

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

Linear instability for periodic orbits of non-autonomous Lagrangian systems

This is a pre print version of the following article:

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1783765> since 2021-03-31T16:44:35Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1088/1361-6544/abcb0b

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

Linear instability for periodic orbits of non-autonomous Lagrangian systems

Alessandro Portaluri *, Li Wu, Ran Yang

July 15, 2019

Abstract

Inspired by the classical Poincaré criterion about the instability of orientation preserving minimizing closed geodesics on surfaces, we investigate the relation intertwining the instability and the variational properties of periodic solutions of a non-autonomous Lagrangian on a finite dimensional Riemannian manifold.

We establish a general criterion for a priori detecting the linear instability of a periodic orbit on a Riemannian manifold for a (maybe not Legendre convex) non-autonomous Lagrangian simply by looking at the parity of the spectral index, which is the right substitute of the Morse index in the framework of strongly indefinite variational problems and defined in terms of the spectral flow of a path of Fredholm quadratic forms on a Hilbert bundle.

AMS Subject Classification: 58E10, 53C22, 53D12, 58J30.

Keywords: Periodic orbits, Non-autonomous Lagrangian functions, Linear instability, Maslov index, Spectral flow.

1 Introduction

A celebrated result proved by Poincaré in the beginning of the last century put on evidence the relation between the (linear and exponential) instability of an orientation preserving closed geodesic as a critical point of the geodesic energy functional on the free loop space on a surface and the minimization property of such a critical point. The literature on this criterion is quite broad. We refer the interested reader to [BJP14, BJP16, HPY19] and references therein. Loosely speaking, a closed geodesic is termed linearly stable if the monodromy matrix associated to it splits into two-dimensional rotations. Accordingly, it is diagonalizable and all Floquet multipliers belong to the unit circle of the complex plane. Moreover, if 1 is not a Floquet multiplier, we term the geodesic non-degenerate. In 1988 the Poincaré instability criterion for closed geodesics was generalized in several interesting directions by Treschev in [Tres88, BT15] and references therein.

Several years later, the authors in [HS10] proved a generalization of the aforementioned result, dropping the non-degeneracy assumption. Very recently, authors in [HPY19], by using a mix of variational and symplectic techniques, were able to establish, among others, a general criterion in order to detect the (linear) instability of a closed geodesic on a finite dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold, by controlling the parity of an integer which naturally replace, in this setting, the classical Morse index (which is, in general, infinite). In fact, in the semi-Riemannian (not Riemannian world) the critical points of the geodesic energy functional have, in general, an infinite Morse index and co-index. However, in this strongly indefinite situation a natural substitute of the Morse index is represented by a topological invariant known in literature as the *spectral flow*.

A natural question (which somehow was the main motivation for writing this paper) is how the results proved by authors in [HPY19] can be carried over in the case of non-autonomous (not Legendre convex) Lagrangian functions on Riemannian manifolds.

*The author is partially supported by Prin 2015 “Variational methods, with applications to problems in mathematical physics and geometry” No. 2015KB9WPT_001.

Dropping the Legendre convexity, is quite a challenging task and somehow reflect the sort of indefiniteness behavior appearing in the truly semi-Riemannian world, but it is crucial in the investigation of many indefinite variational problems naturally arising in the applications. In fact, as already observed, critical points have in general infinite Morse index and co-index. However, as in the (classical) Legendre convex case, under some suitable growing assumption on the Lagrangian function, the second variation gives rise to a Fredholm (strongly indefinite) quadratic form. Taking advantage of this, we associate to each periodic orbit and index called *spectral index* ι_{spec} and defined in terms of the *spectral flow*.

In general the spectral flow depends on the homotopy class of the whole path and not only on its ends. However in the special case of geodesics on semi-Riemannian manifolds, things are simpler since it depends only on the endpoints of the path and therefore it can be considered a relative form of Morse index known in literature as relative Morse index.

It is well-known that this invariant is strictly related to a symplectic invariant known in literature as Maslov index (which is an intersection invariant constructed in the Lagrangian Grassmannian manifold of a symplectic space) that plays a crucial role in detecting the stability properties of a periodic orbit. (We refer the interested reader to see [APS08, MPP05, MPP07, HS09, Por08, RS95] and references therein). Very recently, new spectral flow formulas has been established and applied in the study, for detecting bifurcation of heteroclinic and homoclinic orbits of Hamiltonian systems or bifurcation of semi-Riemannian geodesics. (Cfr. [PW16, MPW17, BHPT19, HP17, HP19, HPY17]).

As in the geodesic case the stability as well as the spectral index both *strongly depend* on the trivialization of the pull-back bundle along the orbit. This is not because we are dealing with not Legendre convex Lagrangian functions or on semi-Riemannian manifold or even because we are dealing with orientation and not-orientation preserving (maybe degenerate) periodic orbits. This very much depends on the topological property of the manifolds. A first attempt to find a general criterion which is independent on the chosen trivialization, could be to restrict to contractible periodic orbits. In this way it is possible to construct a trivialization of the pull-back of the tangent bundle along the orbit by filling in a disk. However, as one can expect, this is not enough to solve the problem! This in fact happens precisely if we are in the presence of spheres $\iota : S^2 \hookrightarrow M$ with non-vanishing first Chern class. Thus, if the first Chern class $c_1(M)$ has vanishing integral on every 2-sphere of M , (namely on the second homotopy group of M) then certainly the geometrical index will be independent on such a trivialization, since in this case any two trivializations in the chosen class differ by a loop in the symplectic group which is homotopically trivial. However, the stability properties of a periodic orbit are somehow more sensitive on the trivialization depending not in a homotopically theoretical way on the spectrum of the monodromy matrix.

1.1 Description of the problem and main result

Let $T > 0$, $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_g)$ be a (not necessarily compact or connected) smooth n -dimensional Riemannian manifold without boundary, which represents the configuration space of a Lagrangian dynamical system. Elements in the tangent bundle TM will be denoted by (q, v) , with $q \in M$ and $v \in T_qM$. Let $\mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ denote a circle in \mathbb{R}^2 of length $T = |\mathbb{T}|$ which can be identified with $\mathbb{R}/T\mathbb{Z}$, where $T\mathbb{Z}$ denotes the lattice generated by $T \in \mathbb{R}$ and Let $L : \mathbb{T} \times TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth non-autonomous (Lagrangian) function satisfying the following assumptions

- (N1) L is non-degenerate on the fibers of TM , that is, for every $(t, q, v) \in \mathbb{T} \times TM$ we have that $\nabla_{vv}L(t, q, v) \neq 0$ is non-degenerate as a quadratic form
- (N2) L is *exactly quadratic* in the velocities meaning that the function $L(t, q, v)$ is a polynomial of degree at most 2 with respect to v .

Remark 1.1. Before stating our main result, we observe that we require that the Lagrangian function is *exactly quadratic* in the velocity assumption (N2). The smoothness property of L are in general not sufficient for guaranteeing the twice Fréchet differentiability of the Lagrangian action functional. In fact, the functional it is twice Fréchet differentiable if and only if L is *exactly*

quadratic in the velocities (meaning that for every (t, q) the function $v \mapsto L(t, q, v)$ is a polynomial of degree at most 2). In this case, the Lagrangian action functional is actually smooth. This fact it depends upon the differentiability properties of the Nemitsky operators and it is very well explained in [AS09].

THEOREM 1. *Let $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_g)$ be a n -dimensional Riemannian manifold, $L : \mathbb{T} \times TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth non-autonomous (Lagrangian) function satisfying the following assumptions (N1) and (N2) and let x be a T -periodic orbit of the (associated) Lagrangian system. If one of the following two alternatives hold*

- (OR) x is orientation preserving and $\iota_{\text{spec}}(x) + n$ is odd
- (NOR) x is non orientation preserving and $\iota_{\text{spec}}(x) + n$ is even

then x is linearly unstable.

We let

- (L1) L is \mathcal{C}^2 strictly convex on the fibers of TM , that is, for every $(t, q, v) \in \mathbb{T} \times TM$ we have that $\nabla_{vv}L(t, q, v) > 0$ as a quadratic form

As a direct corollary of the previous result, we get.

COROLLARY 1. *Let $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_g)$ be a n -dimensional Riemannian manifold, $L : \mathbb{T} \times TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth non-autonomous (Lagrangian) function satisfying the following assumptions (L1) and (N2) and let x be a T -periodic orbit of the (associated) Lagrangian system. If one of the following two alternatives hold*

- (OR) x is orientation preserving and $\iota_{\text{Mor}}(x) + n$ is odd
- (NOR) x is non orientation preserving and $\iota_{\text{Mor}}(x) + n$ is even

then x is linearly unstable.

This result is a straightforward corollary of Theorem 1 and in fact follows by observing that under the assumption (L1) the spectral index reduces to the classical Morse index.

Remark 1.2. Here we would like to emphasize on a couple of remarks. First of all, in the special case in which the configuration space M is just the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n , the proof of Theorem 1 it is much easier simply because no trivializations are involved in this case. The reader should be aware on the crucial importance of the trivialization that somehow encodes the geometrical part of the problem. We should note in fact that the second variation (and hence the spectrum of the monodromy matrix and consequently the linear stability) will be strongly influenced upon the choice of this trivialization. (Cfr. [AF07, Appendix]). Even the relation between the Morse index and the Conley Zehnder index, as already discussed, clearly depends upon the chosen trivialization. Moreover, if the Lagrangian function is Legendre convex and the critical point is non-degenerate, then the spectral index reduces to the classical Morse index which is easier to be treated.

The second remark is about on the which seems completed overlooked in many papers, is related to the growth assumptions with respect to the velocity v of the Lagrangian function. In fact, is precisely under assumption (N1) on the smooth Lagrangian L that the Lagrangian action functional is \mathcal{C}^2 (actually smooth). This fact relies on the differentiability of the Nemitski operators and it is crucial in order to associate a Morse index (or a spectral index in the strongly indefinite case) to a critical point.

The paper is organized as follows

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Description of the problem and main result	2

2	Lagrangian dynamics and Variational framework	5
2.1	Lagrangian and Hamiltonian dynamics	5
2.2	Variational setting and spectral index	7
3	Spectral index, geometrical index and Poincaré map	8
3.1	Spectral index: an intrinsic (coordinate free) definition	8
3.2	Pull-back bundles and push-forward of Fredholm forms	9
3.3	Geometrical index and linearized Poincaré map	12
4	Some technical lemmas and proof of the main result	14
4.1	Sturm-Liouville operators having constant principal symbol	15
4.2	Sturm-Liouville operators having non-constant principal symbol	16
4.3	Proof of Theorem 1	20
A	A symplectic excursion on the Maslov index	21
A.1	A quick recap on the ι_{CLM} -index	21
A.2	The symplectic group and the ι_1 -index	23
B	On the Spectral Flow for bounded selfadjoint Fredholm operators	24
B.1	On the Spectral Flow for Fredholm quadratic forms	27

Notation

At last, for the sake of the reader, let us introduce some common notation that we shall use henceforth throughout the paper.

- $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_g)$ denotes a Riemannian manifold without boundary; TM its tangent bundle and T^*M its cotangent bundle.
- $\Lambda^1(M)$ is the Hilbert manifold of loops on manifold M having Sobolev regularity H^1
- ω denotes the symplectic structure J the standard symplectic matrix
- $\iota_{\text{Mor}}(x)$ stands for the Morse index of x , $\iota_{\text{spec}}(x)$ for the spectral index of x , $\iota_{\text{geo}}(x)$ for the geometrical index index of x , ι_1 denotes the Maslov-type index or Conley-Zehnder index of a symplectic matrix path, ι_{CLM} denotes the Maslov (intersection) index and finally sf denotes the spectral flow
- $P(L)$ denotes the set of T -periodic solutions of the Euler-Lagrange Equation ; $P(H)$ denotes the set of T -periodic solutions of the Hamiltonian Equation
- δ_{ij} is the Kronecker symbol. I_X or just I will denote the identity operator on a space X and we set for simplicity $I_k := I_{\mathbb{R}^k}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. $\text{Gr}(\cdot)$ denotes the graph of its argument; Δ denotes the graph of identity matrix I
- \mathbb{U} is the unit circle of the complex plane
- $O(n)$ denotes the orthogonal group $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ or just $\text{Sp}(2n)$ denotes the $2n \times 2n$ real symplectic group
- \mathfrak{P} denotes the linearized Poincaré map
- \mathcal{BF}^{sa} denotes the set of all bounded selfadjoint Fredholm operators, $\sigma(\cdot)$ denotes the spectrum of the operator in its argument
- We denote throughout by the symbol \cdot^{T} (resp. $\cdot^{-\text{T}}$) the transpose (resp. inverse transpose) of the operator \cdot . Moreover $\text{rge}(\cdot)$, $\ker(\cdot)$ and $\text{rank}(\cdot)$ denote respectively the image, the kernel and the rank of the argument

- Γ denotes the crossing form and n_+/n_- denote respectively the dimensions of the positive/negative spectral spaces and finally $\text{sgn}(\cdot)$ is the signature of the quadratic form (or matrix) in its argument and it is given by $\text{sgn}(\cdot) = n_+(\cdot) - n_-(\cdot)$

Acknowledgements

The first name author wishes to thank all faculties and staff of the Mathematics Department at the Shandong University (Jinan) as well as the Department of Mathematics and Statistics at the Queen's University (Kingston) for providing excellent working conditions during his stay.

2 Lagrangian dynamics and Variational framework

This section is devoted to fix our basic notation about the Lagrangian and Hamiltonian dynamics and to set up the variational framework of the problem.

2.1 Lagrangian and Hamiltonian dynamics

Let $T > 0$, $(M, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_g)$ be a (not necessarily compact or connected) smooth n -dimensional Riemannian manifold without boundary, which represents the configuration space of a Lagrangian dynamical system and we denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the Riemannian norm. Elements in the tangent bundle TM will be denoted by (q, v) , with $q \in M$ and $v \in T_qM$. The metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_g$ induces a metric on TM , Levi-Civita connections both on M and TM as well as the isomorphisms

$$T_{(q,v)}TM = T_{(q,v)}^h TM \oplus T_{(q,v)}^v TM \cong T_qM \oplus T_qM,$$

where $T_{(q,v)}^v TM = \ker D\tau(q, v)$ and $\tau : TM \rightarrow M$ denotes the canonical projection.

Notation 2.1. We shall denote by ∇_t the covariant derivative of a vector field along a smooth curve x with respect to the metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_g$. ∇_q (resp. ∇_v) denotes the partial derivative along the horizontal part (resp. vertical part) given by the Levi-Civita connection in the splitting of TTM and We shall denote by $\nabla_{vv}, \nabla_{qv}, \nabla_{qq}$ the components of the Hessian in the splitting of TTM .

Let $\mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ denote a circle in \mathbb{R}^2 of length $T = |\mathbb{T}|$ which can be identified with $\mathbb{R}/T\mathbb{Z}$, where $T\mathbb{Z}$ denotes the lattice generated by $T \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $L : \mathbb{T} \times TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth non-autonomous (Lagrangian) function satisfying the following assumptions

- (N1) L is non-degenerate on the fibers of TM , that is, for every $(t, q, v) \in \mathbb{T} \times TM$ we have that $\nabla_{vv}L(t, q, v) \neq 0$ is non-degenerate as a quadratic form
- (N2) L is *exactly quadratic* in the velocities meaning that the function $L(t, q, v)$ is a polynomial of degree at most 2 with respect to v .

