of the gut contents were terrestrial insects and two fish scales, the latter prob- 235 ably ingested incidentally when feeding on other trophic resources. When comparing the macroinvertebrate community composition of the riverbed with the ingested prey by means of the Ivlev's index (Figure 1), we observed in the Site 1 population a 240 clear preference for Trichoptera Rhyacophilidae, Hydroptilidae and Psychomidae and Diptera Chironomidae, while some other taxa, such as Hydracarina, Baetis sp., Habroleptoides sp. and some Coleoptera and Diptera, also abundant in the riverbed, were consumed 245 in smaller amounts. In the Site 2 population, Trichoptera Hydroptilidae, and Diptera Tipulidae and Empididae were preferred, while Hydracarina, indeterminate Diptera and Plecoptera Leuctridae (particularly Leuctra sp.) were less consumed, although some of 250 them were common in the substratum.

Regarding the influence of the size in the diet of this species, we noticed that some prey tend to be more common in the gut of bigger specimens. This was the case in Site 1 of Ephemeroptera *Baetis* sp., 255 Trichoptera Hydroptilidae (Gamma correlation = 0.47 and 0.44, respectively, p < 0.05). In Site 2 there was a positive correlation between size and the number of Ephemeroptera *Baetis* sp., Trichoptera Philopotamidae, Hydropsichidae and Hydroptilidae, 260 and Diptera Limoniidae and Tanypodinae (Gamma

4 *T. Bo et al.*

Table II.	Number	of items,	percent relativ	e abundance	in the	gut, ai	nd mean	values	for macr	oinvertebrates	found in	the gut	of the two
Padogobiu	s <i>bonelli</i> p	opulation	s.										

