

# The role of musical aesthetic emotions in social adaptation to the Covid-19 pandemic

Pietro Sarasso<sup>1, 2</sup>, Irene Ronga<sup>2\*</sup>, Marco Neppi-Modona<sup>2</sup>, Katiuscia Sacco<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Turin, Italy, <sup>2</sup>BIP (Brain Plasticity and behaviour changes) Research Group, Department of Psychology, Italy

*Submitted to Journal:*  
Frontiers in Psychology

*Specialty Section:*  
Auditory Cognitive Neuroscience

*Article type:*  
Opinion Article

*Manuscript ID:*  
611639

*Received on:*  
29 Sep 2020

*Revised on:*  
30 Jan 2021

*Journal website link:*  
[www.frontiersin.org](http://www.frontiersin.org)

In review

---

### *Conflict of interest statement*

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest

### *Author contribution statement*

P. Sarasso and I. Ronga wrote the article. M. Neppi-Modona and K. Sacco reviewed the article.

### *Keywords*

COVID-19, Cognitive Dissonance, uncertainty, Music, neuroaesthetics, Emotions, Social Change, Aesthetic appreciation

### *Contribution to the field*

The Covid-19 pandemic is confronting us with an unpredicted threat which requires us to rapidly adapt our behaviour and cognitions. In times of greater uncertainty we are sometimes pushed to deny and dismiss new knowledge which disconfirm our previously acquired beliefs and behaviours (i.e. cognitive dissonance). Recent models of aesthetic appreciation suggested that aesthetic emotion might be crucial to help us tolerate transient states of uncertainty, and that music might have evolved to mitigate cognitive dissonance. We review recent neuroscientific findings supporting these hypotheses. We speculate that sharing emotions with music might help our societies to account for novel and distressing situations, thereby acting as a social vaccine against cognitive dissonance.

### *Funding statement*

P. Sarasso, I Ronga, and K. Sacco are supported by the grant "Rehome" n. 320-36 (Finpiemonte, Torino) to K. Sacco.



1 **ABSTRACT**

2 During the several Covid-19 pandemic lockdowns, massive and unprecedented use of music as a  
3 medium for social communication emerged. Here we propose a theoretical discussion about this  
4 specific social function of music. A centuries-old philosophical debate, as well as more recent  
5 neurocomputational models of neuroaesthetics, postulates the existence of a tight relation between  
6 aesthetic emotions and knowledge acquisition. By presenting neuroscientific evidence on low-level  
7 perceptual learning mechanisms, which confirms this relation, we suggest that – at a higher level –  
8 the social sharing of music might have helped individuals process novel and disturbing information.  
9 More broadly, the pleasant aesthetic emotions elicited by listening to music might contribute both  
10 on an individual and social level to embrace dissonant attitudes, emotions and cognitions, thus  
11 enhancing our ability to tolerate transient states of uncertainty without reacting impulsively.  
12 Accordingly, we speculate that sharing emotions through music might represent a “social vaccine”  
13 contrasting the collective difficulty to learn from and adapt to changes in the environment.

14

15 **Keywords:** Covid-19<sup>1</sup>, cognitive dissonance<sup>2</sup>, uncertainty<sup>3</sup>, music<sup>4</sup>, neuroaesthetics<sup>5</sup>, emotions<sup>6</sup>,  
16 social change<sup>7</sup>, aesthetic appreciation<sup>8</sup>

17

18

19

# 1 Introduction

2 During the Covid-19 pandemic lockdown, people from all over the world shared all sorts of artistic  
3 experiences. Singing or playing music at the window are just a few examples of artistic activities  
4 that kept us feeling “together” while being apart. Why did we choose artistic expression, and  
5 especially music, to communicate with others during the quarantine? Should we regard this  
6 behaviour only as a distraction, or is it possible that music evolved as a social tool to help us,  
7 perhaps unconsciously, to adapt in times of social distress? In other words, can music be considered  
8 as an adaptive form of artistic expression? Here we will suggest that this may indeed be the case.