By [Fat08, Theorem 2.4.3], assumption (N1) implies that L defines a unique time-dependent smooth vector field Y_L on TM such that the orbits of Y_L are precisely of the form $t \mapsto (x(t), x'(t))$, where $x \in \mathcal{C}^2([0, T], M)$ solves the second order ODE

$$\nabla_t \left(\nabla_v L(t, x(t), x'(t)) \right) = \nabla_q L(t, x(t), x'(t)), \quad t \in (0, T). \quad (2.1)$$

Let us now consider T^*M , the cotangent bundle of M with canonical projection $\tau^* : T^*M \rightarrow M$. Elements in T^*M are of the form (q, p) where $q \in M$ and $p \in T_q^*M$. The cotangent bundle carries the the Liouville 1-form ϑ (or tautological one-form) and the symplectic structure $\omega = d\vartheta$. In local coordinates $(q, p) \in T^*M$, we have

$$\vartheta_{\text{local}} = p dq \quad \text{and} \quad \omega = dp \wedge dq.$$

The vertical space

$$T_z^v T^*M = \ker D\tau^*(z) \cong T_q^*M, \quad z = (q, p) \in T^*M$$

is a Lagrangian subspace of $(T_z T^* M, \omega_z)$.

A T -periodic Hamiltonian, i.e. a smooth function $H : \mathbb{T} \times T^* M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ determines a T -periodic vector field, the Hamiltonian vector field X_H defined by

$$\omega(X_H(t, z), \zeta) = -DH(t, z)[\zeta], \quad \forall \zeta \in T_z T^* M$$

whose corresponding Hamiltonian equation is given by

$$z'(t) = X_H(t, z(t)), \quad t \in (0, T) \quad (2.2)$$

which in local coordinates can be written as

$$\begin{cases} q'(t) = \partial_p H(t, q, p), & t \in (0, T) \\ p'(t) = -\partial_q H(t, q, p) \end{cases}$$

It is well-known that under the assumption (N1) the Legendre transform

$$\mathcal{L}_L : \mathbb{T} \times TM \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \times T^* M, \quad (t, q, v) \mapsto \left(t, q, DL(t, q, v)|_{T_{(q,v)} TM} \right)$$

is a local smooth diffeomorphism. The Fenchel transform of L is the non-autonomous Hamiltonian on $T^* M$

$$H(t, q, p) = \max_{v \in T_q M} (p[v] - L(t, q, v)) = p[v(t, q, p)] - L(t, q, v(t, q, p)),$$

for every $(t, q, p) \in \mathbb{T} \times T^* M$, where the map v is a component of the fiber-preserving diffeomorphism

$$\mathcal{L}_L^{-1} : \mathbb{T} \times T^* M \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \times TM, \quad (t, q, p) \mapsto (t, q, v(t, q, p))$$

the inverse of \mathcal{L}_L . The T -periodic Hamiltonian H on $T^* M$ and the canonical symplectic form ω on $T^* M$ define a time-dependent Hamiltonian vector field X_H on $T^* M$, and Y_L previously defined is nothing but the pull-back of X_H by the diffeomorphism \mathcal{L}_L . Otherwise stated, Y_L is the time-dependent T -periodic Hamiltonian vector field on TM determined by the symplectic form $\mathcal{L}_L^* \omega$ and by the Hamiltonian $H \circ \mathcal{L}_L$.

Notation 2.2. We denote by

- $P(L)$ the set of T -periodic solutions of the Euler-Lagrange Equation (2.1)
- $P(H)$ for denoting the set of T -periodic solutions of the Hamiltonian Equation (2.2)

If $x \in P(L)$ we let $z_x \in P(H)$ defined by

$$(t, z_x(t)) = \mathcal{L}_L(t, x(t), x'(t)) \quad (2.3)$$

the corresponding T -periodic solution of the Hamiltonian equation on $T^* M$. Finally, ϕ_H^t denotes the integral flow of the Hamiltonian vector field X_H .

We denote by $T^h T^* M$ (resp. $T^v T^* M$) the horizontal (resp. vertical) tangent bundle and we observe that

$$z_x^*(TT^* M) = x^*(T^h T^* M) \oplus x^*(T^v T^* M) \cong x^*(TM) \oplus x^*(T^* M).$$

By linearizing the Hamiltonian system given in Equation (2.2) along $z_x \in P(H)$ defined by Equation (2.3) in the direction $\zeta \in z_x^*(TT^* M)$, we get

$$\zeta'(t) = JB(t)\zeta(t), \quad \forall t \in (0, T)$$

where $B(t) := D^2 H(t, z_x(t))$.

2.2 Variational setting and spectral index

Let $\Lambda^1(M)$ be the Hilbert manifold of all loops $x : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow M$ with Sobolev class H^1 . The tangent space $T_x\Lambda^1(M)$ to $\Lambda^1(M)$ at x can be identified in a natural way with the Hilbert space of T -periodic H^1 (tangent) vector fields along x , i.e.

$$\mathcal{H}(x) = \{ \xi \in H^1(\mathbb{T}, TM) \mid \tau \circ \xi = x \}.$$

The non-autonomous Lagrangian function L defines the *Lagrangian (action) functional* $\mathbb{E} : \Lambda^1(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows

$$\mathbb{E}(x) := \int_0^T L(t, x(t), x'(t)) dt.$$

It is worth noticing that under the (N2) assumption, the Lagrangian functional is of regularity class \mathcal{C}^2 (actually it is smooth). Let $\langle \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \rangle_1$ denote the H^1 -Riemannian metric on $\Lambda^1(M)$ defined by

$$\langle \langle \xi, \eta \rangle \rangle_1 := \int_0^T [\langle \nabla_t \xi, \nabla_t \eta \rangle_g + \langle \xi, \eta \rangle_g] dt, \quad \forall \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}(x).$$

The first variation of \mathbb{E} at x is given by

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathbb{E}(x)[\xi] &= \int_0^T [D_q L(t, x(t), x'(t))[\xi] + D_v L(t, x(t), x'(t))[\nabla_t \xi]] dt \\ &= \int_0^T [\langle \nabla_q L(t, x(t), x'(t)), \xi \rangle_g + \langle \nabla_v L(t, x(t), x'(t)), \nabla_t \xi \rangle_g] dt \\ &= \int_0^T [\langle \nabla_q L(t, x(t), x'(t)) - \nabla_t \nabla_v L(t, x(t), x'(t)), \xi \rangle_g] dt \\ &\quad + \langle \nabla_v L(t, x(t), x'(t)), \xi \rangle_g \Big|_{t=0}^T. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

By Equation (2.4) and up to standard regularity arguments we get that critical points of \mathbb{E} are T -periodic solutions of Equation (2.1). Now, being \mathbb{E} smooth it follows that the first variation $d\mathbb{E}(x)$ at x coincides with the Fréchet differential $D\mathbb{E}(x)$ and $D^2\mathbb{E}(x)$ coincides with the second variation of \mathbb{E} at x given by

$$\begin{aligned} d^2\mathbb{E}(x)[\xi, \eta] &= \int_0^T [D_{vv} L(t, x(t), x'(t))[\nabla_t \xi, \nabla_t \eta] + D_{qv} L(t, x(t), x'(t))[\xi, \nabla_t \eta] \\ &\quad + D_{vq} L(t, x(t), x'(t))[\nabla_t \xi, \eta] + D_{qq} L(t, x(t), x'(t))[\xi, \eta]] dt \\ &= \int_0^T [\langle \nabla_{vv} L(t, x(t), x'(t)) \nabla_t \xi, \nabla_t \eta \rangle_g + \langle \nabla_{qv} L(t, x(t), x'(t)) \xi, \nabla_t \eta \rangle_g \\ &\quad + \langle \nabla_{vq} L(t, x(t), x'(t)) \nabla_t \xi, \eta \rangle_g + \langle \nabla_{qq} L(t, x(t), x'(t)) \xi, \eta \rangle_g] dt \end{aligned}$$

We set

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{P}(t) &:= \nabla_{vv} L(t, x(t), x'(t)), & \bar{Q}(t) &:= \nabla_{qv} L(t, x(t), x'(t)) \\ \bar{R}(t) &:= \nabla_{vq} L(t, x(t), x'(t)), & \bar{Q}^\top(t) &:= \nabla_{vq} L(t, x(t), x'(t)) \end{aligned}$$

Under the above notation and integrating by parts in the second variation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} D^2\mathbb{E}_x[\xi, \eta] &= \int_0^T \langle -\nabla_t [\bar{P}(t) \nabla_t \xi + \bar{Q}(t) \xi] + \bar{Q}^\top(t) \nabla_t \xi + \bar{R}(t) \xi, \eta \rangle_g dt \\ &\quad + [\langle \bar{P}(t) \nabla_t \xi + \bar{Q}(t) \xi, \eta \rangle_g]_{t=0}^T. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

By Equation (2.5) it follows that $\xi \in \ker D^2\mathbb{E}_x$ if and only if ξ is a H^2 vector field along x which solves weakly (in the Sobolev sense) the following boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla_t(\bar{P}(t)\nabla_t\xi + \bar{Q}(t)\xi) + \bar{Q}^\top(t)\nabla_t\xi + \bar{R}(t)\xi = 0, & t \in (0, T) \\ \xi(0) = \xi(T), \quad \nabla_t\xi(0) = \nabla_t\xi(T). \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

By standard bootstrap arguments, it follows that ξ is also a classical (smooth) solution of Equation (2.6). Borrowing the notation from [MPP05, MPP07] we shall refer to such a ξ as *T-periodic perturbed Jacobi field*.

3 Spectral index, geometrical index and Poincaré map

The goal of this section is to associate at each T -periodic solution x of Equation (2.1) the *spectral index* (defined in terms of the spectral flow of path of Fredholm quadratic forms) and to its Hamiltonian counterpart z_x defined in Equation (2.3) the *geometrical index* (defined in terms of a Maslov-type index). We finally introduce the linearized Poincaré map. For the basic definition and properties of the spectral flow and the Maslov index, we refer to Section B and Section A respectively and references therein.

3.1 Spectral index: an intrinsic (coordinate free) definition

Given x be a critical point of \mathbb{E} , we define for any $s \in [0, +\infty)$ the bilinear form $\mathcal{J}_s : \mathcal{H}(x) \times \mathcal{H}(x) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_s[\xi, \eta] &:= d^2\mathbb{E}(x)[\xi, \eta] + s\alpha(x)[\xi, \eta] \\ &= \int_0^T \left[\langle \bar{P}(t)\nabla_t\xi, \nabla_t\eta \rangle_g + \langle \bar{Q}(t)\xi, \nabla_t\eta \rangle_g + \langle \bar{Q}^\top(t)\nabla_t\xi, \eta \rangle_g + \langle \bar{R}(t)\xi, \eta \rangle_g \right] dt + s\alpha(x)[\xi, \eta] \\ &\quad \text{where } \alpha(x)[\xi, \eta] := \int_0^T \langle \bar{P}(t)\nabla_t\xi, \nabla_t\eta \rangle_g dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Notation 3.1. In short-hand notation and if no confusion can arise, we set $\mathcal{Q}^h := D^2\mathbb{E}(x)$.

Proposition 3.2. *For any $s \in [0, +\infty)$ let \mathcal{Q}_s denote the quadratic form associated to \mathcal{J}_s defined in Equation (3.1). Then*

$s \mapsto \mathcal{Q}_s$ is a smooth path of Fredholm quadratic forms onto $\mathcal{H}(x)$. In particular \mathcal{Q}^h is a Fredholm quadratic form onto $\mathcal{H}(x)$.

Proof. For each $s \in [0, +\infty)$, we start to consider the bilinear form on $\mathcal{H}(x)$ defined by

$$\beta_s(x)[\xi, \eta] := (1+s) \int_0^T \left[\langle \bar{P}(t)\nabla_t\xi, \nabla_t\eta \rangle_g + \langle \bar{P}(t)\xi, \eta \rangle_g \right] dt = (1+s) \langle \langle \bar{\mathcal{P}}(x)\xi, \eta \rangle \rangle_1$$

where $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ is the linear operator on $\mathcal{H}(x)$ pointwise defined by \bar{P} . By assumption (N1) it follows that $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ is invertible on $\mathcal{H}(x)$ and by assumption (N2), it follows that $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ is bounded. Thus $\bar{\mathcal{P}} \in \text{GL}(\mathcal{H}(x))$ and hence the quadratic form $\mathcal{Q}_s^\beta(x)$ associated to β is a Fredholm quadratic form. We let

$$\gamma_s(x)[\xi, \eta] := \int_0^T \left[\langle \bar{Q}(t)\xi, \nabla_t\eta \rangle_g + \langle \bar{Q}^\top(t)\nabla_t\xi, \eta \rangle_g + \langle [\bar{R}(t) - s\bar{P}(t)]\xi, \eta \rangle_g \right] dt.$$

The quadratic form $\mathcal{Q}_s^\gamma(x)$ associated to $\gamma(x)$ is the restriction to $\Lambda^1(M)$ of a quadratic form defined on $\mathcal{C}^0(x)$ of all continuous vector fields along x . Since the embedding $\mathcal{H}(x) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}^0(x)$ is a compact operator (by the Sobolev embedding theorem), it follows that $\mathcal{Q}_s^\gamma(x)$ is weakly continuous.

Now, since $\mathcal{Q}_s(x) := [\mathcal{Q}_s^\beta + \mathcal{Q}_s^\gamma](x)$ the conclusion readily follows by observing that $\mathcal{Q}_s^\beta(x)$ is a weakly continuous perturbation of a Fredholm quadratic form. This concludes the proof. \square

Taking into account Proposition 3.2, we are entitled to give the following definition.

Definition 3.3. Let $x \in P(L)$. We term *spectral index* of x the integer

$$\iota_{\text{spec}}(x) := \text{sf}(\mathcal{Q}_s, s \in [0, s_0])$$

where the (RHS) denotes the spectral flow of the path of Fredholm quadratic forms for a large enough $s_0 > 0$. (Cfr. Section B and references therein for the definitions and its basic properties about the spectral flow).

Remark 3.4. It is worth noticing that Definition 3.3 is well-posed in the sense that it doesn't depend upon s_0 . This fact will be proved in the sequel and it is actually a direct consequence of Lemma 3.8.

Proposition 3.5. *If L is \mathcal{C}^2 -strictly convex on the fibers of TM , meaning that there exists $\ell_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\nabla_{vv}L(t, q, v) \geq \ell_0 I$$

for every $(t, q, v) \in \mathbb{T} \times TM$, then the Morse index of x (i.e. the dimension of the maximal negative subspace of the Hessian of \mathcal{Q}^h) is finite and

$$\iota_{\text{spec}}(x) = \iota_{\text{Mor}}(x).$$

Proof. This result follows by observing that if L is \mathcal{C}^2 -strictly convex on the fibers of TM , then \mathcal{Q}^h is a positive Fredholm quadratic form and hence \mathcal{Q}_s is a path of essentially positive Fredholm quadratic forms (being a weakly compact perturbation of a positive definite quadratic form). In particular the Morse index of \mathcal{Q}_s is finite for every $s \in [0, +\infty)$. If s_0 is large enough the form \mathcal{Q}_{s_0} is non-degenerate and begin also semi-positive is actually positive definite. Thus its Morse index vanishes. Now, since for path of essentially positive Fredholm quadratic it holds that

$$\text{sf}(\mathcal{Q}_s, s \in [0, s_0]) = \iota_{\text{Mor}}(\mathcal{Q}_0) - \iota_{\text{Mor}}(\mathcal{Q}_{s_0}) = \iota_{\text{Mor}}(\mathcal{Q}_0)$$

the conclusion follows. \square

3.2 Pull-back bundles and push-forward of Fredholm forms

We denote by \mathcal{E} the $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_g$ -orthonormal and parallel frame \mathbf{E} , pointwise given by

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = \{e_1(t), \dots, e_n(t)\}$$

and, if $x \in P(L)$, we let $\bar{A} : T_{x(0)}M \rightarrow T_{x(T)}M \cong T_{x(0)}M$ the $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_g$ -ortogonal operator defined by

$$\bar{A}e_j(0) = e_j(T).$$

Such a frame \mathbf{E} , induces a trivialization of the pull-back bundle $x^*(TM)$ over $[0, T]$ through the smooth curve $x : [0, T] \rightarrow M$; namely the smooth one parameter family of isomorphisms

$$[0, T] \ni t \longmapsto E_t \quad \text{where} \quad E_t : \mathbb{R}^n \ni e_i \longmapsto e_i(t) \in T_{x(t)}M \quad \forall t \in [0, T] \text{ and } i = 1, \dots, n$$

are such that $\langle E_t e_i, E_t e_j \rangle_g = \delta_{ij}$ and $\nabla_t E_t e_i = 0$ (3.2)

here $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^n and δ_{ij} denotes the Kronecker symbol.