Coleoptera Peridae Peria marginata 0.06 0.03 Elmidae larvae 1.72 1.07 Leuctridae Leuctra sp. 1.11 14.6 Stendinis candiculata 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 Nemouridae Partamura sp. 0.01 0.00 Dyristidae larvae 0.02 0.00 0.01 Dyristidae larvae 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.01 0.05 Dyristidae larvae 0.04 0.01 0.01 Dyristidae larvae 0.04 0.01 0.01 Duryistidae larvae 0.04 0.01 1.11 Larvae 0.04 0.01 1.11 1.40 Dyristidae larvae 0.04 0.01 1.11 Bartis sp. 0.01 0.01 Duryistidae larvae 0.03 0.01 1.11 1.03 0.02 Duryistidae larvae 0.03 0.01 0.00 Duryistidae larvae	Taxa		Site 1	Site 2	Taxa		Site 1	Site 2
Peridac Perid marginatz 0.06 0.03 Elmidae larvae 1.72 1.72 1.07 Leuctridae Leuctria sp. 1.1 1.4 6 Steelbhiis canalizationa 0.01 0.00 Komouridae Potonemura sp. 0.01 0.00 Dytiscidae adults 0.04 0.04 Baetidae Baetis sp. 16.3 9.22 larvae 0.06 0.27 Caenidae Cantroptilum lucolum 0.31 0.13 Unit set 0.01 0.00 Dytiscidae larvae 0.01 0.00 Leptophiebiidae Habrophiebia sp. 0.13 0.13 Hydraenia androminii 0.01 0.00 Hydraenia androminii 0.01 0.00 Hydraenia androminii 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 Caendae 0.01 0.00 0.01 Caendae 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 <	Plecoptera				Coleoptera			
Dinocras caphalotas 0.01 0.00 Elmidae undet. 3.45 1.42 Leuctra major 0.00 0.01 Dytiscidae adults 0.04 0.01 Nemouridae Potonemura sp. 0.01 0.00 Dytiscidae larvae 0.02 0.00 Ephemeroptera Dryopidae Potonemura sp. 0.13 0.13 larvae 0.06 0.27 Baetidae Gantroption lutoolum 0.31 0.13 Hydraenia sinulis 0.01 0.01 Campolichides sp. 0.15 0.01 0.02 Hydraena sinulis 0.02 0.00 Captophilobides sp. 0.15 0.01 0.02 Hydraena sinulis 0.02 0.00 Chroptoptera Exposulation 0.01 0.02 Hydraena sinulis 0.02 0.00 0.01 Camtrota sp. 1.13 0.35 Cartridae larvae 0.08 0.07 Philopotanide Sprinta array 0.01 Gomphidae larvae 0.08 0.01	Perlidae	Perla marginata	0.06	0.03	Elmidae	larvae	1.72	1.07
Leuctria p. 11.1 14.6 Stendbus considentia 0.01 0.01 0.01 Vytiscidae adults 0.04 0.04 Nemouridae Potonomara sp. 0.01 0.00 Dytiscidae datuts 0.04 0.04 0.04 Stendbus constrained 0.02 0.00 0.01 Dytiscidae datuts 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.0		Dinocras cephalotes	0.01	0.00	Elmidae	undet.	3.45	1.42
Leatra major 0.00 0.01 Dytiscidae adults 0.04 0.04 Remouridae Proneimura sp. Descriptical Promeinura sp. 0.00 Dytiscidae Promeinura substriatus 0.04 0.01 Baetidae Baetis sp. 1.63 0.22 larvae 0.06 0.27 Camidae Caenis sp. 4.03 3.99 Haroydra transata 0.01 0.00 Casenidae Gaenis sp. 0.15 0.01 Hydraenias Million 0.00 0.00 Chronophilobia sp. 0.15 0.01 Hydraena subinyressa 0.01 0.00 Heptagenidae Edownurus sp. 1.13 0.35 Ochribidis fesulatus 0.00 0.01 Trichoptera Fraidepotamis sp. 0.11 0.03 Gomphilae larvae 0.08 0.01 Philopotamis sp. 0.11 0.03 Odomata Prinestan Milopotamis sp. 0.47 0.40 Philopotamis sp. 0.07 0.39 Caloptreygida Onychogonpinus sp.<	Leuctridae	Leuctra sp.	11.1	14.6		Stenelmis canaliculata	0.01	0.01
Nemounidae Protonemura sp. 0.01 0.00 Dytiscidae larvae 0.02 0.00 Baetia Baetis sp. 16.3 9.22 Iarvae 0.06 0.27 Cartoryphilon lucohum 0.31 1.33 Hydraenidae undet. 0.11 0.01 Caenidae Caenis sp. 4.03 3.99 1.33 Hydraenidae 0.01 0.03 0.01 Leptophilebidia Ilabrideptoides sp. 0.93 0.23 Hydraenia similis 0.02 0.00 Heptagenidae Ecdyounnus sp. 0.01 0.02 Hydraenia anihitis 0.02 0.00 Pherasenidae Serratella ignita 0.15 3.55 Ochikabius fossidatus 0.00 0.01 Tichoptera Itchoptera Itchoptera Itchoptera Normadida sp. 0.01 0.03 Ocnitade More of 0.03 0.00 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.00 1.01 Normadida sp. 0.04 Actin sp. 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.01 0.00		Leuctra major	0.00	0.01	Dytiscidae	adults	0.04	0.04
Ephemeroptera Dryopidae Pomatinase substriatus 0.04 0.11 Bactidae Bactis sp. 16.3 9.22 larvae 0.06 0.27 Camiodae Gamios sp. 4.03 3.99 undet. 0.11 0.01 Leptophlebidae Habrophtebia sp. 0.15 0.01 Hydraena sumitiz 0.02 0.00 Chronopters picteri 0.01 0.02 Hydraena aucheniii 0.02 0.00 Heptageniidae Ecdovnurus sp. 1.13 0.35 Cotthebine sossition 0.01 0.00 Heptageniidae Seratella ignia 0.71 0.03 Gyrinidae larvae 0.00 0.01 Trichoptera - Nilopotamis sp. 0.11 0.03 Odonata 0.01 0.00 0.01 Gorphidae Bayrophilas sp. 0.47 0.40 0.01 Polycentropodidae Hydropila sp. 0.57 0.39 Calopterygidae 0.046 0.03 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.01 0.00	Nemouridae	Protonemura sp.	0.01	0.00	Dytiscidae	larvae	0.02	0.00
Baetida Baetida Baetida Baetida Isope 1 Isope 2 <	Ephemeroptera				Dryopidae	Pomatinus substriatus	0.04	0.11
	Baetidae	Baetis sp.	16.3	9.22		larvae	0.06	0.27
Caenidae Caenis sp. 4.03 3.99 Haaryndra truncata 0.03 0.01 Leptophlebida Habrophlebia sp. 0.15 0.01 Hydraena sinimis 0.02 0.00 Choroterpes pictetii 0.01 0.02 Hydraena subimpressa 0.01 0.00 Edyommars sp. 1.13 0.35 Ochthebis (southats) 0.01 0.01 Eperarellidae Edyommars sp. 1.13 0.35 Ochthebis (southats) 0.00 0.01 Trichoptera Iterrational ignita 0.77 0.03 Gyrinidae larvae 0.08 0.01 Trichoptera Heteroptera Heteroptera Heteroptera 0.00 0.01 Philopotamidas p. 0.11 0.03 Odonata Oncocata 0.01 0.00 0.01 Hydroptildae Hydroptila sp. 0.57 0.39 Calopteryrgidae Calopteryr splendens 0.01 0.00 0.01 Hydroptilae Dydroptopkoke sp. 13.2 12.0 Lumbriculidae 0.04 0.01		Centroptilum luteolum	0.31	0.13	Hydraenidae	undet.	0.11	0.01
Leptophlebiidae Habroleptidae sp. 0.93 0.23 Hydraena similis 0.01 0.00 Habroleptidae sp. 0.15 0.01 Hydraena andrainii 0.02 0.00 Choracreps pictaïi 0.01 0.02 Hydraena andrainii 0.02 0.00 Heptophlebia sp. 1.13 0.35 Ochthebius fossulatus 0.00 0.01 Ephemerellidae Serratella ignita 0.71 0.03 Gyrinidae Iarvae 0.89 0.01 Trichoptera Heteroptera Heteroptera 0.46 0.03 Polycentropodidae Undet. 0.00 0.01 Gomphidae Onychogomphus sp. 0.47 0.40 Polycentropodidae undet. 0.08 0.04 Aeshnidae Calopterypy splendens 0.01 0.00 Hydroptil sp. 0.57 0.39 Calopterypy splendens 0.01 0.00 Hydroptil sp. 0.13 0.00 Oligochaeta 0.01 0.00 Hydroptil sp. 0.13 0.10 0.10	Caenidae	Caenis sp.	4.03	3.99		Haenydra truncata	0.03	0.01
Habrophileba sp. 0.15 0.01 Hydraena andreinii 0.02 0.01 0.00 Heptageniidae Ecdyomurus sp. 1.13 0.35 Ochthebius fossulatus 0.00 0.01 Ephemerellidae Eraciloui (and the construction of the con	Leptophlebiidae	Habroleptoides sp.	0.93	0.23		Hydraena similis	0.01	0.00
		Habrophlebia sp.	0.15	0.01		Hydraena andreinii	0.02	0.00
