

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Clinical implication of changes in body composition and weight in patients with early-stage and metastatic breast cancer

This is a pre print version of the following article:

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1729991> since 2020-02-22T15:13:21Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1016/j.critrevonc.2018.06.011

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

Title:

Clinical implication of changes in body composition and weight in patients with early-stage and metastatic breast cancer.

Authors:

Ilaria Trestini^{1#}, Luisa Carbognin^{1,2#}, Sara Monteverdi¹, Sara Zanelli¹, Alessandro De Toma¹, Clelia Bonaiuto¹, Rolando Nortilli¹, Elena Fiorio¹, Sara Pilotto¹, Roberto Iacovelli¹, Massimo Di Maio³, Giampaolo Tortora^{1*}, Emilio Bria^{1,4*}.

these authors share first co-authorship.

* these authors share last co-authorship.

Affiliations:

¹U.O.C. Oncology, University of Verona, Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Integrata, Verona, Italy.

²Ginecologia Oncologica, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Fondazione Policlinico 'A. Gemelli', Roma, Italy.

³

⁴ Oncologia Medica, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Fondazione Policlinico 'A. Gemelli', Roma, Italy.

Corresponding author:

Prof. Emilio Bria, Oncologia Medica, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Fondazione Policlinico 'A. Gemelli', Roma, Italy, ph.: +3906-30154953, fax: +3906-30154838, e-mail: emiliobria@yahoo.it.

Abstract.

Breast cancer represents the most frequent cancer among women in western countries. Although physicians and patients have witnessed a significant evolution in both treatment strategies and personalized medicine (the identification of featured patients' subsets such as HER2-driven disease), the identification of additional prognostic clinical predictors referring to patients' dietary habits represents a research area aiming to further improve the overall management of this disease. In this regard, body composition (i.e. the relative proportion of fat and muscles) and its changes have recently generated growing interest. A large body of evidence supports the relationship between overweight or weight gain and poor outcome in patients with early-stage breast cancer during adjuvant, and more recently, also neoadjuvant therapy. Nevertheless, a series of data on post-diagnosis weight variations and mortality reports controversial results. Indeed, the limited available data in the metastatic disease do not indicate an impact of body size on the outcome of these patients. With these perspectives, this review aims to elucidate the complex association between weight, body composition and breast cancer outcome, across the different settings of such disease. The more recent and important findings are highlighted, emphasizing the potential role of body composition assessment to predict individualize chemotherapy dosing, toxicity and efficacy, in order to improve the overall health status and prognosis of such still to date growing patients' population.

Key-words: Breast cancer; Body Mass Index; weight gain; body composition; prognosis.

Introduction.

Breast cancer (BC) represents the most common cancer and the second cause of cancer-related mortality among women in developed countries. The number of women living with a BC continues to grow due to advances in early detection and targeted treatment strategies [1].

The discovery of new clinical and biological predictors, in addition to the well-defined prognostic factors, as tumor size, lymph node status, histological type, and immunophenotypical characteristics, represents one of the main goals of ongoing research in order to improve the overall management of BC. In this regard, overweight and obesity, (identified by having a body mass index (BMI) of 25 to 30.0 kg/m² and a BMI ≥ 30.0 kg/m², respectively), weight gain and body composition measures have received increasing attention as potential prognostic and predictor factors of toxicity in BC [2-6], besides the well-known role as risk factors for the development of BC, particularly in the postmenopausal setting [7-9].

The results of the National Health Interview Surveys, a cross-sectional survey in the United States from 1997 to 2014, highlighted a statistically significant annual trend in increasing obesity prevalence in BC survivors (3.0%) ($p < 0.001$) [10]. Moreover, a series of clinical trials have observed that the excess of weight in pre- and postmenopausal BC patients is associated with higher recurrence rate and poorer survival compared to normal weight [11-13]. This negative prognostic effect of obesity was additionally supported by several meta-analyses [14-16].

The variation of weight represents a frequent condition during and after treatment for BC [17-19], due to changes in metabolism, food intake, decreased energy expenditure and physical activity [20]. Particularly, the weight gain following a diagnosis of early-stage BC seems to predict poor survival [3, 6], despite the impact is not consistent in all studies [21, 22]. In addition, patients do not easily lose the extra weight after the end treatment, with negative consequences on patient self-image, quality of life and overall health [23, 24].

Besides the weight gain, patients affected by BC reported unfavourable changes in body composition, with a significant increase in the percent of adipose tissue and decreases of lean body mass [17, 25]. In this regard, the body composition has emerged as an important prognostic factor in cancer patients [4, 26]. Particularly, a series of studies in BC have explored the association between loss of skeletal muscle and treatment outcomes in early-stage [27] and metastatic disease, raising the potential use of body composition assessment to predict toxicity, tailor dosing and improve treatment planning [28].

Given these perspectives, the aim of this review was to explore the complex association between weight, body composition and BC outcome, across the different settings of such disease. The more recent and relevant findings are highlighted, emphasizing the potential role of body composition assessment to predict outcome, toxicity and individualize chemotherapy dosing.

Weight changes following BC diagnosis.

The majority of patients experience weight changes after BC diagnosis [29]. In this regard, the first reports suggested that 50–96% of women with early-stage disease experience significant weight gain during the

38 treatment phase [17, 30]. Furthermore, other studies showed that weight change continues progressively for
39 some years after diagnosis, even in patients who remain weight stable during treatment [19]. In this context,
40 a retrospective study of 185 women diagnosed with stage I-III of BC found that the mean weight change
41 across all women was 1.5 kg at year one from diagnosis, 2.7 kg at year two and 2.8 kg at year three,
42 suggesting that weight gain is persistent after diagnosis [23]. This aspect was also observed in a long-term
43 follow-up study in which the risk of weight gain was positively related to the time elapsed since diagnosis
44 (adjusted Odds Ratio (OR)=1.19/year, 95% Confidence Interval (CI) 1.04-1.36) [31].

45 Despite a large body of literature has reported weight gain in women after diagnosis of BC, the causal factors
46 underlying such change remain unclear [32]. A series of studies suggested that the weight gain may be
47 attributable to the effects of some treatment regimens [33]. Moreover, this effect is highly related to the type
48 and duration of therapies. Several investigations in this area suggested that the weight gain is greater among
49 women who receive chemotherapy as part of their treatment, even if most analyses failed to specify the
50 chemotherapy regimens [31, 34], compared with women who received hormonal treatment or no systemic
51 treatment [35]. Early evidence describing weight gain observed that adjuvant chemotherapy, included long
52 duration treatments of non-anthracycline containing regimens such as CMF, was associated with changes of
53 up to 8-10 kg [36]. The Women's Healthy Eating and Living (WHEL) study, a prospective randomized
54 clinical trial that included 3088 BC patients, reported an association of weight gain with chemotherapy
55 (OR=1.65, 95% CI 1.12-2.43, $p=0.01$), for both anthracycline and non-anthracycline regimens (OR=1.63,
56 $p=0.01$ and OR=1.79, $p=0.003$, respectively). In particular, all the chemotherapies (Adriamycin and
57 Cyclophosphamide (AC): OR=1.55, $p=0.01$; Cyclophosphamide, Adriamycin and Fluorouracil: OR=1.83,
58 $p=0.003$; cyclophosphamide, methotrexate, and fluorouracil (CMF): OR=1.76, $p=0.004$) were related to
59 weight gain, without a significant difference between one and the other [24].

60 In more recent studies, including also taxanes, the weight gain is reported with a lower prevalence (35-85%)
61 and lesser degree than earlier studies, with a weight gain varying between 1.4 to 5.0 kg [36].

62 The underlying mechanism contributing to the weight change during chemotherapy is unclear. It may be
63 promoted by common treatment-related side effects such as fatigue, changes in dietary eating patterns,
64 induced by alternations in taste and smell, and a significant reduction in physical activity and in basal
65 metabolic rate, which may lead to an impairment in energy balance [37].

66 Furthermore, a series of evidence suggested that premenopausal status at BC diagnosis may be a strong
67 predictor of weight gain [38, 39]. In this regard, a recent retrospective study, assessing a cohort of 1282
68 women with a diagnosis of stage I-III, hormone receptor-positive, HER2-negative BC, who had completed 5
69 years of adjuvant endocrine therapy, identified that women who were premenopausal at diagnosis were 1.40
70 times more likely than women who were postmenopausal at diagnosis to have a >5% weight gain (OR=1.40,
71 95% CI 1.01-1.93, $p=0.040$) [32]. This effect seems to be mediated by premature ovarian failure which may
72 produce adverse changes in fat distribution and a decrease in lean body mass, promoting weight gain [38].

73 Unlike chemotherapy, hormonal treatment seems to be less often associated with significant weight change,
74 even if the evidence is uncertain [31, 40-43]. In this regard, tamoxifen or aromatase inhibitors treatment

alone (without chemotherapy) do not appear to significantly impact on body weight [24, 35, 44, 45]. Moreover, the ATAC trial, a large randomized study of early-stage postmenopausal BC patients, showed no statistically significant differences in weight gain between anastrozole and tamoxifen after 12 months of follow-up (+1.4 kg vs. +1.5 kg, $p=0.4$) [46].

Body composition variation following BC diagnosis.

Several studies have identified a shift in body composition, with an increase in adipose tissue and a reduction of lean tissue, with the development of sarcopenic obesity, independent of the amount of weight gain and BMI [38, 47]. The BMI, which can be easily determined, is used in most studies as a simple and reliable surrogate measure for obesity, even if it fails to discriminate between proportions of fat and lean tissues [48, 49].

To further underline this difference, more precise methodologies have been adopted in recent studies, such as the development of image analysis methods (ex. dual X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) scans and computed tomography (CT)), and the bioelectric impedance analyzer (BIA).