9 In times of greater uncertainty brought by unpredicted situations, such as those we lived during the  
10 Covid-19 outbreak (Baker et al., 2020), we are sometimes pushed to reduce our discomfort by  
11 conservatively dismissing alternative behaviours and avoiding information which disconfirms our  
12 previously acquired beliefs, behaviours or cognitions. The tension produced by inter- or  
13 intrapersonally inconsistent (i.e. ambiguous) thoughts, attitudes, perceptions, or behaviours is what  
14 Leon Festinger (1957) referred to as “cognitive dissonance”. Interestingly, the concept of cognitive  
15 dissonance has informed the public debate around lockdown measures and health policies in the  
16 USA during the Covid-19 pandemic. One of the founders of the cognitive dissonance theory, the  
17 social psychologists Elliot Aronson, commented that interpersonal cognitive dissonance might be  
18 “the motivational mechanism that underlies the reluctance to admit mistakes or accept scientific  
19 findings” (Aronson & Tavris, 2020). This aversive psychological drive triggers a series of  
20 dissonance reduction strategies (e.g., act rationalisation, behavioural change, denial of  
21 responsibility, trivialisation etc.) that can either lead to attitudinal change or attitudinal bolstering  
22 (Cancino-Montecinos et al., 2020). Reducing dissonance by rigidly dismissing alternatives can be  
23 potentially detrimental for both individual choices and behaviours as well as for the collective  
24 policy (Brady et al., 1995; Margolis et al., 2016). Conversely, the ability to adaptively modify our

1 behaviour to unexpected and surprising events is a fundamental human evolutionary conquest.  
2 However, during the pandemic, such an adaptive response required us “to live with uncertainty,  
3 [...] which involves living with the dissonance for a while rather than jumping immediately to a  
4 self-justification” (Aronson & Tavis, 2020). Recent accounts of cognitive dissonance (Kaaronen,  
5 2018), framed within the predictive coding theory (Friston, 2010), associate our motivation for  
6 “dissonance reduction” (Festinger, 1957) with “prediction error reduction” (Friston, 2010), which,  
7 as we will explain thereafter, also plays an important role in the perceptual, aesthetic and  
8 emotional dimensions of musical experience (Quiroga-Martinez et al., 2019). Prediction errors are  
9 transient states of uncertainty induced by mismatches between novel information and preexisting  
10 beliefs. The brain actively minimises prediction errors either by adapting the sensory environment  
11 to our representation through (physical or mental) action or through learning by adapting our  
12 representations to the sensory environment (Friston, 2010). The balance in the trade-off between  
13 these two possibilities determines dissonance reduction strategies (Kaaronen, 2018), and, as we will  
14 explain in the following paragraphs, can be influenced by the aesthetic attitude induced by musical  
15 experiences (Sarasso et al., 2020a).

16 As Festinger (1957) himself argued, social communication is a source of cognitive dissonance as  
17 well as a vehicle for reducing it (Matz and Wood, 2005). Here, we will review theories suggesting  
18 that the appreciation, production and sharing of music might help individuals and societies to  
19 tolerate uncertainty and disturbing emotions, reduce cognitive dissonance in an adaptive way and  
20 learn from the ever-changing environment. In other words, the aesthetic emotions prompted by  
21 music might improve and intensify communication, thereby allowing the emergence of collective  
22 strategies to reduce dissonance.