By Equation (3.2) we get that the pull-back by E_t of the metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_g$ induces the Euclidean product on \mathbb{R}^n and moreover this pull-back is independent on t , as directly follows by the orthogonality assumption on the frame \mathbf{E} .

Let x be a T -periodic solution of Equation (2.1) and let z_x be defined by Equation (2.3). The orthonormal trivialization given in Subsection 3 leads to a unitary trivialization $\Phi^{\mathbf{E}}$ of $z_x(TT^*M)$ over $[0, T]$, i.e. to the smooth one parameter family of isomorphisms

$$[0, T] \ni t \longmapsto \Phi_t^{\mathbf{E}} \quad \text{where} \quad \Phi_t^{\mathbf{E}} : \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow x^*(TM) \oplus x^*(T^*M) \cong z_x(TT^*M)$$

is defined by $\Phi_t^{\mathbf{E}} := \begin{bmatrix} E_t & 0 \\ 0 & E_t \end{bmatrix}$.

We set $A := E_0^{-1} \bar{A}^{-1} E_T \in O(n)$ and we define

$$A_d := \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{bmatrix} \quad (3.3)$$

Remark 3.6. We observe that the \mathbf{E} (resp. $\Phi^{\mathbf{E}}$) is, in general, a trivialization of the pull-back bundle $x^*(TM)$ (resp. $z_x(TT^*M)$) over $[0, T]$ (only!) and not on \mathbb{T} . However, if $x : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow M$ is an orientation preserving smooth curve, then the trivialization \mathbf{E} (resp. $\Phi^{\mathbf{E}}$) can be chosen periodic, namely $E_0 = E_T$ (resp. $\Phi_0^{\mathbf{E}} = \Phi_T^{\mathbf{E}}$). In this particular case A (resp. A_d) reduces to the identity matrix. In the not orientation preserving case, A (resp. A_d) are always different from I and no periodic orthonormal trivializations of $x^*(TM)$ (resp. symplectic trivialization of $z_x^*(TT^*M)$) can be chosen.

Let us now consider the Hilbert space

$$H_A^1([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n) = \{ u \in H^1([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n) \mid u(0) = Au(T) \}$$

equipped with the inner product

$$\langle\langle v, w \rangle\rangle_A := \int_0^t [\langle v'(s), Aw'(s) \rangle + \langle v(s), Aw(s) \rangle] ds.$$

Denoting by $\Psi : \mathcal{H}(x) \rightarrow H_A^1([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n)$ the map defined by $\Psi(\xi) = u$ where $u(t) = E_t^{-1}(\xi(t))$, it follows that Ψ is a linear isomorphism and it is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(0) = \xi(T) &\iff E_0 u(0) = E_T u(T) &\iff u(0) = Au(T) \text{ and} \\ &\nabla_t \xi(0) = \nabla_t \xi(T) &\iff u'(0) = Au'(T) \end{aligned}$$

where in the last we used the parallel property of the frame.

For $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $t \in [0, T]$, we let $e_i(t) := E_t e_i$ and we denote by $\langle P(t)\cdot, \cdot \rangle$, $\langle Q(t)\cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $\langle R(t)\cdot, \cdot \rangle$ respectively the pull-back by E_t of $\langle \bar{P}(t)\cdot, \cdot \rangle_g$, $\langle \bar{Q}(t)\cdot, \cdot \rangle_g$ and $\langle \bar{R}(t)\cdot, \cdot \rangle_g$. Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} P(t) &:= [p_{ij}(t)]_{i,j=0}^n, & Q(t) &:= [q^{ij}(t)]_{i,j=0}^n, & R(t) &:= [r_{ij}(t)]_{i,j=0}^n \quad \text{where} \\ p_{ij}(t) &:= \langle \bar{P}(t)e_i(t), e_j(t) \rangle_g, & q_{ij}(t) &:= \langle \bar{Q}(t)e_i(t), e_j(t) \rangle_g, & r_{ij}(t) &:= \langle \bar{R}(t)e_i(t), e_j(t) \rangle_g. \end{aligned}$$

We observe that P and R are symmetric matrices and being $e_i(T) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} e_j(0)$ we get also that

$$P(0) = AP(T)A^\top, \quad P'(0) = AP'(T)A^\top, \quad Q(0) = AQ(T), \quad R(0) = AR(T)A^\top. \quad (3.4)$$

Now, for every $s \in [0, +\infty)$, the push-forward by Ψ of the index forms J_s on $\mathcal{H}(x)$ is given by the bounded symmetric bilinear forms on $H_A^1([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} I_s[u, v] &= \int_0^T [\langle P(t)u'(t), v'(t) \rangle + \langle Q(t)u(t), v(t) \rangle + \langle Q^\top(t)u'(t), v(t) \rangle + \langle R(t)u(t), v(t) \rangle] dt \\ &\quad + s\alpha(x)[u, v] \quad \text{where} \quad \alpha(x)[u, v] = \int_0^T \langle P(t)u'(t), v'(t) \rangle dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Denoting by q_s^A the quadratic form on $H_A^1([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n)$ associate to I_s then, as direct consequence of Proposition 3.2, we get also the following result.

Lemma 3.7. *For every $s \in [0, +\infty)$, the quadratic form q_s is Fredholm on $H_A^1([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. We observe that, for each $s \in [0, +\infty)$ the form I_s is the push-forward by the linear isomorphism Ψ of J_s . Now the conclusion of this result is a consequence of Proposition 3.2 and the fact that the spectral flow for a generalized family of Fredholm quadratic forms doesn't depend on the chosen trivialization. (Cfr. Section B for further details). This concludes the proof. \square

The following result is crucial in the well-posedness of the spectral index.

Lemma 3.8. *Under the above notation, there exists $s_0 \in [0, +\infty)$ large enough such that for every $s \geq s_0$, the form I_s given in Equation (3.5) is non-degenerate (in the sense of bilinear forms).*

Proof. We argue by contradiction and we assume that for every $s_0 \geq 0$ there exists $s \geq s_0$ such that I_s is degenerate. Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \equiv I_s(x, y) &= \int_0^T \left\langle -\frac{d}{dt}(P(t)x'(t) + Q(t)x(t)) + Q^\top(t)x'(t) + R(t)x(t) + sP(t)x(t), y(t) \right\rangle dt \\ &\quad + \langle P(t)x'(t) + Q(t)x(t), y(t) \rangle \Big|_{t=0}^T \\ &= \int_0^T \langle P(t)x'(t), y'(t) \rangle + \langle Q(t)x(t), y'(t) \rangle + \langle Q^\top(t)x'(t), y(t) \rangle + \langle R(t)x(t), y(t) \rangle + \langle sP(t)x(t), y(t) \rangle dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

We let $y(t) := P(t)x(t)$ and we observe that as direct consequence of Equation (3.4) it is admissible (meaning that y belongs to H_A^1). Now, by replacing y into Equation (3.9) with $y = Px$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \equiv I(x, Px) &= \int_0^T \left[\langle P(t)x'(t), P(t)x'(t) \rangle + \langle P(t)x'(t), P'(t)x(t) \rangle + \langle Q(t)x(t), P'(t)x(t) \rangle \right. \\ &\quad + \langle Q(t)x(t), P(t)x'(t) \rangle + \langle Q^\top(t)x'(t), P(t)x(t) \rangle \\ &\quad \left. + \langle R(t)x(t), P(t)x(t) \rangle + \langle sP(t)x(t), P(t)x(t) \rangle \right] dt \\ &\geq \int_0^T \left[\|P(t)x'(t)\|^2 - (\|P'(t)P^{-1}(t)\| + \|Q(t)P^{-1}(t)\| \|P'(t)P^{-1}(t)\| \right. \\ &\quad + \|Q^\top(t)P^{-1}(t)\| \|P(t)x(t)\| \|P(t)x'(t)\| \\ &\quad \left. + (s - \|R(t)P^{-1}(t)\| - \|Q(t)P^{-1}(t)\| - \|P'(t)P^{-1}(t)\|) \|P(t)x(t)\|^2 \right] dt > 0 \end{aligned}$$

for s large enough. By this contradiction we conclude the proof. \square

Proposition 3.9. *Let $x \in P(L)$. Then the spectral index given in Definition 3.3 is well-defined.*

Proof. We start to observe that as consequence of Lemma 3.7, $s \mapsto q_s$ is a path of Fredholm quadratic forms on $H_A^1([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, by Lemma 3.8, there exists $s_0 \in [0, +\infty)$ such that q_s is non-degenerate for every $s \geq s_0$ and hence the integer $\text{sf}(q_s, s \in [0, s_0])$ is well-defined.

The conclusion follows by observing that q_s is the push-forward by Ψ of the Fredholm quadratic form \mathcal{Q}_s and by the fact that the spectral flow of a generalized family of Fredholm quadratic forms on the (trivial) Hilbert bundle $[0, s_0] \times \mathcal{H}(x)$ is independent on the trivialization. This concludes the proof. \square

The next step is to prove that the spectral index actually can be reduced to the spectral flow of a path of second order self-adjoint Sturm-Liouville operators.

First of all we observe that the boundary value problem appearing in Equation (3.1), reduces to the Sturm-Liouville boundary value problem in \mathbb{R}^n given by

$$\begin{cases} -\frac{d}{dt} \left(P(t) \frac{d}{dt} u(t) + Q(t)u(t) \right) + Q^\top(t) \frac{d}{dt} u(t) + (R(t) + sP(t))u(t) = 0, & t \in (0, T) \\ u(0) = Au(T), & u'(0) = Au'(T). \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

Now, for any $s \in [0, +\infty)$, let $s \mapsto \mathcal{A}_s$ be the one parameter family of operators pointwise defined by

$$\mathcal{A}_s : \mathcal{D} \subset L^2([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n), \quad \mathcal{A}_s := -\frac{d}{dt} \left(P(t) \frac{d}{dt} + Q(t) \right) + Q^\top \frac{d}{dt} + R(t) + sP(t) \quad (3.8)$$

where $\mathcal{D} := \{ u \in H^2([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n) \mid u(0) = Au(T), u'(0) = Au'(T) \}$. It is worth to observe that \mathcal{A}_s is an unbounded self-adjoint (in L^2) Fredholm operator with dense domain \mathcal{D} .

Corollary 3.10. *Let $s \in [0, +\infty)$ and let \mathcal{A}_s denote the Sturm-Liouville operator defined in Equation (3.8). Then, there exists $s_0 \geq 0$ large enough such that \mathcal{A}_s is non-degenerate, namely $\ker \mathcal{A}_s = \{0\}$ for every $s \geq s_0$.*

Proof. We argue by contradiction and we assume that for every $s_0 \geq 0$ there exists $s \geq s_0$ such that $\ker \mathcal{A}_s \neq \{0\}$. Thus, there exists $x \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\mathcal{A}_s x = 0$. Thus, for every $y \in \mathcal{D}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \equiv I_s(x, y) &= \int_0^T \left\langle -\frac{d}{dt}(P(t)x'(t) + Q(t)x(t)) + Q^\top(t)x'(t) + R(t)x(t) + sP(t)x(t), y(t) \right\rangle dt \\ &\quad + \langle P(t)x'(t) + Q(t)x(t), y(t) \rangle \Big|_{t=0}^T \\ &= \int_0^T \left[\langle P(t)x'(t), y'(t) \rangle + \langle Q(t)x(t), y'(t) \rangle + \langle Q^\top(t)x'(t), y(t) \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \langle R(t)x(t), y(t) \rangle + \langle sP(t)x(t), y(t) \rangle \right] dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

The conclusion readily follows by Corollary 3.8. \square

Proposition 3.11. *Let $x \in P(L)$ and s_0 be given in Proposition 3.2. Then the following equality holds*

$$\iota_{\text{spec}}(x) = \text{sf}(\mathcal{A}_s, s \in [0, s_0]).$$

Proof. For the proof of this result we refer the interested reader to [BHPT19, Proposition 4.12]. \square

3.3 Geometrical index and linearized Poincaré map

The aim of this paragraph is to associate to each T -periodic solution $x \in P(L)$ an integer defined in terms of the intersection index ι_{CLM} . (Cfr. Appendix A for the definition and basic properties).

By trivializing the pull-back bundle $x^*(TM)$ over TM through the frame \mathbf{E} defined in Subsection 3, the Jacobi deviation equation along x reduces to the Sturm-Liouville system as given in Equation (3.7). By setting $y(t) = P(t)u'(t) + Q(t)u(t)$ and $z(t) = (y(t), u(t))^\top$ we finally get

$$\begin{cases} z'(t) = JB(t)z(t), & t \in [0, T] \\ z(0) = A_d z(T) \end{cases} \quad \text{where} \quad B(t) := \begin{bmatrix} P^{-1}(t) & -P^{-1}(t)Q(t) \\ -Q(t)P^{-1}(t) & Q^\top(t)P^{-1}(t)Q(t) - R(t) \end{bmatrix} \quad (3.10)$$

and A_d has been defined in Equation (3.3).

Remark 3.12. We would like to stress the reader on the notational aspect introduced above in this paragraph. More precisely, on the fact that, for computational convenience and for uniformity with the literature on the subject, in the first component of z is placed the momentum coordinate and in the second the position.

In the standard symplectic space $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega)$, we denote by J the standard symplectic matrix defined by $J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -I \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Thus the symplectic form ω can be represented with respect to the Euclidean product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ by J as follows $\omega(z_1, z_2) = \langle Jz_1, z_2 \rangle$ for every $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$.

Now, given $M \in \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$, we denote by $\text{Gr}(M) = \{(x, Mx) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}\}$ its graph and we recall that $\text{Gr}(M)$ is a Lagrangian subspace of the symplectic space $(\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}^{2n}, -\omega \times \omega)$.

Definition 3.13. Let x be a T -periodic solution of Equation (2.1), z_x be defined in Equation (2.3) and let us consider the path

$$\gamma_\Phi : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \quad \text{given by} \quad \gamma_\Phi(t) := A_d[\Phi^E(t)]^{-1} D\phi_H^t(z_x(0))\Phi^E(0).$$

We define the *geometrical index* of x as follows

$$\iota_{\mathrm{geo}}(x) := \iota_{\mathrm{CLM}}(\Delta, \mathrm{Gr}(\gamma_\Phi(t)), t \in [0, T])$$

where the (RHS) in Equation (3.12) denotes the ι_{CLM} intersection index between the Lagrangian path $t \mapsto \mathrm{Gr}(\gamma_\Phi(t))$ and the Lagrangian path $\Delta := \mathrm{Gr}(I)$.

