



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Renal damage in primary aldosteronism: a systematic review and meta-analysis

This is a pre print version of the following article:								
Original Citation:								
Availability:								
This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1725903 since 2023-04-04T14:12:50Z								
Published version:								
DOI:10.1097/HJH.00000000002216								
Terms of use:								
Open Access								
Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.								

(Article begins on next page)

ONLINE SUPPLEMENT

Renal damage in primary aldosteronism: a sistematic review and meta-analysis

Silvia Monticone, Elisa Sconfienza, Fabrizio D'Ascenzo, Fabrizio Buffolo, Fumitoshi Satoh, Leonardo A. Sechi, Franco Veglio, Paolo Mulatero

Supplementary methods

Supplementary references

Reference list of included studies

Table S1. Parameters chosen for clinical matching of patients affected by PA with non-PA hypertensive patients across the studies.

Table S2. Clinical and biochemical parameters of the included patients.

Table S3. Criteria adopted to evaluate GFR across the included studies.

Figure S1. Forest plot of serum creatinine $(\mu mol/L)$ in patients affected by PA and non-PA hypertensive patients.

Figure S2. Forest plot of albuminuria in patients affected by PA and patients affected by non-PA hypertensive patients.

Figure S3. Forest plot of the odds ratio of microalbuminuria in patients with PA and non-PA hypertensive patients.

Figure S4. Forest plot of the odds ratio of proteinuria in patients with PA and non-PA hypertensive patients.

Figure S5. Forest plot of uAC ratio in patients with PA and non-PA hypertensive patients.

Figure S6. Funnel plot analysis for serum creatinine in patients affected by PA compared with non-PA hypertensive patients.

Figure S7. Funnel plot analysis for GFR in patients affected by PA compared with non-PA hypertensive patients.

Figure S8. Funnel plot analysis for quantitative albuminuria in patients affected by PA compared with non-PA hypertensive patients.

Figure S9. Funnel plot analysis for uAC ratio in patients affected by PA compared with hypertensive non-PA patients.

Figure S10. Meta-regression analysis for the prevalence of diabetes on mean difference in GFR between patients affected by PA and non-PA patients.

Figure S11. Meta-regression analysis for duration of hypertension on mean difference in GFR between patients affected by PA and non-PA patients.

Figure S12. Forest plot of serum creatinine in patients affected by PA before and after specific treatment

Figure S13. Forest plot of uACR in patients affected by PA before and after specific treatment (either adrenalectomy or medical treatment).

Figure S14. Qualitative evaluation of studies.

\$SHU72 \$UFKLYLR, VWLWX]LRQDOH 2SHQ \$FFHVV GHOO 8QLY

5HQDO GDPDJH LQ SULPDU\ DOGRVWHURQLVP D V\VWHPDWLF UHYLHZ DQG F

7KLV LV D SUH SULQW YHUVLRQ RI WKH IROORZLQJ DUWLFOH	
Original Citation:	
Availability:	
7 KLV YHUVLRQ LWKWDWKDSLOKOGEOHKDQGOHQHW VLQFH 7 =	
Published version:	
DOI:10.1097/HJH.00000000002216	
Terms of use:	
Open Access	
\$Q\RQH FDQ IUHHO\ DFFHVV WKH IXOO WH[W RI ZRUNV PDGH DYDLODEC XQGHU D &UHDWLYH &RPPRQV OLFHQVH FDQ EH XVHG DFFRUGLQJ WR V	ун р ∨кн
RI DOO RWKHU ZRUNV UHTXLUHV FRQVHQW RI WKH ULJKW KROGHU DXV SURWHEWIRO EV WKH DSSOLEDEOH ODZ	NKR

(Article begins on next page)

• Park KS, Kim JH, Yang YS, Hong AR, Lee DH, Moon MK, Choi SH, Shin CS, Kim SW, Kim SY. Outcomes analysis of surgical and medical treatments for patients with primary aldosteronism. *Endocr J*. 2017; 64:623-632.

Pilz S., 2014

• Pilz S, Kienreich K, Gaksch M, Grübler M, Verheyen N, Bersuch LA, Schmid J, Drechsler C, Ritz E, Moosbrugger A, Stepan V, Pieber TR, Meinitzer A, März W, Tomaschitz A. Aldosterone to active Renin ratio as screening test for primary aldosteronism: reproducibility and influence of orthostasis and salt loading. *Horm Metab Res.* 2014; 46:427-432.