In this regard, an observational study, evaluating the body composition by DXA in women receiving chemotherapy (AC or AC followed by paclitaxel), found that patients who were of normal weight at the time of BC diagnosis were more likely to gain in body fat (besides in body weight) than overweight or obese women. Indeed, baseline BMI was inversely related to gains in weight ($p=0.01$) and fat mass in the torso ($p=0.006$) [50]. Moreover, *Freedman et al.* [51] analyzed data from a prospective study in 20 women with Stage I-III BC receiving adjuvant chemotherapy. This small study did not report significant changes in weight during the treatment. However, the investigators showed unfavorable changes in body composition. Indeed, the percentage of body fat, assessed by DXA, increased (+0.9 +/-1.6%; $p=0.02$) and the bone mineral content decreased (-0.02 +/- 0.04 kg; $p=0.02$). Furthermore, using CT, an unfavorable change of decrease in the ratio of visceral adipose tissue to subcutaneous fat was detected (-0.02 +/- 0.05 ml; $p=0.02$).

Besides the adiposity, the evaluation of the depletion of muscle mass (MM), implicated in the definition of sarcopenia, represents a relevant aspect in the nutritional assessment of cancer patients, due to the high prevalence in the oncology setting [52]. In this context, *Villaseñor et al.* [53] investigated the prevalence of sarcopenia, assessed by DXA scans in a cohort of women diagnosed with invasive BC (stages I-III A). Sarcopenia was defined as two standard deviations below the young healthy adult female mean of appendicular lean mass divided by height squared ($< 5.45 \text{ kg/m}^2$). The authors showed that among the 471 women included in this study, 75 (16%) were sarcopenic, with 38% of these women classified as obese (total body fat percentage, $\geq 38 \%$) and 61% as not obese ($< 38\%$). In addition, a recent retrospective study, conducted by *Deluche et al.* in patients with early BC, evaluated the prevalence of sarcopenia (defined as skeletal muscle index at the third lumbar vertebra (L3) $< 41 \text{ cm}^2/\text{m}^2$) by CT used for disease staging. The authors found that among the 119 evaluable patients, 58 (48.8%) were sarcopenic, whose 22 patients had a BMI $\geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ [47]. This study detected a greater prevalence of sarcopenia compared to the results of

Villaseñor et al. probably because DXA scans is less accurate than CT for the evaluation of sarcopenia, although both methods were validated.

Overall, sarcopenia was more common in women who were older at diagnosis, generally in post-menopause [47, 53]. Therefore, the menopause status seems to cause marked changes in body composition, thorough a redistribution of the pattern of adiposity, with an increase in visceral fat mass [54, 55]. In this regard, a retrospective analysis of 172 BC patients who underwent surgery after neoadjuvant chemotherapy, reported a statistically significant reduction of skeletal muscle area and a gain of fat, particularly visceral fat, after menopause and these changes are not reflected in the BMI measures [56]. Besides the menopausal status, adjuvant treatment for BC, including hormone therapy and chemotherapy, are proposed to be the major contributors to the alterations in body composition [57, 58].

In this regard, the majority of evidence in the literature is based mostly on data generated by retrospective studies [59-61], few data are available from prospective analyses.

A recent prospective cohort study, investigating the change in weight and body composition using BIA after current standard adjuvant treatment in 95 women with BC (stage I-III), found that after 18 months, there was an increase in weight of 0.9 kg (95% CI, 0.3-1.5; $p=0.003$) and an average positive association of 0.35 kg/cm increased waist circumference (95% CI, 0.29-0.42 kg; $p<0.0001$). Weight gains associated with increased body fat were observed mainly in premenopausal women receiving chemotherapy (1.4 kg, 95% CI 0.4-2.4; $p=0.007$) [62].

The majority of literature regarding the assessment of body composition in BC patients were conducted in the early stage setting, while few analyses included the metastatic disease. In this regard, a recent analysis compared body composition measures, through CT scans at L3 lumbar segments, between patients with early BC receiving adjuvant chemotherapy and metastatic BC initiating first-line chemotherapy. This study reported that, although mean BMI and body surface area were similar in both groups (29.0 vs. 28.8, $p=0.84$ and 1.87 vs. 1.86 m², $p=0.55$, respectively), skeletal muscle index (41.3 vs. 44.7 cm²/m², $p=0.009$), skeletal muscle density (29.8 vs. 36.4 Hounsfield Units, $p<0.0001$) and lean body mass (LBM, 39.3 vs. 41.9 kg, $p=0.024$) were significantly lower in the metastatic cancer patients. Moreover, patients with advanced BC was associated with a higher proportion of sarcopenia (defined as skeletal muscle area/height² <41) than early BC (58% vs. 31%, $p=0.0016$) [63]. In this regard, the loss of MM is a common condition in patients with advanced cancer. In a meta-analysis, included 7843 patients with solid tumors from 38 studies, the percentage of advanced cancer patients with sarcopenia varied from 19% to 74% [4].

The impact of baseline BMI and weight change on clinical outcome according to the different stages of BC.

Recent evidence has shown that overweight, obesity or underweight are correlated to adverse survival outcome in women with BC [16]. In this regard, *Chan et al.* conducted the most extensive meta-analysis on BMI and BC survival. The authors included 213075 BC patients from 82 prospective cohort studies and analyzed total mortality and BC-specific mortality according to BMI, defined as the World Health

147 Organization criteria, at baseline and after diagnosis. Compared with normal weight women, the summary
148 relative risk (RR) for total mortality and BC-specific mortality for obese versus normal-weight patients
149 before diagnosis were 1.41 (95% CI 1.29-1.53) and 1.35 (95% CI 1.24-1.47), respectively. Furthermore, the
150 RR for total mortality were 1.07 (95% CI, 1.02-1.12) for overweight women and 1.10 (95% CI 0.92-1.31) for
151 underweight women compared with normal weight patients [64].

152 A recent study, analyzing data from a prospective cohort of approximately 5000 women with early-BC,
153 reported that high BMI at either pre- or post-diagnosis was associated with a higher risk of BC-specific
154 mortality (pre-diagnosis, HR=1.27, 95% CI 1.14-1.41, $p=0.001$; post-diagnosis, HR=1.19, 95% CI 1.04-1.36,
155 $p=0.02$) only among patients aged 65 years or older [65].

156 • **The adjuvant setting.**

157 In patients with resected BC, a growing number of studies indicated that obese women had a poorer
158 prognosis than lean women [2, 13, 66, 67].

159 In this context, a large study of 18967 patients from Denmark, suggested that obesity is an independent
160 prognostic factor for developing distant metastasis and BC death. Particularly, the investigators found that
161 the increased risk of distant recurrence was significant only in years 5 to 10 after diagnosis, with an HR of
162 1.42 (95% CI 1.17-1.73, $p<0.001$) and 1.46 (95% CI 1.11-1.92, $p=0.007$) for overweight and obese patients,
163 respectively [68].

164 Of interest, several evidence suggested that an increase in weight after early BC diagnosis could potentially
165 affect the outcomes of BC survivors, despite this effect is not consistent in all studies [30]. In this regard, a
166 meta-analysis including 12 studies found that weight gain $\geq 5\%$ compared with maintenance ($<\pm 5.0\%$) was
167 associated with increased all-cause mortality (HR=1.12, 95% CI 1.03-1.22, $p=0.01$). In particular, higher
168 risk of mortality was apparent for weight gain $\geq 10\%$ after diagnosis (HR=1.23, 95% CI 1.09-1.39, $p<0.001$)
169 [33]. Similarly, the retrospective study of 520 early-stage BC patients by *Fedele et al.*, showed that BMI gain
170 was a significant determinant of recurrence (95% CI 0.163-0.323, $p=0.0008$) [69]. Conversely, the recent
171 study of *Raghavendra et al.* reported that patients with a weight gain of $>5\%$ after 5 years of endocrine
172 therapy did not have an increased risk of disease recurrence (HR=0.95, 95% CI 0.62-1.47, $p=0.829$) [32].

173 These results are consistent with those reported from a study that combined a cohort of the WHEL and the
174 LACE study, which evidenced no effect of weight gain on disease recurrence [70].

175 With regard to BC histotype, a high BMI and weight gain have been reported to negatively affect the
176 outcome particularly in early BC women with hormone receptor-positive, treated with endocrine therapy [71,
177 72]. Indeed, *Robison et al.* in a study of 1155 women with luminal, HER2-negative BC, (53.8% had Stage I
178 disease and 88.9% received adjuvant endocrine therapy) reported that obesity was significantly associated
179 with an increased risk of disease recurrence (HR=1.71, 95% CI 1.12-2.62, $p=0.014$) [73].

180 In a recent study exploring BC recurrence and survival across subtypes defined by PAM50 gene expression,
181 women with Luminal A tumors and class II/III obesity at BC diagnosis had worse outcomes with an HR of
182 2.24 (95% CI 1.22-4.11) for BC-specific death and 1.24 (95% CI 1.00-1.54) for recurrence [74]. A similar
183 effect has been identified in other previous studies [75, 76].

184 The analysis of 3385 with hormone receptor-positive BC included in the National Surgical Adjuvant Breast
185 and Bowel Project B-14, a randomised placebo-controlled trial of adjuvant tamoxifen, indicated that obese
186 women had greater all-cause mortality (HR=1.31, 95% CI 1.12-1.54, $p<0.001$) and non-BC mortality
187 (HR=1.49, 95% CI 1.15-1.92, $p<0.001$) compared with normal weight women, without difference in terms
188 of BC-mortality or recurrence. Furthermore, obese women benefited from tamoxifen therapy as much as
189 leaner women [77].