Let $x \in P(L)$ and z_x be given in Equation (2.3). We can define the *linearized Poincaré map* of z_x as follows.

$\mathfrak{P}_{z_x} : T_{x(0)}M \oplus T_{x(0)}^*M \rightarrow T_{x(0)}M \oplus T_{x(0)}^*M$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{P}_{z_x}(\alpha_0, \delta_0) := \bar{A}_d \left(\zeta(T), \bar{P}(T)\nabla_t\zeta(T) + \bar{Q}(T)\zeta(T) \right)^\top$$

$$\text{for } \bar{A}_d := \begin{bmatrix} \bar{A} & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{A} \end{bmatrix} \quad (3.11)$$

where ζ is the unique vector field along x such that $\zeta(0) = \alpha_0$ and $\bar{P}(0)\nabla_t\zeta(0) + \bar{Q}(0)\zeta(0) = \delta_0$. Fixed points of \mathfrak{P}_{z_x} corresponds to periodic vector fields along z_x .

Definition 3.14. We term $z_x \in P(H)$ *spectrally stable* if the spectrum $\sigma(\mathfrak{P}_{z_x}) \subset \mathbb{U}$ where $\mathbb{U} \subset \mathbb{C}$ denotes the unit circle of the complex plane. Furthermore, if \mathfrak{P}_{z_x} is also semi-simple, then z_x is termed *linearly stable*.

By pulling back the linearized Poincaré map defined in Equation (3.11) through the unitary trivialization Φ^E of $z_x(TT^*M)$ over $[0, T]$ we get the map

$$P^E : \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^n \text{ defined by } P^E(y_0, u_0) = A_d(Pu'(T) + Qu(T), u(T))^\top$$

where $z(t) = (y(t), u(t))$ is the unique solution of the Hamiltonian system given in Equation (3.10) such that $z(0) = (y_0, u_0)$.

Denoting by $t \mapsto \psi(t)$ the fundamental solution of (linear) Hamiltonian system given in Equation (3.10), then we get the geometrical index given in Definition 3.13 reduces to

$$\iota_{\mathrm{geo}}(x) := \iota_{\mathrm{CLM}}(\Delta, \mathrm{Gr}(A_d\psi(t)), t \in [0, T]). \quad (3.12)$$

Moreover, a T -periodic solution x of the Equation (2.1) is *linearly stable* if the symplectic matrix $A_d\psi(T)$ is linear stable.

Next result is one of the two main ingredients that we need for proving our main results and in particular relates the parity of the ι_1 -index to the linear instability of the periodic orbit.

Lemma 3.15. *Let $x \in P(L)$. Then the following implication holds*

$$\iota_{\mathrm{geo}}(x) \text{ is odd} \Rightarrow x \text{ is linearly unstable}$$

Proof. To prove this result it is equivalent to prove that if x is linear stable then $\iota_{\mathrm{geo}}(x)$ is even.

If x is linear stable by the previous discussion, this is equivalent to the linear stability of $A_d\psi(T)$. By invoking Lemma A.5, we get that $e^{-\varepsilon J} A_d\psi(T) \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})^+$ for some sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.

By direct computations we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \det(e^{-\varepsilon J} A_d - I) &= \det(A_d - e^{\varepsilon J}) = \det \begin{bmatrix} A - \cos \varepsilon I & \sin \varepsilon I \\ -\sin \varepsilon I & A - \cos \varepsilon I \end{bmatrix} \\ &= (-1)^{n(n+1)} (\sin \varepsilon)^{2n} \det \left(I + \frac{(A - \cos \varepsilon I)^2}{(\sin \varepsilon)^2} \right) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then $e^{-\varepsilon J} A_d \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})^+$. By [LZ00, Proposition 3.1] it follows that

$$\iota_{\mathrm{geo}}(x) = \iota_1(A_d \psi(t), t \in [0, T]). \quad (3.13)$$

Being ψ a fundamental solution, in particular $\psi(0) = I$ and so by these arguments we get that both endpoints $e^{-\varepsilon J} A_d, e^{-\varepsilon J} A_d \psi(T)$ of the path $t \mapsto e^{-\varepsilon J} A_d \psi(t)$ belong to $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})^+$. By using the characterization of the parity of the ι_1 -index given in Lemma A.4, we get that $\iota_1(A_d \psi)$ is even. Consequently, by Equation (3.13), we conclude that $\iota_{\mathrm{geo}}(x)$ is even. This concludes the proof. \square

4 Some technical lemmas and proof of the main result

In this section we collect the main technical lemmas that we need for proving our main result.

The first result we start with, insures that the Definition 3.3 of the spectral index is well-posed, meaning that it doesn't depend upon the choice of s_0 (appearing in such a definition) as soon as s_0 is sufficiently large.

The key idea behind the proof of the relation between the spectral index and the geometrical index is homotopy-theoretical in its own.

We consider the (unbounded) self-adjoint (in L^2) Fredholm operator having (dense) domain \mathcal{D}

$$\mathcal{A}_{c,s} = -\frac{d}{dt} \left(P(t) \frac{d}{dt} + cQ(t) \right) + cQ^\top(t) \frac{d}{dt} + cR(t) + sP(t) \quad \text{for } (c, s) \in [0, 1] \times [0, s_0]. \quad (4.1)$$

As before, we associate to the second order differential operator $\mathcal{A}_{c,s}$ the first order (Hamiltonian) differential operator given by

$$\mathcal{J}_{c,s} := -J \frac{d}{dt} - B_{c,s}(t) : \tilde{\mathcal{D}} \subset L^2([0, T], \mathbb{R}^{2n}) \rightarrow L^2([0, T], \mathbb{R}^{2n}), \quad \text{for } (c, s) \in [0, 1] \times [0, s_0]$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{D}} = \{ z \in W^{1,2}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^{2n}) \mid (z(0), z(T)) \in \Delta \}$ and where

$$B_{c,s}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} P^{-1}(t) & -cP^{-1}(t)Q(t) \\ -cQ(t)P^{-1}(t) & c^2Q^\top(t)P^{-1}(t)Q(t) - cR(t) - sP(t) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4.2)$$

Notation 4.1. We shall denote by $\psi_{c,s}$ the fundamental solution of the Hamiltonian system

$$z'(t) = JB_{c,s}(t)z(t), \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (4.3)$$

The following result is well-known and provides the relation between the spectral flow of the path $s \mapsto \mathcal{A}_{c,s}$ and the spectral flow of the path $s \mapsto \mathcal{J}_{c,s}$.

Lemma 4.2. *Under the above notation, the following equality holds*

$$\mathrm{sf}(\mathcal{A}_{c,s}, s \in [0, s_0]) = \mathrm{sf}(\mathcal{J}_{c,s}, s \in [0, s_0]), \quad \forall c \in [0, 1].$$

Proof. After a standard perturbation argument in order the involved paths have only regular crossings, the proof goes on by proving the following two claims

1. $t_* \in [0, T]$ is a crossing instant for the path $s \mapsto \mathcal{A}_{c,s}$ if and only if it is crossing instant for the path $s \mapsto \mathcal{J}_{c,s}$
2. the crossing forms at each crossing instant are isomorphic.

Once these has been proved, then the thesis follows by the homotopy invariant property of the spectral flow and by Equation (B.3). For further details we refer to [BHPT19, Proposition 4.12]. \square

4.1 Sturm-Liouville operators having constant principal symbol

We start to consider the following new two parameters family of (unbounded) self-adjoint (in L^2) Fredholm operators with dense domain \mathcal{D}

$$\overline{\mathcal{A}}_{c,s} = -\frac{d}{dt} \left(P \frac{d}{dt} + cQ(t) \right) + cQ^\top(t) \frac{d}{dt} + cR(t) + sP, \quad \text{for } (c,s) \in [0,1] \times [0,s_0] \quad (4.4)$$

obtained by replacing into Equation (4.4), the principal symbol $P(t)$ of the operator $\mathcal{A}_{c,s}$ by \overline{P} . The domain \mathcal{D} of the operator is, as before, $\mathcal{D} := \{ u \in W^{2,2}([0,T], \mathbb{R}^n) \mid u(0) = Au(T), u'(0) = Au'(T) \}$.

For $c = 0$ and $s = s_0$, it is easy to observe that $x \in \ker \overline{\mathcal{A}}_{c,s}$ if and only if it is solution of the following Sturm-Liouville boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -\overline{P}u''(t) + s_0\overline{P}u(t) = 0, & t \in [0, T] \\ u(0) = Au(T), \quad u'(0) = Au'(T). \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

Let $\overline{\psi}_{c,s}(t)$ be the fundamental solution of the Hamiltonian system obtained by replacing the path $t \mapsto P(t)$ by the constant matrix \overline{P} in the matrix appearing in Equation (4.2); thus $\overline{\psi}_{c,s}$ is the fundamental solution of

$$z'(t) = J\overline{B}_{c,s}(t)z(t), \quad t \in [0, T]$$

where we set

$$\overline{B}_{c,s}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{P}^{-1} & -c\overline{P}^{-1}Q(t) \\ -cQ(t)\overline{P}^{-1} & c^2Q^\top(t)\overline{P}^{-1}Q(t) - cR(t) - s\overline{P} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Actually in the special case $c = 0$ and $s = s_0$, it is possible to compute the intersection index $\iota_{\text{CLM}}(\Delta, \text{Gr}(A_d\overline{\psi}_{0,s_0}(t)), t \in [0, T])$ with respect to the diagonal of the path $A_d\overline{\psi}_{0,s_0}(t)$. This is the content of Lemma 4.3. A key step in the proof is based on the reduction to the Sturm-Liouville bvp arising in the analogous problem for closed geodesics on semi-Riemannian manifold. (Cfr. [HPY19, Proposition 5.6]).

Lemma 4.3. *Under the above notation, the following equality holds:*

$$\iota_{\text{CLM}}(\Delta, \text{Gr}(A_d\overline{\psi}_{0,s_0}(t)), t \in [0, T]) = \dim \ker(A - I).$$

In particular, if $A = I$, we get

$$\iota_{\text{CLM}}(\Delta, \text{Gr}(\overline{\psi}_{0,s_0}(t)), t \in [0, T]) = n.$$

Proof. Let $k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ denotes the number of positive eigenvalues of P and we define the matrix

$$G := \begin{bmatrix} I_k & 0 \\ 0 & -I_{n-k} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since \overline{P} is symmetric, by diagonalizing it in the orthogonal group, we get that $\overline{P} = M^{-\top}GM^{-1}$, for some $M \in O(n)$. Thus the system given in Equation (4.5) can be re-written as follows

$$\begin{cases} -GM^{-1}u''(t) + s_0GM^{-1}u(t) = 0, & t \in [0, T] \\ u(0) = Au(T), \quad u'(0) = Au'(T). \end{cases}$$

Let $x(t) := M^{-1}u(t)$; thus we have

$$\begin{cases} -Gx''(t) + s_0Gx(t) = 0, & t \in [0, T] \\ x(0) = M^{-1}AMx(T), \quad x'(0) = M^{-1}AMx'(T). \end{cases} \quad (4.6)$$

We set $\widehat{A} := M^{-1}AM$ and we observe that $\widehat{A}^\top G \widehat{A} = G$. Let $\widehat{\psi}_{0,s_0}$ be the fundamental solution of the Hamiltonian system corresponding to the ODE given in Equation (4.6). By invoking [HPY19, Proposition 5.6], we can conclude that

$$\iota_{\text{CLM}}(\Delta, \text{Gr}(\widehat{A}_d \widehat{\psi}_{0,s_0}(t)), t \in [0, T]) = \dim \ker(\widehat{A} - I), \quad (4.7)$$

where $\widehat{A}_d = \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{A}^{-\top} & 0 \\ 0 & \widehat{A} \end{bmatrix}$.

The last step for concluding the proof is to show that

$$\iota_{\text{CLM}}(\Delta, \text{Gr}(A_d \overline{\psi}_{0,s_0}(t)), t \in [0, T]) = \iota_{\text{CLM}}(\Delta, \text{Gr}(\widehat{A}_d \widehat{\psi}_{0,s_0}(t)), t \in [0, T]). \quad (4.8)$$

First of all we start by observing that x is a solution of the bvp given in Equation (4.5) if and only if u is a solution of the system given in Equation (4.6). In particular, we get that the crossing instants of the paths $t \mapsto \text{Gr}(A_d \overline{\psi}_{0,s_0}(t))$ and $t \mapsto \text{Gr}(\widehat{A}_d \widehat{\psi}_{0,s_0}(t))$ with respect to Δ both coincide.

Now, respectively speaking, the crossing forms at each crossing corresponding to the two paths, are the quadratic forms represented by the block diagonal matrices $B_{0,s_0} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{P}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & -s_0 \overline{P} \end{bmatrix}$ and $\widehat{B}_{0,s_0} = \begin{bmatrix} G & 0 \\ 0 & -s_0 G \end{bmatrix}$. By setting $y(t) = \overline{P}u'(t)$ and $v(t) = Gx'(t)$, we get $v(t) = M^\top y(t)$.

If t_0 is a crossing instant, then we get that

$$z = \begin{bmatrix} y \\ u \end{bmatrix} \in \ker(A_d \overline{\psi}_{0,s_0}(t_0) - I) \iff \widehat{z} = \begin{bmatrix} v \\ u \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} M^\top y \\ M^{-1}u \end{bmatrix} \in \ker(\widehat{A}_d \widehat{\psi}_{0,s_0}(t_0) - I).$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \widehat{B}_{0,s_0} \widehat{z}, \widehat{z} \rangle &= \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} G & 0 \\ 0 & -s_0 G \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} M^\top y \\ M^{-1}u \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} M^\top y \\ M^{-1}u \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle = \langle MGM^\top y, y \rangle - s_0 \langle M^{-\top} G M^{-1} u, u \rangle \\ &= \langle P^{-1} y, y \rangle - s_0 \langle P u, u \rangle = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} P^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & -s_0 P \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y \\ u \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} y \\ u \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle = \langle B_{0,s_0} z, z \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

The computation performed in Equation (4.9), in particular, shows that the crossing forms are isomorphic, where the isomorphism is provided by the map

$$z \mapsto \widetilde{M}z \quad \text{where} \quad \widetilde{M} := \begin{bmatrix} M^\top & 0 \\ 0 & M^{-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

By invoking Equation (A.1), we can conclude the proof of the equality given in Equation (4.8).

The conclusion readily follows by Equation (4.7), Equation (4.8) and Equation (4.10) once observed that

$$\dim \ker(\widehat{A} - I) = \dim \ker(A - I). \quad (4.10)$$

The second claim readily follows by observing that if $A = I$ the kernel dimension of $A - I$ is n . \square

4.2 Sturm-Liouville operators having non-constant principal symbol

In the most general case of t -dependent principal symbol $t \mapsto P(t)$ the result is formally the same, but the proof is much more tricky and delicate. There is a deep reason for such a difference; reason closely related to the Kato's Selection Theorem.

Proposition 4.4 is formally identical to the second claim in Lemma 4.3, but in the case of non constant principal symbol Sturm-Liouville operators.