Pimenta E., 2011 (1)

• Pimenta E, Gordon RD, Ahmed AH, Cowley D, Leano R, Marwick TH, Stowasser M. Cardiac dimensions are largely determined by dietary salt in patients with primary aldosteronism: results of a case-control study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2011; 96:2813-2820.

Pimenta E., 2011 (2)

• Pimenta E, Gordon RD, Ahmed AH, Cowley D, Robson D, Kogovsek C, Stowasser M. Unilateral adrenalectomy improves urinary protein excretion but does not abolish its relationship to sodium excretion in patients with aldosterone-producing adenoma. *J Hum Hypertens*. 2011; 25:592-599.

Reincke M., 2009

• Reincke M, Rump LC, Quinkler M, Hahner S, Diederich S, Lorenz R, Seufert J, Schirpenbach C, Beuschlein F, Bidlingmaier M, Meisinger C, Holle R, Endres S; Participants of German Conn's Registry. Risk factors associated with a low glomerular filtration rate in primary aldosteronism. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2009; 94:869-875.

Ribstein J., 2005

• Ribstein J, Du Cailar G, Fesler P, Mimran A. Relative glomerular hyperfiltration in primary aldosteronism. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2005; 16:1320-1325.

Rosa J., 2012

• Rosa J, Somlóová Z, Petrák O, Strauch B, Indra T, Senitko M, Zelinka T, Holaj R, Widimský J Jr. Peripheral arterial stiffness in primary aldosteronism. *Physiol Res.* 2012; 61:461-468.

Rossi G.P., 2006

• Rossi GP, Bernini G, Desideri G, Fabris B, Ferri C, Giacchetti G, Letizia C, Maccario M, Mannelli M, Matterello MJ, Montemurro D, Palumbo G, Rizzoni D, Rossi E, Pessina AC, Mantero F; PAPY Study Participants. Renal damage in primary aldosteronism: results of the PAPY Study. *Hypertension*. 2006; 48:232-238.

Savard S., 2013

• Savard S, Amar L, Plouin PF, Steichen O. Cardiovascular complications associated with primary aldosteronism: a controlled crosssectional study. *Hypertension* 2013; 62:331–336.

Sechi L.A., 2006

 Sechi LA, Novello M, Lapenna R, Baroselli S, Nadalini E, Colussi GL, Catena C. Long-term renal outcomes in patients with primary aldosteronism. *JAMA* 2006; 295:2638-2645.

Sechi L.A., 2009

• Sechi LA, Di Fabio A, Bazzocchi M, Uzzau A, Catena C. Intrarenal hemodynamics in primary aldosteronism before and after treatment. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2009; 94:1191-1197.

Somloova Z., 2010

• Somlóová Z, Widimský J Jr, Rosa J, Wichterle D, Strauch B, Petrák O, Zelinka T, Vlková J, Masek M, Dvoráková J, Holaj R. The prevalence of metabolic syndrome and its components in two main types of primary aldosteronism. *J Hum Hypertens*. 2010; 24:625-630.

Takeda R., 1995

• Takeda R, Matsubara T, Miyamori I, Hatakeyama H, Morise T. Vascular complications in patients with aldosterone producing adenoma in Japan: comparative study with essential hypertension. The Research Committee of Disorders of Adrenal Hormones in Japan. *J Endocrinol Invest.* 1995; 18:370-373.

Tanase-Nakao K., 2014

• Tanase-Nakao K, Naruse M, Nanba K, Tsuiki M, Tagami T, Usui T, Okuno H, Shimatsu A, Hashimoto S, Katabami T, Ogo A, Okumura A, Umakoshi H, Suzuki T. Chronic kidney disease score for predicting postoperative masked renal insufficiency in patients with primary aldosteronism. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)*. 2014; 81:665-670.

Turchi F., 2013

• Turchi F, Ronconi V, di Tizio V, Ceccoli L, Boscaro M, Giacchetti G. Primary aldosteronism and essential hypertension: assessment of cardiovascular risk at diagnosis and after treatment. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis.* 2014; 24:476-482.