190 *Sparano et al* analyzed the relation between BMI and outcome in 3 adjuvant trials coordinated by the Eastern
191 Cooperative Oncology Group that included different anthracyclines-based chemotherapy regimens. They
192 highlighted that BMI ≥ 30 kg/m² was associated with inferior DFS (HR=1.24; 95% CI 1.06-1.46, $p=0.0008$)
193 and OS (HR=1.37; 95% CI 1.13-1.67, $p=0.002$) only in patients with hormone receptor-positive early BC
194 treated with both standard chemotherapy and hormonal therapy [76]. Several studies have also suggested that
195 obesity modulated response to endocrine therapy, in particular, it seems to reduce the response to aromatase
196 inhibitors [78-80].

197 The negative prognostic effect of obesity may be related to the decrease of sex hormone binding globulin,
198 which can bind and inhibit estradiol, with a consequent increased of circulating unbound estrogen levels
199 [81], that may promote tumor progression. Moreover, excess of adiposity may intensify estrogen production
200 by the conversion of androgens by aromatase in adipose fat [45, 82]. Another potential mechanism through
201 elevated BMI may influence prognosis in tumors includes prolonged hyperinsulinemia, reduced production
202 of insulin-like growth factor binding proteins 3, resulting in elevated circulating insulin-like growth factor
203 and alteration of synthesis of adipokines and cytokines [83].

204 Recently, *Schvartsman et al.* indicated that BMI increase during adjuvant chemotherapy (within one year
205 from starting chemotherapy) of >0.5 kg/m² compared to maintaining BMI was associated with increased
206 locoregional recurrence risk (HR=2.53, 95% CI 1.18-5.45, $p=0.017$), adjusting for grade, stage, and radiation
207 delivery [84].

208 As opposed to luminal disease, the impact of BMI and weight change on outcome is not well established for
209 triple-negative BC (TNBC) [85-90]. In a recent analysis, overweight was an independent prognostic factor
210 for OS (HR=2.903, 95% CI 1.551-5.432, $p=0.001$) and DFS (HR=1.899, 95% CI 1.05-3.433, $p=0.034$) at 5
211 years in patients with TNBC. Furthermore, the menopausal status may be a mitigating factor. Indeed,
212 overweight was related to a greater risk of death (HR=2.752, 95% CI 1.267-5.978, $p=0.011$) and recurrence
213 (HR=3.242, 95% CI 1.249-8.412, $p=0.016$) only among premenopausal women, but not in postmenopausal
214 women [91]. This results are consistent with data from another analysis by *Turkoz et al.* [92], which
215 evidenced that obesity at diagnosis was associated with worse survival (HR=1.7, 95% CI 1.1-2.5, $p=0.02$)
216 and recurrence (HR=1.4, 95% CI 1.0-2.0, $p=0.04$) among premenopausal TNBC patients. Similar results for
217 TNBC, especially for the premenopausal setting, have been found in other analyses [93, 94].

218 On the contrary, the largest retrospective study published, including 2311 women with early TNBC,
219 reported no difference in DFS in patients with BMI of 25-29.9 kg/m² (HR=1.04, 95% CI 0.87-1.25, $p=0.66$)
220 and those with BMI ≥ 30 kg/m² (HR=0.99, 95% CI 0.83-1.18; $p=0.89$) compared with patients with BMI <25

kg/m²[87]. Similarly, no impact of obesity on TNBC was also reported in two retrospective studies [86, 95]. Moreover, a randomized phase III trial of adjuvant chemotherapy with or without anthracyclines in 1066 patients affected by aggressive biological phenotypes (as defined by thymidine labeling index >3%, or histological grade 3 or S-phase >10%, or Ki67/MIB1 >20%) suggested that BMI has not a significant impact on DFS and OS [96].

Given the small size of these studies and the overall poor outcome of TNBC itself, larger studies or meta-analyses are needed to assess whether BMI is linked to survival in women with TNBC or aggressive biological phenotypes [90].

- **The neoadjuvant setting.**

In the BC neoadjuvant setting, current evidence suggested that obese patients treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy had a significantly lower pathologic complete response (pCR) [97] and worse OS [98-101], in contrast with other previous studies which did not confirm this relationship [102, 103].

A large study of 1169 patients, who have received neoadjuvant chemotherapy, reported an association between the rate of pCR and the BMI. Overweight and the combination of overweight and obese patients were significantly less likely to have a pCR (OR=0.59, 95% CI 0.37-0.95, and OR=0.67, 95% CI 0.45-0.99, respectively, $p=0.03$) [98]. A more recent analysis of 295 Turkish patients with stage II-III BC showed that obesity was an independent adverse predictive factor of pCR compared with normal or underweight patients (OR=0.34, 95% CI 0.13-0.85, $p=0.02$). Moreover, higher BMI was statistically significant related to an increased recurrence rate and a decreased OS [97]. These data were consistent with a prior analysis conducted in the neoadjuvant setting by *Fontanella et al.*, which reported a shorter median DFS and OS in obese patients versus normal-weight patients (87.3 months vs. 91.5 months, $p=0.014$ and 94.9 months vs. 98.8 months, $p=0.001$, respectively) [100]. On the contrary, *Farr et al.*, in a retrospective study of women who underwent neoadjuvant anthracycline-taxane-based chemotherapy, found that obesity had an independent positive predictive impact on pCR (OR=4.29, 95% CI 1.42-13.91, $p=0.011$) and a high BMI was associated with longer PFS (HR=0.1, 95% CI 8.448×10^{-4} -0.81, $p=0.025$) [104].

Recently, a retrospective analysis, exploring the impact of BMI on event-free survival (EFS) and OS, according to tumor subtype suggested that, overall, obesity was related to worse EFS (HR=1.71, 95% CI 1.03-2.84, $p=0.04$) without a statically significant difference in OS. The negative impact of obesity in terms of EFS was strongest in TNBC (HR=2.62, 95% CI 1.03-6.66, $p=0.04$), with only a trend in HER2-positive disease (HR=3.37, 95% CI 0.97-11.72, $p=0.06$) [105]. Additionally, *Warner et al.* in a patient cohort of 1797 from 4 clinical trials including neoadjuvant systemic therapy for BC, suggested that the effect of BMI on pCR rate seems to differ according to tumor subtype. In particular, the authors observed a statistically significant inverse relationship between BMI and pCR in estrogen-receptor positive and HER2-positive patients. No significant differences were found in pCR rates according to BMI among patients with estrogen-receptor positive and HER2-negative patients or TNBC [103].

In the context of neoadjuvant setting, a series of studies explored the relationship between weight change and variations in Ki67, a well-established proliferation marker in BC. Overall, weight change during neoadjuvant

chemotherapy seems to not have a significant impact on Ki67 reduction in both estrogen receptor-positive and TNBC [106, 107].

- **The metastatic setting.**

Considering the metastatic setting of BC, limited data focusing on the impact of BMI on survival are available. In a study of 557 patients with BC metastasis, a better prognosis was reported only in women with a BMI<20 kg/m² compared with women with normal weight (HR=0.52, 95% CI 0.31-0.87, $p=0.013$), while no difference was seen between normal weight, overweight, and obese patients [108].

A retrospective analysis, conducted by *Drygalski et al.* [109], in a small cohort of 96 metastatic BC patients who had received high-dose chemotherapy with autologous stem cell support, indicated that BMI>30 kg/m² was an independent negative predictor of time from initial diagnosis to metastatic disease (HR=1.14, $p=0.04$) and survival at the time of metastasis (HR for progression=2.23, $p=0.005$; HR for death=1.82, $p=0.04$).

Similarly, *Parolin et al.* found that higher BMI was related to poor prognosis in HER2-positive metastatic BC treated with trastuzumab: median OS for normal weight, overweight and obese patients was 67, 54, and 39 months, respectively ($p=0.001$) [110]. The crosstalk between leptin and IGF-1 pathway can potentially modulate the phosphorylation of HER2, reducing the activity of anti-HER2 treatments [111, 112]. However, these findings are in contrast with the results of a recent multicenter retrospective cohort study, including data of 329 women with HER2-positive metastatic BC treated with first-line trastuzumab-based regimens: the BMI was not related with PFS (adjusted-HR=0.88; 95% CI 0.66-1.17; $p=0.387$) and OS (adjusted-HR=0.88, 95% CI 0.59-1.31, $p=0.525$) [113].

Recently, *Pizzuti et al.* [114] analyzed data of 196 women with HER2-negative metastatic BC, a subgroup of patients from the TOURANDOT trial, treated with paclitaxel and bevacizumab in first-line. In this analysis, the BMI showed no impact on PFS, OS and clinical benefit rates, particularly in the luminal subgroup. On the contrary, in TNBC patients with a BMI ≥ 25 kg/m², PFS and OS were significantly longer (6 vs. 14 months, $p=0.04$ and 25 vs. 19 months, $p=0.02$, respectively) and disease control rates were significantly higher (60% vs. 91%, $p=0.03$). Similarly, the analysis conducted by *Gennari et al* in 489 women with metastatic BC treated with first-line chemotherapy, indicated that the BMI has not a significant impact on PFS and OS [115].

Moreover, a more recent prospective study suggested that being overweight could improve OS in patients with metastatic BC receiving chemotherapy. Indeed, normal BMI was related to increased risk of death compared with BMI ≥ 25 kg/m² (HR=0.51, 95% CI 0.26–0.99, $p=0.047$) [116].