Heptageniidae Ecdyonarus sp. 1.13 0.35 Ochnhebius fossulatus 0.00 0.01 Ephemerellidae Serratella ignita 0.77 0.03 Gyrinidae larvae 0.08 0.01 Trichoptera - Heteroptera - Heteroptera 0.08 0.01 Philopotanus sp. 0.00 0.01 Gorinidae Micronecta sp. 0.46 0.03 Polycentropodidae undet. 0.08 0.04 Aeshnidae Doychogomphus sp. 0.47 0.40 Polycentropodidae undet. 0.08 0.04 Aeshnidae Doychogomphus sp. 0.47 0.40 Polycentropodidae Hydropila sp. 0.57 0.39 Calopterygidae Caloptery splendens 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01		Choroterpes pictetii	0.01	0.02		Hydraena subimpressa	0.01	0.00
Eperners idivical 0.01 0.01 Helodidae larvae 6.90 0.27 Trichoptera Heteroptera Heteroptera 0.03 Gyrinidae larvae 0.08 0.01 Trichoptera Chimara marginata 0.15 3.62 Corixidae Micronecta sp. 0.46 0.03 Wormaldia sp. 0.11 0.03 Odonata - - 0.00 0.01 Polycentropodiae undet. 0.08 0.19 Calopterygidae Calopterys splendens 0.01 0.00 Hydropilidae Hydropila sp. 0.57 0.39 Calopterys splendens 0.01 0.00 Hydropsychidae Hydropsyche sp. 13.2 12.0 Lumbriculdae 0.04 0.01 Hydropsychidae Hydropsyche lepida 2.73 4.79 Natidiae undet. 0.08 0.12 Psychomyia pusilla 0.01 0.10 Lumbriculdae undet. 0.08 0.13 Eiseniella tetraedra 0.01 0.10 Lepidostomatidae	Heptageniidae	Ecdyonurus sp.	1.13	0.35		Ochthebius fossulatus	0.00	0.01
Ephemerellidae Servatella ignita 0.77 0.03 Gyrinidae larvae 0.08 0.01 Heteroptera Philopotamidae Chimarra marginata 0.15 3.62 Corixidae Micromecta sp. 0.46 0.03 Wormaldia sp. 0.01 0.03 Odonata Philopotamus sp. 0.00 0.01 Gomphidae Onychogomphus sp. 0.47 0.40 Polycentropodidae undet. 0.08 0.04 Aeshnidae Boyeria irene 0.00 0.01 Hydroptilidae Hydroptila sp. 0.57 0.39 Calopterygidae Calopteryx splendens 0.01 0.00 Oxythira flaviciornis 0.03 0.00 Oligochaeta Rhyacophildae Hydropythe kpida 2.73 4.79 Naididae 0.69 1.36 Psychomyidae undet. 0.01 0.10 Lumbriculidae 0.69 1.36 Psychomyia pusilla 0.01 0.13 Eiseniella tetraedra 0.01 0.10 Cheunatopsyche kpida 2.73 4.79 Naididae 0.69 1.36 Psychomyia pusilla 0.01 0.13 Eiseniella tetraedra 0.01 0.10 Limonpilidae Lepidostoma hirtum 0.53 0.08 Crustacea Lepidostoma hirtum 0.53 0.08 Crustacea Liptoceridae Lepidostoma hirtum 0.53 0.01 Dugesidae Dugesia sp. 0.93 4.56 Nematoda Mystacides azurea 0.01 0.01 Arachnida Limnephilidae Paleodixa sp. 0.00 0.01 Anthomyidae 0.01 0.00 Chironomidae 0.01 0.01 Anthomyidae 0.01 0.00 Chironomidae 0.01 Atherix ibis 0.01 0.00 Chironomidae 0.01 Atherix ibis 0.01 Atherix ibis 0.01 Atherix ibis 0.01 Chironomidae 0.01		Epeorus silvicola	0.01	0.01	Helodidae	larvae	6.90	0.27
Heteroptera Heteroptera Philopotamidae Chimarra marginata 0.15 3.62 Corixidae Micronecta sp. 0.46 0.03 Philopotamids sp. 0.11 0.03 Odonata Onychogomphus sp. 0.47 0.40 Polycentropodida undet. 0.08 0.04 Aeshnidae Boyena irane 0.00 0.01 Hydroptilidae Hydroptila sp. 0.57 0.39 Calopterygidae Calopteryx splendens 0.01 0.00 Rhyacophilidae Hydroptile sp. 0.38 0.19 Tubificidae O.04 0.01 Hydropsychidae Hydropsyche sp. 13.2 12.0 Lumbricuidae undet. 0.08 0.19 Psychomyidae Undet. 0.10 Lumbricuidae undet. 0.01 0.10 Eareaidae Berea sp. 0.01 0.13 Placotidae Undet. 0.10 0.12 Leptoceridae undet. 1.76 1.47 Aselidae Douo 0.01 0.12	Ephemerellidae	Serratella ignita	0.77	0.03	Gyrinidae	larvae	0.08	0.01
PhilopotamidaeChimara marginata Wornaldia sp.0.153.62Corixidae ScienceMicromecta sp.0.460.03Wornaldia sp.0.110.03Odonata GomphidaeOnychogomphus sp.0.470.40Polycentropodidaeundet.0.080.04AeshnidaeBoyeria irene0.000.01Hydroptilla bydropsychiae0.770.39CalopterygidaeCalopteryx splendens0.010.00Oxythira flavicornis0.030.00Oligochaeta0.130.09RhyacophildaeHydropsyche sp.13.212.0Lumbriculidae0.130.09Cheumatopsyche lepida2.734.79Naididaeundet.0.080.12Psychomyidaeundet.0.010.10Lumbriculidaeundet.0.080.12Psychomyidueundet.0.010.00Mollusca0.010.100.10EraeidaeBerea sp.0.010.00Mollusca0.000.01LepidostomatikeLepidostoma hirum0.530.08Crustacea0.000.01Mystacidis azurea0.010.01DugesidaeDugesia sp.0.934.56Diptera0.010.010.00Archnida14.722.2EmpididaePaleodixa sp.0.010.01ArchnidaMystacidis azurea0.010.01Archnida14.722.2Empididae0.010.000.01Archnida14.722.2Empididae	Trichoptera				Heteroptera			
Wormaldia sp. 0.11 0.03 Odonata Philopotamus sp. 0.00 0.01 Gomphidae Onychogomphus sp. 0.47 0.40 Polycentropodida undet. 0.08 0.04 Aeshnidae Boyeria irene 0.00 0.01 Hydroptilidae Hydroptila sp. 0.57 0.39 Calopterygidae Calopteryx splendens 0.01 0.00 Myacophilidae Hydropsychia sp. 0.08 0.19 Tubificidae 0.04 0.01 Hydropsychidae Hydropsyche sp. 13.2 12.0 Lumbriculdae 0.06 0.01 0.01 Psychomyidae undet. 0.01 0.01 Lumbricidae undet. 0.01 0.10 Timodes sp. 0.01 0.00 Mollusca Eiseniella tetraedra 0.01 0.10 Lepidostomatidae Lepidostoma hirtum 0.53 0.08 Crustacea 0.01 0.12 Lepidostomatidae Lepidostoma hirtum 0.53 0.08 Crustacea 0.01 0.12	Philopotamidae	Chimarra marginata	0.15	3.62	Corixidae	<i>Micronecta</i> sp.	0.46	0.03
Philopotamus sp. 0.00 0.01 Gomphidae Onychogomphus sp. 0.47 0.40 Polycentropodidae undet. 0.08 0.04 Aeshnidae Boyeria irene 0.00 0.01 Hydroptila sp. 0.57 0.39 Calopterygidae Calopteryx splendens 0.01 0.00 Rhyacophilidae Rhyacophila sp. 0.08 0.19 Tubificidae 0.04 0.01 Hydropsychide Hydropsyche sp. 13.2 12.0 Lumbriculidae 0.04 0.01 Psychomyidae undet. 0.01 0.10 Lumbricidae undet. 0.08 0.12 Erreaidae Berea sp. 0.01 0.10 Lumbricidae undet. 0.01 0.10 Lepidostomatidae Lepidostoma hirtum 0.53 0.08 Crustacea 0.01 0.12 Lepidostomatidae undet. 1.76 1.47 Asellidae 0.00 0.03 Limephilidae 0.01 0.01 Dugesidae Dugesia sp. 0.93 4.56 <td></td> <td>Wormaldia sp.</td> <td>0.11</td> <td>0.03</td> <td>Odonata</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		Wormaldia sp.	0.11	0.03	Odonata			
Polycentropodidae undet. 0.08 0.04 Aeshnidae Boyeria irene 0.00 0.01 0.00 Hydroptildae Hydroptila sp. 0.57 0.39 Calopterygidae Calopterys splendens 0.01 0.00 Rhyacophilda sp. 0.03 0.00 Oligochaeta 0.04 0.01 Hydropsyche sp. 13.2 12.0 Lumbriculidae 0.61 0.09 Cheumatopsyche lepida 2.73 4.79 Naididae 0.66 1.36 Psychomyidae undet. 0.01 0.10 Lumbriculidae undet. 0.08 0.12 Psychomyia pusilla 0.01 0.13 Mididae 0.01 0.01 0.01 Tinodes sp. 0.01 0.00 Mollusca Eiseniella tetraedra 0.01 0.01 Leptostomatidae Lepidostoma hirtum 0.53 0.08 Crustacea 0.00 0.01 Limnephilidae Mystacides azurea 0.01 0.01 Dugesidae 0.00 0.01 Dixidae		Philopotamus sp.	0.00	0.01	Gomphidae	Onychogomphus sp.	0.47	0.40