Utsumi T., 2017

• Utsumi T, Kamiya N, Kaga M, Endo T, Yano M, Kamijima S, Kawamura K, Imamoto T, Ichikawa T, Suzuki H. Development of novel nomograms to predict renal functional outcomes after laparoscopic adrenalectomy in patients with primary aldosteronism. *World J Urol.* 2017; 35:1577-1583.

Wu V.C., 2011

• Wu VC, Kuo CC, Wang SM, Liu KL, Huang KH, Lin YH, Chu TS, Chang HW, Lin CY, Tsai CT, Lin LY, Chueh SC, Kao TW, Chen YM, Chiang WC, Tsai TJ, Ho YL, Lin SL, Wang WJ, Wu KD; TAIPAI Study Group. Primary aldosteronism: changes in cystatin C-based kidney filtration, proteinuria, and renal duplex indices with treatment. *J Hypertens*. 2011; 29:1778-1786.

Study	Parameters of matching
Catena C., 2007	Age, sex, BMI, duration of hypertension
Freel M., 2012	Age, sex, blood pressure, duration of hypertension
Galetta F., 2009	Blood pressure, duration of hypertension
Halimi J., 1995	Age, BMI, mean arterial pressure, renal function, known duration of hypertension
Jiang Y., 2016	Sex, age
Liu G., 2014	Age, sex, blood pressure, duration of hypertension
Muiesan M.L., 2008	Age, sex, blood pressure
Mulatero P., 2013	Age, sex, blood pressure, duration of hypertension, BMI, smoking habits, type 2 diabetes mellitus
Pimenta E., 2011	Age, sex, duration of hypertension, and 24-h systolic and diastolic blood pressure
Reincke M., 2009	Age, sex, BMI
Ribstein J., 2005	Age, sex, BMI, duration of hypertension
Savard S., 2013	Age, sex, blood pressure
Sechi L.A., 2009	Age, sex, BMI, duration of hypertension
Somloova Z., 2010	Age
Takeda R., 1995	Age, sex
Turchi F., 2014	Age, gender, duration of hypertension

Table S1. Parameters chosen for clinical matching of patients affected by PA with non-PA hypertensive patients across the studies. BMI = body mass index

Parameter	Primary aldosteronism	Non-primary aldosteronism
	(n of patients)	(n of patients)
Total number of studies	44 (4,467)	35 (8,234)
(patients)		
Age (years)	50.0 [48.7-52.0]	51.0 [49.4-55.5]
	(4,214)	(7,992)
Female gender (%)	45.9 [36.4-53.8]	44.3 [35.8-53.0]
	(4,374)	(7,744)
BMI (Kg/m ²)	27.1 [24.4-28.5]	26.8 [25.1-28.4]
	(4,188)	(7,992)
Duration of hypertension	8.5 [7.2-10.0]	6.8 [5.6-8.5]
(years)	(3,300)	(6,476)
SBP (mmHg)	153 [150-163]	150 [145-157]
	(4,467)	(8,216)
DBP (mmHg)	94 [90-97]	92 [87-95]
	(4,467)	(8,216)
Plasma K ⁺ (mmoL/L)	3.5 [3.1-3.7]	4.1 [3.9-4.2]
	(4,164)	(7,554)
Plasma aldosterone	31.0 [26.2-41.7]	15.4 [11.3-18.4]
(ng/dL)	(3,977)	(6,755)
PRA (ng/ml/h)	0.3 [0.2-0.5]	1.6 [1.2-2.3]
	(2,876)	(4,512)
DRC (mU/L)	4.9 [4.4-5.3]	10.9 [10.2-28.4]
	(637)	(1,764)
Diabetes mellitus (%)	15.7 [6.5-24.3]	10.5 [4.8-15.0]
	(2,795)	(5,832)
Duration of follow-up	12 [6-24]	n.app.
after PA treatment	(1,096)	
(months)		

Table S2. Clinical and biochemical parameters of the included patients. BMI = body mass index; SBP = systolic blood pressure; DBP = diastolic blood pressure; PRA = plasma renin activity; DRC = direct renin concentration; n.app=not applicable