Therefore, the majority of the most recent findings in the metastatic BC are in conflict with data from studies in early-stage BC [2, 13, 66-68]. Indeed, the presence of obesity seems to be a paradoxical protective factor in the metastatic setting of BC. This unexpected inverse association between obesity and cancer mortality, called ‘obesity paradox’, represents a recently emerged phenomenon even in other cancer types [117, 118]. However, current evidence suggested that body composition phenotypes may disprove this protective effect

294 [119]. Additional investigations are needed to clarify the complex relationship between BMI and treatment
295 outcomes in metastatic BC.

296 **The prognostic role of body composition in BC.**

297 Although the obesity represents the main factor included in the majority of studies exploring the prognostic
298 impact of body weight in BC, emerging evidence examines the potential role of body composition
299 parameters such as the sarcopenia in all settings of BC. The results of studies exploring the prognostic role of
300 body composition in the adjuvant and neoadjuvant setting are summarized in Table 1.

301 • **The adjuvant setting.**

302 The impact of body composition on prognosis in patients treated in the adjuvant setting for operated BC was
303 mainly explored by DXA and CT scan. The first method was adopted in a study cohort of 471 women with a
304 diagnosis of in situ or stage I–IIIA primary BC enrolled in the Health, Eating, Activity, and Lifestyle
305 (HEAL) study. The prevalence of sarcopenia was 16%, with 38% of sarcopenic women classified as obese.
306 At a median follow-up of 9.2 years, the sarcopenia was an independent poor prognostic factor for OS
307 (HR=2.86, 95% CI 1.67–4.89, $p<0.001$), however not statistically significant for BC related death (HR=1.95,
308 95% CI, 0.89–4.35) [53]. The images from CT scan, performed for staging, was applied in a retrospective
309 study to evaluate the impact of body composition in 119 BC survivors. Sarcopenia and inter muscular
310 adipose tissue areas were independent poor prognostic factors for DFS (HR=0.3, 95% CI 0.1–0.8, $p=0.02$
311 and HR=2.8, 95% CI 1.0–7.8, $p=0.04$, respectively) and OS (HR=0.3, 95% CI 0.1–0.99, $p=0.05$ and HR=3.6,
312 95% CI 1.2–10.8, $p=0.02$, respectively) [47]. Recently, a study including 3241 patients with stage II–III,
313 evaluated muscle area, muscle radiodensity, and adiposity measured from CT scan within 6 months from
314 diagnosis. At a median follow up of 6 years, patients with sarcopenia presented a 41% greater RR for death
315 compared with patients without sarcopenia and patients in the highest tertile of adiposity had a 35% higher
316 RR for death compared with patients in the lowest tertile. Moreover, the mortality risk was highest among
317 patients with both sarcopenia and high levels of total adiposity, among whom the RR for mortality was 89%
318 higher than that in patients without sarcopenia and with low levels of total adipose tissue. In contrast, low
319 radiodensity and BMI was not significantly associated with survival risk [120].

320 With regard to body fat distribution and gain, two prospective observational studies explored its prognostic
321 role in the adjuvant setting. The first suggested that higher abdominal fat distribution, defined as elevated
322 waist-to-hip ratio (WHR), was associated with BC mortality for postmenopausal patients (for highest quartile
323 vs. lowest, RR=3.3, 95% CI 1.1–10.4), however not in premenopausal patients (RR=1.2, 95% CI 0.4–3.4)
324 [121]. The second evaluated the relationship between body-fat gain and disease recurrence in Taiwanese
325 women who underwent surgery for stage 0–III BC. Body fat was measured by BIA and its gain was defined
326 by the difference between measures at pre-surgery and 6 months post-surgery. Higher gain in body fat
327 percentage was associated with higher risk of disease metastasis (HR=1.3, 95% CI 1.02–1.72, $p=0.035$) and
328 marginally associated with higher risk of all-cause mortality (HR=1.5, 95% CI 0.94–2.25, $p=0.091$) for
329 postmenopausal patients, but not for premenopausal women [122].

• **The neoadjuvant setting.**

As BMI and obesity [98, 100], body composition seems to play a potential prognostic role in the BC neoadjuvant setting, even if less studies are available. In 2016 *Iwase et al.* found that, in 172 patients who underwent surgery after neoadjuvant chemotherapy, higher amounts of visceral fat (visceral fat area >100 cm²) correlated with shorter distant DFS (HR=2.36, 95% CI 1.27-4.38, $p<0.05$), especially in postmenopausal women who are likely to accumulate more visceral fat than subcutaneous fat [56]. The authors suggested that a possible explanation of this result relies in the key role of visceral fat in promoting cancer progression through different pathways [123]. In this study just 5 patients had sarcopenia and this did not correlate with the prognosis.

Overall, the role of sarcopenia in the neoadjuvant setting is actually still debated. A study published in 2012 by *Del Fabbro* and colleagues reported that, between patients with operable BC exposed to neoadjuvant chemotherapy, those with lower Skeletal Mass Index (defined as Skeletal Muscle Area/height²) had longer OS (HR=1.006, 95% CI 1.001-1.012, $p=0.0193$). Moreover, sarcopenia was associated with higher pCR rate than normal weight population ($p=0.023$) [99]. On the other hand, a recent retrospective study found that sarcopenia was associated with shorter DFS intervals (HR=0.3, 95% CI 0.1-0.8, $p=0.02$), even if none of composition parameter correlated with pCR. This analysis included a remarkable number of patients who received NAC (55/119), but also patients who underwent adjuvant chemotherapy (64/119) [47].

The different results of the impact of sarcopenia through the various researches may be conferred to different definitions of this parameter and different cut-offs. However, although the impact of sarcopenia on the prognosis has been evaluated in many cancer types [49, 124-128], just few analyses are focused on the neoadjuvant setting in patients with BC, so more prospective studies are needed.

The inter-muscular adipose tissue areas (IMAT) index represents a newer body composition parameter that showed an independent prognostic value in patients with early BC. Indeed, higher IMAX index (>3,5 cm²/m²) correlated with shorter DFS (HR 2.8, 95% CI 1.0-7.8, $p=0.04$) and shorter OS (HR 3.6, 95% CI 1.2-10.8, $p=0.02$). A great IMAT was also associated with other body composition variables as sarcopenia, high visceral adipose tissue index and high visceral/subcutaneous adipose tissue ratio, suggesting a potential relative influence of these parameters on the BC outcome [47].

• **The metastatic setting.**

Even if several body composition parameters, like MM, muscle attenuation (MA), sarcopenia and adipose tissue measurements, have been investigated in metastatic BC, their prognostic role remains unclear, as the few available studies reported controversial findings (Table 2).

In 2016 *Rier* and colleagues suggested that, between patients with metastatic BC after first-line chemotherapy, those with low MA had worse outcomes in terms of OS (median OS 15 vs. 23 months, $p=0.005$) and time to the next treatment (HR=1.72, 95% CI 1.14-2.62, $p=0.01$) compared with patients with normal MA [129]. No significant association was found between low MM or sarcopenic obesity and OS (for low MM median OS 19 vs. 18 months, $p=0.845$; for sarcopenic obesity 20 vs. 18 months, $p=0.481$). These findings are in contrast with the results of a previous study by *Prado et al.* in which sarcopenia adversely

367 influenced the time to progression (TTP) in women with metastatic BC who received capecitabine treatment
 368 (62 days in sarcopenic patients vs. 105 days in non-sarcopenic patients; HR=2.6, 95% CI 1.2-5.6, $p=0.01$)
 369 [130]. It is worth noting that this paper included patients with different characteristics from the previous one,
 370 in particular patients who failed at least the first chemotherapeutic line; therefore, it is possible that low MM
 371 had a prognostic impact in more advanced disease than in first-line chemotherapy.
 372 Anyway, the negative prognostic impact of sarcopenia is sustained also by a meta-analysis, which included
 373 patients with advanced solid tumors [4], and by a research investigating the effect of body composition in
 374 patients with metastatic BC who received taxane-based chemotherapy [28]. In the last one low skeletal
 375 muscle Gauge (a parameter obtained by multiplying skeletal muscle index x skeletal muscle density)
 376 correlated with time to treatment failure (HR=0.91, 95% CI 0.84-0.99, $p=0.03$) and had borderline significant
 377 association with short OS (HR 0.93, 95% CI 0.87-1, $p=0.07$) suggesting that the quality of the muscle
 378 composition may be relevant too.
 379 To our knowledge the more recently published study investigating the changes in body composition and
 380 muscle quality was conducted in 98 patients with metastatic BC who received first-line chemotherapy with
 381 anthracyclines or taxanes. This analysis reported that in patients who received paclitaxel the median MA
 382 significantly decreased (-0.9 HU, $p=0.03$), otherwise in patients who received anthracyclines no significant
 383 change in median MA was found. A possible explanation may be found in the specific nature of toxicities
 384 related to taxanes, such as the development of neuropathy and myalgia and the effect of administration of co-
 385 medication, such as corticosteroids. Furthermore, no significant association was described between decrease
 386 in MA, loss of MM or loss of adipose tissue and OS. The authors suggested that maybe the entity of the MA
 387 decrease was not enough to impact on the outcome [131].
 388 With regard to fat distribution, a small study of 42 metastatic BC patients treated with aromatase inhibitors
 389 suggested that high abdominal fat distribution was associated with better survival: median OS was 472 days
 390 vs. unreached for patients with a WHR of <0.92 and ≥ 0.92 ($p=0.002$), respectively. Similarly, the
 391 corresponding PFS for patients with a WHR of <0.92 and ≥ 0.92 were 423 vs. 1004 days ($p=0.012$),
 392 respectively [132].
 393 The relationship between body composition and prognosis in the metastatic setting still lacks adequate
 394 studies. Further investigations are needed to assess in which way the body composition parameters may
 395 influence the survival in metastatic BC patients.