Hydroptilidae Hydroptila sp. 0.57 0.39 Calopterygidae Calopterygidae Calopterygidae Calopterygidae Calopterygidae 0.01 0.00 Rhyacophilidae Rhyacophila sp. 0.03 0.00 Oligochaeta 0.04 0.01 Hydropsychidae Hydropsyche sp. 13.2 12.0 Lumbriculidae 0.13 0.09 Cheumatopsyche lepida 2.73 4.79 Naidiae 0.069 1.36 Psychomyidae undet. 0.01 0.10 Lumbriculidae undet. 0.08 0.12 Lepidostomatidae <i>Derea</i> sp. 0.01 0.00 Mollusca 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 <td>Polycentropodidae</td> <td>undet.</td> <td>0.08</td> <td>0.04</td> <td>Aeshnidae</td> <td>Boyeria irene</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>0.01</td>	Polycentropodidae	undet.	0.08	0.04	Aeshnidae	Boyeria irene	0.00	0.01
Oxythira flavicornis 0.03 0.00 Oligochaeta Rhyacophilase Rhyacophila sp. 0.08 0.19 Tubificidae 0.04 0.01 Hydropsychiae Hydropsyche sp. 13.2 12.0 Lumbriculidae 0.13 0.09 Cheumatopsyche lepida 2.73 4.79 Naididae 0.69 1.36 Psychomyiae undet. 0.01 0.10 Lumbriculidae undet. 0.08 0.12 Psychomyia pusilla 0.01 0.00 Mollusca 0.01 0.10 Eeraeidae Berae sp. 0.08 0.13 Planorbidae 0.01 0.01 0.12 Lepidostomatidae Lepidostoma hirtum 0.53 0.08 Crustacea Lepidostoma intrum 0.53 0.00 0.01 0.01 0.00 0.01 1.2 Lepidostomatidae Lepidostoma hirtum 0.53 0.08 Crustacea Lepidostoma intrum 0.53 Mystacides 0.00 0.01 <td< td=""><td>Hydroptilidae</td><td>Hydroptila sp.</td><td>0.57</td><td>0.39</td><td>Calopterygidae</td><td>Calopteryx splendens</td><td>0.01</td><td>0.00</td></td<>	Hydroptilidae	Hydroptila sp.	0.57	0.39	Calopterygidae	Calopteryx splendens	0.01	0.00
Rhyacophildae <i>Hydropsycha</i> sp. 0.08 0.19 Tublicidae 0.04 0.01 Hydropsycha <i>Hydropsyche</i> sp. 13.2 12.0 Lumbriculidae 0.13 0.09 <i>Cheumatopsyche Quadropsyche</i> 2.73 4.79 Naididae 0.69 1.36 Psychomyidae undet. 0.01 0.10 Lumbricidae undet. 0.08 0.12 <i>Psychomyia pusilla</i> 0.01 0.13 0.00 Mollusca 0.01 0.10 Beracidae <i>Berea</i> sp. 0.08 0.13 Planorbidae 0.01 0.12 Lepidostomatidae <i>Lepidostoma hirtum</i> 0.53 0.08 Crustacea 0.00 0.01 Limnephilidae undet. 1.76 1.47 Asellidae 0.00 0.01 Limnephilidae 0.01 0.04 Tricladida 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 Limnephilidae 0.01 0.01 Mystacifes azurea 0.00 0.01 Archnida 14.7 22.2 Empididae <i>Paleodixa</i> sp. 0.00 0.01 Hydracarina <td></td> <td>Oxythira flavicornis</td> <td>0.03</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>Oligochaeta</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		Oxythira flavicornis	0.03	0.00	Oligochaeta			
Hydropsychidae Hydropsyche sp. 13.2 12.0 Lumbriculdae 0.13 0.09 Cheumatopsyche lepida 2.73 4.79 Naididae 0.69 1.36 Psychomyidae undet. 0.01 0.10 Lumbricidae undet. 0.08 0.12 Psychomyia pusilla 0.01 0.13 Eiseniella tetraedra 0.01 0.10 Tinodes sp. 0.01 0.00 Mollusca 0.01 0.01 0.12 Lepidostomatidae <i>Berea</i> sp. 0.08 Crustacea 0.00 0.01 0.12 Lepidostomatidae undet. 1.76 1.47 Asellidae 0.00 0.01 Mystacides azurea 0.01 0.04 Tricladida 0.00 0.03 Diptera Nematoda undet. 0.00 0.01 14.7 22.2 Empididae 0.00 0.01 Arachnida 14.7 22.2 Empididae 0.01 0.00 0.01 14.7 22.2 Empididae 0.01 0.00 0.01 14.7 22.2 Empid	Rhyacophilidae	Rhyacophila sp.	0.08	0.19	Tubificidae		0.04	0.01
Cheumatopsyche lepida 2.73 4.79 Natidiae 0.69 1.36 Psychomyida undet. 0.01 0.10 Lumbricidae undet. 0.08 0.12 Psychomyia pusilla 0.01 0.10 Lumbricidae undet. 0.08 0.12 Psychomyia pusilla 0.01 0.00 Mollusca Eiseniella tetraedra 0.01 0.12 Lepidostoma hirtum 0.53 0.08 0.13 Planorbidae 0.01 0.12 Lepidostomatidae Lepidostoma hirtum 0.53 0.08 Crustacea 0.00 0.03 Limnephilidae undet. 1.76 1.47 Asellidae 0.00 0.01 Diptera Nematoda undet. 0.01 0.01 Dugesia sp. 0.93 4.56 Dixidae Paleodixa sp. 0.00 0.01 Hydracarina 14.7 22.2 Empididae 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 Ragionidae 14.7 22.2 Chironomidae 8.97 <td>Hydropsychidae</td> <td>Hydropsyche sp.</td> <td>13.2</td> <td>12.0</td> <td>Lumbriculidae</td> <td></td> <td>0.13</td> <td>0.09</td>	Hydropsychidae	Hydropsyche sp.	13.2	12.0	Lumbriculidae		0.13	0.09
Psychomyidae undet. 0.01 0.10 Lumbricidae undet. 0.08 0.12 Psychomyia pusilla 0.01 0.13 Eiseniella tetraedra 0.01 0.01 0.01 Beracidae Berea sp. 0.08 0.13 Planorbidae 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01		Cheumatopsyche lepida	2.73	4.79	Naididae		0.69	1.36
Psychomyta pusilla 0.01 0.13 Essentella tetraedra 0.01 0.01 0.10 Tinodes sp. 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 Berea sp. 0.08 0.13 Planorbidae 0.01 0.01 0.12 Lepidostomatidae Lepidostoma hirtum 0.53 0.08 Crustacea 0.00 0.03 Leptoceridae undet. 1.76 1.47 Asellidae 0.00 0.03 Limmephilidae 0.01 0.04 Tricladida 0.00 0.03 Diptera 0.00 0.01 Mustacarina 0.00 0.01 Dixidae Paleodixa sp. 0.00 0.01 Hydracarina 14.7 22.2 Empididae 0.01 0.00 0.01 Arachnida 14.7 22.2 Simulidae 0.01 0.00 0.01 Arachnida 14.7 22.2 Empididae 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 14.7 22.2 Simulidae 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00	Psychomyidae	undet.	0.01	0.10	Lumbricidae	undet.	0.08	0.12
Timodes sp. 0.01 0.00 Mollusca Beraa dida Berea sp. 0.08 0.13 Planorbidae 0.01 0.12 Lepidostomatidae Lepidostom hirtum 0.53 0.08 Crustacea 0.00 0.03 Leptoceridae undet. 1.76 1.47 Asellidae 0.00 0.03 Mystacides azurea 0.01 0.04 Tricladida Dugesidae Dugesia sp. 0.93 4.56 Diptera Nematoda undet. 0.00 0.01 Dugesidae Dugesia sp. 0.93 4.56 Dixidae Paleodixa sp. 0.00 0.01 Hydracarina Undet. 0.00 0.01 Dixidae Paleodixa sp. 0.00 0.01 Hydracarina 14.7 22.2 Empididae 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 Caratopogonidae Itematidae Itematidae <thitema< td=""><td></td><td>Psychomyia pusilla</td><td>0.01</td><td>0.13</td><td></td><td>Eiseniella tetraedra</td><td>0.01</td><td>0.10</td></thitema<>		Psychomyia pusilla	0.01	0.13		Eiseniella tetraedra	0.01	0.10
Beraeidae Berea sp. 0.08 0.13 Planorbidae 0.01 0.12 Lepidostomatidae Lepidostoma hirtum 0.53 0.08 Crustacea 0.00 0.00 0.03 Leptoceridae undet. 1.76 1.47 Asellidae 0.00 0.03 Mystacides azurea 0.01 0.04 Tricladida 0.00 0.03 Limnephilidae 0.01 0.01 Dugesiidae $Dugesia$ sp. 0.93 4.56 Diptera Nematoda undet. 0.00 0.01 $Placotixa$ sp. 0.93 4.56 Dixidae Paleodixa sp. 0.00 0.01 Hydracarina 14.7 22.2 Empididae 0.00 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.00 0.01 14.7 22.2 Empididae 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 $0.$	D 11	Tinodes sp.	0.01	0.00	Mollusca			