Proposition 4.4. *Under the notation above, the following equality holds*

$$\iota_{\text{CLM}}(\Delta, \text{Gr}(\psi_{0,s_0}(t)), t \in [0, T]) = n,$$

Proof. Before providing the proof of this result we briefly sketch the main steps. By using the fixed-endpoints homotopy invariance of the ι_{CLM} -index, the main idea is to construct a homotopy between the path $t \mapsto P(t)$ and a non-degenerate constant and symmetric matrix and then to reduce this computation to Lemma 4.3. This will be achieved as follows:

1. by reducing (through a homotopy argument) $t \mapsto P(t)$ to a non-degenerate t -dependent symmetric matrix having constant spectrum given by $\{\pm 1\}$;
2. by reducing (through a homotopy argument) the non-degenerate t -dependent symmetric matrix having constant spectrum given by $\{\pm 1\}$ to a constant non-degenerate symmetric matrix \bar{P} .

Step 1. Reduction of the problem to the case in which the principal symbol is pointwise given by $\bar{P}_0(t)$.

For every eigenvalue $\lambda(t) \in \sigma(P(t))$, we denote by $E_{\lambda(t)}$ be the eigenspace corresponding to it. We define the positive and negative eigenspaces of $P(t)$, as follows

$$E_+(t) = \bigoplus_{0 < \lambda(t) \in \sigma(P(t))} E_{\lambda(t)} \quad \text{and} \quad E_-(t) = \bigoplus_{0 > \lambda(t) \in \sigma(P(t))} E_{\lambda(t)}.$$

and let $P^+(t) : \mathbb{C}^{2n} \rightarrow E_+(t)$ be the positive eigenprojection.

For $\theta \in [0, 1]$, we consider the homotopy $P_\theta(t) = \theta P(t) + (1 - \theta)(P^+(t) - (I - P^+(t)))$. Clearly $P_1(t) = P(t)$ and $P_0(t) = P^+(t) - (I - P^+(t)) = 2P^+(t) - I$ is the conjugation operator. Being $P^+(t)$ a orthogonal projector (actually the positive eigenprojector) operator, it follows that the matrix $P_0(t)$ only has eigenvalues ± 1 .

We now introduce the θ -dependent one parameter family of Sturm-Liouville problems

$$\begin{cases} -\frac{d}{dt}(P_\theta(t)x'(t)) + s_0 P_\theta(t)x(t) = 0, & t \in [0, T] \\ x(0) = x(T), & x'(0) = x'(T) \end{cases}$$

and the corresponding θ -dependent one parameter family of Hamiltonian systems defined by

$$\begin{cases} z'(t) = JB_{\theta, s_0}(t)z(t), & t \in [0, T] \\ z(0) = z(T) \end{cases} \quad (4.11)$$

where $B_{\theta, s_0}(t) := \begin{bmatrix} P_\theta^{-1}(t) & 0 \\ 0 & s_0 P_\theta(t) \end{bmatrix}$. We denote by ψ_{θ, s_0} the fundamental solution of Hamiltonian system defined by Equation (4.11). By the homotopy property of the ι_{CLM} -index, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_{\text{CLM}}(\Delta, \text{Gr}(\psi_{0, s_0}(t)), t \in [0, T]) + \iota_{\text{CLM}}(\Delta, \text{Gr}(\psi_{\theta, s_0}(T)), \theta \in [0, 1]) \\ = \iota_{\text{CLM}}(\Delta, \text{Gr}(\psi_{\theta, s_0}(0)), \theta \in [0, 1]) + \iota_{\text{CLM}}(\Delta, \text{Gr}(\psi_{1, s_0}(t)), t \in [0, T]). \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Since $\psi_{\theta, s_0}(0) \equiv I$ for every $\theta \in [0, 1]$, then $\iota_{\text{CLM}}(\Delta, \text{Gr}(\psi_{\theta, s_0}(0)), \theta \in [0, 1]) = 0$.

By arguing precisely as in the proof of Corollary 3.10, it follows that the one parameter family of (self-adjoint in L^2 unbounded Fredholm operators) defined by

$$-\frac{d}{dt} \left(P_\theta(t) \frac{d}{dt} \right) + s_0 P_\theta(T) : H_p^2 \subset L^2([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n), \quad \text{for } \theta \in [0, 1]$$

where $H_p^2 := \{ u \in W^{2,2}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n) \mid u(0) = u(T), u'(0) = u'(T) \}$ is non-degenerate for every $\theta \in [0, 1]$ and for large enough s_0 .

By using [HS09, Theorem 2.5] we get that $\iota_{\text{CLM}}(\Delta, \text{Gr}(\psi_{\theta, s_0}(T)), \theta \in [0, 1]) = 0$ and then by Equation (4.12), we immediately get that

$$\iota_{\text{CLM}}(\Delta, \text{Gr}(\psi_{0, s_0}(t)), t \in [0, T]) = \iota_{\text{CLM}}(\Delta, \text{Gr}(\psi_{1, s_0}(t)), t \in [0, T]).$$

Step 2. Through the previous homotopy we reduced the computation to the case of a Sturm-Liouville operator having principal symbol given by a conjugation operator (in particular constant spectrum given by $\{-1, 1\}$). Thus, without leading in generalities, we can assume that $P(t)$ is a constant symmetric matrix with spectrum given by $\{-1, 1\}$ and, as before, let $E_{\pm 1}(t)$ be the eigenspaces corresponding to the eigenvalues ± 1 of $P(t)$, respectively.

Then, for each $t \in [0, T]$, there exists a continuous path of orthogonal matrices

$$t \mapsto M(t) : E_{\pm 1}(t) \rightarrow E_{\pm 1}(0)$$

such that pointwise we have $P(t) = M^\top(t)P(0)M(t)$ and $M(0) = I$. In fact, by the compactness of $[0, T]$, we only need to construct such path locally. Let $\pi_{\pm}(t)$ be the orthogonal projections on $E_{\pm 1}(t)$. There is $\delta > 0$ such that $\text{rank}(\pi_+(0)\pi_+(t)) = k$ for $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$ where rank denotes the rank. Using the polar decomposition, we get that $\pi_+(0)\pi_+(t) = S_t G_t$ such that $S_t \in \text{SO}(n)$ and G_t is a positive semidefinite matrix. Then we have

$$E_{+1}(t)^\perp = \ker \pi_+(t) = \ker \pi_+(0)\pi_+(t) = \ker S_t G_t = \ker G_t$$

and

$$E_{+1}(0) = \text{rge} \pi_+(0) = \text{rge} \pi_+(0)\pi_+(t) = \text{rge} S_t G_t = \text{rge} S_t.$$

Being G_t self-adjoint, we get $\text{rge} G_t = (\ker G_t)^\perp = E_{+1}(t)$. It follows that $S_t|_{E_{+1}(t)} = E_{+1}(0)$.

We recall that $P(0) = P(T)$ (since L is T -periodic) and hence $P(T) = M^\top(T)P(0)M(T) = P(0)$.¹

For $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, we set $A_\alpha = M(T)^{-1}M(\alpha T)$ and $P_\alpha(t) = M^\top(\alpha t)P(0)M(\alpha t)$. Thus we have

$$A_\alpha^\top P(0)A_\alpha = M(\alpha T)^\top M(T)^{-\top} P(0)M(T)^{-1}M(\alpha T) = M(\alpha T)^\top P(0)M(\alpha T) =: P_\alpha(T)$$

and $A_1 = I$. We now consider the following α -dependent one parameter family of Sturm-Liouville problems

$$\begin{cases} -\frac{d}{dt}(P(t)x'(t)) + s_0 P(t)x(t) = 0, & t \in [0, T] \\ x(0) = A_\alpha x(T), & x'(0) = A_\alpha x'(T) \end{cases}$$

and the corresponding one parameter family of Hamiltonian systems

$$\begin{cases} z'(t) = JB_{s_0}(t)z(t), & t \in [0, T] \\ z(0) = A_{\alpha d} z(T) \end{cases}$$

where, analogous to what denoted above, we set $A_{\alpha d} := \begin{bmatrix} A_\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & A_\alpha \end{bmatrix}$. By using the homotopy property of the Maslov index, once again, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \iota_{\text{CLM}}(\text{Gr}(A_{0d}^\top), \text{Gr}(\psi_{0,s_0}(t)), t \in [0, T]) + \iota_{\text{CLM}}(\text{Gr}(A_{\alpha d}^\top), \text{Gr}(\psi_{\alpha,s_0}(T)), \alpha \in [0, 1]) \\ &= \iota_{\text{CLM}}(\text{Gr}(A_{\alpha d}^\top), \text{Gr}(\psi_{\alpha,s_0}(0)), \alpha \in [0, 1]) + \iota_{\text{CLM}}(\text{Gr}(A_{1d}^\top), \text{Gr}(\psi_{1,s_0}(t)), t \in [0, T]) \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

and if s_0 is chosen larger enough, we get that

$$\iota_{\text{CLM}}(\text{Gr}(A_{\alpha d}^\top), \text{Gr}(\psi_{\alpha,s_0}(T)), \alpha \in [0, 1]) = 0. \quad (4.14)$$

Since for every $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ it holds that $\psi_{\alpha,s_0}(0) \equiv I$, then we have

$$\iota_{\text{CLM}}(\text{Gr}(A_{\alpha d}^\top), \text{Gr}(\psi_{\alpha,s_0}(0)), \alpha \in [0, 1]) = \iota_{\text{CLM}}(\text{Gr}(A_{\alpha d}^\top), \Delta, \alpha \in [0, 1]).$$

¹ We observe that, if we have a continuous (even smooth) path of symmetric matrices $t \mapsto A_t$ we cannot claim that there exists a continuous family $t \mapsto Q_t$ of invertible matrices such that $A_t = Q_t^{-1} \Delta_t Q_t$ where $t \mapsto \Delta_t$ are diagonal. (Cfr. for further details, Kato selection's theorem [Kat80, Thm. II.5.4 and Thm. II.6.8]). The regularity on the eigenprojections get lost when different eigenvalues path, for a certain specific value of the parameter, both coincide which cannot be the case for the path $t \mapsto P_0(t)$.

Consider a two-family $\gamma_{\alpha,t} = \begin{pmatrix} tA_\alpha^\top & 0 \\ 0 & 1/tA_\alpha^\top \end{pmatrix}$, $t \in [1, 2]$. By using homotopy property of Maslov index, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_{\text{CLM}}(\text{Gr}(A_{\alpha d}^\top), \Delta, \alpha \in [0, 1]) &= \iota_{\text{CLM}}(\text{Gr}(\gamma_{0,t}), \Delta, t \in [1, 2]) + \iota_{\text{CLM}}(\text{Gr}(\gamma_{\alpha,2}), \Delta, \alpha \in [0, 1]) \\ &\quad - \iota_{\text{CLM}}(\text{Gr}(\gamma_{1,t}), \Delta, t \in [1, 2]) \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\text{Gr}(\gamma_{\alpha,2}) \cap \Delta = \{0\}$, $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. So we have $\iota_{\text{CLM}}(\text{Gr}(\gamma_{\alpha,2}), \Delta, \alpha \in [0, 1]) = 0$.

We can use crossing form to calculate $\iota_{\text{CLM}}(\text{Gr}(\gamma_{0,t}), \Delta, t \in [1, 2])$. Note that

$$Q = -J \left(\frac{d}{dt} \gamma_{0,t} \right) \gamma_{0,t}^{-1} \Big|_{t=1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that for any nonempty subspace $V := \text{Gr}(\gamma_{0t}) \cap \Delta$ of Δ , $Q|_V$ is non-degenerate and $n_+(Q|_V) = n_-(Q|_V)$. Since $\text{Gr}(\gamma_{\alpha,t}) \cap \Delta = \{0\}$ for each $1 < t \leq 2$, $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, by Equation (A.1) we have

$$\iota_{\text{CLM}}(\text{Gr}(\gamma_{0,t}), \Delta, t \in [1, 2]) = n_+(Q|_{\text{Gr}(\gamma_{0,1}) \cap \Delta}) = 1/2 \dim(\text{Gr}(\gamma_{0,1}) \cap \Delta) = \dim \ker(A_0^\top - I).$$

Similarly we have $\iota_{\text{CLM}}(\text{Gr}(\gamma_{1,t}), \Delta, t \in [1, 2]) = n$, since $A_1 = I_n$. Then we can conclude that

$$\iota_{\text{CLM}}(\text{Gr}(A_{\alpha d}^\top), \text{Gr}(\psi_{\alpha, s_0}(0)), \alpha \in [0, 1]) = \dim \ker(A_0^\top - I) - n. \quad (4.15)$$

Since for $\alpha = 0$, the matrix $P_0(t) = P(0)$ is constant, by applying Lemma 4.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_{\text{CLM}}(\text{Gr}(A_{0d}^\top), \text{Gr}(\psi_{0, s_0}(t)), t \in [0, T]) &= \dim \ker(A_0^\top - I) \\ \iota_{\text{CLM}}(\text{Gr}(A_{1d}^\top), \text{Gr}(\psi_{1, s_0}(t)), t \in [0, T]) &= \dim \ker(A_0^\top - I). \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

By using Equation (4.13), (4.14), (4.15) and finally Equation (4.16) and after recalling that $A_1 = I$, we get

$$\iota_{\text{CLM}}(\Delta, \text{Gr}(A_{1d} \psi_{1, s_0}(t)), t \in [0, T]) = \iota_{\text{CLM}}(\text{Gr}(A_{1d}^\top), \text{Gr}(\psi_{1, s_0}(t)), t \in [0, T]) = n.$$

This concludes the proof. \square

The following step is to generalize to the non-constant principal symbol Sturm-Liouville operators, the first statement given in Lemma 4.3 involving not periodic boundary conditions.

Remark 4.5. As the previous lemmas appearing before, also Proposition 4.6 is a homotopically oriented proof. In this case involving general boundary conditions the construction of the admissible homotopy has to take care both of the principal symbol as well as of the boundary conditions since along the through homotopy the first relation given in Equation (3.4) has to be satisfied.

Proposition 4.6. *Under the notation above, the following equality holds*

$$\iota_{\text{CLM}}(\text{Gr}(A_d^\top), \text{Gr}(\psi_{0, s_0}(t)), t \in [0, T]) = \dim \ker(A - I).$$

where $\psi_{c,s}$ denotes the fundamental solution of the Hamiltonian system given in Equation (4.3).