396 **Body composition as a key predictor of chemotherapy toxicity.**

397 The obesity and the body composition may influence the development of chemotherapy toxicity, besides
 398 their impact in terms of prognosis. A recent prospective observational study by *Greenlee et al.*, included
 399 1237 patients who received taxane-based chemotherapy, observed that overweight and obesity were
 400 associated with chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy at 24 months (OR=2.37, 95% CI 1.19 - 4.88,
 401 $p=0.02$ and OR=3.21, 95% CI 1.52-7.02, $p=0.003$, respectively) [133]. These findings are consistent with a
 402 previous study which observed that obesity was related to increased risk of chemotherapy-induced peripheral

neuropathy (adjusted OR=1.94, 95% CI 1.03-3.65, $p=0.039$), compared with normal-weight women, among postmenopausal women with a history of stage I-III hormone receptor-positive BC who received taxanes [134].

In addition, overweight and obesity have recently been related to higher risk of cardiotoxicity after treatment with anthracyclines or sequential anthracyclines and trastuzumab [135, 136]. The mechanisms by which obesity may negatively influence cardiotoxicity are affected by numerous confounding factors, therefore, further studies are needed to establish the independent predictive value of obesity on cardiotoxicity in BC patients.

With regard to hematological toxicity, a systematic review suggested that obese women, receiving adjuvant chemotherapy treatment for BC, tolerated chemotherapy better than lean patients, with lower febrile neutropenia (OR=4.4, 95% CI 1.65–12.01), fewer hospital admissions (OR=0.61, 95% CI 0.38–0.97), and fewer neutropenic events (OR=0.49, 95% CI 0.37–0.66) [137]. However, this effect may be confounded by the use of hematopoietic growth factors and by poorly specified dose capping practices. Indeed, in the clinical practice, chemotherapy doses are frequently capped at a body surface area (BSA) of 2.0 m² or adjusted to an ideal weight for obese patients in order to avoid excessive toxicity, which may compromise survival outcome in these patients. However, a retrospective cohort study of 537 women receiving adjuvant chemotherapy, in which obese patients received chemotherapy with proportionally lower mean relative dose intensity than non-obese patients (94 vs. 97% of reference dose, $p=0.03$), showed that there was no significant evidence of increased toxicity among obese women with either full or adjusted chemotherapy doses. Overall, obesity was not statistically related to chemotherapy-related admission risk (OR=1.27, 95% CI 0.78–2.09) or febrile neutropenia risk (OR=0.56, 95% CI 0.28–1.21) [138]. Similarly, a more recent retrospective analysis of 325 early BC patients treated with neoadjuvant or adjuvant chemotherapy, observed that obese women receiving uncapped chemotherapy did not experience a significant difference in febrile neutropenia rate when compared with overweight or normal bodyweight groups [139]. In this regard, ASCO guidelines recommend that obese patients should receive full weight-based chemotherapy doses determined using their actual body weight, particularly when the goal is the cure [140].

Nevertheless, *Furlanetto et al.* reported that a dose adjustment of intense dose-dense chemotherapy should be made to avoid several complications in obese women with early BC. They analysed data of 555 obese women, from patients enrolled in the GAIN study, a randomized phase III adjuvant trial, comparing two types of dose-dense regimens. The obese patients receiving full dose-dense chemotherapy experienced significantly more hematological toxicities, particularly febrile neutropenia and higher-grade thrombopenia, thromboembolic events and higher-grade hot flushes compared with obese patients receiving chemotherapy dose according to an adjusted BSA. Moreover, they observed no differences in DFS and OS between obese patients receiving full-dose chemotherapy or according to an adjusted BSA [141].

The lack of the evaluation of the relationship between body composition parameters and treatment toxicity represents a limit of these studies. Indeed, LBM and others parameters may be better parameters than BMI and BSA for tailoring drug dosages in cancer patients [49, 124, 142].

For what concerns the correlation between body composition parameters and toxicity in BC, the studies available include only chemotherapy regimens (especially anthracyclines and taxanes), while there are no studies regarding tyrosine-kinase inhibitors or monoclonal antibodies (Table 3).

One of the most recent study in early BC showed that neutropenia, hematological grade 3-4 toxicities, dose reductions and delays rates were statistically significant more frequent in patients with sarcopenic obesity. Moreover, hospitalization rate was more frequent in patients with altered body composition, with almost twice RR (RR=1.91, $p=0.05$) [27]. Another study explored the correlation between body composition and taxanes toxicity in 40 advanced BC patients. In this study, the rate of grade 3-4 toxicities was higher in the sarcopenic group than in the non-sarcopenic patients (57% vs 18%, $p=0.02$) and frequently since from the first chemotherapy cycle ($p=0.04$). Moreover, other adverse events, like hospitalizations, delays or dose reductions rates resulted higher in the sarcopenic patients (74% vs 35%, $p=0.02$) [28].

In an Asiatic analysis of 84 patients from 2 phase II studies, *Wong et al.* tried to demonstrate a link between body composition and toxicity of taxanes and anthracyclines in patients with non-metastatic BC. This analysis showed that that visceral fat was significantly associated with grade 4 leukopenia and also that a low muscle volume was associated with grade 3-4 leukopenia and neutropenia [143]. Another study, evaluating body composition and its association with epirubicin toxicity in a small cohort of 24 patients affected by early BC, suggested that LBM was higher in the toxicity-absent group than in the toxicity-present group ($p=0.002$). Moreover, the neutrophilic count resulted better in patients with higher LBM ($p=0.023$) [144]. These studies suggest that the pharmacokinetic profile of anthracyclines may be altered by body fat content and, consequently, associated with a greater myelosuppression.

With regard to other chemotherapy drugs, a prospective study investigated the role of body composition in 55 patients with metastatic BC treated with capecitabine and previously treated with anthracyclines and taxanes. In this study, sarcopenic patients presented a higher risk to have toxicity than non-sarcopenic patients (50% vs. 20%, $p=0.03$), especially diarrhea and stomatitis. Moreover, the TTP resulted better in non-sarcopenic patients, with a difference in over two months ($p=0.05$) [130].

The paucity of studies and the small number of patients included are not enough to draw precise conclusions regarding the role of body composition parameters in terms of toxicities. Further studies are needed to develop new strategies in dosing cancer therapy according to body composition to reduce toxicities.

Conclusion and future directions.

The obesity seems to be associated with poor disease outcome in the early stage of BC, even if a series of evidence do not support this prognostic impact [145]. In the metastatic setting the prognostic relationship between BMI and prognosis is debatable and only scarce direct evidence supporting or refuting such an impact [108, 109, 115]. Similarly, the association between post-diagnosis weight gain and BC mortality is unclear.

With regard to body composition parameters, the majority of evidence support a prognostic role of muscle and fat mass. Particularly, the sarcopenia, a frequent underrecognized condition in both metastatic and early BC patients, seems to be associated with an increased risk of recurrence and death. Understanding the

477 relevance of sarcopenia and body composition in BC also highlights the need for timely interventions to
 478 increase or prevent further loss of MM during and after treatment [146]. Intervention studies to date has
 479 focused on physical exercise, Mediterranean diet, vitamin D and omega-3 fatty acid dietary supplementation,
 480 even if the aim of these study is not always focused on BC outcome or treatment toxicity. Further research
 481 exploring the impact of these interventions on efficacy and toxicity and how to incorporate them into clinical
 482 practice is needed.

483 The methodological limitations of the majority of studies exploring the role of obesity and body composition
 484 in BC, due to the retrospective design, the small sample size, the heterogeneity in terms of patients'
 485 characteristics, cut-offs' definitions and methods adopted, may justify the differences in terms of results and
 486 make the derived interpretation unreliable. Thus, the development of well-design prospective studies in order
 487 to identify reliable prognostic and predictive body composition biomarkers together with the validation of
 488 effective intervention strategies, would allow to improve the BC prognosis and reduce treatment toxicity.

489 **References.**

- 490 1. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2018. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2018; 68: 7-30.
- 491 2. Kroenke CH, Chen WY, Rosner B, Holmes MD. Weight, weight gain, and survival after
 492 breast cancer diagnosis. *J Clin Oncol* 2005; 23: 1370-1378.
- 493 3. Chen X, Lu W, Zheng W et al. Obesity and weight change in relation to breast cancer
 494 survival. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2010; 122: 823-833.
- 495 4. Shachar SS, Williams GR, Muss HB, Nishijima TF. Prognostic value of sarcopenia in adults
 496 with solid tumours: A meta-analysis and systematic review. *European Journal of Cancer* 2016; 57:
 497 58-67.
- 498 5. Thivat E, Therondel S, Lapirot O et al. Weight change during chemotherapy changes the
 499 prognosis in non metastatic breast cancer for the worse. *BMC Cancer* 2010; 10: 648.
- 500 6. Bradshaw PT, Ibrahim JG, Stevens J et al. Postdiagnosis change in bodyweight and
 501 survival after breast cancer diagnosis. *Epidemiology* 2012; 23: 320-327.
- 502 7. van den Brandt PA, Spiegelman D, Yaun SS et al. Pooled analysis of prospective cohort
 503 studies on height, weight, and breast cancer risk. *Am J Epidemiol* 2000; 152: 514-527.
- 504 8. Cotterchio M, Kreiger N, Theis B et al. Hormonal factors and the risk of breast cancer
 505 according to estrogen- and progesterone-receptor subgroup. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*
 506 2003; 12: 1053-1060.
- 507 9. De Pergola G, Silvestris F. Obesity as a major risk factor for cancer. *J Obes* 2013; 2013:
 508 291546.
- 509 10. Greenlee H, Shi Z, Sardo Molmenti CL et al. Trends in Obesity Prevalence in Adults With a
 510 History of Cancer: Results From the US National Health Interview Survey, 1997 to 2014. *J Clin*
 511 *Oncol* 2016; 34: 3133-3140.
- 512 11. Ewertz M, Jensen MB, Gunnarsdottir KA et al. Effect of obesity on prognosis after early-
 513 stage breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2011; 29: 25-31.
- 514 12. Cecchini RS, Swain SM, Costantino JP et al. Body Mass Index at Diagnosis and Breast
 515 Cancer Survival Prognosis in Clinical Trial Populations from NRG Oncology/NSABP B-30, B-31, B-
 516 34, and B-38. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2016; 25: 51-59.
- 517 13. Kaminen A, Anderson ML, White E et al. Body mass index, tumor characteristics, and
 518 prognosis following diagnosis of early-stage breast cancer in a mammographically screened
 519 population. *Cancer Causes Control* 2013; 24: 305-312.
- 520 14. Niraula S, Ocana A, Ennis M, Goodwin PJ. Body size and breast cancer prognosis in
 521 relation to hormone receptor and menopausal status: a meta-analysis. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*
 522 2012; 134: 769-781.
- 523 15. Cheraghi Z, Poorolajal J, Hashem T et al. Effect of body mass index on breast cancer
 524 during premenopausal and postmenopausal periods: a meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2012; 7: e51446.