23

## 24 **2 Tolerating dissonant uncertainty: Beauty and Knowledge acquisition**

1 The relation between aesthetic emotions and learning/knowledge acquisition stems from the  
2 classical philosophical tradition. In his oeuvre *Poetics*, which is considered as a “learning and  
3 inference doctrine” (Tracy, 1946), Aristotle affirms: “The reason in delight in seeing a picture is  
4 that one is at the same time learning-gathering the meaning of things” (Tracy, 1946, p.1). More  
5 recently, the aesthetic experience has been described as a cognitive process enhancing the attention  
6 toward the beautiful percept (Marković, 2012) and thus supporting the neglect of self-referred  
7 concerns (i.e., the Kantian notion of *disinterested interest*). This notion, later reformulated by  
8 Schopenhauer as a “will-less” mental state during aesthetic experiences, still influences recent  
9 developments in neuroaesthetics (Chatterjee and Vartanian, 2016). Similar interpretations of  
10 aesthetic experiences are also found in neuroesthetic studies of music. Brattico and Pearce (2013)  
11 define an aesthetic experience of music “as one in which the individual immerses herself in the  
12 music, dedicating her attention to perceptual, cognitive and affective interpretation based on the  
13 formal properties of the perceptual experience.”

14 In our view, the hypothesised ability of aesthetic experience to transitorily free the beholders from  
15 “wanting” (Chatterjee and Vartanian, 2014; Kirsch et al., 2016) supports the re-orienting of  
16 attention toward knowledge acquisition (Menninghaus et al., 2017; Sarasso et al., 2020a). In our  
17 view, such an “aesthetic attitude” (Stolnitz, 1978) is fundamental in order to accept newly acquired  
18 knowledge and to update desired states in an ever-changing environment, while embracing  
19 potentially disturbing or threatening novel sensations and emotions (Sarasso et al., 2020a).  
20 Aesthetic emotions might be fundamental to drive our ability to attune with reality and to fully  
21 embrace the “here and now” of perception (Menninghaus et al., 2017), an attitude that  
22 musicologists define as “openness to experience” (Mencke et al., 2019).

23 Interestingly, in agreement with the above mentioned philosophical debate, recent experimental  
24 research has suggested that music might serve as a social tool to tolerate cognitive dissonance  
25 thereby helping individuals to adapt (Masataka and Perlovsky, 2012a, 2012b, 2013; Perlovsky,

1 2015). For example, a study involving 4-year-old children, by Masataka and Perlovsky (2012a),  
2 showed that participants devalued a toy they were not allowed to play with. Interestingly, music  
3 exposure prevented this devaluation. Moreover, the same authors showed that cognitive interference  
4 in a “Stroop interference task” can be mitigated by consonant music and potentiated by dissonant  
5 music (Masataka and Perlovsky, 2013). Both findings suggest that music, when appreciated, might  
6 provide the necessary aesthetic reward to tolerate conflicting cognitive states and uncertainty  
7 (Masataka and Perlovsky, 2013).

8

### 9 **3 Aesthetic appreciation in the perception-action cycle: evidence from** 10 **neuroimaging**

11 The previously described knowledge-oriented (Biederman and Vessel, 2006) “aesthetic attitude”  
12 prompted by the expectation of aesthetic rewards (e.g., musical pleasure; Ferreri et al., 2019) is  
13 related to specific brain activations subserving the link between aesthetic emotions and knowledge  
14 acquisition (Schoeller and Perlovsky, 2016; Sarasso et al., 2020a).

15 More specifically, recent neurocomputational models suggest that aesthetic appreciation may  
16 represent the conscious feedback of successful minimisation of prediction errors via the update of  
17 predictive representations of the environment (Van de Cruys and Wagemans, 2011; Schoeller and  
18 Perlovsky, 2016; Sarasso et al., 2020a). In other words, aesthetic pleasure arises in correspondence  
19 with the improvement of the predictions about the incoming sensory stimulation. In the case of  
20 music, sounds might become aesthetically rewarding because of the refinement of musical  
21 expectations (Hansen et al., 2017; Koelsch et al., 2019). This learning-driven aesthetic reward has  
22 been shown to be mediated by the frontal dopaminergic network (Ferreri et al., 2019).  
23 Neurophysiologically, the pattern of activation of these circuits overlaps with that elicited by