Proof. For, we start to consider a path of orthogonal matrices $t \mapsto C(t)$ such that $C(0) = I$ and $C(T) = A^{-1}$ and we define $P_\epsilon(t) = C(\epsilon t)^\top P(t) C(\epsilon t)$, $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$. Clearly $P_0(t) = P(t)$; moreover

$$P(T) = A^\top P(0) A, \quad P_1(T) = A^{-\top} P(T) A^{-1} = P(0), \quad \text{and} \quad P_1(0) = P(0),$$

then we have $P_1(0) = P_1(T)$. By setting $A_\epsilon = AC(\epsilon T)$, one can easily check that $A_0 = A$, $A_1 = I$ and

$$A_\epsilon^\top P_\epsilon(0) A_\epsilon = C(\epsilon T)^\top A^\top C(0)^\top P(0) C(0) AC(\epsilon T) = C(\epsilon T)^\top P(T) C(\epsilon T) = P_\epsilon(T).$$

Let us now consider the system

$$\begin{cases} -\frac{d}{dt}(P_\epsilon(t)x'(t)) + s_0P_\epsilon(t)x(t) = 0, & t \in [0, T] \\ x(0) = A_\epsilon x(T), & x'(0) = A_\epsilon x'(T) \end{cases}$$

and the corresponding ϵ -dependent one parameter family of Hamiltonian systems defined by

$$\begin{cases} z'(t) = JB_{\epsilon, s_0}(t)z(t), & t \in [0, T] \\ z(0) = A_{\epsilon d}z(T) \end{cases} \quad (4.17)$$

where $B_{\epsilon, s_0}(t) := \begin{bmatrix} P_\epsilon^{-1}(t) & 0 \\ 0 & s_0P_\epsilon(t) \end{bmatrix}$. We denote by ψ_{ϵ, s_0} the fundamental solution of Hamiltonian system defined by Equation (4.17). By the homotopy property of the ι_{CLM} index we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \iota_{\text{CLM}}(\text{Gr}(A_{0d}^\top), \text{Gr}(\psi_{0, s_0}(t)), t \in [0, T]) + \iota_{\text{CLM}}(\text{Gr}(A_{\epsilon d}^\top), \text{Gr}(\psi_{\epsilon, s_0}(T)), \epsilon \in [0, 1]) \\ &= \iota_{\text{CLM}}(\text{Gr}(A_{\epsilon d}^\top), \text{Gr}(\psi_{\epsilon, s_0}(0)), \epsilon \in [0, 1]) + \iota_{\text{CLM}}(\text{Gr}(A_{1d}^\top), \text{Gr}(\psi_{1, s_0}(t)), t \in [0, T]). \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

By the discussion similar to the one given in the proof of Proposition 4.4, we get that ι_{CLM} -index

$$\iota_{\text{CLM}}(\text{Gr}(A_{\epsilon d}^\top), \text{Gr}(\psi_{\epsilon, s_0}(T)), \epsilon \in [0, 1]) = 0. \quad (4.19)$$

Since $\psi_{\epsilon, s_0}(0) \equiv I$, then by [Wu16, Proposition 4.34], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_{\text{CLM}}(\text{Gr}(A_{\epsilon d}^\top), \text{Gr}(\psi_{\epsilon, s_0}(0)), \epsilon \in [0, 1]) &= \iota_{\text{CLM}}(\text{Gr}(A_{\epsilon d}^\top), \Delta, \epsilon \in [0, 1]) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\dim(\text{Gr}(A_{0d}^\top) \cap \Delta) - \dim(\text{Gr}(A_{1d}^\top) \cap \Delta)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(2 \dim \ker(A^\top - I) - 2n) = \dim \ker(A^\top - I) - n. \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

Since $P_1(0) = P_1(T)$ and $A_1 = I$, then by Proposition 4.4 we get

$$\iota_{\text{CLM}}(\text{Gr}(A_{1d}^\top), \text{Gr}(\psi_{0, s_0}(t)), t \in [0, T]) = n. \quad (4.21)$$

Now by equations (4.18), (4.19), (4.20) and (4.21), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_{\text{CLM}}(\text{Gr}(A_d^\top), \text{Gr}(\psi_{1, s_0}(t)), t \in [0, T]) &= \iota_{\text{CLM}}(\text{Gr}(A_{0d}^\top), \text{Gr}(\psi_{1, s_0}(t)), t \in [0, T]) \\ &= \dim \ker(A^\top - I). \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof, since $\dim \ker(A^\top - I) = \dim \ker(A - I)$. \square

4.3 Proof of Theorem 1

We prove only the (contrapositive of) the first statement in Theorem 1, being the second completely analogous. Thus, we aim to prove that

$$\text{if } x \text{ is orientation preserving and linearly stable} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \iota_{\text{spec}}(x) + n \text{ is even.}$$

First of all, it is well-known by [HS09, Theorem 2.5], that

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_{\text{spec}}(x) &= -\iota_{\text{CLM}}(\Delta, \text{Gr}(A_d\psi_{1, s}(T)), s \in [0, s_0]) \\ &= -\iota_{\text{CLM}}(\text{Gr}(A_d^\top), \text{Gr}(\psi_{1, s}(T)), s \in [0, s_0]) \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

and by the path additivity and homotopy properties of the ι_{CLM} -index also that

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_{\text{geo}}(x) + \iota_{\text{CLM}}(\Delta, \text{Gr}(A_d\psi_{1, s}(T)), s \in [0, s_0]) \\ = \iota_{\text{CLM}}(\Delta, \text{Gr}(A_d\psi_{1, s}(0)), s \in [0, s_0]) + \iota_{\text{CLM}}(\Delta, \text{Gr}(A_d\psi_{1, s_0}(t)), t \in [0, T]). \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

Since $\psi_{1,s}(0) \equiv I$, then $\iota_{\text{CLM}}(\Delta, \text{Gr}(A_d \psi_{1,s}(0)), s \in [0, s_0]) = 0$. Summing up Equation (4.22) and Equation (4.23), we infer that

$$\iota_{\text{geo}}(x) = \iota_{\text{spec}}(x) + \iota_{\text{CLM}}(\Delta, \text{Gr}(A_d \psi_{1,s_0}(t)), t \in [0, T]). \quad (4.24)$$

By using once again the path additivity and the homotopy properties of the ι_{CLM} -index we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \iota_{\text{CLM}}(\Delta, \text{Gr}(A_d \psi_{0,s_0}(t)), t \in [0, T]) + \iota_{\text{CLM}}(\Delta, \text{Gr}(A_d \psi_{c,s_0}(T)), c \in [0, 1]) \\ &= \iota_{\text{CLM}}(\Delta, \text{Gr}(A_d \psi_{c,s_0}(0)), c \in [0, 1]) + \iota_{\text{CLM}}(\Delta, \text{Gr}(A_d \psi_{1,s_0}(t)), t \in [0, T]). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\psi_{c,s_0}(0) \equiv I$, then $\iota_{\text{CLM}}(\Delta, \text{Gr}(A_d \psi_{c,s_0}(0)), c \in [0, 1]) = 0$. Arguing as in Lemma 3.8, it follows that, for s_0 large enough, \mathcal{A}_{c,s_0} is non-degenerate and by [HS09, Theorem 2.5], we have

$$\text{sf}(\mathcal{A}_{c,s_0}, c \in [0, 1]) = -\iota_{\text{CLM}}(\Delta, \text{Gr}(A_d \psi_{c,s_0}(T)), c \in [0, 1]) = 0.$$

By Proposition 4.6, it follows that

$$\iota_{\text{CLM}}(\Delta, \text{Gr}(A_d \psi_{0,s_0}(t)), t \in [0, T]) = \iota_{\text{CLM}}(\text{Gr}(A_d^T), \text{Gr}(\psi_{0,s_0}(t)), t \in [0, T]) = \dim \ker(A - I)$$

Summing up the previous arguments, Equation (4.24) reduces to

$$\iota_{\text{geo}}(x) = \iota_{\text{spec}}(x) + \dim \ker(A - I). \quad (4.25)$$

Being x orientation preserving (by assumption), then $\det A = 1$ and being A also orthogonal, then we get that

- n even $\Rightarrow \dim \ker(A - I)$ even ;
- n odd $\Rightarrow \dim \ker(A - I)$ odd .

So, in both cases we have $n - \dim \ker(A - I)$ is even.

Now, if x is linear stable, then by taking into account Lemma 3.15, we get that $\iota_{\text{geo}}(x)$ is even and by Equation (4.25) $\iota_{\text{spec}}(x) + \dim \ker(A - I)$ is even. Since

$$n + \iota_{\text{spec}}(x) = (n - \dim \ker(A - I)) + (\iota_{\text{spec}}(x) + \dim \ker(A - I)) \quad (4.26)$$

and being the (RHS) of Equation (4.26) sum of two even integers, we conclude that it is even. This concludes the proof.

A A symplectic excursion on the Maslov index

The purpose of this Section is to provide the symplectic preliminaries used in the paper. In Subsection A.1 the main properties of the intersection number for curves of Lagrangian subspaces with respect to a distinguished one are collected and the (*relative*) *Maslov index* is defined. Our basic references are [PPT04, CLM94, GPP04, RS93, MPP05, MPP07].

A.1 A quick recap on the ι_{CLM} -index

Given a $2n$ -dimensional (real) symplectic space (V, ω) , a *Lagrangian subspace* of V is an n -dimensional subspace $L \subset V$ such that $L = L^\omega$ where L^ω denotes the *symplectic orthogonal*, i.e. the orthogonal with respect to the symplectic structure. We denote by $\Lambda = \Lambda(V, \omega)$ the *Lagrangian Grassmannian of (V, ω)* , namely the set of all Lagrangian subspaces of (V, ω)

$$\Lambda(V, \omega) := \{ L \subset V \mid L = L^\omega \}.$$

It is well-known that $\Lambda(V, \omega)$ is a manifold. For each $L_0 \in \Lambda$, let

$$\Lambda^k(L_0) := \{ L \in \Lambda(V, \omega) \mid \dim(L \cap L_0) = k \} \quad k = 0, \dots, n.$$

Each $\Lambda^k(L_0)$ is a real compact, connected submanifold of codimension $k(k+1)/2$. The topological closure of $\Lambda^1(L_0)$ is the *Maslov cycle* that can be also described as follows

$$\Sigma(L_0) := \bigcup_{k=1}^n \Lambda^k(L_0)$$

The top-stratum $\Lambda^1(L_0)$ is co-oriented meaning that it has a transverse orientation. To be more precise, for each $L \in \Lambda^1(L_0)$, the path of Lagrangian subspaces $(-\delta, \delta) \mapsto e^{tJ}L$ cross $\Lambda^1(L_0)$ transversally, and as t increases the path points to the transverse direction. Thus the Maslov cycle is two-sidedly embedded in $\Lambda(V, \omega)$. Based on the topological properties of the Lagrangian Grassmannian manifold, it is possible to define a fixed endpoints homotopy invariant called *Maslov index*.

Definition A.1. Let $L_0 \in \Lambda(V, \omega)$ and let $\ell : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Lambda(V, \omega)$ be a continuous path. We define the *Maslov index* ι_{CLM} as follows:

$$\iota_{\text{CLM}}(L_0, \ell(t); t \in [a, b]) := [e^{-\varepsilon J} \ell(t) : \Sigma(L_0)]$$

where the right hand-side denotes the intersection number and $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$.

For further reference we refer the interested reader to [CLM94] and references therein.

Remark A.2. It is worth noticing that for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, the Lagrangian subspaces $e^{-\varepsilon J} \ell(a)$ and $e^{-\varepsilon J} \ell(b)$ are off the singular cycle.

One efficient way to compute the Maslov index, was introduced by authors in [RS93] via crossing forms. Let ℓ be a \mathcal{C}^1 -curve of Lagrangian subspaces such that $\ell(0) = L$ and let W be a fixed Lagrangian subspace transversal to L . For $v \in L$ and small enough t , let $w(t) \in W$ be such that $v + w(t) \in \ell(t)$. Then the form

$$Q(v) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \omega(v, w(t))$$

is independent on the choice of W . A *crossing instant* for ℓ is an instant $t \in [a, b]$ such that $\ell(t)$ intersects W nontrivially. At each crossing instant, we define the crossing form as

$$\Gamma(\ell(t), W, t) = Q|_{\ell(t) \cap W}.$$

A crossing is termed *regular* if the crossing form is non-degenerate. If ℓ is regular meaning that it has only regular crossings, then the Maslov index is equal to

$$\iota_{\text{CLM}}(W, \ell(t); t \in [a, b]) = n_+(\Gamma(\ell(a), W; a)) + \sum_{a < t < b} \text{sgn}(\Gamma(\ell(t), W; t)) - n_-(\Gamma(\ell(b), W; b)) \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where the summation runs over all crossings $t \in (a, b)$ and n_+, n_- are the dimensions of the positive and negative spectral spaces, respectively and $\text{sgn} := n_+ - n_-$ is the signature. (We refer the interested reader to [LZ00] and [HS09, Equation (2.15)]). We close this section by recalling some useful properties of the Maslov index.

Property I (Reparametrization invariance). Let $\psi : [a, b] \rightarrow [c, d]$ be a continuous and piecewise smooth function with $\psi(a) = c$ and $\psi(b) = d$, then

$$\iota_{\text{CLM}}(W, \ell(t)) = \iota_{\text{CLM}}(W, \ell(\psi(t))).$$

Property II (Homotopy invariance with respect to the ends). For any $s \in [0, 1]$, let $s \mapsto \ell(s, \cdot)$ be a continuous family of Lagrangian paths parametrised on $[a, b]$ and such that $\dim(\ell(s, a) \cap W)$ and $\dim(\ell(s, b) \cap W)$ are constants, then

$$\iota_{\text{CLM}}(W, \ell(0, t); t \in [a, b]) = \iota_{\text{CLM}}(W, \ell(1, t); t \in [a, b]).$$

Property III (Path additivity). If $a < c < b$, then

$$\iota_{\text{CLM}}(W, \ell(t); t \in [a, b]) = \iota_{\text{CLM}}(W, \ell(t); t \in [a, c]) + \iota_{\text{CLM}}(W, \ell(t); t \in [c, b])$$

Property IV (Symplectic invariance). Let $\Phi : [a, b] \rightarrow \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$. Then

$$\iota_{\text{CLM}}(W, \ell(t); t \in [a, b]) = \iota_{\text{CLM}}(\Phi(t)W, \Phi(t)\ell(t); t \in [a, b]).$$

A.2 The symplectic group and the ι_1 -index

In the standard symplectic space $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega)$ we denote by J the standard symplectic matrix defined by $J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -I \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. The symplectic form ω can be represented with respect to the Euclidean product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ by J as follows $\omega(z_1, z_2) = \langle Jz_1, z_2 \rangle$ for every $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. We consider the 1-codimensional (algebraic) subvariety

$$\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})^0 := \{M \in \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \mid \det(M - I) = 0\} \subset \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$$

and let us define

$$\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})^* = \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \setminus \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})^0 = \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})^+ \cup \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})^-$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})^+ &:= \{M \in \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \mid \det(M - I) > 0\} \quad \text{and} \\ \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})^- &:= \{M \in \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \mid \det(M - I) < 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

For any $M \in \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})^0$, $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})^0$ is co-oriented at the point M by choosing as positive direction the direction determined by $\frac{d}{dt}Me^{tJ}|_{t=0}$ with $t \geq 0$ sufficiently small. We recall that $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})^+$ and $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})^-$ are two path connected components of $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})^*$ which are simple connected in $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$. (For the proof of these facts we refer, for instance, the interested reader to [Lon02, pag.58-59] and references therein). Following authors in [LZ00, Definition 2.1] we start by recalling the following definition.

Definition A.3. Let $\psi : [a, b] \rightarrow \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ be a continuous path. Then there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for every $\theta \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon] \setminus \{0\}$, the matrices $\psi(a)e^{J\theta}$ and $\psi(b)e^{J\theta}$ lying both out of $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})^0$. We define the ι_1 -index or the *Maslov-type index* as follows

$$\iota_1(\psi) := [e^{-J\varepsilon}\psi : \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})^0]$$

where the (RHS) denotes the intersection number between the perturbed path $t \mapsto e^{-J\varepsilon}\psi(t)$ with the singular cycle $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})^0$.

Through the parity of the ι_1 -index it is possible to locate endpoints of the perturbed symplectic path $t \mapsto e^{-J\varepsilon}\psi(t)$.

Lemma A.4. ([Lon02, Lemma 5.2.6]) *Let $\psi : [a, b] \rightarrow \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ be a continuous path. The following characterization holds*

$$\iota_1(\psi) \text{ is even} \iff \text{both the endpoints } e^{-\varepsilon J}\psi(a) \text{ and } e^{-\varepsilon J}\psi(b) \text{ lie in } \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})^+ \text{ or in } \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})^-.$$

We close this section with a rather technical result which will be used in the proof of the main instability criterion.