- 525 16. Protani M, Coory M, Martin JH. Effect of obesity on survival of women with breast cancer:
526 systematic review and meta-analysis. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2010; 123: 627-635.
- 527 17. Vance V, Mourtzakis M, McCargar L, Hanning R. Weight gain in breast cancer survivors:
528 prevalence, pattern and health consequences. *Obes Rev* 2011; 12: 282-294.
- 529 18. Irwin ML, McTiernan A, Baumgartner RN et al. Changes in body fat and weight after a
530 breast cancer diagnosis: influence of demographic, prognostic, and lifestyle factors. *J Clin Oncol*
531 2005; 23: 774-782.
- 532 19. Demark-Wahnefried W, Peterson BL, Winer EP et al. Changes in weight, body composition,
533 and factors influencing energy balance among premenopausal breast cancer patients receiving
534 adjuvant chemotherapy. *J Clin Oncol* 2001; 19: 2381-2389.
- 535 20. Champ CE, Volek JS, Siglin J et al. Weight gain, metabolic syndrome, and breast cancer
536 recurrence: are dietary recommendations supported by the data? *Int J Breast Cancer* 2012; 2012:
537 506868.
- 538 21. Caan BJ, Kwan ML, Shu XO et al. Weight change and survival after breast cancer in the
539 after breast cancer pooling project. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2012; 21: 1260-1271.
- 540 22. Cespedes Feliciano EM, Kroenke CH, Bradshaw PT et al. Postdiagnosis Weight Change
541 and Survival Following a Diagnosis of Early-Stage Breast Cancer. *Cancer Epidemiology*
542 *Biomarkers & Prevention* 2017; 26: 44-50.
- 543 23. Makari-Judson G, Judson CH, Mertens WC. Longitudinal patterns of weight gain after
544 breast cancer diagnosis: observations beyond the first year. *Breast J* 2007; 13: 258-265.
- 545 24. Saquib N, Flatt SW, Natarajan L et al. Weight gain and recovery of pre-cancer weight after
546 breast cancer treatments: evidence from the women's healthy eating and living (WHEL) study.
547 *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2007; 105: 177-186.
- 548 25. Wang C, Hou XH, Zhang ML et al. Comparison of body mass index with body fat
549 percentage in the evaluation of obesity in Chinese. *Biomed Environ Sci* 2010; 23: 173-179.
- 550 26. Fearon K, Evans WJ, Anker SD. Myopenia-a new universal term for muscle wasting. *J*
551 *Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle* 2011; 2: 1-3.
- 552 27. Shachar SS, Deal AM, Weinberg M et al. Body Composition as a Predictor of Toxicity in
553 Patients Receiving Anthracycline and Taxane-Based Chemotherapy for Early-Stage Breast Cancer.
554 *Clin Cancer Res* 2017; 23: 3537-3543.
- 555 28. Shachar SS, Deal AM, Weinberg M et al. Skeletal Muscle Measures as Predictors of
556 Toxicity, Hospitalization, and Survival in Patients with Metastatic Breast Cancer Receiving Taxane-
557 Based Chemotherapy. *Clin Cancer Res* 2017; 23: 658-665.
- 558 29. Chlebowski RT, Aiello E, McTiernan A. Weight loss in breast cancer patient management. *J*
559 *Clin Oncol* 2002; 20: 1128-1143.
- 560 30. Demark-Wahnefried W, Campbell KL, Hayes SC. Weight management and its role in breast
561 cancer rehabilitation. *Cancer* 2012; 118: 2277-2287.
- 562 31. Sedjo RL, Byers T, Ganz PA et al. Weight gain prior to entry into a weight-loss intervention
563 study among overweight and obese breast cancer survivors. *J Cancer Surviv* 2014; 8: 410-418.
- 564 32. Raghavendra A, Sinha AK, Valle J et al. Determinants of Weight Gain During Adjuvant
565 Endocrine Therapy and Association of Such Weight Gain With Recurrence in Long-term Breast
566 Cancer Survivors. *Clin Breast Cancer* 2018; 18: e7-e13.
- 567 33. Playdon MC, Bracken MB, Sanft TB et al. Weight Gain After Breast Cancer Diagnosis and
568 All-Cause Mortality: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2015; 107: djv275.
- 569 34. Basaran G, Turhal NS, Cabuk D et al. Weight gain after adjuvant chemotherapy in patients
570 with early breast cancer in Istanbul Turkey. *Med Oncol* 2011; 28: 409-415.
- 571 35. Goodwin PJ, Ennis M, Pritchard KI et al. Adjuvant treatment and onset of menopause
572 predict weight gain after breast cancer diagnosis. *J Clin Oncol* 1999; 17: 120-129.
- 573 36. Makari-Judson G, Braun B, Jerry DJ, Mertens WC. Weight gain following breast cancer
574 diagnosis: Implication and proposed mechanisms. *World J Clin Oncol* 2014; 5: 272-282.
- 575 37. van den Berg MM, Winkels RM, de Kruif JT et al. Weight change during chemotherapy in
576 breast cancer patients: a meta-analysis. *BMC Cancer* 2017; 17: 259.
- 577 38. Thomson ZO, Reeves MM. Can weight gain be prevented in women receiving treatment for
578 breast cancer? A systematic review of intervention studies. *Obes Rev* 2017; 18: 1364-1373.
- 579 39. Gu K, Chen X, Zheng Y et al. Weight change patterns among breast cancer survivors:
580 results from the Shanghai breast cancer survival study. *Cancer Causes Control* 2010; 21: 621-629.

40. Mortimer J, Behrendt CE. Severe menopausal symptoms are widespread among survivors of breast cancer treatment regardless of time since diagnosis. *J Palliat Med* 2013; 16: 1130-1134.
41. Sadim M, Xu Y, Selig K et al. A prospective evaluation of clinical and genetic predictors of weight changes in breast cancer survivors. *Cancer* 2017; 123: 2413-2421.
42. Vagenas D, DiSipio T, Battistutta D et al. Weight and weight change following breast cancer: evidence from a prospective, population-based, breast cancer cohort study. *BMC Cancer* 2015; 15: 28.
43. Aiello Bowles EJ, Boudreau DM, Chubak J et al. Patient-reported discontinuation of endocrine therapy and related adverse effects among women with early-stage breast cancer. *J Oncol Pract* 2012; 8: e149-157.
44. Fisher B, Costantino JP, Wickerham DL et al. Tamoxifen for prevention of breast cancer: report of the National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project P-1 Study. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1998; 90: 1371-1388.
45. McTiernan A. Weight, physical activity and breast cancer survival. *Proc Nutr Soc* 2018; 1-9.
46. Sestak I, Harvie M, Howell A et al. Weight change associated with anastrozole and tamoxifen treatment in postmenopausal women with or at high risk of developing breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2012; 134: 727-734.
47. Deluche E, Leobon S, Desport JC et al. Impact of body composition on outcome in patients with early breast cancer. *Support Care Cancer* 2018; 26: 861-868.
48. James FR, Wootton S, Jackson A et al. Obesity in breast cancer--what is the risk factor? *Eur J Cancer* 2015; 51: 705-720.
49. Prado CM, Lieffers JR, McCargar LJ et al. Prevalence and clinical implications of sarcopenic obesity in patients with solid tumours of the respiratory and gastrointestinal tracts: a population-based study. *Lancet Oncol* 2008; 9: 629-635.
50. Nissen MJ, Shapiro A, Swenson KK. Changes in weight and body composition in women receiving chemotherapy for breast cancer. *Clin Breast Cancer* 2011; 11: 52-60.
51. Freedman RJ, Aziz N, Albanes D et al. Weight and body composition changes during and after adjuvant chemotherapy in women with breast cancer. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2004; 89: 2248-2253.
52. Arends J, Bachmann P, Baracos V et al. ESPEN guidelines on nutrition in cancer patients. *Clin Nutr* 2017; 36: 11-48.
53. Villaseñor A, Ballard-Barbash R, Baumgartner K et al. Prevalence and prognostic effect of sarcopenia in breast cancer survivors: the HEAL Study. *J Cancer Surviv* 2012; 6: 398-406.
54. Barbat-Artigas S, Aubertin-Leheudre M. Menopausal transition and fat distribution. *Menopause* 2013; 20: 370-371.
55. Messier V, Rabasa-Lhoret R, Barbat-Artigas S et al. Menopause and sarcopenia: A potential role for sex hormones. *Maturitas* 2011; 68: 331-336.
56. Iwase T, Sangai T, Nagashima T et al. Impact of body fat distribution on neoadjuvant chemotherapy outcomes in advanced breast cancer patients. *Cancer Med* 2016; 5: 41-48.
57. Sheehan PM, Hoskins K, Stolley M. Body composition changes in females treated for breast cancer: a review of the evidence. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2012; 135: 663-680.
58. Kutyniec CL, McCargar L, Barr SI, Hislop TG. Energy balance in women with breast cancer during adjuvant treatment. *J Am Diet Assoc* 1999; 99: 1222-1227.
59. Wang JS, Cai H, Wang CY et al. Body weight changes in breast cancer patients following adjuvant chemotherapy and contributing factors. *Mol Clin Oncol* 2014; 2: 105-110.
60. Ricci MD, Formigoni MC, Zuliani LM et al. Variations in the body mass index in Brazilian women undergoing adjuvant chemotherapy for breast cancer. *Rev Bras Ginecol Obstet* 2014; 36: 503-508.
61. Chaudhary LN, Wen S, Xiao J et al. Weight change associated with third-generation adjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancer patients. *J Community Support Oncol* 2014; 12: 355-360.
62. Pedersen B, Delmar C, Bendtsen MD et al. Changes in Weight and Body Composition Among Women With Breast Cancer During and After Adjuvant Treatment: A Prospective Follow-up Study. *Cancer Nurs* 2017; 40: 369-376.
63. Shachar SS, Deal AM, Weinberg M et al. Differences in body composition between patients with early or metastatic breast cancer (BC). *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 2017; 35: e21707-e21707.