1 informational gains (Schwartenbeck et al., 2016) and the refinement of representational models  
2 (Mencke et al., 2019) which are involved in music perception (Koelsch et al., 2019; Mencke et al.,  
3 2019). The aesthetic dopaminergic reward may therefore constitute the intrinsic motivation to learn  
4 something new (Ferreri et al., 2019), thus helping the individual to tolerate the risk arising from  
5 sensory and cognitive uncertainty and to focus on learning-oriented activities (i.e. refining mental  
6 predictive sensory models; Koelsch et al., 2019; Mencke et al., 2019).

7 Moreover, aesthetic appreciation correlates with enhanced activations in early sensory areas  
8 (including mirror activations; Nadal, 2013; Sarasso et al., 2019, 2020b) and motor inhibition (see  
9 Sarasso et al., 2020a for a review). During the perception of more appreciated musical sounds, as an  
10 example, automatic defensive motor responses to surprising (i.e. uncertainty arising) stimuli are  
11 inhibited (Brattico et al., 2013). While increased sensory activations are thought to reflect a  
12 knowledge-oriented (Biederman and Vessel, 2006) attentional focusing (Vartanian and Goel, 2004;  
13 Nadal, 2013) on the object perceptual features, motor inhibition is crucial to slow down action  
14 production (Gallese, 2017; Sarasso et al., 2020a). In other words, transient states of motor inhibition  
15 free resources to update sensory representations in response to unexpected events (Wessel and Aron,  
16 2017). Within a predictive coding framework, beauty might induce our brain to momentarily  
17 minimise prediction errors through representations update rather than action production. The  
18 suspension of previously acquired prototypical actions allows the planning of new motor responses  
19 on the basis of newly-acquired information (Sarasso et al., 2020a) and, at a phenomenological level,  
20 makes room for more intense emotions and sensations (Menninghaus et al., 2017). As Vittorio  
21 Gallese writes: “immobility, that is, a greater degree of motor inhibition, probably allows us to  
22 allocate more neural resources, intensifying the activation of bodily-formatted representations, and  
23 in so doing, making us adhere more intensely to what we are simulating” (Gallese, 2017, p.48).

24 Such an emotional amplification triggered by musical aesthetic appreciation might involve the  
25 collective ability to learn and adapt (Bericat, 2016), especially when fast collective behavioural

1 updates are vital. Group-level emotions are powerful predictors of policy support and guide social  
2 change (Halperin et al., 2013). Collective emotions accompany social action and, as evidenced by  
3 recent developments in social neuroscience, collective decisions often rest on emotional contagion  
4 (Bosse et al., 2013). Affect and emotions represent fast and parsimonious ways of representing the  
5 world in uncertain, complex situations, thereby guiding judgement, decision-making and adaptive  
6 action (Damasio, 1996).

7

## 8 **4 Discussion**

9 In sum, we believe that arts are not just hobbies but, as James Hillman (1988) proposes, activities  
10 that might “challenge collective anesthesia”. According to the author, without this artistic function,  
11 we would become insensible toward each other and emotionally numb with respect to our  
12 environment. According to this view, the artistic experiences shared by people from all over the  
13 world during the lockdown for the Covid-19 pandemic, rather than representing simple folkloric  
14 manifestations, might have served a specific social adaptation function. We propose, as a  
15 preliminary hypothesis, that the social sharing of emotions conveyed by music can help to tolerate  
16 and amplify novel uncertainty-arising affective signals, which in turn would enable the adaptive  
17 update of behaviours and beliefs (Eyerman and Jamison, 1995). This idea needs further  
18 experimental confirmation and is still lacking a unified understanding of the scientific results from  
19 various disciplines, from low-level sensory processes to higher and more complex social  
20 phenomena. At the level of collective decision-making and adaptive change, the role of music  
21 should be further analysed under the hypothesis of a twofold effect: a) the enhancement of  
22 interoceptive awareness of affective visceral states prompted by the sharing of emotions through  
23 communication tools such as music (Liljeström et al., 2013); b) the reduction of the dissonance  
24 between conflicting attitudes, emotions and cognitions (Masataka and Perlovsky, 2012a), coherently