LepidostomatidaeLepidostoma hurium 0.53 0.08 CrustaceaLeptoceridaeundet. 1.76 1.47 Asellidae 0.00 0.03 Mystacides azurea 0.01 0.04 Tricladida $Dugesia$ sp. 0.93 4.56 DipteraNematodaundet. 0.00 0.01 Dugesidae sp. 0.93 4.56 DipteraNematodaundet. 0.00 0.01 $Nematoda$ $undet.$ 0.00 0.01 DixidaePaleodixa sp. 0.00 0.01 $Arachnida$ 14.7 22.2 Empididae 0.01 0.01 0.01 $Arachnida$ 14.7 22.2 Empididae 0.01 0.01 0.00 0.01 $Arachnida$ 14.7 22.2 Chironomidae 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.01 0.00 0.01 14.7 22.2 Simuliidae 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 14.7 22.2 Simuliidae 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 14.7 22.2 Simuliidae 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 Atherix aginata 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.02 0.02 0.02 Limoniidaeundet. 0.08 0.24 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02	Beraeidae	Berea sp.	0.08	0.13	Planorbidae		0.01	0.12
Leptoceridae undet. 1.76 1.47 Aselindae 0.00 0.03 Mystacides azurea 0.01 0.04 Tricladida 100 0.93 4.56 Diptera Nematoda undet. 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 Dixidae Paleodixa sp. 0.00 0.01 Arachnida 0.00 0.01 Psychodidae 0.00 0.01 0.01 Hydracarina 14.7 22.2 Empididae 0.01 0.01 0.01 14.7 22.2 Empididae 0.01 0.01 0.01 14.7 22.2 Empididae 0.01 0.01 0.01 14.7 22.2 Empididae 0.01 0.00 0.01 14.7 22.2 Empididae 0.01 0.00 0.01 14.7 22.2 Empididae 0.01 0.00 0.01 14.7 22.2 Simulidae 0.01 0.00 0.01 14.7 22.2 Simulidae 1.25 1.20 12.0 14.7 14.7 14.7	Lepidostomatidae	Lepidostoma hirtum	0.53	0.08	Crustacea		0.00	0.00
Mystacides azurea 0.01 0.04 Iricialida Limnephilidae 0.01 0.01 Dugesiidae Dugesia sp. 0.93 4.56 Diptera Nematoda undet. 0.00 0.01 Dixidae Paleodixa sp. 0.00 0.01 Arachnida 14.7 22.2 Empididae 0.00 0.01 0.01 14.7 22.2 Empididae 0.00 0.01 0.01 14.7 22.2 Empididae 0.00 0.01 0.01 14.7 22.2 Empididae 0.01 0.00 0.01 14.7 22.2 Empididae 0.01 0.00 0.01 14.7 22.2 Empididae 0.01 0.00 0.01 14.7 22.2 Chironomidae 0.01 0.00 0.01 14.7 22.2 Simulidae 1.25 1.20 14.7 22.3 14.7 Simulidae undet. 0.05 0.02 14.7 14.7 14.7 Tipula sp. 0.04 0.06 14.7 14.7	Leptoceridae	undet.	1.76	1.47	Asellidae		0.00	0.03
Limnephilidae 0.01 0.01 $Dugesindae$ $Dugesia$ sp. 0.93 4.56 DipteraNematodaundet. 0.00 0.01 Nematodaundet. 0.00 0.01 DixidaePaleodixa sp. 0.00 0.01 Arachnida14.7 22.2 Psychodidae 0.00 0.01 Hydracarina 14.7 22.2 Empididae 0.00 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 Rhagionidae 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 Ceratopogonidae 0.01 0.00 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 Chironomidae 8.97 10.7 1.25 1.20 1.25 1.20 1.25 1.20 1.25 1.20 1.25 1.20 Simulidaeundet. 0.05 0.02 1.25 1.20 1.25 1.20 1.25 1.20 1.25 1.20 1.25 1.20 1.25 1.20 1.25 1.20 1.25 1.20 1.25 1.20 1.25 1.20 1.25 1.20 1.25 1.20 1.25 1.20 1.25 1.20 1.25 1.20 1.25 1.20 1.25 1.20 1.25 1.20 1.25 1.20 1.25 1.20 1.25 1.20 1.25 1.20 1.25 1.20 1.25 1.20 1.25 1.20 1.25 1.20 1.25 1.25 1.20 1.25 <	T · · · · · ·	Mystacides azurea	0.01	0.04	Iricladida	D :	0.00	1.54
Directa Nematoda under. 0.00 0.01 Dixidae Paleodixa sp. 0.00 0.01 Arachnida Psychodidae 0.00 0.01 Hydracarina 14.7 22.2 Empididae 0.01 0.01 Hydracarina 14.7 22.2 Empididae 0.01 0.01 Hydracarina 14.7 22.2 Empididae 0.00 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 Anthomyidae 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.0	Limnephilidae		0.01	0.01	Dugesiidae	Dugesia sp.	0.93	4.50
	Diptera		0.00	0.01	Nematoda	undet.	0.00	0.01
Psychodidae 0.00 0.01 Hydracarina 14.7 22.2 Empididae 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 Rhagionidae 14.7 22.2 Empididae 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 22.2 Rhagionidae 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 <td< td=""><td>Dixidae</td><td>Paleodixa sp.</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.01</td><td>Arachnida</td><td></td><td>147</td><td>22.2</td></td<>	Dixidae	Paleodixa sp.	0.00	0.01	Arachnida		147	22.2
Emplididae 0.01 0.01 Rhagionidae 0.00 0.01 Anthomyidae 0.01 0.00 Ceratopogonidae 0.15 0.09 Chironomidae 8.97 10.7 Tanypodinae 1.25 1.20 Simuliidae 4.92 3.44 Tipulidae undet. 0.05 0.02 <i>Tipula</i> sp. 0.04 0.06 Atherix sp. 0.17 0.17 <i>Atherix marginata</i> 0.01 0.00 Limoniidae undet. 0.08 0.24	Psychodidae		0.00	0.01	Hydracarina		14.7	22.2
Rhagionidae 0.00 0.01 Anthomyidae 0.01 0.00 Ceratopogonidae 0.15 0.09 Chironomidae 8.97 10.7 Tanypodinae 1.25 1.20 Simuliidae 4.92 3.44 Tipulidaeundet. 0.05 0.02 Tipula sp. 0.04 0.06 Atherix sp. 0.17 0.17 Atherix marginata 0.01 0.01 Atherix ibis 0.01 0.00 Limoniidaeundet. 0.08 0.24 0.02	Empididae		0.01	0.01				
Anthonyidae 0.01 0.00 Ceratopogonidae 0.15 0.09 Chironomidae 8.97 10.7 Tanypodinae 1.25 1.20 Simuliidae 4.92 3.44 Tipulidaeundet. 0.05 0.02 Tipula sp. 0.04 0.06 Atherix sp. 0.17 0.17 Atherix marginata 0.01 0.01 Atherix ibis 0.01 0.00 Limoniidaeundet. 0.08 0.24	Anthomyidaa		0.00	0.01				
Ceratopogonidae 0.15 0.09 Chironomidae 8.97 10.7 Tanypodinae 1.25 1.20 Simuliidae 4.92 3.44 Tipulidaeundet. 0.05 0.02 Tipula sp. 0.04 0.06 Atherix sp. 0.17 0.17 Atherix marginata 0.01 0.01 Atherix ibis 0.01 0.00 Limoniidaeundet. 0.08 0.24	Anthomyldae		0.01	0.00				
Chironomidae 8.97 10.7 Tanypodinae 1.25 1.20 Simuliidae 4.92 3.44 Tipulidaeundet. 0.05 0.02 Tipula sp. 0.04 0.06 Atherix sp. 0.17 0.17 Atherix marginata 0.01 0.01 Atherix ibis 0.01 0.00 Limoniidaeundet. 0.08 0.24	Cleinen engiller		0.15	0.09				
Simuliidae1.251.20Simuliidae 4.92 3.44 Tipulidaeundet. 0.05 0.02 Tipula sp. 0.04 0.06 Atherix sp. 0.17 0.17 Atherix marginata 0.01 0.01 Atherix ibis 0.01 0.00 Limoniidaeundet. 0.08 0.24	Chironomidae	Tanımadinaa	0.97	10.7				
Simulate 4.92 3.44 Tipulidaeundet. 0.05 0.02 Tipula sp. 0.04 0.06 Atherix sp. 0.17 0.17 Atherix marginata 0.01 0.01 Atherix ibis 0.01 0.00 Limoniidaeundet. 0.08 0.24	Simuliidaa	Tanypodinae	1.25	1.20				
Tipulaeundet. 0.03 0.02 Tipula sp. 0.04 0.06 Atherix sp. 0.17 0.17 Atherix marginata 0.01 0.01 Atherix ibis 0.01 0.00 Limoniidaeundet. 0.08 0.24	Tipulidaa	undat	4.92	5.44				
AthericidaeAtherix sp. 0.04 0.06 Atherix sp. 0.17 0.17 Atherix marginata 0.01 0.01 Atherix ibis 0.01 0.00 Limoniidaeundet. 0.08 0.24	Tipuluae	Tipula sp	0.05	0.02				
Atterix for 0.17 0.17 $Atherix marginata$ 0.01 0.01 $Atherix ibis$ 0.01 0.00 Limoniidaeundet. 0.08 0.24	Athonicidae	Athenia sp.	0.04	0.00				
Atherix marginata0.010.01Atherix ibis0.010.00Limoniidaeundet.0.080.24	Allelicidae	Athenia manginata	0.17	0.17				
Limoniidae undet. 0.08 0.24		Athenix marginala	0.01	0.01				
	Limoniidae	undet	0.01	0.00				
Haxatoma sp 0.07 0.03	Linomidae	Havatoma sp	0.00	0.24				
1000000000000000000000000000000000000		Anthoca sp.	0.07	0.05				
Tabanidae $0.06 0.02$	Tabanidae	11111100a sp.	0.01	0.02				