64. Chan DS, Vieira AR, Aune D et al. Body mass index and survival in women with breast cancer-systematic literature review and meta-analysis of 82 follow-up studies. *Ann Oncol* 2014; 25: 1901-1914.
65. Maliniak ML, Patel AV, McCullough ML et al. Obesity, physical activity, and breast cancer survival among older breast cancer survivors in the Cancer Prevention Study-II Nutrition Cohort. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2018; 167: 133-145.
66. Nichols HB, Trentham-Dietz A, Egan KM et al. Body mass index before and after breast cancer diagnosis: associations with all-cause, breast cancer, and cardiovascular disease mortality. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2009; 18: 1403-1409.
67. Calle EE, Rodriguez C, Walker-Thurmond K, Thun MJ. Overweight, obesity, and mortality from cancer in a prospectively studied cohort of U.S. adults. *N Engl J Med* 2003; 348: 1625-1638.
68. Ewertz M, Gray KP, Regan MM et al. Obesity and risk of recurrence or death after adjuvant endocrine therapy with letrozole or tamoxifen in the breast international group 1-98 trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2012; 30: 3967-3975.
69. Fedele P, Orlando L, Schiavone P et al. BMI variation increases recurrence risk in women with early-stage breast cancer. *Future Oncol* 2014; 10: 2459-2468.
70. Caan BJ, Emond JA, Natarajan L et al. Post-diagnosis weight gain and breast cancer recurrence in women with early stage breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2006; 99: 47-57.
71. Pfeiler G, Konigsberg R, Fesl C et al. Impact of body mass index on the efficacy of endocrine therapy in premenopausal patients with breast cancer: an analysis of the prospective ABCSG-12 trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2011; 29: 2653-2659.
72. Nechuta S, Chen WY, Cai H et al. A pooled analysis of post-diagnosis lifestyle factors in association with late estrogen-receptor-positive breast cancer prognosis. *Int J Cancer* 2016; 138: 2088-2097.
73. Robinson PJ, Bell RJ, Davis SR. Obesity is associated with a poorer prognosis in women with hormone receptor positive breast cancer. *Maturitas* 2014; 79: 279-286.
74. Cespedes Feliciano EM, Kwan ML, Kushi LH et al. Body mass index, PAM50 subtype, recurrence, and survival among patients with nonmetastatic breast cancer. *Cancer* 2017; 123: 2535-2542.
75. Petrelli JM, Calle EE, Rodriguez C, Thun MJ. Body mass index, height, and postmenopausal breast cancer mortality in a prospective cohort of US women. *Cancer Causes Control* 2002; 13: 325-332.
76. Sparano JA, Wang M, Zhao F et al. Obesity at diagnosis is associated with inferior outcomes in hormone receptor-positive operable breast cancer. *Cancer* 2012; 118: 5937-5946.
77. Dignam JJ, Wieand K, Johnson KA et al. Obesity, tamoxifen use, and outcomes in women with estrogen receptor-positive early-stage breast cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2003; 95: 1467-1476.
78. Jiralerspong S, Goodwin PJ. Obesity and Breast Cancer Prognosis: Evidence, Challenges, and Opportunities. *J Clin Oncol* 2016; 34: 4203-4216.
79. Gnant M, Pfeiler G, Stoger H et al. The predictive impact of body mass index on the efficacy of extended adjuvant endocrine treatment with anastrozole in postmenopausal patients with breast cancer: an analysis of the randomised ABCSG-6a trial. *Br J Cancer* 2013; 109: 589-596.
80. Ioannides SJ, Barlow PL, Elwood JM, Porter D. Effect of obesity on aromatase inhibitor efficacy in postmenopausal, hormone receptor-positive breast cancer: a systematic review. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2014; 147: 237-248.
81. Cauley JA, Gutai JP, Kuller LH et al. The epidemiology of serum sex hormones in postmenopausal women. *Am J Epidemiol* 1989; 129: 1120-1131.
82. Engin A. Obesity-associated Breast Cancer: Analysis of risk factors. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 2017; 960: 571-606.
83. Sinicrope FA, Dannenberg AJ. Obesity and breast cancer prognosis: weight of the evidence. *J Clin Oncol* 2011; 29: 4-7.
84. Schvartsman G, Gutierrez-Barrera AM, Song J et al. Association between weight gain during adjuvant chemotherapy for early-stage breast cancer and survival outcomes. *Cancer Med* 2017; 6: 2515-2522.
85. Colleoni M, Li S, Gelber RD et al. Relation between chemotherapy dose, oestrogen receptor expression, and body-mass index. *Lancet* 2005; 366: 1108-1110.

86. Ademuyiwa FO, Groman A, O'Connor T et al. Impact of body mass index on clinical outcomes in triple-negative breast cancer. *Cancer* 2011; 117: 4132-4140.
87. Dawood S, Lei X, Litton JK et al. Impact of body mass index on survival outcome among women with early stage triple-negative breast cancer. *Clin Breast Cancer* 2012; 12: 364-372.
88. Pajares B, Pollan M, Martin M et al. Obesity and survival in operable breast cancer patients treated with adjuvant anthracyclines and taxanes according to pathological subtypes: a pooled analysis. *Breast Cancer Res* 2013; 15: R105.
89. Bao PP, Cai H, Peng P et al. Body mass index and weight change in relation to triple-negative breast cancer survival. *Cancer Causes Control* 2016; 27: 229-236.
90. Picon-Ruiz M, Morata-Tarifa C, Valle-Goffin JJ et al. Obesity and adverse breast cancer risk and outcome: Mechanistic insights and strategies for intervention. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2017; 67: 378-397.
91. Al Jarroudi O, Abda N, Seddik Y et al. Overweight: Is It a Prognostic Factor in Women with Triple-Negative Breast Cancer? *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 2017; 18: 1519-1523.
92. Turkoz FP, Solak M, Petekkaya I et al. The prognostic impact of obesity on molecular subtypes of breast cancer in premenopausal women. *J buon* 2013; 18: 335-341.
93. Hao S, Liu Y, Yu KD et al. Overweight as a Prognostic Factor for Triple-Negative Breast Cancers in Chinese Women. *PLoS One* 2015; 10: e0129741.
94. Widschwendter P, Friedl TW, Schwentner L et al. The influence of obesity on survival in early, high-risk breast cancer: results from the randomized SUCCESS A trial. *Breast Cancer Res* 2015; 17: 129.
95. Tait S, Pacheco JM, Gao F et al. Body mass index, diabetes, and triple-negative breast cancer prognosis. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2014; 146: 189-197.
96. Gennari A, Amadori D, Scarpi E et al. Impact of body mass index (BMI) on the prognosis of high-risk early breast cancer (EBC) patients treated with adjuvant chemotherapy. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2016; 159: 79-86.
97. Karatas F, Erdem GU, Sahin S et al. Obesity is an independent prognostic factor of decreased pathological complete response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancer patients. *Breast* 2017; 32: 237-244.
98. Litton JK, Gonzalez-Angulo AM, Warneke CL et al. Relationship between obesity and pathologic response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy among women with operable breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2008; 26: 4072-4077.
99. Del Fabbro E, Parsons H, Warneke CL et al. The relationship between body composition and response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in women with operable breast cancer. *Oncologist* 2012; 17: 1240-1245.
100. Fontanella C, Lederer B, Gade S et al. Impact of body mass index on neoadjuvant treatment outcome: a pooled analysis of eight prospective neoadjuvant breast cancer trials. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2015; 150: 127-139.
101. Chen S, Chen CM, Zhou Y et al. Obesity or overweight is associated with worse pathological response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy among Chinese women with breast cancer. *PLoS One* 2012; 7: e41380.
102. Lee KH, Keam B, Im SA et al. Body mass index is not associated with treatment outcomes of breast cancer patients receiving neoadjuvant chemotherapy: korean data. *J Breast Cancer* 2012; 15: 427-433.
103. Warner ET, Ballman KV, Strand C et al. Impact of race, ethnicity, and BMI on achievement of pathologic complete response following neoadjuvant chemotherapy for breast cancer: a pooled analysis of four prospective Alliance clinical trials (A151426). *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2016; 159: 109-118.
104. Farr A, Stolz M, Baumann L et al. The effect of obesity on pathological complete response and survival in breast cancer patients receiving uncapped doses of neoadjuvant anthracycline-taxane-based chemotherapy. *Breast* 2017; 33: 153-158.
105. Liu YL, Saraf A, Catanese B et al. Obesity and survival in the neoadjuvant breast cancer setting: role of tumor subtype in an ethnically diverse population. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2018; 167: 277-288.
106. Rao R, Cruz V, Peng Y et al. Bootcamp during neoadjuvant chemotherapy for breast cancer: a randomized pilot trial. *Breast Cancer (Auckl)* 2012; 6: 39-46.