1 with the hypothesised role of aesthetic emotions in our ability to tolerate uncertainty for the sake of  
2 knowledge acquisition (Sarasso et al., 2020a). Novel neuroscientific findings at the level of sensory  
3 cognition suggest that musical aesthetic emotions might allow us to better attune to environmental  
4 changes. If this preliminary evidence is confirmed and extended to higher socio-cognitive levels by  
5 future research, public policies should regard artistic training as a crucial educational activity which  
6 might shape more “open” societies.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

## 22 **References**

23 Aronson, E., and Tavris, C. The Role of Cognitive Dissonance in the Pandemic. (2020). *The*  
24 *Atlantic*. [https://www.theatlantic.com/ideas/archive/2020/07/role-cognitive-dissonance-](https://www.theatlantic.com/ideas/archive/2020/07/role-cognitive-dissonance-pandemic/614074/)  
25 [pandemic/614074/](https://www.theatlantic.com/ideas/archive/2020/07/role-cognitive-dissonance-pandemic/614074/) [Accessed December 20, 2020]

26 Baker, S., Bloom, N., Davis, S., and Terry, S. (2020). COVID-Induced Economic Uncertainty. *Natl.*  
27 *Bur. Econ. Res.* doi:10.3386/w26983.

- 1 Bericat, E. (2016). The sociology of emotions: Four decades of progress. *Curr. Sociol.*  
2 doi:10.1177/0011392115588355.
- 3 Biederman, I., and Vessel, E. (2006). Perceptual Pleasure and the Brain: A novel theory explains  
4 why the brain craves information and seeks it through the senses. *Am. Sci.*  
5 doi:10.1511/2006.3.247.
- 6 Bosse, T., Hoogendoorn, M., Klein, M. C. A., Treur, J., van der Wal, C. N., and van Wissen, A.  
7 (2013). Modelling collective decision making in groups and crowds: Integrating social  
8 contagion and interacting emotions, beliefs and intentions. *Auton. Agent. Multi. Agent. Syst.*  
9 doi:10.1007/s10458-012-9201-1.
- 10 Brady, G. L., Clark, J. R., and Davis, W. L. (1995). The political economy of dissonance. *Public*  
11 *Choice.* doi:10.1007/BF01047728.
- 12 Brattico, E., Brigitte Bogert, and Jacobsen, T. (2013). Toward a neural chronometry for the  
13 aesthetic experience of music. *Front. Psychol.* doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00206.
- 14 Brattico, E., and Pearce, M. (2013). The neuroaesthetics of music. *Psychol. Aesthetics, Creat. Arts.*  
15 doi:10.1037/a0031624.
- 16 Cancino-Montecinos, S., Björklund, F., and Lindholm, T. (2020). A General Model of Dissonance  
17 Reduction: Unifying Past Accounts via an Emotion Regulation Perspective . *Front. Psychol.*  
18 11, 3184. Available at: <https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.540081>.
- 19 Chatterjee, A., and Vartanian, O. (2014). Neuroaesthetics. *Trends Cogn. Sci.*  
20 doi:10.1016/j.tics.2014.03.003.
- 21 Chatterjee, A., and Vartanian, O. (2016). Neuroscience of aesthetics. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.*  
22 doi:10.1111/nyas.13035.
- 23 Damasio, A. R. (1996). The somatic marker hypothesis and the possible functions of the prefrontal  
24 cortex. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* doi:10.1098/rstb.1996.0125.
- 25 Eyerman, R., and Jamison, A. (1995). Social movements and cultural transformation: Popular  
26 music in the 1960s. *Media, Cult. Soc.* doi:10.1177/016344395017003006.
- 27 Ferreri, L., Mas-Herrero, E., Zatorre, R. J., Ripollés, P., Gomez-Andres, A., Alicart, H., et al.  
28 (2019). Dopamine modulates the reward experiences elicited by music. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*  
29 *U. S. A.* doi:10.1073/pnas.1811878116.
- 30 Festinger, L. (1957). *A theory of cognitive dissonance.* Stanford University Press.
- 31 Friston, K. (2010). The free-energy principle: A unified brain theory? *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 11, 127–  
32 138. doi:10.1038/nrn2787.
- 33 Gallese, V. (2017). Mirroring, a liberated embodied simulation and aesthetic experience. *Mirror*  
34 *Images. Reflections Art Med.*
- 35 Halperin, E., Porat, R., Tamir, M., and Gross, J. J. (2013). Can Emotion Regulation Change  
36 Political Attitudes in Intractable Conflicts? From the Laboratory to the Field. *Psychol. Sci.*  
37 doi:10.1177/0956797612452572.
- 38 Hansen, N. C., Dietz, M. J., and Vuust, P. (2017). Commentary: Predictions and the brain: how