Lemma A.5. ([HS10, Lemma 3.2].) *Let $\psi : [a, b] \rightarrow \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ be a continuous symplectic path such that $\psi(0)$ is linearly stable.*

1. If $1 \notin \sigma(\psi(a))$ then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small such that $\psi(s) \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})^+$ for $|s| \in (0, \varepsilon)$.
2. We assume that $\dim \ker(\psi(a) - I) = m$ and $\psi(a)^\top J \psi'(a)|_V$ is non-singular for $V := \psi^{-1}(a)\mathbb{R}^{2m}$. If $\mathrm{ind}(\psi(a)^\top J \psi'(a)|_V)$ is even [resp. odd] then there exists $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small such that $\psi(s) \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})^+$ [resp. $\psi(s) \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})^-$] for $|s| \in (0, \delta)$.

Remark A.6. Knowing that $M \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})^0$, without any further information, it is not possible a priori to locate in which path connected components of $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})^*$ is located the perturbed matrix $e^{\pm\delta J}M$ for arbitrarily small positive δ . However if M is linearly stable, we get the following result.

Lemma A.7. *Let $M \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ be a linearly stable symplectic matrix (meaning that $\sigma M \subset \mathbb{U}$ and M is diagonalizable). Then, there exists $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small such that $e^{\pm\delta J}M \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})^+$.*

Proof. Let us consider the symplectic path pointwise defined by $M(\theta) := e^{-\theta J}M$. By a direct computation we get that

$$M(\theta)^\top J \frac{d}{d\theta} M(\theta) \Big|_{\theta=0} = M^\top M.$$

We observe that $M^\top M$ is symmetric and positive semi-definite; moreover since M invertible it follows that $M^\top M$ is actually positive definite. Thus, in particular, $n_-(M^\top M) = 0$. By invoking Lemma A.5 it follows that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $M(\pm\delta) \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})^+$. This concludes the proof. \square

B On the Spectral Flow for bounded selfadjoint Fredholm operators

Let \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{H} be real separable Hilbert spaces with a dense and continuous inclusion $\mathcal{W} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}$.

Notation B.1. We denote by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{H})$ the Banach space of all linear bounded operators (if $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{H}$ we use the shorthand notation $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$); by $\mathcal{B}^{sa}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{H})$ we denote the set of all bounded selfadjoint operators when regarded as operators on \mathcal{H} and finally $\mathcal{BF}^{sa}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{H})$ denotes the set of all bounded selfadjoint Fredholm operators and we recall that an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}^{sa}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{H})$ is Fredholm if and only if its kernel is finite dimensional and its image is closed.

For $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{H})$ we recall that the *spectrum* of T is $\sigma(T) := \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid T - \lambda I \text{ is not invertible} \}$ and that $\sigma(T)$ is decomposed into the *essential spectrum* and the *discrete spectrum* defined respectively as $\sigma_{ess}(T) := \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid T - \lambda I \notin \mathcal{BF}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{H}) \}$ and $\sigma_{disc}(T) := \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{ess}(T)$. It is worth noting that $\lambda \in \sigma_{disc}(T)$ if and only if it is an isolated point in $\sigma(T)$ and $\dim \ker(T - \lambda I) < \infty$.

Let now $T \in \mathcal{BF}^{sa}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{H})$, then either 0 is not in $\sigma(T)$ or it is in $\sigma_{disc}(T)$ (cf. [Wat15, Lemma 2.1]), and, as a consequence of the Spectral Decomposition Theorem (cf. [Kat80, Theorem 6.17, Chapter III]), the following orthogonal decomposition holds

$$\mathcal{W} = E_-(T) \oplus \ker T \oplus E_+(T),$$

with the property

$$\sigma(T) \cap (-\infty, 0) = \sigma(T_{E_-(T)}) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(T) \cap (0, +\infty) = \sigma(T_{E_+(T)}).$$

Definition B.2. Let $T \in \mathcal{BF}^{sa}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{H})$. If $\dim E_-(T) < \infty$ (resp. $\dim E_+(T) < \infty$), we define its *Morse index* (resp. *Morse co-index*) as the integer denoted by $n_-(T)$ (resp. $n_+(T)$) and defined as:

$$n_-(T) := \dim E_-(T) \quad (\text{resp. } n_+(T) := \dim E_+(T)).$$

The space $\mathcal{BF}^{sa}(\mathcal{H})$ was intensively investigated by Atiyah and Singer in [AS69]² and the following important topological characterisation can be deduced.

²Actually, in this reference, only skew-adjoint Fredholm operators were considered, but the case of bounded selfadjoint Fredholm operators presents no differences.

Proposition B.3. (*Atiyah-Singer, [AS69]*) The space $\mathcal{BF}^{sa}(\mathcal{H})$ consists of three connected components:

- the essentially positive $\mathcal{BF}_+^{sa}(\mathcal{H}) := \{ T \in \mathcal{BF}^{sa}(\mathcal{H}) \mid \sigma_{ess}(T) \subset (0, +\infty) \}$;
- the essentially negative $\mathcal{BF}_-^{sa}(\mathcal{H}) := \{ T \in \mathcal{BF}^{sa}(\mathcal{H}) \mid \sigma_{ess}(T) \subset (-\infty, 0) \}$;
- the strongly indefinite $\mathcal{BF}_*^{sa}(\mathcal{H}) := \mathcal{BF}^{sa}(\mathcal{H}) \setminus (\mathcal{BF}_+^{sa}(\mathcal{H}) \cup \mathcal{BF}_-^{sa}(\mathcal{H}))$.

The spaces $\mathcal{BF}_+^{sa}(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{BF}_-^{sa}(\mathcal{H})$ are contractible (actually convex), whereas $\mathcal{BF}_*^{sa}(\mathcal{H})$ is topological non-trivial; more precisely, $\pi_1(\mathcal{BF}_*^{sa}(\mathcal{H})) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark B.4. By the definitions of the connected components of \mathcal{BF}^{sa} , we deduce that a bounded linear operator is essentially positive if and only if it is a symmetric compact perturbation of a (bounded) positive definite selfadjoint operator. Analogous observation hold for essentially negative operators.

Even if in the strongly indefinite case the Morse index as well as the Morse co-index are meaningless, it is possible to define a sort of relative version, usually called *relative Morse index*. In order to fix our notation, we need to recall some definitions and basic facts. Our basic references are [Abb01, Kat80].

Let V, W be two closed subspaces of \mathcal{H} and let P_V (resp. P_W) denote the orthogonal projection onto V (resp. W). V, W are commensurable if the operator $P_V - P_W$ is compact.

Definition B.5. Let V and W be two closed commensurable subspaces of \mathcal{H} . The *relative dimension* of W with respect to V is the integer

$$\dim(W, V) := \dim(W \cap V^\perp) - \dim(W^\perp \cap V). \quad (\text{B.1})$$

Remark B.6. We observe that the spaces $W \cap V^\perp$ and $W^\perp \cap V$ appearing in the (RHS) of Equation (B.1) are finite dimensional because they are the spaces of fixed points of the compact operators $P_{V^\perp}P_W$ and $P_{W^\perp}P_V$, respectively. (For further details, cfr. [Abb01, pag.44]).

Proposition B.7. ([Abb01, Proposition 2.3.2]) Let $S, T \in \mathcal{BF}^{sa}(\mathcal{H})$ be such that $S - T$ is a compact. Then the negative (resp. positive) eigenspaces are commensurable.

As direct consequence of Proposition B.7, we are entitled to introduce the following definition.

Definition B.8. We define the *relative Morse index* of an ordered pair of selfadjoint Fredholm operators $S, T \in \mathcal{BF}^{sa}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $S - T$ is compact, as the integer

$$I(T, S) := \dim \left(E_-(S) \cap (E_+(T) \oplus E_0(T)) \right) - \dim \left(E_-(T) \cap (E_+(S) \oplus E_0(S)) \right). \quad (\text{B.2})$$

Remark B.9. For further details on pairs of commensurable subspaces and Fredholm pairs, we refer the interested reader to [Abb01, Chapter 2]. Compare with [ZL99] and [HS09, Definition 2.1].

If $S, T \in \mathcal{GL}^{sa}(\mathcal{H})$, such that $S - T$ is compact then the relative Morse index given in Equation (B.2), reduces to

$$I(T, S) := \dim(E_-(S), E_-(T)).$$

If S is a compact perturbation of a non-negative definite operator T , so, it is essentially positive and $I(T, S) = n_-(S)$.

Remark B.10. It is worth noticing that in the special case of Calkin equivalent positive isomorphisms, authors in [FPR99], defined the relative Morse index $I(T, S)$. However, their definition agrees with the one given in Definition B.8 only up to the sign.

We are now in position to introduce the spectral flow. Given a \mathcal{C}^1 -path $L : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathcal{BF}^{sa}(W, \mathcal{H})$, the spectral flow of L counts the net number of eigenvalues crossing 0.

Definition B.11. An instant $t_0 \in (a, b)$ is called a *crossing instant* (or *crossing* for short) if $\ker L_{t_0} \neq \{0\}$. The *crossing form* at a crossing t_0 is the quadratic form defined by

$$\Gamma(L, t_0) : \ker L_{t_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \Gamma(L, t_0)[u] := \langle \dot{L}_{t_0} u, u \rangle_{\mathcal{H}},$$

where we denoted by \dot{L}_{t_0} the derivative of L with respect to the parameter $t \in [a, b]$ at the point t_0 . A crossing is called *regular*, if $\Gamma(L, t_0)$ is non-degenerate. If t_0 is a crossing instant for L , we refer to $m(t_0)$ the dimension of $\ker L_{t_0}$.

Remark B.12. It is worth noticing that regular crossings are isolated, and hence, on a compact interval are in a finite number. Thus we are entitled to introducing the following definition.

In the case of regular curve (namely a curve having only regular crossings) we introduce the following Definition.

Definition B.13. Let $L : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathcal{BF}^{sa}(\mathcal{H})$ be a \mathcal{C}^1 -path and we assume that it has only regular crossings. Then

$$\text{sf}(L; [a, b]) = \sum_{t \in (a, b)} \text{sgn} \Gamma(L, t) - n_-(\Gamma(L, a)) + n_+(\Gamma(L, b)), \quad (\text{B.3})$$

where the sum runs over all regular (and hence in a finite number) strictly contained in $[a, b]$.

Remark B.14. It is worth noticing that, it is always possible to perturb the path L for getting a regular path. Moreover, as consequence of the fixed end-points homotopy invariance of the spectral flow, the spectral flows of the original (unperturbed) and the perturbed paths both coincide.

Definition B.15. The \mathcal{C}^1 -path $L : [a, b] \ni t \mapsto L_t \in \mathcal{BF}^{sa}(\mathcal{H})$ is termed *positive* or *plus* path, if at each crossing instant t_* the crossing form $\Gamma(L, t_*)$ is positive definite.

Remark B.16. We observe that in the case of a positive path, each crossing is regular and in particular the total number of crossing instants on a compact interval is finite. Moreover the local contribution at each crossing to the spectral flow is given by the dimension of the intersection. Thus given a positive path L , the spectral flow is given by

$$\text{sf}(L; [a, b]) = \sum_{t \in (a, b)} \dim \ker L(t) + \dim \ker L(b).$$

Definition B.17. The path $L : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathcal{BF}^{sa}(\mathcal{H})$ is termed *admissible* provided it has invertible endpoints.

For paths of bounded self-adjoint Fredholm operators parametrized on $[a, b]$ which are compact perturbation of a fixed operator, the spectral flow given in Definition B.13, can be characterized as the relative Morse index of its endpoints. More precisely, the following result holds.

Proposition B.18. *Let us consider the path $L : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathcal{BF}^{sa}(\mathcal{H})$ and we assume that for every $t \in [a, b]$, the operator $L_t - L_a$ is compact. Then*

$$-\text{sf}(L; [a, b]) = I(L_a, L_b). \quad (\text{B.4})$$

Moreover if L_a is essentially positive, then we have

$$-\text{sf}(L; [a, b]) = n_-(L_b) - n_-(L_a) \quad (\text{B.5})$$

and if furthermore L_b is positive definite, then

$$\text{sf}(L; [a, b]) = n_-(L_a).$$

Proof. The proof of the equality in Equation (B.4) is an immediate consequence of the fixed end homotopy properties of the spectral flow. For, let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let us consider the two-parameter family

$$L : [0, 1] \times [a, b] \rightarrow \mathcal{BF}^{sa}(\mathcal{H}) \text{ defined by } L(s, t) := L_t + s\varepsilon I.$$

By the homotopy property of the spectral flow, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sf}(L_t; t \in [a, b]) &= \text{sf}(L_a + s\varepsilon I, s \in [0, 1]) + \text{sf}(L_t + \varepsilon I, t \in [a, b]) - \text{sf}(L_b + s\varepsilon I, s \in [0, 1]) \\ &= \text{sf}(L_t + \varepsilon I, t \in [a, b]) \quad (\text{B.6}) \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality in Equation (B.6) is consequence if the positivity of all the involved paths. By choosing a maybe smaller $\varepsilon > 0$ the path $t \mapsto L_t + \varepsilon I$ is admissible (in the sense of Definition B.17). The conclusion, now readily follows by applying [FPR99, Proposition 3.3] (the minus sign appearing is due to a different choosing convention for the spectral flow. Cfr. Remark B.10) to the path $t \mapsto L_t + \varepsilon I$. In order to prove the second claim, it is enough to observe that if L_a is essentially positive, then L is a path entirely contained in the (path-connected component) $\mathcal{BF}_+^{sa}(\mathcal{H})$. The proof of the equality in Equation (B.5) is now a direct consequence of Equation the previous argument and [FPR99, Proposition 3.9]. The last can be deduced by Equation (B.5) once observed that $n_-(L_b) = 0$. This concludes the proof. \square

Remark B.19. We observe that a direct proof of Equation (B.5) can be easily conceived as direct consequence of the homotopy properties of $\mathcal{BF}_+^{sa}(\mathcal{H})$.

Remark B.20. We observe that the definition of spectral flow for bounded selfadjoint Fredholm operators given in Definition B.13 is slightly different from the standard definition given in literature in which only continuity is required on the regularity of the path. (Cfr. For further details, we refer the interested reader to [Phi96, RS95, Wat15] and references therein). Actually Definition B.13 represents an efficient way for computing the spectral flow even if it requires more regularity as well as a transversality assumption (the regularity of each crossing instant). However, it is worth to mentioning that, the spectral flow is a fixed endpoints homotopy invariant and for admissible paths (meaning for paths having invertible endpoints) is a free homotopy invariant. By density arguments, we observe that a \mathcal{C}^1 -path always exists in any fixed endpoints homotopy class of the original path.

Remark B.21. It is worth noting, as already observed by author in [Wat15], that the spectral flow can be defined in the more general case of continuous paths of closed unbounded selfadjoint Fredholm operators that are continuous with respect to the (metric) gap-topology (cf. [BLP05] and references therein). However in the special case in which the domain of the operators is fixed, then the closed path of unbounded selfadjoint Fredholm operators can be regarded as a continuous path in $\mathcal{BF}^{sa}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{H})$. Moreover this path is also continuous with respect to the aforementioned gap-metric topology.

The advantage to regard the paths in $\mathcal{BF}^{sa}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{H})$ is that the theory is straightforward as in the bounded case and, clearly, it is sufficient for the applications studied in the present manuscript.