correlation = 0.34, 0.39, 0.33, 0.49, 0.33, 0.31, respectively, p < 0.05).

In Site 1, there was positive correlation between 265 the size of the individuals and the number of taxa

eaten (Gamma correlation = 0.37, p < 0.05), but not with the number of prey (Gamma correlation = 0.15, p > 0.05). In Site 2, there was a very slight negative correlation between size and number of

Taxa		Site 1		Mean	Min	Max	Sit	Site 2		MinMax	
		N	%				N	%			
Plecoptera											
-	Leuctra sp.	14	0.9	0.2	0	4	12	0.9	0.2	0	3
	Indet. stoneflies	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	6	0.4	0.1	0	2
	Brachyptera sp.	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	24	1.8	0.4	0	8
Ephemeropte	era										
	Baetis sp.	109	6.9	1.8	0	14	36	2.6	0.6	0	6
	Caenis sp.	2	0.1	0.0	0	2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0
	Ecdyonurus sp.	22	1.4	0.4	0	4	21	1.5	0.4	0	5
	Indet. mayflies	24	1.5	0.4	0	5	13	1.0	0.2	0	3
	Habroleptoides sp.	3	0.2	0.1	0	1	2	0.1	0.0	0	1
	Serratella ignita	19	1.2	0.3	0	3	1	0.1	0.0	0	1
Trichoptera											
	Philopotamidae	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	12	0.9	0.2	0	4
	Leptoceridae	27	1.7	0.5	0	4	5	0.4	0.1	0	2
	Hydropsychidae	111	7.0	1.9	0	18	62	4.5	1.0	0	10
	Hydroptilidae	182	11.5	3.0	0	20	137	10.0	2.3	0	12
	Polycentropodidae	5	0.3	0.1	0	1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0
	Psychomyidae	2	0.1	0.0	0	2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0
	Rhyacophilidae	65	4.1	1.1	0	9	15	1.1	0.3	0	3
	Larvae caddisflies	13	0.8	0.2	0	3	13	1.0	0.2	0	3
	Adult caddisflies	1	0.1	0.0	0	1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0
Diptera											
	Ceratopogonidae	2	0.1	0.0	0	1	4	0.3	0.1	0	2
	Limoniidae	8	0.5	0.1	0	2	17	1.2	0.3	0	4
	Chironomidae larvae	729	46.0	12.2	0	70	415	30.4	6.9	0	52
	Chironomidae pupae	4	0.3	0.1	0	2	2	0.1	0.0	0	1
	Tanypodinae	33	2.1	0.6	0	3	40	2.9	0.7	0	8
	Tipulidae	4	0.3	0.1	0	2	19	1.4	0.3	0	4
	Empididae	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	1	0.1	0.0	0	1
	Indet. Diptera	1	0.1	0.0	0	1	2	0.1	0.0	0	1
Coleoptera											
	Elmidae larvae	11	0.7	0.2	0	2	6	0.4	0.1	0	1
	Dryopidae larvae	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	2	0.1	0.0	0	2
	Indet.	1	0.1	0.0	0	1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0
Heteroptera		0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0
Terrestrial in	sects	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	2	0.1	0.0	0	2
Mollusca		0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0
	Lymanea sp.	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	1	0.1	0.0	0	1
	Ancylus fluviatilis	1	0.1	0.0	0	1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0
	Planorbidae	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	1	0.1	0.0	0	1
Crustacea											
	Daphnia sp.	169	10.7	2.8	0	35	480	35.1	8.0	0	162
	Copepoda	17	1.1	0.3	0	5	5	0.4	0.1	0	3
	Ostracoda	1	0.1	0.0	0	1	8	0.6	0.1	0	2
	indet.	3	0.2	0.1	0	3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0
Aracnida											
	Hydracarina	3	0.2	0.1	0	1	2	0.1	0.0	0	1