- 1 musical sounds become rewarding . *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 11, 168. Available at:  
2 <https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fnhum.2017.00168>.
- 3 Hillman, J. (1988). The Right To Remain Silent. *J. Humanist. Educ. Dev.* 26, 141–153.  
4 doi:10.1002/j.2164-4683.1988.tb00322.x.
- 5 Kaaronen, R. O. (2018). A theory of predictive dissonance: Predictive processing presents a new  
6 take on cognitive dissonance. *Front. Psychol.* doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2018.02218.
- 7 Kirsch, L. P., Urgesi, C., and Cross, E. S. (2016). Shaping and reshaping the aesthetic brain:  
8 Emerging perspectives on the neurobiology of embodied aesthetics. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.*  
9 doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2015.12.005.
- 10 Koelsch, S., Vuust, P., and Friston, K. (2019). Predictive Processes and the Peculiar Case of Music.  
11 *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 23. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2018.10.006.
- 12 Liljeström, S., Juslin, P. N., and Västfjäll, D. (2013). Experimental evidence of the roles of music  
13 choice, social context, and listener personality in emotional reactions to music. *Psychol. Music.*  
14 doi:10.1177/0305735612440615.
- 15 Margolis, M. F., Berinsky, A., Carlos, R., De Benedictis-Kessner, J., Dunham, J., Gonzalez, A., et  
16 al. (2016). Cognitive Dissonance, Elections, and Religion: How Partisanship and the Political  
17 Landscape Shape Religious Behavior. *Public Opin. Q.* doi:10.1093/poq/nfw023.
- 18 Marković, S. (2012). Components of aesthetic experience: Aesthetic fascination, aesthetic appraisal,  
19 and aesthetic emotion. *Iperception.* doi:10.1068/i0450aap.
- 20 Masataka, N., and Perlovsky, L. (2012a). Music can reduce cognitive dissonance. *Nat. Preced.*  
21 doi:10.1038/npre.2012.7080.1.
- 22 Masataka, N., and Perlovsky, L. (2012b). The efficacy of musical emotions provoked by Mozart's  
23 music for the reconciliation of cognitive dissonance. *Sci. Rep.* 2, 694. doi:10.1038/srep00694.
- 24 Masataka, N., and Perlovsky, L. (2013). Cognitive interference can be mitigated by consonant  
25 music and facilitated by dissonant music. *Sci. Rep.* doi:10.1038/srep02028.
- 26 Matz, D. C., and Wood, W. (2005). Cognitive dissonance in groups: The consequences of  
27 disagreement. *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.* doi:10.1037/0022-3514.88.1.22.
- 28 Mencke, I., Omigie, D., Wald-Fuhrmann, M., and Brattico, E. (2019). Atonal Music: Can  
29 Uncertainty Lead to Pleasure? . *Front. Neurosci.* 12, 979. Available at:  
30 <https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fnins.2018.00979>.
- 31 Menninghaus, W., Wagner, V., Hanich, J., Wassiliwizky, E., Jacobsen, T., and Koelsch, S. (2017).  
32 The Distancing-Embracing model of the enjoyment of negative emotions in art reception.  
33 *Behav. Brain Sci.* doi:10.1017/s0140525x17000309.
- 34 Nadal, M. (2013). "The experience of art. Insights from neuroimaging.," in *Progress in Brain*  
35 *Research* doi:10.1016/B978-0-444-63287-6.00007-5.
- 36 Perlovsky, L. (2015). Origin of music and embodied cognition . *Front. Psychol.* 6, 538. Available  
37 at: <https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00538>.
- 38 Quiroga-Martinez, D. R., Hansen, N. C., Højlund, A., Pearce, M. T., Brattico, E., and Vuust, P.