Study	Method to estimate or measure GFR
Catena C., 2007	24h creatinine clearance normalized for body surface
	area
Chiang W.F., 2013	Abbreviated MDRD formula ¹
Florczak E., 2013	n.a.
Fourkiotis V., 2013	Abbreviated MDRD formula ¹
Freel M., 2012	24h creatinine clearance
Iwakura Y., 2014	$194 \times \text{serum creatinine}^{-1.094} \times \text{age}^{-0.287} (\times 0.739)$
	women) ²
Iwakura Y., 2016	$194 \times \text{serum creatinine}^{-1.094} \times \text{age}^{-0.287} (\times 0.739)$
	women) ²
Kimura G., 1987	n.a.
Kimura G., 1996	Standard clearance techniques using para-amino
	hippurate and endogenous creatinine
Kobayashi H., 2017	n.a.
Kramers B.J., 2017	CKD-EPI ³
Liu G., 2014	Abbreviated MDRD formula ¹
Luo Q., 2015	Abbreviated MDRD formula ¹
Monticone S., 2017	Abbreviated MDRD formula ¹
Muiesan M.L., 2008	Abbreviated MDRD formula ¹
Mulatero P., 2013	Cockroft- Gault formula ⁴
Murase K., 2013	n.a.
Murata M., 2017	n.a.
Park K.S., 2017	n.a.
Pimenta E., 2011 (1)	24h creatinine clearance
Pimenta E., 2011 (2)	24h creatinine clearance
Pilz S., 2014	Abbreviated MDRD formula ¹
Reincke M., 2009	Abbreviated MDRD formula ¹
Ribstein J., 2005	Urinary clearance of technetium-labeled diethylene
	triiaminopentaacetic acid (^{99m} Tc-DTPA)
Rosa J., 2012	Creatinine clearance
Rossi G.P., 2006	Abbreviated MDRD formula ¹
Savard S., 2013	Abbreviated MDRD formula ¹
Sechi L.A., 2009	24h creatinine clearance normalized for body surface
	area
Tanase-Nakao K., 2014	$194 \times \text{Serum creatinine}^{-1.094} \times \text{Age}^{-0.287} \times 0.739$ (if
	female) ²
Utsumi T., 2017	$194 \times \text{Serum creatinine}^{-1.094} \times \text{Age}^{-0.287} \times 0.739$ (if
	female) ²
Wu V.C., 2011	Abbreviated MDRD formula ¹

Table S3. Criteria adopted to evaluate glomerular filtration rate (GFR) across the included studies. MDRD = modification of diet in renal disease; CKD-EPI = chronic kidney disease epidemiology collaboration; n.a.= not available.



Figure S1. Forest plot of serum creatinine $(\mu mol/L)$ in patients affected by PA and non-PA hypertensive patients. Central squares of each horizontal line represent the mean difference for each study. Horizontal lines indicate the range of the 95% confidence interval and the vertical line at zero indicates no difference between groups.

of 1.0 (which indicates no differences in the odds ratio between patients with PA and patients with EH).

	Primary	aldostero	nism	Non-prima	ry aldoster	onism		Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference		
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI		
1.6.1 Renal disease e	xcluded										
Catena C., 2007	46	74.83	56	45	161.75	323	18.4%	0.01 [-0.28, 0.29]			
lwakura Y., 2016	109	290.86	94	44	100	100	18.4%	0.30 [0.02, 0.58]			
Rossi G.P., 2006	25.49	58.18	64	13.5	2.06	426	18.7%	0.57 [0.31, 0.84]			
Subtotal (95% CI)			214			849	55.5%	0.30 [-0.03, 0.62]			
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.06; Chi ² = 8.10, df = 2 (P = 0.02); l ² = 75%											
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 1.80 (P	9 = 0.07)									
1.6.2 Renal disease n	ot exclude	ed									
Freel M., 2012	6.33	7.9	27	14.4	11.7	53	15.1%	-0.75 [-1.23, -0.28]			
Murase K., 2013	42.6	92.39	9	67.17	111.63	45	11.2%	-0.22 [-0.94, 0.49]			
Turchi F., 2014	28	40	66	13	14	132	18.1%	0.58 [0.28, 0.88]			
Subtotal (95% CI)			102			230	44.5%	-0.12 [-1.04, 0.81]			
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.60; Chi 	= 22.63, di	f=2(P <	0.0001); I ² =	91%						
Test for overall effect: 2	Z=0.24 (P	9 = 0.81)									
Total (95% CI)			316			1079	100.0%	0.13 [-0.22, 0.48]	-		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.16; Chi ² = 31.78, df = 5 (P < 0.00001); l ² = 84%											
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 0.72 (P	= 0.47)				-1 -0.5 0 0.5 1 Higher in pon-PA Higher in PA					
Test for subgroup diffe	erences: C	hi² = 0.68,	df=1 (P	= 0.41), I ^z =	0%						