Table III. Number of items, percent relative abundance in the gut, and mean values for macroinvertebrates found in the gut of the two *Padogobius bonelli* populations.

prey eaten (Gamma correlation = -0.19, p < 0.05), but not with the number of taxa eaten (Gamma correlation = 0.19, p > 0.05).

Comparing the two populations, there were differences in body size between sites (Mann–Whitney U 275 = 1357.5, p < 0.05). Mean total body length in Site 1 was 49.15 mm \pm 0.65 SD, while in Site 2 it was 46.15 mm \pm 0.59 SD. Thus, fishes from Site 2 were smaller than those from Site 1, where the flow is natural, not unpredictably variable. Comparing diets, there were no differences in number of prey eaten by individuals from each population (Mann–Whitney

280



Figure 1. Ivlev's electivity index for macroinvertebrate taxa in the Padogobius bonelli diet in the two stations of Orba creek.

U = 1438.0, p > 0.05). Nevertheless, there were differences in the number of taxa eaten by individuals from each population (Mann–Whitney U = 1371.5, p < 0.05), being higher in Site 1 (Figure 2).

Discussion

In field studies, gut content analyses are the most diffused method to investigate prey choice and diet in lotic organisms (Allan & Castillo 2007). The analysis of the gut contents of *Padogobius bonelli* shows that, in the studied area, this species feeds mainly on aquatic insects, while Mollusca, Crustacea and other

items are only present in their guts occasionally,

contrary to findings in some other species of freshwater Gobiidae (e.g. Charlebois et al. 1997). Ana- 295 lysing diet preferences, we can assume that *P. bonelli* feed mainly on insects selected on the basis of some characteristics: preferred items are generally medium or large-sized, scarcely mobile, closely associated with the riverbed, generally soft-bodied and 300 without hard exoskeleton, spines or some other morphological defences, such as Trichoptera Rhyacophilidae, Hydroptilidae and Polycentropodidae, and Diptera Chironomidae, Limoniidae and Tipulidae. This result agrees with the 'Optimal foraging 305 theory' (Krebs 1978), that states that predators include in the diet the most profitable preys on the



Figure 2. Number of macroinvertebrate taxa in the diet of the two studied populations.

basis of different elements, such as energy contents (i.e. size), encounter rate, prey density, handling
time and others. For this reason, other invertebrates, also abundant and widespread, were not positively selected: this is the case of the very mobile Ephemeroptera Baetidae and Leptophlebiidae and also of the small-sized Hydracarina. The small per-

- 315 centages of coarse and fine particulate organic matter in the guts, together with a lower percentage of sand and gravel, are probably a consequence of the feeding method of this species that collects its preys directly from the riverbed, as some other Gobiidae
- ³²⁰ species (Carle & Hastings 1982; Charlebois et al. 1997).

The strong preference for benthic preys probably diminishes interspecific competition with the Brown Trout (*Salmo trutta trutta* L., 1758), a Salmonidae

³²⁵ that usually lives in the same lotic environments, but that captures preys in the whole water column, ingesting high amounts of drifting and terrestrial insects (Montori et al. 2006; Fochetti et al. 2008).

In this study, a general increase of trophic spectrum was detected in larger fishes: bigger goby specimens ingested larger number of taxa. Probably, with the increase in length, there is an associated increase in the ability to handle and devour different taxa.

³³⁵ Comparing the two nearby located populations of *P. bonelli*, we detected some interesting differences: fishes from Site 1 were generally larger and ingested more taxa than fishes from Site 2. No significant differences were detected in the chemical characteris-

³⁴⁰ tics and in the macrobenthic communities between the two sites, so we could hypothesise that differences in flow could be on the basis of the observed differences. In fact, fluctuating water levels may inhibit movements, habitat exploration and prey encounters 345 in the downstream site: rapid changes in current velocity and riverbed area may have a strong impact on diet composition and subsequently on development and final size of gobies. Improvements of the autoecological knowledge, for example by means of 350 diet analysis, could be very important for the protection of this species that recently seems to be vulnerable (Miller 2003).