- 1 (2019). Reduced prediction error responses in high-as compared to low-uncertainty musical  
2 contexts. *Cortex*. doi:10.1016/j.cortex.2019.06.010.
- 3 Sarasso, P., Neppi-Modona, M., Sacco, K., and Ronga, I. (2020a). “Stopping for knowledge”: The  
4 sense of beauty in the perception-action cycle. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.*  
5 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2020.09.004.
- 6 Sarasso, P., Ronga, I., Kobau, P., Bosso, T., Artusio, I., Ricci, R., et al. (2020b). Beauty in mind:  
7 Aesthetic appreciation correlates with perceptual facilitation and attentional amplification.  
8 *Neuropsychologia* 136, 107282. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2019.107282.
- 9 Sarasso, P., Ronga, I., Pistis, A., Forte, E., Garbarini, F., Ricci, R., et al. (2019). Aesthetic  
10 appreciation of musical intervals enhances behavioural and neurophysiological indexes of  
11 attentional engagement and motor inhibition. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 18550. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-  
12 55131-9.
- 13 SCHMIDHUBER, J. (2009). Simple Algorithmic Theory of Subjective Beauty, Novelty, Surprise,  
14 Interestingness Attention, Curiosity, Creativity, Art, Science, Music, Jokes. *計測と制御 = J.*  
15 *Soc. Instrum. Control Eng.*
- 16 Schoeller, F., and Perlovsky, L. (2016). Aesthetic chills: Knowledge-acquisition, meaning-making,  
17 and aesthetic emotions. *Front. Psychol.* 7. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01093.
- 18 Schwartenbeck, P., FitzGerald, T. H. B., and Dolan, R. (2016). Neural signals encoding shifts in  
19 beliefs. *Neuroimage* 125, 578–586. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.10.067.
- 20 Stolnitz, J. (1978). “The Aesthetic Attitude” in the Rise of Modern Aesthetics. *J. Aesthet. Art Crit.*  
21 doi:10.2307/430481.
- 22 Tracy, H. L. (1946). Aristotle on Aesthetic Pleasure. *Class. Philol.* doi:10.1086/362919.
- 23 Van de Cruys, S., and Wagemans, J. (2011). Putting reward in art: A tentative prediction error  
24 account of visual art. *Iperception.* 2, 1035–1062. doi:10.1068/i0466aap.
- 25 Vartanian, O., and Goel, V. (2004). Neuroanatomical correlates of aesthetic preference for  
26 paintings. *Neuroreport* 15, 893–897. doi:10.1097/00001756-200404090-00032.
- 27 Wessel, J. R., and Aron, A. R. (2017). On the Globality of Motor Suppression: Unexpected Events  
28 and Their Influence on Behavior and Cognition. *Neuron* 93, 259–280.  
29 doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2016.12.013.

30