B.1 On the Spectral Flow for Fredholm quadratic forms

Following authors in [MPP05] we are in position to discuss the spectral flow for bounded Fredholm quadratic forms. Let $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a real separable Hilbert space. A continuous function $q : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a *quadratic form* provided that there is a symmetric bilinear form $b_q : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $q(u) = b_q(u, u)$ for all $u \in \mathcal{H}$. The bilinear form b_q is determined by q through the following polarization identity:

$$b_q(u, v) = \frac{1}{4} \{q(u+v) - q(u-v)\} \text{ for all } u, v \in \mathcal{H}.$$

This implies, in particular, that the bilinear form b_q is also continuous. Let us denote by $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{H})$ the set of all bounded quadratic forms on \mathcal{H} and we observe that $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{H})$ is a Banach space with the norm defined by

$$\|q\| := \sup_{\|u\| \leq 1} |q(u)| \text{ for all } q \in \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{H}).$$

Lemma B.22. *Let $q \in \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{H})$. Then there exists a unique self-adjoint operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ called the representation of q with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ with the property that*

$$q(u) = \langle T u, u \rangle \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{H} \tag{B.7}$$

Moreover

$$b_q(u, v) = \langle T u, v \rangle \text{ for all } u, v \in \mathcal{H}. \tag{B.8}$$

Proof. We start to observe that, from the polarization identity, it follows immediately that an operator satisfies Equation (B.7) if and only if it satisfies Equation (B.8). Fix $u \in \mathcal{H}$. Since b_q is continuous and bilinear, then the map $v \mapsto b_q(u, v)$ is linear and continuous on \mathcal{H} . By the Riesz-Fréchet Representation Theorem, there is an element that we denote by Tu having the property that $b_q(u, v) = \langle T u, v \rangle$ for all $v \in \mathcal{H}$. Since b_q is symmetric, bilinear and continuous we conclude that the operator T belongs to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and it is symmetric with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. \square

A quadratic form $q \in \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{H})$ is called *non-degenerate* provided that

$$b_q(u, v) = 0 \text{ for all } v \in \mathcal{H} \Rightarrow u = 0.$$

Definition B.23. Under above notation, a quadratic form $q : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is termed a *Fredholm quadratic form* if the operator T given in Equation (B.7) (representing q with respect to the scalar product of \mathcal{H}) is Fredholm.

Remark B.24. The set $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{H})$ is an open subset of $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{H})$. We observe that the operator representing of a non-degenerate quadratic form has trivial kernel and therefore if the form is also Fredholm then such a representation belongs to $\text{GL}(\mathcal{H})$.

Lemma B.25. *A quadratic form on \mathcal{H} is weakly continuous if and only its representation is a compact operator in \mathcal{H} .*

Proof. For the proof, we refer the interested reader, to [BJP14, Appendix B]. \square

We define a quadratic form $q : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to be positive definite provided that, there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$q(u) \geq c \|u\|^2, \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{H}.$$

We are now entitled to introduce the following definition which will be crucial in the whole paper.

Definition B.26. A quadratic form $q : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is termed *essentially positive* and we write $q \in \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{F}}^+(\mathcal{H})$, provided it is a weakly continuous perturbation of a positive definite quadratic (Fredholm) form.

It turns out that if $q \in \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{F}}^+(\mathcal{H})$ then its representation is and essentially positive selfadjoint Fredholm operator.

Remark B.27. We observe that as consequence of Proposition B.3, the set $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{F}}^+(\mathcal{H})$ is contractible.

Definition B.28. The *Morse index*, denoted by $n_-(q)$, of an essentially positive Fredholm quadratic form $q : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined as the Morse index of its representation. Thus, in symbol

$$n_-(q) = \dim E_-(T)$$

where T is the representation of q and $E_-(T)$ denotes the negative spectral space of T .

We are now in position to introduce the notion of *spectral flow* for (continuous) path of Fredholm quadratic forms.

Definition B.29. Let $q : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{H})$ be a continuous path. We define the *spectral flow* of q as the spectral flow of the continuous path induced by its representation; namely

$$\text{sf}(q(t); t \in [a, b]) := \text{sf}(L_t; t \in [a, b])$$

where we denoted by L_t the representation of $q(t)$, namely $q(t) = \langle L_t \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Following authors in [MPP05], if a path $q : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{H})$ is differentiable at t then the derivative $\dot{q}(t)$ is also a quadratic form. We shall say that the instant t is a crossing point if $\ker b_q(t) \neq \{0\}$, and We shall say that the crossing instant t is regular if the crossing form $\Gamma(q, t)$, defined as the restriction of the derivative $\dot{q}(t)$ to the subspace $\ker b_q(t)$, is non-degenerate. We observe that regular crossing points are isolated and that the property of having only regular crossing forms is generic for paths in $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{H})$. As direct consequence of Definition B.29 and Definition B.13, if $q : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{H})$ is a \mathcal{C}^1 -path of Fredholm quadratic forms having only regular crossings, we infer that

$$\text{sf}(q(t); t \in [a, b]) = \sum_{t \in (a, b)} \text{sgn}(\Gamma(q(t))) - n_-(\Gamma(q(a))) + n_+(\Gamma(q(b))) \quad (\text{B.9})$$

where the sum runs over all regular (and hence in a finite number) strictly contained in $[a, b]$.

Definition B.30. A path $q : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{H})$ is called *admissible* provided that it has non-degenerate endpoints.

Thus by Definition B.30 and Equation (B.9), we get that for an admissible \mathcal{C}^1 -path in $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{H})$ having only regular crossings, the spectral reduces to

$$\text{sf}(q(t); t \in [a, b]) = \sum_{t \in (a, b)} \text{sgn}(\Gamma(q(t)))$$

where the sum runs over all regular (and hence in a finite number) strictly contained in $[a, b]$. (Cfr. [FPR99, Theorem 4.1]).

Proposition B.31. Let $q : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{H})$ be a path of essentially positive Fredholm quadratic forms. Then

$$\text{sf}(q(t); t \in [a, b]) = n_-(q(a)) - n_-(q(b)).$$

Furthermore, if $q(b)$ is positive definite, then $\text{sf}(q(t); t \in [a, b]) = n_-(q(a))$.

Proof. Let $T : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\mathcal{F}^{sa}(\mathcal{H})$ be the path of selfadjoint Fredholm operators that represents the path of Fredholm quadratic forms q . As already observed, for each $t \in [a, b]$, each $T(t)$ is an essentially positive Fredholm operator. The result follows by Proposition B.18 to the path $t \mapsto T_t$. The second claim follows readily by observing that if $q(b)$ is essentially positive and non-degenerate, then it is positive and hence the Morse index of its representation vanishes. This concludes the proof. \square

Definition B.32. A *generalized family of Fredholm quadratic forms* parameterized by an interval is a smooth function $q : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where \mathcal{H} is a Hilbert bundle over $[a, b]$ and q is such that its restriction q_t to the fiber \mathcal{H}_t over t is a Fredholm quadratic form. If q_a and q_b are non degenerate, we define the spectral flow $\text{sf}(q) = \text{sf}(q, [a, b])$ of such a family q by choosing a trivialization

$$M : [a, b] \times \mathcal{H}_a \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$$

and defining

$$\text{sf}(q) = \text{sf}(\tilde{q}, [a, b]) \quad (\text{B.10})$$

where $\tilde{q}(t)u = q_t(M_t u)$.

It follows from cogredience property that the right hand side of Equation (B.10) is independent of the choice of the trivialization. Moreover all of the above properties hold true in this more general case, including the calculation of the spectral flow through a non degenerate crossing point, provided we substitute the usual derivative with the intrinsic derivative of a bundle map.

References

- [Abb01] ABBONDANDOLO, A. Morse Theory for Hamiltonian Systems Chapman and Hall/CRC, New York (2001)
- [AF07] ABBONDANDOLO, ALBERTO; FIGALLI, ALESSIO High action orbits for Tonelli Lagrangians and superlinear Hamiltonians on compact configuration spaces. *J. Differential Equations* 234 (2007), no. 2, 626–653.
- [APS08] ABBONDANDOLO, ALBERTO; PORTALURI, ALESSANDRO; SCHWARZ, MATTHIAS The homology of path spaces and Floer homology with conormal boundary conditions. *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 4 (2008), no. 2, 263–293.
- [AS09] ABBONDANDOLO, ALBERTO; SCHWARZ, MATTHIAS A smooth pseudo-gradient for the Lagrangian action functional. *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.* 9 (2009), no. 4, 597–623.
- [AS69] ATIYAH, M. F.; SINGER, I. M. Index theory for skew-adjoint Fredholm operators. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* No. 37 1969 5–26.
- [BHPT19] BARUTELLO, VIVINA; HU, XIJUN; PORTALURI, ALESSANDRO; TERRACINI SUSANNA An Index theory for asymptotic motions under singular potentials Preprint available <https://arxiv.org/abs/1705.01291>
- [BJP16] BARUTELLO, VIVINA; JADANZA, RICCARDO D.; PORTALURI, ALESSANDRO Morse index and linear stability of the Lagrangian circular orbit in a three-body-type problem via index theory. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 219 (2016), no. 1, 387–444.
- [BJP14] BARUTELLO, VIVINA L.; JADANZA, RICCARDO D.; PORTALURI, ALESSANDRO Linear instability of relative equilibria for n-body problems in the plane. *J. Differential Equations* 257 (2014), no. 6, 1773–1813.
- [BLP05] Booss-Bavnbek, Bernhelm; Lesch, Matthias; Phillips, John Unbounded Fredholm operators and spectral flow. *Canad. J. Math.* 57 (2005),no.2, 225–250.
- [BT15] BOLOTIN, S. V.; TRESHCHĖV, D. V. Anti-integrable limit. (Russian) *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* 70 (2015), no. 6(426), 3–62; translation in *Russian Math. Surveys* 70 (2015), no. 6, 975–1030.
- [CLM94] CAPPELL, SYLVAIN E.; LEE, RONNIE; MILLER, EDWARD Y. On the Maslov index. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 47 (1994), no. 2, 121–186.
- [Fat08] FATHI, ALBERT Weak KAM theorem in Lagrangian dynamics. Preliminary version. Number 10 (2008)
- [FPR99] FITZPATRICK, P. M.; PEJSACHOWICZ, J.; RECHT, L. Spectral flow and bifurcation of critical points of strongly-indefinite functionals. I. General theory. *J. Funct. Anal.* 162 (1999), no. 1, 52–95.
- [GPP04] GIAMBÒ, ROBERTO; PICCIONE, PAOLO; PORTALURI, ALESSANDRO Computation of the Maslov index and the spectral flow via partial signatures *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* 338 (2004), no. 5, 397–402.
- [HP17] HU, XIJUN; PORTALURI, ALESSANDRO Index theory for heteroclinic orbits of Hamiltonian systems. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 56 (2017), no. 6, Art. 167, 24 pp. Preprint available on <https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.03908>
- [HP19] HU, XIJUN; PORTALURI, ALESSANDRO Bifurcation of heteroclinic orbits via an index theory. *Math. Z.* 292 (2019), no. 1-2, 705–723. Preprint available on <https://arxiv.org/abs/1704.06806>

- [HPY17] HU, XIJUN; PORTALURI, ALESSANDRO; YANG RAN A dihedral Bott-type iteration formula and stability of symmetric periodic orbits Preprint available on <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1705.09173.pdf>
- [HPY19] HU, XIJUN; PORTALURI, ALESSANDRO; YANG RAN Instability of semi-Riemannian closed geodesics. To appear in Nonlinearity. Preprint available on <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1706.07619.pdf>
- [HS09] HU, XIJUN; SUN, SHANZHONG Index and stability of symmetric periodic orbits in Hamiltonian systems with application to figure-eight orbit. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 290 (2009), no. 2, 737–777.
- [HS10] HU, XIJUN; SUN, SHANZHONG Morse index and the stability of closed geodesics. *Sci. China Math.* 53 (2010), no. 5, 1207–1212.
- [Kat80] TOSIO KATO Perturbation Theory for linear operators. *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, 132, Springer-Verlag (1980).
- [Lon02] LONG, YIMING Index theory for symplectic paths with applications. *Progress in Mathematics*, 207. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2002.
- [ZL99] LONG, YIMING; ZHU, CHAOFENG Maslov-type index theory for symplectic paths and spectral flow. I. *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B* 20 (1999), no. 4, 413–424.
- [LZ00] LONG, YIMING; ZHU, CHAOFENG Maslov-type index theory for symplectic paths and spectral flow. II *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B* 21 (2000), no. 1, 89–108.
- [MPW17] MARCHESI, GIACOMO; PORTALURI, ALESSANDRO; WATERSTRAAT NILS Not every conjugate point of a semi-Riemannian geodesic is a bifurcation point. *Differential Integral Equations* 31 (2018), no. 11-12, 871–880. Preprint available on <https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.10483>
- [MPP05] MUSSO, MONICA; PEJSACHOWICZ, JACOBO; PORTALURI, ALESSANDRO, A Morse index theorem for perturbed geodesics on semi-Riemannian manifolds. *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.* 25 (2005), no. 1, 69–99.
- [MPP07] MUSSO, MONICA; PEJSACHOWICZ, JACOBO; PORTALURI, ALESSANDRO Morse index and bifurcation of p -geodesics on semi Riemannian manifolds. *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.* 13 (2007), no. 3, 598–621.
- [Phi96] PHILLIPS J. Self-adjoint Fredholm operators and spectral flow. *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin* 39(1996), no. 4, 460–467.
- [PPT04] PICCIONE, PAOLO; PORTALURI, ALESSANDRO; TAUSK, DANIEL V. Spectral flow, Maslov index and bifurcation of semi-Riemannian geodesics. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* 25 (2004), no. 2, 121–149.
- [Por08] PORTALURI, ALESSANDRO Maslov index for Hamiltonian systems. *Electron. J. Differential Equations* 2008, No. 09.
- [PW16] PORTALURI, ALESSANDRO; WATERSTRAAT NILS A K -theoretical Invariant and Bifurcation for Homoclinics of Hamiltonian Systems. *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 19 (2017), no. 1, 833–851. Preprint available on <https://arxiv.org/abs/1605.08402>
- [RS93] ROBBIN, JOEL; SALAMON, DIETMAR The Maslov index for paths. *Topology* 32 (1993), no. 4, 827–844.
- [RS95] ROBBIN, JOEL; SALAMON, DIETMAR The spectral flow and the Maslov index. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 27 (1995), no. 1, 1–33.

- [Tres88] TRESCHÉV, D. V. The connection between the Morse index of a closed geodesic and its stability. (Russian) Trudy Sem. Vektor. Tenzor. Anal. No. 23 (1988), 175–189.
- [Wat15] WATERSTRAAT, NILS Spectral flow, crossing forms and homoclinics of Hamiltonian systems. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 111 (2015), no. 2, 275–304.
- [Wu16] LI, WU The Calculation of Maslov-type index and Hörmander index in weak symplectic Banach space. Doctoral Degree Thesis, Nankai University, September, 2016

PROF. ALESSANDRO PORTALURI
DISAFA
Università degli Studi di Torino
Largo Paolo Braccini 2
10095 Grugliasco, Torino
Italy
Website: aportaluri.wordpress.com
E-mail: alessandro.portaluri@unito.it

PROF. LI WU
Department of Mathematics
Shandong University
Jinan, Shandong, 250100
The People's Republic of China
China
E-mail: nankai.wuli@gmail.com

DR. RAN YANG
School of Science
East China University of Technology
Nanchang, Jiangxi, 330013
The People's Republic of China
China
E-mail: yangran2019@ecit.cn