Acknowledgements

We thank E. Coduti, M. Grenna, and F. Gallo for 355 their help with field work. The final version of the manuscript benefited greatly from the insight provided by G.B. Delmastro and three anonymous reviewers. This work was supported by Provincia di Alessandria ATF Grants. 360

References

- Adámek Z, Andrei J, Gallardo JM. 2007. Food habits of four bottom-dwelling Gobiid species at the confluence of the Danube and Hron rivers (South Slovakia). International Review of Hydrobiology 92:554–563.
- Allan JD, Castillo MM. 2007. Stream ecology. Structure and function of running waters. 2nd ed. Dordrecht: Springer Editions.
- Balestrieri A, Prigioni C, Remonti L, Sgrosso S, Giuseppe P. 2006. Feeding ecology of *Leuciscus cephalus* and *Rutilus rubilio* 370 in southern Italy. Italian Journal of Zoology 73:129–135.
- Bisazza A, Marconato A, Marin G. 1989. Male competition and female choice in *Padogobius martensi* (Pisces, Gobiidae). Animal Behaviour 38:406–413.
- Bo T, Fenoglio S, Malacarne G. 2007. Diet of *Dinocras cephalotes* 375 and *Perla marginata* (Plecoptera: Perlidae) in an Apennine stream (northwestern Italy). Canadian Entomologist 139:358–364.
- Cammarata M, Bo T, Candiotto A, Pessino M, Fenoglio S, Malacarne G. 2008. Analisi preliminare della dieta del barbo europeo (*Barbus barbus*) nel Fiume Bormida. Memorie della Società Italiana di Scienze Naturali e del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Milano 36:48.
- Carle KJ, Hastings PA. 1982. Selection of meiofaunal prey by the Darter Goby, *Gobionellus boleosoma* (Gobiidae). Estuaries 385 5:316–318.
- Charlebois PM, Marsden JE, Goettel RG, Wolfe RK, Jude DJ, Rudnicka S. 1997. The round goby, *Neogobius melanostomus* (Pallas), a review of European and North American literature. Zion, IL: Illinois Natural History Survey Press.
- Dewson ZS, James ABW, Death RG. 2007. Invertebrate responses to short-term water abstraction in small New Zealand streams. Freshwater Biology 52:357–369.
- Downes BJ, Lake PS, Schreiber ESG, Glaister A. 1998. Habitat structure and regulation of local species diversity in a stony, upland stream. Ecological Monograph 68:237–257.
- Fenoglio S, Bo T. 2009. Lineamenti di Ecologia Fluviale. Novara: Città Studi-DeAgostini scuola.

- 8 *T. Bo et al.*
- 400 Fenoglio S, Bo T, Cucco M, Malacarne G. 2007. Response of benthic invertebrate assemblages to varying drought conditions in the Po river (NW Italy). Italian Journal of Zoology 74:191–201.
 - Fenoglio S, Bo T, López-Rodríguez MJ, Tierno de Figueroa JM, Malacarne G. 2009. Preimaginal feeding habits of *Isoperla car*-
- 405 *bonaria* Aubert, 1953 (Plecoptera: Perlodidae). Aquatic Insects 31:401–407.
 - Fochetti R, Argano R, Tierno de Figueroa JM. 2008. Feeding ecology of various age-classes of brown trout in River Nera, Central Italy. Belgian Journal of Zoology 138:128–131.
- 410 Gandolfi G, Tongiorgi P. 1974. Taxonomic position, distribution and biology of the gobies present in the Italian fresh-waters, *Padogobius martensi* (Günther) and *Gobius niigricans* Canestrini (Osteichthyes, Gobiidae). Annali del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Genova 80:92–118.
- 415 Gandolfi G, Zerunian S, Torricelli P, Marconato A. 1991. I pesci delle acque interne italiane. Roma: Istituto Poligrafico e Zecca dello Stato.
 - Grabowska J, Grabowski M. 2005. Diel-feeding activity in early summer of racer goby *Neogobius gymnotrachelus* (Gobiidae): A
- 420 new invader in the Baltic basin. Journal of Applied Ichthyology 21:282–286.
 - Guisande González C, Barreiro Felpeto A, Maneiro Estraviz I, Riveiro Alarcón I, Vergara Castaño AR, Vaamonde Liste A. 2006. Tratamiento de datos. Madrid: Ediciones Díaz de Santos.
- 425 Ivlev VS. 1961. Experimental ecology of the feeding of fishes. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
 - Kottelat M, Freyhof J. 2007. Handbook of European freshwater fishes. Cornol: Publications Kottelat.
- Krebs JR. 1978. Behavioural ecology: An evolutionary approach.
 Optimal foraging: Decision rules for predators. Oxford: Blackwell. pp 23–63.
 - Lugli M, Bobbio L, Torricelli P, Gandolfi G. 1992. Breeding ecology and male spawning success in two hill-stream populations

of the freshwater goby, *Padogobius martensi*. Environmental Biology of Fishes 35:37–48.

- Miller PJ. 2003. The freshwater fishes of Europe. Vol.8/I. Mugilidae, Atherinidae, Atherinopsidae, Bleniidae, Odontobutidae, Gobiidae 1. Wiebelsheim: Aula Verlag GmBH.
- Monakov AK. 2003. Feeding of freshwater invertebrates. Ghent: Kenobi Productions. 440
- Montori A, Tierno de Figueroa JM, Santos X. 2006. The diet of the brown trout Salmo trutta (L.) during the reproductive period: Size-related and sexual effects. International Review of Hydrobiology 91:438–450.
- Scalici M, Gibertini G. 2007. Feeding habits of the crayfish Austropotamobius pallipes (Decapoda, Astacidae) in a brook in Latium (central Italy). Italian Journal of Zoology 74:157–168.
- StatSoft Inc. 2005. STATISTICA (data analysis software system), version 7.1 (www.statsoft.com).
- Stewart KW, Stark BP. 2002. Nymphs of North American stone- 450 fly genera (Plecoptera). Columbus: The Caddis Press.
- Torricelli P, Lugli M, Pavan G. 1990. Analysis of sounds produced by male *Padogobius martensi* (Pisces, Gobiidae) and factors affecting their structural properties. Bioacoustics 2:261–275.
- Torricelli P, Pavan G, Lugli M. 1987. Analisi di suoni aggressivi e di corteggiamento nei maschi del ghiozzo di fiume *Padogobius martensi*. Bollettino Accademia Gioenia di Scienze Naturali 20:263–265.
- Young RG, Matthaei CD, Townsend CR. 2008. Organic matter 460 breakdown and ecosystem metabolism: functional indicators for assessing river ecosystem health Journal of the North American Benthological Society 27:605–625.
- Zerunian S. 2002. Condannati all'estinzione? Biodiversità, biologia, minacce e strategie di conservazione dei pesci 465 d'acqua dolce indigeni in Italia. Bologna: Il Sole 24 Ore Ed. Agricole.