Figure S5. Forest plot of uAC ratio in patients with PA and non-PA hypertensive patients. Central squares of each horizontal line represent standard mean difference for each study. Horizontal lines indicate the range of the 95% confidence interval and the vertical line at zero indicates no difference between groups.



Figure S6. Funnel plot analysis for serum creatinine in patients affected by PA compared with non-PA hypertensive patients. The plots represent the visual graphical assessment of publication bias.



Figure S7. Funnel plot analysis for GFR in patients affected by PA compared with non-PA hypertensive patients. The plots represent the visual graphical assessment of publication bias.



Figure S8. Funnel plot analysis for quantitative albuminuria in patients affected by PA compared with non-PA hypertensive patients. The plots represent the visual graphical assessment of publication bias.



Figure S9. Funnel plot analysis for uAC ratio in patients affected by PA compared with hypertensive non-PA patients. The plots represent the visual graphical assessment of publication bias.



Figure S10. Meta-regression analysis for the prevalence of patients with diabetes on mean difference in GFR between patients affected by PA and non-PA patients, showing that the covariate did not impact significantly on the results (beta -0.011 [-0.163; 0.142])



Figure S11. Meta-regression analysis for duration of hypertension on mean difference in GFR between patients affected by PA and patients affected by non-PA, showing that the covariate did not impact significantly the results (beta -0.154 [-1.224; 0.916]).

	After	treatm	ent	Befor	e treatn	nent	Mean Difference			Mean Difference			
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	n, 95% Cl IV, Random, 95% Cl				
Chiang W.F., 2013	99.91	88.42	55	88.42	79.58	55	2.7%	11.49 [-19.95, 42.93]					
Kato J., 2005	87.54	43.33	11	71.62	32.72	11	2.6%	15.92 [-16.17, 48.01]				-	
Kramers B.J., 2017	99	29	113	82	19	113	16.4%	17.00 [10.61, 23.39]			-	-	
Mulatero P., 2013	86.65	27.41	121	84	26.53	248	16.9%	2.65 [-3.25, 8.55]					
Park K.S., 2017	95.49	53.94	269	75.16	30.95	269	15.2%	20.33 [12.90, 27.76]			-	-	
Reincke M., 2009	98.11	29.73	120	88.07	26.99	120	15.5%	10.04 [2.86, 17.22]				_	
Ribstein J., 2005	85.64	14.26	25	81	15	25	14.5%	4.64 [-3.47, 12.75]			+		
Wu V.C., 2011	89.3	39.79	286	85.77	39.79	286	16.2%	3.53 [-2.99, 10.05]			+		
Total (95% CI)			1000			1127	100.0%	9.85 [4.26, 15.44]			•		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 39.12; Chi ² = 23.42, df = 7 (P = 0.001); l ² = 70%											<u> </u>		
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.45 (P = 0.0006)										-25 Before treatmen	t Aftertr	25 eatment	50

Figure S12. Forest plot of serum creatinine in patients affected by PA before and after specific treatment (either adrenalectomy or medical treatment). Central squares of each horizontal line represent the mean difference for each study. Horizontal lines indicate the range of the 95% confidence interval and the vertical line at zero indicates no difference between groups.



Figure S13. Forest plot of uACR in patients affected by PA before and after specific treatment (either adrenalectomy or medical treatment). Central squares of each horizontal line represent the mean difference for each study. Horizontal lines indicate the range of the 95% confidence interval and the vertical line at zero indicates no difference between groups.



Figure S14. Qualitative evaluation of studies and risk of bias.