107. Bao J, Borja N, Rao M et al. Impact of weight change during neoadjuvant chemotherapy on pathologic response in triple-negative breast cancer. *Cancer Med* 2015; 4: 500-506.

108. Jung SY, Rosenzweig M, Sereika SM et al. Factors associated with mortality after breast cancer metastasis. *Cancer Causes Control* 2012; 23: 103-112.

109. von Drygalski A, Tran TB, Messer K et al. Obesity is an independent predictor of poor survival in metastatic breast cancer: retrospective analysis of a patient cohort whose treatment included high-dose chemotherapy and autologous stem cell support. *Int J Breast Cancer* 2011; 2011: 523276.

110. Parolin V, Fiorio E, Mercanti A et al. Impact of BMI on clinical outcome of HER2-positive breast cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 2010; 28: 1130-1130.

111. Fiorio E, Mercanti A, Terrasi M et al. Leptin/HER2 crosstalk in breast cancer: in vitro study and preliminary in vivo analysis. *BMC Cancer* 2008; 8: 305.

112. Saxena NK, Taliaferro-Smith L, Knight BB et al. Bidirectional crosstalk between leptin and insulin-like growth factor-I signaling promotes invasion and migration of breast cancer cells via transactivation of epidermal growth factor receptor. *Cancer Res* 2008; 68: 9712-9722.

113. Martel S, Poletto E, Ferreira AR et al. Impact of body mass index on the clinical outcomes of patients with HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer. *Breast* 2018; 37: 142-147.

114. Pizzuti L, Sergi D, Sperduti I et al. Body mass index in HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer treated with first-line paclitaxel and bevacizumab. *Cancer Biol Ther* 2018; 1-7.

115. Gennari A, Nanni O, Puntoni M et al. Body mass index and prognosis of metastatic breast cancer patients receiving first-line chemotherapy. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2013; 22: 1862-1867.

116. Alarfi H, Salamon M, Kadri M et al. The impact of baseline body mass index on clinical outcomes in metastatic breast cancer: a prospective study. *BMC Res Notes* 2017; 10: 550.

117. Donin NM, Pantuck A, Klopfer P et al. Body Mass Index and Survival in a Prospective Randomized Trial of Localized High-Risk Renal Cell Carcinoma. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2016; 25: 1326-1332.

118. Sepesi B, Gold KA, Correa AM et al. The Influence of Body Mass Index on Overall Survival Following Surgical Resection of Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. *J Thorac Oncol* 2017; 12: 1280-1287.

119. Trestini I, Carbognin L, Bonaiuto C et al. The obesity paradox in cancer: clinical insights and perspectives. *Eat Weight Disord* 2018; 23: 185-193.

120. Caan BJ, Cespedes Feliciano EM, Prado CM et al. Association of Muscle and Adiposity Measured by Computed Tomography With Survival in Patients With Nonmetastatic Breast Cancer. *JAMA Oncol* 2018.

121. Borugian MJ, Sheps SB, Kim-Sing C et al. Waist-to-hip ratio and breast cancer mortality. *Am J Epidemiol* 2003; 158: 963-968.

122. Liu LN, Lin YC, Miaskowski C et al. Association between changes in body fat and disease progression after breast cancer surgery is moderated by menopausal status. *BMC Cancer* 2017; 17: 863.

123. van Kruijsdijk RC, van der Wall E, Visseren FL. Obesity and cancer: the role of dysfunctional adipose tissue. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2009; 18: 2569-2578.

124. Antoun S, Borget I, Lanoy E. Impact of sarcopenia on the prognosis and treatment toxicities in patients diagnosed with cancer. *Curr Opin Support Palliat Care* 2013; 7: 383-389.

125. Lodewick TM, van Nijmegen TJ, van Dam RM et al. Are sarcopenia, obesity and sarcopenic obesity predictive of outcome in patients with colorectal liver metastases? *HPB (Oxford)* 2015; 17: 438-446.

126. Rutten IJ, van Dijk DP, Kruitwagen RF et al. Loss of skeletal muscle during neoadjuvant chemotherapy is related to decreased survival in ovarian cancer patients. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle* 2016; 7: 458-466.

127. Anandavadevelan P, Brismar TB, Nilsson M et al. Sarcopenic obesity: A probable risk factor for dose limiting toxicity during neo-adjuvant chemotherapy in oesophageal cancer patients. *Clin Nutr* 2016; 35: 724-730.

128. van Dijk DP, Bakens MJ, Coolsen MM et al. Low skeletal muscle radiation attenuation and visceral adiposity are associated with overall survival and surgical site infections in patients with pancreatic cancer. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle* 2017; 8: 317-326.

803 129. Rier HN, Jager A, Sleijfer S et al. Low muscle attenuation is a prognostic factor for survival
804 in metastatic breast cancer patients treated with first line palliative chemotherapy. *Breast* 2017; 31:
805 9-15.

806 130. Prado CM, Baracos VE, McCargar LJ et al. Sarcopenia as a determinant of chemotherapy
807 toxicity and time to tumor progression in metastatic breast cancer patients receiving capecitabine
808 treatment. *Clin Cancer Res* 2009; 15: 2920-2926.

809 131. Rier HN, Jager A, Sleijfer S et al. Changes in body composition and muscle attenuation
810 during taxane-based chemotherapy in patients with metastatic breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res*
811 *Treat* 2018; 168: 95-105.

812 132. Artac M, Bozcuk H, Afacan B et al. The impact of waist-to-hip ratio on clinical outcomes in
813 metastatic breast cancer patients treated with aromatase inhibitors. *Breast* 2008; 17: 418-422.

814 133. Greenlee H, Hershman DL, Shi Z et al. BMI, Lifestyle Factors and Taxane-Induced
815 Neuropathy in Breast Cancer Patients: The Pathways Study. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2017; 109.

816 134. Bao T, Basal C, Seluzicki C et al. Long-term chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy
817 among breast cancer survivors: prevalence, risk factors, and fall risk. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*
818 2016; 159: 327-333.

819 135. Guenancia C, Lefebvre A, Cardinale D et al. Obesity As a Risk Factor for Anthracyclines
820 and Trastuzumab Cardiotoxicity in Breast Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Clin*
821 *Oncol* 2016; 34: 3157-3165.

822 136. Mantarro S, Rossi M, Bonifazi M et al. Risk of severe cardiotoxicity following treatment with
823 trastuzumab: a meta-analysis of randomized and cohort studies of 29,000 women with breast
824 cancer. *Intern Emerg Med* 2016; 11: 123-140.

825 137. Carroll J, Protani M, Walpole E, Martin JH. Effect of obesity on toxicity in women treated
826 with adjuvant chemotherapy for early-stage breast cancer: a systematic review. *Breast Cancer Res*
827 *Treat* 2012; 136: 323-330.

828 138. Carroll JP, Protani MM, Nguyen L et al. Toxicity and tolerability of adjuvant breast cancer
829 chemotherapy in obese women. *Med Oncol* 2014; 31: 881.

830 139. Lote H, Sharp A, Redana S et al. Febrile Neutropenia Rates According to Body Mass Index
831 and Dose Capping in Women Receiving Chemotherapy for Early Breast Cancer. *Clin Oncol (R Coll*
832 *Radiol)* 2016; 28: 597-603.

833 140. Griggs JJ, Mangu PB, Anderson H et al. Appropriate chemotherapy dosing for obese adult
834 patients with cancer: American Society of Clinical Oncology clinical practice guideline. *J Clin Oncol*
835 2012; 30: 1553-1561.

836 141. Furlanetto J, Eiermann W, Marme F et al. Higher rate of severe toxicities in obese patients
837 receiving dose-dense (dd) chemotherapy according to unadjusted body surface area: results of the
838 prospectively randomized GAIN study. *Ann Oncol* 2016; 27: 2053-2059.

839 142. Prado CM, Baracos VE, McCargar LJ et al. Body composition as an independent
840 determinant of 5-fluorouracil-based chemotherapy toxicity. *Clin Cancer Res* 2007; 13: 3264-3268.

841 143. Wong AL, Seng KY, Ong EM et al. Body fat composition impacts the hematologic toxicities
842 and pharmacokinetics of doxorubicin in Asian breast cancer patients. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*
843 2014; 144: 143-152.

844 144. Prado CM, Lima IS, Baracos VE et al. An exploratory study of body composition as a
845 determinant of epirubicin pharmacokinetics and toxicity. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 2011; 67:
846 93-101.

847 145. Maccio A, Madeddu C, Gramignano G et al. Correlation of body mass index and leptin with
848 tumor size and stage of disease in hormone-dependent postmenopausal breast cancer:
849 preliminary results and therapeutic implications. *J Mol Med (Berl)* 2010; 88: 677-686.

850 146. Lahart IM, Metsios GS, Nevill AM, Carmichael AR. Physical activity for women with breast
851 cancer after adjuvant therapy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2018; 1: Cd011292.

852

853